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H.E. THE GOVERNOR: The Assembly is in session. I will
invite the Reverend Edgar Ogston to say prayers.
REV. EDGAR OGSTON: Let us pray.
Almighty God, from whom alt wisdom and power are derived, we beaeech thee 00 to direet and prosper the deliberations of the tegislative Assembly now assembled that alt things may be ordered upon the best and surest foundations for the glory of Thy nome and for the safety, honour and welfare of the people of these Istande.

Blese our Sovereign Lady, Queen Elizabeth, the Queen's Mother, Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, Charles, Prince of Wales, Diana, Princese of Wales, and all the Royal Fomily. Give grace to all who exercise authomity in our Commonwealth that peace and happiness, truth and justice, religion and piety may be established amongst us.

Especially we pray for the Governor of our Islands, the Members of Exeoutive Council and Members of the Legislative Assembly, that they may be enabled faithfully to perform the responeible duties of their high office, and all this we ask for Thy great name's sake.

The Lord's prayer:-
Our Father, who art in Heaven, Hallowed be Thy Nome, Thy Kingidom come, Thy with be done in earth as it is in Heavieh. Give us this day our daily bread: And foxgive us our trespasses; as we forgive them that trespase against us. And lead us not into temptation; but deliver us from evil: For thine is the Kingdom, the power, and the glory; for ever and ever.

The Lord bless us and keep us: the Lord make His face shine upon us and be gracious unto us: the Lord lift up His countenance upon us and give us peace now and atways. Amen.
H.E. THE GOVERNOR:

CLERK:

Please be seated.
PROCLAMATION NO. 2 OE 1984
BY HIS EXCELLENCY GEORGE PETER LLOYD, COMPANION OF THE MOST DISTINGUISHED ORDER OF ST MICHAEL AND ST GEORGE, COMMANDER OF THE VICTORIAN ORDER, GOVERNOR OF THE CAYMAN ISLANDS.

WHEREAS, by subsection (1) of Section 46 of Schedule 2 of the Cayman Istands (Constitution) Order, 1972, it is provided that the sessions of the Legislative Assembly shall be held at such time and place as the Governor may from time to time by Proclamation appoint:

HOW THEREFORE; under and by virtue of the powers vested in me by the aforesaid Order, I, GEORGE PETER LLOYD, Companion of the Most Distinguished Onder of St Michael and St George. Commaniter of the Viatorian order, Governor of the Cayman Istands, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM AND MAKE KNOWN THAT A SESSION OF THE EAGISLATTKE ASSEMBLY OF THE CAIMAN ISLANDS shall be held at the Legislative Assembly Building in George Town, Island of Grand Cayman, at 10:00 a.m. on Fridat the 24th Fobruary, One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eighty-Four.

Given under my hand and the Public Seat. of the Cayman Istands at George Toun on the Island of Grand Cayman this Seventeenth day of January in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eighty-Four in the Thirty-Third year of the Reign of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II.

HONOURABLE MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.
The Throne Speech always marks the opening of a new Session. The 1983 Session wat, of course, opened by Her Majesty The Queen in person, just over a year ago. We shatl all remember that occasion, which gave such general joy. Today we revert to our more usual practice - and co I shall be reviewing at rather greater length some of the events of the past twelve months and looking fomiard to plans for 1984.

Intermal and Extermal Affairs
Prisons
A Caymanian was appointed Direator of Prisons in tanuary, 1983 and all seconded staff had returned to the United Kingdom by the end of Aprit.

Phase II of the Prison - an accommodation blook - was opened in March. Part of this block is being temporarily used for female prisoners.

A multipurpose building, which includes medical facilities, two ctassrooms and a workshop, was opened in July. Most of the construction work was done by prisoners, under PWD superviaion.

The prisoners' work progranme was further expanded to include clearing litter from road verges in certain areas, though there were constraints on the number of prisoners doing suct work.

The prison population rose significantly during the year despite the transfer of twenty-five prisoners to damaica. Funds have been provided in 1984 to start buitding Phase III, accommodation and a compound for female prisonere.

## Immigration

Arrival and departure records were computerised during 1983. Despite teething problems, significant benefits have already resulted. Plans are now being made to extend the system to cover data on gainful ocoupation licences," company licences and business licences.

The need for foreign labour continues to be a fact of life in this land of general over-employment and opportunity. It is neverthelese unfortunate that many visitors overstay and obtain work unlowfully. Neither legislation nor enforcement action aan sotve this problem without cooperation from businesses and employers. Indeed, theres has to be a conmitment by the general population to safeguard the future of their own country.

## Broadeasting

Over the past year, the station's revenue has covered its recurrent and capital expenditure. It should continue to cover operating expenses.

Training for staff personnel has been an on-going process with the assistance from UNESCO. Plans for 1984 are to have 2 officers attend overesas counses in broadoast jourmalism, with others going on overseas attachments to radio stations in the Caribbean and the United States.

Plans have been made to upgrade the FM broadoast system to give more adequate coverage to the Islands.

## District Administration

Progress in Cayman Brac and Little Cayman during 1983 was high-lighted by two signifioant steps forward. The first was the official opening of the new Government Administration building in Cayman Brac on 1st Maroh. The second was the inauquration of Cayman Airways Boeing 727 jet airaraft into Gerrard Smith Airport on Cayman Brac on $16 t h$ December.

In the private aector the largest project during 1083 has been the start of another hotel for Cayman Brac. Scheduled for opening in mid-1984, the third hotel is expected to add an additionat 40 roome to the 65 rooms now available in the two existing hotels.

It is hoped that tourism will increase in the Sister Islands as a result of improved air services.

## Polide

A major development has been in the opening of the Licensing Department with the Vehicle Inspection Centre attached and a completely revised system of inspection and registration, which has atream-lined the organisation to cut down on delays.

The new fleet of cars for the Traffic Department has proved invaluable in enforeing traffic lawe particularty in relation to drink and driving, and speeding offences.

The Marine Section has proved most successfut with enforcement patrols and with sea rescues, some ranging many miles from the Cayman Isiands.

The Forae has continued its fight against drug trafficking and record aeizures have been made with sea-going vessele forfeited to the Crown. We have heen considerably assisted by the United States Drug Enfoncement Agency with whom we have a most professional relation and the United States Custome Service which has been active in training both Police and Customs Officers in the tratning eentre at the Central Police Station. The United States Federal Bureau of Investigation oontinues to liaise most effectively with enquiries we may have in the United States.

The training of Police Officers has been a priority and will continue to be so, with the"Zocal Police Training Department supplementing specialist courses overieas.

The Civilian Special Constabulary with numbers equalling the regular Police have proved a most valuable asset to the comminity with services ranging from crime patrols to a variety of duties at national events. Their enthusiasm and efficiency were amply shown during the Royal Visit of 1983 when they worked alongeide the regular Police, ensuring a most succesafut and happy occasion.

In recognising the many roles played by the Poliae in the Cayman Istands, the Govermment has given tham every possible support to provide firm stability based on good low and order.

[^1]50.6 millions despite the additional unbudgeted expenditure of $\$ 1.25$ million to purchase the Cayman Turtle Farm.

This result was achieved by prudent spending and the tenacious control of expenditure by the Finance and Development department. Based on preliminary figures the surplus for 1983 was, therefore, $\$ 2.0$ million. After taking into account the 1982 deficit of $\$ 880,000$ the surptus account balance at the end of the year should be about $\$ 1.1$ million.

Although a drawdown on the General Reserve account was necessary during the year, the batance at the end of it was $\$ 10.15$ million giving an overall surptus and General Reserve total of $\$ 11.25$ million. The Fublic Debt balance was $\$ 9.5$ million.

As indicated by the Financial Secretary in his Budget Speech in November last the Training Officer for the Customs department arrived last month and the first group of officers completed their course on 17 th February 1984 under the guidance of Mr. D. J. Whitty, a retired UK Training officer reoruited through the British Executive Services Overseas. The training witl end in April, 1984.

Agriculture, Lands and Natural Resources
The Agriculture, Lands and Natural Resources Portfolio and departments are responsible for the physical development of our natural resources. Economic grouth has led to greater demands for their services.

The popularity of the Cayman Islands as a diving resort is based on underwater gardens which have been rated as some of the best in the world. Their preservation is of paramountimportance. During 1983, the Portfolio concentrated on finalising. preparations for a marine parks system which meets the requirements of the Marine Conservation Board. It is anticipated that in 1984, marine parke will be established around Grand Cayman and possibly the sister Istands.

The Turtle Farm was purchased by the Goverment during 1983, to ensure its continuity. The owners at that tims intended alosing down operations which would have resulted in the loss of employment, of one of our more popular tourist attractions and of a unique researoh centre for studying the sea turtle. Tatks are continuing in Washington with the Department of the Interior in an ongoing effort to have US domestic legislation relaxed in reapect of farmed turtle products from Cayman Turtle Farm to allow the farm to trade with the US again. Government is preparing a proposal for the UK CITES Management Authority to present to the CITES Teehnical Conmittee in Brussela.

Department of Agriculture
The Cayman Ielands Department of Agriculture continues to be very active in improving and increasing local food production. The production of certain vegetables like tomatoes is highty encouraging.

Government continues its commitment to farmers by providing duty-free equipment and other materials for agricultural purposes. Negotiations were carpied out with a local heavy equipment company for preparation of land for agricultural use at marginal. expense to the farmers. In addition, two other private enterprises have made similar offers of assistance.

Experimental projects to study various tropical fruit trees, types of propagation and varieties of crops and vegetablee are being carried out at the Goverrment Demonstration Farm
at Lower Vaziey. The" Smith Road nursery is being converted to a banana plantation. Teohniques used there witl eventually be passed on to tocat farmers, along with planting materials.

Self-sufficiency in looal meat production is our new target. Encouraging progress was made. The 52 Aberdeen Angus cattle imported into the Islands from Baldwin Angus Raneh in F'lorida were distributed throughout the Is lands to various cattle owners. These cattle have satisfactorily adapted to istand conditions with onty 8 percent mortality: Phe Department has an artificiat insemination programme in operation. Beef cattle are on the increase with a number of larger cattle owners eatablishing herd health and breeding programes.

In East End, an agrioulturat road has been opened to service interior farmbands.

In Cayman Brac, the agricultural office was renovated and witl be adequately equipped to meet present demands in Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. In 1934, services offered by the Department will be improved by the purchase of a truck for tranoporting spraying equipment and agriculturai supplies.

## The Agrioultural and Industrial Development Board

The Agriculturat and Industrial Development Board continued a positive growth during the year 1983. As was hoped, loans were sxtended to areas such as industry, tourism, farming and agroindustry.

Mosquito Research and Control Unit
1983. was characterised in Grand Cayman by no fewer than 19 broods of the btack salt marsh mosquito, Ades taeniorhynohus; however, the samil size of many of these emergences represented a decreased mosquito problem from the previous year's 13 broods. Owing to impegular tide and rainfali pattems a higher proportion than usual of control operations have had to be carmied out in the winter monthe.

Satisfactari control levels were achieved by 237 airsprays, and 250 vehicle fogging nights covering 6,933 miles. Insecticides used were Naled (Dibrom) and Permethrin.

Redigaing of silted mosquito control canals. in the West Bay Peninsula has continued with the reconstmuction of 48,507 feet of wider canal.

New cantiaation in the Lower Valtey region has ceased whilst possible effects on fresh water resources are investigated. Alt physieal control operations were hatted during January and February whitst construetion of a securards cannel from Batabano was undertaken.

In the fister Islands control was achieved by 113 fogging nights in Cayman Brac ( 3,019 miles) and 153 fogging nights in Little Cayman ( 1,791 mites).

The Natural Resources Laboratory continued
its involvement with oil pollution control, representing Goverrment at two international meetings and undertaking olean-up of small crude oil spill impacting South Sound. Scientists from Canada and USA utilised NR facilities in studies on limestone geotogy, freshwater turtles and eponges parasitic on aoral.

## Plaining Department

The development of the Cayman Istands, in both the private and Govermment seatore, continued strongly during 1983. The value of fulty approved development for 1983 to tatled $\$ 81,002,777$, an increase of 14.09 percent over the 1982 figure of $\$ 70,996,533$. A total of

770 applications were determined by the Central Plaming Authority during 1983, compared with 590 for 1982, an inorease of 30.5 percent.

A conprehensive review of the Development and Planning Regulations 1977 has aloo been completed, and proposed revisions will be considered during 1984.

Land Registry
There was a drop in the number of ins truments presented for registration from 6492 in 1982 to 5512 in 1983. The biggest arop, was in transfers of property, the figure for 1983 being only 781 against 1323 in 1982. In spite of this, the value of land transferred in 1983 inoreased to CI $\$ 59.8$ miliion against CI\$56.5 million. There was more borrowing in 1983, the debt secured on charges (mortgages) being cI\$60.7 miltion against CI $\$ 46.6$ million in 1982. The stanp duty collected, which large ly depende on the Transfers and Charges, showed a corresponding. increase, and rose to CIS5." mittion.

Health, Eduoation and Social Semrices
Health
The Health Services Department maintained an emphasis on primary care and prevention in 1983. The treatmant of patients in their own homes is much more eoonomical thon hospital treatment and most people are hoppier in the company of their family. This form of practice will therefore be pursued in 1984. A start was made earlier this year with the periodical visiting of gematric patients in the community and this will be extended and a paper is being prepared on the provisions for those who might be cared for at homs, those at the Pines and those in George Town Hospital.

There will atso be modest expansion of the mental health eervice in 1984 coordinated with the Education Department and Sooial Services. There witl be three psyohiatrists, one resident on Grand Cayman, providing part-time services. The service witl concentrate in particular on the needs of children and on the treatment of drug and alcohol abuse. Workshops will be arranged in connection with the latter and a special clinic is opening shortly. A part-time psychiatric social worker has been engaged this year to work with the full-time medical social worker.

Stondards in the acute sector will be maintained and where posaible extended. Where overseas treatment is sought the principle is to deal with hospitals with which a material discount has been negotiated. By extension of specialists' visits to the Cayman Ialands the atondard of service is increased, references are reduced and economy promoted. Nevertheless much modern medical technology is still availabte only overseas; its oost increases inexorably and the patient population who could benefit from the treatment also increases. At George Town Hospital, ultra-sound equipment has recently been installed and training in ite use commenoed. Expension of the laboratory and X-ray facilities at Faith Hospital will be completed this year. The new laundry has been completed and work on a naw kitchen and neeting rooms has begron. Renovations of the Dental Clinic and the District Clinics will commence shortly.

The teohnology of medicine continues to expand. It is essential for doctors, nurses and para-medical workers of all disciplines to be kept up to date if standards are to be maintained and improved. As in 1983 , effort will be put into training staff in new procedures and equipment. The opportwities for training extended by PAHO, CARICOM and CAREC are greatly appreciated. The teohnique of bminging training teams to the Cayman Is Lands rather than sending individuale overseas witl be further explored. Every encouragement will be given to Caymanians to
-7-
take advantage of training opportwities: they are invamably given priomity over staff from overseas for places on courees.

The sarsening progranmes mointed in 1983 for
hypertension and diabetes and - in association with the Cayman Is liands Nedical and Dental Sooiety - fox colon cnacer proved rewardirg. "In assooiation with the sams Society a screening programe for breast concer will be conducted in May and later in the year there witl probably be a second colon canoer programme.

Fomily Health conselling will be continued and investigations into genetically-determined disecses promoted. There will be visits by specialists from overseas in that comection and genetid diseases dssociated with disorders of the eye will be a particular object of $\mathrm{stud} y$.

In the environmental health field there were general inporvements in solid waste management during: 1983 and the water quality monitoring programe was inteneified in association with the new lyformed Water Authority. Special efforto were made to upgrade food sonitation and hygene practices in restauraxte and bars. Rodent control operations also grew. Plans for the construction of a centriat abbatoir facility are in the design stage with works scheduled to begin this gear. "Attention will be given to building developmant controt. The sanitaman staff witl continue improving refuse collection and disperaal practices, and further efforts wilt also be made to control tittering.

In occupational health, oertain high risk
In developing its policies the Health ocoupations will be examined. Services Department balconces costs against the likely benefite on health or health care. Priorities are then established. Given the resources available the aim is to have as healthy a population as possible in these Is Lands.

## Eclucation

The major oocurence in the fietd of education was the replacement of the 1968 Law by a new Eiducation Law.

The revision of teachere salamies based on the UK Burnhom model, will provide greater opportimities and incentives for teachers to progress within the system.

An entirely new system of registration was instituted to help determine statistical data and process new students.

The Cadman Islands Teachere' Centre was neopened in January 1983. The main aim of the Centre is to provide inservice education for serving teachers and to promote profeseional growth at all levels.

The Primary System
1,146 students were enrotled in the nine primary schools and the Lighthouse School for Special Education in September 1983. The total staff in primary schools was 84 , including some tachers aides.

The Lighthouee School restructured its programes to acconmodata 35 students.: This includes a vocationat programme for older stwdents. In addition, services in speech and language are offered to the publice through weekly elinics.

A training programme for school leavers was instituted which will hopefully result in six students entering teacher. training in September.

## A major physical expansion programme was

completed in nearly all Government schools. Where new construction was not done, extensive renovation and upgrading of facilities were carried out.

The Cayman Islands Middle Schoot with 810 students and 46 staff is finding it difficult to aope with the increasing numbers of ohildwen who wish to enrol.t. The schoot was originality designed for 750 pupils and a limit has to be set in order to pre⿻exve the quality of eduoation.

The new block which includee workshop and art rooms will ease pressure on the existing plant.

The Cayman Islands High School with 870 studente and 62 staff has improved upon its examination results. Seventeen studente were graded de "Honour Students" (obtaining seven or more good "O" Levet passes) and students'sat 671 CSE papers.

The Islay Conolly Hall was completed by the addition of a new floor; the school compound was black topped; and the fencing of the whole compound, and a further phase of the covered walkays, were completed. The building of the new agricultural complex will do much to establish the importance of agriculture in the curriculuan.

The Development Plan aubmitted in 1982 by the Principal of the Cayman Brac High Sohool has reeutted in real progress, with an improved academic etimate and the expansion of the physical plant. The number of students presented for examinations has increased and the steady but sure improvement in the results demonstrates that the students are responding well to the care bestowed on them.

The Community College
The Comminity college continues to grow with 538 adults registering for classes in September. Over 100 others were tumed away because the demand for some courses far exceeded the facititice offered.

When the College opened, it offered three classes. This year forty courses are being offered including "A" Level Law which is being run for the first time.

In November, Dr. Hallett, Preeident of the Bermuda Cozlege was invited by Government to make a feasibility report on extending the college to include technical and wocational courses under the umbrelta of a college of further education.

Frojections for 1984
Becondari and Midaze Levels
The computer department at Cayman Istands High School will shortly begin a series of lectures and workehops on the use of computers in education which is geared specifically for teachers. The Midale School intends to introduce computers in a limited eetting to their older students.

At the Brae High School there is to be a major thrust in Science with partioular emphasis on physies and chemistry.

Plans are in hand for the establishment of a structured programe for personat and social development to be carmied out across the total spectron of academic ability.

Initial plans have been drawn up for a laige auditomion at Cayman Islands Middle Schoot.

## Tertiary Education

With Dr. Hallett's report to hand, and the continued development of the Comunity College, Govervment is considering the establishment of a tertiaxy education college.

A programme to identify academically gifted students and to provide them with extension courses has started and witl shortly go into effect. Clasees witl be held at the Lighthoues Sohool after the normal school day.

The Social Studies durriculum currently being developed is due to be completed in the Spring. Research in this area has led to a Social Studies textbock for Cayman primary schoole whioh is being written by teaohers and is to be published by Mae Millan in the Summer.

Sohools will be working on a standard discipline namual, on an individual prospectus for each school and continuing work atready begun on school self evaluation.

There will be a continuation of the policy to improve the physical plant in primary schools; arid to create principals offices, staff roome, sick bays, libraries, and art and araft rooms in each schoot. The major building emphasis is to be placed on the John $A$. Cumber Primary School in West Bay and the West End Primary. Sohoot in. Cayman Brac.

Generat
A 3 whit course on chitdren with tearning and emotional disabilities is to begin in May under the auspices of the University of Miami.

Negotiations continue for the introduction
of a two-year in-service B.Ed. to be offered by a UK institution.
A full-time school Liaison Officer will soon be employed to carry out attendance orders on alt schools under the new Education Law.

## Social Services Department

In 1983' the Social Services Department took a major stride fomward with the establishnient of the Post of Director of Social Services. Close cooperation between it and the various bervice olubs and voluntary organisations will continue.

Probation and Welfare services have again grown, with Poor Relief Assistance increasing $35 \%$ over 1982. Child Care Assistance is maintaining a steady position and a decrease of $8 \%$ in puveniles coming before the Court was registered. This deorease reflects the increased. amount of preventative work being done by officers on an informal basis. An officer responsibte for Premachool Supervision was appointed, and plans are now afoot to review pre-school services offered within the Islands, determining what furthor assistance may be needed.

The National Council of Social sexvices' major project was the completion of "The Pines" Retirement Home which was officially opened by Her Majesty The Queen. Several worthy donations have been made both to the Pines by benevotent citiaens and to the hospital by the NCSS Pink Ladies Volunteer Corps.

The Frances Bodden Girls Home, a project of the Rotary Club of Grand Cayman was completed and officially handed over to Govermment at year end. Five girls were in residence. With a capacity for fifteen girls Frances Bodden Girls Home is a caring home for giris ages six through sixteen who are placed there by the Juvenile Court. The home is managed similarly to the boys home - Bonaventure House.

Bonaventure House for Boys had a record high number of boys placed back into their natural homes during the year. A place of adfety adjoining the home is being construated and should be ready in early February 1984.

The arrival of a new Librarian, and the acquisition of a modern readermprinter for cataloguing, were among the year's highlights at the Public Library. Registered borrowers totalled 2,873, an increase of 432 over the previous year. The Library now senves more than 400 people in an average week and its annual budget. has been increased $95 \%$ over 1982 to $\$ 38,170$.

## Sports

Government has continued to take a keen interest in the further development of recreational activities for these rstands.

The major undertaking, a joint venture between Govermment and the Cayman Islands Football Aseociation, has resulted in a first clase field in George Town. Similar ventures witl soon be initiated in West Bay and East End. Hardoourts have been established in North Side and Bodden Toun, a double aourt at the Middle School, a new court at George Tow Primary; a single court at West Bay with room for expansion at a future date.

Technical assistance for the Sports Complex is forthooming as a result of negotiations with Dade County, under the Twin Cities Progranme.

The Lions Club have received approval to proceed with the construction of their Half-olympic size swinming pool, to be situated at the eastern side of the Middle Schoot.

Complamentina these activities have been the consistent sporting events sponsored by local alubs and businesses.

## Low Income Housing

During the tatter half of 1983, ongoing discussions were held with a view to define more clearly the problem of housing for poor families. To this end the Department has put forward plans for work to be done in the Watter Road area as a pilot project. Renovations will be carnied out to existing structures where possible, and where necessary adequate housing provided on Crown tand as near as possible to the present houses.

Tourism, Aviation and Trade
Tourism
The tourist industry here has weathered a difficult year in 1983. Although finat figures are still incomplete, it appears arrivals by air increased by $10 \%$ and cruise ship passengers by $11.9 \%$.

The outlook for 1984 is good but the strength of the US DoZlar has made Europe, Mexioo and other Caribbean destinations an attractive buy. Our price structure must be carefutly watched if we are to continue our success in a highty competitive market. Our biggest selling point is still the continued stability of these Islands and our reputation in the travel market place as a safe and friendly place to visit. We must canefully protect and nurture this justifiable reputation.

The overseas offices of the Department of Tourism continue a very active promotional programme with great emphasis on consumer advertising and promotion. Earnings to our economy from tourism during 1983 reached between 65-75 million US dollars, again an alt time reaond.

Civil Aviation
In January 1983, the Civit Aviation Department
becume responsible for all aviation matters in Cayman Brac. The entire administrative seotion of the Department moved to new offices. The area of responsibility of Air Traffio Controt has been greatly inereased and now stretches from 20 nautical miles east of Cayman Brac to 40 nautical miles west of Grand Cayman at a total width of some 80 nautical miles. The vertical distance is from the surface to 19,500 feet.

In 1983 a tota 2 of 347,714 passengers travelled through Owen Roberts Airport and 32,241 passengers travelled through Cerrand Smith Airport in Cayman Brac.

By far the most significant move during 1983. was the commencement of the construction of $\alpha$ new Gnand Cayman Terminal Building, Parking Apron, taxiwaye, roads and car parke. This: should be completed late 1984.

Fire Service
The year 1983 saw a 54\% reduction in the number of Fire Catts received and attended by the Department, a trend we hope will continue. An Airport Fire Fighting and Rescue Unit was established in Little Cayman and the Staff at Cayman Brac Airport were given an intensive three weeks Fire Fighting and Rescue Course.

In 1984 a new Fire Station at Frank Sound witl be completed which will provide a much improved Fire and Reacue Service for the residents of the outlying dietiricts as well as Hotels and Condominiums in that area.

Water supply for Fire Fighting has been a major concern for many years and efforts are being made this year to drill special Fire Welis at various locations on the Istand. If they prove successful, it will be an important step forward in our fight against fire.

## Vocational and Technical Training Schools

The Hotel School, Marine Training School and Building and Trade Sohool offered a great variety of courses during 1983. In 1984 a wide range of courses will again be avaitable. We encourage young Caymanians to take advantage of the training offered. Sucoessful completion of some courses could mean further training overseas an no extra cost to the student.

## Labour Office

Numeroue complaints and disputes have been handled by the Labour Officer in oonsultation with the Portfolio and most of them have been resolved informally. A Youth Opportwity Programme was set up after a meeting aalled by the Caymanian Protection Board, to discuss the question of jobs for school leavers. The labour Office and Chamber of Commeroe invited school leavers to register with the Business. Enterprises also registering their job vacancies. As a result, alt schooi Zeavers who registered have been placed in jobs by the Labour Office. It is hoped that in 1984 this programe can be repeated.

It is hoped that Labour legislation will be introduced to this honourable House in the June Sitting.
Cayman Airways Limited.
In 1983, Cayman Airways continued to provide a high level of air services between the Cayman Islands and Houston, Miami and Kingston, and inaugurated jet service between Cayman Brac and Miami. This will provide a solid foundation on which a virable tourism industry can be built in Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. The National Airline also inougurated a service to the Turks and Caicos Istands from Miami and Kingston.

## -12-

Additionally, a charter progromme was initiated between Grand Cayman and New York on a weekly basis.

The Airtine continues to experience acshflow problems cauees primarily by the limited route structure that is available to it under the terms of the UK/US Air Service Agreement. Nevertheless, negotiations are presently underway to develop a atrong oharter programe during 1984 from key cities in the USA to the Cayman rslands. These charters will give the Airtine more utiliaation on the B727s and will undoubtedly improve the cash position.

## Housing

In August 1983 a General Manager was appointed to the Housing Development Corporation. Since that time negotiations have been held with the financial community in efforts to raise funds for tow cost housing. These negotiations are on-going and Government is hopefut that they will be satiafactorily concluded in the very near future. Caymanians will benefit from these results in the form of low interest mortgage being available.

Communications and Works

## Public Worke Department

succeeded by a Caymanian.
The Chief Engineer retired in 1983 , and was
The exemplary performance of the Department made possible the official opening of the new road linking North Side and Rast End by Her Majesty The Queen during Her visit in Febmary 1983.

A Capital Works Progranme costing 4.28 million dollars was carried out in 1983. The prineipat projects undertaken are mentioned elsewhere in this speech.

Projected Projecte for 1984 are:
Roads
Three-quarter mile hot-mix paving in North Side, East End and North Sound Road.

New oonstruction on Smith Road, Barkers Road, Rueh Pond Road and John MoLean Drive.

Constmution of sidewalks on Walkers Road and
West Bay Road.
Signatisation and upgrading of intersections
at both ends of Eastern Avenue.
sirports
Continuation of Owen Roberts and Gerrad
Smith Airporte improvement projects.
Other
Construction of the East End Civic Centre will commence during the first half of 1984.

Fostal Department
The General Post Office at George Town was
air-conditioned at a cost of approximately $\$ 10,000$. This contributed greatly to present general satisfaction of staff. Minor amendments were made to the Postal Regulations ineluding a change in postal rates. An Arehiteots

$$
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Brief was prepared by the British Postal Consultancy Service for the construction of a New Post Office.

One Local offiaer was trained in Philatelic Management by the Crown Agents in London.

Staff changes will be made in 1984 when the present Postmaster-General completes his contract and is succeeded by a Caymanian.

Water, Authowity
In May 1983, the Watier Authority was
established under the Chairmanship of the Honourable Member, Comminioations and Works.

The Lower Valley Wellfield and Resemoir was officially opened in November 1983 and is present utitised by all the local water trucking companies. Up to 90,000 gallons have been bought in one day, at a cost of $\$ 5.50$ per thousand gallons.

A development plan for istand-wide water and sewerage has been prepared and approvat was granted for the sewering of West Bay Beach and a sewerage treatment works.

A comprehensive water testing laboratory
was completed and water testing surveys were carried out in George Iown and Cayman Brac.

Work will continue on the projeat during
1984.

## Port Authority

There was a slight incroase in ships arrivals in 1983 aompared to the previous year. Imports atso increased with the largest peroentage of goods being imported from the United States.

Revenue generated from port activities enabled
the Authority to meet its financial obligations with an approximate surplus of \$196,000. There was a forty-two percent short-fall in the estimated earnings for 1983 at the Cayman Brac port but additionat expenditur: was oubsidised by earnings of equipment owned by the Port Authority, Grand Cayman.

Approvat was granted in 1983 for the construetion of a building to temporarily house the Port Authority and Customs Department while the Port Authority/Customs, Building, which is projected for 1984, is under constmiction. The building for which approvat has been granted will be converted for facilities for dock workers when the Port Authomity/Customs Building is completed.

Legal
The Attomey Generaz's Chambers continued in 1983 to advise and aseist Goverrment and all its departments and agenoies on legat matters, and appeared on behalf of the Crown in civil and oriminial proceedings. The volume of work dealt with by the Attomey Generat e Chambers increased dramatically due principally to two factors: the numeroue dmugrelated prosecutions and other matters involving the Confidential Relationships (Preservation) Law.

Some forty lawe drafted by Chambers were
enacted by the Legislative Assembly. Of these, the more important were The Elections Low, The Eduaation Law, The Partnership Low and The Succession (Amendment) Law. In addition a considerable number of items and subsidiary legislation prepared by the department became law during the year.

The Governnent witl introduce a Bill providing for Elected Members of the Assembly to receive pensions. This will be based on comparable legislation elsewhere.

Our present Clerk is due to retire within a few weeks. This is the last meeting at which she will officiate. So, before concluding, I should like to pay tribute to a record of semice remarkable both for duration and for devotion.

Few, if any, Clerks can have been responsibte
for advising Commonwalth Legistatures for longer than she has advised ours. Hor knowledge of Parliamentarians, and hex experience of parliamentary affairs, have been invaluable. I an confident that I ahall be refleoting the feelings of all Membere when I express sincere gratitude for so much thoughtful past help - and offer waxm good wishes for a happy future in retirement.

May I also offer greetings to the Clerkdesignate, whom we all welcome here. And may I add a welcome to the Rev. Edgar Ogston, the new Minister at Elmstie Memomial Church, who opened our Sesaion with prayera.

In this speech I have concentrated on the performance and plane of Govervment portfolios and departments. That is inevitable. It is proper, too, given the responsibilities of this Legislature. May I, nevertheless, pay tribute to the valuable contribution made by the private sector: by the international bueiness community, on whom our economy so depends; by all who provide services of various kinds to our visitors; by the Churches, who play such an important part in locat life; by the many voluntary organisations which sponsor cultural activitiee, promote sport or help those in need; by the Service Clube, whose imaginative projects are everywhere in evidence. The list could be almost endless. And we are indeed fortunate that so many citizens do so much for the cormminth.

May I, finally, thank you; the Members of this House, for all your patient guidance throughout the past year - and look forward to your continuing help in the monthe to come, during a time when we shall be preocoupied with preparations for the general election due in November.

I pray that Almighty God may bless and guide us throughout the present Session.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Your Excellency, I would like to move
to following resolution:-
BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE HONOURABLE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY RECORDS ITS GRATEFUL THANKS TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR FOR THE GRACTOUS ADDRESS DELIVERED AT THIS MEETING.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT DEBATE ON THE ADDRESS 'DELIVERED BY HIS EXCELLENCY BE DEFERRED UNTIL TUESDAY THE 28TH OF FEBRUAFY, 1984.

SECONDED BY: CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL.
II.E. THE GOVERNOR: The question is that the debate on the Throne Speech be deferred untit Tuesday the 28th of Fobruary, 1984. Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.

## HOUSE SUSPENDED

HOUSE RESUMED
MR. PRESIDENT:
We will commence business. Reports.

REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 19TH DECEMBER, 1984 m LAID ON THE TABLE

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFFRSON: Mr. President, I beg to Zay on the Table of this Honounabte House the Finance Committee Report for a meeting hetd on the 19th of December, 1983.

MR. PRESTDENT: $\because$ So ordered.
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, the total supplementary expenditure approved on the 19th of December was $\$ 622,576.00$, and a break down of that sum is a follows:-

1. Internal and External Affairs Head 2 Sub-head 7 - Royal Visit, a sum of $\$ 151,000$ was approved to pay expenditupes during 1983 to deal with Royal visit.
2. Legislative Department Head 5 Sub-head 2 -A aum of $\$ 7,000.00$ was approved to oover the substantial amount of meetinge held during 1983. The $\$ 7,000.00$ covers subeistence and travelling.
3. Legislative Department again, Mr. President, under Head 5 a sum of $\$ 2,000.00$ was approved to cover office expenses for the Fourth Meeting of the House.
4. Sub-head 3 - still on Legislative Department, Mr. President, $\$ 4,000$. to cover the printing on copies of the Lows.
5. Judicial Department - A sum of $\$ 2,000.00$ was approved to cover office cleaning expenses for the year.
6. Under Personal Health, Head 16 Sub-head 1 Personat Emoluments, a sum of $\$ 325,000.00$ was approved to oover Personal Emoluments. The majority of this sum, Mr. Preaident, dealt with the clean-up campaign in preparation for Her Majesty's Visit in February of 1983.
7. Cormunioations and Worke Head 40 sub-head 4 - A sum of \$12,000.00 was approved to provide additional furmiture and equipment for Government Staff Houses.
8. Frisons - Head 8 Sub-head 7-\$7,800.00 was approved to cover the cost of surveying the Prison property. This work was done by Lands and Survey.

Approval was albo given, Mx. Fresident, for the charging off advanoes for Sir Geoffrey Brigg' visit; the inspection team, Brownlow and others; and Superintendent Gibson, and also a sum of $\$ 10,990.00$ to deal with expences incurped while dealing with the cocaine case during July of 1983.

That is the end of my Report, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT: In accondanoe with the provisions of
Standing Order 67(4) the House is dsemed to have agreed to the motion. Report of the Business Conmittee.

REPORT OF THE BUSINESS COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 15th FEBRUARY, 1984 -
LAID ON THE TABLE

[^2]MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): consideration at the end of today's Order Paper, the effect of which, if adopted, would be to implement the principal proposal made in the Business Committee's Report in respect to the present session, with the single exception that - well, the Business Committee suggested that broadcast should include, and I quote "any other matters of looal interest that has not been covered in the Government: Motion, because it was thought best that the House should be specific about preoisely what it wanted to have broadcast".

Having said that, may I ask whether anybody wish to speak on the motion. If not I will put the question that the motion be adopted.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE REPORT WAS ADOPTED.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Questions.
HON. D.H. FOSTER: Mr, President, I move the suspension of Standing Order 23(7) to enable questinns to be asked after 11 o'olook, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ The motion befope the House is that Standing Order 23(7) ghould be suspended to enabie questions to be asked after 11:00 a.m. Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 23(7) WAS SUSPENDED.
MR. PRESIDENT:
In that case, questions. Question No. 1-
the Member for North Side.

## QUESTIONS

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 1 Will the Member state what plans there are for prisoners to work on the roads or Government properties?

## ANSWER:

A plan for prisoners to work on the roads and on Government properties was implemented on the 6th October, 1983.
The progranme has continued ever since.

## SUPPLEMENTARY:

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS; . A supplementary, Mr. Fresident. Could the Member say at this time how many prisoners are in the Northward Prison?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I did not quite hear. How many prisoners .....
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: are now in the Northward Frison.
MR. PRESIDENT:
How many prisoners they are?
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Yes, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I do not truly think that is reatly a supplementary. It is a perfect to fair question, but the Member must put it down as a substantive question, because really it is not a supplementary to this.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Alright, I witl abide sir by your ruling. Witl the Member then say why or what is the problem, why they are not more prisoners working on the roxd, because I do not know that I have seen any, if I saw any I did not recognised that they were prisoners?.

## -17-

MR. PRESIDENT: I do not realty think even that is a supplementary, because you say, why they are not more but you have not. yet asked how many they are or been told how many they are: If you want to know how 'many have worked on the road, then I think you must put down a substantive question about that whioh would be perfectiy acceptable, or I would be prepared to allow you to ask how many have worked on the road so far during the period, but just to state that why more have not without knowing how many seems to me to be inappropriate. If you would like to ask how many have worked on the road, that would be in order.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
I accept that, Mr. President.
HON: D.H. FOSTER:
It would appear that they have been working. Sir, sometimes the gangs comprise of four prisoners, thres, seven, six and five, they never make a gang too very big because there is onty one warden only that can go with the gang, and iff you make the gang too big one warden could not control.

There are other constraints sometimes that make it impossible for gangs to be working. Many daye wardens have to take people to Court and stay there, sometimes to the Hospital and various other things come up that they cannot apare the staff to supervise gangs at different places or more than one gong, and somtimes not even one gang, but efforts are continuing to be made to work the people on the roads as much as possible and as much as staff will permit it, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: U.. Unless there is any further supplementary question $I$ will invite the Member to ask the next question.

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO.2. What is the daily maintenance oost per prisoner sent from these Istands to Jomaica?

## ANSWER:

The current agreed rate per day is JA\$7.26.
SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, a oupplementary. Could I ask the Member if this is less ox more as the value of the Cayman dotlar?

MR. PRESIDENT: $\because \quad I$ am not aure I understand - lese or more
than the value of the Cayman doltax?
MR. ORADDOCK EBANKS: Jamaica dollar then. What is the
paxity between the Cayman doltar and the Jamaican doltar.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\because \quad$ Oh, I see.
MON. D.H. FOSTER: President, as far as I know, sir, I
think the exahange is somewhere around three Jamaican dollars to one
US, so it would be a little over three JA doltars to one CI.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: A supplementary, Mr. President. Is the
cost to the Govermment of maintaining prisoners in Jamaica less than
what it is costing us to maintain them in the Northward Prison here?

HON. D.H. FOSTER:
Tast ar. President, at this exchange on this last agreed price, right off-hand, I would say, yes.

MR. PRESIDENT: UnLess any Member has a further supplementary, I will invite the Member to ask his nest question. Question Number 3. I am sorry, her question.

T'HE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCTL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO.3. . Witl the Member state -
(a) How many girls are there in the Frances Bodden Girts's Home: and
(b) Have any girls been sent to approved schools in Jamaica since the opening of the Home?

## ANSWER:

(a) There are at present four (4) girts in the Frances Bodden Girls' Home.
(b) No girls have been sent to approved schools in Jamaica since the opening of the Home.

## SUPFLEMENTARIES:

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:
Mr. President, sir, with your permission $\bar{I}$ should like to ask a supplementary question. Have any girls been sent from the Cayman Istands to Jamaica approved sohools?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that has been answered, has not it? It does not beem to be any different to part (b) of the question you have already asked.

MISS ANNTE H. BODDEN: Well, it is actually no difference but they have to be quite different from what $I$ heard.

MR. CRADDOCK E'BANKS: A supplementary, Mr. President. Would the Member say-where these girls are continuing their schooling? Is it at the Home or one of the other schools?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I am not sure that is strictly a supplementary, because it does not really arise out of the answer and the Member might well not have the information available. If he wants to answer it, I am happy for him to do so, but I do not truly think it is a proper supplementary.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Preaident, .....
MR. PRESTDENT:
Just a moment, the Member wants to answer
$\bar{I}$ think.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, they continue in their normā̃ echoola.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, with your permission, $a$ supplementary, Are there any girle from the Cayman Is lands presently at approved schools in Jamaica? In other words, girls whose order might have been given before the establishment, whether they have been retumed home or whether they are none in Jamaica?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I would think that under Standing Order 23(2) perhaps you would find that that is not relevant. It seems not to be relevant to either of the two part questions that has been put before.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think it is probably true that the question does not arise straight out of the answers given, and that technically if a Member wanta to get that particular information a specific question should be asked. If the Nember answering wants to give the information now rather than wait for another question to be put down I will be quite happy for him to give it, but $I$ think it is quite true that it is not really a proper supplementary.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:
Mr, President, Sir, with your permission I ahould like to ask if any have been returned to Jomaica who were there before the invention of the Frances Bodden's Home?

NR. PRESTDENT:
Do you mean, have any who were in Janaica and who came here on a visit have ever been sent back?

MISS ANWIE H. BODDEN: . Have they been sent back, please?
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, if the Lady Member will tell me who has been returned after the meeting I would endeavour to get that information, but $I$ do not think it is a supplementary, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: Well, I think that one is more like a supplementary, because the second part of the question was "Have any girls been sent to approved schools in Jamaica since the opening of the Home", and some girls could have been sent for the first time other girls could have been cent returned. Do you follow me? If you have only available to you information for about girls who were gent for the first time perhaps you would like to wndertake to provide the Member in writing with the information about any who have been retumed.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
MR. ERESIDENT:
I would undertake that, Sir.
so the Member or both Members, beause both Mmbers perhops were Members may be, coult be circulated with information on whether any girts have been returned to approved schools in Jamaiaa after visits here after since the opening of the Home.

Unless there is any further supplementary I will invite the Seoond Elceted Member for West Bay to answer the next question.

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH, EDUCATION: AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO.4. What programme is set to assist youths and others to obtain work, etc., at the end of their time in probation, correction Homes or Prisons?
ANSWER:
The Department has endeavoured since its inception to assist in the integration of prisoners as much as possible through funde voted fom prisoner rehabilitation. Efforts are made to provide clothing, glasses, food and job assistance. Additionalty,
an officer visits the Pxison each week and discusses any problems or issues in the home environment which may be brought on due to the individual being in Prison.
With respect to ahitdren on probation or in caring homes, all of these individuals are within school age thus our efforts are geared to keep them in school as welt as work with their families and relatives to improve their living and social conditions.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Uniless any Member wishes to ask a supplementary question, I witl invite the Member for North Side to ask the next question.

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORT'H SIDE TO ASK THE HONOUARBLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO.5. Will the Member state the amount, if any, of fees due to the Government Hospital for the year 1983?

## ANSWER:

One hundred and eighty thousand, eight hundred and sixteen
doltars and eight cente. (CI $\$ 180,816.08)$
SUPPLEMENTARIES:
CAPT. C.L. KIRKCONNELL: A supplementary, Mr. President. Does the \$180,816.08 represents an increase or a deerease in the anount of fees due to the Govermment Hospital over 1982.

MR. PRESIDENT: I am bound to admit that I do not know that the Member will necessarily have the necessary information to answer that, if he does and wishes to answer he may, but otherwise perhaps again he might care to undertake to provide the information in writing tater.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, with the supplementaries that I have been getting today, I think we need an extension of the computer terminal into the Legislative Assembly.
$I$ would undertake to provide it to the
Honourable Member in due course.
MR. PRESIDENT: I I think that is fair.
CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, a supplementary. Would the Member state if the sum quoted includes fees due at the Faith Hospital in Cayman Brac?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, a supplementary. Could the Member say then if efforts have been made for collection of these outatanding fees?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, Mr. Fresident, efforts are being made at the request of aiz Members of the Legislature either formatty or informally. I have been instructed to preas to get these fees, and unless there is any change of mind we are moving towards that and we hope to collect such of those fees as are redsonably collectable, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If there is no further supplementary
question I will invite the Firet Blected Member for the Lesser Islands to ask the next question.

FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD
ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR TOURISM, AVIATION AND TRADE
NO.6. What was the sale price of the AVRO 74B?
ANSWER:
The aale price of the AVRO 748 owned by Cayman Aimwas Limited was US\$580,000.00.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
CAPT. C. L. KIRKCONNELL: A supplementary, Mr. President. Did the sate price inctuded the spare parts?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: No, there were separate.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: A supplementary, Mr. President. Could the Member say what might be the estimated value of the spare parts?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: After depreaiation, approximately \$300,000.00.
CAPT C. L. KIRKCONNELL: A supplementary, Mr. President. Wity the Member state the net Zoss suffered by the company as a result of this sale?

MR. PRESIDENT: If the Member wants to he can ${ }^{\prime} . . . .$.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: After depreaiation of the plane, probably somewhere in the nerghbourhood of between $\$ 60$ and $\$ 70$ thousand US, that is after the use of it for two years approximately.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I think perhaps it might be helpfut to Members generally if I did indicate that if Members are really seeking particular information they should frome their questions in such a way as to get it, in other words, for instance, if the Member who asked the last question was really interested in knowing the lose it would have been best to ask that in the first place because the Member answering might well not have had that information, and a supplementary really does not strietly arise. If you ask for the sale price of the plane, it is not quite a suppliementary to. say how much loss was there?

If there is no further supplementary, I will
invite the Third Elected Member for Goerge Town to ask the next question:
THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWV TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOFMENT

## NO.7. Is any amount of travel tax outstanding at the end of January,

 1984 and if so what is the figure and by whom is this owed?
## ANSWER:

On the 21st dune, 1983, my answer to a similar question was "No". Mr. President, it is still No.

As a result of a supplementary from the Thrid Eleoted Member from the district of West Bay I elaborated to say that there was no outetanding travel tax at that moment and that the system of travel tax for airtine purposes is that the Treasury issued ticket books to various airlines to oollect travel tax for the Treasury Department, and on the 1st June, 1983, I instituted a system where an officer from the Treasury attends the Airport and colleats the travel tax on a daily basis, therefore, there is no outstanding travel tax at this moment as well.

MR. PRESIDENT: a supplementary question't will invite the Eirst Elected Member for West Bay to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 8. What was the cost of the three inveatigations carmied out during 1983?
ADSWER:
The full cost of the three investigations is not yet known. Bills paid as of the 31st December, 1983, was as follows:-
(1) Scotland Yard Detectives - CI\$15,093.73
(2) Inspection Team

- CI\$12,739.97
(3) Sir Geoffrey Briggs -CI\$ 1,286.68

MR. FRESIDENT: Unless there is any supplementary, I will invite the First Elected Nember for West Bay to ask the next question.

THE FIRST GLECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO.9. Did any of these Reports prove that any Civil Servants were cormupt?

## ANSWER:

The Reports did not prove that there was any evidence whatsoever to aubstantiate allegations that any Government Member, Civil Servant or Police Officer was corrupt.

Such allegations were unfounded.
SUPPLEMENTARIES:
$\frac{\text { MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: A supplementary, Mr. President. I preswne }}{\text { question number } 9 \text { refers to the three reports questioned in question number } 3 .}$
MR. PRESIDENT: I had assumed that ....
HON. D.H. FOSTER: That is what I presume too, Sir.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, if that be correct then, Sir, could the Member state under what terms of reference were these investigations suppose to reveal corruption within the Civil Service?
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { supplementary. }} \quad$ I do not really think that that is a
HON. D.H. FOSTER; Nr. President, the Member knows the terms of reference just as wett as me, Sir, he who helped make them.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: The question pre-supposes, Mr. President, that the terms of reference would prove or disprove corruption. And the question that I am asking is whether Sir Geoffrey Briggs, the Sootland Yard Investigation or the Brownlow Inspection were designed to pin point corruption within the service or of the branches at the service?

MR. PRESIDENT:
In my view the question may imply - (that $i_{\text {a }}$ question number 9 , not the supplementary) - may have implied that the investigations might have revealed corruption, but it does not say so. And I think if the Member wants by supplementary - wants to ask whether any of the investigations were intended to enquixe into corruptions in the Civil Service, then he should put down a substantive question on the point.

We are, as $I$ was saying earlier, straying in supplementaries rather far from the answers given.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I bow to your miling, Mr. President, but the question on the answer I think lend itself to the supplementary whteh I have asked.

MR. PRESIDENT: I can aee how you think it lends itself, but I do not think really the aupplementary drises directly out of the answer given, and as I have said, I think really a substantive question should be put doun. It could quite probably. be put down and can be taken on another day.

MR. GARSTON J. SMITH: Nr. President, with your permission $I$ would like to ask another supplementary. Could the Member say who prompted these investigations?

MR. PRESIDENT: I do not think that arises really from question number 9, it could conceivably have arisen as a supplementary from the answer to question number 8 but you missed out, you are too late to ask this as a supplementary to question number 8.

MR. GARSTON J. SMITH:
I. bow to your ruling, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Is there any other supplementary any Member would wish to ask? In that case, that is the end of questions, Item number 4 is Govermment Business.

Unless Members indicate to me otherwise, I will assume that perhaps they would like to continue sitting for another quarter of an hour or twenty minutes, so we make a start on Bills. I quess we could probably get a little bit of a way.

Item 4 - Government Business, Bills.

THE PUBLIC HOLIDAYS (A'MENDMENT) BILL, 1984
FIRST READING
CLERK: The Public Holidays (Amendment) Bitl, 1984.

```
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Public Holidays (Amendment) Bill, 1984
```

is deemed to have been read a First Time and is set down for Second Reading.
SECOND READING
CLERK: The Public Holidays (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
HON. D.H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I move the Second Reading of a Bill for a Law to Amend the Public Holidays Law Revised.

Mr. President, this short Bill beeks to provide that the date upon which a Poll is helt in respect to a General Election within the Islands shall be a Fublic Holiday.

Mr. President, when the committee was deliberating on Amendments to the Elections Law they deaided and recommended

HON. D.H. FOSTER (CONTINUING): that the day on which a Pott is being held should be a Fublic Holiday to enable working people and others to oome out and vote. As a result of their reconmendation the Bitl is now before the House, and I commend it to the House, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: The motion before the House $i s_{\text {, }}$ that a Bili entitled "The Pubitic Hotidays (Amendment) Biti, 1984" be given a Second Reading. Does any. Honourable Member wish to speak?

CAPT. C.L. KIRKCONNELL: Nr. President, when a low to amend the public holidays was discussed in the committee I was one of the Members who did not agree with it then and I do not agree with it now, Sir. I aaid then and $I$ will repeats, many members of the public have indicated that there were too many hotidays now. I also think, Mr. President, that we are going to lose too many man hours in Govermment and in other businesses. I think the financial loss will be too great for the country, and I do not see anything to be gained because employors are forced by Law to give their employees time to $g 0$ to the Folls. I believe that instead of people going to the Polls as we had hoped or we still hope this holiday would cause, I believe, Six, we will fird that people will take it for a holiday and go off to the beaches on somewhere else and forget the Polls.

I do not agree with it, Sirs and I witl
not support this Bill.
MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Bizz.

Mr. President, Sir, I rise to aupport this
The last election in 1980 the old Town Hall over next door, there were crowds of people in that plane who never had the opportunity to vote, because when 6 o'clook oame the voting time was over and that was it. Now I feet, Sir, that we give holidays for far less important things than this and I certainly do hope that a holiday be given.

I have heard people say that they are so disgusted with our behaviour they might not even come out to vote, and if we put it so that they will not have an opportunity that will make matters still worse. I support it, Sir, and I feel that we should encourage people at this crucial time to come out and oast their votes. About costing money, anything that is any good cost money, anything that is cheap is no good. Thank you, sir.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I too was one who did not agree with the date or the day on whioh Poll is held for a general election should be a public holiday.

I am well aware of the problem which the
last speaker spoke, where at the last general election a number of people both in George. Town and West Bay were unable to cast their vote because of the targe number of peopte at the end of the day. However, stepa were taken within the Law to make other comendments which should prevent the recurrenoe of such events. For exanple, provision has been made that in George Town and West Bay up to three polling stations will be in operation on that day, and therefore no undue time should be lost in aasting the vote.

As has been pointed out amployers are bound by Law to give their employees time off to vote, and I do not see where any hardship could acarue to voters as a result of not having a holiday. On the contrary, Mr. Freeident, at eleotion time emotions are highly aharged, and I believe that any thing or any effort or any act which would tend to create aro do or encourage the gathering of arowds might lend itself to unpleasant occurences.

Now I am well aware of the fact that the crowds cannot take place within 100 yards or whatever of the Polting Station, but the people nevertheless congregate within the legal limits of the

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): Polting Station. And as I said, with the other amariamente which were made in the Law to provide for a larger. number of Polling Stations within the distmiats, other auggestions to help with the speed up of the processing at the Polling Stations whicl are contained in the new Law, I feet that it is a bit of extravagance to require that that day be a public holiday.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, the amendment before us will provide, if passed, for a public hotiday once every four years or once every general slection: if that should be shorter, it cannot be longer because of the constraints in the Constitution.

The arguments against not having a holiday are very feeble indeed. I cannot"accept that business will suffer because. of the man hours lost. If this hotiday ocours only once every four years, and if the normal working time is eight houre per day it will mean that the businesses will auffer a two hour lose per year, which if divided into three hundied and sixty-five days will amount to a few seconds or a few minutes per day, so this is not an argument for not having a holiday.

One other suggestion was made that because the new Eleations Law makee provision for the establishment and the use of more Polling Stations in eaoh distriat than has existed in the past,s. that you would have no long queues with people waiting to vote. What the Members have over-looked is that if you were to establish ten times as many Polling Stations you could not help the condition that now exist, where people' have to move from one district to the other to vote on election day. Fox example, many of our daily workers in the Public Works Department come from East End and start work at seven o'clock in the morning, this is the time the Poll would open. These men have to teave East End six-thirty or might even be earlier in the morning before the Polls have opened, on the other hand in the aftermoon they would bansly arrive home in time to catch the Polls open. So even if you had twenty Polling Stations in East End you could not avoid having a queue from workers who would line up at seven o'clock in the morning to vote before going to work. So if one looks at the impracticality of voting on a working day when one's job takes him into another district other than his own electoral district one can see that it would help the election process on eleotion day if it were a public holiday. And I think even if every person was fortunate enough to be able to work in his oum electoral distmict the use of a public holiday would hetp to impress upon the public the great importianae of the event which is perhaps the most important event in the history of "any country, and one that should not be taken lightly. We have probably about eight holidays each year and some of them are important some of them axe not. We have a holiday in May, nobody knows why we have it'; this holiday use to be Queen Victoria's Birthday, at one time it was called Empire Day, then it was called Commonwealth Day, then it was called Armour Day and Tree Planting Day, and since alt of this has long passed into history it is now called Discovery Day, because Christopher Columbus is suppose to have discovered Cayman sometime in May. Nobody objects to such a holiday being kept, and in fact I will ady that Legistators in the past and perhaps the Civil Service and the business commity must have att gone out of their way and worked very hard to preaerve this day in May as a publio holiday; because we keep it and we have no reason for keeping it.

On the other hand election day is an event which affects for good or evit the tives of all the individuals in the Istands. It has been a long eatablished precedent from the beginning. of the hiatory of mankind to have public holidays on speoial days. At the. creation of the world the sabbath was set aside, it was the feast of the passover and I oould go on and list hundreds of holidays, the Chinese's. New year and all sort of things I am hearing about now.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN(CONTIMUING): So it is an established custom, and the reason for it is that a day is set aside as in some instances, as a Hoty Day, as a Sacred Day or as an honoured day to mark the special event. It is not intended that the holiday will be given for by-elections if by-elactions should occur during the four year interval from one general election to another. So this is a holiday that will occur once every four years, and it is a hotiday which I think will enable people to come out and vote without being under any duress or strain.

It is true that the Elections Low says that the employer must allow the employee such time as is necessary to cast his vote, and that no one should be prevented from voting because of his particular occupation. However, the establishment of a holiday for general elections should give a new meaning to the importance of a general election. It is my understanding that there are some countries that do not have a public holiday, ( I do not know the statistics on this), there are some cowntries that do not have public holidays for the general elections. but I think here we are different. And I betieve that if the public elects another general election as they seemed to have done over all the years in the past in the Cayman Islands the right people bueiness will not suffer because they lose two hours per year.

MR. DALMATN D. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I cast my vote against this amendment in the committee meeting, and I still have to do it now.

The reasons for it is that amendments are made for additional Polling Stations in the larger districts, and I think that is adequate enough to hetp the people get their votes in. With more Polling Stations the poople would be able to get in to vote without the uswil crowda and return to work, and it woutd not be necessary to have a holiday. The Law states that the employer has to give the employees time off from work I feel still that that is sufficient time.

MR. GARSTON J. SMITH: Mr. EYesident, I have no probleme at all, Six, in supporting the amendment before us.

As it has been rightly said by previous speakers, the employer has a responsibility to their electorate on to their employees and $I$ find it Sir, that as recent as the last election I have been told that people was deprived of voting because theiremployer: would not give them time off. What I am saying, Sir, is that I think the peopte needs to be given a privilege where they can come and cast their vote in satisfaction and not fearing that when they get back to their job they find out that they have not job at all. Many times, as the Fourth Eleated Member for Executive Council stated, these people have to travel. from district to district and many times they do not have their oun traneportation, and again, Mr. President, this creates a hardship on them getting to wherever Polling Stations they need to get to.

Mr. President, I support this amendment
before us. I thank you.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, it is not the hand that eigns the Laws that holds the destiny of the Cayman Islands it is the hand that cast the ballot. That is adapted from a quotation of the former Fresident of the United States, Harry S. Truman.

The most important and integral might within any democracy is the right to vote. It goes beyond money, consideration. for employment and other matters, it is exercised once in every four years with the exception of a by-election. Therefore I would hope that Members of this House would discard the argument that there should be no holiday on the basis of a loss of monay through the loss of one fay'a employment.

In any event, Mr. President, there is the argument put forward by the Fourth Elected Member that persons in other districts will need to travel to their own slectoral district and they

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN(CONTINUING):should be given thie right. I acoept that Section 57 of the Elections Law does provide that employers should give time to employees, but on a balance of probabilities I beleive that they will be some who will not uphold that Lav and who will never be found out. I think that it is better that one employer or several employers should lose some monitory gain on that day than to have ona person in this country who is entitled to vote to be deprived of that not of his own free will.

The argument therefore, Mr. President, seems to me to be one of what is the most important to this House and we must remember that we guarantee the freedom to vote and the freedoms generally. of this country, and I would ask that when oonsidering that you would find that the giving of a holiday once in four years is a very small gift to the people who have put us in this House.
$I$ supported the motion there, I am bound by colleotive responsibility and I support it here, and I would ask Members here to see it in the light of what is move important between the two arguments that have been put up here today and ask that they vote for it.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I really did not intend to have to speak on this, but over the period of time many millions of people have given their life for the privilege to be able to vote democrationtl: and if we are not doing anything else but upholding that tradition and giving it to our people then I think it io worth the little bit of maney that some people may lose in order to give our people that opportunity.

Now may be it might be a better ided if we had two holidays, the day before as wetl as the day of election, because with the number of candidates that I am hearing about for thie year it may. take a day before for tham to sort out their minds which one to vote for the next day.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, I had tike the previoue speaker not intended to speak to this debate, I had intended to make a point of information during the speech of the Honourable the Third Elected Member for West Bay, but such is the lucidity and brevity of his speach that he had sat doum before I had got chapter and verse together.

I would just like to point out to the House in case it feels misled unintentionally, that under the Electione Low, 1983 that was passed by this Honourable House last year, it is not that there may be up to three Folling Stations in West Bay and in George Town. The provision is contained in Section 22 sub-section (1) of that Law which says, "that there shall be such number of Polling Stations as the Supervisor of Elections shatl determine, provided that there shall not be less than three Folling Stations in the First and Second Electoral District and not lees than two Polling Stations in the Third and Fourth Electoral Districts.

I am sure that my Honourable Third Elected Member
would be grateful to have his mind drawn to that, I would hate to think. that on polling day with the hundreds of friends that he has that he would not have Polling Agents at all the Stations. Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless any other Honourable Member wishes to speak I witl put the question. The question then is that a Bill entitied "The Public Holidays (Amendment) Bill, 1984" be given a Second Reading.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MR. PRESIDEAT:
I would suggest perhaps now it would be a convenvent time to break, and I witt therefore suspend proceedings: I suggest untit half past two, if it is convenient for Members.

## HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:30 P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT: Proceedings are resumed. Bills. HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1084 (Mr. Preoident, Eir, as the first item is Bitls that has not yet dealt with - is the Oaths (Amendment) Bill, 1984. May I in accordance with Standing Order 58, as the Member in charge of the Bill shortly entitled "The Oathe (Amendment) Bill, 1984", move for its withdrawal before the cormencement of Government Business in accordance with that section for which no notice is necessary for motions under the provisions of Standing Order 24 sub-order ( 9 ) paragraph III. I would propose aftex you to give a short explanatory statement.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion certainly may be admitted.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Sir, the motion that I have put to the House under Standing Order 58 is as I have stated, for the withdrawal of a Bill set down for First, Second and subsequent stages today.

The situation is that endecvouring as we aluays do to give Members adequate notioe of legislation, that this bill was drafted onoe it was determined that Her Majesty in Council would be requested to make a change to the Cayman Islands Constitution by providing for our own Court of Appeal. At that time it was thought that the amending clauses in the proposed order in Council woutd not make any reference to the oaths that such Judges of Appeal would take. Acoordingty, this small Bill was drafted and was published as early as last year, aince then the form of the Constitution Amendment Order in Councit, (which I understand is due for assent by Her Majesty this present months if it has not aiready been donel - has included in it provisions which make the present Bill superfluous. Accordingly, this is the reason why I seek leave to withdrow in accordance with this motion.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion is that The Oathe (Amendment) Bitl, 1984 be now withdraw under the provisions of Standing Order 58. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to this motion? If not, I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE OATHS (AMENDMENY) BILL, 1984 WAS WITHDRAWN.

$$
\frac{\text { THE COMPANIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, } 1984}{\text { FIRST READING }}
$$

CLERK: The Companies (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT: A Bill entitled "The Companies (Amendment)
Bili, 1984 " is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down
for seeond reading.

SECOND READING
CLERK: The Companies (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
$\frac{\text { HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move the }}{\text { Second Reading of a Bitt shortly entitled "The } . . . . . . . .}$
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I thought perhaps you had agreed between yourselves that you were taking it for the other Member.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Perhaps with my Honourable colleague's permission, the misunderstanding rose because I was thinking of a Bituation, Mr. President, Sir, which has arisen.

The three Bills still on the Order Paper have been given timeousty to Members in accordance with Standing Orders. The Gasette which was due for publication on Monday tast containing these Bills, I have not had sight of yet. I contacted the Printers and was assured that it had been published and copies were being sent to the House for distribution to Members, they have not been received, I was wondering perhaps whether in respect of these three Bills whether it be the wish of the House to presume that it has been publiahed even without aight of it, on whether they would wish either to adjourn the matters to Tuesday or to ask for safety sake for a Standing Order to be suspended in respect of gasetting. I am sure my colleague is in the House's hands.

MR. PRESIDENT: If we did not suspend Standing Onders and it subsequently turned out that the gazettement had not taken place before now, would it cast doubt on the propriety of the action the House took and therefore on the validity of the Bill? In that aase I think perhaps subject to the views of Members it would be unwise to assume that the Gazette has been published, and sensible if Members are oontent to proceed today with the matter - sensibte to suspend Standing Orders. Perhaps I can invite the Member to move a motion about the suspension of Standing Orders and Members will then have an opportunity to express their views one way or the other as to whether they favour suspension.

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Fresident, I move that Standing Order $4 \overline{6(1)}$ and (2) be suspended in accordance with Standing Order 82.

MR. PRESIDENT: For the purpose of enabling the remaining three Bills on the Order Paper to be read, I think.

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON: Yes, Mr. Ppesident.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that Standing
Orders .....
46, Mr. President.
HON. T. C. JEFBERSON:
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think it is 46, yes. Standing Order 46 (1) and (2) should be suspended in acoordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82 to enable The Companies (Amendment) Bill, 1984, The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984 and The Partnership (Amendment) Bill, 1984 to be read a First and Second time.

Doea any Honourable Member wish to speak? If
not $I$ will put the question.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, my view is sir, that may be these Bills ought to be hetd until it is established that they have been. gazetted. I think we are getting in the habit of suspending Standing Onders far too often to accommodate this type of thing, and the Bills are not lengthy and I do not think would impose very much of the time on Tuesday. I frankly. Sir, do not like dealing with typewritten copies of Bills before the House, I prefer to get the green copy as gazetted.

[^3]MR. PRESIDENT:
clerk may be able to hetp us.
HON. D.H. FOSTER: Mr. President, while ane is ininking about that there, Sir, getting her papers together, I think the Second Official Member said that the Printer told him it had been gasatted and the Gazette had been published, it is just a matter that the green copies have not been sent down here as yet.

MR. PRESIDENT: Yes, but I think he was not quite certain whether the Printer was accurate. I think that was the Member's point.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
I felt it was my duty, sir, to bring the matter to the attention of the House on the doubting Thomas principal that until I had actually received a oopy into my hand - which I am about to do now, Sir, if those are what $I$ suapect they are.

MR. PRESIDENT: I understand that they are in fact here now, ao we can diatribute them now.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, it seems to me like the question is as to whether we suspend the Standing Onder is still open and I alaim my right to make a few comments as other Members have debated $i t$.

I would just like to say that it seems to me that some Members regard the suspension of a Standing Order as some oriminal act, and I cannot agree to that view because I noticed this very morning we suspended the Standing Orders to allow question time to continue after eleven o'clock and no Member objected to that, because perhaps it suited a particular purpose to have questions asked since this: is a short asssion, have them asked on every day.

The Standing Orders have explicit provisions for suspension of standing Orders, and once the maioxity of the House agrees it is perfectly valid, and I maintain the view that any time the majority of the Members want the standing Onders suspended they should be suspended because there is a Standing Order here that makes specific provision for it, and I do not feel guilty any time we have to suspend the Standing Orders. Parliament has a right to regulate its own proceedings, and if it is good in the early morning session to suspend Standing Orders to allow question time when we would have had no question time, then it must be right in the afternoon to allow suspension of Standing Orders.

Finally, I would like to know, Sir, if the House could be given information as to when the Bills were sent out to be gasetited and as to when they were returned.

CAPT. C.L. KIRKCONNELL:
Mr. President, I do not wish to prolong the proceedings here, Sir, but I dannot see how the previous speaker could talk about a oriminal aat to suspend Standing Orders, I do not think that is what came into this at all. I think what the Third Elected Member for West Bay was saying, too often have we been suspending the Standing Ordere of this House to pass Bills and it has come to the attention of many a persons outside of our LegisLative Assembly Building, and it is not what we think or feel but it is Parliamentary Procedure that we are talking about here. I know that Standing Orders permit it, but every time we come to this House the Standing Orders have to be used, and what we are saying is that we feel that the green Bilile should be received, gazetted and properly oirculated before they are being introduced into this Houee: I thank you, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I have not got the green copies yet; because I have not been brought one, but have other Membere got the green copies of all three Bills? May I please have copies.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): If I have understood the Standing Orders correctly no suspension will now be necessary. I think that Members had copies of the Bills seven days ago, we now know they have been gazetted and therefore suspension becomes un-necessary. I do not know whether under the circumstances the Member who moved the motion for the euspension of Standing Orders would wish to withdrow the motion.

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, as there is no need now to suspend Standing Orders I therefore withdrat the motion.

MR. PRESIDEXT: I take it I am right, there is no need to suspend Standing Orders.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Under the Interpretation Law, Mr. Fresident, when a Gazette is published it is deemed to be published on the midnight imnediately proceeding, so therefore this is now been published fifteen hours under the Interpretation Law.

MR. PRESIDENT: Yes, but under Standing Orders it simply has to be gazetted before we read it, Members have to have copies a week ahead but if they have got typescript copies that is good enough I think. So the Member ........

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, since the question of the none-gazetting of these Lows at an early date is an issue' $I$ would be grateful if my question in relation to when these Bills were received by the Legislative Assembly and when they were passed on to the Printers of the Gazette could be answered, because there is an imputation that the fault for this lies on the Executive Councit Members, and I would like, Sir, if you could give us those dates please.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I witl certainly ask the Clerk to ascertain ali the dates in question and to circulate to Members. I have got some of the dates but I have not as I understond it got them all yet. I think what we need - my understanding is that most, perhaps all of the Bills were sent to the Printers on the 15th of February. I am not absoultely certain that they all went the same day, and I do not know at all when they came back, although clearly they are back by now, so I will ask the clerk just to do a brief note which could be circulated to all Members giving the dates.

Meanwhile the Member concerned has asked to withdraw hie motion, and subject to the wishes of the House I will grant leave for him to do so. Unless any Member wishes to speak further I will invite the Member who was going to move the Second Reading of the Bill to do so now.

HON T.C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. President, I move the Second Reading of a Bill eintitled A Bill for a Law to omend the Companies (Amendment) Law, 1983 (Law 34 of 1983).

The reason for the Bill, Mr. President, is to make it abundantly clear that the Amendment of 1983 dealing with the prohibition of tand holding in respect of a company which has power to iseue bearer shares, this amendment relates to the holding of land within the Cayman Ielands onty. This is the basic reason for this amendment, Mr. President, and I do not think that there is a great need for elaboration as we dealt with the amendment a short time ago.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that a Bilt
entitted "The Companies (Amendment) Biil, 1984" be given a Second Reading. The motion is open for debate.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): If no honourable Member wishes to speak. I shall put the question. The question then is that a Bill entitled "I'he Companies (Anendment) Bil2, 1984" be given a Second Reading.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
THE PENAL CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
FIRST READING
CLERK: The Penat Code (Amendment) Bilt, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bill entitled "The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984" is deemed to have been read a First time and is set down for Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Nr. President, Sir, I beg to move that a Biti shortly entitted "The Penal Code (Amendmint) Bitl, 1984" be read a Second time.

Mr. President, Sir, during 1983 there came to light a number of matters which need to be dealt with by various unconnected amendments to the Penat Code. The first of these is, that whereas in the United Kingdom and other places there are comprehensive provisions in the Law regarding how, when and to what extent a suepended sentence takes effect and the event of $a$ subsequent conviction, in the Cayman Istands, there are none.

In fact, Mr. President, Sir, when the Penal Code was promulgated by this Honourable House in 1975, Section 23 of that Code which provided for sentenoes of imprisonment made no provision then for the power to give suspended sentences. This was introduced in a very short form in 1979 by the Penat Code (Amendment) Law (Law 19 of 1979) which added to Section 23 of the Penal Code a fourth sub-section which saidithat a Court whioh passes a sentence of imprisowment for a term not exaeeding two years may order that the sentence shall not take effect tonless within a period of two years from the date of sentence if the offender commits in the Cayman Islands another offence punishable with imprisonment".

What that short amendment and addition did not do, Mr. Fresident; Sir, was to opell out in any detail what the procedure should be when another offenoe is conmitted within that time what Court ghould deal with the sentenoe which uxa previously suspended and what the powers of the Court were to deal with it.

Clause 2 of the Bill presently before this House proposes to add to the Penal Code three new substantive sections, Section 23A, 23B and 23C which will deal with the powers of the Courta to deat with suspended sentences, the Courts by which a suspended sentence may be dealt. with and the procedure where a suspended sentence is not dealt with. These three sections have been drafted in conexitation with the Juiticiai Department and the Judicial Department are in agreement with the provisions contained therein and are in agreement that it is desirabie to have such extensive spelling out provisions in our Lats.

The second matter with which this Bill before the Legislative Assembly deals relates to the provision in Clause 3, which seeks to amend Section 138 of the Penal Code dealing with the offence of bigamy. Again, Mr. President, Sir, the histoxy of this matter is that before the Penal Code was brought into foree. in 1975 the taw relating to bigomy was contained in The Offences Against the Person Law (Cap. 755) which was repealed by the Penal Code. And Cap. 155, The Offences Againet the Person Law, at Section 56 it provided for the offence of bigamy

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY(CONTINUING): and speoifically said, "whoevex being marrisd ahall marry any other person during the life of the former husband or wife whether the second marriage shall have taken place in the Islande or elsewhere, shall be guilty of felony", and then it goes on.

When a redrafted form came before this Honourable House under Section 138 of the Penal Code it simply stated, "Whosoever dishonestly or with fraudulent intent go through the ceremony of marriage knowing that he is not thexeby lawfully married is guitty of an offence and is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years.

Several years ago the question was raised as to whether a second proported marriage which took place outside of our jurisdiction, out side of the Cayman Islands could be considered as coming within the terms of the offence of bigamy as stated in Section 138 of the Penal Code by virtue of the fact that there was no explicit provision as to the ceremony of marriage taking place within the Is lands or elaewhere. In view of that doubt it is sought to make this short amendment so that if this short amendment in Clause 3 were passed Section 138 would provide for an offence to be oreated if a second marriage took plaae anywhere.

Mr. President, Sir, the third matter in the Penal Code which it is sought to amend is contained in Section 146, which deals witi. idle and disorderly persons. At present under Section 146 the maximum penalty which is imposable by way of a fine is \$20.00. This has remained unchanged for a great number of years and is in the opinion of my Chambers and of Government grossty inadequate. Clause 4 of the Bill presently before the House proposes to increase such maximum fine from \$20.00 to $\$ 500.00$.

The fourth and final omendment sought to be achieved by this Bill presently before the Assembly, is that under Seation 218 of the Penal Code where a person who commits a theft is guitty of an offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years. It is thought, Mr. President, Sir, that a distinction should be drawn in the theft offence having regard to the value of the stolen property, and Clause 5 of the Bill seeks to provide that where the value of the property stolen is six thousand doltare on less the maximum penalty imposable is five yeare and if it is over six thousand dollars the maximum penalty would be ten years.

I may say, Mr. Fresident, Sir, that this propose change is intended to come into operation contemporaneousty with a parallel position in an amendment which will aome before this House to the Criminal Frocedure Code at its next sitting, and that will provide that for the greater offence of theft to the value of more than six thousand dollars that it is trivial only by indictment in the Grand Court, but that in respect of the lesser offence where the value of the property is six thousand dotlars or less that by consent of the prosecution and of the aocused it may be tried summarily in the Magistrate's. Court, and in that case the penatty would be - (whether it is tried in the Grand Courit or the Magistrate's Court) - the maximum penalty would be five yeare.

In view of the feetings of the House with regards to the bringing of Bills at short notiae, I consider it unclesirable that the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill be brought at this time recessitating the suspension of Standing Orders. I will move a short committee stage amendment with the leave of the Chair to provide that claure 5 of this Bill, if paseed, will come into foree on such time as by proclamation may be appointed thus enabling it to come in on the some date as the parallel provision in the Criminal Procedure Code.

Mr. President, Sir, with those few short
words I beg to move this Bitl.
MR. PRESIDENT: Before any Member speaks I wonder whether
the other Members are making the point I was going to.
I duspect that either a clause has been
missed out of the Bill, and it was missed out of the typescript too in my copy. That is the Clause 4 which was to have dealt - (aocording to the

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): Memonandum of Objects and Reasons) - with idle and disordenty personst fines simply is not there, nor was it there in the typescript copy. I do not know whether it is intentionally dropped or whether we just have wrong copies.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: There appears to be a defective oopy. Moy I amend it, Sir, by saying, I will at committee stage propose two amendments. The effect of one will be to increase the maximam penalty and the second will be as previously stated.

If Members wish - there is no great urgency
about this increase in the fine for idle and disorderty persons, I am quite content to have it wait until a future occasion.

MR. PRESIDENT: My point - (I am not sure whether you have taken it) - is that the typescript copy that went round to Members a week ago does not appear to have had that clause in either, so I do not whether that Clause exists anywhere even.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, may be white the Member is looking at that he could also check the third wording in the new Section 23 A (1), where the copy says "Where an officer".

MR. PRESIDENT: Well that is perhaps something he will look at but might be dealt with at the committee stage.

MR. BEINSON O. EBANKS: . I have d feeting it is intended to be "offender".

MR. PRESTDENT: . I would suspect the same. Subject to the viewe of the mover I would myself tend to think that we should proceed on the basis of the Bill we have before, us as printed and as oirculated in typescript and simply ignore that part of the movers speech which dealt with the finding of disorderly and idie persons, in other words, we ignore or at least. we reoognise that the Memorandum of Objeots and Reasons printed in the Bill $i_{s}$ itself misteading, and that the portion of the Memorandum of objects and Reasons dealing with the fines of iale and disorderly persons should be struck out and that the portion mentioning Clause 5 and saying Clause 5 seeks to substitute a new Section 218, should in fact read "Clause 4": Is the Mover content with that?

HON. MICHAEL U. BRADLEY: $\therefore$ Mr. President, Sir, there is no urgency whatsoever about the inorease in the fine for idle and disorderty persons. I feet sure within the next year or so that we may well have another amendment coming up that would find to be necessary. I am quite happy to fall in with your suggestion.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think that may be convenient for the . House, because otherwise we shall be debating something that nobody has seen. Well, having alarified that point may 1 now invite any Honourable Member who wishes to speak.

The motion ia that the Bill entitled "The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984" be given a Second Reading, and the motion is now open for debate. If no Member wishes to speak. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.

# $-35=$ <br> THE PARTNERSHIP (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 <br> EIRST READING 

CLERK: The Partnership (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT: The Bill entitled "The Partnership (Amendment)
Bill, $1984^{\prime \prime}$ is deemed to have been read a First Time and is set down for Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: The Partnership (Amendment) Bitl, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I apologise for the delay, Mr. President, Sir, I was hastily looking at the published green copy before I spoke in this respect.

Mr. President, Sir, Members witl recatt that at the September sitting of the Legislative Assembly a new comprehensive Partnerehip Low was, after aonsideration by this House, passed. That law was deliberately phrased so that it would not come into force for a period of time and $i$ it would be brought into force by proclamation by the Govermor. It has not yet been brought into force, and the value of not bringing it into force is shown. Since the passing of that Law it has been drawn to my attention that whereas there is in the Companies Law provision for a certificate of incorporation which deems alt preliminary matters to be completed when the certificate is issued, but there is no similar proviaion contained in our newly enacted Partnership Law, 1983 as regards the issue of certificates of Limited Partnership.

I agree that similar provisions ahoutd be made in our Partnership Law, and acoordingly to remedy this gap the short amending Bill which is presently before this House seeks to provide for such certificates of registration by a small addition to Section 50 of the Partnership Low. It is intended Mr. President, Sir, that once, if and when, this amending law comes into force that Your Excellency will be asked to issue a Proclamation bringing the substantive law into force at the same time. I commend this short Bill to the House.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitled "The Fartnership (Amendment) Bill, $1984^{\prime \prime}$ be given a Second Reading. The motion is open for debate. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak I will put the question, which is that a Bill entitled "The Partnership (Amendment) Bill, 1984" be given a Second Reading.
qUESTION PUT: AGREDD. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MR. PRESIDENT: That conctudes Second Readings. It may be before we go into committee convenient perhaps to take a short break, and I will auspend proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

## HOUSE SUSPENDED <br> HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT: I think the House will go atraight into oommittee to consider the Bill entitled "The Priblic Holidays (Amendment) Bill and other Bilts.:

Please be seated.: The House is now into committee. A Bill for a Low to amend the Fublic Holidays Law Revised.

$-36=$
THE PUBLIC HOLIDAYS (AMENDMENT) LAW, 1984
COMMITTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMENDMENT OF SCHEDULE TO LAW.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL POR A LAW TO AMEND THE PUBLIC HOLIDAYS LAW (REVISED).
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE PASSED.
MR. CHATRMAN:
That ooncludea proceedinge on a Bilt entitled A Bill for a Low to Amend the Public Holidays Low. Next is a Bill for a Law to Amend the Companies (Amendment) Law.
THE COMPANIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
COMMITYEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE AND DATE OF COMMENCEMENT.
HON. MICHAEL I. BRADLEY:
Mr. Chariman, Sir, I wonder for the assistance of Members whether you would indicate that we are in committee stage on the ciroulated stencilled copies or in the green published Gamette copies.
MR. CHATRMAN:
I thought the green published Gasette copies;
$I$ think probably that is what Members prefer to use because there are changes.
HON. MICHAEL. J. BRADLEY:
Yes.
MR. CHAITMAN: And we have got as far as Clause 1 in the Bith for A Law to Amend the Companies (Amendment) Bitt. question.
If there is no debate I will put the
MP. BENSON Ö. EBANKS: Just for a matter of olarification, Mr.
Chairman. I preswme that both this or the - what we are referring to now as the Companies (Amendment) Law, 1983 and the Partnenship Law, 1983, they are both been assented to but not brought into force, and therefore to refer to them as a Law is not......
MR. CHAIRMAN: Not strictly so, no, there are different positions. The Partnership Bill was assented to but has to be brought into fonce by Proctamation as was aatd earliter this afternoon, the Companies (Amendment) Law, 1983 has not in fact been assented to. It was brought to my notice that quite serious difficuities might arise if it was assented to and brought into foroe. It does not aontain a alause stating that it shall be brought into force on a day to be settled by Proolanation, it oomes into force as soon as it is asaentad, and it was brought to my notice that problems might arise and difficulties be caused if I assented to it before todays amending Bill had been passed, so I delayed aseenting. I do not know whether that makes it ctear to you.
-37-

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: So the amendment we are proposing hern witi come in simultaneously with the bitl?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
If no other Member wishes to speak on Clause 1.I will put the question that Clause 1 do stand part of the Bizl.

QUESTION PUI: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 1 OF LAW 34 OF 1983.
QUESTION PUT: : AGREED, CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 3. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 3.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE COMPANIES (AMENDMENT) LAW, 1988.
gUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Next, A Bill for A Law to Amend the Penal

THE PENAL CODE (AMENDMENT) BTLL, 1984
COMMITTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED, CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. "NNSERTION OF NEW SECTIONS 23A, 23E AND 23C TO LAW 12
MR. CHAIRMAN: I think a coxrection was mentioned earliex, it should be "Where an offender" at the beginning of 23A (1).

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I suspect that we may still be thinking of the Civit Service, it should be "an offender" not "an officer".

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I wonder if they did this when they were doing the answer to the questions, Sir?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Subject to that one correction, if there is no further debate $I$ witl put the question that Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
OLERK: CLAUSE 3. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 138.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.

ION MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I ask for the leave of the Chair in accordance with Standing Order 52 (2) to move a committee stage of comendment of which two days notice has not been given.

May I ask, is this the amendment which has been circulated earlier today or is this another new comendment.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: It is another new amendment, Mr. Chairman.
MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad$ My understanding is that Members may feel that since there were aome sort of printing or other mechanical: error as a result of which a Clause which should have been inoluded in this Bill was omitted, that is the clause dealing with increases in the fines which may be imposed on idle and disorderty persons. My tenderstanding is that Kembere may feel it would be desirable to consider re-incuuding this, and I think therefore it would be fain to give the House an opportunity to discuse the matter, and I will allow the Motion.

EN. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Six, in accordance with Standing Order 52(2) I beg to move the following conmittee stage amendment, that the Bill be amended by the addition immediately following Clause 3 of the following new Clause:-
"Amendment of Section 146.
4. Section 146 of the Code is amended by the substitution of "five Hundred dollars" for "\$20" in the penultimate line.";
And if further amended by renumbering the present clause 4 to Clause 5. ":

If Mr. President, Sir, I could explain
a little further to Membere. In the Bill that was approved by the Govermor in Council there was a similar clause, through a typographical error the entire Clause was omitted. in the copy that was sent by my Chambers to the clerk of the House, and the responsibility for the omission is entirely that of my Chambers and no fautt lies in the Clerk's hands. Having said that, Sir, I consider that this is an amendment whiah could commend itself to the House.

Section 146 of the Penal Code deals with
iale and disorderty persons, and says:-
"Whoever -
(a) wanders abroad or placee himself in any public road for the purpose of gathering alms, or who causes any chizd so to do;
(b) being a common prostitute behaves in a disorderly or indecent manner in a public place;
(c) pretends to deal in obeah, myatism, duppy catching or witcheraft or to tell fortunes by palmistry or like superstiticus means intending to decsive or impose on people;
(d) publicly does any indecent act;
(e) in any public place oonducts himeelf in a manner tikely to cause a breach of the peace:
(f) eolicits for inmoral purposes in any public place;
(g) in any public place plays any game or pretended game of chance for money's worth; or
(h) endeavours to obtain or actualty obtains charitable aontributions of any kind unless authorised in that behalf by the Governor,
shall be deemed an idle and disorderly person and shall be liable to inprisonment for a term not exceeding three months or to a fine not exceeding $\$ 20$ or botr?.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): It is considered by me and by Members of my Chombers to prosecute, that the fine of $\$ 20.00$ is totally inadequate in the present circumstances of these Islands and the amendment is to increase the maximun penalty for those offences to $\$ 500.00$.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
One very minor point if I may? Am I right in thinking that the elosure, (and I am now referring to the copies that have just been oirculated) - the closure of the inverted commas after the words "penultimate line" is mistaken and should not appear there.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: No, Sir. There should be an extra set of opening inverted commas before the word "Amendment" as a margin note.

MR. CHATRMAN: Before the word -
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Amendment.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Oh?
HON. MICHAEL $J_{0}$ BRADLEY:
There is one set of opening quotation marks before the word "that" which finish at the ends there is a gecond set within that which constitutes the new proposed clause 4.
$\frac{\text { MR. CHATRMAN: }}{\text { or some extra. }}$ Welt, I knew there was either some missing or some extra. The question then is that --+

HON, MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
MR. CHAIRMAN:
just putting the question for debate.
The question for debate is that a new clause 4 be substituted for the previous clause 4.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I am just wondering, Mr. Chairman, if the mover is satisfied with the term of imprisonment. I am not proposing a change but I believe that the term of imprisonment and the amount of the fine should bear relationship, and it is either that it was out of step previously or it should be changed now. I am not making a proposat to change it, I just want to know what the legal mind thinks of it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I would think perhope $\$ 500$ and three months equate redsonably these days.
N. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: As a rule of thwnb, Mr. Chairman, in putting in penatty ctauses we have been equating in non-drug offences, a year's imprisonment with either a thousand dotzar fine or two thousand dotlar fine. It is more commonly, I think, been a thousand dollar fine, and on that basis if we were having the $\$ 500.00$ here, the Honourable Member is correct that it would be more appropriate to make it six monthe instead of three. The other alternative would be to reduce the fine to $\$ 250$, but I really would fear for a $\$ 500$ fine for some reason as such.

If Members wish I shall put a further amendment to the amendment increasing it to six months.

I an not proposing it, I am only if it is...
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Chaixman, I was going to say that you can leave it because onty last night I was reading a joke in a magazine about fines, and the man was fined five hundred dollars or three monthe and he asked his wife what he should do, she said "do not be a foot, do not waste the money". (LAUGHTER) But I believe you can leave the time, because anybody would pay the fine now on serve three months, there is no need to change it unless you are putting the fine higher.

# -40- <br> HON. MTCHAEL J. BRADLEY: UnZess Members feel otherntse, I om content to teave it for the present. <br> MR. CHAIRMAN: I think unless any Member is going to propose a specific amendment - and I see nobody is. If there is no further debate I will put the question that the amendment moved by the seoond Official Member should be adopted. 

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad . \quad$ will put that the next question ts that the new Clause 4, that is the Clause 4 which has been substituted by the amendment should be adopted. If there is no debate on that I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. NEW CLAUSE 4 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 4 NOW RENUMBERED CLAUSE 5. SUBSTITUTION OF SECTION 218.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman; Sir, in accomance with Standing Order 52(2) I ask leave of the Chair to move an amendment of which two days notice has not been given but which has I understand been aipoulated to Honourable Members.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Leave is granted:
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I beg to move that the newly nombered Clause 5 of the Bill be renumbered as sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 and that there be added inmediately following the newly renumbered Clause 5(1) the following sub-clause -
"(2) the provisions of this section shall come into force on such day as the Governor, by proolamation published in the Gazette, shall appoint".

Mr. Chairman, Sir, as I indicated in my second reading speech in proposing this amending Bill, the intention of this committee stage amendment is that the changes to Section 218 of the Peral Code be brought in contemporaneously. with the change that is proposed to the Criminal. Procedure Code whereby the jurisdiction in respect of large thefts would be solely that of the Grand Court and in respect of smaller thefts could, with the consent of the accused and Crown be heard in the Magistrate's Court. It is a tidying up provision so that they both will come in together.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
On a point of elarification for Members. The notion of committee stage amendment which was circulated mentioned Clause 4 of the Bill, but should in fact now be mentioning Clause 5 because we have adopted the new Clause 4, othemise the substance is exactly $\dot{\alpha} s$ has been explained by the Second Official Member.

So the proposed omendment is that Clause 5 of the Bill be amended as explained escentially by adding a new sub-clause to it. Does any Honourable Member wish to apeak to the amendment? If not I will put the question that Clause 5 be amended as proposed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 5 AMENDED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I will put the further question that Clause 5 as amended do stand part of the Bill. Does any Member wish to speak to that?

## -41-

CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE PENAL CODE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLEE PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: ABill for a Law to amend the Partnership
Law, 1983.
THE PARTNERSHIP BILL, 1984
COMMTTTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TTTLE.
QUESTION PUT: : AGREED: CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 50 OF LAW 26 OF 1983.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE PARTNERSHIF LAW, 1983:
MR. CHATRMAN: On the Titte, I think the word "Partnership"
the spelling has gone wrong, but subject to correcting it, the question is that the Title do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TTHLE PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
That concludes proceedings in comittee on a Bill entitled "A Bill for a Law to Amend the Public Holidays Law Revised and other Bills. The House witl therefore resume.

HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENY: $\quad$| Please be seated. |
| :--- |
| Reports. The Public Holidays (Amandment) Bill. |

THE PUBLIC HOLIDAYS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
REFORTI THEREON
HON. D. H: FOSTER:
Mr. President, I beg to repont that a Bill for a Law to Amend the Public Holidays Law Revised was considered by a committee of the whole House and passed without amendment.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bill is accordingly set down for Third Reading. A Bill for a Law to Amend the Companies (Amendment) Law.

THE COMPANIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
REPORT THEREON
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I have to report that a Bill entitled "A Bill for a Law to Amend the Companies (Amendment) Law, 1983 (Low 34 of 1983)" was considered by a oormittee of the whole House and passed without amendment.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Bill is accordingly set down for
Third Reading. A Bill for a Law to Amend the Penal Code.

## -42- <br> THE PENAL CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 <br> REPORT THEREON

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Fresident, Sir, I beg to report that a Bill shortly entitled "The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984"was considered by a committee of the whole House and passed with three amendments, namely that in Clause 2 thereof the typographical error contained in the first line of the proposed new section 23(a) was corrected; that a new Clause 4 which increased substantially the fine for being an idle and disorderty person in aecordance with section 146 of the Code was inserted and that an additional sub-clause (2) was added to Clause 5 of the Bill so as to provide that this Clause shall come into force by proclamation on an appointed day.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Bill is accordingly set down for<br>Third Reading. A Bill for A Law to Amend the Partnership Law, 1983.

## THE PARTNERSHIP BILL, 1984 <br> REPORT THEREON

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Six, I beg to report that a Bill shortly entitled "The Partnership (Amendment) Bill, 1984" was considered by a committee of the whole House and passed without amendment.
MR. PRESIDENT: Bill is accordingly set down

| Third Reading. Govermment Motions. Govermment Motion No. 1 of |
| :--- |

ELECTION OF MOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 1 OF 1984

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I beg to move Govermment Motion $\overline{N O} 1$ of 1984 standing in my name, which reads as follows:-

WHEREAS under Section 2 of the Cinematographic Law, Cap. 18, provision is made for the establishment of an Authority consisting of the Governor, three Elected Members of the Legislative Assembly and one member nominated annually by the Governor to carry out the stipulation of the above-cited Low and Rules made thereunder.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following Elected Members be appointed by the Legislative Assembiy to the Cinematographic Authority for a period of one year as from the 24th day of February, 1984 -

Mr. Craddock Ebanks, OBE., JP.
Mr. D. Daimain Ebanks
Mr. J. Garston Smith.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Govermment Motion No. 1 of 1984 is now open for debate. Does any Honourable Member wish to epeak? If not I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE MOTTON WAS PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT: Govermment Motion No. 2 .
GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 2 OF 1984
BROADCASTING OF LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY PROCEEDINGS
HON. D.H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I beg to move Government Motion Do. 2 standing in my name. Before reading it, Sir, in accordance with Standing Order 82 I would like to move the suspension of Standing Order 24(5) to enable me to go ahead in the moving of the motion, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: that in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82, Standing Order 24(5) should be suspended in order to enable Govermment Motion No. 2 to be introduced.

I know that there have been comments today about the suspension of Standing Orders when that is done frequentty, but my understanding is that a conmittee of the House expressed the wish that certain proceedings of the House should be broadcast - certain proceedings of this meeting, and that agreement was reached recently as yesterday by Members on preoisely what should be broadcast. It was not therefore possible to frame a motion before then, but if the broadeasts are to go ahead during the next two or three days then it is desirable that the motion should have been passed first. I say all that simply to explain why on this oceasion I believe it may be in accordance with Members wishes that Standing Orders ahould be suspended.

If any Member wishes to speak to the motion about the suspension of Standing Orders, he may do so. If not I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 24(5) WAS SUSPENDED.
HON. D.H. FOSTER: Mr. President, the motion is as followe:-
In accordance with the immuitige, powers and priviteges conferred upon the Legistative Assembly of the Cayman Istands by the Cayman Islands (Constitution) Order, 1972, the Legislative Aseembly (Immunities, Powers and Privileges) Law (Revised), and all other written lows, be it hereby resotved that Radio Cayman, being a broadcasting station operated from within the Cayman Ielands by the Government of the Cayman Islands, is hereby authorised to broadoast recordings of the proceedings of the Legislative Assembly as are hereafter named -

1. The Throne Speeoh and debate thereon
2. Question Time.
3. Presentation of Papers (Reports on Committees) - Speeches therech.
4. Debate on Second Reading of -
(i) The Fublic Holidays (Amendment) Bill, 1984
(ii) The Companiss (Amendment) Bill, 1984
(iii) The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984
(iv) The Fartnership (Amendment) Bill, 1984
Debate, if any, on Govermment Motions.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that Govermont Motion Number 2 should be passed. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTITON NO. 2 PASSED.

## ADJOURNMENT

MOVED BY: HON. D.H. FOSTER.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 4:20 P.M THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. TUESDAY THE 28TH E'EBRUARY, 1984.
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# ORDERS OF THE DAY <br> SECOND DAY <br> TUESDAY, 28TH FEBRUARY, 1984 

1. QUESTIONS -

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO.10. Will the Member atate what steps are being taken to enforce the provisions of the Publie Health Low and Regulations where owers of private homes do not put out garbage for collection?

NO.11. Witl the Member state the period of residence required after which garbage fees become payable?

## THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO. 12. What progress has been made in the consideration of the assurance given by the Honourable Member regarding the provision of adequate facilities and care of elderly persons in these Is lands?

THE THIRD ELECIED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND. ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO.13. Has a Probation Officex from the Probation Department been sent to serve in Cayman Brac and has a replacement been found for this offioer in Grand Cayman?

DO.14. Will Security Guards be stationed at the Gaorge Toum Hospital during the late hours of the night and/or the very early morning hours for the protection of the premises and enployeas?

## THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

NO.15. Will the renovation of the George Town Town Hall include airconditioning?

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECCTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

NO.16. Would the Member state whether the Govermment Wavehouse has been deolared a health hazzard and if so what plons are there to correct this situation?

NO. 17. Wili coneideration be given to an amendment to the law to require trucks hauling rocks, sand, etc. to be covered?

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR ITIERNAL AND EXIERNAL AFFATRS

NO.18. Ie a duplioate copy of all Govermment papers maintained in a safe strong-hotd so that in the event of a fire in ony Government office the records can be maintained?

THE MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

DO. 19. Are alt the posts in the Courts Office filted?
2. GOVERNAENT BUSINESS:-
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TUESDAY
28TH FGBRUARY, 1984

## 10:00 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$| Please be seated. |
| :--- |
| Prooeedinge are resumed. Questions. | The Eleated Member for North Side.

THE ELECTED MEXCBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE BONOURABLE SECOND ELECTYD MENBER OF EXECUTXVE COUACIL RESPONSIBLE FOR BEALTH. EDUCATTON AND SOCTAL SERVICES

MO. 10: Whll the Member state what stepe are being taken to enforce the provistons of the Public Health Law and Regulations where owners of private homse do not put out garbage for collection?

ANSWRR: The Chief Environmental Health Officer lo eazamining ways and manns of inproving loal refuse collection and disposal operations. Increased eduoational efforts and the invoaction of the requirempnts for covered dustbine ane being considered. Conmonity oontainers have been supplied, fres of costs. in several problematic arsad.
A routine house-to-house inspection servioe would facilitate the raduotion of poor public health practiose. However, tnadequate staff exists to indertake all the reeponsibilities laid down in the Publio Health Law. Therefore, osytain priorities have been set: food sanitation and hygiene, builaing development control, domeliat housing, water quality monitoring, litter: oollection, dereliat vahiole removal, rodent control and oommunioable disease apidsmiology.

## SUPPLEXENTARY:

MP. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, a supplemantary.. Would the Member etate if efforte are being made to rearuit more ataff for the saxvids?

BON. TRUMAN M, BODDEN: - Mr. President, we have been given one additimal offtose which post will be filled as quiekly as we can and will assist with this. However, it is an age-old problem. If the Finonce Committes decides that expenditwe must be cut, then I oarnot naturally produce minzoles and put people in thare without the money. If I oan get the money I can increase the staff and therefore increase the competenoy of that Departinent, Sir.

MFI: FRESIDKIT:
Unlese there ane any further supplementory questions I will invite the Elected Mamber for North Side to ask the next question on the Ordir Paper.

THE ELECTED MENBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HOROURABLB SECOND ELECTED MEMBERZ OF EXECUTIVE COUMCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR BEALTH. EDUCATLON ANDD SOCIAL SERVKCES

NO. 11: Witt the Mamber state the period of mesidanoe required after which garbage fess become payable?


THE FIRST ELECTED HAMBRE EOR GEORGE TONN TO ASK \%HE HONOERABLA SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVG CODNCIL RESPONSTBLE FOR FIBALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICNG

NO. 12: What progress hat been made in the oonsideration of the asivirance given by the Honounable Member regarding the provieionspr adequate facilitias and aare of alderty persons int these Titande?

ANSWER:
Some progrese hà been made. Attention is being fooused on asaisting the elderly in their own hotnse as much as posaible.' A prograinme of home viaits by medical personnet has been commenoed and will be extended. Indigent axese are oympathetically dealt with and all pesouroes are faithfully applied. plans ars well advanced for the provision of sanitary oonveniences to as many elderly persons gs possible. Progrese has aleo been made towards the utiliaing of the medieal wing at "The Pines". Acute care will oontinue to be provided at the Hospital.

## SUPRLEMEMTARIES:

Mi. BENSON O. EBANKS: Ar. Prosident, with your permission being being made towarde the utilizing of the medioal wing at The Pines is under the auspioles of Govermment on the National "Cownoit of Social Sexvicea?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Preoident, the negotiations with The Pines have not been finalty ooncluded, but what has been put forward by Govermment is that the wing would be in the exclusive posessaion of the Govermment and under ite eubetantial contrit throughout a pertod of time in conoideration for paymente to be made to The Pines.

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONDELL: Mr. Pre'sident, a suplemantary. Would the Mamber state if a simitare progronene io being instituted in Caymart Brac and Little Cayman for the slderly?

HON. TRUMAN M, BODDEN: Mn President, not at this time. The positipn witi be Zooked at as som as the matter with The Fines aan be more olearly ironed out so that we can see somswhat better what shape that negotiation is going to take. But I do appreoiate that it is a problem there ae well and I undertake to phess on with that.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Ts there any further eupplementary? If not I will invite the Ihird Eleoted Member for George Itown to abk the newt question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCIL RESPONSTBLE FOR HEALTH. EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

> NO. 13
> Hae a probation officer from the Frobation Department been oent to oerve in Cayman brace and has a replacement been found for this officer in Grand Caymon?

> ANSWER: Yes, an officer has been sent to the Brac and no, the officer has not been replaoed.

## SUPELEMENTARY:

## MISS ANNTE HULDAR BODDEN: Nr. President, Sir, a aupplementawy question. ile there any possibility that this officer will be replaced ine the near future?

HON. TRUMAM M. BODDEN: Mr. Preaident, I really do not know beocuse woder the Conetitution I am afratd that that deoishon will probably be one of the Public Service Conmiasion. It is a non-politioal deaision and it is one which is in Your Excellency's disarstion, acting in anothar capaoity and $\dot{I}$ am really not in a position to answer it at all. Like I said, it is a non-politioal decioion for the Public Semvice Commission to advise His Exceltency in due course.

MR. PRESIDENT: If wearing another hat, I could comment. I think the selaction of a particular individual is a non-political prooess which is handled by the Fublic Service Commisaion on whose recommendation: I reach a decision, but the action to initiate recruitment is taken by the Department aonoernsd in consultation with Personnel and it is only after they have advertised and found a number of candidates and so on that the matter oan get to the Fublic Semrice Conmiasion at all. It might be that one of your staff can advise you whether the process of advertising has been initiated?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Prebident, I have been advised that we are advertising for an officer to be sent to Cayman Brac, but not to meplace this officer. She would be then transferred back to Grand Cayman. I think that is what ........

MR. FRESIDENT:
It will be a numerical neplacement
in that respect?
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENTT:
If there is no further eupplementary
$I$ uril invite the Third Eleoted Member for George Town to ask the nest question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBERK OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR BEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO. 14: Will seourity guarde be stationed at the George Toum Hospital during the late hours of the night and/or the vexy early moming hours for the protection of the premises and employees?

ANSWER:
I will be happy to station aecurity guards at the Hospital if you ond other Members of the Legislative Assembly uill vote the money for them.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
$\therefore$ MISS AWIIE HULDAB BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, this is not perhaps a question, but a statement. If all the other Lagislators ane of inks mind as $I \mathrm{am}$, thay witl vote the money.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEA: In which aase .i.........
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Orderl 1 Orderl (Laughter)
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: In whioh case, Mh: Preeidont, we will have the ecourity guards that I have been asking for for a very long tima,

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Ithink we are straying elightly from questione. If there is a aupplementory rather than a statement, I will be prepared to allow it.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Prestdent?

Could I ask a supplementary, Ar.
Could the Member state whether a polioy deaision has been reached to place sacurity guards at the hospital and the reason why they are not there is beocuse fonds were refused by the Finance Gommittee?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN; Mr. President, the Portfolio would whish very mioh to put seourity guards there. The Finonce Commitbee has vexy generously given me the money and the fenoe has been complated which was the first aspeot of the security; and the answer to the second part is yes. If Finance Conmittee witt give me the monsy $I$ will put the security graveds in the Hospital.

The aspeot of thie, Mr. Preaident, is the Finanos Committee is eight Eleoted Members and the four Members from Executive Comotl plus the Honowmable Finoncial Seoretaxy as the Chairman, and therefore really the Mamber knows he oan really alter or put forvard these mattere at that time. which is the very appropriate time, or rather appropriate the funde when I ask for them and it would avoid this mid-term supplementary application to the Finanoe Committee.

MR. DENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Preotdent, the eupplementary was whether a request has been made, or had been made to Finanoe Committee and refused?

HON, TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Nr. Fresident, the Membar is a Member of Finanoe Commtttee and that, without the approval of the Finanoe Committee itself, I cannot diolose. But he knows the anower to that question very wall.

MR. W. NORUCAN BODDEN: Could the Member state whather he has any idea what the cost of posting these secumity guards at these places would be?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I oconnot give the exact oost. As I mentioned on Friday, one good day we will have a computer terminal within the Legislature and perhaps I ann get details. But it woutd have been two more security guards and I would think we are looking at probably another $\$ 20,000$ (plus) per arnvm, sir.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, if I may ask a oupplementary to the Member? Are there no other membere of staff around the Hospital

MR. CRADDOCK EPANKS (CONTINUING): at night other than the ambulance dmivera?

FON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Freeident, we have the anbulance drivers ade. well as the male nunges -if:you are talking about male personnel there, beoauee I an sure that some of the lady nurses are also fairly oompetent to deat with matters even though they do become very diffioult at times and if it is late at night $I$ think the guaxdo are very necessary to have there.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, I was not after killing the efforts with regards to persons being there, I was just enquiring as to whether there were any other staff other than the anbulance staff anound the Hospital who could assist?

MR. PRESIDENT: Does any Member wiah to aek a further supplementary? If not I will invite the Third Eleoted Member for Gsorge Town to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECIED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNCATIONS AND WORKS

NO. 15: Will the menovation of the George Town Town Hall include airconditioning?

ANSWER: NO.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

MISS ANMIE HULDAH BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, if I may be permitted to ask a eupplementainy question? Is the problem lack of money again, please?

HON. G. HATG BODDEN: The renovation of the Toum Hall was inoluded in the Budget in November, 1983. No funds were approprited at that time for airconditioning. I would say as an aftermthought that had Members of Finonce Committee seen fit to include money for airoonditioning in November, 1983 when they dealt with the funds for the renovation of not only the George Town Town Hall, but other Town Halls in the districts, aireonditioning would have been inoluded.

To raise the question so near to election time rather than at budget time aeems out of order, but if Members feel that priority should be given to airconditioning the Town Hall in George Town it is simply wh to Finance Committee Members to appropriate the money.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, I hope that the Member nealises that if Finance Commttee recommende airconditioning in George Town, I am in North Side too, Sir.

MR. ERESIDENT: Ordert Order! If the Member is not asking a bupplementary, he must not speak.

HON, G. HATG BODDEN: Mr. Preaident, if you will allow me, think it is the whole crux of the matter; if there is to be a poticy decision to aircondition one Town Hall well we want aireonditioning to in Breakers too.

[^4]MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): a policy matter, airconditioned.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think we have probably strayed far enough from the anower and I take it there are no further proper supplementaries, so I will invite the Second Eleoted Member for Weat Bay to ask the next question.

THE SECOND ELEQTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTE ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUKIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMJNICAPIONS ADD WORKS

NO. 16: Would the Member state whether the Govermment warshouse has been declared a health hasaard and if so what plans are there to correct the situation?

ANSWER: It has not been declared a heatth haszard.

## SUPPLEMENTARY:

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS: " A supplenentary, Sir. Could the Member say whether there is need for any renovation of that building?
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: The answer is no.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If there is no further supplementary, I witl livite the Second Elected Member for West Bay to ask question number 17.

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MENBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCTL RESPONSTELE FOR COMMOVICATIONS: AND WORKS

NO. 17: Will aonsideration be given to an amendment to the Law to require trucks hauling rocks, sands stc., to be covered?

ANSWER: Government has mecently approved the eetablishnent of a conmittes to draft a new Traffic Law. The anendments referred to by the Member will be considered at that time.

MR. PRESIDEET: Untess any Member wishes to ask a supplementary question, I will ask the Second Eleoted Member for Weat Bay to ask the next question.

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBEP FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBEAR EESSPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTFRNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 18: Is a duplicate copy of all Govermment papers maintained in a safe atrong-hold ao that in the event of a fire in any Govarmment office the records oan be maintained?

ANSWER:
It would be very expensive to duplicate all Government papers, but the more important ones are kept in one of the fow vaults in the Govemment Administration Building. Other Departmente outside the Administration Building have their own vautte and safes.

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS: A supplementary, Ar. President. Could the Member say whether he knows those vaults and safes are fireproof?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. President, those in the Administration Building, to the best of my knowledge, are. The one in the Courts is and $I$ think the one in Lande and Survey is also fireproof, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: Unless any Member has a further supplementaxy, I will ask the Member for North Side to ask the nost question.

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NOFTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURAELE FIRST OFFTCIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 19: Are all the poste in the Courts Office filled?
ANSWER: NO.
SUPPLEMENTARTES:
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, a supplementary. Would the Nember be able to say how many vacancies exist in the Courts Office?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. Preeident, there is one puieme judge and two alerical officer's poste vacant at present, Sir.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: A further aupplementary, Mr. Prasident. Would the Member say then if efforts are being taken to fill thase posts so that there could be a more effioient service oarried out daily in the Courts?

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Nr. President, I am sure Personnel is making efforte to fill the two clemical poste, Sir. I am not aure if that is where the inefficiency is or not, but effort is being made to fill those two posts.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Does any Honourable Member wish to ask a further supplementary? If not that concludes question time and we oan turm now to item 2 on today's Order Paper - Govermment Business, Bitis.

## GOVERNMENT BUSTNESS <br> BTLLS

THIRD READTNGS
CLERK:
THE PUBLIC HOLIDAYS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984;
THE COMPANIES (AMGNDMENT) BILL, 1984;
THE PENAL CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984;
THE PARTNERSHIP (AMENDMETT) BILL, 1984.

THE PUBLIC HOLIDAYS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
HON. D. H. EOSTER:
Mr. President, I move that a Bill for
A Law to Amend the Publio Holidays Law (Revised), be given a third reading and paseed.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bitl for A Low

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): to Amend the Public Rolidays Law (Revised) be now given a third roading and passed?

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READTHG AND PASSED.

## THE COMPANIES (AMENDMENTL BILL, 1984

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. Preaident, I move that a Bill entitled A Bitt for A Lca to Amend the Companies (Amendinent) Low, 1983 (Liow 34 of 1983), be given a third reading and paesed.

# Mr. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ The question that a Bill entitled A BiLi for A Low to Amend the Componies (Amendment) Law, 1983 (Law 34 of 1983), be now given a third reading and paesed? <br> QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED. 

## THE PENAL CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:. Nr. President, Sir, I beg to move that a Bili shortiy entitled The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984, be given a third reading and passed.

MR. PRESTDENT: The question is that a BLLL shortly entitiled The Penal Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984, be given a third reading and passed?

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED

## THE PARTNERSHIP (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

HON. MICBAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move that a Bitt shortly entitled The Parinemghip (Amendment) Bill, 1984, be given a third reading and passed.
MR. PRESIDENT: The question is that a Bill shortly entitled The Partnership (Amendment) Bill, 1984, be given a third reading and paesed?

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A. THIRD READING AND PASSED.

MR. PRESTDEWT: That oonotudes the Bille and we paes on to the next item, item $2(b)$ on today's Order Paper - Govemment Motion. The Honourable Socond Offiotat Member.

GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 3/1984
FE-APPOIVTMENT OF SELECT COMMTTTEES
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Nr. President, Sir, in acoordance with the provisions of Stonding Orders 24(1) and 69(1), it is moved that the House do appoint a Select Committee oonsisting of both Elected and offioial Members to consider such amendments as may be necessary or desirable to the Caymanian Protection Law following the coming into effect in the United Kingdom on the 1st day of January, 1983 of the British Nationality Act, 1981, and also to make auoh other recommendatione

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): as it thinks fit on all matters aontained in the Caymanian Protection Law or which relate to Caymanian Statue, Gainful Occupation Licences, Immigration and Deportation, and that the Select Committee so appointed do incorporate and deem to be part of their proceedinge, the minutes, recommendations and other businese transacted by the Select Committee on the same subject, oppointed during the previous 1983 Seseion of the Legiolative Assembly; And further, that this House do conetitute, in accordonoe with Govermment Motion No. 6 of 1983 and Standing Onders 24(1) and 69(1), a Seleot Committee consisting of both Eleoted and Officiat Members to consider and report upon the Law relating to Limited Liability Companies at present in force in the Islands and to make recommendations concerming what, if any, changes are necessary and desinable to such legislation in order more effectively to assist the finoncial and corponate infrastructure, and that the Select Committee so appointed do inoorporate and deem to be part of their proceedinge the minutes, reconmendations and other business transaoted by the Seleet Committee on the same subject, appointed during the previous 1983 Session of the Legislative Assembly;

And further, that this House do constitute. in accordance with Govermment Motion No. 7 of 1983 and Standing Orders 24(1) and 68(1), a Select Committee consisting of both Eleoted and Official Members to ansider and report upon the activities of persons engaged in the business of providing in, or from within, the Islands management services for companies and to make recommendations as to whether any legislation is neaessary or desipable to ocontrol and regulate such activities and, if it is so considexted, to make recomendations as to what forme of control and regulation are appropriate, and that the Select Committee so appointed do incorporate and deem to be part of their proceedings the minutes, recommendations and other business transacted by the Select Committee on the same subject, appointed during the previous 1983 Session of the Legislative Assembly.

Mr. President, Sir, if I may briefly
go on with several worde of explanation?
You, yourself, Sir, and Honounable
Members will have noticed (if they have been comparing the Motion which was printed and circulated to Members) that I have omitted several words from it - the words in all three paragraphe being, "with the AttomeyGeneral as Chaimani"." Whilat the Attomey-General had the honour to be Chaiman of alt three previous Select Committees on these subjects, it is not within the competence of this House, but rather within the competenoe of yourself under Standing Order 69(2) to nominate a Chairmon of a Select Committee or failing such nomination for the Committee itself to do it. It is in view of that I have changed the wording slightly and ask the House to accept the anended wording.

Secondly, Mr. President, Sir, may I briefly say to Members that the wordings of the three paragraphs are simitar to the wordings of the paragraphe of the Motions which established the three separate Select Committees at the 1983 Session of the House. The business of all three Committees are still not finished. Because it is a new Session of the House it is necsssary to reappoint all Select Committees. It is hoped with the wordings that are contained here, that the Select Conmittee on the British Nationality Act, the Seleot Conmittee on Management Componies and the Select Committee' on the Companies Law will be able to continue and incorporate the work previously done by the previous Select Committees and to meport Later this year to this Honourable House.

I ask Honourable Members to support the
Motion.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): Motion No. 3. I do not propose to read it through again because it is somewhat lengthy and has just....... been read to the House. Members will have noted the point made by the Honourable Second Official Member when speaking to it that a few words, being the words "with the Attomey-Generat ae Chairman", have been omitted from each of the three paragraphs oontained in the Motion as originalty ciroulated.

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. $3 / 1984$ PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ For the record, I would add that I think it would probably be the wish of the House and convenient for all if I invite, the Attomeymenemal to continue acting as Chairmon or continue Chairing each of the three Select Committees.

That concludes the Govermment Business
on today's Order Paper and we now turn to item number 3 - Debate on the Throne Speech. Does ony Honourable Member wish to speak? The Eirst Eleoted Member for George Town.

DEBATE ON THE THRONE SPEECH

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. President, for some reason or arother there atways seeme to be a reluctance for Membere to stond up and commence the debate, either on the Throne Speech or the Budget Address. Perhape being a bit inexperienced I usually elect to venture out first. I always maintain, as I have said befone, that he who gete in the first blow fairs as well as he who laughe last.

And so with that, Mr. President, I would take this opportunity to formalty thank you for the tucid and comprehensive Throne Speech which you delivered to this Honourahle House last Friday.

As you rightly recalled, the 1983 Session was opened only last February by Her Majesty the Queen in person. That oocasion, Sir, will long be remembered in the hearts of Caymmians and has strengthened in no smatl measure our determination to remain a Crown colony on which the continusa stability of this territory so heavily depends.

The Throne Speech, Sir, is tooked formard to each year with keen anticipation by all residents of this country.

As I listened to you outline past accomplishments, ongoing projeots and future plans of the various Govarimant Portfolios, I could not help but think just how much we have to be thankful for from a materialistic point of view. There is no denying that many improvemants have been made in facilities and eervices preeently available to the general public.

In your address, sin, one quiokty gets the pioture of a sucoessful country. Indeed we are most forthate to have the economic strength and means to provide most, if not all, of our needs. Howsver, in spite of all this, it must be kept in mind that Caymanians land by this I rafer to alt those who reside pexmonently in these Islands with Caymanian Status as of right or through grant) are paying and will continue to pay a price for this good lifestyle we have and all enjoy. When I say a price I am referring to the high pressures and heavy demands of rapid development in a small country to which we must all learn to adjust and accept without resentment which does not atways come easy.

It has been said, and I aocept this,

MR. W. NORMAN DODDEN (CONTINUING): that one must take the Dad with the good. However, having reached the point that we are at today, having attained this level of success, we must' be bold enough to asknowlsdge the impact that rapid development over the hust ten years has brought with it to ove society and white we want progrese to continue, our planning and future development shouldbe spread over a longer period of time so that we do not fitz oup pockete today and leave nothing for the ohitdren of tomorrow. We can control just hewfar and how fast we move forward without stifling progress. Other countries have succesofully combined this process and co can we. I realige of course that this salle for a delioate and perhaps complex batanoing of our priorities, but in my opinion therein lies the key to ove oontinued success.

Priaons. With regards to Prisons I am pleased to see that a qualified Caymanian fitls the position of our Director of Prieons and without meaning to sowid over-nationalistie, I look forward to the time (as most peopte dol when more und more key positions, both in the Govermment as welt as the private sactor, will be filted by Caymonians. It. must of oourse be aocepted that this has to be a gradual prooess.

There are jobs out there that demand top quality performanoe and produotivity which is keyed to the suooess of our business oommonity. People who do business here pay good haney and mightly expect fair value in return for this money. It would do, I believe, mmy of our yo ng peopile who are aspiring to reach the ton a lot of good to talk to men like Mr. Conolly, our Director of Priaons, and find out for themselvee the yeare of hard work and determination that it has taken him to reach the position he now holds.

Mr. President, to use your oun words and I quote, "The prison population rose signifioantly duming the year"." I believe that this strengthens my point on the high prioe of rapid development. These are some of the casuitties of a changing bociety. They naturally have to be provided for and the expaneron progranme for prisons has been outlined.

I understand that the miles for visitore to Northward Prison have been changed whion will undoubtedty reduce the number of visitors. Neverthetess, as $I$ said before on dnother ococtsion, $I$ would expect that a viaitors' reception area and some form of outside covering oan aventually be provided as these facilities appear to be very badty needod. I realise that Govermment spanding on apital projects and improvemente in this election year is manning very high, but surely this oan be done at a nominal cost.

Aport from the physical structure of prisons there is also the sociat abpect to consider. We need more commmity involvement in assisting dischanged prisoners in finding their way badk and becoming usefui oitizens. There is a natural tendenoy for society to frown on those with prison recorde: and to adopt the attitude that once a man is down he should be kept down. But it is in our owm interest to give these new hope, new opportwity and help whenever and wherever possible.

Immigration. The fact that we are so heavily dependent on foreign labour to fill pobitions that Caymantons will not or cannot fill has, as been stated, given rise to the situation of the illegal immigrant. Many employers are either wnwilling to go through the red-tape of applying through the proper chonenels or mightly or wrongly feet that even if they did apply their apptioation would $b_{8}$ tumed down onyway beacuge the quota is closed or for some other reason. And so they resort to the illegal worker or their businesaes would suffer; and this is a fact and hias been aoospted as that.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTTNUING): I have heard from more than one employer that, "I have been penalised because I onty try to do things might". This is the general inpression. However', regardless of the reasons behind all this, a dangerous situation is developing beoause of theos illegal workers and I belisve that this problem is growing daily.

Computerisation of immigration records witl eventrally identify over-stayers, but then finding them, even in a country as small as ours, can be a mamoth task requiring much time, man-power and money.

I believe, Sir, that some means must
be devised whereby employers acn be encouraged to oome forward with the names and categories of persons they have employed illegally with the assurance that once they oan justify the need, they will be granted a work permit. Likewise, a general demand should be made for all illegal workers to register with the Immigration Department by a speoific date providing the names of their employers and again with the assurance that if they have a genuine case their permite will be granted.

I realize that there is the legal aspect and $I$ am by no means encouraging the breaking or bypassing of the law, but once we do in fact accept that this situation exists as it does then it oertainly needs to be regularized.

Closing our eyee to this ugly situation will not make it go away. Legalize the illegal workers and then we will at least know where they are, who is responsible for them and Government will collect revenue that it is now losing. Whoever is left over from this exercise should be deported and placed on the list of prohibited immigrants. People moming loose and unattached mean no good to this country and oan onty contribute to crime as they have nothing to loee. Something has to be done and I betieve it ehould be done quickly.

I flilly agree with your worde, Mr. President, that there has to be a commitment by the general public to safe-guard the futwre of thair own country. our future is at stake wiless the situation, I have mentioned, is swiftiy brought under control.

Yes, this is our land. We hold the msons of making it what we want it to become and we must strive to make it the best.

Radio Cayman - broadoasting. Regardtese of what oriticisms can be Zanced at Radio Cayman, it is finally paying its way and providing an informative semice to the people of this oountry, thereby fulfilling the reasons for which it was etarted.

District Adminiatration. The improvement in atr services through the introduction of the 727 jet aircraft into Cayman Brac is, I am sure, highly weloomed by both residente and visitors alike. I am pleased, Sir, to see that this long awaited sarvice has finally become a reatity. The people of Cayman Brac deserve no less than the best and I trust that this new operation will continue to grow from strength to strength.

Police. I realize that the Potice Forae may be lacking sufficiant manpower to place a policeman om every straet oormer, but with the new fleet of cars that have been mentrioned I would hope that the traffic department will be in a position to increase patrols on our mainstreets more frequently, eapecially for ppeeders. Residents of areas like Walkers Road, North and Church Streets and especially the West Bay Road oomplain about this. I am sure that it takes place and $I$ an sure that there are other areas as well, and while no one expects impossibility, I would appreciate if some consideration could be given to this.

MR. W. WORMAN BODDEN (CONTTNUING): The Police Force, Sir, is to be commended for its continuing fight to stamp out drug trafficking in our country which has always proven to be an uphili battle. I firmly believe that drug dealers are finally getting the message, at least some of them, and are now having second thoughte before ueing the Cayman Istands for their illegal aotivities.

The help, from the United States Drug Enforcement Agency has, I am sure, proven most valuable and the United States Coast Guard I believe witl also provide any needed assistconce in patroliting our waters.

I have the farth that we can wid our country entirely of this evil and it is good to know that what we lack locally it readity available from outside soupces, I think it is time that we let it be known, in no unaertain terms, that we will not let this oountry become a playground for crooks. We can and must maintain our image for decency, low and order if we are to continue on the right course and this oan only be acoomplished through a strong potice force that is supported by the commonity they serve.

Agrioulture, Lands and Naturat Fesources. Mr. President, the mony obvious inprovements in agricut ture over the past three years could only be brought about by total dedication and without resorting to flattery, I must offer my sincere congratulations to the Honourable Eirst Elected Member of Exacutive Council, Mr. John MoLean, and his staff for the fine job they are doing which is producing good benefite for all concerned.

Mosquito Research and Control Unit. The statistics on pexformance and activities of this unit were quite impreseive and of partioular interest. This unit, in my opinion, Mr. Fresident, was the best investment ever made by Govermment because in spite of swift modern transportation, goad hotels and fine beaches, nothing that we have today would have been possible without the effecttive oontrol of the nutacnce mosquito.

The name "Dr. Gialioli" is not the household word that it once was in the berinning when he first started his work here. But it goes without saying that this country is greatly indobted to him and his staff for their many years of hard work which has enabled us to live in peace and long may it oontinue.

Health, Education and Social Semvices. It is encouraging, Mr. President, to note the consideration that is being given to the care of the elderly and I look forward to the programe being developed which will provide care for those who cannot helt themestves.

The apecial clinic for treatment of drug and alcohot abuse will I am sure prove a godsend to those unfortunate persons and families who have to contend with this problem.

Mr. President, I am a great believer
in rendering unto Casear the things that are Caesar's and unto God the thisgs that ame God's. I have had, over the past months, occasion to use the madical services provided by the George Tomm Hospital. It is true enough that other larger hoapitals in larger countrites are better equipped, but I do not believe that there is any cormmity of 18,000 people who have any better health care than we have here in the Caymon Islands for the oost involved. And this is also tmue in the field of education when we compare standards with cost and the results.

I am happy to see that a programme is being established for aoademically gifted students for when we speak of Caymanians filling key positions this must be the source of our supply." This is our investment in the future.

It was again interesting to note that through the job opportunity progranme all students who registered was placed in jobs as each year this seems to be a source. of ooncern

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): and I can onty hope that we witl be as successful in the future with this programme.

Sports. It is encouraging to see the large number of our young people who are developing euch a keen and determined interest in the fleld of sports. Many times the young person who is adught with a stick of ganga is highlighted, but tet those other young people who are excelting in aports be placed on the front page more often too. They hape to make aacrifices, they have to be well disciplined and determined and the least we can do is to offer encouragement and the faoitities needed to practioe the sports of their choice.

Recognition also needs to be given to the maviy commmity minded individuals who are constontly working with thees young people and giving freely of their time, money and efforts.

The Sports Complex which has been planned
for such a loing time will no doubt one day bacome a meatity. I know this is the expeatation of many of them and theip leaders and I know that this factitity will be most welaome for these young people and those who follow after them.

Low Income Housing. I am pleased, Mr. President, especially to hear of the plans for work to be done in the Watler Rioad area ond since they now have a good healthy water supply I want to be around, not only to hear but to see these plans become a reatity. There are other Watter Roads opringing up around our Istands for whom the quality of life needs to be raised. I hope that in due course these witt peceive much needed attention.

Every effort miat be made to prevent bresding grounde of suffering and resentment which are potentiat trouble spote that aan only place the future of this country in jeopardy.

## Tourism. There hace to be a continuoue

 awareness of the importance of tourism to our economy and it must be accepted that alt those who tive and work here have a nesponsibility of selling the Cayman Islands, and I hope that they are notsold ehowt. I still believe that our people are our best asset.As is well known by all conoerned, toumism has become a highly competitive business strongly effected by a cost conscious' markst and the fluctuation of foreign currenotes. To achieve a $10 \%$ increase in traffic under these conditione apeaks well for the effioiency and performance of this Department.

Civil Aviation. This is another Department efficiently operated by a quatified Caymanian of whom we can be justly proud. The now air terminal is long overdue as the volume of traffio. whioh you outlined that is being handled is bown to areate a stratin on ati those who man the present aixport facilities, apart from creating considerable inconvenience to dip truvellers.

Fire Service. It was encouraging to learn of the reduction in the number of fire calls in 1983 and I hope that we are not only having less fires, but that the nivmer of ipreaponsible and expensive hoax oalls that this Department had been receiving in the past have been stopped.

As I mentioned before at another time and another oocasion, I cartainly look forward to the day when we can also afford to build a Fire Station that witl serve north Geonge Town, the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas and hope that this witl be boxne in mind whenever future planning is made for fire coverage for highly populated areas.

Fort Authority and Cuetoms. The Departmente which are responsible for such a large portion of the cormtry's reverue are osrtainly worthy of improvement in the conditions under

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUIAG): whioh they have to work and the new building that will eventualily be built will, I am sure; be most welcomed by those who work there daily.

I am also pleased to note that dock workers witl be provided with some facilities which are undoubtedly badly needed.

Legal Department. Mr. President,
in my view if the Honourable Attomey-General had only to serve as Chairman of the various Select Cohmittees on which he has been appointed, he would have a fulltime job. I feet that in the past since he has been here he and his staff are to be conmended and I think we are fortunate to have a man of his calibre.

The future, Mr. President, depends on each and every one of us to help make this country what we want it to become. As has recently been aaid by one journalist, Cayman has the potential to become one of the modet countries of the world. I do not believe that we must necessamily accept situations and incidents detrimental to our country simply because they also happened in other places. We are three small Islands, far from being overpopulated. We are still in the development stages and surely we can exaraise more effective controls and find better solutions to our problems, mainly becouse of our size. Sometimes it is an advantage to be small in size, particularly in speaking of countries.

We should maintain a close guard to the gate-ways of our country - our Inmigration, and beoome more and mone selective of those we choose to share our conntry with. Surely we must continue to progress, but I advocate a more orderly pace with which we can cope.

Again, I betieve that we have reached the point in our development when we should pause long enough to truly evaluate our present position and establish where we are really heading.

Mr. President, I too would like to add. $m y$ word of thanks and appreariation to the Clerk of this Legistature, Mrs. Sybil McLaughlin, who will be retiring shortly. As a new Member in this Honourable House I very often found her help and advioe most valuable and I thonk her most sincerely for her guidance and I wish her every auccess in whatever she chooses to do during her yeare of retirement. I am confident that there will always be a place in our society for a lady of hex calibre and experience.

I aleo offer my congratulations to the Clerk Designate, Mps. Gay Tackson, whom I am pleased to see being groomed for this position. I am sure that she uitl find her new job a challenge, but one which she will meet with the same detexmination and efficiency that has caused her to be appointed to this post in the firet instance.

In conolusion, Mr. President, I do not mean to end on a sour note because I realise that a person's remarks, especially on a public form, aan often be so easily misunderstood, but whether we tike ti or not, whether we wish to accept it or reject it, there is a definite feeling creeping into our midst that we are fast beooming a sooiety of favours. And I have heard this mentioned in many oircles at all different levels. Necessary steps need to be taken to counteract this or prove to the constmative oritice if this does not in fast exist.

In this eleation year it can still be said that we have many material things. Much money is being epent on buildinge, roads and other impressive improvements. Reasonable financial reserves are stilt in hand. That we have done wetl and have prospered is evident in most areas; of this there can be no dowbt, but the man on the street (the little man) and I am not

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): referring here to the wemployables as aome are called, still asks, What is in all of this for me? Is my life really better because of it?". I have even heard chitdren ask, "Raally what future do I have here?". These are questions that need to be realieticalty adinessed.

The little man wants to know that he
acn expeet a fair deal, that justice, fair play and equal opportumity are stitl his in this changing society and land of plenty. These to my mind, Mr. President, are the prisedposesseions that we can offer our paopte in aonfidence and tmot for the oontinuing welt-being and success of these beautifut Islands we calt home.

Thank yout, Mr: President.
MR. PRESTDENT:
$I$ think $i t$ may be convenient for
$\overline{M e m b e r s}$ if I now suspend prooeeding's for approximately fifteen minutes.

AT 11:21 A.M. MIE HOUSE SUSPENDED

HOUSE RESUMED A' 11:53 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Proceedings are resumed. Debate
on the :Throne Speech. Doea any other Aonourabte Member wish to speak? If nobody does I shall be obliged to put the vote. The Thind Eleoted Member for George
Town.
MISS ANWIE HULDAH BODDEN: Mr. President; Sirs, I had planned not to speak until this aftermoon or maybe tomorrow, but I cannot let the opportumity go by with you deslaring that the debate is closed. I must have my little bit to day.

First of alt, sir, I woutd tike to say that I am very happy that on the last occasion Her Majesty the Queen was here to read and to open the Session. It was to the Cayman Islands a.great event and one that will.go down in history as being the greatest moment for Caymanians in att the history of our being a British Crown Colony. I can only say, Sir, that it was a great pleaure to me and it. was the one speein that I made where I was never aritiaised beaduse far and near I have been congratulated on the few words that I spoke to Her Majesty and these came from my heart; not feos paper, but from my heart.

The next thing I would tike to say, sir, is that I thank you for preparing such a apeeoh which outlined our position as a British Crown Colony and all of the mony accomilishments that we have made during the year.

I would like to say before I go any
further how gratefut. I am that we have a clerk like the present holder, Mps. MoLaughtin. I advocate; Sir, that wammen, when they are dedicated to their joba, do a far better Job than the men and $I$ can say this of Mrs. McLaughtin that she has dedioated her whole tife to the working of this Aesembly. She has been very hetpfut to one and all and I personally am sorry to know that she has reached the stage where she will not continue. But I am very sure, sir, that Nre. Jaokson, being taught by her I would say, too when she has accomplished as many years in our Assembly will be quite as efficient as the present holder. I wish for her God's speed and in whatever she does I whah her suocess and above all good health.

Now, Nr. President, while some people

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN (CONTINUING): critlaise the way we spend money I feel, Sir, that we are providing the necessities that we need.

The Prison. I am very pleased with this. I go there quite regularly and when I see the tandscaping and the way that the place is kept I feel, Sir, that the prisoners are not so bad after all. They are unfortionate and with the grace of God we might all be charged, not as they are charged, but we have all sinned and come shorit. I feel that we should treat them as human' beings and give them a good place in which to spend some of their days and prosper. When they retum back to society I feet that each and every one of us should give a helping hand and try to point out to the people that they have done wrong and encourage them to do better.

I think, Six, that we should be proud of our Prison - the way in which it is buitt, they way that it is kept and as it is going fairly well. We have a Caymanian as the Director and while he is doing a good job to the best of my knowledge 1 feel, sir, that it is a very hard job on him. He is ariticized as every one of us seems to be, but nevertheless he is doing his best and I oongratulate him on the efforts that he has put in during his lifetime to obtain such a position.

Now I must say that I agree with the remarke made by the Fixst Elected Member for George Town regarding Inmigration. We do have a problem cond it is up to us to try to solve it in the best way we oan. Let us be realistic about it. Caymonions in these present days do not want to do the mentat jobs that they did when money. wis nöt this plentiful.

We do have to have imported laboirs, but as has been said I feel that there is a way that we can correot the situation and make some oonsideration to give work pexmits to people who are actually needed. We must all consider thit at one stage in our lives we were dependent on sending the men abroad to seek employment. Now that the cards have turned I think we should not be selfish, but there should be some proper way where these inmigrants can have the proper set of popers that they witl not heoome a menace to our society.

Now, Mr. Preaident, I was one who advocated the broadeasting system of ours and I feet that it is has he tped tremendously. People who are shut in and not able to get out con hear what is going on. Some are even blind and old and cannot read the papers, but at least they oan hear.

One thing that I disagree with with the broadcasting station is that they do not read enough looal news. Sometimes when $I$ sit dow and hope to hear some of the hoppenings, they give you about two lines and then they divert to foreign news and I feel, Six, that they should eend their staff or correspondents out to get the news to put on the ait to make it more interesting.

I am glad for the progress made in the Lesser Islands. I have always advocated that they are our younger sisters and brothers and that we should do all we can to assist them. I must say that this present Covernment has really put a lot of effort into helping Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. Although they might not have a representative in Executive Council I feel that the Honourable Chief Searetary is doing a good job when he goee and takes over the lead to hetp them out.

The Police Department. They get a lot of oriticism and I myself have oriticized them. Sometimes when $I$ feel that investigations are being held up and not very successful, I feel that it is the potice's fault. On other occasions when they investigate cases and they are taken before the Court and the aooused are sometimes acquitted, I feel that it is not their fault and they must be discouraged when they have worked and put a lot of effort into it and their work somes to nought.

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN (CONTINUING): I must congratulate them on their fight againet the drug trafficking, Mr. Prasident, it is a very serious thing and I feet that our Courts although they might have these highly qualified legat experte defending the aocused they should take fuetioe into oonsideration; and this I an very glad to say is baing dealt with.: Prisoners should be made of those who deat with the drug trafficking. It is a sin, a shame and a crime that our yotongters should be left to go to prison wt the that much ganja and the big dealere becauee they oan employ high-faluting Lawyers who plead their caess so euccessfutity) esoape. I feet that ws must cut down the tree, not just the leaves, but the: tree and that is what is being done now I hope.

It is vary gratifying to know that we still have soms aseets to our good and, Mr. President. while I have heard it said on many occasion, any fool aan make money; but only sensible people save it. Weli there is no use in saving money and doing without things. I feel, sixs that it is our reaponsibility to finanoe thie Governmant where necessities are well taken dare of. There is no use having millions in the bank with streete that you cannot walk on and buildings neglected. I feel that we must spend our money, but we must spend it wisely and try to preserve what we have nots.

I must any that $I$ offer my sinoere congratulations to the Department of Agrioulture, Lands and Natural Resources. We are getting back to the old times. Produce is being raised ao that we will not have to take all our money to spend abroad to get food to eat. We con sasity feed ousetves if we woutd just take the taak semously. Just suppose that there was ever to be another world war and we had to depend on the United States of America and other conotries to feed us. In six weeks we would be dead unless we have proper agriculture facilities going.

I think the rearing of cattle and ground produce with add greatly to our economy and witl hetp us not only to save money, but it will help the people to underatand that if they help themselves God will hetp us.

Now I aannot spaak too highty of the Mosquito Research programme. If we had mosquitoes like we had in the past I would decide to leave Grand Cayman. 'I' could never endure them again. I feel that Dr. Giglioli and his ataff have done a wonderful.job. My great grief is to hear that he is so ill and I pray every morming for his reoovery because I feet that he is a good man, he has done a good service to the country and I am aure it was not alt for his benefit in the praises that he reasived, but the good and welfare of the country that he had at heart.

The Planning Department. I feel that we should plan and plan wisety." I have always advooated, Sir, that we were going ahead at too fast a speed. We should have stretched our development over a certain period and there would not be any shortage. However, we mushed it to fast and now we hear people conplaining that they cornot get work, but I feet now that we have learned aizesson that we must consider that development should be carefuliz: planned and gone into.

Land Registry. I might as well admit, Sir, that I was one of the great opponente to the land planning, but it has workedmirooulously and now a good. job is being done by that Department.

Health, Eduadion and Sooial Services. I must congratulate the Honourable Truman Bodden on his efforts beocuse I: have had friends who were born in that Hospital and they have come back with not one word of complaint. They have spoken very highly. of the ataff, the food and the general behaviour at the Hospital. They

MTSS ANNIE BULDAZ BODDEN (CONTINUING): aay there is none better anywhere else in the warld. We do not have all the equipnent that we oould have in our small factitities, but nevertheless I feels acoording to the reports that I have heard, that everything is going on in a most orderly manner there.

I offer my congrutulatione to this Member who at least has tried eo hard, but like all of us he dose not get much appreoiation. Nevertheless, be is continuing on.

Now in the field of eduoation. When I think of the hardohipe that we as ohilduen endured - walking to sohool cometimes by foot - eometimes having to break a piece off our slates to urite on the other part of it. and with all the facilities that are now being offered I think that we should be a more thankful and happy people for atl that our Govermment has provided for us.

We are able now to have childien trained, if they have the ambition, to take jobs after they have finished their training in any Depar'tment of our Govermment, save, I urill never say that we should have a Caymanian permanently as a Governor because we are too olosely intex-mingled. But, neverthelese any other job, in my opinion, ach be held by a Caymanian.

All the sohoole, the Middle School, ELementiry and Secondary Sohools seem to be doing good work and they are helping us to get what we sadty tacked in the past and that was a proper education.

The Soorial Servicee in these Islands have improved thanks to the many service alubs that have rendered all the asiatance that I think is humanly poasible to be done. They have gone out of their way and those who are in better circumstances have tried to help the less fortunate.

The Fronaes Bodden Girls' Home, Sir. I feel that we should, if possible and if the gixls are not too far gons, bring home all thooe who are abroad and put them in the home where at legst they an have the facilities of being in their.own cowntry. I hope that this will be investigated and in the not too distant future we will have all Caymanian girls who are now abroad brought back and sent there.

I am sure that the Govermment has taken great interest in aports and everything is being done that witl help the younger generation to prove themselves competent to take care of tomorrow's needs.

It is a good thing to know that the Low Inoome Housing is being established. There are settliements in this Island that need to be improved. I saw some pictures in one of the newapapers that were absolutely shocking and I feel that the Health Departmant should investigate and try to get this cleaned:up.

Tourism I am glad to see is on the advance, but we acnnot solely depend on tourism. We must try to get other outlets and we must not outery the faotities that we have to offer. And above all, sir, I have heard it mooted that there $i_{s}$ a proposition now being put up to introduce gombling in the Cayman Islonds and forget about tourism. Welt, Sir, I hove and pray and trust that not one of our leaders will ever, ever, ever think of such a thing being introduced.

Long ago it was mooted and we had some good offers, but we said, "Keep your money we will keep our Ialands clean.".

As has been said I would tike to ses more Fipe Stations setablished in thesa Islands and I feel that when we con ably do so financially, that will beeome a reality: As

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN (CONTINUING): it is now the Fire Servito seems to be doing a fairily good job and I am glad to know that people have become so educated that they will not give false alarms.

Cayman Airways Limited. I will still say that that is a service that we aannot do without, but my oontention is that we should get proper accownts to find out exactly how much we need to operate the airline and that the Govermment should be asked to subsidize and do what is necesarry to kesp the airline yoing.

I have had various conmlaints that some of the staff are not paying proper attention to the Cayman employese. The paise in salaries seem to be going to other people who have the top jobs. I feel that justice must prevail and all people should be treated equally according to the jobs they fill.

Mr. President, we as Legislators woted the money to take oare of the additional salaries to the Civil Service, but the public aeem to believe that we were the ones who deoided on the rated, which is not correct. I feel, Sir, that a statement should be issued that we as Legislators were not responaible because we have been blamed for the action.

Roads. There are certain roads that need some fixing. I have just referred to the Honourable Member responsibte for roads a request that I have had from some people to fill in some swamp holes in the roads and I feel, Sir, that we should look after this.

I am very proud of the airport faoilities, but there is one thing that I have a oomplaint about and that is that not many Caymanians, ordinary labourere, have been employed. I do not know if that was the reference that was made prior to my speech that ordinary people do not have much chance. I feet, sir, that when there is a job by Government, labour should be spread over to those who will work.

Postal Department. I am sure that
that is being operated at a great advantage. I have never heard any oomplaints on too mony about the Post office and I feet that as one of our big income earmers we should keep that property staffed and growing.

The water lenses that have been cleared in the Lower Valley area are, I feel, of great inportanee. I can sure that eventually that service will extend to George Toum and onwards.

I feet, Sir, that the housing facilities for the Port Authority and Customs should have some penovation in the near future. After all the grocter part of our revenue comes from Customs and they should be given a proper place that is at least safe for therr ataff.

I notice here, Sir, that it is Government's intention to introduce a Bill providing for Elected Members of the Legislative Assembly to receive pensions. I personally, Six, feel that serving our country is a great honour, but it takes-a lot of time and those who have aontxibuted in the past (I an think of eeveral including my cotleague from North Side and one cotleague from East End) have experiencedgreat difficulties in doing the jobs they havs done. So if the Government thinks that they are worthy of such coneideration I would agree for it, but for me personally I have served this oountry to the best of my ability without hope of reward and I expect and hope and pray that I shall continue to do so for at least another four years.

Now I would pray in this election year that we, whichever of us are privileged to re-enter these Chombers, will come in here in a spinit of goodwill towards each other. Each

MISS ANDIE HULDAH BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): and every one of us have in some smatl way done some good and I feel we oan better serve the country and God by living in unity and doing what ie right to His aervice.

I thank you, sir.
MR. PRESIDEWI:
Does any other Honowrathe Member wish
to apeak?
HON, G. BAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, I wonder if you would
allow a suspension now as there is another meeting scheduled for just after the suspension?

MT. PRESIDENT: There appears to be a reluctance on the part of any Member to speak. If it will suit the convenience of the House $I$ cm quite content. Perhape we could agree to meet again at two-fifteen? Does that suit Members?
$I$ think I am might just to remind
Membens that thave is echeduled to be a meeting of the Commorivealth Farliamentary Asoociation at four-thirty today at the end of our proceedings.

So I witl auspend proceedinge until two-fifteen.

AT 12:21 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED
HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:20 P.M.
 put the question.

Does no Member wish to speak?
$I$ do not think we con wait very much tonger. If no Member wishes to speak I shall have to put the question.

MR. CRADDDOCK EBANKS: Nr. President, I, Sir, woutd tike to compliment you on your Throne Speech and oontents therein. However, before going into that in detail. Sir, I am thankful for the opportunity, and the privilege of being able to take part in another Throne Speech that is being delivered to this House.

I oome back on this occasion, Mr.
Fresident, with the full mind and intention to work wholeheartedly without fear or fawo with all Members in Covernment in this Session of the Legislative Assembly.: We can only achieve the things we would tike to aohisve by working together. We att have our ups and downs, our shortcomings, but I feel, Sir, that we can achieve the goale that we set out for if we are prepared to work together: So I enpect it will be a good year for Membere working in the direction for the betterment of the oountry and people.

I am sure, Mr. President, it is natiore in alt human beings that more or less we have in our lifetimes or during our lifetimes some fond memories of things that we memember, things that we think about and, Mr. President, I could name numerous thinge that are in many instances fond memories to me. I think about them and I will just name one or two with your permission, Sir.

On one occasion white in Liverpoot at the Seamen's Institute I was given a postoard by the mother of the Lord

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): and Mayor of Livexpool. I thought, Nr. President, that I was honoured by this very elderly tady presenting just thet (the oard), but I thought a lot of it.

During my visit I went on come tours in London and I had the opportumity of sitting for a few minutes in Alexander the Great's roeking chair that I still remember. I have memories of Her Majesty the Queen when she visited our Island a year ago and being the first Caymonion to be honoured by a reigning monarah on the soil of Grand Cayman, I think is does leave some fond memories for te to cherish.

Lastly, but not least, sir, for the yeare that I have spent in this House, it is an honour to me. It is a memory to be cheriohed that I had the privilege from the people of the Caymom Islands, not only my aonstituente, to have confidenoe in me to represent them over the years and I have Zone it, Six, without fear or favour. I think this remains one of my most outstanding memories that I have had this privilege.

I am prepared, six, to take the next step for the general elections. I will be standing as a candidate. It is left to my constituents to decide the oase, but I ams sir, prepared, with the hetp and guidanoe of the good Lord, to continue to work for the people of these Islands.

Mr. President, as $I$ said in the beginning I would start dehating the Throne Speech. One writer adid that his friend aast his bread on the waters and it came baok to him. He got a $\$ 10$ fine for litter, so $I \mathrm{am}$ going to attempt to cast my bread on the water. I suppose it will oome baok, but I do not know whether it will be a prison term or a fine. Nevertheless inintend to cast my findings out.

Mr. President, you pointed out in detait as you saw things over the year past and gone and projected for the year ahead and this is customary. I think we have had a good year. Govermment has been able to achieve a number of its commiments and plans for the improvement and betterment of the Islands. There have been projects that we talked about that have gone into effect, but while the older things that Govermment has done and the things that we have achieved there are some paople who feet that we have not been doing anything. They say that this thing has not been done and that thing has not been done and that the answer to that is to clech out the House and put in new Members. I do not know if that will all come about, but that is not the answer, Sir. The 'anower is working together hand in hand. We have been achieving the thinge that we set out for - a growth in the retands and improvements in every area and in every field.

Mr. President, in your Address, almost at the start of it you spoke of the Prison which comes under Internal and Extemal Affairs. I remember recently hearing the Lady Member making a atatement that the building and everything else is a oredit to this country. The atructure, Mr. President, may be a oredit in the structure field, but the reason and the propose of that stmicture does not mean it is good for our country or to our people.

If I have underatood correctly, there are now between 75 and 80 prisoners in the compound. I do not know what percentage of Caymanians are among this number, but for a smalit country like the Cayman Istands with a population of 17,000 to 18,000 we have probably 100 prisoners including those sent to Jonaica. This does not spett happiness, Mr . President, and I betiéve that a lot of these prisoners are young people whether they are our native Caymanions or other nationalities. I believe, as $I$ said, the majority of them are probably young people. What is tife like for

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTTNUING): a young person when thay go to prison? They cowmit offercas, Sirs and break the lows and that is the reason they are in pribon.

It hurte me, Sir, to know that young paople snatched in thit way and sent off to prison and then come back out to wonder around and start doing the same thinge over. Whe should be doing everything possible to help those who aipe oonfined.
$I$ wonder if Govermment ever thought
about estting up soms leotures and have people go there and lecture to them at various times and ocoasions on different ways of life. Probably the churohes would be the best to do work suah as that. How many times the Mintistars pay viaits to the Prisonj I am not cavare of. I do know that they do have visite by churoh groupe, but to what extent and other areas of leoturing that are no greater than their. spiritual welfare. $\begin{gathered}\text { But they could be lectured in othar ways and meane }\end{gathered}$ to twy to mahabilitate them so that when they come out of prioon they will be able to take a better look at tife and see their mistakes so that they will never go back to such pitfalls.

Acoording to the Estimates, 1984, it makes provision for 39 guande at the Prison and I was told a few days ago when some ohureh wanted to have semice on Sunday, they told those interested that theire were too many guarde on leave for them to have a eervice. They did not have enough guards in the compowd to guard the prisoners for a servios to be held. If that is the aase, Mr. President, I think it somewhat pathetic that on Sundays when nobody, is supposed to be working and there are not enough guards at the Prison. to sese for the caring of prisoners during a religious service, Bome investigation needs to be made. I oannot underetand why so many guardo should be on leave at one time.

Again, I oan say, Mr. President, from
the answer I got from the Honourable Firet Official Member with respeot to a qusetion on the prisomer's working is that there is a limited comotent of guards and you can do so much and no more. There ane 39 guards and. I oannot aooept that they oannot be two guards to guand half a dosen prisoner daily in any area that Govemment designatee for them to work. They may work around Government buildings such as the schools, Hoepital and roads. I have seen them ao mony times with the triming equipment, the hacker it is oalled, trimming and there are places at times when it is almost impossible to travel on the roads due to the litter on the roads that this machine throws back onto the streete. I have never seen anybody olearing it and there is ample time and opportmity for prisoners to be doing this.

There must be some breakdown some place,
Six, that proper arrongments and regulations are not coordinated to do this. I am not aatisfied, sir, and I feet that better oan be done with the amownt of prisoners and the amown of guards and there ohould be at least a half dosen priaoners working вome place daity.

It would be dierespeotul of me if I were to say that prisonere should be treated as animals. I do not expeat that and $F$ do not oare for that, but if they are being fed like it la said they are being fed (three meals for the day and light pefreshments whan it is time to go to bed), I wonder how many prisons in the world are enjoying such facilities as that.

Mr. President, I do hope that the Honourable Member responsible for this will look into it and find a solution to improve it.

Ingnigration, Mr. Fresident, is another inportant area; it is an area that is responsible for the reming of this country for good government monitoring the people coming in and going out. What I am unable to wnderstand is when Immigration cheoks somebody into the country, probably for the first time, they must have

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Traffic Lcw.
The type of behavioun that so many motomiste exeroite on the roade today, Mr. President, spelle out... danger, It spells out that another life could soon be gone. When peonte use the rodds without consideration for any hum being, animal on anything else, it is time to have lawe to curb some of this.. And that will not be by $\$ 500$ fines nor the suspension of licences for thirty days. That is not the answer, Mr. President.

When we have traffic travelling at the normat speed within speed limits and somebody comes flying by tiying to paes a half dosen vehicles, trying to whip in and aut of other on-coming vehioles: a driver tike that is a memnace to the roads and the answer to that is to take them off the roads when: given the chonce.

There are famities, Str, who would
like to go for a quiet evening drive to visit friends and families, but they axe afraid to go beocuse they can get bumped' off by the crazy person who thinks he ouns the road and has no respect for others.

It is not onZy, the Tmffio Liw, Mr. President, there are two of three otherenthat would tike to see oome to this House soon. It appears that we need to make most of the Laws mandatory so that the judge will not be lost as to what to do.

Mr. Puestdent, 1 witl go on a tittile
to agmiculture which is the bread of fiff. Without that we will not make it. I still like my breadfmit atthough bome people do not because it does not gnow on plastic trees.

The Agricu ural Department can do so much and no more, sex. Their demonstration is tike some other demonstrations. The yourg men growing up today muet have been bow with their hands tied behind thein backs or fronts or something's but they were not made for machettes. They do not make machette handles to fit into their hands somehow or another. These same yourg men are not supposed to dig little holes to put a pieae of oassava in it.
$\therefore$ I do not know how mich demonstration we witit have to carry out to convince our people that we once lived from the soil and that it is no disgrace to continue to live from the soil in one way or another. There is nothing better than what we grow.

I have not seen any tomatoes coming
There weighing a pound or a pound and a quarter from overseas, but they have been growing on this Island. I see cabbaire grown here weighing five poundo to the head.

In your ddress, Mr. Pr sident, you refermed to the banona projeot being arried out on Crewe Roal. The project is being carried out in an expert manner and when it is all completed the techniques could be passed on to farmers on the naising of bananas.

Mr. President, with respect to the Noxth side area, there are but a few acres of land that can be developed for agrioulture. There is just too much oliff. In these aneas there is mart soil and rocks that con be ripped atmoset with $a$ wheelbarrow instead of by a bulldozer. You aan mip it and tuim it over and mix other thinge with it. If you go down two feet you get water for irrigation, but, Mr, President, it is just not that easy in the easterm districts. I speak primarily for North site, but East End has the same terrain. I oan be told that you plant six inches down, put in so much fertilizer and water three times a day, but it is just not that easy in our area.

I con remember mis dear father who
was a farmer and it was as common as hiv. fingers and toes to see him

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONYINUING): with a ten, eleven and a twelve hand bunch of banonas hanging anywhere on his property. He never used fertilizery he never had a chance of ubing a bulldozer; he never had water to irrigate, but he would just put a aucker in a hote that he had dug and it would mature and develop. As I said a ten or a twelve hand of bananas was more common then than it is today. That same soil is atill up in those jungles, but the machette is not made for our people.

So I do not know how far the demonstrations will reach on what benefits we will derive from them since we ocnnot use equipmant to do in our areas what is being done here in the: George Toun area.

We have a Head of the Agrioultural Department, Mr. President, and within the four to six weeks after his armival to the Island he viaited some areae in North Side: I cannot say he visited every household that had trees, but. I was assured that those visits tiould be on a regulari basis to help the households with theis Backyards and gardens- what to do about them and how to help control the pests and other problems. And if I remember comrectly, Sir, the Head of the Department ocme back to my place once since that; not on a visit to do a round like I was assured, Sir.

When we pay money to have things done, it does not mean to sit in the office and read a paper or make up something and pass it out saying that this is what we are going to do. We need to go to these various places to see what oan be done. I am not aaying, Mr. President, that the officer may not have a full day's work. : I have a full day's work, sir, like any of us, but we must reguiate our work, time and schedute to tie in with these when it ocames under you, sir.

We are talking about an inorease in cattic. I, have never been in the cattle business, but $I$ can toll you, Mx. President, the way that I aee the land being cleared for sub-divisions for housing and buildings, all the miles of beautiful green pastures are now being bulldozed for sub-divisions. I do not know whe ther it is planned to import cattle fields to raise them. But the way that landis betng developed from what it used to be used for, it does not seem that we ape going to weach the stage where meat and milk is going to be suffiotert in this covntry by way of oattie.

MirpPresident, there are a few other areas that I would tike to touch on. We have the Caymanian Protection Board; the public are getting a bit riled with it. They do not know how mugh the Caynanion is being protected. There have been numerous oomplaints brought to me, sir, and I have heard it in conversations and discussions where they cannot find out why mambers on the Protection Board should not be changed more' regularty rather. than some being life-time members. The reasons that they give for this, Mr. President, is' justifiable. Membere should be ohanged on a more regular basis. I suppose most people say that when you have somathing good you should hold it.

The trork permit system. Some people can get as many work permits as they want whilst someone else cannot get one work permit granted any under circumstances. That is one of the reasons why some of the people viaiting the Istands (from other Istonds primarity) oome in here, get lost and look around the next day and find where they oan probably get wark - aomebody probadity could not get a permit to import someone or even get someoneafter. they armive in the Istands, and they take the chance and go in hiding and go and work for some of the people who cannot get work permits,

The Caymanian Frotection Board

MR. CRADDOCK ERANKS (CONTINUING): is oreating some of theae problems. If someone gets a work vermit for two years, probably in the maid field, when those two years are up that persom must go, yet for someone else it san be renewed.

I know now of a young lady who was sent home when her time was up. She came back shortly after that as a visitor to visit the family and a he got employment to work for cnother fomily and now she has lef't that family and has gotten a permit to work for another family. That is the way it goes, but somebody else who needs a permit cannot get it wnder any circimstances.

Equality without justice or justioe without equality - evempone should share and share alike. Be honest to all and that is why we are having some of the probtems that we are having.

I saw some fellow not so long ago working and Immigration happened to come to the place of employment just as I was there. They pioked him up and he said that he had tried everything to get a work permit and Immigration refueed to give it. You see they make our people commit themselves and all they say is that you should have known better. But when you have a job to do you comnot do it by yourself, you need help, but then what can one do.

Mr. President, you may think that I am starting to preach. I am not going to start preaching yet, but I an going to shout after a little while. These fellows were reluctant to get up. As far as I am concerned they can leave now and go home unless they want to listen move to what I have to say.

We have had grieviences and corruption and there is more than one way, Six, that you can apell it. There is more than one way that we can face it. When any one Department favours individuate and will not under any cinoumstonoes consider other applicants - I do not know what you would call that.

I have aeen people, Sir, and I believe I know what the policy is. If I get a work permit, my name must be on it confirming that I am employing dohn Brown. And if by chonce someone else could use that same person because I may not have eufficient work, that other nome should be on the work permit. But when an individual has a permit for some one who is working for d dozen people, you do not find their nomes on the work pernit, Sir. That is going on in this oowntry.

If one cannot afford to have someone working fulltime beaque they do not have the work, how can they get a work permit? A person will get a work permit and bring eomeone in who in turn works in West Bay, George Fown, East End and Bodden Town. I am positive that work permit does not have alt those names on it.

Let us be clean in all our dealings, Mr. President. Let us be fain to all mankind in this oountry. If someone is lucky enough to get a fortume handed down to them, God bless them and for the majority of people who have to work, let us be fair with them when they need somebody to help them work.

This Planning Department can boast of the plans approved for the year which gave us the millions of dollais. That is good, but they are like alt of us 1 suppose they have weak spots somewhere.

When permisoion is gronted for a
building and there is barely enough land to put a structure on it, with little ox no surrounding property, and taking into consideration the purpose of the building they ought to be very cautious in granting buitding permits.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): There is a tittle hotel in its ampletion stages int North Side. With the land being small in size the hotel has been buitt so close to the road and there is no space to park a car. Where are the quests going to park? Are they going to park their care in the street?

These are the things, Mr. President, that the Planning Department ought to look into. They should eneure that the faoilities which go with an hotel, condominium or apartment have ample aurrownings for these purposes.

Again, according to the Liquor Licensing
Law, these bulidings are not supposed to be any more than a quarter mile or a hatf mile from the nearest Church; Civic Centre or Schoot. Measurements should be taken to estabtish the diatance.

Applications are then made to the Liquor Licensing Board for liquor licences cond if they are not granted you will hear other songs. But shoutd they be granted when buildings are faoing a School, a clinic and a Church juat a oouple of hundred feet down the road? I blame plaming for these kind of things, Sir.

I am not agatinst anybody trying to make life worthwhite; but I do not think that Planning, in its oapacity, should bow to such applications as these.

MR. PRESIDENT: If the Member proposes to speak for some further period, I wonder whether it may be convenient for us to take a break now. If the Member is nearly finished then we .... ....... I had the impression that perhaqs he intended to apeak ...

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
No, Mr. President, I plan to go on for a little blt Zonger anyway. It would be quite alright, Sir, if wo take a break.

Mfr. PRESIDENT:
Wett, perhops it is about our usuat time for taking a break. Psxhaps we could suspend proceedings for about fifteen :minutes.

AT 3:30 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED
HOUSE RESUMED AT 3:53 R.M.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
The debate on the Throne Speech

MR. CRADDOCK. EBANKS (CONTINUING): The reason I. suggested to my colleagues that they use w today and I would start in the monning is because $I$ do not like to trim my debate or for it to be intermupted. Nevertheless, I will get back.

Eduoation and Health. These are two very inportant matters to deal with. There is only one thing over health and that is the gospel of neligion. Health comes seoond. Money ann buy most anything to some extent and there are people in the world today who would give every niekel in the world today to onjoy a perfeotlys beautiful health - even for one year, I believe. So when we have good heatth it surpasees anything etso except, as $I$ acid, the gospet - if one believes in it.

Education is a demand in our oowntry today for the youth (those who are going to school) and those who have passed the school age, but they are far from being too old to

MR. CRADDOCK ERANKS (CONTINUING): study and further their atandards of education so that they can better aohieve their positions in their jobs, oommutity and Istonds. I win glad, Mr. President, that with all the weak spots within the education system on achools we have muoh to be proud of today.

The examination mesults for last year were fantastic and I woutd hope, Sir, and I would tike to know that all those students who made the grades (even if they did not get aeven, eight or nine aubjects, but got three on four) will build on that and continue to further their education nather than being swayed for higher paying jpbs.. I would like, Sir; to see our young people well edivated. They have reached the etage where they oan qualify for iniversities and colleges all over the world. This they have aohieved through the desires of their hearts, regardless of what type of field they wont to work in. Five; six or seven years is not too long to work for tourarde one's goal and when it is meached you can shine it. You can demand your job.

The time is right, Mr. President, for more Caymanians, not those with etatus, but for more Caymanians to qualify for the running of this country. It is our comtry; our grandfathers' taid the rock structure or the foundation and the generations that followed kept building lime keele, did more plastering, buried some iron-wood posts and built Cayman to what it is today.

It is high time, Mr President, for Govamment to take a alose look at the situation: I suppose I can be told that you cannot make a boy go to college if he does not wont to go, but I feet that they should be persuaded and encouraged: (bath boys and girls) to the fulteot extent. When Govermment is prepared to pay their way through toniversity or college, it io really giving them the opportwity which they should have.

When the students come home from college they feel that maybe because they did five years and aohiteved all "A's" that they should be given the nent post in the job to you or something. I realise a little of that exiete. But, apart from that, Sir, our people must be encowraged and made to understand that you get what you want by working for it.

We have people in these Islands today. Sir, people who ame here to work for Governnent in the capacity for which they ocume and I could look around, Sir, and nobody on the outside can show me anything that somebody did during their years working for Goverrment in their oapacity. Today they have Caymanion status - they go about theix business and work where they want to work and yet they have oontributed nothing.

I feet tike digging my head, Mr. President, but alt the lice have gone npw - we do not have any anymove: We must make it abiondantly elear that any tadmantan who con qualify, we must find a place for them regardless of whom may have to be squeesed out. Whether it be a Caymanian enployee or someons's son or daughter as the case may be. That is what the Caymanian Proteotion Board is there for, but it is said that it does not protect.

The thing about it, $\because$ Mr. President, is that Govermment is prepared to apend any anount of money for further edueation to give every boy and girl the oppowtmity.

I sow something in some paper: a coupte daye ago, I betieve it wae some circular that I got, on this. And it is a known faat, Mr. President, that business firme in this country put out applications for aomeone to fill a partioular post and when a Caymanion or two neply they are told that the

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): post is already filled. It was filled before they sent out the applitoation, but they only did it to protect themselves since they have to advertise for $a$ certain period of time. They only did it for curiosity's sake.

It is up to the Department responsible to see that Caymanians get their rightful place and position in this country. And unless that is done, Sir, the young educated people who are trying to get a college degree will not be encouraged unless they know that there is a place for them when they return.

It really seems, Mr. President; and
I will be honest with you, Six, that in all our education strides our people do not seem anxioue to take ur the role and the load of teaching. It apears that we have years and years to import teachers, but we cannot tell a child that he must be a teacher when he has the idea or interest to be a mechonic. You cannot take that from a child and say that he or she must be a teacher.

Alt people, Mr. President, do a bit of squawking. They say you let people come in and take this and let people do that and we are left out. That uill continue, Mr. President, to a degree. if our people do not achieve their goals for them to be in those positions. We will have to continue to bring in certain people from certain places for certain jobs, but Government should not be reluctant to shift posts where another officer could possibly fill his or her contract to give John Brown his mightful place for which he qualified. Until we do that, Mr. President; we are going to have some of this.

You see, Mr. President, I guess I try to aee too many things at one time, but I did not have the chance to get an education. We did not have the achools in those days. If one got to the fifth standard one was a good atudent and made the grads. The sixth standard was as it were the limit. But the boys and girls do not have to go fishing in the morming for their mothers to cook their catoh for lunch these days. When they get up they oan have any breakfast they want and when they come home their meals are there for them. The worst part about it is if they calt for their mother and she is not there. That is discouraging for the boy who might be trying too.

These are the things, Mr. Preaident, that the students of today have every opportumity. Everything is paid for. They get up in the morming and take the bue to mide to school and aome home again. But if we continue the trend it.. appears that we will have enough, providing they do take the interest and opporturity to aohieve their goals to qualify for the moning of thise cowntry. In other areas, Mr. President, it witt take a lot longer - such as medical. It will take a long time to have enough qualifisd doctors to fill the posts in the Hospital to take care of the giok.

The way that studente are going for further eduoation and the way that they seem to be achieving succese in thein studies, we have something to look forward to, Six, upon their return. But what I an saying. Sir, is that they must be protected and given those positions for which they worked. As the Lady Member said, the only complaints she had about the Hospital were good. She was happy that the facilities, surmondings, service, food and att thinge together seemed to be reasonably good and there were no major grievances. So that, Mr. President, ought to make us feel reason$a b l y$ good. I suppose a sick person is more easily. irpitated than a fit person if they do not get what they feel they should get at that moment. They have grievances. Wett, I too, Mr. President,

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS. (CONTTNUING): feet that way. We have gone a Tong way. We have oontinued to build, eapand and inerease and I think Govermment has aluay tried to find the quality of doctore needed.

I believe, Mr. President, that with the amount of doctors that ue have, a littive more time and pationce could be given to patients because it is the patient who is feeling the pain and having the problem. If a little time io not put in to try to diagnose an illness, the patient' goes dway fecting whappy. because they feet they have not been property looked after.

In Dr. Hortor's days he would keer a patient in for hatf a day. There oould be as many people outside as possible waiting, but he would always oome to the door and acy, "I will be with you in another five minutes", but he would be gone for an hour before he came back. And you coutd send a patient to any doctor in the world after he had finished with them and they would tell the patient what he had told them.

I hold out my hat with greatest respect, Sir, to our doctors. I feel that they are doing a wonderful job, but there are some poople who foel that they do not get enough attention. However', nobody hae died in their hande yet and I tirust that no one will.

Mr. President, there a lot of other things that I would really like to go into and I trust, Sir, that you witl bear a little tonger with me. I intended to be brief and I wilt still try to be brief, but if $I$ do not finish today I will finish tomorrow.

I think, Mr: Pmesident, that we have
tried to make our civit semants the happiest and most comfortable group of people working for this country. And without a doubt. Mr. $A$ President, it has grown over the years.. There was a time when there were half a dozen Civit Sarvants, I remember seeing in one of the old Zedger books, after Govermment moved from the waterfront, \$5,000 for the year. The expenditure showed aixpense for this and ninepence, for that.' I do not know how many Heads were in the staff then.

The Civit Service ts doina a remarkable job. We have Civit Servante who have dedicated their lives to this cowntry and I feel, Mr. Eresident, that it is only fais then we can find it possible to give them an increase in salasy and other benefits that might follow as well. And I think this Govermment has been trying to do this over the years.

As was stated in the debate, the Honourable Third Official Member felt the time was right for oertain weeding out to be done within the Service. It is only fair: We are getting top heavy. We need to give everyone who may not be doing a futt day!s work the opportunity' to qualify for it or to transfer them to some other area. I would not think, Mr. President, of just dumping someone. It woulid be whfitr. We need to give them the opportumity to meet the requirements expected of them.

We have fust had a new salary atructure for Civil Servants to get a better pay. The cost of living is going $w$ every day. But I wonder sometimes if the Elected Members are partly being blamed for some of this. Like some other things; Mr. president, I an go to bed at night and know that I am innocent. Like all other Nembers, we had no part in it.

I wonden how much Govermment can incrase the salaries to satisfy every single civil servant. Now as I said, Mr. President, I an proud of our Civit Servants. I am provid of them and of the jobs they are doing, But why would eome

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (COYTINUING): be so unreasonable and feet that: they have been unfarrly dealt with because they did not get as much as somebody who has put in twenty years of semvice. We had advisors brought in to devise the system for regulating civil Servants' satamiea on qualifiaations, years of servioe and everything elee. So I cannot see why any of our people should be disgrontled over their increase.

As I said, Nr. President, I oan truthfully go to bed (including all the other Memberg) knowing that I had no part in it, sir.

I wonder how many civil Semante in
this country worked fifteen or sixteen years and never got a penny? I put in alt that, Sir, for this country knowning that I would map the good that came from it. But like all Members in those times, we got nothing. We did not gmable about it. We made it our business. We were on time and we did not teave until the job was finished. We sat in the Town Hall one night until twelve o'clock (the Lady Member here ocn bear that outl. Now, when the alook hand reaches four-thirty, we begin to ahut the door and this and that and everyone is ready to go. There are some who will stay after the alock reaches the hour.

Mr. President, I know if we wews atl alike the world would be dull. So I am glad we are different. I follow the diatates of ny conscience and try to be guided by that to the best of my ability. And when I fail to do that, sir, I will not indtoate or publicly state that I will be seeking a seat in the next eleation because I am going to live with a clean aonscience.

I have served this country, and I am proud of it as I said in the beginning, with clean hands and a pure heart for this country and its neople. The only thing that bothers me a little bit is to see that some of our people really do not have some of the things that they should have gotten. When $I$ aay that $I$ am not making inference to any Member in here. I am not saying that they have not lived with clean conscienoes I am not saying that at all about any Member in this House. I com apeaking about myself. And I think it applies to all Members as well.

Mr. President, with your permission, sir, and the House; since there is only five minutes left perhaps we might adjourn at this time. Rather then me starting on another department or subject, I could continue in the morming, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Do you propose to go on speaking
for quite a long then?
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Yee, sir, I do have more grounde that I feel I am justified to cover and should cover. This comes onty once every twelve months, Six, and I must do my duty acoording to the dictates of my mind

MR. PRESIDENT: Vexy well, Well there is a Commonwealth Parliamentary Asbociation meeting scheduled for four-th ty, so perhaps it would be convenient if we ........

## ADJOURNMENT

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I move the adjourmment of this House witil ten o'clook tomorrow morning, sir.

The motion is that this House do now adjoum until ten o'olock tomorrow morning. "Unlese any Member wishes to speak, I wit put the question.
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WEDNESDAY 29TH FEBRUARY, 1984
10:00 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENY:
Please be seated. Proceedings are resumed. The first item on today's Order Paper is the laying of the Commonwealth Day Meseage.

## COMMONWEALTH DAY MESSAGE'

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, I beg to lay on the Table a Message from the Conmonwealth Parliamentary Association.

```
MR. PRESIDENT:
So ordered.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
House I would like to read the Neasage.
It is customary every year for the Chairman of the Exeoutive Committee of the Conmonwealth Parliamentary Association to send out a Commonwealth Day Message. As this is the tast sitting of the House that is scheduted before Commorweatth Day in May we think it is appropriate that the Message should be put formard at this time. The Message reads -
```

Since Comoravealth Day last year, I have been able to visit a rapresentative number of CPA Branohes: New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, Singapore, Alderney, Saint Iucia, Dominica, Montserrat, Kenya and, most recently, India. In New Delhi I attended meetinga of the Working Party on "The CPA and the Future", and some sessions of the Third Asian, South East Asian and African' Seminar on Parliamentary Praotice and Procedure, I also visited state Branches in Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan, Bihar, West Bengal and Maharaehtra.

During all these visits $I$ was able to discuss CPA matters with a broad cross-section of the Association's membership: Presiding Officers, Heads of Government, Ministers, Opposition Leaders, Backbenchere anc Branoh Secretaries. Consistently and wequivocally, loyalty to the Commonwealth as a unique, international family and oommitment to parliamentary government as the preferped instmoment for ordering man's potitical, sooial and economical relations; appear as the hallmarks of our Association. These values were given expression not only at the Association's plenary conferences in Nairobi, but also at the regional (New Delhi, January 1984 and Saint Lucia, August 1983) Level, as well as at meetings of individual Branches, for example, in Dominied last August and in Bihar a few weeks ago.

The annual plenary conference is the aingle most important activity of the Association. The various regionat activities also rank high in order of importance. Yet we should never forget that it ts the individual Braneh which is the nucleus of the Asoociation. Each of the 109 Branches, large and small, independent in its own right, but related to all other Branches by its adherence to parlicmentary demooracy, forms an important link in the CFA chain. Let us each in our various. Branches acattered throughout the Conmonvealth endeavour to strengthen our own Branch in order to more fully reatiae the objectives of the Absociation.

In elosing, I extend congratulations to the St Christopher and Nevis Branch on that country's attainment of independence, and

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): I express the hope that the Branches in Nigeria, whose membership is now in abeyance, will soon be entitled to renew their membership with the restoration of parliamentary government.

The Mesaage is signed: GERALD R. OTTENHEIMER - CHATRMAN OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

MT. PRESTDENT: Thank you. $\quad$ Item 8 on the Order Paper - Questions. The First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands.

## QUESTIONS

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD. OF'FICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOFMENT

NO. 20. Whtt the Member make a statement concerming the cost of setting up a Goverrment Information Service, independent of the Cayman Istands News Bureau, which he undertook in Finance Committee, 1983, to provide?
ANSWRR:
It was agreed in the Finance Committee dealing with the '1984 Bridget that I would axamine the cost of setting up a Govermment Information Service and that I should report baok to Finanoe Committee by March, 1984.
The establishment of an effiaient Govemment Information. Service requires a great deat of thought on such matters de staffing, accommodation; and various expenses that ara news media pelated only. As agreed, I will present to Finanos Committee in March, an estimate of the cost of setting up a Government Infomation Sembice independent of the Cayman Islands News Bureau.

SUPPLEMENTARP:
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mn. President, will the Member say whether seven monthe has not been sufficient time for him to bring this report back to the Members of Finance Cownittee?

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, it all depends on what I was doing in the tast aeven monthe.

In the first portion of that seven months I was dealing with the 1984 Budget, and in my mind that takes priority over this exercise.

MR. PRESTDENT: If there is no further supplementary queation I will invite the Second Ellected Member for West Bay to ask the next question.

THE SECOND ELECTED:MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE POR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIHS

NO.21. - Will the Member state whether there are Caymanian being trained to fill the Air Traffic Controllers' posts now held by four expatriates; in the Civil Aviation Department?

## ANSWER:

> At present four Caymanians are undergoing training in Air Traffic Control at the Barbados College of Air Traffic Control. Upon sucosesfut completion of this training and the issuanee.... of an Air Traffic Controller licence, these persons will be qualified to replace non-Caymanian staff employed in the Air. Traffie Control Serviee.

SUPFLEMENTARIES:
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, if I may ask the Honowrable Member a supplementary. Could the Member state or say. how long or much longer that these men at Barbados will be in training?

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I gather that they should oomplete there in July, 1984, then there is a three months practioal assessment on the umit rating here; bo I would say, towards may be the end of the year they should be ready to take over duty, Sir.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: One further supplementary, Nr. President.
Can the Member aay how many non-Caymanians are now presently working in the control.

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. President, three, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT: If there is no further supplementary $I$ will
invite the Elected Member for North Side to ask the next question.
THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR CONMUNICAFTONS AND WORKS

NO.22: . What amount has been spent in 1983 for traffic lights, traffic signs, cat eyes and painting of no-parking areas?

```
ANSWER:
    Amounts spent dioning the year 1983 are as follows -
    Traffic lighte $29,020.00
    Traffic signs 40,124.72
    Reflective stubs (Cat eyes) 3,244.26
    Painting parking areas 2,883.39
    $75, 272.37
```

SUPPLEMENTARIES:

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: $\therefore \quad$ Mr. President, a supplementary. I am not sure whether these amounts include labour or not, could the Member say?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Theae amounts do not inctude labour.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, I wonder if the Member have
any idea as to what might be the cost of labour that would then add up to the total cost?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad$ I am not in the position to give that information now, but $I$ aan get it for the Member.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
I appreciate that, Mr. Preeident.
Mr. President, question No. 23 to the ame
Member.
MR. RRESIDENI:
I was not absolutely certain no other Member wanted to ask a supplementary. I think you are probably quite right, but let me just give them an opportwity.........

```
MR. CRADDOOX EBANKS: , % I apologise, Sir.
```

MR. PRESIDENT: U. Juet a few eeconde. Now, I think. no other Member has a supplementary so we may proceed with question wo.2s.
THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH BLECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS
NO.23. How many street lights ape in the Islande and the oapital cost per light?

## ANSWER:

The totat number of conventional (175W) street lights is 675.
Supply and installation costs per light is \$100.00.
The total number of Iuminaires (400W) street lighte on Weet Bay road is 105.
Supply and installation costs per light is $\$ 215.00$.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

CAPT CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, does this inctude the tights for Caymian Brao?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: No, Mr. President.

CAPT, CFARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: A further supplementary, Mr. Fresident. Could the Member state how many lights are at Cayman Brac?
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\because$ I can get the information for the Member, Mr. President, I do not have it with me at this time.
MR. PRESIDENT: : Perhaps you would be kind enough to or perhaps you were undertaking to provide it to the Member. Is that comect?
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, a supplementary. Witl the Member atate if there is a monthly fee for these atreet lights?
MR. PRESIDENT: Before the Member say, are you meaning What is the cost per month for the electrioity used?
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Yes, for the servioe of those lights.
MR. PRESIDENT: It is not strictly a supplementary, but if the Member has the information I will allow him to give it, beacuse the question was about the oapital cost, quite specifically.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Yes, Mr. Fresident, I have the information. The monthly eleatriaal charges per light for the conventional lights $i_{s} \$ 5.23$; for the more powerful lights on the West Bay road the oharge is $\$ 11.96$ per light,making a total monthly oost for the street lights in Grand Cayman of \$4,786.05.
MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. President, if there is no further supplementary I will invite the Eleoted Member for North Side to ask the next question.

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESFONSIBLE FOX COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

THE QUESTION WAS DIRECTED TO AND ANSWERED BY THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS.

## NO.24. Will the Member state how many current Cayman Islande drivary' lioences have been issued?

 ANSWER:As at 31st January, 1984, a total of 10,303 current Cayman Istands drivers'Zioences Have been issued.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, one supplementary to to Member. Woutd this inelude all aategories of licences?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: $\quad$ No, Sir, it does: not. It does not include provisional ticenoes issued to learners and the other licenses for just six monthe, these are three year drivers licenoes.

However, as these licences are issued for three years, a lot of people that gained them might be off the Island or have left or so on, but it doess not include the provisional licences or Zeamers.

MR. PRESIDENT: Unless there is any further supplementary ....
MR. GARSTON J. SMITH: Mr. Fnesident, with your permission, Sir, $I$ would like to ask one supplementary. Could the Member say how many of these licences are Tari licence?

HON. D.H. FOSTER: .... No, Mr. Fresident, I really do not have that information.

MR. PRESIDENT: I do not think really that that is a fair supplementary. If the Member wante that information I think it needs to be a speafic question, and it might be the Member would whertake to get the information and to provide it to the questioner.

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
MR. PRESIDENT:

I wilt do that, Sir.
Yes, I think that would be fair. Unless there is any further supplementary $I$ witl invite the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islande to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE F'IRST. ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR AGRICULTURB, LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES

NO.25. Will the Member state whether the land neeessary for constructing the texminal building at Gerrard-Smith Airport, Cayman Brac, has been purchased or acquired?

## ANSWER:

The majority of the tand neoessary for constructing the terminal building at Gerrard-Smith Airport hae been purchased ( 3 parcele in the area designated in the 1979 boundary plan maiy have to be compulsority acquired as the propritotors have not accepted Govermment's offer).

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
CAPT. MABRY S. KIRRCONNELL: Mr. President, a supplementary. Would the Member state if the parcels mentioned is alt that Government witl require for the construction of the terminal?

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: Mr. President, that is exactly what I said in my answer. There are only three pareels that are neceseary.

$$
\frac{M R . ~ P R E S I D E N T: ~}{\text { atready. }} \text { Additionat to the parcels purchased }
$$

CAPT. C.L. KIRKCONNELL: A supptementary, Mr. President. Are there any reasons why Government has not used its power under the Land Acquisition Low to acquire these three parcels of land?

MR. PRESIDENT: My understanding was that the - Oh, I see. Well, fair enough, I will allow that as a supplementary.

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: Mr. Fresident, there is no reason that
I am aware of. However, I am aertain that the Member is aware that that is not something that can happen overnight, it does take a long time, and I think it is onty fair to say that we have been trying to negotiate rather than to use this.

CAPT. MABRY S. KTRKCONNELL: Mr. President, may I ask another supplementary. Woutd the Member state if the 1979 boundary plan ineludes the area that is now planned for construction?

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: Mr. President, I have been advised that it was not inoluded in that boundary plan.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Preeident, a supplementary to the First Eleated Member of Executive Council. Witl the Member aay then that the construction of the terminal would not be able to continue or to be started until they get this property?

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: Mr. President, I presume so, but the construction of: the terminal really does not fall under my portfolio.

MR, PRESIDENT:
Unless there is any further supplementary
I witt invite the Third Elected Member for George Town to ask the next question.

THE THLRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOW TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST ELECTET MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESFONSIBLE FOR AGRICULTURE, LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES

NO.26. Have the services of any person or persons been procured to take care of Govexnment cemeteries?
ANSWER:
Yes.
SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MISS ANDIE H. BODDEN:- A Supplementary, Sir. Have thoee people
HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: . Mr. President, yes. Certain persons
have been placed in aertain cemeteries and they are working.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, a supplementary, Can
the Member say at this time how many persons are employed for this partioular service?

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: So far, Mr. President, there has been two, and I an certain the Member will recall that Finance Cormittee approved two caretakere.

MR. PRESTDENT: Unless there is any further supplementary $\bar{I}$ will invite the First Elected Member for the Leseer Islands to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

NO.27. Has a programe been drawn for surveying the route fow the extension of the Bluff Road at Cayman Brace?

## ADSWER:

Gazetting of the extended route for the Cayman Brac Btuff Road is part of the 1984 roado programme. The Chief Engineer is liaising with the Lands and Survey Department for a team to visit the Brac to establish the route.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless there is any supplementary, I will
invite the Third Eleoted Member for Goerge Town to ask the next question.
THE THIRD. ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESEONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

MO. 28.
Te the construction of a road in the Lower Valtey regietration section Block 38D, Parcel 36, to be undertaken in the near future?

## ANSWER:

No.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: : Mr. President, Sir, with your pexmission
I shoutd like to ask a supplementary question. Is there any specific reason why this road witz not be undertaken to be made in the inpmediate future?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad$. Mr. President, the road in question is a road that would run from Savannah to Bodden Toun along the sea-coast joining up with the Manse Road in Bodden Town. This road had been gazetted in August, 1979, the reason for gazetting the road at that time was because this road is a part of the 1977 Devetopment Plan.

The roads in that Ptan are onty projections of the Plan, Government hais no obligation at alt to build those roads but we thought it wise to dectare the road so that developers would know where the road would go should they intend to develop. However, with the many demands on the road programe it has never been possible to build that road, and it would appear from the way the question is phrase: that the partioular paroel belongs to one Henry C. Carter from Bodden Town. I do not know if I am expected to do any special favour for any particular person; (I have heard yeaterday about the Govermment of favoure).. and I woutd aleo like to add, Mr. President, that this road from Savannah

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN(CONTINUING): to Bodden Town along the sea-coast, it is my sincere hope that it will be built one day, but there is no provision for it in the 1984 Estimates and as road priorities go, unless some miracte happens $I$ do not expect any provision will be made in 1985 for this road.

MISS ANNIE H: BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, with your permission I should like to ask the same Member a question, and it is this:- will the aore of land that has been taken from this man'e property be now returned to him since the road is in the very distant future?
HON. G. $\operatorname{HAIG}$ BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, as far as I know no acre of land has been taken from this man's property.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, I would like to make a statement. The two land certificates have been shown to me, and in. the first instance it. was four acres, now they have taken it back and put only three acres, one are being netained for this road.
MR. PRESIDENT: I think we have strayed slightly from supplementaries, perhaps this is a matter which the Honourable Member would like to pursue with the Fourth Elected Member as a separate issue, because $I$ think we have got rather far from the original question or from supplementaries to it.

If there is no further supplementary I will
invite the Second Eleated Member for West Bay to ask the next question.
THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESFONSIBLE FOR TOURISM, AVIATION AND TRADE
NO.29. Will the Member state what steps are being taken to eliminate future confrontations between taxi and tour-bus operators? ANSWER:

Understonding between all partiee concerned and the hope that the good Lord will pender his assiatance.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

CAPT. C.L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I would like a alamification on the answer, sir. It says "understanding between atl parties concerned and the hope that the good Lord will render Eits assistance", does that mean understanding between all parties concerned have been reached or what does the Member mean?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, that is a hard question to answer, because to get understanding between all parties concerned here I do need the assistance of the good Lord.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, may.I ask the Member a aupplementary. Is there any Member of Government negotiating an agreement or a settlement or whatever they might want to term it, between these groups of operators and taxis?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: That is a difficult one again, Mr. President, because there is seldom a day goes by but for what somebody in Government is not dealing with some seation of the Taxi Business, so I really do not know how to answer that question. There is always problems coming up, there is always people from Government discussing these problems and so forth, so I oannot andwer the question really.
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MR. CRADDOCK EBADKS:
Mr. President, one further supplementary to the Member. Does the Member fael or could he state that this is a serious situation to the development of this country?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I do not think that could be called a supplementary arising from this question, but $I$ will answer it.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ In fact I was going to mule it out of order, because questions arie not siqposed to- No, wait a minute - no, alright, I am sorry, I think it is alright. Sorry, carry on.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Prestdent, there are many things that can affeot the welt-being of this country. This situation seems to be a deteriorating one, and if any Member could aome up with augestions that would help to bring this to an end. I would appreciate it very muoh.

MR. PRESIDENT: If there is no further suppliementary I will invite the First Elected Member for George Town to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNGIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

NO. 30. When is it anticipated that traffic lights witl be installed at the junction of Hospital road, Walkers road and Smith's road?

## ANSWER:

It is proposed to extend Elgin Avenue to Smith Road during 1984. This should have a marked effect on the traffic situation at the junction of Hospital, Smith and Walker's Roads. Installation of traffic lights will be deferred until the situation can further be studied.

## SUPPLEMENTARY:

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, a supplementary to the. Member. Could he say how early in 1984 that they expect this to come about with the joining of the road to Elgin Avenue and Smith Road?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, as mentioned in the answer the extension of Elgin Avenue across the Raoquet CLub on to Smith Road will be done this year. The work has started in that Public Works is looking at the Gazetting, the Fortfolio is in the process of seeking the draw-doun of the funds which were approved by Finance Committee. As to when the work will actwally be done, I aannot say, but we will endeavour to have it completed as soon as poseible during 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT: If there is no further supplementary I will invite the Seaond Elected Member for the Lesser Islands to ask the next question.

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR TOURISM, AVIATION AND TRADE

NO. 31 Will the Monday fltght, Cayman Brac/Miami and return, by Boeing 727 be reinstated and if $s o_{3}$ when?

## ANSWER:

The Monday flights Cayman Brac/Miami return by Cayman Aimwas' Boeing 727 were oancelled during the month of February because of lack of traffic. The flights are scheduled to recommence on 5 th March, 1984.

## SUPPLEMENTARY:

CAFT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, a supplementary. Would the Member endeavour to change the routing on the Monday flight to originate in Grand Cayman and return to Grand Cayman from Cayman Brac?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, we are endeavouring to look into every aspects of that travel, but unfortunately it is very diffioult in view of the small amount of people that take advantage of this route. For instance, in March ooming up now, on the 5 th we onty have twenty-nine people booked on that run, on the 12th we have forty-two, on the 19th, thirty-nine and the 26th, none, and it was even worge than this in February, and that is why these steps had to be taken.

MR. PRESIDENT: There may just be time for another question or possibly two. Would the Second Elected Member for the Lesser Islands like to ask the next question.

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMNICATIONS AND WORKS

NO. 32. Can an estimate be given of when there witl be direct dialing from Cayman Brac and Little Cayman?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: . Mr. President, this is a little unusual but if the Members would pemit, I think the answer to question No. 33 would make the answer which I am going to give to question No. 32 much clearer. And if they will permit I could anewer both questions at the same time since we are running out of time.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that would probably be welcomed
by the House, yes.
NO. 33 What plans are there for improving tetephone/telex service to Cayman Brac and Little Cayman by the Franchise holder? ANSWER:

Cable and Wiretess (W.I.) Limited is actively pursuing the study of providing a submarine cable between Grand Cayman and the Sister Islands for telephone and telex aervices.

## ANSWER TO QUESTION NO. 32

It is not possible, at this time, to estimate any time frame on direct dialing from Cayman Brac and Littie Cayman untit the study to improve the servide is completed.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): but it is hoped that before the end of 1984 a subnivine cable will be installed between Grand Cayman and the Lesser Istands.

> MR. PRESIDENT: wh think we are now just on 11 alelock, so fortunately we managed ta complete the questions just within time. No. 3 - Government Business, The next ttem on the Order Paper is Item

THE AIRPORTS REGULATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
FIRST READING
CLERK: The Airports Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT: The Bitl entitled "A Bitt for a Law to Amend the Atrports Regulation Law (Revised)" in deemed to have been read a First Time and is set down for Second Reading.

SECOND READING
CLERK: The Airports Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 1984. HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr, Fresident, I move the Second Reading of a Bith entitled "A Bill for a Law to Amend the Airports Regulation Lcaw".

The reason for this short amendment is that we seek to confer on Policemen and the Security Officers the powers to arrest any person in an Airport in the Cayman Islands who is in breach of any of the Regulations of the Airports Regulation Law.

```
MR. PRESIDENT:
    The question is that a Bill entitled "A
Bitl for a Law to Amend the Airports Regulation Law (Revised)" be given
a Second Reading. The motion is open for debate.
                            If no Honourable Member wishes to speak,
I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MR. PRESIDENT: The House will now go into committee to
study a Bill entitled "A Bill for a Law to Amend the Airports Regulation
Law (Revised)".
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: On a point of Order, Mr. President, Sir,
the Mace is still on the Table.
```

THE ATRPORTS REGULATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
COMMITTEE THEREON

CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2.' INSERTION OF NEW SECTION $4 A$.
$\frac{\text { HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman; Sir, if I may move a very }}{\text { minor amendment with your leave under Standing Order } 52(2) \text {. }}$

MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad$.. Leave granted.
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HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: In Clause 2, Mr. Chairman, Sir, in the newly to be inserted Section 4 capital "A" paragraph (a) the first line thereof, I think it could be more felicitousty phrased as to "any person who, having been removed from an airport" instead of "the airport". It infers there is only one airport.

I beg to move that amendment.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
It has been proposed that Clause 2 be
omended in the new Section 4A (c) by deleting the word "the" before the word "airport" and substituting for it the word "an".

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak
to the Amendment? If not, IF will put the question that the Clause be amended as proposed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Does any Member wish to speak to the Clause as amended? If not, I will put the question that the Clause as amended do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 AS AMENDED PASSED.
CLERK: A LAW TO AMEND THE AIRPORTS REGULATION LAW (REVISED).
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
That concludes proceedings in committee on a Bill entitled "A Bill for a Law to Amend the Airports Regulation Law (Revised)". The House will now resume.

## HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT:
Reports. The Third Elected Member of
Executive Council
TRE AIRPORTS REGULATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
REPORT THEREON
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I have to report that a Bill entitled "A Bill for A Law to Amend the Airports Regulation Law" has been considered by a cormittee of the whole House and passad with one amendment. Section 2 (c) on the first line the word "the" has been chonged to "con".

MR. PRESIDENT: The Bitl is accordingly set down for Third Reading.

I wonder whether it may be convenient for Members if we were now to take our wat morning break before the debate on the Throne Speech is continued. I think it probably will, and I accordingly suggest that proceedings be adjowned for approximate $l_{i j}$ fifteen minutes.

## HOUSE SUSPENDED

## HOUSE RESUMED

CONTINUATION OF THE DE'BATE ON THE THRONE SPEECH
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, I have a few more notes jotted down that I would like to deal with for a little while. It is a beautiful day, give God the glory for it.

Mr. President, Govermment has
been doing everything possible to encourage investment or investors to come into this Island, and I agree with it, Sir, and I go along with $i t$, and as have been, give my support to this trend of business because

MR. CRADDOCK EPANKS (CONTINUING) The Mosquito Research and Controt Unit, Mr. President, it's an annual statement that most or alt Members ocn isoue or make with the Mosouito Research Department that they are doing a remarkable job. They are doing a good job. And some say they wouldn't like to go back to the mosquito days. Probably two weeks would not hurt anybody, Mr. Fresident. They would know what it is like.

But undoubtedlys, in the wisdom of
Government at that time, to launch out, to attempt to eradicate mosquitoes from this Istand, which we were told the Legistators were told then, don't get the feeling that after a period of time, because you mightn't feel a mosquito for two weeks, or for a month, that we can pack up and say the mosquitoes are all gone. It will. never be that, but we hope to get them to where you arn ive in peace and comfort. And, Mr. Fresident, that really has been achieved. They have done an outetanding, a remarkable job, and to keep the pest under control., they can onty continue to do as they are doing, follow the season with the rains, the high tides, and do their apraying and what alse might ever ao along with it, so when visitore come to the Islands: they ecrv relax, but more precious than that, Sirs, when the natives of this istand ann relax in peace and do not have to carry the smoke pot by the front door, before going to bed, to smoke them out of the house.

I would not like to see them come
back to where they were in the 40 's or something. They were killing the cattle, they were stifling them to death. So the Mosquito Research Department do need a lot of congratulation and good support, and I can only say that Members have been supporting that Department for the outstanding job that they are doing to keep mosquitoes under control. I would like for it to apply to the sandflies, but it does not seem like we aan get very close to them.

Anyway we nave got plenty of sunshine and seabreese, and mosquito research to back us,

Mr. Iresident, a few remarks
on the Courts. It appears that the amount of offenders that are appearing before the Courts, that they may soon have to have some night sessions in Court to try to cope with them; because it does not seem like they oan be brought down to a minimat conount during the days ${ }^{\text {s }}$ sittings. It is pathetic, Mr. Fresident; that we find our people, so many of them, and so many young people, being involved in offences that much could be avoided, if they would attempt to conduct and behave themselves better, and not to get involved with the Low. So the Courts have got a full-time job, and I may say, Mr. Fresident, I think that the duties of the Courts are well carried out. It wouldn't please everyons; everybody. Everyone would
not be satisfied, but I do feel, Sir, that they are doing a good job, and I would like to see this continue to help bring orime and offenders to justice and unter somewhet of a control.

Government still has some major projects to aomplete. That will take some time. We have just entered the first phase of Water and Senage, and these wells at Lower Valley that are now selling watex to the publie, to truckers to suppily households, residences, hotels, are extremely great. I know we talked about it a number of yearss but it is not as easy to formulate and bring these major developments into being or existence as easy or as earty as peopte may think.

There are other areas that similar wells will be drilled, and this water supply to be distributed.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS(CONTINUING): we cannot continue to grow if we should think in terme of restrieting investors.

I would like, sir, among atl the investors that has been coming into this Island, those that seem to be interested, I would hope that it might be possible for some factory to be established as to the type of material that might be used, so that there could be full-time employment in an area like this, and then it would oreate export. There are many factories all over the world that are manufactroing materials that are brought from other countries, so I still look forward to the time when such investors might be interested in extablishing such a business here.

I visited a thread factory in Britain many years ago and the material that that thread was made from was all brought in from Canada, the pulp they call it, the bark from a certain tree, and they showed us from the pulp to the thread, on the reel and ready for the market. That is why I am aaying that I would hope not in the too distant future that something like this would come about that would mean much to this Island. We have a lot of investors, we have a lot of people that are prepared to invest in different fields, areas, and I am sure it is Govermment's policy to continue to enoourage and protect the investors within reason and bound to the Law. And it is the reat breadline to the people in all these areas where business is going on - carried on There are many Caymanians that are being employed, but at the end of each year we have roughly a hundread school leavers, it means that the demand for jobs are expanding, so that is why we need to see more and bigger investments in the Island to meet the growth of our youths or our sohool leavers that they will have a job to turn to or a place where they can get a job.

## I would like to touch a little bit, Mr.

President, on Motor Insurance. I do not know what to say to aatiofy myself, but I do feel, $M r$. President, that if the motor insurance bueinessea in this country were honest and sincere, it would help reduce a tot of traffic accidents and fatalities beonuse insurance is given to motoxists who should not be on the roxd. I feel, sir, that if the insurance companies were mone stringent with their customers, it would reduce some of the carelessness on the roads. Vehicles are destroyed, the insurance pays for it, the innocent rate goes up and the innocent pays for it. It is a business that I do not underetand why the innocent should pay for the guilty, but that sesms to be the way it is.

I heard in fact a woman talking to me a few weeks ago about this Insurance business, and to her amazement she had all over the years, and however long I do not know when she insured her car, comprehensive insurance, she had patd for the insurance for the value of the car, and each year kept paying the sane premium value; and they didn't tell her that each year your car is deterioratings the value of the oar will be lees, but kept on collecting premivm for the cost of the value of the car when she finst insured it. At the end of the conversation when some of us brought this to her attention she went back to him. Well yes, that is the oase. You don't get the preminem that you are paying for over ten years on a car, or eight yearss, life that has been deteriorated. That's being unfair to any customer. That is plainly like robbery, sir. And in many cases, many instances, it
ie not that easy to get your claims. But $J$ don't know how much Govermment can do about it, but it doesn't seem to be concerned about $i t$, so I suppoae we have got to live with it.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING):
Alcong with thats Sirs then one af our great, despexate needs, becaube the growth in the Tsland not only from visitors, but residents alike, is the Sewafe Syatem, that like other things, should have been done before now, but has not been poseible; but it will be in the very near future, I hope, started, and then we can look ahead and eort of prediat when we may be able to get this facility into ues. It's one of the things, Sir, that goes with health, and if we don't have the proper systems to deal with such as the requirements of this, then the health of our people can be affected, and we do not want that to happen, sir. While we have $\therefore$ got a good hospitai, good Joetors, nobody likes to be sick, nobody likes to be feeling ill, so we must guard afainet these things:and protect, as far as possible.

Recently, a new Tower has been built
at the:Aixport, which was one of the first phases of the new devetopment at the Airport in connection with the new Terminat. Well, I am glad, Mr. Pnesidents that euah as: the terminal that is now well off the ground; and as I have been made to understand before it even started; from the drauings and ite plane, it is supposed to be equal, and is more than equipped and convenient, and is said with alt the facitities as any modern aimport in the Weatern Hemisphere.

Welt I think, Mr. Fresident, that visitore will certainly appreciate somewhere, especially those. that have been regular visitors every year, every other year for, a number of years. They have got the ating of standing out in the sun, standing out in the spray rain at times, crouching up, waiting on their tum for the Inmigration to be checked. But when this facility is complete, it will be a relief, it will be a place where they will no Zonger go through all of this unhappiness; I would say. It will be a mafor step in the direction of attracting people as they travel from time to time. They can well go back and say that the Airport at Owen Roberts is one of the most Lutumious and comfortable airports in the world.

I think we spent nearly enough, renovating; re-renovating the old terminal, nearly to have built this new one, but nevertheless, we had to do that as the growth of movement increased yearly, as Caymanians travel almost as regularly as any other nationality. So it will be the peace of mind for all travellers. It is anticipated that in early ' 85 it witl be open, and I would trust so, sir, because they say it io very needed, it is much needed, and we oan then any well the public ought to be able to see for themselvee that we are trying to do the thinge that will benefit the oountry, doing things that witl make or ought to make every individual happy. I know they say you are wasting money, you are wasting money. Well I suppose when people that even work for money, they waste nome of it, kut nevertheless, this is one importont step that Govermment has taken that is worth all the money that we spend on it.

Mr. Tresident, according to the Tress, News, and talking with individuals, there seems to be some crisis between taxi drivers and bus operators, and whatnot into this country. Nr. Fresident, I feel that taxis ought to be the ambassadors to this country. They are the first people after Immigration and Customs to be met by visitore, and aeeminglys. much of the semice that the taxis render to passengers, is not the bast

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): I myeelf, Mr. President, have seen the behaviour, taxi drivers acting and behaving in such a monner that it would not become any good aitizen, this includes some Caymanians, not only other nationalities that be in the taxi business, but I have seen some of our own people just about as rude as you could find anybody to be. I cannot see, Mr. President, why some soreening should not be done, and try to do something to clean up some of this. Why let people come to the Island and then go away with a bad tastes, with a bad report about the first people they meet, taxi inivers.

I believe, six, if you got people in
the taxi business that could not find a hatf a dozen places in this Island if they were asked to be taken to. I had one ask me some time ago where a particular church is located, and he was seen to aross
that church dosens and dosens and dosens of times, but just how sone taxi drivers get a taxi licence, it is a mystery to mes, I acmnot understand, I comnot find out how, or why the Department that is pesponsible, why should they cater to every Tom, Dick and Harry, Because he or she can answer a few cuestions or do something. They should be screened. If we ain not build, then we ahould not be allowed to tear down.

A number of taxi fellows, operators
have said to me, which is cuetomary, that taxi dmivers' licences be turned ins renewed in December. Todoy is the last doy of February, and no taxi driver got a renewed taxi licence. Then if a taxi driver gets into an acoident, where do the insurance come in with this, driving without a renewed licence. One taxi driver told me the reason why when he took his licence in, he could not get it, the girl that makes up the driver's lioence is sick. So onty one individual can operate ar departments and until this morning, I was told by a taxi driver that no taxi driver had received their driver's licence for this year as yet. That is gross negligence.

Why should people be teft at the mercy of what they are entitled to. When they go there they should have it renewed, if there are no grounds to discuatify them, but stick it in some drawer and say you will get it sometime.

Mr. President, I think at your request, sir, that we could adjoum ourselves if you so desire, but I am not finished, Sir, and we'tl come back and...
MR. PRESIDENT:
If the Honourable Member has reached a cort of natural break in his speech, perhaps, as discussed with Members, it would be convenient to suspend proceedings now, and to retum at 2.30. With the agreement of the House, I will therefore do that.
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HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:30 P.M.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated.
Proceedings are resumed.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Just one more remark on the taxi business that:I left on. As I mderstand, and it is the law that one can get self-employment licenses. Otherwords a license to operate a self-employment bueiness, and that is the first avenue that they turn to; they get a taxi because they are then self-employed. But I connot see that some of the types that I see in that should be representing this country in that capacity, because it does not spell good, sir.

The Fire Station for the prank Sound to cater to the easterm districts. This must have been in the making for the past three years, and it has been pushed around, dragged around and pulled aroind. One time you haar that is going to tenders, another time you will hear that somebody has a concession, you have somebody else and it is still there, and the services are desperately needed.

You acid in your Throne Speech that it would be built this year, and I am hoping, sir, at a very early period this year that it will get started. Because as I said, it is very important.

When the fire truck leaves George
Toun to come to North Side or East End for aometimes a fake call it is a lot of time and money, but if it is at Frank Sownd I do not mean that it is illegal on illegitimate for it to be aalled to North Side and it is nothing for it, but it is a lot bf difference in the time and the cost between George Town and North Side and Frank Sound then and North Side. So it is very important that this ahould be gone into. Putting it out to tenders or contractors or anybody else, it appears to me that before it is finished it will etill fall back into the hands of Government, probably the Public Works Department to finish it, maintain it, keep it and do other repairs. So why should it drag on year. after year. and nothing is done about it.

And I hope that in the priorities of some sector of Govermment whether it is the Public Works preportment in plonning their priorities that this witl be brought in, if it shoutd go to them or if Government feels that they should construct this, that it will be one of the items on their priomities to be dealt with at the very near future.

Cayman Airways, Mr. Presidents, is always the stone, the target of oritioism. I am wondering, Sir, if it is anything we can do to stop that, but I am sure not.. But, Mr. Preaident, it is time, I know when we started to attempt to operate an airitine, and we have gotten in alt sorts of problems up and down. And over the years it appears, Mr. President, that we must reach some area that where we can say that we are going to do this and not just let it go on and on and on, because flying time is what the planes are made for, not to stay on the ground, because they do not make money on the grownd.

But, the trial route as I understood has just gone into the Turks and Cacios on to Miami, I cannot see in anyway, Mr. President, that that route acn bring us any profit, and why continue to add loss to loss?

It is time that we get the figures as to.
the position. Cayman Airways, let's settle it that Govarmment has to subsidize it. One hundred thousand, two hundred thousand, a half a milliton or one million dollare a year. Let us do it and see what then com be done rather than a continuation of this, that and the other, and cannot get the concrete conslusion or knowledge of just what is happening.
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MR. CRADDOCK EDANKS (CONTINUING): It appears to me, Sir, that the ataff for such a small airline to be the amount that it is. It seeme that some area needs to work more hours, or lese staff or something, Sir. Because we do not expeot anybody to work for nothing, sir, they must be paid for their day's work. But do not allow thres people to do one day's work when two can do $i t$. And these are the thinge, Mr. Fre ident, that I feel needs to be gone into, and some solution as I said reached, that we can decide and know what is the position of the airline, and what Government oan do about it.

I agree, Mr. President, that we should make every effort for our own airline to operate, because it means much to our reventis, to our cowntry, to our people. But we just acnnot go on sort of in the dark with it. . Eet's get some light on it.

Playing fields for the Island on the whole, but up to North Side, Govermment has purchased a piace of land for a play field, and over the past week I have been trying to get some site shots on it as to the amount of fill that might be required. And I am hoping, Six, that this will be dealt with and takan care of in another week or two so that it can get along, knowing how much fill might be required and the sounce that we might get it from, and the cost and other things attached to it, Sir.

So this is one thing that I am asking the portfolio for to give full attention to and ese what can be done at the earliest convenient time.

Mr. President, it has atways been mooted once in a while that the casinos, gambling to come into this country. We stand against $i t$. The majority of the population stands against $i t$, but it does not mean that it still does not raise ite head onee in a while. We have no room for that, Sir. Such as that will add only further muination to our country and its people.

We have a Liquor Liosneing Law, I am going to tie the two of these together for a minute, Sir. And liaqnead promipes and privileges in times have gone to the extent beyond what should be into this island toduy.

It alwoys seems to be the effort to get another stab at getting another half an hour, another hour in the business. My policy, Mr. President, or when we pass laws to be guided by those lows, and not to bend and break and twist to meet the whims and fancies of some people.

I have been on the Liquor Liaensing
Boand for a number of years, and I on again. There are times when we have a new applicant asking for a ticense in some apea inpremises. We get a petition from the conmmity against it. ${ }^{\prime}$ i have made it my policy, Six, and I still will stick to that policy. In a petition that cones ip againet any application for any premises in any places. I do not support that application.

Sundays and and holidays and speaial holidays, upe getting to the braking point.: Only for Neif Years Eve when we dealt with the boards business in December, applications were there asking to extend the hour or a half an hour over twelve o'clock to bring in the new year. We it, it was granted, but not by this member of the board. I objected to it. What could thirty minutes add to the new year coming in after you have opent all day, half the night enjoying the season, but it is always the attempt to try to drive a small nait someplace, sometime to get a bigger one in. And I believe, Mr. President, right now I'will conscientiously aay that, that if with the number of people that $I$ hear standing for eleation; if a number of those peop'le were to get into this House, we would not have any need for a liquor licensing board because it is moored up to twenty-four hours $a$ day. They witl support the coming in of a casino.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): We have more hours wnticensed premises now than is needed as far as I am concerned, and I would iike to see a new bill brought to the House with an insertation that no licensed premises or a liaense witl be granted to any primises to operate on Sundays. I woutd support that one hrodred per cent, and I support it now. If it was left to me, I would say olose every licensed premises on Sunday. Because when anybody cannot drink enough from Monday wentil twelve o'clock Saturday night, I do not know what should happen to them.

We always hear about the toumist, the visitor, the foreigner. This is our ptace. This is our country. And it is we the Caymanians that are helping to drag it down.

Anyway, ae I said, that when the new bill comes up that it will have that in it, if not, I will request that it be put in.

We have, Mr. President, the Ctinic and the Post Office at North Side, that needs some renovation, some improvements. The Clinic, it has been broken into two or three times, and I tinink that more or better substantial windows should be put in, probably burglar bars as well, to eliminate or attempt to avord some of this, and other measures of safety on the inside, where things can be better locked up.

The Poot Office, which I have been told that this is being looked after to make provisions for additionat boxes. But we have to give consideration to finding somevay to protect the boxes, because they are exposed. For example, like the weather that we are experiencing now, we are getting a lot of satt-spray and it will not be too long before the tooks starts to deteriorate and cause probtems. So I feet, Sir, that we will be able to overcome that and get better facitities to the Post Office for the use of the Public. Because I have been made to understand that even people that had post bores in George Town, that it is now more convenient to them now to have their post box at the Post Office at North Side. So it is a demand, and it is a paying project, so I am hoping, Sir, that during this year that all of this will be gone into, and improvements will be made.

Mr. President, to finish off, as we have been told officially, and we understand that, that the clerk will be taking a long vacation. I do not know what she means, five years, ten years, I suppose that she will come back once in a white to pay us a visit, and to see if we are still here. But she feels within herself that she has completed her tour of office, she needs a change, and, Mr. President, I would at this time fail to find enough words, and I am not eaying this from a feeling of flattery. To place on her shoulders as one officer of Government in her capacity in this department, the way that ahe ran the business of the Assembly so efficientiy so much on time. I cannot think of any time that she indicated that she wae not prepared to lend a helping hand.

I guess that I proboizly bothered her more than maybe many other Members, but I was atways aceepted. My thanks oould never reach the distance in attampting to pous what she has meant to me and to Members of this Honouroble House.

I also would like to pay a tmibute to all the others that have been under her command. We have the one appointed that will take her place, and we look forward to building a similar relationship betweon the Eleated Membera and the new Clerk designate when she takes office. And I will give not only the new Clerk that is coming in but continue to give the remaining staff bvery bit of support that I can, Sir.

If it is the desire, and if it is the witt of my constituents to remelect me, I will be putting muself up for the seat, and I would look forward to returning if it is their desire.

MR. CRADDOCK EBAIVKS (CONTINUIVG): They olaim that duming the Zaet election, and apparently for this election ooming up, we need educated people.

I agree, Mr. President, one hundred per cent in favow of education, but when you have eomebody who never went to oollege or university and say that they are educated, and do not have any common sense to put with it or use if they have any, then where do we go from there?

My oonstituents, including myself, are loaded with common esries. They inderestond me, I widerstand them. $I$ do not attenpt to we. any grammatioat' words, but when I say, "Yeah, huh-hwh, hmothrm, nai, they underetiond and it is not a problem.

I am surpmied that we have a minister of retigion already carpaigning for somebody because they have eduoation and they must come in here.

I thank you, Mr. President, for your time and your patience, I would like to thank Members for beaxing with me, but I coutd say some more. But I wish for the Members for the reminder of the next two meetings coming $u$, the beet of everything and I again, Mr: President, truly thank you for bearing with me.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The First Elected Member from the
Leaser Istands.
Mr. President, I would like to congratulate and thank you for giving the Throne Speech which you so ably detivered.

May I begin by saying that my recolleotion
of the Throne Speech given by Her Majesty the Queen at this time last year is very vivid. It was a most memorable oacasion and my heart was warmed by the loyalty and support shown by alt caymanicons.

Ae the Head of our Govermment and the Commorweat th, Queen Etisabeth is an important symbot of the protection afforded these Islands as a Britioh possession.

Her Majesty also represents the important stability which our British backgrownd and tradition provide. I certainly hope and pray that our British ties will aiways be oherished and maintained in ite present form.

In. Hex Majesty's Address last year she spoke of issuse which concern our Islands. Her remarks emphasised our responsibility to formulate and carry out a programme whioh would effectm ively meet the needs of our people. However, to do this the Govermment must make aure that their plans face up to economic realities to ensure the progress of our Istonds. Positive steps must be takens Mr. President, to curtail ow over-spending in certain aectors of Govermment or we will soon face financial problems which witl oripple our progress and prosperity.

The areas which are oausing me most conceim are our Civil Service and Cayman Airways.

The Civil Servioe. Our former Financial Secretory told this Honourable Howe in his Budget Address in November 1981 that the Civil Service was the largest crea of expenditure in Government, and that it had reached a level of nearty $63 \%$ of our recurrent eapenditure. He considered that we had reached the danger point which needed urgent examination.

We were reminded again by our present. Financial Secretary on the 18th of November last year, that it was high time for Government to give consideration to a reduction of staff whereever possible and to ensure that the daity performance of each civit Servant equals the daily pay he or she receives.

I certainty hope that remedial actions will be taken and that our finaneial position is not allowed to continue at this danger level.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): Mr. President, the recent salaries review has apparently caused much anaiety and dissatisfaction within the Civil Service and may cause us to lose many outetanding Civil Servants. Mr. President, the review should have been conducted, as in the past, by an independent overseas commisaioner who knowe nobody here and has no involvement in Government.

The review moved away from the present standard scale for all officers to separate seales for top management and did not give an across-the-board inorease as was done in the last salamies review. This new method applies to salamy increases in my opinion is unfair. Instead of giving the same precentage increase to the full range of salaries, it gives undue advantage to those at the top of the scale. For example, some Senior Officers will receive a $39 \%$ increase while those in the middle grade will onty receive a $10 \%$ and those at. the bottom of the scale - 18.46\%. This me thod may be applicable to the United Kingdom, Mr. President, where it compensates for the fact that top Civil seruants pay a much higher income tax. However, this method is not fain in the Cayman Islands where income tax is not a factor.

The report of the last two salaries review were given to all Members of the Legislative Assembly and approved by them befone they were acaepted by Goverrment. However, in the present instance, no Legislative Assembly Member on this side of the House has been given a copy of the sataries review report before it was aceepted by Govermment.

I would like to make it abundantly clear to all civil Servants that I have had nothing to do with $i t$, nor has any Member on this side of the House. No review, Mr. President, which is intended to improve all officers financial position, should result in some getting higher percentage increases than others.

I am not satisfied that the Elected Members of Executive Council used their good offices to prevent, what I consider, an unfair review.

Cayman Airways. Mr. Preeident,
I am very surprised to learn that Cayman Airways is experiencing a cashflow problem so soon after this Government injected a sum of $\$ 14$ milition (Cayman Istands dollars) in March, 1982. This large swm of $\$ 14$ million was used to pay off the loan of $\$ 8$ million from the Royal Bank of Canada. $\$ 3.4$ million was used to pay off all advances made by. Government and to purchase the AVRO 748. The remaining $\$ 2.6$ milivon was for working capital and to aonstruct the new Administration building at Owen Roberts Airport for Cayman Airways.

There have been numerous glowing reports reteased by the Cayman Island News Bureau which led me and the public to believe that the airline was in good finanoial standing. One release aaid that visitors arriving by air had increased tremendous $7 y$, and the number of passengers carried by Cayman Airways had misen substantially month by month. We have also been told that the airline realised a savinge of U.S. $\$ 604,118$ on maintenance in 1983 as a result of switching to Pan American. Nr. President, I am truty at a loss to see how anyone can suceess.. fully operate the airline without having up-to-date accounts.

We were given copies of draft Financial Statements through the 30 th of June, 1983 , but have received nothing further since then. One would have thought that the Financial Statements ending the 30 th of June, 1983 would have been tabled and made public by now. I hope that before this House dissolves later this year, not only the accounts for the year ended 1983 , but those for the present year will also be tabled.

Mr. President, it is time that the people of our Istands know the true financial position of our National Airtine inasmuch as their money is paying the bills.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL (CONIINUING): Nr. President, as a concemed oitiann, I would like to know where the $\$ 2.6$ million working oupital and the millions of dollans earned by thie airline has gone. It is time we know, sir, and it is time the people of these lalands are told. It is our airline and we want to know whe ther it is making or whe ther it is breaking ue.

Mr. President, $I$ have no pleasure in bringing this serious matter to the attention of this Honourable House, but it is my duty and I will not shirk it.

Prisons. I am distressed by the report on the increase in our prison population. I think we ahould took for ways to more effeatively deter crime. Perhape sentences should be stronger and prison life made lese attractive. I betisve more preventative action is necsesaxy, partioularly with regards to dmig related offenses. We need to do more to amphasise the danger of drugs through the news media and in our sohools.

Cayman Brac and Lititle Cayman. The inaugration of a jet service to Cayman Brac on the 16 th of December last year by Cayman Airways was well received. All my people were overjoyed that jet semice to our Islands had become a reality.

This new jet aervice, toge ther with the opening of the new 40 room Brac Reef Hotel, and the addition of 40 roome to the Tiana Beach Hotel should attract more tourist.

I have been reliably informed that the agrement for the European Development Fund Loan was signed in London on the $12 t$ th of January. This loan will be used to construct a new trminal building at Garrard Smith Airport that has been comtemplated for yeare.

I would like to be assured, Mr. Presidint, that expert advice will be sought to thoroughly check out the design of the building, to ensure that it meets all international requiremente.

Provision of $\$ 125,000$ was made in the 1984 budget, and approved by the Finance Committee to construct phase II of the dock situated at Salt Rocks, Little Cayman.

Perhaps, the Member responsibte could bring us up to date with regarde to when work will begin on this project.

I am very encouraged to learn that the Chief Engineer is liaising with the Lands and Survey Department for a team to visit Casman Brac during the month of March to establish the routo for the remainder of the Bluff Road.

I have had severat calls from my constitwenteasking me to request that the width of the road be restristed to 30 feet.: It is considered that 30 fest $i s$ sufficient, and will oreate no hardship on any zand owner.

As this Honowrable House is avare, no money was provided for the Bluff Road this year in spite of our request for funde.

The road as it now stands is in the rough and where it snds it serves no usefut purpose. This, Mr. President, is one project that I hope the new administration - $1984-1988$ will complete.

I am happy to zeam that the reply to the question today, Mr. President, that Cable and Wireless are aotively con-: sidering loying a submarine cable between Graid Cayman and the sister Istands for telephone and telex semvices which should greatly imporve our services there.

Cayman Brao Light and Power Company received a new generator which they hope to put in service very soon. The new additior: will provide the Islands with additionat capacity of electricity, and ensure a better and more reliable service to alt its cuatomers.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): As predicted over two years ago, the oit trane-shipments off Cayman Brac and Little Coyman have more or less come to a halt.
$I$ ask the Member responeible to see that the air teminal building is standed as early as possible, and also the dook project at Litile Cayman. These projects witt help our peopte over the slow period this year and hopefully we. will have other developmente taking place arowd the end of the year.

Police. I congratulate the Police Force in ito fight against drug trafficking and its record number of seisures. Their. job is not an easy ons, and we must give them our support if we expect them to perform properly.

The excellent relationship that has been established and maintained by our Govermment with the United States Drug Enforcemant Agency, Unitsd States Custom Service and the United States Bureau of Investigation deserva much praise.

We are a small termitory and do not have the resources or know-how to fight drug trafficking on our own. However, we oan thank our big neighbour the United States of America for helping us and providing us with what is needed to combat this evit traffic.

Mr. President, I am sure that you are cware that every effort has been made and is still being made to disoredit the three investigations carried out by Detaotive Chief Superm intendent Gibson, Her Majesty Constabulary Inspector Brownlow and Sir. Jeffem Bmigge.

Most Mambers of this House are trying to convince themselves and our people that the cost of the investigations was a wasto of time and money and has servad no useful purpose. Mr. Presidents, the witholding of Inspector Brownloit final report and the decision by most of the Members of the Legislative Ascembly not to publish Sir Jeffery Briggs report has not helped them to convinos our people that the reports are uselese, but has instead oreated doubt and suepicion.

I personally feel that the reports were worthwhile and that they should have been published as we promised our people.

Mr. President, it is high time that Govermment stopped treating everyone in our sooiety as a bunch of nitwits. They must recognize that the majomity of Caymanians are intelligent and do not need the Govermment to decide what is good or bad for them.

It is ny opinion also that most of the recommendations made by sir Jeffery, Brigge were very appropriate, and if they had been acoepted they would deter and prevent public servants from conmitting any indisaretion.

Housing. Mr. President, I am sure that many Caymanians will be encouraged to know that Govermment is negotiating with Membere of the Finoncial Commonity inefforte to raise funds for low cost housing.

I have always maintained, that what our people need is long term low interest rate mortgage money. Most Caymanians own land or can easily purchase a plot on which to build. I also betieve that our people should be able to construct houses of their choice, rather than be given stereo type ones which do not come up to their expectations.

Cayman has enjoyed a full employment
situation for many yeare, and I hope that this witl continue. It is therefore not difficult for anyone to barn an income and use a part of it to matoh what oomes from Government to build his little home.

In time we would have made progrese in the housing situation for low income persons.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINDING) I also agne with Govermment hetping the less fortimate with renovations on existing etructuree whereever necessary. Mr. Eresident, it is mighty important how the echeme operates. Emphasis should be put on the need to help those who he lp themselves and avoid converting our Ialands into a social atate.

Inmigration: Mr. Prestident, the problems with inmigration have been with us for many yeare, and computerising of arpivals and departures reoords alone are not sufficient. What is most important is to ensure that when visitors overstay prompt action is taken to locate them and to see that they are deported imnediately. They should then be put on the prohibited immigrants list.

Equally alarming, Mr, President, is the apparent growing number of undesirab les, who manage to gain legai permiesion to rebide in these Ialands. We are involved in too highly competitive and sensitive interprises, tourism and banking. We cannot afford to harbour people who would jeopardise the good name that we have built up in these areas ower the years.

Health, Education and Social Services, Health. In regard to the Health Services, I agree with encouraging treatment of patisnts in their own homes. They witt lower the number treated in hospital with wnecessary eapenee. However, we must do more to he lp those in need of aoute hospital care. We must encourage more people to obtain hospital insunance to cover mediaal costs. This is moet important when we consider the inereasing cost of technical aduances in medicine and in having to send our people overseas for treatment.

Education. I would like to congratulate the Member for the progressive step that he has taken in education. I speoifically refer to the computer education being introduced in the High School in Grand Coyman. However, Mr. President, I hope that this instruetion will be extended to the Sister. Islande as well. All atudents in the Cayman Is lands should benefit from auch training which opens up job opportionities in the key sectors of conmerce and banking.

As to the need of tertiary educations coltege we must proceed with caution. The first conaideration is to carefully access what courses are necessary. They should relate to future job opportionities right here in our Islonds such as toumism, banking, oonmerce and shipping.

Legat. Mr. President, we are most fortimate to have an Attorney-General who is so kncwlelgeab te and proficient. He has asoisted all Hembers of this Legis lature, and has given us invaluable advice. He should be commended for the expert way in which he conducte the Legal affairs of our cowntry.

I would like to join the other Members of
the Legislature in paying tribute to our clerk. Mrs. Sybit MoLaughlin who is soon to retive. She has given many years of faithfut and devoted service to this covertry and is respected by all Caymonians. We will miss her pleasing personality and her sincere attention to duty. "Mrs. MoLaughlin, I wish you many happy years of retirement, but I hope that you will be baok in this House in a different ocqacity".

I also would like to extend a warm we lcome to the incoming Clerk Mrs. Gay Jackson. I wish you weitl in your new post, and I am sure that you will continues in the fine tradition of servioe given to us by your predeoseor. We leane and best wishes.

Mr. President, I have done my utmoat to make my contribution to the debate constructive. And I hope that it witl be taken in this light. I thank you, Sir.

I think that it moybe convenient if we take our usuat afternoon break at this point, and so I will suspend prom cesdings for approximately 15 minutes.

MR. PRESTDENT.
the Throne Speech.

Please be seated.
Procesdings are resumed. Debate on

CAPT. MABRY KIRKCONNELL:
Mr. President, I would like to compliment you, Sir, on your detivery of the Throne Speech detivered to this Honourable Legialative Aasembly on Friday, 24th of February, 1984.

Information contained in your speech has highlignted a very sucoeseful year.

1983 will atways be remembered as the year of the Royal visit. The reading of the Throne Speech for 1983 by Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, was indeed a historic day in the history of the Cayman Islands. Her Majesty and Prince Fhilip's presence here have made us all very proud to be a British Subject or a Bmitish Dependant Termitory Citizen. In my opinion, the Royal Visit has increased our loyality to our mother country, and it is my desire, Mr. President, that this loyality and tie should continue forever.

The past twelve months has been a time of great progness in the three istands. Nany important projects have been completed and other projects commenced. As we study the year under review we list all that has been accomplished.

Much aredit must given to Finonce and Deve lopment for their prudent spending and firm oontrol of expenditures. Our balonced budget for 1983 of $\$ 52.3 \mathrm{million}$ was indeed a large budget for a country with a population wider 18 thousond. We now mderstond, that the surplus balance at the end of 1983 should be $\$ 1.1$ million. We must all feel very proud of our prosperity.

Mr. President, I shall now comment on the portfotios and departments as listed in the Throne Speech. I shall try to be brief, having debated the budget speech just a few months earlier. I find it difficult not to repest some of the comments made then.

Prisons. Our prisons systems have developed into a well designed, oonstructed and properly administered institution. During 1983, a Caymanian Director of Prisons and a local Prison Officer were appointed and have taken control of our prisoms. Fhase I and II are now completed and phase III accommodations and compornd for famate prisioners will be constructed during 1984.

We all wish that our conmunity did not require a prison, but this is not the case. We are proud that our prison is of auch a high standard. Prisioners are housed in first alass accommodations, and special attention is given to their rehabilation, and, Mr. Preaident, I think that we aannot place too much effort on the rehabilation of our prisioners, that they wili not be repeats, and when their sentense has been served that they can return to society and take a place which will be beneficial to the islands.

Immigration. This department plays a very important part in safe-grading the future of our country. It is a dedicated service. One that requires and must have the co-operation of all Caymanians and residente here.

All persone or firme employing foreign netichais
should ensure that they have gainful occupation ticenses, and those that do not should be tumed in to the authorities for prosecution. Computerisation within this department will add much to their efficiency and I hope, help to control over-stayere.

Mr. President, provious Members have suggested
that a policy should be established that work permits could be avaitab te to persons who are working here illegatly provided their employer whould not make the payment for the work permit. I too feels sir, that this aould be a solution to some of our problems, for many of these people that are forced to work illegally are really needed. And if they were granted gainful occupation licensee a revenue would come to Government and the labour so badly needed would be availabte heres on the ietand.

CAPT. MABRY KIRKCONNELE (CONTINUING): Broadoasting. Radio Cayman continues to provi de a vemy valuabte service to our oomtry. My constituents, the people of Cayman Brac and Little Cayman depend on Radio Cayman for information on day-to-day activities in both the private and public sector of our Islands. Introduation of broad casting of proosedings in this Honourable Legis lative Assembly is serving a need that has long existed. This is keeping the public informed of events taking place, and enables my constitusnts who live overseas to be able to hear what we their Legistators are saying in this House. I am pleased to see that a progranme for training ataff at Radio Caymon and the up-grading of the F.M. facilities is being taken.

Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. Great progress has been made in Cayman Brac during the last year. "Several major achievemsito on projeots have been completed.

First, the completion of the dock project, second, the on-going and extension of Gerrard Smith Airport, third, complation of the new Government Administration Building, fourth; convereion of the old Administration Building to a musewn, fifth, major impovemente to our various schools within the distmict has he lped to provide better facilities for our students.

1983 was also an inmortant year for Little Cayman. Sand-sealing and up-grading of roads from the airport to Kingston Bightlodge has been oompleted. The other roads have been opened and minor repaire have been made.

Secondly, improvemente have been nade to the Salt-rocks landing. Little Cayman now has facilities to off-load shipe up to apporaimately 2,000 tons deadueight and draft of 18 fest. I would remind Honowrable Members, that neither Grand Cayman nor Cayman Brac had a facility equal to this before the major dock projecte were aomple ted.

In Little Cayman during the year, Moequito Reacarch and the Fire Service have taken an active part, and are helping to make living there more safe and comfortable.

It is my hope, Mr. President, that a contral electrical system can be developed for Littie Cayman during 1984. I suggest the combined efforte of Coyman Brac Power and Light Comprany Limited, Land owners of Little Cayman and possibly with assistonce from our Government this aca be a reality.

Introducation of jet aip-service between Grand Cayman/Caymon Braa/Miami has been greatly appreciated by all. The main question that I an receiving from my constitivents now, Mr. Fresident, is, " can it not be more frequent"?

Nr. President, duwing the debate on the Budget Addrese, I asked that fionde, building plans, and all that was neceseary to get woxk atarted on our airport shouldere, apron, taxi-way, a new terminal building be made available as soon as possible. I make the same appeal here todoy, three monthe later.

The establishing of width, the route and the gazetting of the ramaining area east of the new constructed Bluff Road is vary necessamy. I would also suggest that when this is being done, that the route west of the crose-road to the West End be completed at the same time. This will provide employment and encourage nesidential, conmerciai and agricultural development on the Bluff. Let us all remember that the Bluff anea is approximate ty twowthirds of the Land area of Cayman Brac.

Mr. President; I again regret to report that the oil transfer operations off Coyman Brac continues at a weyg slow pace. Less than one million barre ls have been trons-shipped duiring 1984.

Emploument fox our men by Cayman Energy Limited has been greatly reduced. Many of these men are presentity emptoyed on the construction on the new Brac Reef II Hotel. This will be completed by mid 1984. We need employment for our people and we ask Govemment that projects planned be conmenced as soon as possible.

CAPT. MABRY KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): Our people are very grateful to this Honowrable House that finds have been provided for a escond Governi. ment Medical Officer for Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. Also that finds. are available to employ a man and wife team as recreation direotors to head a reoreation progranme.

Mr. Fresident, I hope that it is understood that I am not complaining that we have" not received muoh needed deve lopment and prosperity in Cayman:Brae and Little Cayman duining the last four yeare.

We have, and I am the first to give thanks for it.. I am aimply outlining a progranme that an provide employment and encourage deve lopment. Once the infrastructure is in place the private seotor will produce employment and development to keep these people employed.

Temporary improvements are now being
made at the present airport teminat at Gerrard-Smith airport. A large fire truck for the jet service arrived on the istand on the 28 th of Eebruamy.

The Third Honounable Elected Member of Exacutive Cowncit, in answering a question in this Howse today gave me encouraging news. That Cable and Wireless ie consideming the inetitution of a submarine cable between Grand Gayman and Cayman Brac to improve our tetephone and telex service. This will be a great help. We suffered heavity and it was one of the contributing factors why the oil-transfer operation: has ceased in Cayman Brao was because of our poor conmonication system. Owners could not keep in touch with their shipe, therafore they took the preference of sending them on to the Gutf to do the trand-shipmant there.

Police. Commissioner Stowers and the entive Police Force must be congratulated for sexvice rendered to this country. Their hours are long and police work is a Dexy dangerous occupation. Their fight against drug-trafficking with the assistance of the United States Drug Enforcement Agency, the United:States Coastguard, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and Scotiond Yard are to be cormended.

I must recognise oup traffic marine seciton and the civilion special constabularly for the valuable service rendered. The training ountwe at the central police station is contibibuting: better trained and a more efficient force.

Finanoe and Development. Mr. President, the figures that you lieted in your addness protray a heat thy finanoral condition. But I must warn that we must make every effort to receive full vatue for each doltar spent.

Agriculture, Lands and Náturà Resources.
I take great pleasure, Mr. President, in oongratulating the Honourable Join MoLean for his able leaderahip in this portfolio. All three is lands haveremefited duming this administration. We are very appreciative for his assistance of the department in Cayman Brac and Iittle Cayman, and again I say that he desemueg much praise. During the last years seeds, fertilizers and aprays have been made available to farmers, and onos agdin, many of our men are returning to the soil and produoing crops which are badly needed.

Mosquito Research. Much praise must be given ot this departments, Mr. President, for I haiving traded here during the years of the mosquito epidemic $I$ know exactly the two different conditions that exist, and how muoh we would be Buffering had Nosquito Research not played such a wonderful job in andiaating thi mosquito.

Planning Department. The Plomning
Department is very vital to our proper and orderily development. Their task is great and very comples as they must cope with alt types of development and construction. Revision of the Development and Plonning legulations and guide lines were re-aoneidered duming 1984, and it ia my hope that a buitding code and other regulations to oover construction witl be enacted during this. year.

CAPT. MABRY KTRKCONNELL ( CONTINUING): Land Registry. This department continues to provide large sumb of revenue and duming the year 1983. 5.8 miltion was collected. Mr. President, I have been asker by members of $m y$ constituents to request that survey fees and expenses charged by this department for survey wo 7 be reviewed and reducer if possibte. Cost of sub-dividing small parcels of land in my distriot often cost more thon the actual value of the lan in the prasent time.

Healths Education and Social
Services. I would like to take this opportumty to congratulate the Honourable Member who heads this portfolio. This is a very important portfolio, a very difficult and a very targe one. Our Health facilities in my opinion are equal or if not exceeding any other termitom of our sire. We are most grateful for the assistance which our fovermment renders to our citizens who are mable to fund their expenses overseas when tragedy strikes them. This is a very noble steo which Honourables Members of this House enacted, and I think it should be aopreciated by every member of the conminity.

Education hrs made areat strides
also. The results of the examinations, the G.C.E.' 's and the others are most encouraging, and in my district we are very nroud of the faculty of our high school and primary school.s. Theu are the best that we have ever had, and they continue to improve.

Social Services. The establish-
ment of the post of director of Social Semrices has aiven me great satisfaction. This is a department which I will nay is very close to my hearts, for I feel that much can be done with probation and welfare, poor-retief, chitd-care assistance and atl that witl be encompased in Social Services. And I pledge to you today, that any thing that I can do within my district to help in this affair, $I$ witl do.

The Erances Bodten Girls Home and Bonadventure Boys Home are serving a need for spetcal oare for our girls and boys which we have knoum the need of for mony yeaxs. One thing that I have noticed, the few wnfortuate chitdren from my distmict that have been forced to sent abroad particulary to domaica to approved schools have adonted ways which are not compatible with our society many times when they return. Providing their being able to remain in Grand Cayman this witl certainly be prevented.

Sports. Phusioal develonment ond team work is as essential to our youth as their academic development. We must thank all that have contributed to the aports proarcorme. I would like to comolement our young men of Cayman Brac that are nou organizing a eports progromme there. We have hoves of securing a parcet. of land with a swinming poot and tennis court alrearly buitt for the youth of this sports programe in the near futioe.

Low income housing is needed in alt Cayman Brac and Grand Cayman, and I an very happy to learm through the press of the progress and the stepe that Government is taking through the Houding Authority to bring this to a reality.

Our Fire Service oontinues to play a very important part in our commanity, and it is my hove that in the near future we may be able to have additionat equipment on the Prac that could be used for our private sector in case of a disaster there.

I could not forget to mention our vocationat and technical training schools which are playing an equal part with the academio training. Some of our students are not able to except the training in their regutar Figh Schools, and these teohnical schoole will provide for them to be qualified to serve in essential jobs.

CAPT. MABRY KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): CCyman Aimways Limited. Our airline is playing a vital part in the development of the Cayman Islands. I aaree it is an essentiat service. Cayman Airways has contributed much to the prosperity of Grand Cayman, and in a lesser degree, to Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. We of the Lesser Islands appeciate the semvice of our National Airline.

Mr. President, it must be remembered that Cayman Aimuay is the only air aarrier serving the Lesser Islands. We are thankful for our Friday flight Grand Cayman/ Cayman Brac/Miami/Cayman Erac and Grand Cayman. In my judgement our Monday flight would have been suceesefut had it served the same destination and the same order.

Muoh has been pubtished ooncerning
Zosses sustained by our Airline in the Inter-Island service. It was gratifying to hear from the Honourable Member responsible for Tourism, Aviation and Trade that the loss of the Avro 748 witl be about $\$ 60-70,000$, not the much publicised figure we scow in the Press.

As a Member representing Cayman Brac and Little Caman, I would like to voice my orinion that a National Airline must be subsidised to make proper jet service available to all the Cayman Islands. our firet priority should be to serve the Cayman Istconds. It is a Govermment ouned Airine and it is the responsibility, in my opinion, to serve all the Istands. If we are given proper air service in the Lesser Istands we aan proceed with our development, and we will be able to supply payable payloads for our 727 from our development in the tourist trade. Instead of being a burden on Cayman Airwaye, we aan contribute to them becoming a profit maker.

One flight per week will never support itself, and many visitore cannot afford to travel and remain in the Islande for a week. Therefore, unless we have services between Friday, say Friday, Monday, Wedneaday, or some other day, we will never develop it to be payable for our Airline. Tourism, Aviation and Trade has done much, and it is"most encouraging to me, as I try to get reservations for a hotel, to attend this Session, to have to call four hotels before I coutd finally get a rocm. It is very encouraging to know that we have that number of tourists on the Islands and the Member responsible has worked hards and has done well ir promoting tourism, and I would like also to compliment. the Director of Civit Aviation for the improvements that we have seen in that Department; the improvement in our Air Traffic Controtters, and the extending of the area in which they are responsible for, gives us added security.

Communication, Works. I again would have to complement the Honourable Member of this Portfolio. It is again a very important Portfolio, and the Publio Works Department in particular play an important part in each and every distriot.

Under this Portfotio also comes
the Port Authority, which is playing an important part. It is my desire that proper housing for Port Authority and Customs an be constructed in the near future here in Grand Cayman.

I am also very proud to see that the Town Hall here in George Town, is being renovated, and I hope that all others will be given equal attention.

CAPT. MABRY KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): LegaZ Department. Mr. President: I would like to join other Members in congratulating the Honourable Attomey Generat for his able leadership in the Legal Department and in the Chairmanshipe of the Select Committees. I personally have received much help from him, and I an very grateful for the advice received.

Mr. President, we regret that Mrs, Sybil MoLaughlin, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly witl soon retire. We know she has served us very faithfully for many years, but all of us desire a changes, and she certainly deserves it. She has been most helpful to me as a Member for the first term here in this House. I can never express my gratitude enough to her, for the help that she has been to me in preparing for trips overseas for the Commonwealth Parliamentary Associationy and also for advice in keeping me in tine in pariiamentary procedure.

I would tike to wish for her a very 7 ong and happy retirement, and all that is best for her and her fomity.

To Mrs. Gay Jackson, our Clerk Designate, we weloome you, and look forward to your help and guidances your past achievements uith Govermment speak for itselfs and have earned you this new appointment.

In conclusion, Mr. President, I would tike to thank you for your guidance and leadership as President of this Honourable House. I would atso like to thank the private sectors which inctudes att the business commuity, our churches, service clubs, and votuntary organisations; that have played and are continuing to play an important part in our development. We are deeply arateful for the assistance from all social elubs, and all that we have received.

Finatly, Mr. President, I ask almighty cod for his divine guidance and protection for all Honourable Members of this Houses and the people of the Cayman Istands.

Thank you Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT: Does any other Honourable Member $\overline{u r i s h}$ to speak. There is another fifteen minutes nearly whtil we noxmatly adjourn.

MR. T. GARSTON SMITH: Mr. President, I would rather have waited untit the morning, but since no-one else is ready to speak, I'll have to speak for these fow minutes.

Mr. President, first of alt I would like to associate myself with Honourable Members who have congratulated you, Sir, on your very up to date but concise statement which you delivered to this Honourable House a few days ago.

We give thanks to our Creator for giving us this privilege to meet here and to make another Throne Speech.

Mr. Eresident, Sir, it is an
opportunity to give thanks for the year past, and to voiae our hopes for the year ahead.

Mr. President, this Report is a very comprehensive ones so $I$ will be as brief ae possible on my debate. Your Throne Speech, Sir, reads like a sucoess story alt along the tine.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH (CONTINUING): Our projections for another good year are well on the way, and by the help of almighty God, and by all of us working together, this year will even be brighter than the year just completed.

Mr. Fresident, the year :983 has gone, and we continue the sucoess and prosper on these Islands, and I am pleased, Sir, as an Elected Member representing my people of West Bay to have had an opportunity of being a part of the governing process of these Islands. Oup Government has oontinued our poticy in passing down some of the fruits of our tabours on to the people, in the fom of reduced duty on foodstuffs. We onty hope, Sir, that everyone will ensure that these bavings are passed on to our people.

Mn. President, I turn now to prisons.
We are proud to learn of the improvements to our prison system. The local pmioon system, staffed by Caymanians, was an important part of my political belief and one on whioh I worked. Mp. President, Sir, I can vividly remember visiting the prison system in Jomaica where our yound boys and girls were confined. I am especially glad, Sir, that Phase 2 of the prison has been completed, and part of this phase an be used to acconmodate our femate prisoners. And most of all, sir, I am pleased to know that the prisoners' work programme has been expanded. This is something I have advocated over the years. Sir. I feet that there is much work we could use ous prisoners for, even if we had tohireadditionat guards. This could be a tremendous savings to this Goverrment.

Distriet Acministration. I am indeed very happy to see the progress on Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. Much has been done there, and I will hope to see much more done in the Leaser Istands. Mr. President, the most important move made in 1993, in my mind, was the inauouration of Cayman Airways Boeing 727 jet bervice to Gayman Brac. In my mind, this is the answer to progress and development in Cayman Brac and Littie Cayman.

Immigration. Immigration, Sirs, is the gateway to any countrys and immigration is also the means of oontrolling the destiny of any country, and to protect the future of these Istands; I call for stronger enforcement of our imnigration policies.

Mr. President, in this land of general unemployment, it would wrong to say we do not need foreign labour, but it is unfortunate, sir, that people come here and overstay and obtain work illegally, and in mony coses are taking work from oualified Caymanians. This matter, Sir, needs urgent attention.

Mr. President, I want to say here in this Honourable House toding, without fear of favour and due respect to all Immigration personnel, because I feel, Sir, they are doing their best, my concern, Mr. President is that ther rare sconee of people in this country, and Immirration do not know how they came here. This is a very sericue problem, Ar. Fresident, and needs to be treated as semious.

Fotice. Mr. Eresident, during
the year 1933, our potice were unnecessarily abused by a few benighted persons who were of the opinion that political power could be obtained by brinoing the police force into ill repute, and destroying the pillars of low and order. We should always support the police against orime, and an alt-out fight should be mounted in this year to bring drug traffickers under control. $\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Preaident. much has been acoomplished in the hast year in this area.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH (CONTINUING): Broadeasting. The Department of Broadeasting has played an important role in the development of these Islands. Broadcasting debates and other important matters of this Aesembly are a major step fomard. Many times, Sir, the people of these Islands do not have the time to attend these sessions, but will hear through Radio Cayman exactly what their representatives are doing in this Honourable House.

Surplus and Revenue. 1983 saw another year of surplus revenue, and very little increase in our national debt. A policy which this Covernment has adhered to since elected in 1976. Since that time, sir, our public debt has only risen by little over one million dollars, and despite the purehasing of Ccyman Turtle Farm, and the equity purchase in Cayman Airways, and finanoing most of the oapital expenditure from general revenue, we still enter 1989 with our $\$ 11,000,000$ in Reserves.

Mr. Presidents I heve 4.30, I don't know whether you want me to stop or go on.

MR. PRESIDENT:. I was going to say I make it 29 minutes past, but if you've renched a convenient point in your speeoh to break, I will gladly invite the Honourable First Official Member to move the adjoumment. I don't know where the Sergeant-at-Arme is. The Sergeant-at-Arms we shalt need. of this House until 10.00 a.m. tomorrow morning, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Am I right that Members know there's another function in a moment's time?

The Motion before the House is that this House do now adjourn untit 10.00 a.m. tomorrow morming. Does any Honourable Member wish to speck? If not I wilt put the question.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED AT 4.30 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED
UNTIL 10.00 A.M., THURSDAY, THE $15 T$
DAY OF MARCH. 1984.
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THURSDAY
1ST MARCH, 1984
10:00 A.M.
MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated. Proceedinge are resumed. Questions. I will invite the Seoond Eliected Member for the Lesger Islands to ask the first question standing in his name.


#### Abstract

QUESTITONS

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE BONOURABL FOUFIX ELBCTED MEMAER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE EOR DOMMUNLCATIONS AND WOAKS

NO, 34: If a detailed site plan covexing the terminal building, apron and tari-way at Gerrard-Smith Airport has been prepared, when will it be available for Members and the pubtic to see?

ANSVER: A detailad aite ptan for the Gemard-Smith Aipport has not yet beerr oompleted and ia pending the final design of the new terminal building 80 that that oan be includad in auch a plon.


## SURELEMEWTARY:

CAPP, MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mn. President, a aupplementory. Could the Member state if the actual area that is going to be oonstructed has been determined?

HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. ........
MT. PRESTDEIVI: $\because \quad I$ do not know whether . . . .
Pawhape I ooutd just ......... I am not quite clear that I mysiff utderetood the supptementary. Do you mean the site for the. building or do you mean the aise of the building?

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRXCONNELL: Nr. President, where the airport taximinal and taxi-way will be, as wel are having probleme with a developing company excavating naterial in areas where we believe the terminal will go.

Ma. RRESIDENT: So you mean the site? When you said the area I thought perhaps you meant the size.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN: Nr. President, I do not know the angwer to the question. The question was direoted to me, but the mattor of the airport falls under the Honourable Third Eleeted Member of Executive Cownoil and the matters for Cayman Brac fall under the Honourable Finst Official Member.

I have been given the onswar to the quastion that wew asked, but I am afraid I carnot deal with supplementaries because the prime question should not have been directed to me.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): Member would be prepared, with the help of his colleague, to obtain the information for which the questioner asked in the supplementary and to let him have it.

```
HON. G, HAIG BODDEN:
MRT. PRESIDENT:
questioner?
Yes,Mr. President.
Would that be satisfactory to the
Unlegs there is any further suppte.
mentary, I witl invite the Second Eleated Member for the; Leeser 
Tslands to ask the second question standing in hie name.
THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMTVICATIONS AND WORKS
```

NO: 35: Yf butzing plons for the hew tempinal at Geword Smith Airport, Caymon Brac, have been oompleted, habe they been submitted to EEC Commission?

ANSWER: Buitding plane for the new terminal at Gerrard-Smith Airport have not yet been oompleted: "They are now in the process of being completed by the Public. Works Depariment, after which time they will be eubmitted to the EEC Commission.
$\frac{\text { MR, PRESIDEVT: }}{\text { question } \ldots . . . .}$

SUPFLEMENTARY
CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, a suppilementazy. Would the Member state if the funds from the EEC comnot be: received witil the plans are aubmitted to them.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: That is correct. I think, Mh: President, a question yesterday revealed the problem. The plan oannot be submitted untit:all of the land has been aoquired because it is my understanding that the siting of the terminal will be contingent upon the acquisition of the land - the entire: parcels of land. So wnitit the land is acquired; I do not think any positive action will take place.

MR. PRESTDENT:
If there is no further supplementari, we can pass on to item 2 on today's Order Paper - Government Business, Bills, Third Reading.

GOVERNMENT BUSTUESS

## $B I L L S$

THE AIRPORTS REGULATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 198 AZ
THIRD READING

CLERK: THE AIRPORTS REGULATION (AMENDMENT)BILE, 1984.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, if I may be permitted, I woutd like to take the Thimd Reading and I move

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): that a Bill entitled A Law to Amend the Airporta Regulation Law (Revised), be given a Third Reding and passed.

MR. PRESTDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitted A Law to Amehd the Airports Regulation Low (Revised); be given a Thind Reading and passed.

Does any Honourable Member wiah to
speak? If not $I$ will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GTVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.

## CONTINUATION OF THE DEBATE ON THE THRONE SPEECH

MR. PRESTDENT:
Item 3 on today's Order Paper -
Continuation of the Debate on the Throne Speech. The. First Elected Member fox West Bay was apeaking I think.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr, President, if any of these Members should be reluctant, I will again take the stand, sir.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH: Mr. President, before the adifournment yesterday I had attempted to speak on Agricutture and Natural Resources.

We are proud to learn of all the strides made "in the field of agricultwe. The Member responsibte and alt, conoerned are doing a fine job and should be complimented.

In my mind, Sir, the move to purchase the Caymon Turtte Farm to ensure the continuity of its operations. was a wise move. The closupe of that farm, Mr. President, would have resulted in a tremendous toss of employment, especially in my distriot.

The Portfolio is now making every effort by negotiating with the United States! Authomities to have the ban lifted on products from the Cayman Thortle Farm ao that. we can trade with that country again. If this happens, Mr. Fresident, it wilt be great boost to the employment and economy of the distriot which I represent.

It is very encouraging, sir, to hear that the Department of Agriculture continuse to he very active in inoreasing and improving production in vegetables such as tomatoss, cucumbers, peppers, onions, aassava and many more, and it is making every effort to improve the standard of cattis in these Islande.

It is aleo very pleasing, sir, to know that this Government continues its commitment to farmers by providing duty-free equipment for agriculturat purposes and that two other private enterprises have made simitar offers to farmere. "My onily hope, $S i r$, is that farmers witl take every opportunity available of these offers and that some day we will be self-süfficient in this area.

I am also very happy, six, to know that the Portfotio has aeen fit to appoint adretakers for the cameteries throughout the Islands. : Mr. President, the" Honourable Member in oharge of this Portfolto will bear me out. I have, been, negotiating this with him over the part years.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH (CONTINUIDG): Health, Nr. President. nealth eervices have been improved greatly over the years. . The treatment of patients in their own homes is greatly appreciated. A start has been made with the visiting to geriatric stations in our commenity. This will be extended to patients who are arred for at home, those at the Pines Retirement Home and those at the Hospital. These stens, Mr. President, are important to the commonity and those involved should be oomplimented. Mr. Presidents Government is attempting to give our people a health service that they can be proud of.

Education. Mr. President, apeaking on sducation, I must say in all sincemity that I am very pleased with the strides made in education under the preaent Portfolio. Eight years ago, Sir, our education policy seemed to be headed in the wrong direction and by now we would have been in the deepest mire. I sincersly hope, Sir, that more of our young people will avail themelves to the great opportunities available to them so that they may be the trie leaders who with lead, in peace and prosperity, our beautiful little Islands.

From the Book of Proverbs, Mr. President, I quote - "With the well advised there is wisdom. . Wisadom is the prinoiple thing; so therefore get wisdom and with all tht getting, get understonding".

Mr. President, these two areas which I have just spoken on, Health ond Education, have seen the apending of large omounts of money. But, Mr. President, our people oan reocive no better benefits from the success made by this Govermment than to be able to go to sleen knowing that they have good medical faoilities at a cost within the reach of every citizen in these Islonde, and good educational facilities for their ohildren.

Mr. Fresident, I note with interest in your Throne Speech that the major building emphasis is to be placsd on the John A. Cumber Primary Sohool in my district. I would onity hope, Sir, that when this project begins, oonsideration will also be given to the upgrading of the Teachers' Cottage on thoee grounds as it is badly needed.

The Francis Bodden Girls' Home. It is very pleasing, Mr. President, that the Girls' Home is in operation and it is only hoped that when our girls, who are now serving in Jamaica, return they will be placed in that Home rather than being sent back to Jamaica.

National Council of Social Services. We ane also very happy that the National Council of Social Services is doing a fine job. I want to gongratulate them and also to congratulate the many semios olubs for the assistance they have given.

Sports. Govermment has taken a keen interest in the futrure development of reareational facilities for these Istands. For thie, Mr. President, we are gratefut. We are grateful to the Football Association which assisted Government in a joint venture that resulted in a first-class field in George Town. I am looking forvard, Sir, to the day when a aimilar venture witl become a reality in my district.

I am happy and grateful to Govermment for the hardoourt in my district and for the ohanging roms. Again, Mr. President, this is something I have pushed for over the yeare and I hope, Sir, that whenever the negessity arises the court wit? be expanded upon.

Teohnical assistance is forthooming
for the Sports' Complex as a result of negotiations with Dade County under the Twin Citiee Progronme. Mr. President, I would

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH (CONTINUING): again like to also thank the mony olube and associations that have asaisted in this programme. Mr. President, Govermment owns a small piece of land in the Boatswain Bay area and it is my desire and hope that Govermment will clear this piece of land so that the youth of that commanity will have hood snorting facilities, Tourism. Nr. President, 1983 saw a small dectine in tourism armival figures, but overall tourism has continued to bring prosperity to our people. We are hopeful that our upward trend will comtinue in 1981.

Labour. I have been assured, sir, that up-to-date legistation will come before this Honourable House this year. I look fomard to supporting ite pasaage through this Honourable House as it is badly needed in these Islands.

Cayman Airways. We can all be juetly prowd of the part Caymon Aimays has played in seouring well paid employment formany of our people and sustaining our life-blood from abroad. We must, at alt costs, Mr. President, dictate our destiny and not leave ourselves to the mercy of outside soupees.

Public Works. Mr. President, a Zot was accomplished by the Public Works Department in 1983 in the maintenonce and construction of roads. I look forward to the successful implementation of plans for my district in 1984, esplecialty the completion of Rush Pond Road and the Barkers Road. These roads, Sir, are long overdue and I would like to see these construeted as early as possible.

Mosquito Research and Control tnit.
The Mosquito Research and Control Unit continues to do an exoetient job. The digging if a mosquito control canal in the West Bay area will help eradicate mosquitoes in that area. We must continue to support the Mosquito Research and Control Unit. Although the oneration, Mr. President, is a very costly one, we have to keep this Unit functioning on else all we have will suffer.

Housing. Mr. President, I hope and pray, sir, that in 1984 all the financial institutions will give their wholehearted support to the Housing Development Corporation so that all our citizens may enjoy adequate support in this area. Mr. President, this will render great rewards in the future.

Legal Department. Mr. President, the Legal Department is worthy of praise under the able leadership of our Attorney-General, the Honourable Michnel Bradley. During the year 1983 under his guidance, some forty taws were drafted and enacted by this Honourable House. In addition, a number of subsidiary legislation, prepared by the Department, became law: We are very grateful, Sir, to have such an able man as our Legal Adviser.

Land Registry. Mr. President, this is another Department that earns a lot of money for this Government and I am happy to see the progress made in this Department. Athough there was' a drop in transfers of property, the value of lands transferred showed an increase in revenue. For this $I$ an very grateful, Mr. President.

Mr. President, our present Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mrs. Sybit MoLaughlin, is due to retire within a few weeks. This is the last Meeting she will officiate. At this time, Sir, I want to join with other Membere in paying tribute to our Clerk, Mrs. Sybil MoLaughlin, for her long and distinguished career and for a job well done. I want to wish her alt the best on her retirement and in whatever walk of tife she chooses to undertake.

At this time, Mr. President, I also

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH: (CONTINUING): want to weloome our clerkdesignate and wish for her alt the best in her new job.

In conoluaion, Mr. Finesident, we alt look forward to a happy and prosperous 1984 for our people. I can anly assure the electorate. Sir, that I witl always support what tis good for this country and its people. The year 1984 is now with us." We, the Honourable Members of this House, have a good record with a surplus over $\$ 11$ mizlion. It ts a fantastic necord, Mr. President, after taking over this Govermment whioh was almost bankrupt seven and a half years ago:

I look forward, Sir, to the General Elections this year with anticipation. I whedge ny support in all things pertaining to the good and welfane of these Is londs and the people whom I love and serve. And if I am re-elected to serve my people for another four years (and by God's help I shall be). I will return to this Honourable House with the soms spirit of hard work, cooperation and reverence as I have displayed in the past. I can only truets Mr. Prestdent, that Almighty God wilt bless and protect us alt. I look forward to debating the 1985 Throne Speech.

## I thank you very much, Mr. President.

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I would like to join my colleagues in congratulating you, Sir, in your Speech whioh ably summed up 1983's achievements and put forward 1984 's projections. It is a very comprehensive docurnent, Sirs and does not need much comment on it as a whole. However, I must answer or give information to some questions or remarks made by past speakers.

The Lady Member for George Town said that Members of the Legislative Assembty were being blamed for the Satary Review. There ia no need for thiss. Mr. President. Members did their duty which was to appropriate, the funds. The rest was. Govermment's business.

Members could not be expected to undertake salamies review nor could they be expected to know how to classify Civit servants for their job descriptions and ultimately their finat gradings: This is Govermment's, job; Mr. President. May I suggest to the Iudy Member $\therefore$ if this oomes to her notice again, that her repty to the members of the publio be that the Members have done their duty; the rest was done by Govermment. Mr. President, I am pleased to let the First Eleoted Member for the Lesoer Islands know that the work: on the dook in Little Cayman witl commence might after the winter season is over. All the materiat and preliminary work is being done over here now - that is to say the big ten ton blocks that will be carmied over by barge - and might after the: winter season the work will oommence.

Now, Mr. Preeident, as Head of the Civit Service I must defend strong oriticism made by the Firet Elected Member for the Lesser Islande regarding the Salary Review. I am going to try to do it in the same constructive manner as he critioised.

Firstly, I would like to explain that this was not a cost of living exercise whereby everybody got the aame percentage inorease. This was a review that was recommended by the 'Hall Repor't which should take place at this time. A raview, Mr. President, is something oompletely different from a cout of living award. This review sought to put Civil Servants in their proper classification and in their proper gradings in that alassifi.. cation, whether techniaat, administrative, professionat or otherwise. Ar. President, the person seleoted by

HON. D. H. FOSTER (CONTINUTNG): Govermment to do thie review is a highly qualified man. He has a wealth of knowledge and he did a 1973 review for us which was most acceptabte, and to do another review now (having apent a little over a year with us) puts him in a much better position having served and knowing the needs for the review and for the classifications. Mr. Preeident, a new outside commissioner could not have achieved what was achieved by the present Commissioner that we appointed. It is only good conmon sense to use the expertise available rather than to import at a high cost. I am perfectly satisfied, Mx. President, that the Govermment made a proper move in appointing the Salamies Review Conmissioner.

Mr. President, never before in my knowledge has a Salary Report been ciroulated to Membe s of the Legislative Assembly. Perhaps the Member is confusing his stay in Executive Council. Yas, Sir, the Salaries Review is presented to Members of the Executive Council and approved by them.

Mr. President, although the informant must have told the Member bits and pieces of the Report, he did not tell the Member all. He did not tell the Member that every Civil Servint lost quite a bit of leave - a minimmof a weekfor five working days); some lost two and in very rare cases, three. He did not tell the Member that the ment stmucture was changed. Where we used to deduct seven and a hatf percent from a person's salary, fifty percent is now being paid by the employee with Government paying the remaining fifty percent.

Mr. President, the Salaries Review sought to open the scale which over the years had been compressed. It had beoome so compnessed that there was very little differential. This has been achisved, although, Mr. President, it is inevitable that in every report there are going to be anomalies. These anomatiee have been reported and are being look after. They will be dealt. with in an honest and fair way.

Mr. President, the size of the Service -
I was here, Sir, when the former Honourable Financial Secretary sounded the waring, but as a country develops. more services are going to be required. Not only that, Mr. President, everytime we come into this House we hear Members asking constantly and urgently for new semices - guards at the Hospitat, fire-service in Frank Sound to serve the Eastern diatriots - there is alwoys a demand for more and more. How con we; Mr. President, keep the Service down if we have to aupply all these needs? Nevertheless, it is the fult intention that we do everything possible to keep the size of the Service as low as possible. We are cognisant of the fact, sir, that it is a big Service for such a small territory, but one has to remember that our economy is based on matters that require service from the Govermment and if we do not service the public seotor we will be highly oriticised.

Mr. President, I cannot but eay that the statment made by the Member that the Reivew was a very unfair one is absolutely abourd, Sir. I think that Civil Servante on the whole (onee a few anomaties have been sotved) witl accept the Review and it will be similar to when the Cayman public was against Cadastral Survey. Now sveryone is giving it praise. So I am sure this will come up in the future.

Mr. President, I think there wae a tendency for criticism to be put on the hiexarchy: of the Civil Servants and their increase. I have abpolutely no compromise to make on that, Sir. The Senior Civil semante of this country, who have been carrying the responsibility and bearing the brunt and burden of everything here, have been underpatid for years.

HON. D. H. FOSTER (CONTHNTNG): Our con entemart in the private sector have been getting salaries that double or even triple what we have been getting and without us they could hardly afiet. I an not in the sifightest inolined to make ony compromise whatsom ever, Sir.

Mr, President, I would like to join others in wishing our Clerk a hapny and Long retirement. This is her last Meeting and I pay tribute to her ond say, Mivell done, thou good and fathfut servant". To her successor, I wish her tuek in her new job and I promise my whotehearted support.

T thank you, Mr. President.
MR. D. DALMATN EBANKS: $\because$ Mr. Presidents, I too wont to foin.my colleagues in paying you tribute on your Throne speech, Sir. Your speech, Mr. President, has shown the public that our Govemment is working for the bettermant of these Islands. I hope, Sirs, that the public, or some of the public, will understand the operation and movement of the geare and machinery of this Govermment - a Govervinent that in the past aix years has carried a country, with no resources, through a recession that ther the whole wortd wobbling at ita kneres.

I an'very proud, sir, to be one of the Members of this Government. Regariliess of what the oritios say on the outside, I know, Sin, that everybody here is working for the betterment of these Istands. We have our differences, but what it boils down to in a nutshell is that everyone of us wants the same thing.

Now, Sir, I have a fow thinge to
speak on.
The Inmigration Departiment. I am very proud that the Department has been computerized so that they are able to deal with amrivals and departures more efficiently. However, I know there are still problems and probleme that will probably always be there with itlegal entriee and over-stayers. Tightening of inmigration will probably hetp. Putting pressure. on employers will help some too. But as I look at it, Sir, I feel that more power should be given to the Protection Board because the Protection Board deals with the permits and everything else. So the Board should know who is here, who is over-staying and those who are illegal entrants. I say give them some leg work, Sir. Make it a law that they can go out and investigate and piok up over-stayers and others. Also, Sir, I feel that they should be granted the power to see that the person, whose permit has been withdrawn on taken away, leaves the Island and is not permitted to remain.

Tourism The tourism industry has weathered another year. Although there was a bit of a decline it etilil showed a peroentage increase over 1982. As the outlook for 1984 for the tourism industry looks good, I trust that it wilt continue to be that way. We must not be to complacent, Sir. We are ip against a strong competition, Sir. We have to be very careful in handing the tourist. Prices could be damaging to us, Sir; we could price oureelves out of business.

Courtesy to the tourist is very essential. Our people should tearn how to meet the touriat and how to work with them. The touriat industry, Sin; is one of our targe revenue earners. It oovers not onty the tourist; but a vast field of other jobs such as construction, hotel business and banking. All of this comes under the tourism industry, Sir; and $I$ feel that it should be given a careful study. Again we must also look at the tourist and realise that we here need the tourist more

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS (CONTINUIMG): than they need us. So therefore let us work together to try to keep it on the right road. Agriculture, Sir. This Department, Sirs is being very active. Although it has been said, if will say it again-it is doing a great job in these Islands. I want to congratulate the Honourable Member for his efforts and sincere. work in this Department.

I hope that the farmers will continue to take an interest in farming, sir, beause this is one thing that we are lacking in these Istands very much - the production. of our own goods. If we can get back to the old type of farming, Sir, where we oan produce beef, meats and ground produce that can suffice the consumption of this country, then we will be able to control a bit of the high cost of living.

Social Clubs. I have to congratulate them on their efforts. The Nationat Cowncit of Social Service's opening of the Pines Retirement Home was a great stride. The treatment for senior citizens was something that was very much needed here. Thank: God we have it now, sir. I continue to praise and support atl service clubs for their work in the commonity.

Training Sohools. Mr. President, I am glad to know that from the time I have been in this House I was one of the Members who supported the Training School. Although, as usual, there has been criticism cast on it that Govermment is wasting money and so on, I will always give it full support. Just two weeks ago I visited the Trade and Building School and I was hocked to see the work that those lads are doing there. Money is not being wasted, sir, in fact more money should be put in that schoot for expansion so they witl be able to carry on more clasees.

Sir, what we have to look at is that the lads in that schoot are dropouts from the Figh School and maybe other schools. They did not have the academic skill, but they are skilled to do thinge with their hands. Reading the framing square. and so on is a skill too, Sir, and those lads oan do it. I will invite any of the Member's to go there and see some of the work. Those lade, sir, make the eight-hour-a-day carpenters in the con-. struction field look like noviaes. I have to support and oon-: gratulate the supervisors and teachers and give them alt the encouragement that I can.

Cayman Airways, Sir. These Islands will always be in the air as the plone flies, but I wish that people would stop and realise what Cayman Airuays really means to these Islarde and their development.

Cayman Aimways may not be a money-
making business, but the spin-off from the service of Cayman Airways is what is helping these Islands in $75 \%$ of their growth. I an proud that $I$ support it and $I$ think $I$ always will, Sir. The trouble is that the people who should be supporting Cayman Aimways are not doing so. It is getting time now to put pettiness and childish ideas aside and step forward to help and support our National Airtine. I want to congratulate the Honourable Member responsible for his efforts, dedication and hard work.

Sir, I feet that Govermment's vigitanoe in securing the services of Caymanians to fill posts such as secretaries, executive officers, clerks, etcetera, is very much needed. We should give our Caymanians a chance, Sir, at these jobs. We should ensure that Caymanians are offered overseas training in fields geared for toumiam. finance, trust officers and banking, etcetera, There should also be extensive training for officers now in Govermment (one can never get too much training) so that they will be more able to alimb the ladder.

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS (CONYINUING): I feet, Sir, that there should be a apecial programe to assist the youth and others who have completed their period of probation, time in correction homes or even prisons. The establishment of speciat oommittees in the oommonity or in the diatriets would be very helpflul. Much is needed there, stri. There is a need for persone to visit the prisons to counsel and assist those completing their terms to obtain jobs. It is also necessary to speak with those persons who may even be ready to employ them. There is a need for assistance and rehabilitation 80 that they may become worthy citizens. There is a lot of good in the woret of us, Sir. The thing about itis that a chonoe reeds to be given to those individuats to aee that it is brought out of them.

Sports. I do not need to tell anybody my feelinge towardsesports; I think the Cayman Islands ane caware of it. Hilwever, I am more than happy to see that Government is taking more of a keen intereat in the fietd of sports. The one thing about sports is that onee it ts iencoinaged and developed it'will save many of our youth of today and tomorrow.

Sports' itselfs, Sir, is a master teacher. It teaches one to know his oum faults. It teaches one how to meet people. It teaches one how to dare and maintain his own body. It is a body-builder. It is a resistonce even against sickness, Sir. And above alt it makes agentlemen and ladies out of the youth.

The forthooming Sports Complex makes ms more than happy to know that it witl soon be a reality. I am proud to see the number of young people taking part in sporte today. Sir. We have a drop in juvenite cases and I feel, Sir, that a great part of that oredit should go to sports. Yowng people are finding themselvee involved so much in sports that they do not have the time for idle ohatter and loafing. They are working in that field.

The Police Department, sir. I am one who have always championed the police. As a service mari myself, I know what the act of service is. They have been oriticized and ridiculed on the streets like everybody else: Sir, I want to say to them, "You are doing a great job - keep on with $i t$ ". I think when we look back at the past year ue cannot but say that we have a working Potice Force and good one.

Our coast Guard duty, sir, is aleo proving to be a success, although', again, this is one Departiment that has been criticized. I myself got a tot of it because it has been my baby since I came in this House to have a Coast Guard. They are working and I hope they continue the good work. I hope they continue to pick up more ohips so they aco be turned in to Govermment and that they get the drua racketeens and throw them in prison, and let Govermment get a commission. That is their duty and they are doing a good job.

With that, Sir, I now conelude my debate with a little tribute to our clerk of the Legislative Assembly. I am one, Sir, who is sorry to see her go. She has been very helpfut to me in this House. But, that is how life. goes, sir - one day we all have to part. I want to wish her a long and happy retirement. She will be missed in thia Houes, but I guess if we ever need her and give her a ball, she will be back to give us assistance.
I. want to oongratulate her auccesor.
$I$ wish her all the best in her new job and ventrure and I truet that she will make a suacess in that line also. This Howes, Sir, is willing to give her all the help we can.

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS (CONTINUING): Now, Mr. President, the end of 1984 is ooming up and it is election year. I umat to dectare that I will be monning again, sir, for a seat in this House. If the people of my constituency want me, my time is available. I will continue, if I am re-elected, to fight the battle for these Islands and for the people of these. Istands in the way I have done.

To you, sir, I wish you all the best for the batance of your time whether it will be extended or not, but $I$ hope we will continue to have some good times together.

I thank you, Sir.
MR. PRESTDENT:
It is in fact within a fow minutes of the..... at which we normally take a short break and rather than have any Member start a apeech (assuming there are other. Members intending to speak) and have to intermpt it, I ironder if it will be convenient if we take a break now fox about fifteen minutes.

## AT 11:15 A.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED <br> HOUSE RESUMED AT 11:41 A.M.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

further Member wish to speak?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I had hoped that a Member of the Govermment bench woutd have offered to oontinue, which would have possibly given the proceedings more of a flavour of a debate rather thom it has up to this point. But seeing that they have not chosen to do so, I will speak.

In the opening paragraph of your Speech, Mr. President, you stated that you would be reviewing, at rather greater length, some of the avente of the past year and looking forward to plans for 1984. I must admit, Mr. President, that the Speech makes good reading to someone who has not been around for very long. And here I habten to bay that I realise that the Portfolio and departmental sections of the Speech are not of your composition, but rather thooe of the Members responsible and the officers.

This Speech to me, Mr. President, is just as interesting because of what it does not say as to what it says. Fronkty, I am reminded of the young Caymanian meohanio who had a very good olientele and he had the failing of promising everybody their job back within an hour or two: Needlesa to say he fell down on his promises. An American visitor, after two or three yeare of visiting the Island and dealing with this meenanic and being subjected to the numerous detays, was heard to quip that there was one thing that could be said for $M$ r: So-and-so feferring to the mechonio) and that is that he is the most promisingyoung man in the Cayman Islands.

I feel the sane aan be said of this Govermment. Not that nothing has been done, but also that while they have been short on delivery they have been consistent on their promises.
 would call attention to the following. On pages 4 and 5 of the 1984 Throne Speech, under Agricutture, Tards and Natwat Resorrces,

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): we find the statemant that; "It is anticipated that in 1984, marine parks will be established around Grand Caymon and possibly the Sister Islands.".

On page 11 of the 1982. Throne Speeoh, we find under the heading "Projects Proposed for 1982 are: ( $\alpha$ ) the designation of marine parks around the three Isilands:".

On page 7 of the 1984 Throne Speeoh, we find that "A comprehensive revieu of the Development and Planning Regulations, 1977 hais also been completed, and proposed pevisions will be considered during 1984.".

On page 11 of the 1982 Throne Speech, we find again under "Projects proposed for 1982", "the revision of the Development Plon 1977".

In fact if we go back, Mr. President, to the 1981 Throne speech, we will find that this item was referred to as being required under the Planning Law to take place in 1988; and here we are two years tater still being promised that this will be done. And frankly, hearing only yesterday or the day before that the Tribunal to hear the grievances on this plan is only now appointed, one wonders whether we will in fact get the plan before the House in 1984.

In the 1984 Throne Speech, on page 15 we find that, "In 1984 a new Fire Station at Frank Sound orilt be completed........", etcetera.

On page 18 of the 1982 Throne Speech, we find the statement that, "Funds have been provided in the 1982 Estimates to construct a sub-station in the Eastern districts of Grand Cayman and ................ to provide adequate manpower.", eteetera. My understanding is that to date the only progress that has been made with the constmution of that sub-station is that "a little bit of fill has been put on the site where it is supposed to $g o$; and fionds were voted from 1982.

On page 15 of the 1984 Throne Speech, Mr. President, under "Labour Office", we find the statement "Numerous complaints and disputes have been handled by the Labour Offiger in consultation with the Portfolio and most of them have been resolved informally.". It goes on and end, "It is hoped that Labour legislation will be introduced to this Honourable House in the Jione Sitting.".

On page 19, Mr. President, of the 1982 Throne Speech, we find under the scome heading, "The Labour Office is staffed by three persons. Complaints and disputes have been handled by the Labour Officer in coneultation with the Portfolio. Most disputes have been resolved informatly, but there is an inoreasing need for Labour Legialation to establish basic working conditions and terms of employment. It is intended to introduce legislation later this year.". And that was, Mr. President, early 1982 and the words are almost identical.

Again, Mr. President, we could
trace baok as far as the 1981. Throne Speech and see where the need for auh legislation has been mentioned. I could go on citing these repeated and unfulfilled promises, but I think I have given enough examples to show the variance between the promises of Government and its performance.

It must be remembered that the Throne Speech (following as it does the passage of the Estimates for that year) of necessity accepte that the financiat provision has been made in the year's Estimates for any projects listed. So there can be no other excuse for these delays than the faot that it is a promising Government and not a performing Government.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. President, I think I am going to give one more example of this infulfilled undextaking. On page 16 of the 1984 Throne Speech we find under the heading "Housing" - "In August 1983 a General Manager was appointed to the Housing Development Corporation. Since that time negotiations have been held with the finoncial commonity in efforts to raise funds for low cost housing. These negotiations are on-going and Government is hopeful that they will be satisfactorily conctuded in the very near future. Caymanians will benefit from these results in the fom of low interest morigage being avai lable. ".

On pace 19 of the 1982 Throne Speech
we find, "The Housing Development Corporation was established by legislation in 1981 and Members have recently been appointed. Tatks are being held with the looal financial institutions in an attempt to secure necessary finaneina for housing needs. 1982 will indoubtedly see müh progrese in this area when pians are finalised and fincneing becomes a reality.".

The 1981 Throne Speech, Mr. President, on page 14, is even more urgent in its expressions about the need for housing - foltowing the revelation of the figures and atatistios of the Censue of the previous year. But, Mr. President, in reality the onty sense of urgenoy that we have seen displayed about this whole question was the rather hasty passage of legislation in the May Session of 1981 in Cayman Brac; when the Law establishing the Housing Authority was, what I called, mushed through the House without even being properly gazetted.

Now, Mr. President, I would tike to make it very alear that I see the need and $I$ support the need for action in the area of housing, but I must question the tong delay by Governiment - promises and more promises.

I suspect that this betng an election yedis, we witt see, or will probably see some action.

Mr. Preeident, white I an happy to hear of the present surmondinge in which our prisonere are housed, it is indeed depressing to hear of the large numbers of prisoners there. It appears that in spite of having transferped twenty-five prisoners to Jamaica in 1983, the existing facitities are overicrowded and it has now become a matter of ungenoy that additional acconmodation be buitt.

Mr. President, if one can believe what they read in the press, I would not be surprised to find that the mafority of these prisoners are young persons conmitted on traffice or drug related charges. "While I have no sympathy for the drug dealers, I am neverthetess concermed at the large number of young people who are in our prison. Something needs to be done and done urgently to educate our youth to the dangers of drug abuse and an attempt made to rehabilitate those who have sucoumbed to this aiwful trap.

I do not like returning to the 1982, 1981 and 1980 Throne Speeches, "but again I must call attention to the fact that in the 1982 Throne Speech we find the phrase, "....it is hoped that an area not toughed on - alcohol and drug rehabilitation service日 - will be covered:". And in the 1984 Throne Speech, on page 7, we find - "The service will concentrate in particular on the needs of chitdren and on the treatment of drug and alicohol abuse. Workshops witl be arranged in conneetion with the tatter and and a special clinic is opening shortly.". I certainly hope, Mr. Preaident, that this will be a reality.

As I said, Mr. Preaident, something
has to be done to bring home to our young peoples in particular, the dangers of drug and alcohol abuse.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): Promises are not enough. The poputation has had enough of promises. Someone has said, the. President, that a man full of words and not of deeds is like a .. garden full of weeds: and the same hotds true for a government.

Mr. President, the question of the illegal immigrant has been raised, and mightly so. You said, sir, that there has to be a commitment by the general population to safeguard the future of this conntry if the problem is to be solved. $I$ would go further, Mr. President, and say that without a commitment by Govermment to safeguard the future of this country in this respect, the problem will not be solved. And I contend that Govermment has, to this point, not shown the commitment to solve this problem.

It is my opinion, Mr. President, that the solution to this problem is very closely allied to some form of economic planning, resulting in a defined target of inmigrant zabour to be admitted duming any given period of time. I realise that this would not be a precise soience, Mr. President, but certainly it has helped in other developing countries and I feel that it is time that we did something about it here.

On page 13 of the 1981 Throne Speech it was stated that work was presently progressing on a new tourism plan which would have helped Govermment to chart on appropriate course for the incustry and that it was expected to be ready in May of that year.
on page 17 of the 1982 Throne Speech it was atated, "A new 10 year Tourism Plan was completed in tate 1981 and is presentiy under consideration. It ahould provide a guideline for the industry's future growth.".
llothing is mentioned in the 1984
Throne Speech about this Plan or study, or whatever it may be oalled, and Mr, President, yous Sir, and Members of this House will remamber that repeated questions regarding this study have not been able to pry lose the least bit of infomation which that study might have oontained or any facts. it might have revealed: and I con left to wonder why this study is being, shall I say, hidden. Does it reveal some flaw in the present trend or is the study being used without the public having the full knowledge of the inplications that might have been contained in it, either by way of suggested course of action or ariticism of previous oourses of action?

Be that as it may, Mr. Fresident, it is my contention that without some type of fomard planning, including a manpower requirement survey which takes into acoount the number of young people entering, the job market each year, no solution with be found to the problem of inmigrant labour.

Mr. President, the lack of manpower planning is, to my knowledge, beginning to show in such sensitive areas as young Caymanians returning home after qualfication without being placed in appropriate jobs. One such example, Mr. President, is a young man who was sent to Canada on a Canadion Government scholarship from one of the local training establishments, to study aeamanohip and engineering in the Conadion Constguard. And $I$ understand that atthough his training and desire suited him for employment in what my colleague refers to as our coastguard, I refer to as the marine section of the police; there was no provision for his employment there upon his retum. That was the rsituation, Mr. President, as I last heard of it.

In another case, Mr. President, a young man was directed to qualify in the area of airline maintenance. He has qualified, retumed and up to now, as far as I know, he has not been given a place with the National Airline - not even as an

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): understudy of an expatriate whom he could replace after gaining the necessary experience. And surely, Mr. President, this should be the goat whenever Caymaniane are qualified, willing to learm and/on to do the job.

Mr. President, other Members who have spoken before me have lauded the work and stressed the importance of the Broadoasting Service to the country, and I wish to endorse those sentiments.

One Member spoke of the Radio being heard as far afield as Europe and the Mediterranean, and of the impact it could have for the good of advertising our country and bringing tourists here. I could only chuckle to myself, Nr. President, becaues I recall very vividly the Honourable Third Elected Member of Exeoutive Coinoil at a public meeting in West Bay in 1976 when the Radio Station was being built. He told his audience that the Government was coriatructing a Radio Station - the signal of which would be so weak that if you were out in the middile of the North Sound you would not be able to hear it.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, that was true, but it is only because it has been improved.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONYINUIMG); So I am alad to know, Mr. President, that the Station is doing a good job.
tnder Police, Mr. President, I would like to remind Members of somsthina which the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Councit, the Second Elected Member for Bodden Town; said, I am sure unwittingly, during the Budget Debate in the last Meeting. And that was in reference to work being done on the airport in Cayman Brac and the introduction of the jet service to Caymon Brac. He admitted something as being comeot which any open-minded person has to acoept is true; and that is that once the infrastructure of a country is in place, development will naturally follow.

The Honourable Member used words aomething like this - "I am not worried about the future development of Cayman Brao as the infrastructure has been put in place and once this is done development will follow". And I agree with him.

Mr. President, I guess by now Members are beginning to wonder what relevanoe this has to the potioe. Well it' is simply this, Mr. President, that in your Speech you referred to the opening of the Licensing Department with a vehicle inspection attached, as being a major development in that Department. And I want to point out that the land on which that facility stands was purchased many years ago, prior to 1976, for such a propose for such an expansion because the Government saw that alt of the requirements of Government and of the Police Department needed to be sited within that area. And I wish to give that as an example of what I am talking about when I talk, about forward ptanning.

Now speaking on the police generally, Nir. President, I have to admit that a reasonabte job is being done. But, Mr. President, as the leaders of the force, themelves, are quick to point out, the force is made up targety of young recrints and there is no use us burying our heads in the sands like ostriches - we should face facts. The limited training we are

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUING): able to give to our new recmite Toally is insufficient and an opportwity has to be found for them to gain experience.

I contend that an exereise similar to what was done with the Prison Service should be carried out in the police. That is experienced serving policemen should be brought on aecondment, preferrably from the United Kingdom, to work side-by-side on the job with local recruits so that they can gain experience in job surroundings. This is the quickest way of them getting the experience and confidence which will be necessary.

Now here, Mr. President, Zet me hasten to add why I thint the exaroise should be adrmed out on a pecondment basis. Firstly, if they are seconded, our local reamuits will not feel that their future is threatened by the presence of the secondees here since they are for a specified times and seoondly, the secondees would not be at the end of the careers; since I have stipulated serving officers, and they would have to be aware that their performance in our force would reflect favourably or otherivise on their careers with their home foroe upon their return.

I believe this approath would bring
speedy rasults and would be welcomed by our locat police recruits, who after all are the ones who will benefit from the expertence and training.

Mr. President, I must mention here that I would have expected to have seen in the Throne Speech some reference to the reports and rooommendations of Her Majeety's Inspector of Constabutaries; Mr. Browmlow. I am sure there must have been some recommendations made and as I said, I am surpmised to see that not even reference is made of his visit.

Now, Mr. President, I trust that this is not an attempt at white-washing because as far as I am conoexined there have already been too many attempts made to neturalise the effeots of the three enquiries carmied out diring 1983- even to the extent of suggesting by questions and answers in this Meeting that these investigations, namely, by Sir Geoffrey Briggs, Mr. Brownlow and the Sootland Yard personnet, were designed to detect cormption in the Civil Service and/or police.

Mr. Fresident, it is now history What is referred to as the famous motion by my two colleagues from West. Bay and supported by Govermment to give the Commisaioner unlimited funds to sue his detractors.

The facts as $I$ see them, Mr. President, are that Sir Geoffrey was brought on the insistanoe of Government to advise on legislation, required if any, to detect oormption; Mr.: Brownlow to advise on the efficiency and effectiveness of the Police Force and Scotland yard to re-open investigations in some number of thinteen unootved orimes, I do not think wa should bumy our heads in the sand as to what was expected of those thres reports.

It is comforting to note, Mr. President, that we still have a healthy reserve, howevar, if one looks at the preliminary figures given in the Throne Speech, the surplus for 1983 was achieved almost entirety by savings in expenditume and one is left to wonder whether, again, this was dus to unfulfilted, promised budgeted development.

The task of raising money has not been too difficult with the sound infrastructure and base that had been left by previous and past Govermments and continued to be developed. As I saids once the infrastructure is in, development naturally follows.

I must conment, Mr. President, on a

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): remark made by a previous speaker about the almost bankrupt Government of 1976. I have said elsewhere and I maintain that having had a surplus of $\$ 1.3$ million (approximately) at the end of 1976 after passing through a very deep worldwide recession and having laid the infrastrueture for the development of this country was not a job to be ashamed of. During that period the dook was built, the roads were built, public acoomodation was built and the infrastructure was in place. And no individual company or cowntry, Mr. President, can make money without initially having invested in the project or venture which they propose to undertake.

Mr. President, if every Department of Govermment had been mon as efficiently as the Mosquito Research and Control Unit, our surpluses would probably have been even greater. And I would state furthermore, Mr. President, that without the measure of control which was quickly achieved over the nuisance mosquito by that Department, not very much development would have taken place in these Islands at any time.

I can recall very vividly, Mr. President, the establishment of that Unit. Up until 1965, which incidentally was the year. I was first eleated to Govermment, I can recall the vast amount of money that was going down the drain in an attempt to control the mosquito nuisance. Every few months someone was coming forward with some new scheme or some new insecticide to do the job and the offers were being taken up in most instanoes, and a lot of money was being spent with little or no results.

The only other enterprise in Cayman, Mr. President, that has changed direction more often than what was happening with the mosquito problem in those days is Cayman Airways. Anyway, Govermment was prevailed upon to employ a qualified entomologiet and set up the Mosquito Unit, and after the armival of Dr. Giglioli and the establishment of the tnit, the story is well known. This country owes him a great debt of gratitude.

Mr. President, Sir, it is heartening to see that at last something is being proposed to be done for the medical acre of the etderly in our commonity. This is long overdue. I agree with the general statement that the treatment of patients in their homes is much more economical than hospital treatment and that most people are happier in the comprny of their fomilies. It is noted that this form of practioe will be pursued. in 1984.

Mr. President, sir, it would be my contention that if proper care is going to be extended to all who need it, then a core of several medical social workers will either have to be reoruited or trained. And I think that this should be faced up to now and implemented, otherwise we witl be back here next year talking about implementing this progranme again.

It is also heartening to see that $m y$ suggestion for the use of the geriatric wing at the Pines seems to be bearing fruit and I hope that this soheme will also be implemented at an early date. It is a very undesirable situation, Mr. President, to have our limited hospitat beds occupied by older people who should really be cared for at the geriatric ward at the Pines. And I wonder at the reeult in the case of an emergency involving several persone, if the rooms at the hospital are alt occupied by geriatrics at the time of the emergency. Either the emergency victims or the geriatrics would have to spend the night in the corridors, and either attermative, Mr. President, $i_{s}$ unthinkable. So $I$ hope that the programe at the Pines is instituted early.

Mr. President, as I said in my debate

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUTNG): on the Budget last month, or at the Zast Meeting, my greatest cmiticism of the eduoation programme and system at the moment is the great cmount of fragmentation that exists in post secondary education within Govemment. 'And, as I have also said before, it is high time the Commatity College is placed on its own. I welcome the news that following Dr. visit and report, consideration is being given by Govermment to the establishment of a tertiary education college which I hope witl be att embracing and correct this problem of fragmentation as I see it.

Ma. President, during question time a few days ago, it was impossible to determine how many girls, if any, are in Jomaica who would othervise be at the Francis Bodden Girts' Home. However, my information is that there are several and I urge that these be returned as scon as practicable. I understand that the staff is in place at the home; therefore there aeems no reason for delay in bringing our girls, or these girls, back home.

Mr. President, I note that a soccer field is to be established in west Bay and I would make the plea that this be established on the land identified for purchase for additional playing fields and parks in the district. In other words, Mr. President, I am urging that the process of purchasing the land be completed as soon as possible and that the field be commenced immediately thereafter.

With the increased participation in athletics and socoer, and all outdoor sports, Mr. President, and with the increased population in that district, or in my district, the time has come when the need for additional playing fields con no lonyer be toteratea. It haw to be tackled anci tackled soon.

In this oconection, Mr. President, I should
also pay twitute to those organisations that have armonged the competitions and training for the various sporting activities. I think that high enough pr wee for their public spiritedness in this regard cannot be given.

Mr. President, sir, earlier in $m$ contribution I reforred to the fact that Govermment has been dragging its feet on some form of labour legislation. It is my contention that this legistaition is much needed if an harmonious relationship is to be continued and maintained, particularly in the hotel and towism related industries. Sonething must be done about this and done soon, Mr. President.

Last Friday, Mr. President, when we were disoussing the Bill to make the day on which a Ganeral Election is. hetd a public holiday, it seemed that the Government bench was of the opinion that that would automatical.y conve a hotiday for all employees. The fact is, Mr. Presidents that nine out of ten instances, this will only affect the Civil Service and employees in the finanoial commonity.

For example, the holidays which were given last year to aommamorate the visit of Her Majesty the Queen and Prinos Fhittp to tixese zicect whe enjoyed by very few employees in the tourist trade. Now, Mr. President, one can readily understand that service for our visitors must be maintained, but it is my further understanding that even religious holidays such as Christmas day and Good Friday are in most instanoes required to be worked by these employess at atandond rates of pay.

In very few establisipments, as I unders tand it, to ovextim: paid for holiday work and the employees are very dissatified about iti. I am saying that something should be done to avert what is potentially a vemy troublesome area.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINDING): I am sure, Mr. President, that these employees would have 発een much more grateful and happy to have heard a debate intriducing labour legislation rather thon to hear the debate on whether a further holiday, from which they will derive very little benefit, if any, is being carmied on.

The right of time off to oast an individual's vote is guaranteed under the Elections Law and we need not have a holiday for that. However, I do not intend to carry on the argument on that, Mr. President. It only came by way of mentioning the necessity for labour legislation, particularly in relation to the toumism related activities.

It is ......
MR. PRESIDENT:
I beg your pardon. If the Momber has not neared the end of his speech and has at this point reached a natural break, I wonder whether he would prefer that we suspend proceedings for lunch? If you were going to finish in the next three or four minutes, that is another matter, but it looks to me liks you might go on for a while.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, $I$ do not think $I$ am going to be very much longer.......

MR. PRESIDENT: Very well.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
I could not say three or four minutes, but certainly within five or six minutes.

MR PRESIDENT: All might, well five or six I think
Membere would ..............
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: It is noted, Mr. President, that Cayman Aimays continues to suffer a cash-flow problem. I am left to wonder whether it is onty a case of cash-flow problems or whether there are additional problems because I have not seen audited figures, Mr. President, and I think that it is time and I am see no justifiable reason why the audited accounts for the year ended frone, 1983, have not yet been placed on the Table of this House.

I am left to wonder, Mr. President, at the wisdom of our National Airline establishing a link with another country (that is Turks and Caicos Islands) which is obviously a money loser from the start. The tax payers of this country are subsidizing Cayman Airuays and it is one thing to subsidize the airline for a service and the development of these Islands, but it is another matter when we are subsidizing a semice to another colony which is in direct competition to ourselves in the tourist and tax haven industries.

Mr. President, I note that the road in West Bay, known as Rushpond Road, is down for improvement again this year and I trust that this wilt certainly become a reality. It is gratifying, too, Mr. President, to he $r$ hat some labour has been employed, or whatever, for the maintenance of the cemetemies because I realt extra monies being voted
(I think it was in the 1981 Estimates) for increased numbers of labourers in the Agriculturat Department who were specifically then supposed to take care of the cemeteries, and we wondered whether they would work on the cemeteries or at the Agrioultural Department. It appears that the latter wias the case.

[^6]MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): like to pay tribute to our Clerk of the Legislative Assembity, who witl be retiring soon, for the long and valuable servioe that bhe has rendered to thid Legistature and to our country. Hers has been a long and distingutshed dareex, both in this Legis latura and throughout the Conmonweatth through her assooiation with the Commonwedth Parlicmentary Assooiation. And $I$ wish for her a long and happy retiremant and Godepeed in all that she may do.

I wish for her sucoessor in office, Mr. President, a long and enfoyable stay with us and one just ae distinguiahed.

I thank you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESTDENT:
I suggest that proceedinge be now ouspended until two-fifteen. Two-fifteen? Two-fifteen.

## AT 12: 18 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDEB

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:27.P.M.

Please be seated:
Debate on the Throne Speech resumes. Does any further Member wish to speak?

The Honourable Fourth Elected Member
of Executive Council.
HON. G. BAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, your Throne Speech reviewed the year 1983 as well as it dealt with projections for 1984. It is alean fmom the message monning through the speech that 1983 was a good year as far as the Govermment is oonoerned. In faot, had it been ordered from a mail order catalogue we coutd. not have had a better pre-election year.

It was a year in which we had a very vibrant economy - an economy that seemed to have defied the world recession. It never missed a beat. We ended the year in a strong and healthy position - a position which is outlined in the paragraph of your Speech dealing with finanoes. It showed that at the end of December, 1983, the country still had a large general reserve and overall surplus of $\$ 11.25$ million. It showed that the year had ended with a surplus account balance of $\$ 1.1$ million over the previous year. It showed that the ordinary revenue estimates were met comfortably. It showed that expenditure had been well contained and it showed that a large aapital expenditure had been taken from tooal revenue.

All of this was done despite the faot that during the year 1983 we purchased the Thurtle Fam for \$1.25 million, an expense that had not been budgeted for at the beginning of 1983. If any other country in the world has auch a record, I would dertainly like to see it. So my contention is that 1983 seems to have been tailor-made as a pre-election year. This is despite the fact that throughout the year the opposition had been very active and had created, for the Govermment, events which resulted in much bad publicity - publicity that affected our off-shore business, publioity, which had it not come to an end, would certainly hove ruined our entire toumist and banking business. Despite all of these ill factors, the economy performed well.

The year 1983 was a year that aaw, as outlined in your speech, the culmination of many usefut and important projects. A year that started out with the visit from

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Her Majesty the Queen - the most important singular event in the three hondred and odd years of British rule in the Cayman Islands.

I believe that most of the stiming up during the year was caused because the opposition, as silty as they are, realisad that the Government (the existing elected member. ship of Govermment) would get oo much political mileage from Her. Majesty's visit that they would have to do something to comterbalance the visit. $\therefore$ And this is why shorthy after Febmary, 1983, we saw the rumour machinery going into high gear. That machinery set out in a deliberate and considered fashion to attack prominent Civil Servants.

The old battle oxy for Royat Conmissions of Enquiry to go into the behaviour of Members of the LeaiaLative Assembly and Executive Cowncil, and into our time honoured Fublio Service a compaign deliberately planned to bring this Govermment to ite knees. One would have thought, if one listened to thems that our Civil Service was the most cormpt in the world. I turst that when the end of thit year comes, the Civil Service, if they go to the polle, will remember those who tried to smear them in an attempt to bring dow the glected Govermment.

But, the problem with those who start rumours and live lives of disseminating fatee stories, is that these people find out in the end that the proishment is not only that poople do not believe what they say, but that they themselves aconot believe their own stories. The oppostition now finds itself in a dilenma on the eve of the General Election and that dilema is that for the last four years, and perhaps seven years since the majority of the last fovermment was returned, (unopposed), they find themsetves in a ditenma where they have told the public that everything that has been done in Govermment has been wrong - and everything has turned out right.

If they had had the good sense to say, "Well, you have done some wrong, but, you have done a few good things", they could now go to the electorate and aay, "Well. this has happened because of the good things they didi. Now they have no story to telt them - onty to admit that as in the case of the invertigations, all of our allegations were unfounded and we must now suffer the dilemma of those who spread false stories.

The investigations were complete and the good name of the Civil Service has been returned to the untarmished image which it once enjoyed. And I will alose on this by quoting the words of a well known poem that shows it is the attackere who suffer more thon the attack - "But soon a wondiar came to light that showed the rogues they lied; the boy recovered from the bite, the dog it was that died.".

At the start of the Debate on the Throne Speech, one Member made some remarkswhich startled me because he aaid that we need (I am referring to the First Elected Member for George Town) to control our economic growth. In 1976 the Govermment was elected with a mandate to get our economy. started. If we believe in the free enterppise system, we witl not attempt to put any oontrol at all on our economy.

No country in the world has ever
controlled tits eoonomy successfully. Economic growth has been controlled in the Soviet Union and in other conmunist block oountries, but it has been dons at the expense of the economy.

No one really knows what the right
level of growth is. If we decide that the growth we had in 1983 is a sufficiently high level, we may want to use that as a

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): yardatick for measuring this year's growth. However, what is so special about the growth last year? Why would not a growth of $5 \%$ more or $5 \%$ less be just as good?

The same Member who talks about controliting economic growth bewaited the fact that there is poverty in this land - theme are social problems that need attention. These things cannot be corrected if our economy closed dow. Unless we have the oash how are we going to provide more housing; how are we going to provide more sanitary facilities for those who need them; how are we going to pay for more social progranmes; how are we going to pay for rehabilitation of those who have gone on the other side of the track?

I see continued economic arowth as the answer to our problems. If we have the money we can build better homes; we can build better schools; we aan hire personnel, but if we are going to atifle the economy we will get to where we were in 1976 - the position mentioned by the West Bay Nember. We would bring this cowntry to the verge of bonkruptoy where it was in $1976-$ when we had not only spent alt the reserves, and when we were monning every year a deficit, and where we had borrowed beyond our means to repay. So economic growth must not be halted.

With an ever increasing population how con you slow dow the building of sohools, the buitding of new roads and the building of new houses? How can you stop the importation of motor cars for transport. In the old days when only the mioh could afford to buy motor care, motor cars were considered a good thing. Today when every working man can afford one, we hear there are too many cars on the Island; we hear there is too much. polution from them.

Discipline, saomifice and stagnation, which is the result of stowing economic growth, ane the three thinga that destroyed Nazi Germany. So while it is good, if a Member wants to apout off some steam in an election year, to say that we have too much growth in Cayman - the people are getting too rich, believe me the day you interfere with the aystem we will have the same results that we had in 1975 when the Development Plan was brought forward.

This brings me to a whole series of points raised by the Third Elected Member for Weat Bay when he aaid that we have made promises which we did not keep. I would like to say that I would mather be a part of a Govermment that recognised that there were problems to be corrected, than part of a Government that suffered from indolence - doing nothing, having nothing and wanting nothing until they were turned out of office.

The remark has been made that we promised to paview the Development Ptan which we passed in 1977. This Government did way with a plan that was designed to stifle the economy in 1975. Had it gone into law, no one on the eastern side of the Island (North Side, East End and Bodden Town) would have been able to build a house unless that person owned five acres of tand. And the person would only be able to clear a half acre of that land - the other four cond a hatf acree had to remain in its natural state. The person could not piant a vegetable on the other four and a half acres.

But the Development Plan that we used to replace that did not have these restrictions. And white it is true that a review of that plan has been promised and will be coming, we do not see the plan, as it now exists, as being a restrictive plan. We believe that even if it is not amended the

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): economy of this country an continue to flowrish as it has done for the last seven years.

I did not betieve that the Member who made the remark about our promising to deal with an amendment to this plan really had the nerve to do so. He bewails the fact that we have just set up a tribwal to deal with the comendments which have been published for the plan, and I believe he went on to say that it is possibte we may not be able to deat with it this year or in a short time since the tribunal has not started ite work on the objections and conments received.

What he should have told you $i_{8}$ that the 1975 Development Plan had gone through all ite stages. The tribunal that dealt with the objections had handed doum its report (I have a copy in my files at home) dated Aprit, 1976. And it was only a matter of putting that plan before the House in frone of 1976. But the Goverment dared not to put it forward because they knew, full wetl, that had that plan gone into operation in June of 1976, they would have lost their seats in the election of November, 1976. So the plan was not put forward. It was put in a cabinet in the Glase House and I am told that all the people in that building were wearing white clothes and white shoes so that they would be contaminated by the radio activity that was flowing from this ptan.

Be that as it may, they did not put it formards but hopefully they would have put it forward after the General Elections in 1976. The public knew about it and they did not have the good fortume to put it forward. And that is why our economy is in such a rosy piature today.

So I would never have thought that the Member would have mentioned that we had not brought forward the amendments to the Development Plan, 1977, when he knew full well that they wilfully did not put it forward although it had gone through all its stages inctuding the hearings from the tribural and the tribunat's report had been handed down in Aprit of 1976.
other matters were raised which
seemed to be evil because they have not been oompleted. And one of those matters is the setting $u p$ of mamine parks. The ourable Member responeible witl probably deal with thie in greater detail, but a conmittee has done the initial work on desimating oertain areas as marine parks. That report is with the Portfolio and one day it will become a reality.

The idea behind the maxine parks is that a few areas will be get aside for diving; certain areas where no fishing would be allowed; certain areas where young fish-life and conch-life (marine-life as a whole) would be allowed to grow to maturity. Howevers we do have a Law that was passed by this Government (when I say this Govermment I am inctuding the majority Members from 1976) which makes provision for the protection of Tobster and conch-itfe and makas regulations for spearfishing. Nothing like this existed before 1976. So we have taken certain steps and we cannot be acoused of non-delivery of the gonds.

We have kept every major promise
we made, at least I have kept the promises I made in 1978 and since then I have been smart enough not to promise anything. I promised to do away with the Development Plans" I promised to give back the swamp land that had been stolen by the Govermment to the rightful ouners and we promised to put the economy in such a state that there would be no unenployment where anybody with combition would be able to get a job.

It may be difficult for those of you
following me to understond how I am dealing with these two Members

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): at the same time, but the First Elected Member for George Town also said that people out there were baying, "What is there in this good economy you have provided for me?". And to those people I would say, "Notining". There is nothing out there for a person who has that attitude. It is out there for the person with ambition and the person who wante to make his contribution. And if a person, in Cayman, of adult age wants to work or go into business", the climate is right in Caymon. But there is nothing out there for those who walk the streets and say, "What is there for me?". To those people the answer is, "Nothing".

The Member from George Toun said that other comtries have successfully spread their development. He did not dare to tell you that those countries are the commonist countries. But they have successfulty spread their development. The Soviet Union decides how many motor cars the country must build. They decide how'many acres must be farmed. They decide what wages are to be paid. They have successfully spread their development, but they have done so at the expense of the welfare of the peovile.

To deal with a few of the other areas where the Member for West Bay feels we have not performed, he said we have not buitt the Fire Station at Frank Sound. I wonder if we had any Fire Deprortment at att dumina his time in office?

We have at the dimport a Fire Serviee that is a credit to this country. Since the airport Fire Service was put into order we have expanded the service ao that the firemen aan help when there are fires not at the airport. And the next stage of development is to establish a Fipo Station at Frank Sound that will service the three eastern districts.

The Member knows that the tand has been purohased for this project. He knows that in November, 1983, the Finance Committee approved the funds for the construction of the Fire Station and he knows that there is a strong possibility that this Station will go into operation in 1984. How oan he claim that we have not performed?

The same Member said that we have not produced Labour legislation. His Government did not know that labour problems existed. Our Govermment has reoognised there is a problem. We have created a Labour Office. We have in draft, Iabour Legistation, but in the free enterprise system that we have here this, while important, will not cure many of the ills of society. So how om he say that this is an example of non-performance?

He claims that we have not put the Housing Authority into operation as yet. Now, this is nerve. This is a classic example of saying thinge at election time. I wonder if he remembers the very stommy Session in Cayman Brac in May of 1981 when we put through the Law which would create 1 Housing Eatablishment? Does he remember his oum viaious attack upon that Bill?: Does he remember the vicious attack by the Firet Eleoted Member for Cayman Brao upon that Bill? Does he know how many times in these minutes he voted, "No", on sections of that Bill?

Govermment would not back doum. We had a mafority for that Bill and we passed it into Liw. They were not satisfied with that. Meetings were set up alt over the Islond trying to give people the wrong impression about the Bill. They ware saying we need it, but they said it was in the wrong form. The very sections they objected to in the Bitl were sections that had been tifted from the Port Authority Law that they had created themselves. And the public must know this.

The onty time I was aver locked out of any place was the night I came to George Town to hold a meeting to

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (COMITNUING): explain the truth about the Housing Authority Law and that it was mot an evil Law. It was a Law that was intended to help people get housing. The place where we should have had the meeting, or where caxs would have parked, was roped off. Of course it was pxivate property and they had the right to rope it off.

This law that should have had the fult support of every Member of this Legislative Assembly nearly did not get through the House. They said they did not tike the form it was in, yet, I have never seen them produce any other form of a Bill for it.

This is one of the reasons why the public and the investing public were scared to death of this Bill. The press that should have been taking the truth to the pubtic was loaded down with letters aimed primarily at the Honourable Elected Members of Executive Council, as the demons who had passed this preposterous Bill into Law. And so because of the public's misinformation on this Bitl there had to be a cooling-off period because no bank would have agreed to have put up money when the politioal side of Government did not support the action. That was 1981.

Now on the eve of a General Election you hear no moxe of that. You do not hear that the Houring Authority is a bad Law. You hear that it should be in operation and that people should be getting loans.

Since that time action has been taken. The Housing Authority has been set ip. The members have been appointed; meetings have been held and recently a manading director has been appointed as a Civil Servant to head the Housing Authority. Discussion have taken place with many of the bonks in the Island and it is my understonding that they have looked upon the scheme favourably, and that at least some of them are willing, at this stage, to put forward some money that can be used by the Housing Authority to be portioned out to those people who may need loans.

I am not in a position to give the detaile, but perhaps the Honourable Member responsible will deat with it more fully. But I would like to say without fear of any contradiction that if the Third Elected Member for West Bay had had the same attitude towarde low housing costs and low inoome housing when he was making his new politioal debut in 1981, this thing might have been a reality today.

We believe that since the Govermment
prior to 1976 did not recognise the need for assistance with houses, and that since we have recognised it and have put the machinery into motion we believe that he witl be unable to stop it because he is the only Gurviving Member of an Executive Council which has long faded into oblivion because of its failupe to perform.

If the Member had even given us one example where we have truly failed to perform $I$ would have to give him credit, but he faited to providg one single example where the Govexnment has faited to perform.

One Member mentioned the society of favours that we now have and although I approached him afterwards, I was unable to find out what he was talking about. I do not think he knows himself.

I know of two favours of which he is the beneficiary and would be happy to tell him about it if he were here today.

The Honourable Finst Official Member dealt with the salaries to Civil Servants and I would just like to

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): say that our Constitution has a very marked separation line with the duties of Eiteoted Members to Civil Servante. We cannot promote, we cannot demote, we cannot hire, we aonnot fire, we cannot discipline Civil Servants. Alt the Finonce Committee can do is to approve monies which are requested by the Civil service to pay their salames.

The Finance Conmittee approved a salayy inorease. The Finance Committee knew that a salaries commissioner was examining the salaries and if they had any fear that they would not acoept the commissioner's report, they should have withheld the funds for it.

It appears as though some people believe there will be no other salary inoreases in Govermment. This is.not.so. As the Member explained this war a review that made certain reconmendations in certain areas. It was not a cost-of-living increase as the Civil servant normally gets each year when each person's salary is put up by a percentage that corresponds with a oertain percentage rise in the coat-of-Living index.

During question time I mentioned that the Govermment has set wo a committee to study the Traffic Low. To save the Member from tosing his faith, I will tell him now that he need not expect this Traffic Law this year. The conmittee has been set up and in its first meeting it felt that the Traffic, Low, which we are currently using, was not a good. Law in the beginning when it was passed in 1973 by a certain Goverment. And although it was out of line then, the effluation of time has made it even worse and it is totally inoapable of dealing with the mony new traffic problems that have arisen. Thare are now over ten thousand licensed vehiales on our roads one vehicle for every two persons in the Cayman Islands. The ratio in the United States of America is one vehicle for every four persons.

The committee has come to the conclusion that it must, write an entirely new Law based on a differ ent modet from the model used for the 1973 Law. And the conmittee is currently seapching out these models and when it is satisfied that it has found a suitabte one, or perhaps more than one moder. the committee wilt attempt to draft the Low.

When this Law has been drafted it will have to go to Executive Cownit and then it witl come to the Legislative Assembly. I do not see this job being done during the remainder of this year, but it is in progrees and hopefulty, even if there is a change in the composition of the Govermment, the 1984 Covernment will see fit to put forward this Law.

I sow in the Compass this week a letter signed by $M$. Williams and I regret that he did not publish his name because this letter is one of the most constmotive letters that $I$ have ever seen in these organs that pass for newspapers in these Iolands.

He dealt with the acute parking problems and traffic situation in George Town. And in his letter he made two recommendations that make good sense. Recommendations that the Govermment hae looked into. He suggested a multi-storey parking buitding in George Town to take some of the oars off the streets and he atro suggested that if this is not possible we oould have parking outside of George Town with a bus service to bring the people from their cars into town.

He went on in his letter to say that it is his opinion that these two projects should be undertaken. by private enterprise. This is something that Government would welcome. We would be glad to hear that someone in the private

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): sector wants to put up a parking garage in George Town and Government would co-onerate with the people if their plans met with the approval of Govermment.

Every time there is a debate in this
House we are reminded that the Government should out doum the Civil Service, but as the Honourable First Officiat Nember mentioned this morning, how aan we do this when every Member who says we must reduce the service ends his apeech asking for more guards at the hospital, more guards to walk the prisoners, two doctors for Cayman Brac, two social directors for Cayman Brac and $I$ could go on and on and on.

The scone old story with the Budget. They said we must dut Govermment's spending. Then every Member comes with his ahopping list longer than the one his wife takes to Foster's Food Fair. They want roads for Cayman Brac; they wont more at the hospital: they want new jet service: they wront - I could go on and on and on. The list is absolutely endless.

I am one who does not believe Government should sver attempt to limit its spending as long as it has the cash to spend. There is nothing wrong with it and you will never get into trouble spending money if you have $i t$. The only time you get in trouble is when you try to spend what you do not have. And as long as the Govermment is able to spend, as it unill this year, something in the viainity of $\$ 16$ mitlion from tocat. revenue and capital projects - thits is fine. And as long as they care able to manage the long-tem debt and when $2 \%$ or $3 \%$ of the local revenue can pay the expenses on the debt, I think it is right to go ahead and provide the services that the people of the Cayman Islands deserve - whether it be playing fields in Podden Town, a post office in North Side or a new terminal building at the airport.

The Govermment prior to 1976 had borrowed over $\$ 8$ million and it was taking. $11 \%$ of the current revenue to pay the debt. Today that borrowing, which was \$8 million in 1976 ie now $\$ 9$ miltion, but it onty takes $2 \%$ of the recurrent revenue to pay the debt on it. So Govermment is in a good position not only because it has a large reserve (it had a large surplus revenue last year), but it also has the capacity to pay all of its loane and even to borrow more if they feel like doing it.

For aome time we have not heard anything derogatory about Cayman Airways. From 1977 until 1980 Cayman Aimways was a political football. The Elected Members of Executive Council in 1977 put their whole political careers on the establishment of a Nationat Airline. Had we failed, we, too, would have gone into mothballe for a period at least. But we did not fail and everyone in these Istands should thank God that we did not fail. I have said this many a time and I will say it again and again - the most important ingredient in any Island economy is its commonication system.

We boast about the revenue we get from the bank licenses and the off-shore business. We boast about our tourist industry. We boast about the money we spend on building new facilities at the hoepital. We boast about our schools, but none of this would be possible without Cayman Aipm ways.

Cayman Airways was formed at at time when the Govermment was being held for ronsom. Under the Bermuda II agreement the United States totd the United Kingdom that her Caribbean cowntries would not be allowed to fly any aircraft into Miami or any other part of the United States unless that airline

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): was substantially owned and effectively controlled either by the United Kingdom Government or by the nationats of their Caribbean countermarts.

And so Government was forced to purchase the Catman Airways shares in LACSA. Govermment was forced to either eatabliah an airtine at a time when we had no money beacuse we had inherited a bankrupt Govermment.

LACSA, in 1977, gave notice that they could no longer lease their jet and Govermment had to go out and find another one. They leased one from Air Florida and after that purchased the two. BAC 1-11's.

The most hostile public meeting I have ever attended was when the Honourable Eleoted Members of Executive Council went to Cayman Brac in 1977 to explain to the people that all the many letters in the paper about Cayman Airways were untrue and to tell them the position the country was in. Although I have always been well received in Cayman Brac, I said to myself this is one trip I should not have oome on. But I am glad today that the attitude has changed because while they were saying in 1977 that Grand Cayman did not need a jet, they now say that Cayman Brac oannot do without one.

I am happy that I laid my politicat life on the line and though it was a controversial and trying period that Cayman Airways is the organisation it is today: putting a lot of money into the economy, bxinging the goods and services that we need, providing employment and so having that multiptier affect on the eaonomy that no foreign carrier oan ever have. And I believe that if Caymon Airways even got to where the hospital is where Govermment has subsidized it $90 \%$ of the way, I think the Govemment should keep it.

I will not go into this, but $I$ would like to say that I have travelled to a lot of small countries and to a lot of small Islands and all of them suffer because they do not have a Cayman Airways.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If the Member has reached a naturat break in his speech, but intends speaking for some further period perhaps we could take our usual break. I am not sure ...... are you nearly through with your speech or ........

$$
\frac{\text { HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: }}{\text { several periode, Sir. }} \quad \text { I would like to speak through }
$$

MR. PRESIDENT:
Perhape we should break the periods up into very short ones if there are to be asverat. Let us anyway grant you fifteen minutes break now. I will suspend proceedings for that length of time.

AT 3:32 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSEENDED
HOUSE RESUMED AT 3:54 P.M.

MR: PRESIDEWT:
Please be seated.
The debate on the Throne Speech continues. The Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. Preaident, I shalt endeavour to finish at four-thirty. I would like to look at a few areas of my Portfolio before I go on to other matters.

The West Bay Member mentioned the Fushpond Road. As Members know, funds to complete this road are in the Estimates for 1984 and I have the assuranoe of the Public Works Department that the work will be done.

1983 was a good year for West Bay with roads in that more than half of the work done on sand-sealing and paving was done in the district of West Bay exaluding the Tortuga Club Road that was opened by Her Majesty the Queen.

We have indications that Caribbean Development Bank may be willing, sometime in the future, to furmish the funds for the Barkers Road. Most of the other road work planned for this year has been mentioned in the Throne Speech. What was omitted from the Throne Speech is that Finance Committee approved in November, 1983, funds to gazette to map out two major roads. It is not expected that any construction will be done this year, but these roads will be gazetted.

One is a by-pasa road for Bodden Town which will start somewhere in the vicinity of Meagre Bay Pond and go behind Bodden Town, Pedro, Lower Vatley, Savannah and probably aome out at spotts or Prospect. This is a very necessary road in that the Bodden Town Road is beooming overorowded because of the heavy traffic to North Side and East End from the daily commuters. The road itself is perhaps one of the narrowest major roads and we feel that a by-pass road will not only open up some agricultural land for development, but witt ease the congestion which now exists.

We with aleo gazette and map out a road that will run parallel to the West Bay Road. This will be a major link between West Bay and George Toum. The reason for doing the gazetting at this time is that considerable development is already taking place on the north side of the road and although it is expected that no work will be done on this road during 1981, we feel that we should declare the area so that development aan take place with this in mind.

We have a big problem with aubdivision roads. Every day someone is coming for Goverment to repair a road in a sub-division. This has happened because most of the developers do not take care of the sub-division roads and everyday somebody is opening up a new road. A person will build a house in an isolated area and then looks to Govemment to repair the road when it deterionates. It is virtually impossible for Govermment to keep up with the many demands that come from this area. But we have a policy that was started a few years ago whereby every year we try to do a few miles of subdivision mods.

The water and sewage scheme is going ahead. The Lower Valley lens, the reservoir there, was opened in November of 1983 and the Honourable Financial Secretary will soon be coming to Finance Committee to find the funds to start a well-field in East End. It is hoped that we will not need supplementary funds, but simply vire a savings from something in the Estimates to provide the cash to go ahead with the establishment of a reservoir in East End. We are looking at about $\$ 150,000$ and some of that is atready in the Estimates in my Portfolio where money had been approved to purchase a water truck and we feel that we aan do without that this year. There is also a vote of $\$ 40,000$ to do some work on the East End lens. We can take a part of that money, but nevertheless we will need Finance

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Conmittee's approval.
The sewage soheme is going ahead in that Govermment has approved the purohase of the piece of land on whioh to site the aewage plant. Here again Finance Conmittee will be asked to approve the purchase. As soon as this is done the preliminary designs can be oompleted and hopefully we will soon be looking at the start of this projeat.

Members will recall that there is money in the Estimates - Bomething like $\$ 1.7$ million to start this project wherever the preliminary designs have been oompleted.

An item that has not received mush attraction is the Civic Centre that is very near completion in Bodden Toum. This is a huge buitding and can be used as a hurricone centre. It is part of a three-phase soheme. The first phase was the construction of the new Police Station which is now inhabited by the police officers. The second phase is the Civic Centre and the third phase will be the construction of a fult-size playing field.

The land has already been bought. There is a parcel of land there about four and a quarter acres and the way the buildings have been sited, there will be sufficient room for the playing field which hopefully can be oonstrueted in 1985. There is no money for this in the Estimatee.

The East End Civic Centre is in the Estimates for this year and hopefully work will start on it before the year is out.

I have promised the Beauty queen Committee that they can select Miss Bodden Town in the new building even if it is not officially opened. They have come to me saying that the Town Hall is not adequate for their needs and they are seeking permission to use the building even before it is officially opened. Hopefully, if we can keep our promises, the buidding will be opened sometime before November.

The Throne Speech has touched briefly on the school system and I do not think I need any elaboration on the High School, Middle School: or the Primary School. It was thought by the opposition that it was wrong to have a Midale Sohool. Now that it is in operation there is no more problem. The Parent Teachers' Association which had objected to its establishment seem to be happy with it.

One night a couple of days ago, I had dinner at the Bonaventure House. This dinner was a threecourse meal that had been prepared by the oookery olase at the High school, one course by the students from the Hotel Training School in Newlands and one course; the dessert, had been baked at Bonaventure House.

The reason for this dinner was that one of the boys from Bonaventure House is now a student at the Hotel Training School in Newlands and is doina quite well. The school felt that it was good to let the other boys at the Home see: what a person aan do if he wants to improve his lifestyle. And I was asked to attend and was reálly pleased with what $I$ saw.

I must say that in a discussion with one of the piople from the Hotel Training Schoool, I. learmed that while two years ago there were only three Caymanian students at the achool taking the cookery course, there are now fourteen studente doing cookery lessons.

At the High School there is a clase of seventeen and they will probably be ooming in to the

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Hotel Training Schoot.
The Hotel Training School which
was a subject of some controverisy when it was set up, has been doing well. You will remember they catered for 750 people during the Queen's birthday party. They catered for the Christmas party at the Govermment Administration Building, the Glass House. They catered for the Cayman Airways party and during the 150th Anniversary of Parliamentary Government they catered for a total of 4,000 paople in one night.

I saw in a newspaper recently a question, "Tourism - where are the bodies?". A newspaper in its usuat, fashion was trying to impress upon the publio that tourism is on the dectine in Cayman and there is nobody here. But contrary to what we have seen this week in the newspaper, the bodies are here. The hotels are full and the restaurants are full. The motor cors are all rented out. This is indeed gratifying to know that one of our main piliars of the economy is still in vibrant shape.

There have been many comments on Immigration. No one has come up with a sotution, but the problem with Immigration is like the problem with the tari drivers. If you talk to the them they will tell you there are too many people in this business. When one of them said that to me, I said I did not know, but that we would take his license. And he said, "oh no, you cannot start with mer.

Everybody wante control, but nobody wants to pay the price. I do not believe the taxi business should be controlled. I believe the free-market system should work. If there are people who axe not making the money they feel they should be from the taxis, they should go into other jobs.

What alarms me are the recent problems we have had at the dock where passengers from the tourist ships have been insulted. As Chairman of the Port Authority $I$ asked the Director to write a letter to the taxi drivers telting them that if we have any trouble with them they will not be allowed to pick up passengers at the tourist landing.

He believe that the taxi driver is the first person whom the toumist sees and he is in a position to induce that tourist to come back and visit many times. If, however, there is a fight on the dook every time the shipe oome in, naturally the toumist will go where there is peace and quiet.

The problem.with Immigration is the same. Some say we have too many damaican workers, but if you ask one of those who say this to give up his domestic servant on his mechanic or his yardboy, you will hear, "We cannot do without him'beoause my wife is an invalid and my children have to go to schoolt. You will hear all sorte of things. So here we have a situation where I think that work permite should be granted when and where they are needed.

Mention is made, Sir, of the police in your Throne Speech and today I received the 1983 Cayman Islands Potice Force Report. I think that if I do not deal with any other matter in the Throne Speech, it ie incumbent upon me to mention this Report.

If one had listened to the propaganda that had been spread by what I call the frustrated minority, one would have believed that our Potice Force should have been saoked and that a new breed should have been brought in from some other foreign Land. There were even attempte to change the Constitution so that they could appoint the Commissioner of Police.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The police were especialty singled out for the investigations which had been prompted by the frustrated minority. But the very investigations that they prompted have resulted in the police being seen as a body that shoutd be respected and a body worthy of the name the Royat Cayman Talands Police Force which was bestowed upon them by Her
Majesty the Queen. Majesty the Queen.

One paragraph from this Report reade - "Due to allegations of comption, the some officers carried out investigations in depth which proved the attegations were malioious and completely without foundation". So here again we see that the trap which had been set for the police officers has worked, but it has caught in it the instigators of the malicious and unfounded allegations against the potice.

I have not been abie to atudy this Report in detail, but look forward to debating it one of these days in full. But on the subject of crime the police have been very active and there has been (and this is very heartening) a decrease in alt areas of orime in 1983.

The offences against property decreased by 175 cases over 1982. The offences of burglery decreased by 121. Theft deoreased by 50. Offences againet a person deareased by 15 and offences injurious to the public decreased by 51, so that a total of 1,391 ariminat offences were reported during 1983 as against 1, 721 in 1982. This shows an overall decrease of 330 criminal offences which shows a drop of 19\%.: A dramatic drop over 1982.

What is even more heartening is that juvenile orime is down by 32 offenders. Where, in 1982, 82 juveniles were brought before the oourt, only so juvenites were brought before the court in 1983. The potice have had to deat with all these matters and they have done a remarkable job. In fact they have done the same job that the Honourable Elected Members of Executive Council have done since 1976 and we are now tike the Prophet Jeremiah when he rebuilt the city Jerusalem after it had been destroyed. We had to work with the sword in one hand to keep off the opposition, and a hamer in the other hand to rebuild the aity. This is truly heartening.

I remember somewhere during the time between 1972 and 1976 when the Lady Member for $G$ orge Town brought a question in the Howse to the covermment asking them about the dmug problem. The Govermment replied there was no problem. Yet, druge were rampant at that time. But we do not deny that there is problem with drugs. We know thers is. If you think it is not a serious problem, tiaten to this from the Police Report - "Drugs confiscated by the squad included $10,423,728$ grams of ganga alone, equating to a street value of $\$ 26,059,320 . i$. During 1983 the police seized over $\$ 26$ milition worth of ganga.

In the highly sophisticated cowntries with the best surveillance equipment available, they figure they are able to aeize tess than 10\% of the drugs in the commonity. This means that if our poliae seized $10 \%$ of the drugs passing through the Cayman Ietands, or for conoumption here, that last year in 1985 over $\$ 260$ mitiion worth of ganga alone passed through the cayman tolands. And these are very frightening statistios. Yet, what bothers me is that every time the police make a drug arrest, those peopte who profit moat from the drugs aome out to fight the police and they make the Conmissioner of Police of the police officer appear tike the criminal rather than the criminat himself.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): We have a semious problem, The police have been working hard at it and have been doing, in my opinion, a good job even if they have to work like the Honourable Executive Council Members do and tike the Prophet Jeremiah did with a sword in one hand and a hammer in the other .... the Lady Member reminds me it is Nehemiah.

The traffic deathe in 1983 were also down in that only 6 people died on the roads as against 13 who had died the previous year. Even as far back as 1971, 11 people had died in road acoidents. So that although the amount of vehicles on the Island have increased steadity each year, we find that the traffic fatalities have been kept down. I feel that even 6 is far too many, but there witl always be irresponsible drivers. No matter what is done to improve the safety of automobiles, there is nothing that can improve the quality of the "nut" who sits behind the wheel. I sugpect that whether we have 10,000 vehicles or 100 we will always have accidents.

In Little Cayman where they only had three vehicles, two of them were involved, some time ago, in on head-on collision.

The Police Renort is interesting in that 1983 is a year that has show a dectine in every area of crime including traffic acoidents, in which many of the statistics are superior to the 1981 figures as well as the 1993 figures.

The Traffic Department as a whole is
a busy one in that it is now a large collector of revenue. In Grand Cayman and Cayman Brae in 1983, from the issuing of drivers' licenses and other vehicle teste, the Government collected \$734,595.50. I believe it is time that those people who wnsuccessfully attempted to disoredit the Commissioner and his force, should apologise to them for the matioioue aocusations that were leveled at them.

The Polioe Foxce is made up of human beings (these days both mate and female) and they will make mistakes; they will make errors and there will be a few bad eggs. But like the Civil Service and like all other institutions, I. believe that the good far outweighs the bad. Even amongst a smalt conmunity like the Cayman Islands there will be times when people will go to extremes, but I am convinced that there was no widespread cormption amongst the police nor any widespread corruption amongst the Public Service.

I would like to move on to deal with certain areas of the Throne Speech on which I have not yet touched. One area is that the Govermment will introduce a Bill providing for Elected Members of the Legislative Assembly to receive pensions. This will be based on comparable legistation elsewhere.

As I am , as far as I know, the only
Elected Member of the Legislative Assembly whe witl , ave t pension if I choose to retire from my insurance work, I think that I can freely speak on $i t$. The matter of a emall pension to Legislatures. I think is a conmendable act. The Eleeted Members of Govemment really have a difficult time.

Since I was elected in 1972, in the last twelve years of my life I have had more harassment than I had in the thirty years before that. The Lcwrences in Bodden Toun are constantly harassing me and my colleague, but I have forgiven them all because I understand the frustrations from whioh they suffer ........

At the risk of harassing the Honourable Member further, I am afraid I must point out it is half past four. I rather think that he perhaps intends speaking for come substantial further period and at one moment $I$ thought if I did not look at my watoh for a minute or two he might have an opportunity to make good his omiginal hope of finishing today. But I think that is pertiaps unlikely, so I will invite the Honourable First Official Member to move the adjourmment in acoordance with our Standing Orders.

ADJOURNMENT

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I move the adiournment of this House until ten o'clock tomorrow morning, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT: The motion before the House is that this House do now adjourn intil ten o'olock tomorrow moxning. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak?
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 1:32. P. M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTTL
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MR. PRESIDENT: Please be seated.
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debate the Throne Speech.
The Fourth Etected Member of Executive Council.

## CONTINUATYON OF THE DEBATE ON THE THRONE SEEECF.

HON.G.HAIG BODDEN: NT.FYesident, at the olow of yesterday's meeting $I$ had been dealing with the matter of yeneions to Members of the Leaislative Assembly, and I had started to say that I felt I was qualified to speak on it for two reasons - one, which I mentioned yesterday is that I hope to have an adequate peneion from my own occupation, and the other reabon is that I do not espect to ever receive a pension from the Legislature as $I$ have no intention of ever retiring and as long as I live and as long as I remain in good health, I hope that I shatl continue to be a Menber.

However, this Govermmant has reoognised over the last adminfatration that the Govermment pension acheme is, or had been inadequate in many respects and we have taken the opportunity to anend the Government Pension Plan so as to provide for a pension to widowe and or hhans of Government employees who died aften they had reached the penoion age.

Under the old Feneion Law if a Government enployee died, his wife or arviving proner did not peceive a pension and in fact, we had a fow instances where an anployee of Govermment had put in many long years in the semvice and was unfortunate enough to die just around the pension age and the surviving partner received nothing. So the low has been amended to provide a widow's pension and an orphan's pension if they are ohitdren under the age of 18 .

We have also gone much further in remeding oome of the other inadequavies or inequities of the Penaion Law. We have now made the pension to vest in the employee once the amployee has. semved 10 years in the Government semice. Under the otd law a person could not rece ive a pension unt il he had reached the age of 55, regard-w lese of how many yeare he had served in the service. So that if a person had come into the Government semvice at the age of 20 and had worked continuously for 34 years and that person left the eervice at age 54 he would not have received a aingle penny in pension.

Und ar the change we made the Peneion ia veated after 10 years, which means that if a person comes into the service at age 20 and works for 10 years and leaves at age 30, that parson will have a pension which will be paid to him when he reaches the pensionable. age of 60 or whatever it may be.

We have also gone much further than that, and we have made provision for any service which the person has done to be recognised so that his persion will continue as if there had been no break in the semvice. By this I mean that if a person had served sev avas years in the Goverrment service and then left and oome back into the semvice after a break of a few years and semvet another 5 years, this prson would have a total of 12 yecre semice and would be eligible for
a pension.

And you know this reminds me that I must check out whether I am penaionable as a former Civil semant, having had one 7-year period from 1949 to 1954 and another 7 years sarvioe from 1959 to 1965, but as my maximum pay had been onty $\$ 120$ a month I do not suppose the pension will be very much. Never theless, for some yeople who have had broken eervice in the Qoverwn ent semvice, if that broken service totale 10 years, the zereon oan claim a pension.

The matter of pers ions for Members of the Legistative Aesembly is a matter which should be determined not by whethem the person neads the pension but whether it is a job which is worth

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): pensioning. I believe it is a job which is worth penotoning, because att the people who have served the Legislative Assembly in the past, have, in my opinion given of their very best. They have undergone many frustrations, a lot of harassment, and they have given a service to their country which cannot be marked by dollars and cents; and I believe that these Legislators are entitled to a pension. The cost of the pension should not be very much as very few Legislators live very long after the grieling years of public life; and they are not noted to live a long time. So the cost to Government should be very small in fact, I expect that it will cost as much to pay their pensions as it would cost to pay the messengers in the Government service.

Aut, I see the service of a Legistative Assembly Member as an important service. A service that oompares well with that of the President of the United States, and that job is pensionable. Many of the Legislative Assembly Members in the Caribbean, and in most other Parliaments are pensionable.

I see an Editorial this morning which seeme to say that Legislators do not desexve a pension and we should take the money and give it to the National Council of Social Service.

Well, I believe that money should be paid for the upkeep of the poor, or the old, or the indigent; but one must remember that if things are not right in the Political iife of the Country, if things are not right conongst the elected members; there'd be no money to poy for Socpol Servicae or anything else.

I recalt when I was first elected to this House in 1972, that early in February 1973 the other Member from Bodden Toum, and I, apent an entire day in the Finanoe Committee trying to get the vote for Child care and protection increased from Seven hundred Dotzars ( $\$ 700.00$ ) to Fourteen. Hundred Dollare (\$1400.00) per year. This is the vote that now mus into six figures. And we were told every reason in the world why that vote could not be increased. The main reason why it couldn't be increased was because there was five dotlows left over that hodn't been spent out of the Seven humired Dotlare in the previous year. We were not aatisfied with this and the Probation Officer was summoned to appear before the Finance Committee and explain why she had not spent alt the money and why she should have an increase; and we were told by the Probation officer that it was impossibte for her to spend the money she had. It was so little, she didn't know what to do with it. And she said "Believe me Members, that whenever I spend on what I believe is a needy case in this Istand, I find one that is even much worse; and I wish I had saved those few dotlars to spend on this case".

But we have changed all of that to where there is a respectable vote in Government for these indigent cases. I believe that a workman is worthy of his hire and if the people of this Country respeat the people whom they elect, and I believe they do so, they should not begrudge a pension which would be available to Membens of this House.

I know of a couple of cases right now of people who once served as Legislators in this House, who arie now in very poor financial etraits. I don't know that some of these formex Members now have enough to buy a cup of coffee unless it comes from a son, or daughter, or other retative. And, if we are not only to maintain a proper inage for our Legislators but also to enhance the nespeotability of these Honourable Members; I think it is time that we provide for them, benefits which are given to the messenger boy in Govermment.

BON. G. $A A T G$ BODDEN (CONTINUING): I know it is true, or at leastI've heard that Assembly Nembers oan get free treatment at the hospital. I have not tested this yet, beoause I pay for all my medical serviaes when I go to the hoopital.

But nevertheless, it is oomforting to know that it is there if you need it, and I beliave that your statement in the Throne Speech, that during this year you will introduce a Bitls or the Government will introduce a Bill providing for elected Members of the Assembity to receive pensions is a statement which with be welcomed by alt but our severest cxitics.

In the Throne Speech, mention is made of the new Port opened up in Cayman Brac and the statement shows that last year the 'Port in Cayman Brac was in difficulty becauee the importations into Cayman Brac last year felt by forty-two peroent (42\%), Threre was virtually no constmuction in Cayman Brac; there was hardly any jobe of any major size with the excesption of the Govermment program; and things were so bad at the end of the year, that the two Members from Cayman Brac insisted that an extira One-Hundred Thousand Dollars ( $\$ 100,000.00$ ) be put in the road vote for Cayman Brac so as to guarantee some employment during 1983.

There are signs that importation into
Cayman Brac this year will increase, and hopefulty, will not only reach the 1982 level, but may even surpass it. The dock in Caymari Brac accomodates only one or two ships per week; But the Government atill feels that the investment in the dock in Cayman Brac is a good investment; and one which wrill pay future dividends. And so I support the spending of additional Govermment money in Cayman Brac during 1984 вo as to giarantee that the economy will not suffer.

And as mentioned in your speech, the
introduction of Jet Service is also bound to have a positive effect on the eoonomy there.

During 1983 Govermment has provided a few rampe for the launohing of boats, and in Bodden Town I understand that the Government Land available there is not" suitable for a ramp. And Bodden Towners seem to be getting prosperous these days and many of the young men have very good boate - they are large boats, and I would like to make an offer to the Member for Agrioulture. I have a piece of Land in Bodden Toum which is situated on the sea side, a piece of beach tand which I have no intention of ever selling, and I would lease it to Government for one dollar per year (\$1.00) if they want it to put in this facility. So if the Member would examine thia piece of tand, and if it is suitable, Government can have the use of it for one dollax per year.

I have made a promise to keep my debate to what is for me a short spesoh, and I would like to close by saying that I feel it a privilege to say thanks to our retiring Clerk. She has weathered many a sotrm in this House, and she has seen much change in the political tife of these tslands;ond I do not sant to tell you her age, but she has seen the development of our Constitution; she has seen the liquidation of the Assembly and Justices and Vestrymen. She has seen the changes in the Constitution during her time in Govarnment although all of it was not in the Legislative Assembly. She has aeen the abolition of nominated Members in the House. She has seen the introduction of Internal Self Govermment in the Cayman Islands; She has seen Members being given responsibility for the munning of Government Departments. She has seen Women receive the Vote, "Universal Adult Suffrage" I think was introduced during her time with Government. She has seen the oonstruction of a fine

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Partiament Buitding. She has seen the introduction of a recording system whioh makes. it easy for her staff to prepare the Hansard ; and so puen in one ahort lifetime she has seen the evolution of a political.. system which has moved onward and upward with a democratio beat.

She has seen recently, in the last twelve years, the Cowstitution upon which we now work. She has seen, quite recently, the amendments to that Constitution which witi provide for an Appeal. Court in the Cayman Islands. She has seen all these things and accepted them with grace and weloomed the changes. Some of them have been dramatic, some of them have been controversial, but nevertheless, we live in a changing world and it is for us the inhabitants of the earth to adapt ourselves to these ohanges.

I first met the Clerk in her Official. capacity in 1972, and over the years I must say that we have had a very good relationship. I have a lot of respect for her and I have sought her advice on many occasions; even a couple of monthe ago when I attended a Conference in Kenya she provided me with some material which allowed me to make one of my long speeches and apart from that, she has advised me in the past on procedural mattere in the house and I know that the Legisiative Assembly will miss her. And, although. I cannot say to her that puts on her armour the same words I've said to her who has put off her armours I will say that I have every confidence in the clerk designate; and I look fomard to many years of working together with her.

I have found that in the Legistative Assembly we are a very closely knit group, and every person involved has a relationship with every other person; whether it be the Fresident of the Legislative Assembly, or the humble elected Members like myself, or the oetentatiouely dressed Sergeant at Arms, or the Clerks who do all the clerical work; we all belong to one family and we all suffer when things go wrong and whon the Press, as it so often does, tries to bring the President or an elected Member into disrepute, the entire organization suffers a blow and of course when things go right, everybody also shares in the cormendations that come from the Public.

I believe that the Parliament in Cayman $i_{s}$ in many respeats auperior to other Partiaments which I have visited. Honestly, I sat in the House of Commons and I must say that I was not impressed. I thought that question time in our House here, was superior and I look forward to the day when eome of the Members of Parliament in the U.K. will come and sit in our Chamber and liaten to question time.

Neverthelesa, this is not meant to be derogatory: but I believe that the Mother of Partiament aan learn from the Children whiah she has spawned; and it would be good if Members here and perhaps even members of the public could read a recent article published in the Pariliamentaritan which gives you some idea of the Buraaucratic system which now is followed in the British Pariiament

Nevertheless; I trust that despite all the objeotions and the criticisms that may be made of our Legislative Assembly and of our Parliomentary system; that it will continue for a long time because as the late sir Winston Churchill once said, "No better system has ever been devised".

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Honourable Third Official Member of
Breoutive Council.
HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President; $I$ too wish to offer my oongratulatione on your comprehensive Throne Speech detivered Friday last. Mr. President, I did not propose to speak, but due to the comments of a Member; I feet obligated to do so and to perhaps, in the process, clarify the position.

I refer specifically to the contribution to the debate by the First Eleoted Member from Cayman Brac and Little Cayman, dealing with the Civil Service Salaries review. Mr. Preaident, in the kast few days we have heard a lot of oampaign rhetoric in the debate on the Throne Speech, and as this Honourable House is the place where politicians meet we should all expect it. But Mr. Preaident, I did not expect the First Eleoted Member from the Sister IsZande to make such an isoue of the recent salary review; and $M x$. President, his speech sounded almost identical to written conments from a Civil Servant whioh the anomaliea eto. committee recently dealt with.

Mr. President, you cannot treat a Civil Service with approximately 287 different job desoriptions, 20 different major profeseions, and over 1200 members, like a business with a staff of 50 ; mostly in one or two professions. Between the top and bottom of the Civit Service Salary structure, Mr. Fresident, these grades have to be acoommodated.

The 1984 Salaries Revtew wae not merely a cost-of-living exercise, if it was Mr. President, an Executive Officer in the Treasury could have carmed it out. It was the oomplete review of the structure, taking into account the cost-of-living and the oomparison of Civit Servants Salaries with employees in the private sector. It was not, Mr. Fresident ' Salary Conmission in the traditionat sense; and it is true that the Personnel Advisor was abked to do the research and write the original paper. This is the same Gentlieman, Mr. Preeident, who acaried out perhaps, the most comprehenaive Salary Cominision exerciae ever conducted in these Islands in the last twelve years.

The Paper; Mr. President; was discussed at Senior levels of Government and with the Management Council of the Civil Service Association who accepted the report with minor reeervations on the leave aspect. Thres was done, Mr. President, before final approval was given to the Salary recommendations by Executive Council.

Mr. President; I have witnessed a number of Satary Reviews in the last twelve years in the Service. and I can say that each one receives conments of anomalies in it; and when we are dealing with ovex 1200 Civil Servants I think we can expect it, Mr. President.

Mr. President, if Government continues to raise the bottom of the stmuature disproportionately to the top, over a decade or more, eventuathy the pay differential between different Civil Servants Posts will erode; And sooner or later, the top has to be iffted in faimees to Senior Officere.

I appreoiate, Mr. President, that whenever this is done, it is always controversial in whatever Country it happens. But, top Civil Servants have been trailing behind the Private Sector for a number of years now, with the gap widening each year - particularly in recent years.

Mr. President, as an example of this erosion, during the years 1974 to 1980, the average inorease

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): to the top half of the Service, that is $M-1$ to $M-25$, was $46.48 \%$; and $53.71 \%$ to the bottom half, that is, $M-26$ to $M-50$.

Mr. President, the Member should
nemember the Hatl Report and the argument of the Civil Service back in 1980 that salaries were eroding faster at the top of the service than at the bottom. While we speak of surplusage Mr. President, and the major accomplishments of the Cayman Islande in the last decade, it is this one that we have not properly dealt with? and the 1984 Salaries review has attempted to put it right.

Mr. Fresident; looking at the comparability and using a real example, I have recently cone to know the person - I apologize Mr. President, I acnnot use nomes - who is being reoruited from Overseas by the private sector. He has a deaade or more less experience than the Civil servant who is above his level, is a relatively young person, and is starting at the salary of Fifty Thousand Dothars ( $\$ 50,000.00$ ). This person, Mr. Fresident, is not filling any managerial post, neither is he filling any partnership onrCompay, Directop post., It is much below that level, Mr. President.

Mr. President, I realize that there are some Civil Servants like any other employees in other bueinesses who are lacking in some skills and diligence. I do firmily believe, Mr. President, that the Cayman Islands Civil sexvice over-alt, does rates very high in effioieney and dedication. If Goverrment is going to hold on to efficient and professional Civil Servants, Mr. President, it will have to offer reasonable salaries which compare well to the private sector! and with regard to the percentages awarded, Mr. President, there could be no across the board percentage increase as the review took into account the comparability of a civil Servants pay with those in the private sector. But Mr. Preeident, the highest percentage increase in the review went to Members of the Legislative Assembly, as $106 \%$.

Although I would imagine the Firet
elected Member from Cayman Brac and Little Cayman will not be aceepting it, as he would appear to be troubled by his conscience. But. Mr. President, I witl be pleased to accept it as an abatement and as a welcome addition to the revenue.

This percentage, that is $106 \%$, in my view is justified, as our Members of the Legislative Assembly have served this Country well; and have carried out their responsibilities with the greatest of diligence and caution, and they should not be earning less than a messenger in the private sector, Mr. President.

It is right, and fair, to upgrade the salaries to a respectable level:. There is, in my mind, Mr* President; a limit to the sacrifice Government should ask of them. Some Members are still with us, who did it for little or nothing at the time the Country could not afford to compensate them. But now that the treasury has funds, Mr. Presidant, it is my view that they should be property paid.

Elected Members of Council, Mr. President, witl receive a $35.04 \%$ increase, while at the top of the. Civil Service the increase is $39.4 \%$. At the bottom, the Cherical scale rose from Five-Thousand, Six-hundred and Four Dollare $(\$ 5,604.00)$ to Seven-Thousand and Eight ( $\$ 7,008.00$ ) an inerease of $25.05 \%$.

Mr. President, it is logical that if the bottom haif'of the service has been receiving over the last. nine years the largest percentage satary award, it should there-

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): fore be that a Clerical Officer's salay will be nearer the salary paid for that grade in the private sector. Therefore, the percentage increase to that grade should be lese than the others who are falling behind. In the enforcement grades, of Inmigration: and Customs Officers, the base point increase is $44.87 \%$. As these officers are (some are) and others will be required to work shifts and on social hours, the increases. in my mind, is justified. In addition, Goverrment has drastically out down on all over-time in the Service, Mr. President.

Mr. Fresident, in the review, Govermment has abolished all allowances with the exception of acting allowance, duty allowance, motor car upkeep allowance, and possibly one more which is presently under consideration. Contracted Officers will have to pay $50 \%$ of his or her rental expenses. Leave has been reduced, Mr. President, which will give Goverment approximately five thousand (5,000) more man-hours each year, and stow down the growth of the Civil Service.

Mr. President, on page 35 of the 1984 Budget Address delivered last November, I said, "Mr. President, in my view, we need to look into all departments of Goverrment to observe how functions are being carried out, how useful is the function that is being carried out, and whether the streomlining of various functions in a Department can assist the reduction of Staff. "

Mr. Fresident, we are beginning to carry out that streamlining and already it appears we are going to get objections. How then are we going to do, what substantially the House agreed at the November gitting?

Regarding the reference of the Member to the Legislative Assembly agreeing on salary reviews, I betieve Mr. President, that the 1972 Constitution makes it quite olear that the responsibility of the Civil Service rests with you Sir. I acn find" no reference in my files that the Salary Revtew in previous years have been put before the Legialative Assembly for their final approval; although they do approve the amount of money for that purpose. I have asked the clerk to examine the minutes and the minutes indicate that in 1973 the Ralph Watter Report was mentioned in the Budget Address, and I can find no further reference to $i t$, as far as the minutes ans concerned.

Mr. Frosident, during the Hall Report there was a substantial amount of disgrunt lenees by the Civit Service ; there were talke of industrial action; and I would assume that the reason why it was brought here on that oocasion is because of that very reason. So that the former Financial Secretary could be assured that the Members of the Legislative Assembly werebehind him. I see no precedent in doing it here Nr . President, and I think that we should be careful when we do bring the details of a salary report to the Legislative Assembly. I agree entirely Mr. President, that they should be properly informed of it.

Before I sit, Mr. President, although I am on the Official side, I would atso like to say how helpful the Clerk has been to me during my short term of sitting in this chair. There were oocasions when without her guidance I would not have known where to turn, and to that I do say "thank you very much! I wish for you the blessings of good health in your long retirement".

To the Clerk designate, Mr . President, whom I worked very closely with in the last four years, I feel very strongly that given the guidance of the present

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): Clerk, that she will be an equalty good, effictent, Clerk of this House and I took forward to working with her and I offer any aseistance which I may be able to give at any time.

Thank you, Mr. President.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Nr. President, I beg your Leave Sir, under Standing Orders Thivty-one to make an explanation. Mr. President, the Third:Official Nember who has just spoken, said that he had reoeived comments similar to what I had made from a Civil Servant and assumed that I had repeated in this House verbatim what that letter said. Let me enlighten him and the nest of the Members in this Assembly: I have had no oontact with Civil Servants, I have heard a lot. of talk, I've heard a lot of dissatisfaction expresesed, but I have had no contact! I have eeen no such letter ae he may have received.

The seoond one, Six, I did not question the Commissioner's ability. What I said Sir, was that the Commissioner is involved and is part of the Civil Servioe, and he is a beneficiary of the aurard. That was a point I made: He is very familiar with Civil Servante. The other point I would like to make Sir, which I think, and said in my debate that the ratio was changed from 1 to 5.59 to a ratio of 1 to 7. I happen to have been in the Executive Councit and we argued that point that there was too much of a discrepanoy between the lower and upper echelons in the Civil Service; and we got it doum to a ratio of 1 to 5.59 and what I said Sir - I felt that there should have been an equal amount whether it was 10\%, 15\% awarded to Civil Servants from the top right to the bottom.

MR. PRESIDENT: I agreed the Member could make a personai explanation. The first part of his statement certainly was, the rest appears to be becoming a repetition of his earlier speech.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Nr. President, I am just explaining what I said Sir, because it seems to have got distorted. I would also like to say, six, that there is quite a differenoe if there is a raise of $106 \%$ with a meagre $\$ 500.00$ and Thirry-odd Thousand Dotlars. A big discrepanoy there. In closing Sir, I would like to say this, there is a tamaioan saying that goes like this "When you throw a rock among $a$ herd of swine, the one that bawle is the one that has been hit".

Thank you Sir?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, while I did not intervupt the Honourable Member, 'I think that he should perhor, read very clearly Standing Order Thirty-four - two (34.2) so that in future he does not attempt ae it states here to introduce matters or seek to etrengthen his foxmer position by new argoments. I would think a mistake the second time, Mr. Preaident, I intend to interrupt sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: Thank you: I think I made the point olear that I regarded the beginning of the explanation as perfectly proper, but I think it went a tittle bit further than perhaps I should have permitted.

MR. PRESIDENT: (CONTINUING): Does any other Honourable Member wish to apeak?

The Honourable First Elected Member of
Executive Council.
HON. JOHN B. MoLEAN: Mr. President, last year we weris fortunate to have Her Majesty the queen withius and to deliver the Throne Speech in person: This visit, Mr: Presidents witl tong be remembered by the people of: the Cayman Istands. I am certain I am correct in saying they witi live with this memory in their minds for the rest of their lives.

However, Mr. President, I wish to peturn to the present Throne Speech and I will join with other previous speakers in congratulating you on a job, well owne!. In the speech, Mr. Preaident, you have given a thorough review of the year 1983 and the plane for the year 1984. Mri Fresident, in your speedh we have been told of the progiess in various constmuction phases of the prison. We have atso been told of the af pointment of a Caymanian Director; and we have also been told, Sir, of an upward trend in the ocoupancy pate at the prison. Of these, Mr. President, the latter concerns me very much.
$I$ am concerned, Mr. President, from the point of view that those persons who are presently at the prison for various reasone are mostly att young people. People who in a short time should be the men and in some cases we do have.girls there who should be the woman of tomornow.

Like other Members, I cannot say what the answer is, I am hoping Mr. President, that the various denominations in this Country would see fit to form a Committee. having a representative from eadh Churah and to try to come together and work anong our young poople. It is my feeling Sir, we are at that point where if something is not done, there is not too much -more time to have it done.

Mr. President, to speak of the day to day operations of the prison, I must bay I have received numerous complaints of what is taking place out there. I am not here to knock either the Director or his Deputy or the.prison guards. What I am here to say Sir, is that I hope that Govermment would see fit to put together a Conmittee which. could do a monthly visit there and perhaps hetp management to pass. through these oritical stages. Mr. Fresident, I am also pleased to see from the Throne Speech, the great performances of our Radio Station. I feel six, it is important to any Country to have its own Radio Station. and I am certain Sir, that I can be borme out by what has taken place here since we have had our bum station. I am pleased to know, and I do support the idea of further training for the personnel there. I am certain that this in itself will be a great thing in the way that our news reporters present themselvee over the air.

There is one thing, Mr. President, that I would like to see with the Radio Station, and that is, to see more interviews with our sumior Caymanian oitisens. I feel that one which was done some time ago entitled "The Story of the Majestic" is one whioh is history to our ohildwen. I must ady whenevar that $i_{s}$ on the air it does replace productions such as BBC Productions, which to me, in most cases are sheer rubbish.

Mr. President, it is gratifying to know of the progrese that has taken place in the Lesser Islands. Espeoiatly during 1983. It is my feeling that with a new

HON. JOHN B. MOLEAN (CONTINUING): Hotel, the aervicea of our National Airline utilizing the 727's, that shortly the economy of those Islands will be on an upward trend. I assure the Members for that area, that whatever I acn do through.my portfolio, I will be happy to do.

Mr. President, at this time, let me take time to congratulate our Police Department. It is a known fact that over the last few months, this Department has come in for lots of oriticism. Some, whioh I feet deep within i.were unaalled for. I feel, Mr. President, that it has been a vexy good year with the exception to all that has been said by the oritics; I feel it has been a very good year for the polioe. Especially, Mr. President, in their campaign against druge traffioking.

Anothex wise move that has been made, Mr. President, is in the separation of the Licencing Department from the Central Station. I feel this is an asset to this Country and it has taken the hassle away that our people had to undergo once they were about to licence an automobile.

Mr. President, an area in the Polioe Department which I would love to see updated is the Marine area. I can recall for some time, the Second Elected Member from West Bay, has constantly raised the point; of the Marine Section. I must aly, I have to aupport him. As we are aware Sir, the drua trafficking around these Islands, is something which we have been trying our endeavoure to curtail. The present equipment which the Police Department has to work with to me, is far from being satisfactory or safe for anybody to try and approach a drug trafficker.

Mr. President, another wise move in my opinion, was when Govermment foined hards with the United States Drug Enforcement Agencies to try to fight together this terrible thing called the Drug Trade. I am certain if it was not for the efforts of both parties concerned, we would not have geen the results that we have aeen quite recently. It is quite constantly said, Mr. President, that our Polige does very little here; but this I must disagree with. It is our only mearis of Defence and with the few that we have to work with, I honestly feet the Cormisaioner and his group has done extremely well.

An area in the Throne Speech, Mr. President, that has come in for much debate; is the Low-cost Housing. I am aware Sir, like was said by a previous speaker, this has been for aome time mentioned in this honorable House. It seems as if what the Member who debatad this quite strongly was trying to prove, was the fact that the present Member was not doing his job. Mr. President, I disagree with that; I feel that he has done much in trying to put this together but it is a knowm fact! Something of thits magnitude cannot be done without money. It is here Sir, that I feel that the Banks and Trust Companies; in this Country ahould come together; they shoutd feet it an obligation to do so; and set some of the many profite that are pulled out of this Country yearly -put oone of those monies together and assist Govermment in putting together something that will benefit less fortunate Caymanians. I am certain, if the Member, through the Housing Authority; had been to some of the Conmeroial Banks, no doubt he would have been able to have a loan! but could he afford to pass: on such a loan with such high interest rates to a poor unfortunate Caymanian. This, Mr. President, ia what I feel neede to be done: Once the money is put aside and loaned out through

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN (CONTINUING): the Housing Authority at a very Zow interest rate, this with be the answer; and I feet the Banks and Trust Companies would have contributed to this Country at least for once in their stay here. I am certain that what it would cost them to put aside a few million dollars would be a small part of their annual profits.

Mr. President, I wish to speak briefly on my Portfolio. First of alt, I would like to thank the following members for their kind aorments, and the support they have offered me over the past year:

The Third Elected Mamber from George Town Miss Annie Huldah Bodden;

The Second Elected Member from the Lesser
Istands - Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell;
The Finst Elected Member from George Town -
Mr. Norman Bodden;
The First and Second Elected Members fram
West Bay - Mr. Garston Smith and Mr. Dalmain Ebanks;
I am pleased to say Sir, that the kind worde which have been said to me has been echoed to the Departments of my Portfolio; and it has put a boost to them. It has given them the feeling to press forward. It is through this that I have been able to make the success that I have achieved. I am proud, Mr. President, of the overall performance of my Portfotio; and as I have said - I am proud of my gtaff. As other members have paid special tribute to the M.R.C.U. Department, I wish also to join in paying a epecial tribute to the Director there; Dr. Giglioli. I feel that his work has been outatanding through the years. It is unfortunate to learn that he is very ill, and I assupe each Member. the Country will miss them greatly.

Mr. President, in the debate, much has been said on the progress of Agriculture over the last three years. I assure all Honourable Members that as long as I am responsible for that Portfolio $I$ will continue to promote Agrioulture. However, Mr. Fpesident, although many spoke well we did hear from one Member who was a bit critical on the Department and projeats whioh they had undertaken. I do not care to conment on this criticism because the proof is out thene Sir! That in itself witl prove the Member wrong.

Alan, Kr. Preaident, the Department of planning was brought into the debate and was refexred to a certain buitding in North Side. I do feel Sir, the Member who tried to oriticise the Planning Department has confused the Planning Department - with the Planning Board. The Department of Planning administers the decision of the Board. If something goes before the Board, and is passed, it is the duty of the Department to administer whatever is put before them. Mr. President, let me now spend a little time on the oriticism posed by the Third Elected Member from West Bay Mr. Benson Ebrnks. His ariticisms on the Marine Parks and the revision of the Development Plan.

Mr. President, the Member was trying to
prove his point, and in doing so he has researohed the HANSARD of a few meetings where mention was made of the Marine Parks. I an aware, Mr. President, there has been a delay, but also Mr. President, this is a matter that affects the people of the Cayman Talands ; and it cannot be done without their input. This is why it has taken so long. Meetings have to be held even in the Lesser Istands to have their input into this matter. However, Mr. President, it aeeme like some of us never learn

HON. IOHN B. MCLEAN (CONTHNUING): from our mistakes. I cam elearly remember one of the issues which filled the aare of everyove in the 1976 election. When the Government, up to that time had proposed a Development Plan and I must mention, the Member was a part of that Government! and everyone is cware that, that was one of the reasons why just about everyone of them lost their aeats. I assure all Members of this Honourable House, and the people of the Cayman Islands, I am not about to lose my seat by forcing through an isaue on the Marine Parks that will be set back in my lap in 1984 General Elections.

Also, Mr. President, when he mentioned the revision of the Development Plan, again 1 will admit, this is a bit behind time. For no fault of mine or my Portfolio, but simply that the body which deals with the final part being the Tribunal - has had a Charman who has been siok most of tast year, and it was onty recently when the newly appointed Board was put together that the matters have been placed before them. However, I om certain with the newly appointed Board I will be able to present the Honourable House with their deoiaion, in due oourse.

Mr. Freaident, $I$ always remember the Latin Proverb that says: "It is easier to pull down than to buitd up" so I feel Sir, in this case I oan always keep that Proverb in my mind and ignore the criticism which has been. leveled at me.

Mr. President, much work has been done in this past year especially by the Department of Agriculture. One of the most recent tasks undertaken by the Department was the opening up of a farm road in East End. This Sir, witl enable my people to farm more, and to reach their forme much easier than they oould before. The Department Sir, has demonstrated many crops successfulty. Pedigres animals buch as cattle, goats, have been imported and has been sold to farmers at cost. This is not only here in Grand Cayman, Sir, but as recently as last Friday avening, a plane load of cattle was also shipped to Cayman Brac. Next week Sir, we are hoping to have a further shipment of animals for the upcoming Agricultural ghow.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If I could interrupt the Honourable Member for a moment, I am told that the tape is about to run out and it is our normal time for a brief a uspension; so $I$ think if I may, I will suspend proceedings for approximatety fifteen minutes.

AT 11:28 A.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED
HOUSE RESUMED AT 11:49 A.M.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
The First Elected Member of Executive
Counoiz.
HON. JOHN B. McLEAN (CONTTNUING): Nr. President, when I was interrupted, I was dealing with the upgrading of facilities in the Department in Grand Cayman.

Mr . Fresident, the Department has also improved its facilities in the Lesser Islands. Yeaterday I was pleased to hear Capt. Mabry acknowledge this. . The facility there now, sir, now offere to the people something that should have been there some years ago.

HON. JOHN B. MeLEAN (CONIINUING): At this time Sir, I would like to pay tribute to the Agricultural Society. Next week Wetnesday witl be another of their ahows and it is my understanding that the Membere of that Sooiety are working very hard to make: this show aven a bigger and better one than the previous years. Also, I may mention that I will be having: guests from the State Department of Agricutture in the United States and from the Florida Agricultural Society. I do hope and trust that qs many Members as pössible will support me andicame: out and at teast show these fooses at the upooming Agricuttural show.

Mr. President, another great move for ouro Govermment in the year 1983, was the purchasing of the Cayman Turtle fam. Although a oertain Member felt that Govermment should purchade the farm and aell it immediately; perhaps he would tike to see that area with Condos I guees; anyway Mr. President, I am pleased to say that the farm has done exceptionally wetl sinee it has been purchased. The General Manager is contimuing to do an expellent job, the farm has produced this year, or I should say last year, a record for hatahtings, a certain amount have been released as there is now a program to try to replenish the waters around the Ielands.

As everyone is aware, the farm has experienced some difficulty especially with the Ban which was imposed " by the U.S. Govermment. A few monthe ago, when everyone was all exdited we thought that something was about to happen: and pertaps we would have had the ban lifted, however, the Seoxetary of Interior, at the time, at short notice, was replaced, and this again, has put a setback on all progreses in that area. I have recently learin, from our legal representative there, that work is going in full force and he is still hopeful and I cm still hopeful that some time in the near future we will be able to break the news that we have had some agreement with the authorities there, to have our products taken back to the United States again.

Mr. President, I now turn to my Distriet and $I$ begin by mentioning again the road which was recently built. This Sir, is eomething that the people of my distmict wanted for many a year and I feel certain I am echoing the feetings of almost everyions that they are very happy to know that this Government has assisted me in every way to make it a sucoses.

Also, Mr. President, through the support of this Ronourable House and its Members, I have been able to purchase property adjoining the Primary school in my distriat and have had it developed into a playing field for that achool.

In another area of the district, I have been able to purchase properties for a Comminity playing field which I hope work will conmence on shortly. I have been able to have a ramp constructed in my distriat which has assisted the boat owners very much and while apeaking of ramps, my Honourable Colleague, this morning, made mention and while mentioning, did offer to Govemment a piece of property so that a ramp similar to the one in my distriot can be construated in his distriot:" I give the Menber my wholehearted support on that and whatever can be done I assure him I will try my endeavours to have it done. We at present, are looking throughout the Island to see what can be done as far as having ramps construated to assist fishermen and boat owners in general. $A l s o, \mathrm{Mr}$. Fresident, in my district one of the persons mentioned here in question time, a caretaker has

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN (CONTINUING): been appointed not only does his job entail the upkeep of Cemeteries, but he is also being utilized for other Govermment properties in the district. Perhape some people may think that I did look at my district first and maybe I did, but the reason for this Mr. President, is that I was able to find someone who was willing to work, so it was not a hard task for me to have somebody employed. I am aware that the Lady Member has constantly spoken on the upkeep of cemeteries and I am sure she will agree that quite reaently she spoke to me of a certain gentleman, whom she thought would have been suitable, but until now she has not been able to find the person and bocause of this, no caretaker has been attached to the George Town Cemetery. We are still working on it, Mr. President, and as soon as possible, we will have one there. The other caretaker mentioned at question time is for the west Bay area and for this I have to give aredit to the First Elected Member. He has done his part, he has gone as far as to bring somebody to the Department and at present we are making the necessary arrangemente to have him on the job.

Other projections for this year in my district, Mr. President, it is hoped that a $3 / 4$ mile of hot mix road can be completed. This is something that was started some yauss ago and becouse of other things which were more needed, it was stopped and I an happy to see that the Portfolio concerned has seen fit to revive it.

There are aiso funds voted for the extension westward of John MoLean's Drive. This, again, Mr. President will assist my people very much and those living in that area have show their appreciation to me, and I am certain with this road constructed it will open up a whote new area as has the present John McLean drive.

The hurricane aenter, Mr. Fresident, in my district, was mentioned yesterday by the Fourth Elected Member of Executive Councit, and it is my understanding from the Fublic Works Department that construction will start in a short time. I am hoping that this building will be completed especially for the upcoming General Election.

Mr. President, as the sub-Fire Station at Frank Sound is of great importance to my district and people I am hoping that work witl conmence there shortly. Mr. President, I take this opportunity to thank all members of this Honourabte Houee who have supported me with the projects which I have outlined, both in 1983 and thoee projected for 1984. At this time Sir, let me also join others in saying special tribute to the Clerk of this Ascembly, Mrs. MoLaughlin; I have had the opportunity of working with her for the past eight years and I must say, Mr. President, she has assisted me in every way possible. Perhaps at some time I might have annoyed her, but if I did she has never yet shown it to me. Also, to the incoming Clerk, I wish to say, that I have also worked with her, but in a different Department, and if she does no worse here than she has done over there, I feel certain that we can be justly as proud of her as we are today of Mr. McLaughlin.

So, to Mrs. MoLaughtin, I wish a very happy retirement; and to the incoming Clerk - all that is good in the years ahead. Finally, Mr. President, and most important as other Members of this Honourable House has already deolared that they will be standing in the upooming General Etection, $I$ wish to say the same.
$M x^{r}$. President, at the tender age of 26 the people of my Distriat had the trust in me to elect me to stand in ths Honourable House as their representative. After eight years, Sir, I look back with no regrets. I think the same

HON. JOHN B. MOLEAN (CONTINUING): of my peopte, as I did the day when I first stood up for General Elections; and I feet certain that my people feel no tess of me. I feet I have an obtigation, sirs. to stand by them as long as they stand by me. To all other Members, I hope and trust that if in the upocining Etection we are fortunate enough to be returned here, that we witl turn a new sheet and instead of what I consider the stupid opposition that sometimes has been over the laat yenr; we will put that aside in these critical times and put our heads and shoulders to the grind and run this Country property. Thoonk you, Mr. Fresident:

MR. PRESIDENT:
Second Elected Member of Executive Council.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, and Honourable Members of this Legistative Assembly, First I congratutate you, Mr. President on the Throne Speech, which is precise and progressive, yet ooncise and thorough. It sets out claarly the position of the past year, very fairly, while projecting the poticies of the Govermment for 1984 and beyond.

It refleote preparation which is the key to success in most matters in this life. I take this opportunity of thanking and acknowledging the tremendous help and assistance which the Clerk of this Assembly has rendered throughout her long period of Service. I wish for her and her family, di happy and prosperous future. I wish for the new clerk, every suceess and I pledge her my support. She was formerty in charge of the Social Services Department, as welt as being in my Portfolio for, Health, Education and Sociat Services; and I know that she is competent.

Mr. Preeident, a speciat thanks and my appreciation goee to my Principal Secretary and my Staff at my Portfolio. The Heade of the Department of Health, Education and Social Services and atl their staff, for the support and cooperation that has always been forthooming. I have endecvoured not to intervene in matters which were going well and to assist onty when my assistance would be helpful and necessary.

The future, and the progress within any Country as it is within any business, rests squarely upon those in control of it. The key to success lies with insuiring that the people of this Country, the Electorate of this Country, Vote Membere of this Legistature and that the Executive Council voted are persons with the necessary experience and intelligence to competently man what is now the Zargest business in this Country. Namely: The State of Goverrment.

They must be prepared to sacrifice a lot to learn to accept the sacrifices which political offlce of necessity brings upon them and to take the unnecessary and many times vicious and unfounded oriticism which is constantly leveled at them and seriousty affects their life within this society. There life is not an easy one. However, without proper and optimistic tecamork within a Goverrment; then it must fail to achieve the many goats which are esentiat for the progrese within the Cayman Islande.

It nust always be remembered, that within every Society, there must be an upholding and tolerance of other essentials which mold and keep strong a society; including those of religious freedom; and the freedom to live ones owir private life and asaistance to ones neighbor.

FON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): The businese of Govermment is big, it is comples and competent hathding of its business is far more important now than it was a few years ago.

I now wish to turn to Prisons. Prisons were a part of my Portfolio during its infancy atage, and until it was buitt, at which stage, it was transferred to the Honourable Chief Searetary's Portfolio. I am happy to see that it has fulfilled its purpose and I believe that it is now seen as an imporiant and essential part of this acoiety to ensure that persons who cormit crimes within society can receive the punishonent which by law has been properly provided them, yet preserve the dignity and receive the rehabititation which is necessary to bring them back into society.

Mr. President, a priaoner is not an outcast. The Portfolio for Social services continues to play its very important role in relation to rehabilitation and the oare and protection of prisoners families; as well as offering advice during the most difficult period of the lives, both of the prisoner and of his or her family.

The topic of Inmigration. Mr. President, Immigration within any Country, is always the most difficult and controversial topics and the Honourable First Official. Member, Mr. Dennis Foster, has my aympathy for having it within his Portfolio. The United Kingdom provided an example of the dieaster of permitting immigrants into the Country on the basis of certain rights which existed within the Commonwealth including the West Indies, Africa and Pakistan. In the $1960^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, the large conount of commonvalth immigrants into that Country settled and went on to provide a large part of the Immigration related problems which the United Kingdom saw a decade or two later; but which it has now very firmty reversed out beginning with its inmigration act and its anmendments back in 1971 or thereabouts, and outminating in the new British Nationality Aot that went into force approximatety a year ago.

I believe that prior to anyone coming to the Cayman Islands, to work, there should be the proper balances and checks as laid down in the Immigration laws, regulations and policies and the Caymanian Frotection Board must continue to administer those policies in a restrictive and firm, yet fair and equitable manner. Regardless of what Laws or Policies which may be made, the Caymanian Protection Board must expect that it will be the most controversial amd criticised Board in these Islands.

The duty upon the shouldexa of Members in that Board is heavy and it increases in weight as time goes on. However, we must always be aware that the future of this Country rests to a large extent in the hande of that Board. We have all heard the theories which have been stated in relation to Immigration; but many times when those policies are enforeed against the individual expounding them; they try to avoid them. The Legislature has a select Committee which is now dealing with the Cayman. Protection Law and its other related legislation. Therefore, Mp. President, it is the duty of this House to see that that Legislation is what is best in the interest of the people of the Cayman Islands. "You can ask questions to this Govermment about Inmigration, but
tet us face tit, my friends; the Fesponsibitity rests on all of dur shoulders at this stage!, and quite rightly it should be. The one prinoiple which must overide any deciaions on this legistation on Immigration, is the principle that the

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): interest of Caymanians must be firbt. Fon they have tived their lives here, and have weathered the bad times so they expect to be given a fair opportionity of the good times. However, it must be paramount yet it must be balanced against what is fair and equitable in relation to inmigrante who work or reside within the Cayman Islands.

That principle is difficult to Legislate and it is even more difficult to administer; but if we tend towards one or the other, then our leaning must be toward Caymanians and the future of cayman. It has been showm; Mr. President, that a policy that is too restriative can cripple the Cayman Islande whiah are so dependent on persons from abroad filling posts. On the other hand, a policy that is too open oan spell disaster for future generations, of this Country. The Immigration policy must include a strong tendency towards training Caymanians for posts which are now held by foreigners and insuring that sehool leavers are in a position to find jobs. Thare must therefore be a fair but a flexible policy.

I wish to make it abundantly clear, to this House, that I adhere very strongly to the prinoiple that Caymanians should be competent to fill a position that he or she seeks. It is neither good common sense nor good business sense to put an incompetent person in a position where he will be a failure. I believe and I have seen that the affects on him or her; upon the emptoyer; upon the business and upon the society can be irreversible if this is aarried out on a targe scale and detrimental to atl.

Caymanians and especially school leavers should realize that society does not necessarity owe them a living. They must work and they must earm it. The duty of a good govermment is to provide the climate to insure that jobs are available but it is up to the individual Caymanian to see that the initiative, ability and prineiple of giving a dollar's work for a dollow's pay is cammied out.

Mr. President, that principle applies to the private sector and it also applise to the Civil Servants in Govermment. Having baid that, Government's duty is to aee that Caymanians dire given the firet opportunity of filling posts which are available and of pur Inmigration laws alearly spett this out as a priority and Mr. President, I would hope that when the new Immigration Law comes to this House in the near future, that policy will continue to be entrenched.

I am concerned about the amount of illegal immigrante in these Islands and I would hope that now that the reconds at the Inmigration Department are computerised we will: see a thorough, effective and immediate move biy the Immigration to oorreet this situation. It is nonsense to sit in this House and make Laws if there is no enforcement of those laws. Whatever is necessary to enforce our laws, I believe that Members of this House are prepared to vote in terms of money or to give in time spent in achieving that.

Lastly, on this subject, Mr. President, Immigration Laws of these Islands are based upon those of the United Kingdam and the United States and therefore shouzd not be wafamiliar to nationate of those Countries and other free oo untries of the world. All of us in this House have been in 'other Countries, where we have elgher been visitors, or we have been employed. Sometimes, unnecessary criticism is leveled at these lowe by persons from abroad; But, I would like to toll this

HON. TRIMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): House, that I too have vivid memories of only being given one year permit to study in the United Kingdom; of spending one full day waiting in line in the cold and Mr. President, I have praetically no natural insulation being the weight I am - at the Immigration office in High Holburm in London, of waiting in line for four hours just to get a number and four more hours to see the Immigration Officer! And at the end of it, I was given a one year permit to reside and not to work and to be there as a student. But, as I look back Mr. President, I realize that that Immigration Policy was neoessary for the United Kingdom it was their Country, I was a vieitor within it; they had a right not to permit me to work within that country, but to reaide onty for the purposes of studying on a onemyear permit as they so wished.

However, I appreciate having been given that opportunity. I guess, looking back now, Mr. President, it was fair, yet we must accept that Immigration Laws are difficult to take when you are not looking at it from the point of view of a national in your own Country. While there, I felt an over-riding duty to be an extremely good oitizen, to keep my life clean, and to be industrious: If people from abroad take that approach, Mr. Preaident, then their life witl be much simpler and muoh happier. I should, however, mention that I endeavoured to complete my Bar exams, and I think I also got two years of my LLB degree in the two and a half or two and three quarter years that I was over there prior to taking a six months poetgraduate which I did take. So it has had its good affects.

Agriculture, Lands and Natural Resoupces.
Mr. President, I offer my sincere oongratulations, to the Honourable first Elected Member of the Executive Conncit. Mr. John MoLean, for his relentless efforts and the competent handling of his Portfolio. In his short period, he has grappled with overwhelming problems, he has reversed the theory whioh existed that because land in the Cayman Islands could not be fully mechanized, it could not produce crops, or could not produce them economically. He has reintroduced livestoak breeding into the Islande; he has pressed forward in the areas of protection of our natural reaources; while continuing the good fight of the Mosquito Research Unit against our original natives, the Mosquitoes.

He has competently dealt with the complexities that surround the system of Land Registration and the Development Control Board and the Central Planning Authority. Perhaps, this is why he has the honour of being the only living politician that I believe I will see during my lifetime, who has had a public road carrying his name; John McLean Drive.

Several Members, inoluding the First Elected Member for George Town, Mr, Norman Bodden, have commented upon the dangers of rapid development whioh he is quite right in expressing. I would like, however, to analyze some of the advantages and disadvantages of development within a country. The disadvantage of rapid development especially in a developing Country such as the Cayman Islands, inctude damage to the bocial stmucture, the utilization of resources which could be spread over long period of time to get the maximum benefits over the maximum period. The impact psychologically upon the individual to readjust to an ever changing society.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): On the other hand, the advantages of balanced and progressive development inctude the strengthening of economic resources within a oountry, the provision of the basic neeessities which are necessary to guarantee that freedom from want and the right to live ones life with the basic necessities such as food and clothing; and respect, and to have a job to provide for ones family and ones self. If Members of this House witl peflect on other countries within the Carribbean in which unemploument has prevailed for a period of time, they will find that they.have ultimately been destroyed by riots and civit comotion.

So, Mr. President, we have a situation of a choice between two svils. It is however, necessary, that a good Govermment balanoes reasonable, sensible and practical progress, which within a country will make sure that people have jobs that they can preserve their self respect for themselves and their family and will not have to resort to arime to make a tiving or to feed their children. Against seeing a part of society, which could be preserved disappearing more rapidly than we may wish to see it.

My friends, it boils down to one very basic and hard fact, We live in a practical world that never stands still. And, we are to progress and go forward, or we regress. You cannot tell a man with a child who is hungry that it is to his benefit to keep the economy slow and to keep him inemployed, or to preserve institutions of the past rather than feed that child. Therefores, Mr. President, the duty of a good Govermment must be to guarantee that their is full smployment and that people can preserve their dignity by having the basio necessities and lumuries which they are entitled to within a democratic society. If you strip a man to his right to earn a living, you strip him of nearly every basic freedom he has.

Mr. President, this Government has balanced the full employment against some controlled development and it has done so despite a world recession; and has kept the standard of living in these Ialands the highest in the Carribbean and perhaps in the world. If Members here, as many of them have, would spend a few days in other West Indian Islands, such as Haiti, or the Leeward or Winward Islande, they will see very clearty what an example of hunger peatly is. Belteve me, Mr. President, if there is a duty between developing this Country and the conflict of the keeping of a peopte in full employment, and with the necessary food and otothing, then the duty of this Government is to keep our people happy and employed.

Mr. Prestdent, I am about to go on to Police, and I'm wondering if it would be an opportune time to break.

MR. PRESIDENT: If that would suit you.
HON. TRUMARM. MODDEN: It would, Mr. President
MR. PRESIDENT: Let us then suspend proceedings untit two fifteen. Two Fifteen suit members? Until 2:15 then. Thank you.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2.19 P.M.


HON. TAUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, I apologise. I just was in her's going through my papers and I went back to get my coat.

You know, it reminds me, Mr. President, of the first time I went to court and I took my wig off and forgot to put it back on, stood up and the Judge tooked at me and saia "Counsel, I do not see you" and I thought, well, I an not too oertain what has happened to the Judge, and he kept saying that and then someone pinched me and said "you do not have your wig on".

Moving on now, Mr. President, to my own portfolio - Health, Eduoation and Social Serviaes. The Sooiat Services Department has shown that over the past years there has been considerable atrides. Over the past year alone there has been an $8 \%$ decrease in juveniles coming before the court. Further, the number of crimes for juvenitee, have dropped by $32 \%$ to $50 \%$ over the past year. This decease must reflect the heavy strese which has been laid by that Department in the past few years on preventative work done by officers on an informal basis, as you have stated.

My appreciation goes to the Rotary, to the Bonaventure House for Boys and the Frances Bodden Girls Home and this appreciation oannot be over-stated. These are now both functioning and we are looking at the individual girls in Jomaica to see which of those can be brought back to Cayman. However, Mr President, I must stress that the Girls Home is not a remand home. As Members know, I have bsen pressing for a long time for a remand home and in the budget this year there is a token proviaion for it. On the completion of this, we witl have in place the necessary institutions for rehabilitation of those of our youth who fall by the way-side.

Mr. President, I believe that by ensuring that the youth of this country become and remain good citisens is the best investment that we can have. The youth of today are the leaders of tomorrow.

There has been an increase in the poor melief assistance of $35 \%$ over 1982. The policy of Goverrment in this area is very clear. Those persons who are in need and cannot work will be provided with the basic necessities by Government. However, I have no sympathy and I do not intend to tolerate applications for poor aid relief by persons who are aapable of working and finding work in the community.

If a person has no ambition to work then he should not have the money anct tha benefit that come from those other persons like oursetves who spend our tife-time working.
$I$ continue to be grateful to the National Conncil of sociat Servia for the Pinse Retirement Home and the other projects they are involved in.

My thanks go to all semvice clubs and in particular to the Lions and Leos for the sight conservation programe, the novel project for the awinming poot and the piblice

HON. TRUMAN M.BODDEN (CONTINUING): beach project. I urge everyone in the Istands to support all of the sociat etubs and service ciubs.

In relation to housing for indigent persons, this is now well underway and this year the Members of the Legislature have voted money for me to make this a reality.

Very shortly I hope to have approval from the Gantral Planning Authority for a park and a sub-division near to the Wather's Road area. Other areas are now under review and this project witt be extended into those other areas.

I appreoiate the First Elected Member for George Toum and his effort to bring water to the persons in Watier's Road and full credit for this goes to him.

The Health Services have made good atrides forward in the past year. The tightening of the system and seon ty suspounding dangerous dmeg and narcotics has reduced the possibitity of the lose of dangerous drugs which resulted several years ago and have never been accounted for. The establishment of the Complaints Cammittee and the implementation of the medical polices are wett under way and the results are beginning to appear.

It muat be remembered, Mr. Fresident, that any hospitat and medical service which supportds and provides services for the Islands with only 17,000 population cannot be expeoted and indeed it would be for too expensive to have the many specialist services provided by hospitats in large oitues sueh as in the United States of America. However, we have endeavoured to eapand the aervices and upgrade them and to provide as much as possible for the specialist services locally.

We are gratefut to the medicat specialists who visit the Ialands and provide on a limited basis these specialist sexvices.

Hoving done the necessary study on drugs, aloohol and mental health, this is now moving forward. However, Mr. President, it is not a simple matter. The whote project is a complex one and it is inter-related, not onty within itself but within other aspects in the communty.

As you mentioned, Six, three psychiatriste, one resident in Grand Cayman, are now providing part-time services. We also have a part-time peychiatrict social worker as well as a fulltime medical social worker.

I have pressed foruord in all areas of the portfotio for the upgrading and the proviaion of refresher courses for the professionals and staff within the medical as well as the educational and social services department. I think that it is cruciat that these experts be kept up-to-date so that they can keep pace with the rapid advancement that this society bring upon them.

It has been said that one ounce of prevention is better thon one pound of cure. The health Department has put emphasis on scraening programes and this year has seen those for colon cancer, will see a further such programe and the breast cancer survey as wett as in 1983 a soreening progranme for hypsrtention and diabetee.

Screening prograwnes for sight, hearing and mental defects have been carried out in most schoots.
The health Services Department maintained an emphasis on primary care. Broadly speakings there are three aategories of patiants, geriatric patients, those needing slight care, those who need minimal medicat care and those who are seriousty itl. The treatment of patients in their oum homes is much more econcmical than most hospital treatment and I believe, Mr. Fresident, most poople are happier to remain in their homes in the compony of their famity and friends and to be treated there.

HON.TRUMAN M.BOPDEN (CONTINUING): A start was made eartiex this year with the periodical vietting of geriatme patients and this wili be extended. A paper to being prepared and the provisions for those who might be cared for at home, those needing minimal medical aare at the Pines and those serious ill at the George Town Hoopital.

I appreciate what the Third. Eleoted Member for Weat Bay has put formard and there will be a time when many of the patients can be cared for, but there witl always be those who remain at the hospitat bedause, they are semiousty ill untit a wing an be put on for that type of geriatrio or other patient. By the way, Mr. President, this does not just relate to geriatrio patiente but it relatee to diaabled patiente generally.

I would especially like to thank the sanitary etaff for their continued efforts in their unpleasant jobs. They have gone about this and no one appears to have taken time to say thank you to them.. I am especially rpoud to see the way they have continued to kesp Cayman clean. However, we must remember that the Environnental Health Department is a continuing Department whose duty it is to keep Cayman clean oontinuouely and is different from, and will never receive the publicity, of the private committees that have been oet up over the years on an ad hoc basis for one effort or another.

I am grateful to such conmittees, however, for what they have done, however, the contiruing duty, remains on this Department of Government. The Chief Environmental Engineer and his staff have done a very good job, despite the wetraints both in retation to man-power and money.

Sports - As I mentioned earlier, Mr. Fresident, the Second Elected Member for West Bay has taken the lead as an Elected Member of the Legislature in this area.

The majore undertaking; as you mentioned, was the joint venture between the football aseociation and we are now. pursuing agreements with them together with agreements with the Netbalt Asaociation. Similar ventures will soon begin in West Bay and in East End.

As you mentioned, Sir, the hard courts have been established in Worth Side and Bodden Town, double court at the Midale Sohool, a new court at George Town Primary, a single court at West Bay with room for expansion at a future date.

We are now progressing with the sports complex, but, Nr. President, the building of that is complex, it is going to cost a lot of money and I have to just be certain that what I am doing is right and that Government money is not to be wasted in any way. To that effect, we are applying for consultants and negotiating with the Dade County under the twin-cities programe to get the experitise that we need for it.

As $I$ mentioned earlier, the Lions Club have graciousty taken over the task of building a half olympie sise swimming pool which is situated at the Middle Sohool.

Mr. President, if there is one Department in my portfolio that I can feel happy and safe when I lecue this Government at the end of this year it is the Eduoation Department and our school system.

We have been very lucky that the present Chief Education Officer and weth as her predecessor both have education at heart. and have come up through the system. There were imputations by some Members that this Goverwment may not be doing as much as possible with the different departmente within our respective portfolios, I would like, fairty briefly, even though it is somewhat tedious, to just mention some of the things that are in line for capital projects in 1984.

HON.TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING), On the medicat facilities in Grand Cayman, thexe is a kitchen and meeting room facility, to cost $\$ 470,000$, a staff and patient oar-park which with oost about $\$ 30,000$; re-wiring of buildings and minor worke to the extent of $\$ 141,000$. And at the Faith Hospital in Cayman Brac there will be renovations to $X$-rays, stuiae, maternity $l_{a b}$ and the oid kitohen, together with a cistern and aiterations cond certain consequential works to the extent of $\$ 120,000$.

We have provisions:for public lavotomies, and there is a small provision, as I mentioned sartier, for a remond home.

On the school aide, at the primary achoot level, the Savannah and East End Primaries, witl receive funds for up-grading. The George Town Primary, the paving, fonoing and topsoiling, together with a special education wit, new toilet facility and covered walk-ways, to the extent of about $\$ 95,000$; Weat Bay Frimary two reception olase-rooms, btaffroom block, new canteen, library aml class-room conversion btaff parking area, which takes really one of the largest amounts of money, with the exception of the West End Primary School. where a full block witl be built.

But I would not go on, Mr. President, beyond that because I think that, in itself, has shown that we have endeavoured to put the necessary money in our dspartments and to upgrade them.

I would like to deal with several specialist topics that have been raised and some which have been aontroversial from time to time within my own portfolio.

Special eduation has been one of those controversial topics from time to time. In July, 1982, a coordinator of apecial education was designated and the proposat to speoial education was fommilated, during the sunmer and an 80-page spectal education manuat was prepared as an outtine for special education.

A unified approach has been developed to assivt chitanen with wnique needs at every level of the education procese. The coordinatox has set up progronmes as well as lending support to the reguilar olass-room teacher, who is desirous of reosiving more hetp and special atudents. This coordinator, along with a perepatetic special education teacher vieits and conducts resource reading programmes at the six primary sohoots and bimonthly on Cayman Brace.

Visite are also made, Mr. President, regutarty to the High and the Middle Schools.

Evaluation - The student is processed regutartw and referred for placement in resource rooms in schools or at the lighthouse schoot. They were also placed on psychologicat evaluation, done by Dr. Fitagerald in 1988 to 1983. An evaluator has has administered achievement tests on studentes in all of the schools. Evaluations are required before any studente are placed in special programes. Profiles, Mr. President, on all studente are filed.

Throughout the schoots there is a peferrat process which was established to locate alt students with special needs, that is special diabitities, to evaluate those needs and to provide them with appropriate instruction, as a result a fuli-time or part-time programe now exists in all schools.

I will not go into details of the programmes, but I would tike to mention that 24 special education staff, meet each month with respresentatives from private sehools to commonicate. developments in the fiela, hold work-shope and co-ordinate efforts. From this group a spectal adisisory conmittee also meete monthiy along with parent representation to promote a co-operative approach to the programme development.

Another promising step has been to combine

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINING): personnet from Publio Health, Frobation \&Welfare and Edication Departmente in an inter-agenoy council that strives to do-ordinate speciat servioes.

What I am trying to get through at this stage, Mr. President, is that this area has not been negleoted but it is specialist and it is oomplex.

There now exists a challenge programe
in the schools. Top classes in the primary schools were tested to determine which ohildren should be off ed a place in this programme and eventually about 25 ohildren were selected. Clasees began in February and three hows a week the children follow a apecial computar progronme designed to extend their reasoning power and increase theip vooabutary, and mathe ekille. This, I think is conother good innovative projeat.

The peychiatric problem within the schools,:
these are net easy facta, perhaps, to have to face within society, but, Mr'. President, based on teachers' recommendations for assistance for coping with dismuptive students, the services of a poychiatrist were utilised to ascertain numbers of children who would require remediation intervention. This ascertainment was done through visits to schoots and holding diseussions with tecohers, whe baset. on their relationship with the children, referred then for this help. Criteria for asessment were as follows -

Hyperaotivity, intemersion, violent behaviour, short attention span and other social behaviour. In conjunction with the Health Derriment, Mr. President, a elinic; per week is held in the afternoon for children who were referred.

So this is onothar appect that there is assistance. Lastly, Mr. Eresident, on the specialist areas which Members raised the Honourable Second Elected Menber from Cayman Brac raised the question on computers and I would like to say a feu words in relation to that. The Cayman Brac High School now has 2 micro-computers, the first purchased through the PTA fund-ratsing and the second through Government funds. The computers are used primarily in the s pecial Eduoation Department. There are also two elasses in oomputer studies presently ronning at the Coyman Brac community College.

At the High School and the Middle Schoot we had two texchere attend the FLorida Instructional Computing Conference and their reports will do a lot to shape plans and progress in this area in the next few years.

The Middle Sohool is now planning to introduce computers as extra-curricular activity for its older students in the near fuiture. In the meantime, the Middle School Computer club has been granted access to the High School computere.

Community college rund a total of 5 computer related coure es avery week, to apaaity clasees within it, Sir.

There are 6. computers in the Primary system and for the moment, or presently they are beirg used in the gifted programme and eventually they witl be placed; I hope, in each Pr inary schoot.

Mr. President, when I was charged with responaibility with education 7 years ago, I received a portfol to which, to use the Thind Elected Member's of Weet Bay reforanoe to the post-secondary aducation system was highty fragmented. It was not just fragmented, Mr . President, the Deparitment was at that time operating under no written polioies with no guidance in that res peet. In fact, Ar. President, I do not. believe there were any comprehensive written policies in any of the Departments at that time. However, the anonnels of the sys tem at that time showed a very basic problem. Too much time had been spent on the High Sahool education aystem, not realizing that with a very good

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): basic primary education, a chitd woutd of neceseity flounder in High School.

The fundomentale of eduoation, the 3 Re as they are oommonly referred to, reading, writing and arithmetic, must be received by a child in the primary school. Therefore, my early years in this portfolio wers spent in rectifying this.

The system in the primary schoot is now more standardized, the syllabi have been revised and there is a teacher's or school handbook there which is now in place, along with the new Education Lcaw.

Mr. President, I believe that the system of education is working as about as near to perfeot as it can. I accept that a lot more las to be done and in the short time that $I$ am in this portfolio I will endeavour to do as much as I can. But, it has taken time and the dedication of the staff in schools to whom I am thankful.

When I came in, shortly after I abolished, what I regarded as the dangerous Caribbean Examinations with their communistic approach and content.

The High School, Mr. President, at that time had an attendance of over 1,000 students which proved to be very difficult. I, at that stage, moved for the introduction of the Middle Schoot which was received with very strong opposition from certain members of the Parent-Teachers' Association, inctuding the. Third Eleoted Member for West Bay.

It appeared that people at that stage did not see a growth in the school population and felt that putting together ohildren at the age of say, 11 years or 12 years, at that time, with the adults of 17 and 18 yeare, was not hamfut and should continue:

Mr. President, if every there was a right decision, as far as my conscience goes, in relation to education, it was the creation of the Middle School. Can you imagine having 1800 atudents in a school of only a few acres? This is a larger amount of people than populates Little Cayman and Cayman Brac. It would have been a diacster, I believe, at this stage. I woutd hope that with hindeight that everyone would now aocept the Middie Sohool as being an integral and important part of the education system.

I always endeavour, Mr. President, to move cautiousty, yet to waigh practicalities against that caution. After dll, Mr. Presidènt, I have spent 9 years of my life in High School. in the Cayman Is lands untit I graduated here and I have spent another 12 years either studying or teaching in Caynan or England.

Mr. Fresident, now that the primary school system is well in place, the Middle School is matoring very well and the High School system has become developed and matured, I am now turning my efforts to further education and the continuation of the efforts of the Community College, and, on that point; I agree with the views of the Thind Elsoted Member from West Bay.

I have now been carefully through a very well written report by Dr. Hallett and I am happy to say that from a pretiminary point of view, and subject to diecuesions within the portfolio and the Education Department on that report, I beliteve that our move must now be towards the ootlege which can combine the. Community College together with the other technical colleges into a central college of further edwation. I would tike to point out, howsver, that from a preliminary point of view, I feel that our Law Schoot must continue to remain outside the ambit of this.

However; it will take more study and carefut looking at. Mr. President, I have never been prepared to move before I have done my honework until I have taken such advice

HON, TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTTNUING): or instructione or being briefed, as I needed in relation to a subject, and unlese I com reasonably certain that the course I an taking is the best course in the circumstances, having regard to a proper feasibitity study of the matter.

I should mention, as you wèll know, Mr, President, that I do not, however, let this stagnate the practical approach to problems. The college must be oreated property and it will take time, both to create and to develop but it will be one of the finat stages of the sducation system, at least, for the near future.

During the short period that I remain in this Govervment, I will endeavour to put in place the necesacry frame-work and do the necessary ground-work for the furthering of tertiomy or postsecondory education in the Istands.

Mr. President, it is always quite easy to say what should be done, but the question that. I always ask the other Members of the Legislative Assembty, especially those who were in Govermment before me, and who are now criticiaing the Government, is very simply this:- If you felt certain things should have been done, why did you not do ti? Why did you not do it?
$I$ would like to remind Members that if they are so keen on seeing certain laws introduced, there is a very simple system under our Standing Orders for the introduction of private wows., The Third Elected Member from Weot Bay raised aspects where he wished to see legialation and I think that this House knows and the public should know, if he is so enthusiastic, he has just as much a right to bring a private bill to this House as it is for this Government to bring a publio bizt.

Mr. President, having said alt that, in reptys to his omiticiam, I will reassure him that since he ocaasionally likes to play the lowyer in amending the lows, that I am going to leave $h \mathrm{hm}$ one of my taw degrees in my witt. (I hope he takes that as a joke).

MR. BENSON O.EBANKS (interruption): It certainty is a joke.
(MEMBERS - LAUGHTER )
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Nr. Fresident, I have always known him to have good humour because he likes to make his jokes about other people at times himself.

In conclusion, Mr. President, it was the Greek Phitosopher Socrateg, some 2,000 years ago who said words to the effect that no man undertakes a trade which he is not competent to do, but evernt one believes that he is competent to oarry out the most difficuit of ail trades - that of govermment.

Mr. Fresident, I have taken the 7 years of my work with Government very seriouely; I have applied my full efforts, and considerable periods of time in endeavouring to develop the Government and the Islands and to enhonce the way of life for my people: A lot of time I have spent on details of policies, many of which do not necessarily relate to my portfolio. Somebody, however, has to deal with detailo and tedioue work.

It may be all well and good for Members on the other side of this House to take a critical and sometimes destructive approach to matters which the Government bringe. However, I would caution them that most people can aee problems but it takes a good, competent person to find proper solutions...

MR.BENSON O.EBANKS (INTERRUPTION): That is why we are going to have chance.

HON.TRUMAN M.BODDEN (CONTINUING): Saturdays and ocasionally holidays of my time are spent on both my Government and private work and at present, Mr. President, I spend probably more than half of my time on Government work at considerable saorifica to my family, mi low firm and at times with interruptions to travel abroad at short notice. But I believe that it is only with the forward movement of people, such as the Members in this House, that this cowntry oan oontinue to survive and to move forward.

The business of Goverment is a very big, complex business which requires high competency and dedication in handling it. I ask that God's guidance always be with those who in future administer this conntry, to ensure its continuity as a frees, demooratic society where people con live and religiously worship in freedom, preasrving the human dignity of themselves and theip fomilies. Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The First Elected Member for the Lesser Islande rose earlier on a point of explanation.

CAPT. CHARLES L.KIRKCONNELL: Thank you, Mr. President. The Seoond ETected Member for George Town stated in his debate that I had petitioned the Govermment in 1981 to add a Fifth Member to Executive Councit. Mr. President, this is absolutely incorrect. The petition referred to requested that the recommendation made by the Legialative Assembly's Select Constitution Committee of 1971, be ambodied in our Constitution. This recommendation clearly stated that there should be a seat in Exexutive Couneil for an Elected Member of Cayman Brac and Little Cayman. At no time and no place did I suggest a Fifth Member being added to Etecutive Council. Thank you, Sir.

HON. TRUMAN M.BODDEN: Mr.President, if I am wrong in relation to that, I apologise to the Member. I, unfortunately do not have the petition with me but I would cheak on this and, with your parmission, then if what he has stated is not oorrect, just ask that the propen petititon be placed before the Legislature. And I do apologise to him if I am wrong, Mr. President. I have always believed that to err is human but not to reverse out that error in any area, is stupidity and $I$ apologise to him if $I$ am wrong.

## MR.PRESIDENT: . Thank you. Does any other Honoupable <br> Member wish to speak?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, with the indutgence of this House, it would probably take me several hours to add my points to the debate, because I intend, with your permiasion, to give an over view of what has been accomplished in recent years, as this is an important part of the debate which you have engendered and I would very much like for the House to re-convene on Monday so that I can have my time to give my uninterrupted speach.

MR.PRESIDENT:
I am in the hands of Members.- If the
House would prefer to sit late tonight and let the Member speak on; that is as may be. If Members would prefer to adjoum 15 minutes early today and to meet again on Monday, I can aontent with that. Would a Member like to move the adjourmment and that would give any Member who wished to voice an opinion an opportunity to do so.


#### Abstract

-28- HON. JAMES M BODDEN: I have been invited to the Fotice Bati at 9 o'olock. I cm prepored to speak untit 5 minutes to 9 and then come back Monday.


MR. PRESIDENT: (LAUGHING) : WeLl, I am in a difficulty that I have another engagement at half paet 6 but I suppose I could cancel it. I wonder would a Member move the adjournment then cony other Member who wishes to corment can do so?

## ADJOURNMENT

HON. D.Z Z FOSTER:
Mr. Prestalent, I move the adjoumment of this House untit 10 a.m. Monday the 5 th of March.

CAPT, CAARLES L.KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I regret, Sir, that $I$ will not be able to make it on Monday, I have other commitments.
MR. BENSON O.EBANKS: Mr.President, I would suggest that we move Standing Onders and continue this evening, Sir; if it goes on until 5 to 9 that is all right. I think to come back Monday is going to be an imposition that Members did not anticipate. I reatise this is our first call of duty but it would not be the first time that we suspended Standing Orders to conplete business on a Friday afternoon or any given day.

HON.MICHAEL BRADLEY; Mr. President, Sir, with respect $I$ do not think Members can probably antioipate the length of other Memberg' speeches or how long the Houee will sit. In the event that there is a vote, Mr. President, Sir, may it be a free vote?

MR. PRESTDENT:
I think it could certainly be a free vote.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, there is a very important
function to which I think some Members have been invited-at least I have - and that function $i s, I$ believe, at 5.30 at Le Club to view the film which has been made on the Caymon Islands which witl shortly be released to the publio. So I know at laast I would want to leave at 5.30 and I certainly would like to be here when the Member speaks to make sure that he is not separated from me, as has been reportad.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

The Third Elected Member for George Town.
MISS ANWIE HULDAH BODDEN: I think, Sir, that we could continue
MR.PRESIDENT: $\quad \because$ Tomorrow?
MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN: Yes, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Official Member.
That is another suggestion. The Second

Mr. President, Sir, with all due respect to my Honourable colteague, the Third Eleated Member of Executive Councit he is still to speak and I have not yet spoken.
MR. PRESIDENT (LAUGHING): $\because$
HON.JOHN MoLEAN: Mr. President, I think we are wasting good tine It seems as if everybody is willing to adjourn until Monday - let us

HON. JOHN MoLEAN (CONTINUING): adjourn until Monday and if it means putting it to a vote let us put it to a vote.

MR. PRESIDENT: WeLL, I think in a moment we will put it to a pote -I was just giving Members an opportunity to speak if they wanted beoquse I think most people had anticipated the meeting would finish this weak and some of them might have wished to exprese a view that we should sit beyond 4.30.

I will put the question now that this House do now adjourn until 10 a.m. on Monday morning. Will those in favour please say aye, those against no, I think the Ayes have it.
(MR. BENSON O.EBANKS - NO).
HON.JAMES M.BODDEN: Mr. President, I am sorry the Honourable Member from Weat Bay said no because I was hoping he would be here.
MR. BENSON O.EBANKS; Mr. President, I an sure that if $I$ do not come you will not be here very long on Monday cand that is why I offered to carry on this evening.

HON.MICHAEL BRADLEY: Mr.President, Sir, I presume this is a private discussion - my understanding the House is adjoumed......

MR.PRESIDENT: I think the House is adjourned and the discussion is private and will have to be struck from the record.
(Laughing) But we have adjourned now untit 10 o'clock on Monday morming.

AT 4.21 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL 10 A.M. MONDAY MORNING $5 T H$ MARCH, 1984
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MR.PRESIDENT: Before we take our aeata this morning, I think论 would be appropriate and I think Members might wish me to invite the Howe to atand for a minute in eitence in memoray of the late Dr. Giglioli to whom many Membere paid warm tribute during the course of the dabate on the Throne Speech last week and of whose un-timely death we must all have learned last Saturday.

ONE MINUTE'S SILENCE.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
EERSONAL EXPLANATION - STANDIEGG ORDBR 31 - HON:TRUMAN M. BODDEN
MR.PRESIDENT: The Second Elected Menber of Executive Council
asked me at our last sitting if, having checked certain documents of which reference had been made by himself and the Firet Elected Member for the Lesser Islande, he might add a word of Personal Explanation to the word of Eersonal Explanation already offered by the First Eleated Member for the Lesser Islands.

I understand he has checked the dociments and have agreed to a brief Personal Explanation.
HON TRUMAN M.BODDEN: Mr.President, on Friday I apologised to the Capt. Ktrkeonell becaube I was not aertain.....

MR. PRESIDENT: Not names, if you pleaee, if you remember ........
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I apologise to the First Elected Member for Cayman Brac bedause I was not certain at that time, it having been some three yeare since this petition was presented, whether or not he had asked in that for a Fifth Member to be appointed to Executive Council. He denied this, Sir, and over the week-end I have checked on it and if I may just read the operative part of the petition. It says -
"We are requesting that the Constitution of the Cayman Islands be anended to provide that one Menber of Erecutive Council should be alected from conongst the Elected Members of the Lesser Islands. We feet that in order to achieve this harmoniousIy Her Majesty's Govermment should consider inoreasing the Elected Members of the Executive Council from 4 to five. If this were done, the Fifth Member could be apecifically required to be eleoted from amongst the Leseer Islands' Representatives in the Assembly. We are fulty cognizant of the necessity of atl parts of the Cayman Islands reaeiving fair representation in the Assembly and in the Executive Councit and were we to be granted our request for a guaranteed Member of Exsoutive Council, we would be happy to see the number of Elected Members in the Assembly inereased from 12 to 14 so, that the Distriet of George Town and the District of West Bay would each have 4 Members to reflect their increased populations.".
That petition, Sir, is dated the 16 th of January, 1981 and one of the firet signatures on it is Capt. Kirkeonnelt, so what I stated at that stage, Sir, relating to an omendment to add a fifth Member to Executive Council was, in fact, correct. It is unfortunate that the Honourable Member is not here this morning, but I will drow this to his attention when next I see him, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENI $\quad$ Thank you.

## CONTINUATION OF THE DEBATE ON THE THRONE SPEECH

MR.PRESIDENT: Dabate reaumed. Debate on the Throne Speech. Does any - yes, the Third Elected Member of Exeoutive Cotncil.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr.Fresident; I dn very pleaeed to onoe more be able to stand wthin the walle of this Legis tative Aseembly and debate a Ihrone Speech.

The Throne Speech is a review of the year past, 1983 and the hopefut plan for the year ahead 1984.

1984 will be an thportant year in the history of these Islands. It is an Election year- - y year when the electorate will deaide whether we continue on the true and proven course or whether we turn to ideas that have not been tried or proven. The deaisions made this year could well put us back into the 1972-1976 yeare.
M. President, any fool' is capable of finding foult or tearing plans apart, but it takes men of vision, with deep sense of commitments and stout hearts to carry them through to success.

Sin Winston Churchilt and Franklin Detoho Roose. velt won World War II because they possessed these qualitiea.

My colleague from the Capital City of Bodden Torn and myself have already dectarell our intention as Canditates for this election. We will stand united on our past records of sucoese and as a team. The Cayman eleotorate fully understands that what has been accomplished in the past seven yeans could not have been accomplished without team work, a plon and futt oo-operation. The time is past when a person can acocmptish any, thing for his or her peopte byydectaping as an independent condidate. Politios like the world as a whole, you either walk one side of the raad on the other, there is no middle ground.

The idaalogies of politics have become welldefined in these Is lands; you either accept the grour who has brought success and prosperity on you select the other group which preached destmietion ond stagnation.

We could not have acomplished what we have without the full oomoperation of our team members in the Legis lative Assembly, the Members of Exeoutive Councit and those Civil Servints who were toyal to us, and most of all the loyal support of the electorate.

I would tike to exprese a speoial vote of thanks to the Three Offioial Members of Executive Cownil who have ditigentiy carmied out theix duties within their portfotios and asoisted and co-operated with us whenever posatbie. The Chief Secretary, in particular, has been an inspiration and a guide to us; the present Second Elected Memper of Bodden Town and myself look forward to once again debating the Throne Speech in 1985. I am thankful that our people will. have a holiday thia year so that they can aast their democratio vote without feat of intimidation. I kope that each person witl use their vote wisely and that 1984 will record the targest voter turn-out yet.

There were a few objections to granting this holiday but I believe most of the objections were motivated by selfgreed.

The First Elected Member of our sieter Talande altuded to a petition from thooe Islands after the 1980 Elections which asked for those Islands to be guaranteed by the Constitution a seat in Executive Counctl. Let us for a minute explore this proposal. Executive Councit is the Cabinet of Government and as in every democratio country the elected majority governs. Throughout hietom, militions

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): and mitions of people have given their Iives to ensure an elacted majomity Government. The makers of our present Constitution adhered to this belief when four Elected Members and three Official Members comprise Extecutive Council and not vice versa.

How oould Executive Council govern with the numerous constrains whioh are now imposed on it and to have another where you have an elected majority with four seats, plus three official Members and another Member adhering to a different political view. It would be undemocratic, Mr. President, and like the Tower of Babel.

Certainly, Mr. President, if this should come about it would also have to be enshrined in the Constitution that the historiaat and political Capital of Bodden Town would always be assured of at least two seats.

Lest the would-be politioians and the eleotorate forget the years before our present administration, let me once more remind our people of the years 1872 to 1976. I was here, Mr. President, and I survived. Our team should hand out placards that should say "Praise be to God I survived the years 1972-1976".

I witl ask a question - " Have you, the peopte of this country forgotten how you suffered under the administration of which the Thrid Elected Member from Weat Bay was one of the Leaders?". That, Mr. President, was an administration that lacked initiative, who depended fully on the advice of foreign experts, expertise advice which brought us stagnation, un-employment, deficit budgets, diseension and turmoil. Our people had to march in foroe to protect our rights. When I mention marches I do not mean a march with a hand-fut of patd marchers from Matilda Comer, who fell asleep, that is not what I am referring to, Mr. President. I am referming to marches that probobly at least $20 \%$ of the population of this country took place in.

War-planes and war-shipe were brought to our ehores to bring us to subjection - that, Mr. President, is the type of reign that we were enjoying during those years. Unemployment rose to about 25\%; our people become poors, thay could only buy the main essential food-stuffs. Compare this to now.

Few Government scholarships were given out during those years and those that were given out went to the chosen few. We had placed with us an arohaic, oommuniet-tinged education system which had been signed by the Nember, Third Eleoted Member from West Bay who was the Member responsible for Health, Education and Social Services.

We had an out-moded medical system, poor relief and social welfare spending at one point there was only $\$ 750$ a year being spent to alleviate the sufferings of our people. There was lack of faith in the system to which he adhered where no one wanted to invest.

Reprisals against anyone who showed business arumen or spoke out against the aystem. This was al Govermment which made but few moves unless those moves were first vetted and approved by non-elected membere, like W.S. Walker, Arthur Hunter, Edlin Merren. These three gentlemen quickly beadme the Rasputins of that administration. We quickly changed all of that and brought the country progress and prosperity and Mr. Fresident, no one can argue the success.

- One Nember, in his deliberations, spoke of a Government of favours. It is difficult for me to comprehend his meaning fovoure come in mony forms and most people live by the Golden Rule - do

HON.JAMES M.BODDEN (CONTINUING): unto othere as you would have them do unto you.

Most people in life are the recipiente of favours from time to time and I am oure that the Member who spoke about this and his inmediate oirole of acquaintances have been the recipient of many favours. I an sure, Mr. President, that it is not a crime to do a favour but to forget a good deat is oruel, against our fellowman.

Some Members in this Assembly spoke on fear and recently threw the spoken word of a Government Commissioner who is now retired but who apent some years here over 20 years ago - this has beoome $a$ debatable subject on the mart road as well as the Eegielature. It is hard to imagine a country that allowe more freedom and latitutde than we do here.

Franklin Delano Roosevelt once said "The only thing to fear is fear itself": Fear is the regression of the mind, if you think positively there is no room for fear. Some people have said, (atthough I do not believe it ) that they would tike to have that gentleman back here and to live under his reign and fear again. The is unbelievable.

No people in any country wish to be governed by... a foreign despot dictator and by what I have been totd this gentieman was certainty one.

I remember being told how the Civit service during his term literally prayed for the floons to open up and devour them when in his presence. Does any one remember his imprisonment of a former welt-known Member of this Legistature, Mr. Claude Hill, at 3 p.m. in the afternoon for playing a radio loudly and refusing him bait?

Do you know the reason for the tow on our statute books today that eparates the administration of justice in our country? At that time the man I allude to was Lord of all he surveyed and held final powers in all areas including head of the Courts and head of the dustioes. He finally took his deqpotic mile too far and ran afoul of a true, toyal, stalwart Caymanian, Mr. Ormond Panton who is ditting in these Chambers today. He was not afraid to stand up and fight and he fought this gentleman's mnorthodox aoncept of justice to the Supreme Courts where the decision was reversed and this law beocone the law of the land.

Mr. Gerrard's tactics were worse than those in Russia and Ching and would certainty be a retrograde step for anyone to oonsider to $g o$ backward under such a mile. I say to the people of this country if only we had 5 more people with the stcmina, determination and guts of Mr. Panton, we would have a much better country than we have today:

Last year, Mr. Fresident, saw many attempts to destroy the democratic principles of Government, to tear down low and order and install anarchy by a small minority. This group depends on bigotry, gossip and scandatous lies to perpetuate their existence but I believe that the electorate of this country is wise enough. well educated enough to know from whence they bometh.

Several investigations were carmied out last year in an attempt to discredit certain persons in Government. These were costly to the oountry and unfruitful because the allegations were untruthe and were fabricated by mindless people.

Several Depar tments of Government, Mr. President, are now headed by competent looal civil Servants and as people tend easily to forget, I crave the indulgence of the House to review with me some of the accomplishments of this Government since 1976.

HON. JAMES M.BODDEN (CONTINUING): One of the firs t moves made by this Goverrment was the completion of a sensible and realistic Develoqnent Plan.

We have carmied out and accomplished many thinge in the lixet seven years. It hase taken a lot of money to do some of these and $I$ will deal with the money part of it in just a few moments.

We now have new surgery and surgical wards at the Gnand Cayman Hespitat, Landscaping and internat road-work at the Bospital, Grand Cayman. We have purenased lands in several. dis tricts for eemetexies.

We have had additions to the schoole in
Grand Cayman and Cayman Brac.
We have resurfaced and Zengthened Cayman Brac Brac airport to 3500 feet and later to 5000 and now to 6000 feet. We have long thened Owen Roberto Airport - 1,000 feet.

We have completed the cross island road in Cayman Brac. We have paved the road in Little Cayman. We have puilt a dook in Cayman Brac.

We built the fire station in Grand Cayman at Ohen Roberts Airport.

We have put together incentives to atrraet
light induetiries.
We have the Agricultural and Indus try Development Board playing a vital part in the economy of this country.

We have set up a Labour Department.
We had the oil transfers at Cayman Brac for
several years.
We have renovated the old air terminal at Owen Roberts Airport.

Tourism arrivale by air have doubled in the last seven years, and by omiee ships the inerease has been oper $600 \%$.

We have initiated Pirate's Week. We have :.
a tourism and industry Board.
We have new X-Ray units at the Cayman Hospital and at Faith Hoepitat in Cayman Brac.

We have instituted Techrical Schools in Grand Cayman and we traneport and subsidise studente from Cayman Brac: We have the Conmunity Centre at East End, an enlargement to the sohoolsBodden Town and Savarnah and severat other distmicte.

Extension to the West Bay Police Station and a new Police Station at Bodden Town and North Side.

We have a new Priaon; we have new garbage trucks. new fire-trucks, pediatric ward at the Hospital, George Tawn; the Lighthouse School, microfilming of lond necords; establiening inaurance legislation; new generators at the Hospital in George Town, Owen Roberte Airport and Faith Hospital; expansion to Faith Hospitai in Cayman Brac; expansion to George Town Primary School; we have inoreased substantially the grants to Private Schools; ase hawe initiated the Middle School system; we have inoreased scholarships spending: alass-nooms have been built at George Town and Cayman Brac High Sohncie and canteen facilities provided; we have purchaeed the Turtte farm: we have oonducted hydrographic survey of our coastal waters; we haves had an identification and study made of Zooal ship-wrecks; we have built the Witlie Farrington Road in West Bay, the John McLean Drive in East End ond the road on the Bluff on Cayman Brac .. ecest to west; in addition there have been many feeder-roads and agricultural roads built in the last seven years; we have established a Central Funding Soheme;

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTTNUING): we have bought vehictes and heavy built.

The Ashton Rutty. Centre in Cayman Brac has been There has been computerisation of Govermment statistics Department.

We have instatled the VOR-D-ME navigational equipment at owen Roberts Airport.

We have renego tiated the CUC franchise.
We have instituted a domestic fire service.
We have built a small air terminat building at
Cayman Brao Airport.
We have established the Marine Section of the
Police Force.
and re-catalogued.
chased.
The Public Library has been renovated, re-s tocked
Additional land for garbage dromps have been pur-
homes and tand throu We have prohased severat million dollans in
nghout the Island.
in George Town.
survey.
ing at the airport.
Cayman.
We have built the Children's' Wrad at the Hospital
There has been an extensive Water and Severage
We have conatructed the CAL Administration build
There has been a tanding wetty buitw at tittle
There has been ctearing of chamele into the reefs around the Istande.

The Potice Cadet Corps and a Special ConstabuIary have been provided.

There have been a lot of street lighting and Traffic lights installed throughout the Islconds.

Duming the seven yerars the Civil Service sataries have gone through and received several high raisee.

We have instituted the Low school:
We have continued the eradication of mosquitoes.
We have bought a new rescue launch for the Fire Department at the Airport.

We have re-surfaced sub-division roads through-
We have increased substontially the spending on overseas medical cases.

We have construated a Securall and sidewatks on
There have been new Police cars bought and many trucks bought for the Fublic Worke Department.

A new Airport terminal is under constimution at
There has been a new vehicle testing area prom Owen Roberto Aixport. vided at the Potice Station.

There has been re-surfacing of the North and
South coast roads in Cayman Brac; co. -truetion of the ramp and the seawall at Cayman Brac doek.

There have been Loans to Cayman Brac Power Company.
We have guaranteed a substantial loan to CUC.
There has been a loan made to the Pines Home.
We have expanded the dental olinios.
We have instituted rodent control progranme, a
local alean-up programe and a physical education progranme has been

HON.JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUTNG) introduced de the High Schoot. There has been tncreased spending on Poor Retief.

We staffed the Pines Retirement Home, Bonaventure House and the Frances Bodden Home.

The agriculture farm at Lower Valley has been instituted, and its capacity increased.

There has been the introduction of a Building
We have buitt the New lande-Baroadere road. We started work on the Barkers Road. We have started work on the East End to North Side road, an inliand road there. We also have built the road East End to North side whioh is called the Queen's Road. We have provided a tourist landing jetty at
Spotts.
Airport.
at the Garbage dromp.
We have buitt a cargo apron at Owen Roberts
We have bought á land-fill oompactor for use

- We hove built the control tower and Civil

Administration building at Owen Roberts Airport.
We have bought new ambulanoes.
We provided a large part of the money which
went into the Falkland Is iands' fund.
During this administration we also had the
viait of the queen to this country.
We have establizhed trade offices in Washing-
ton and London.
We have introduced thorough-bred cattle from abroad; we have an artifieiat programe for eattle. We have established an agricultural office in Cayman Brac.
減 have expanded the mental health service partioularty in the field of psyoiatry

We have ultra-sound equipment at the Hospitat.
We have taken over cur air-space around these
Istande from 20 miles east of Cayman Brac to 40 miles west of Cayman
an : 80. mite wide comidor extending up to about 20,000 feet.
We have taken thits country off of the role of
being grant-aided by England.
Schooz.:
We have buitt covered walk-ways at the High
We have expanded the Community College.
We have a programme going towards the expan-
siom of the Civil Centres.
We have built new sport playing fielda.
We have instituted the Hcusing Development
Comporation.
abrooxd.
We have opened additional tourist offices
We are building a fire-station at Front Sound.
We have built the communty hall at Breakers.
We have hot mixed paving of the East End/North
Side road and also the North Sound road:
We are building side-walks on Walker's Road
and West Bay road.
We are buitding the Eust End Civio Centre.
We have air conditioned most public offices.
We have a water supply and a well-field at
Lower Valley.
We are in the proaess of constructing a temporary

HON JAMES M.BODDEN (CONTINUING) buizding on the Docks to house - Custome and the Port Authomity.

We have enacted. during the pastiseven years much needed new. legislation

We have helped to assist in eatablishing Museums
in the two Tslands.
We hove snacted a fishing tournoment which will be taking place this year.

We have heiped in the participation of Miss Cayman in the Miss World and Miss Universe pageants.

We have built a clinic at East End. We now have dentaf clinice in the sohoole. We have buitt a clinic in North side.

We have purchased new aircraft for MRCU.
We have reduced the duty on food-stuffe, automobiles, apricultural products and equipment. In addition, we have'put together what is the country's biggest asset, Cayman Airwaye with alt of toe resultant birth-pangs.

This, Mr. President, is quite an accomplishment and for all of these thinge that have been undertaken and done within a period of eight years, in my opinion, was quite a tremendous amount of work, and I am hopeful that the people of this Istand witl remain conscious of this.

The contribution to the Throne Speech by the Third Eleoted Member from West Bay contained nothing but ariticisms and an acousation of un-fulfilled promises by our administration.

To critiaise you should supply alternative proposals but the Member is as empty of those now as he was between the years 1972 and 1976.

Let us compare some of the unfilled promises of his 1972-1976 administration.

Money was in the budget for years after my Bodden Town colleague and myself brought a successful motion to the House to erect a small commuity hall in Breakers. It was not erected uritit the begiming of thie administration.

We pressed for yeare for sanitary faoilities at the Bodden Town Town Hatl and the North Side Town Hall. Alas, they were finally built with the expenditure of many thousands of tax-payers money. In Bodden Town it was buitt on a rocky precipice off to the sides. in the front of the present Town Hall and only a mountain billy-goat could negotiate the preoipitious path. In a hurriaane the elements would beat the life out of you before you could pantingly open the door. They stand, Mr. President, as a block-house monment to the 1972-1976 administration and I ohristen them today "THE EBANKS/BUSH/CONOLLY MEMORIAL".

In North Side, Mr. Fresident, the elected Member from that distriat was more fortunate because he put them to use as a olinic after they were buitt in front of his Town Hall.

They olaim about the advice that they readived and how much irood was done for the country and the buildings they built but here is, a monvonent within a few hundred feet of us, the Court House which was built during that administration. It is a beautiful building but was built without thinking and the resuit is that it a an seat but few people.

The 1972-1976 administration buitt some needy buildinge but all was buitt from borrowed money with re-payments comenencing during this administration.

1983, Mr. President, was another good year for this administration and ended the year with a surplus gof \$2M, a generat

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): reserve of $\$ 11.25 M$; a publio debt of \$9. 5 M againet nearly $\$ 8 \mathrm{M}$ being owed in 1976. It now takes a littla over $2 \%$ of our general revenue to servioe our long-texm debt compared to an excese of $70 \%$ in 1976.

In 1980 we increased our general reserve fund 916\% with a contribution of \$6,971,652. The 1972-1976 adminietration had reveriue deficits in 1974 of $\$ 157,574$. $1975-\$ 2,430,794$, and in 1976 the generat reserve fund stood at only $\$ 826$, 848 with a budget deficit of $\$ 513,02$.

In the past ? years of our administration we have put into the general reserve fund over \$11M; nearly $\$ 8 \mathrm{M}$ into surpzus, cver \$3AN have been apent on loeat capital projecte and nearty \$13M has been looned out by this Govermment.

We have become the envy of the world in prus dent finoneial planning and management.

The 1972-1976 administration borrowed in 1972
$\$ 460,739$. In 1973-\$813,186. In 1974-\$2,699,965; in 1975 $\$ 2,357,261$; in 1976 \$2,038,672; Our administration bince 1976 until 1982 borrowed as follows -

| In 1977 | $-\$ 636,450$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| In 1988 | $-\$ 508,968$ |
| In 1979 | $-\$ 249,041$ |
| In 1980 | $-\$ 621,197$ |
| In 1981 | $-\$ 1,069,816$ |
| In 1982 | $-\$ 887,800$ |
| In 1976 our oountry was in debt $62 \%$ of Local |  |

revenue colleated; it now stonde at about 15 名.
The opposition has oritieised our administration for neglecting social services, but the truith is, Mripreaident, in 1972 they spert on social services in these Islands $10 \dot{\circ}$ out of every $\$ 100$ of general revenue collected. In $1973-30 \phi$ out of every one hundred dotlars collected. In 1974-104 out of every hundred dollar's collected; in 1975 - 104 out of every one hundred doltars colleoted; in 1976-10\% out of every dollar collected and then they have the nerve to critcise this administration when ours hat been rinning at least $\$ 1.20-2$ years it went to 11.10 out of every hiudred dollars cotlected.

It is ridiculous that people would try to turm other poople's minds by telling them such out-right lies.

Poor Retitef is another matter I would like to speak on. Please bear these figures in mind and I hope that the people of this country will never forget these figures. The 19721976 administration spent in 1972-64 out of evexy hundred dotlars of generat revenue.

In 1973 they spent $5 \phi$ of every hundred dottiars; in 1974-5 of every hurdred dotlars; in $1975=99^{\circ}$ of every hundred doltars; in 1976-104 out of every hundred dollars. Mr. President, one oould almost say that the 1972-1976 administration has made fomous the "nickel-poor-relief-candy-bar". That is what they should be "so proud of.

Mr. President, zumigration is a continuing problem and our people must be made cuvare that in matters per. taining to the Civil Servioe the Constitution grants the Elected Members very tittle or nö power at azt.

I cm of the belief that it is time for $a$ shifting or the reptacement of pexsonnel in the Immigration Department so that we may have more ditigent work performed.

It is a time, Mr. President, for our people to prepare themselves and step forward to fill some of the positions that are open. We fully recognise, that for the country to continue its

HON: JAMES M.BODDEN (CONTINUIN()) : rapid growth we mist continue to bring in more people, but there must be corresponding controls. Our people muet wake up and realise that they must fill job vaconcies and that they oan blame no one but themeelves when the job vacancies are fitled with people from abroad.

I com proul of the progress made in instituting our local prison system and that it is headed by local Caymanians. $I$ visited the priscne abroad where our fellow citizens were being sent and I vowed to my Maker that I would do something to improve the lot of those who had fallen astray. Thank God, this country was able to provide good buildings and a good prieon system. My onty hope is that those who are incarcerated there will be given some further training and will be made to work. I feel that work is good for the soul, especially when you are in a ylice like that.

The cost to this country has been tremendous oompared to what it cost us to send them to Jamaica. At the present time we con keep a prisoner in Jamaica for about $\$ 2.00$ US a day. I do not know the actual figures on it here but $I$ am aure it is at least \$15 Cayman a day.

Our sister Islands, Mr. President, though having no direct eleoted representation in Exscutive Council, have fared well since 1976. The Honourable Chief Secretaxy has served them well. Every year sinae 1977 per capita they have received much more than Grand Cayman. They now have a new Government Administration buidding, paved roads, the Ashton Rutty centre, the cross-Istand road, east to west Bluff road, new docking facility and wharfs, the rowway lengthened to 6,000 feat to acoommodate jet travel, improved lighting aystem on the mun-way, improved navigational aids, improved fire service and has lead the way for these Islands in starting a museum for the people.

Our sister Istand is now served by Cayman Airways 787 jets which has long been needed and has long been sought for by the Island people.

The First Elected Member of the Sister Islands aritieised Cayman Airways as usual. I belisve he is still suffering from the lack of foresight whioh led him to believe that the tie with Lacsa, chaired by the then Honourable Finoncial Secretary, was best for these Istands. He led the fight for better air services for the Sister Istands which Led Cayman Airways to acquire the AVRO whioh in turm led to substantial losses for the compcony in maintenance and operation.

He was the Member who stood against voting. funds to acquire any other airoraft than the BAC 7-11 and we all know the history of our losses with the BAC 1-11. He now wants increased jet service, knowing before-hand the losses that will ensue and should we inereare the service and the losses will be inareased also, he will be the first, outside this House, as well as inside it, to lend criticiam.

Mr. Precident, there will always be a blot om the year 1983 in the form of vicious minority scandalous attacks on the Police Force and ite commanding officer. Never in histomy has such an ignorant, indolent minority been allowed to sow such seeds of discontent. Their sought-after investigations were carried out, and the force can now hold its head up - they have been tried and not found wanting. All of the allegations have been of nought. Our police, like any Police force, Mr. President, must continue to seek help from foreign agencies in our fight against orime, particularly the drug scene.

In 1984 our fight against drugs must inorease

HON: JAMES M. BODDEN (CONI INUING) : and not decreade. Every pressure mist be used. We have the enemy on the run now and we must hound them into oblivion:

Public support is vary much needed in order for the polica to accomplish what they need to do. Much has been accomptished in the past few yeare in building an efficient forae and I take hat off to our Police.

We have fust reoently received the report from the Commesioner of Potice"dand there has been an over-ali. deorease in arime and there has eertainty been tremendous suecees on the drug scene.

I now would like to speak a little on the Portfolio of Agriculture. The Portfolio of Agriculture, under the oapaite admintetration of the First ELeoted Member of Executive Comoil continues to do an exeellient job. His polioy of diversification of the economy ts beginning to bear for food production is looally on the increase.

The experimental projects on fruits and vegetables which are now being carried out needs the full support of one and all as well as the improvement by importation to the attle and goat herd. We will all look forward to good reeults from the banana plantation by the airport. I peraonally an pleased to note that deeignation of Marine Parks ivill shortly be undertaken.

Prospenty has not stackened and in 1983 buitaing approvala were valued at over $\$ 81 M$.

The Honourable Second Elected Member of Eacoutive Councit is to be complimented for his accomp lishments in the Portfotio of Health, Education and Social Services whith is the most difficult portfolio in the Cabinet to deal with. He has acoomplished a revolution with the Istands "educational system with the many successes in 0 and $A$ levels and the forward strides made by the Middle Sohool system.

Our Government will continue our polioy of rewarding those students who apply themselves and sucpeed by making: it poseible for them to obtain scholanshipe for advanced training.
$I$ personally woutd tike to see a comprehensive, un-dated vepaion of our oountry's histomy compiled and that the studying of it in the Coyman Ielands sohoots Be"made compulbory. This witl $\theta 0$ a iong way in inetilling pride in our youngsters.

The years 1976 to 1984 have seen much improvements to our Hoapitals, and distwict clinics and this policy must oontinul. Hopefuizly in 1984 we can lay the plan for an up-dated modern hospitat facility.

Wuch needs to be done in the fietd of alcohol and dmu abues and I an pleased that prograrmes to deat with these serious areas are being mounted. The toll on the eooiety in respect of these two areas $i_{s}$ very damaging. We should be pleased to hear of the improved mental health services being offered and the psyehiamet being employed.

Overseas treatment of patients oantinue as a bidg strain on the oountry's reecurces but it is an area we cannot negleot cond if it takes the last penny in the country's Treasioy our citizens' well-being must be paramount.

Sports and playing fietids are a priority with this administration and much will be done thise year in these areas. During the administration of the Third Elected Member from Wext Bay the play field gates in West Bay were elosed and a guard posted with. a shot-gion, whioh inevitably led to the death of a youngeter. We are not planining to make any suoh moves with the play fietde that will be built during thia administration.

HON. JAMES M.BODDEN (CONTINUING): Some sectors of sooiety complained that we have done nothing in these areas, but our reconds speak for themselves.

First of alt, money must be made auaitable-in order to inderitake these projects. You cannot undertake them wi thout money. I compliment the work of the Serviae Clubs in this Isiand, particularty the Lions Club.

At this point, I wish to draw attention to my district of Bodden Town; the historical capitaly the politicat capitat. The recipient of soft, fresh sea-breezes. We will shortly open our new civic Centre there cand it is a building to be proud of a building which I hope will bring much joy to our people. I say to the people of Bodden Town take oare of it and use it wisely.

The district of Bodden Town has govermment investment in lands and buildings of about \$3.5M CI dollars. In 1976 it was less than $\$ 1 M$, therefore our people can readily aes the representation that my colleague and myself have given to Bodden Town.

My loyal colleague from Bodden Town, the Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council, has 'Laboured with a heavy workload in hie portfolio with the maintenance of equipment and buildinges, building projects $\operatorname{sioad}$ projects, airport projects and the just implimented water project in Lower Valley. That is a project that we hope will eventually be extended throughout the Istand.

I wish to pay tribute to our three Officiat Members of Executive Counoil for the aapable and efficient management of their portfotios - the Honourable Chief \$soretary for his capacity in dealing with a large Civil Service, piue carrying a very heavy worktoad. The Honourable Attorney-ieneral for the ability to deat with a myriad of legat problems and coping with the large volume of cases before the courts and keeping the country's tegistation up to date.

The Honourable Financial seeretary for stepping in like a etalwart and grasping the financial strings and directing the oountry on a sound financial course. I personally have found it a pleasure working with him.

I have been asked by the Honourable Truman Bodden to bay these few worde to the Attorney-General, on his behalf. "The Attormey-General has been bombanded with requeste for legislation and I believe that he has probably produoed more good laws in his short time with us than has every other Attorney-General throughout the futh period of their office. He is as near to perfect as one can expect a lauyer to be; competent, oalm and helpful.".

It sounded like Mr. Truman was preparing the Honounable Attomey-General's eulogy!

Legisiation, Mr. President, needs to be up-dated in severdl areas and during the year my portfolio witl be proposing a new Liquio Law, legialation to set standarde in the hotel industry, legislation which will attempt to improve the taxi problem, labour Law (which is badly needed). I trust that when I present these to the House I will have the supporit of the Members of this House.

This yeax, Mr. President, money has been voted in the budget for tha museum in Grand Cayman. I seek the support of one and ati to get this. worthy project off on the right courge.

Much success has been accomplished by capt. Theo Bodden and his committee in regards to the Hell project in West Bay - he is to be complimented for his diligence.

The Civil Aviation Department, the Fire Depart-
ment, the Airport Security, continue to be three Departments of Govermment which are ably administered by Caymonicons. Last year about 380, 000 passengers used our airport facilities.

I must compliment my adrinistrative staff in my portfolio in the persons of Harding Watler and Rudy Selzer for the

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): work which they undertake.
I an very prouid of the success that has been achieved by the Hotel Training School, the Buildtng and Trade Sohool and the Maritime Schoot. Mr. Layman Scott, the Director of Technioat training; assibted by the capable course instructors, are doing a marveltous job in preparing our youngsters for a secore future. The students at the Building and Trade School need to be eapeaially oomplimented for the excellent work they are doing:: I hope we can securie, through Cairibbean Development Bank, funds to set up a local furniture manufacturing bueinese.

Another docomplishment I feel justifiobly proud of for our administration $i$ s the suocesees enjoyed by the students in our legal system. I am proud to have been one of the proposers of this School whose implimentation saw many years of work come to fruition. Our sucoessfut students will ensure in the future that legal slime from abroad who accept huge sume of money for foreign goverments to testify againot our fincricial aystems, will have no need to be employed here.

We continue to enjoy the fruits of ou labour in the townism sector. To be successful we must continue oun promotions, advertisinge and public relation policies to ensure that people abroad are aware of us: Tourism affects everyone in this country and the help of everyone is needed to ensure continued success. Every person in this country enjoys the rewards from tourism and the money placed by tourism into the looal economy fan exceeds the amownt of revienue raised yearly by Govermment from all seotore.

The question was asked by a Member of this House about one of the tourism studies that had been aonducted. Tha answer is yes it has been completed, it has been completed for sometime. It was done by experts and is uselese.

Mr. President, I have reached about the mid-way mark in my speeoh and I would appreciate breaking for just a few minutes

MR.PRESIDEW: : I think that will probably be convenient for Members, it is our usuat time and I witl therefore suspend proceddings for approximately fifteen minutes.

$$
\text { AT } 3.20 \text { P.M. THE HOUSE WAS SUSPENDED }
$$

THE HOUSE RESUMED AT 3.37 P.M.
MR.PRESTDENT:
Ftease be seated.
Proceedings are resumed. The Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Conncil.

HON.JAMES M.BODDEN: (CONTINUING) Ar. Fresident, our Government must sometimes this year come to gmps with cable and wireless, its polieiee and its rates. Its high rates cannot be economically justified; the lies and incorreot publicity and bad debts have appeared in the press. Most of these so-called bad debts were colleoted and this type of incorreot information cast a cloud of doubt over the entire country.

Large deposits arbitrarity imposed should not be used to assist cash flow. It is high time that we complete our studies into the rate stmoture and do something to alteviate the imposition of the high rates by this compory.

In addition, Mr. Fresident, I know that it was menmentioned in this House that they intend shortly to improve the te tephome to the sister Istands, but if it is anything that the people of Cauman Brace have room to complain about I beiveve it is the type of telephone service that they have. If I had to live there for a week

HON. JAMES M.BODDEN (CONTINUING): it would take Cable and wire Zess a month to replace the telephones that I think I woutd destrou.

I was there for a few days and it really tested the aoul to be able to put a call through and if you did get the oall through it was like an old coffoe grinder in the back-ground. I do sympathise with those people for what they have had to put up with. Cayman Airways continues to be Govermment's targest and most worth-while asset. It is the country's second largest enployer. It is our country's best ambassador abroad. It personifies the Cayman spipit of determination and independence, letting the world know that we are detexmined to be masters of our own destiny. It has cost the country money; so has our medioal system and our sohocls. Should we scrap these alao as some people would like to do with the airline?

All Members are aware of the finanoial conditions. When the Draft June 1983 Accounts ocme into my possession I froely circulated them to all Members and signed the draft copies and returned them to the Auditors. I now have to cavit the diaposition by the Auditors.: But I ask why should certain Members continue to use 'Cayman Airways as a political foot-ball and talk rubbish about the aixline?

I am prond to be the person that is responsible for the country howing its own airline. I am proud that my opponents blame me because I am confident that my politieal successes witi fly like the nationat airline, high and proud.

Every company, Mr. President, axperiences cash flow problems from time to time, even those companies who import cement and building material. When eonetmaction slows diown so does their aash flow.

I make a promise to the people of this country that as long as $I$ an reoponsibte for the airline, if it takee the Trecasury's last penny, the airline will continue to ably serve this country. Grounding the naticnat airline would affect this country in the same manner as severing the corta in a human body.

For many years this country has seen the number of banking institutions continue to grow to the point where there are now about 450. We have wlatched the iry numbers grow and we have watehed their coffers increase; we have watched them reap great havests and great rewards for theip shareholders. They have taken, but have not given and I now appeal to their sociat conscience.

If they have no commitment to this country but only greed for their own reward, now is the time for us to know. This country is faced with a sexious problem with housing for the midaleincome and below and the lack of available housing will breed dissentions social unrest and eventually tear down this society.

My aotleagues of our teom have foreseen this problem and in the face of bitter apposition from alt of the opposing Members of this House, passed into law the Housing Development Licu. I have been charged with implementing this low for the benefits of our poople and I will do so, before 1984 as sure as the charge of the Light Brigade or that the Hood was sunk in the North Atlantic.

It has taken some time to lay the ground work and to formulate alt of our plans but we are now redidy to move forward. I have met with the Bankers! Association. I have asked for each A. liceneed Bank to purchase a 20 year bond at $5 \%$ interest, the bond to be $\$ 50,000$. $I$ have asked each active $B$. Zicensed Bank hotder to purchase a $\$ 10,000$ bond for 20 years at $5 \%$ interest. Each inactive $B$ licensed Bank their participation into this scheme is left up to them. I also seek the support of the $240+$ registered Insurance Companies, the professionat fixms, the individual man on the street. These bonds witl be in denominations of $\$ 500$ or more.

HON. JAMES M.BODDEN (CONTINUING): Should the support that is needed come forward, we ehould raise at least $\$ 4 M$ for this scheme.

The A Licensed Bante may stand to lose in lost interest $\$ 3500$ per year or about $\$ 2,000$ per year if you figure what they pay the depositor. The B Licensed Banks may lose $\$ 700$ per year. This is mepe pottage for the stability and the security that they con offer this country.

This Goverwment has allowed the banks free reign with U.S. cumpency being exchanged. Where $2 \%$ is charged for handling cash deposits of more than $\$ 25,000$ US, where the buying spread is sometimes as much as $4 \hat{\phi}$ on a dollar. We have atlowed them to operate. without a reserve syatem whioh is unheard of in most countries. We have allowed them to operate without ear-marking fixed amounts of their aapital for looal investments. We have allowed them to operate on small licenee fees.

In the past we have not asked for their support we ask it now. It is good for the country's future, as well as theirs. If support is nit forthooming, Mr. President, legislation will shortly come to this House and the money will be found. I feel confident that all Members of this House, even the opposition, will support this motion, for we have no place to run.

The Housing Development . Corporation must begin to function and function it will, God's willing, before the end of this year.

Recently in our Courts an attomey and a alient used the privileged sanotuary of the Courts to nake an allegation against me. I cm used toiuntruths being hurted against me by people not worthy of shining my shoes. Nr. Fresident, my life has forced me to know mjeelf. I know my weaknesses and I know my strong points. I have always been a good provider and never shirked reeponsibility. In foct, I crave tit. I have worked hard.
$I$ stepped out in face of all adversity when I felt my country needed me and if I say so myself I have cast my shadow on this country and. I have teft my footprinte.

When I hear the lies and acousations, I have to sometimes look into the mimor to see if it is still me. I hate to think that human beings aan let their minds aink into such filthy, bottomtess pits and it is only because I let my inner self take over and let compassion prevail that I do not also step down to the low of the jungle.

Mr. Preaident, I lived in the United States of
America for 16 years. Most of that as a naturatized United States citizen and I was a good citizen of that country and never even had a traffic ticket during the years I lived there. I have lived here for 21 years and have a speeding tioket to my credit. I have helped in alt worthy aquees in this country; I have helped many people in this country scmetimes to my oum detriment when $I$ could not afford it. I abhor drugs and what they do to those who fatl within their grip. I have constantly prevailed for a strong anforcement stand by Govermment. I pereonalty paid on two ocoasions approximatety $\$ 60,000$ for two teams of detectives to come to the Island and the reports were given to this Government.

On the third oocasion, $\bar{I}$, along with threl fellow Eleoted Members of Executive Councit, paid for another team of detectives to come here and that report was also given to Govermment. All my years on this Island I have worked elosely with the Folice here, as wett as with certain people from abroad in helping to cradicate drugs from the community.

I have requested you, Mr. President, by letter to request the Amerioan $F B I$, DEA and Customs to give gou a report that if at any time they had seen fit to investigate me and to supply the: particulars. It is a shame such an injustice thas been done and there is so little I can do, but I hope that the perpetratore' soul witl eternatly bum in the fires of heth.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): I must join with my collaagues in paying tribute to the retiring clerk and her suocessor. Mre. McLaughlin has been an untiming worker and has shoun uneomon seal for her job. I am aure her successor hat the qualities.

I have dealt in some length on the Throne Speech and I want to thank especially the Hembers of our' Unity team who have helped to accomplish these succesees for the last seven years. I also wish to thank the Second Elected Member from the Sister Iolands and the Firgt Eleated Member from George Town for their occasionat support.

Although the Lady Member for George Town and muself do not see politics alike, I admire her and respect her and thank her for the support rendewed from time to time and I hope that when my elected colleague from Bodden Town and myeef are sitting with our gray beards on the steps of the Legislative Assembly in Bodden Town; enjoying the cool sea breezes of the political and historieal capital and celebrating our 100th year as Eleoted representatives of this country, that you will be able to drive up in your charitot puiled by the Lawrences in the harness, celebrating your 110 th year as an Elected Member.

Mr. President, time comes to he who waits. I am
prepared to wait.
Thank you.
RON. MICHAEL BRADLEX : Mr. President, Sir, I think it is a constant faetor in life that both lowyers and politiciane like to have the last word on any subject.

I, Mr. President, Sir, am the final and last
Member of this Assembly to spoak. I just want to ppeak very briefly to thank Honourable Members who have been kinct enough to mention mein their speechee and to say that what has been eaid by them $I$ take as a tribute, not to me, but to the Attorney-General's Chombers and the Legal Department, who have worked and are working increasingly hard in relation to many topies and particularly the two that were mentionsd in the most Gracious Speech from the Throne, nomely, the constont and unremitting battle in support of the Police Force of this Is land to make sure that people who break the lowe of our society, and particularly who. misuse things that can be of great benefit and therapeutic value, druge, whomisuse those, who are guilty of drug offences, are brought to justice, time and time again. And also to give every support and hetp possible in preserving the confidentiality of infoxmation and of our confidentiat relationohipe in thie country, while at the some time making it olear that we want no truok with, or want anything to do with, dirty money.

Finally, in this brief epilogus, Mr. President, Sir, may I add a finat word of thanks and appreaiation to our retiring Clerk. Is ae Honoumable Members may know, have seived before ooming and before the honour of being appointed as Attoriey-General of the Cayman Islands, for 11 years in other parts of the Camibbean. And with all due respect to the Firgt Elected Member for the Lesser Is lainds, to the Chief Secretary, to even the Third Elected Member for Exeoutive Cowncil, whenever pepple have spoken to me in pelation to the Cayman Islands in, other parte of the Caribbean, those are not the names that they mention first, it is the nome of:-How is Mre. Sybit MoLaughtin?

She has been a name that I knew of and knew about many years before I acme here - she has proved and I looked to her as an ambassadrese of these Istands before I came here. My knowledge of and my friendship with her since then has confirmed that. And may I wish ner, with the other Members, a happy retirement, auccess in whatever she does and the knowtedge that whatever sher does she witt continue to remain as that ambassadrese Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENI: That concludee the debate on the Throne Speech As I think a number of Members are oware, it has come to notice that there may not actually be a motion before us and a question to put, through an accident of procedure.

However, I have establiahed that the practice for many yeurs has been to put the question at the end of the Throne Spesch and today I propose to follow that practice, even if it may not be strictly correct procedure. I hope that when the next Throne Speech debate takes plaoe we shalt manage to make a stight adjus tment: in procedure, so that there is, undoubtedly a question to put.

I will now put the question - Will those in favour please say aye, those against, no, the ayes have it.

## ADJOURNMENT

HON, D.H.FOSTER:
Mr. President, it appears that we have completed the business of this sitting and I would like to move the adjourment until the next sitting to be held on MONDAY the 7th of MAY, 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question that the House do now adjourn until the next sitting which is planned for MONDAY the 7 th of MAY, at 10 a.m. Will those in favour please say aye, those against, no. The ayea have it.
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MONDAY, 7TH MAY, 1984

1. PRESENTATION OF REPORTS
(i) FINANCE COMMITTEE

REPORTS OF MEETIMGS HELD 21ST MARCH, $4 T H$ APRIL AND $12 T H$ APRIL, 1984.

TO BE LAID ON THF TABLE BY THE CHAJRMAN, HONOURABLE THTRD OFFICIAL MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR FTNANCE AND DEVELOPMENTH.
(ii) BUSINESS COMMITTEE

REPORT ON MEETINY HELD 3RD MAY, 1984.
TO BE LATD ON THE TABLE BY THE CHAIRMANT, FTRST ELFCTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS.
2. QUESTIONS

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCTL ZESPONSIBLE FOR INT'ERMAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 1: WTLL THE MEMBER STATE THE NUMBER OF CAYMANYANS AND NONCAYMANIANS EMPIOYED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF TMMIGRATION?

NO. 2: WILL THE MEMBER STATE WHETHER THF COMPUTER SYSTEM HAS BEEN USED TO DETERMINE THE NUMBEK OF PERSONS, BY NATIONALITY, IN THE CAYMAN ISLANDS?

NO. 3: WTLL THE MEMBER STATE THE TOTAL NTMBFR OF CAYMANIANS AND NON-CAYMANIANS PRESENTLY ON THF PAY ROLL OF THE' CAYMAN ISLANDS ROYAL POLICE FORCR?

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCTL RESPONSTBL WOR FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

NO. 4: WILL THE MEMBER STATE THE TOTAL PEVENUE AND EXPENDITURE FOR THE FIRST QUARTER OF 1984 AMD HOW DOES IT COMPARE WITH THE ESTIMATED FIGURES?

NO. 5: WILL THE MEMBER STATE THE MMMBER OE COMPANIES STRUCK OFF THE REGISTER FOR THE FIRST QUARTER OF 1983 ?

NO. 6: WILL THE MEMBER STHATE -
(a) THE NUMBER OF NEW COMPANIES REGISTERED DURING THE FTRST QUARTER OF 1984 AMD THE REVENUE RECEIVED THEREFROM?
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MONDAY

7TH MAY, 1974
10:00 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
to say prayers.
REV. EDGAR OGSTON:

The Aseambly is in Session.
I will ask the Reverend Edgar Ogston

Let us pray.
Almighty God, from whom all wisdom and power ane derived: We beseedh Thee so to direct and prosper the deliberations of the Legislative Assembly now assembled, that all things may be ordeved upon the best and surest foundations for the glory of thy Name and for the safety, honour and welfare of the people of these Islands.

Bless our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth, the Queen Mother, Philip Duke of Edinburgh, Charles Prince of Wales, Ditana Princess of Wales and all the Royal Family. Give grace to all who exercise authomity in our Commonwealth that peace and happiness, truth and justice, religion and piety may be established among us. Especially we pray for the Govermor of our Islands, the Members of the Executive Council and Members of the Legislative Assembly that they may be enabled faithfully to perform the responsible duties of their high office.

All this we ask for Thy great Name's sake, Amen. And now tet us pray the family prayer which Christ taught his disciples.

Our Father, which art in Heaven, Hallowed by Thy Name, Thy Kingdom come, Thy will be done in ea th as it is in Heaven. Give us this day our dxity bread: And foxgive us our trespasses, as we forgive them that trespase against us: And ledd us not into temptation; but deliver us from evit: For Thine is the Kingdom, the power and the gloxy, for ever and-ever. Amen.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Committee.

Please be seated.
Presentation of Reports. Finance
PRESENTATION OF REPORTS FINANCE COMMITTEE
HON. T. C. JEFFERSSON: Mr. Presidant, I beg to lay on the Table of this Honourable House the Finance Committee Reports of the 21st of March, 1984; Ath of Apmil, 1984 and the $12 t h$ of Aprit, 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT: So ondered.
HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, the Finance Committee approved on the 21st of March, a total supplementary expenditure of \$595,010. A breakdown of that expenditure, Mr. President, is as follows -
(1) HEAD 9 - SUB-HEAD 01-109

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION (Housing Allowance)......CI\$120,000
This is not all expenditure, Mr. President, because in the recent salary revision the officer is required to pay Govarmment $50 \%$ of the rent. Therefore we can expect $50 \%$ of the $\$ 120,000$ to return to Goveriment.

## HON. T. C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING):

(2) HEAD 5 - SUB-HEAD 11 LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT (CPA) ..... CI\$ 4,000
\$4, 000 supplementary for the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association. This supplementary was neoessary due to inoreased fees payable to the Commonwealth Paritiamentary Association Headquarters. Further, tast year we had a number of United Kingdom delegates, from that Branch, who visited us and the estimates for that year did not oater for it.
(3) $H E A D 41$ - SUB-HEAD 010

CAPITAL (Fire Service Building)......................CI\$81,000
Capital expenditure of CI\$81,000 for the Eire Service Building: This is a re-vote, Mn. President, for the Frank Sound Fire Station.
(4) HEAD 41 - SUB-HEAD 20

CAPITAL (Purchase of Lands). . . . ........................CI\$390, 000
The funds are provided to purchase thirty acres of $l$ and for the sewerage treatment plont. and garbage dump,
(5) POLICE DEPARTMENT (Training)

CI\$ 10.00
At this meeting, Mr. President, the Police Department's training. needs were discussed and a token suon of $\$ 10$ was made for the new training prograjume within the Police Department which will also encompass the rearuitment of officers from the United Kingdom.

The meeting of the 4th of Aprit, Mr.
President, dealt mainly with the Government Information Service. A proposal was put before the Finance Conmittee as requested of me by it. A conetderable anownt of discussion ensued and the decision at the end of that discussion, Mr. Fresident, was to retain the Cayman Istands News Bureau witit the 14th of November, 1984.

This decision was taken, Mr. President, mainly because to establish a new Covermment Information Service would require, obviousty, quite an amount of time, new personnel, getting acquainted with the needs of Govermment and what have you. The meeting of the $12 t h$ of April., 1984, totatted supplementary expenditure approved of CI\$305,600.
(1) HEAD 14 - SUB-HEAD 832 SPORTS GRANTS

CI\$ 79.880
These funds are provided to assist the various sporting orgainisations during 1984.

## (2) HEAD 41 - SUB $H E A D 23$

CAPITAL CIS 24, 720
Funds provided for repairs and fencing of the netball grounds and the football field - the netball grounde at the George Town Frimary School area and the football field at the Cayman Brac High Sohool.
(3) READ 10 - SUB-HEAD 19-3

CIVIL SERVICE MORTGAGE LOANS
CI\$200,000
The funde are provided to meet mainly present applications from Civil Servants wishing to improve their housing accommodation in some manner of establish their own home.
(1) HEAD 13 - SUB-HEAD 7-10
CAYMAN ISLANDS LAW SCHOOL ............................. CI\$ 1,000

CI\$1,000 provided to meet the second visit of Professor Fairest, the advisor to the Low Sohoot, and the second year examiner's fee.
(5) HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

The last item discussed at the meeting of the 12th, Mr, President, was the Housing Development Corporation. After much discussion it was agreed that the Honourable Member responsible for Tourism, Aviation and Trade should present a resolution to this Honourable House during this Meeting, guaranteeing a toan of \$S militon for the Housing Development Corporation rather than the vesting of Govermment residential housing.

This ends my reporting, Mr. President.
I thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT: In acoordanoe with the proviaions of Standing Order 67(4); the House is deemed to have agreed to the motion.

Business Committee Report.

## BUSTNESS COMMTTTEE

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I beg to lay the Report of the Business Committes, held on the 3rd of May, 1984, on the Table of thie Honourable House.

MR. PRESIDENT?: So ordered.<br>That concludes proceedings on item 1 on the agenda of today's Opder Paper. Item 2 - questions. The Third Elected Member for George Toun.

## QUESTIONS

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRSTT OFFTCIAL MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR IWTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFEAIRS

NO. 36: Will the Member state the number of Cayminians and non-Caymanians employed in the Department of Immigration?

ANSWER: The number of Caymanicons employed in the Department of Immigration is thirty-four (34) and the number of nonCaymanions is four (1).
The four non-Caymanians are as follows -
Chief Immigration Officer
Deputy Chief Immigration Officer
2 Clerks (both have local aonneations and have been brought up and schooled here).

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFTCIAL MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCTL RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFATRS

NO. 37: Will the Member state whether the computer system has been used to determine the number of persons, by nationality, in the Cayman Islands?


#### Abstract

ANSWER: Not yet. It is, however, intended to do so when the remaining programming phases have been aompleted.


against snage in the other progromining and we are trying to getthat munning smoothly firet. But; $I$ would say, Sir, probablyabout three to four months is a rough guess.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 38: Witl the Member state the total nimber of Caymanians and non-Caymanians presently on the pay roll of the Cayman Islands Royat Police Forge?

ANSWER: As at 1st May, 1984, composition was as follows -
 making a total of 106 Caymanians and 62 now-Coymonicars.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: : Mr. President, a aupplementary. Could the Member aay when Caymaniane become avaitable to filt these nonCaymanion poets whether changes will be made for Caymanians to oceupy them?

HON. $D_{0}$ H. FOSTEF:
Mr. President, for one reason or the other during the course of each year a aertain number of police either leave, are triansermed to other Departments or are sacked. So we find ourselves constantly, in our new reciruiting training courses, having to fill posts as theybecome necessary. I do not think it is matly a matter of taking on a Caymanion and for example letting a Belizian go. It is a constant atruagle to keep the force up to the number approved in the Estimates.

Let me say thits that up to this point we have not had good recison to tuim Zose any of the non-Caymiatnians to put a Caymanion in there, Sir. It has been a struggle to keep the numbers there attogether.

I rather like the build-tp of the
force, sir, because it means that we do not have too many nonCaymanians from just one country, but we have a sort of smatl

HON. D. H. FOSTER (CONTINUING): United Nations and it does help, Str.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: One further supplementarys Mr. President. Witl the Member say if and when any non-Caymanians may be, for whatever reason, dismissed from the force, are they sent back to their country of recruitment?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Yes, Sir, if he has not by any other means gained status or nationality or what-have-you, we do send them back, sirs yes.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, a supplementary. Are the non-Caymanians on contract or are they seconded from the other countries?

HON. D. H, FOSTER: To the best of my knowledge, Sir, they are all on contract, whether local or foreign contract. What I mean by local contract is that if we have enployed persons here in Grand Cayman they are given a local contract.: If they are brought in from another country it would be a foreign contract. But I am pretty sure they are alt on contract, Sir. A few of the United Kingdom persons are seconded.

MR. PRESIDENT: If there is no further supplementary, I will invite the Firgt Elected Member for the Lesser Islands to ask question number 39.

THE EIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR TYE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE PHIRD OFFICTAL MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

NO. 39. Witl the Member state the totat revenue and expenditure for the first quarter of 1984 and how does it compare with the estimated figures?

ANSWER: (a) Ordinary revenue collected for the first quarter of 1984 is CI\$17, 112,590 which compares favourably with the estimated figures;
(b) The total expenditure for the first quarter of 1984 is CI\$11,016,629 and it, too, compares favourably with the estimated figures.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Untess any Honourable Member wishea to ask a supplementary, I will invite the First Flected Member for the Lesser Islands to ask question number 10.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LASSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABEE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER OF FXECUTIVE COUNCTI RESPONSTBLE EOR FIMANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

NO. 40 :
Will the Member state the number of companies struck off the register for the first quarter of 1983?

ANSWER: $\because$ The number of companies struck from the Companies Register during the first quarter of 1983 was 306.
$\frac{C A P T}{}$. CHARLES L, KIRXCONNELL: Mr. President, there is apparently a typographicat error. I meant 1984 not 1983.

MR. PRESIDENYT:<br>relate to 1989 or to 1983?<br>Did the Honourable Member's answer

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON:
relates to 1983.

Mr. Preaident, the anower I gave

MR. PRESIDENT: So it is not a typographicat emor. simply on today's Onder Paper. As the question reached you eartier
it pelated to 1983 .
$\frac{\text { HON. T. C. JEFEERSON: }}{\text { it says "finst quarter of } 1983^{\prime \prime} \text {. }}$. ating the question, Mr. President,
MR. PRESIDENT: And certainly the Business Paper that was circulated to Members quite some time ago did also say 1983. I think perhaps the most hetpful thing I oan suggest in the circumstonces, if the Member had wished 1984 figures, is to ask whether the Honourable Third official Member would be kind enough to undertake to provide the questioner with the 1984 figures in due course?
HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: I have no objection in doing that, Mr. President, if it is the wiah of the Member.

MR. PRESIDENT:
that obviousty a mistake has Would that help the Member? I am somy exactly where.

CAP'T. CHARLES $L$. KIRKCONNELL: Yes, Mr. President, it is completety different from what $\bar{I}$ asked. It was 1984 I meant.

MR. PRESIDENT: I inderstand you did mean 1984, but it does seem to have been an error that occurped at quite an early stage beacuse I think it reached the Honourable Member answexing it in that form and it was circulated on an earty Business Paper saying 1983. So I do not think we con do more under the circumstonces thom to ask the Honourable Member to provide you with the information; and if other Members want the same information I am sure the Clerk will airculate oopies of it to all Membere.

In the oircumstances $I$ will invite the Member to ask question number 41.

THE FTRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR. THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE
THIRD OFFICTAL MEMBER OF EXECUTHVE COUNCTL RESTONSIBLE FOR FTNAMCE
Will the Member state -
(a) the nomber of new companies negistered during the first quarter of 1984 and the revenue received therefrom?
(b) the comparison of revenue for the same period for. 1983?

ANSWER: (a) The number of new companies registered during the first quarter of 1981 was 548 and the revenue received therefrom was CI $\$ 385,646.00$ :
(b) The revenie for the 603 companies registered. in, the first quarter of 1983 was CI\$ $453,641.61$.

MR. PRESIDENT:
UnZess any Honoudable Member wishes to ask a supplementary, that ends questions. "We turm to item 3 on. the Order Paper - Statements. The Honourable First Official Member.

## STATEMENT BY THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER (1984 GEVERAL ELECTIONS)

HON. Dr F. FOSTER:
Mr. President, as the Member responsible for Elections, $\overline{\text { I }}$ would like to inform this Honourable House on what fias been done to date.

On the 30 th of Aprit, 1984, the preliminary list of voters was published and the 2 2nd day of May is the laat day for notioe of objections.

The timetable for the preparation of the electoral roles in preparation for the Generat Election was published in an extraordinary Gazette on Monday the $16 t h$ day of January, 1984.

In addition, I would like to inform the Honourable Members of the timetable for the period leading up to Election Day. "But, before doing so I would like to ask Members who intend muning for the next Election to make sure that the people of their constituencies check the list to be certain that their names are on ti. We are putting it on the radio every day on the bulletin board and asking them to do it, but I know by past experience, Sir, that when it comes to Election Day there are some poople who are going to say that they never looked at the list and their names were left out. So I think the Members who intend contesting the Election oould be a great deal of help if they did a lot of public relatione work in their distriets.

The timetable, Six, leading up to
Elections is as follows (Members may wish to copy it) -

3rd September
4th September
17th September
19th September
26th September
14th November
16th November

MR. PRESIDENT:

- Issuing of writs
- Notice of nomination
- Dissolution of the Assembly
- Proclamation issued dealaring General Elections
- Nomination Day
- Election Day
- Election returns to the supemisor of Elections.
Thank you, Mr. President.
there may not be any debate arising from a statement of the kind made, short questions may be put to the Member making it for the purpose of olarification. If therefore any Honourable Member has a short question to put, perhaps he will now put it.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I have one question.
If I understood the Honourable Member correctly, he gave the 21 ist of April (or whatever date that was) as the tast day for receiving ob jections. Cartainly he intends to include claims as well on that date objections and olaims..............

## M保. PRESIDENT: I Ihink it was May was not it, but I vill ask the Honourable .....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Or May, Borry ...... but I am referring specifically to the fact that he mentioned objections, but it would also cover claims would it not?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Yes, Mr. President.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, sir, with your permisoion. just for the purpose of clarity, I would point out that las I am sure My Honourable colleague intended to mention) that this was a timetable of intended dates. There ts always the residual power with you, sir, wader Section 47 of the Constitution to prorogue or dissolve the Assembly at any time. I am just saying that in case of any unforeseen matter that the time is already fixmly fixed.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Thank you very much. I am oure Honourable Members understand that. This is a notice of intention. It is not set in concrete. it could be altered, but there is no present reason to suppose any alteration is in the least likely or planning ts taking. place on the asbumption that we shall adhere to these dates.

Unless there are any further questions
we can move now to item 1 on the Order Paper - Covermment Businesis, Motions. Government Motion Number 1 of 1981.

## GOVERMENY BUSITVESS

MOTIONS

GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 4/84

## BROADCASIING OF PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I would like to move Govermment Motion Number 1 which reads as follows -

In accordance with the immmities, powers and privileges conferred upon the Legistative Assembly of the Cayman Islands by the Cayman Islande (Constitution) Order, 1972, the Legisiative Assembly (Immuities, Powers and Frivileges) Law (Revised) and alt other written tows, be it hereby resolved that Radio Cayman, being a broadasting station operated from within the Cayman Istande by the Govermment of the Cayman Istands is hereby authomised to broadcast recordings of the proceedinge of the Legistative Assembly as are hereartorm named -

1. Question Time.
2. Presentation of Papers (Reports on Committees) - Speeches thereon.
3. Debate on Second Reading of alt Bills set down for the sitting commencing on the 7 th day of May, 1984 (which is today):
4. Debate, if any, on Govermment Motions.

Mr. President, I do not think I
need to ask for the suspension of any Standing Orders because

HON. D. H. FOSTER (CONTINUING): this has been circulated Tong in excess of five days. However, if $I$ need to, $I$ aan be corrected, but I would like to move Motion number a, Sir.

MR. PRESIDEMT: NH understanding is that there is no need to suspend Standing Orders. I think that the preamble to the Motion as oirculated to Honourable Members was probably inoluded by mistake beoause on a previous occasion when due notice had not been given, it had been necessary to suspend Standing Orders in respect to a similar motion and someone mistakenly supposed that it might again be on this oocasion.

I should perhaps add that so far as I know, the Business Committee has not considered once again what part of the business that is to be debated during this Assembly Meeting should be broadoast and I think therefore that after consultation with me, the mover of the Motion has included those parts of our business, which, as a result of the comments made at the last Meeting of the Assembly it was understood to be the wish of the House to have broadcast. My understanding also is that at that last Meeting Members wanted to qauge the raception that the broadcast had from the listening public and to decide in due course whether it was a good idea to broadeast as much of the proceedings of the House as we did on that occasion. WeLL, we have assumed that the experiment should continue for a further period and that is why the Motion has been presented in this form on this occasion. The Motion is open for debate if any Honourable Member wishes to speak to it.

DEBATE ON GOVERNMENT MOTTON NO. 4/84
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, merely to point out that it appears that the printers devil is at work today and in section 3 it refers to the "Sitting commencing on the 7th day of May, $1984^{\prime \prime}$. I think that what is intended here, certainty, is "Meeting". The first sitting will be completed ahortly when you move the short adiournment as far as I am concerned or as I understand it. So I think that what is intended here is "Meeting" and I would suggest that the mover wowld like to correct that just out of abundance of caution.

MR. PRESIDENT: I Itink that is probably quite
correct.
HON. D. H. EOSTER: $\quad \therefore$ I have no objection, Mr. President.
MR. PRESTDENT:
But I think that is quite correct. I think we do mean it is the Meeting. I think the whote of today $i s$ the Sitting as I understand $i t$.


#### Abstract

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I think that the Constitution at Section $46(2)$ is relevint in that, and I recite it says, "The first session of the Assembly shall begin within twelve monthe after the appointed day: and thereafter there shall be at least one session of the Aspembly in every yaar, so however that there shall be an interval of less than twelve months between the last sitting in one session and the first sitting in the next aesaion.". I think the word "Fitting", Mr. President, sir, refers to the entirety of the days during which we are presently working.


MR. PRESIDENY:
2 of Standing Orders?
HON. MICBAEL J. BRADLEY:. If there is a contradiotion, the Constitution rules.

MR. PRESIDENT: And which was the Section of the Constitution again?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Section 46 , subsection (2). And if I could also drow your attention, Mr. President, Sir, to the definition of "Sitting" in Standing Order 2.

MR. PRESIDEMT: $\quad$ Do we not adiourn each evening?
Do we not adjourn daily? Well, Standing Onder 2 -""Sitting" means a period during which the House is sitting continuously without adjoumment ......". Therefore a sitting is a day under Standing Order 2 and ""Meeting" means the whote or any part of a Session, imespective of adjourmente,........".

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, I think you can also look at Standing Order 8 which says, "........ a Session will usuatly consist of four meetings........". So a Meeting is alt the Meetings for one Session.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think Meeting would be the better word for this. So as the mover of the Motion I think agreed, we will substitute the word "Meeting" for the word "Sitting" in the third sub-paragraph of the Motion which will then read, "Debote on Second Reading of all Bills set doum fon the Meeting commencing on the 7th day of May, 1984.".

I am gratefut to the Third Elected Member for West Bay for pointing that out.

Does any other Member wish to speak? If not I will put the Motion (I will not read it all out), Government Motion No. 4 as slightly varied.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. $4 / 84$ AS AMENDED WAS PASGED.

## GOVERNMENT MOTIONS NO. 5, 6 AND 7 OF 1989

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, on behatf of the Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Councils I request that Govermment Motions numbered 5, 6 and 7 of 1084 be removed from the Orders of the Day where they now stand and be placed after the Second Reading of the five Bills.

Mr. President, Standing Order 14(1)(I) provides," "The business of each sitting other than the first sitting of a gession shall be transacted in the following order (unlese Stconding Orders otherwise permit) - (I) Government Business (i) Bills; (ii) Motions. ".

Mr. President, the Honourable Member moving these Motions has asked me to apoloqise to you, Sir, and Honourable Members of this House that he is not able to be here this morming, but hopes to be here this aftermoon.

Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Untess any Honourable Member wishes
to comment, I think it witi be aonvenient if wa do arrange to toke the last three Governnent Motions numbers 5, 6 and 7 of 1901 after the Second Reading of the Bills, rather than before.

So I therefore propose to move now to item $4(b)$ of the Order Faper for taday - Bitle and $i$ tem $4(b)(i)$ is the First Reading on the Legal Practitioners (Amendment)"Bill, 1984.

## $B I L L S$

THE' LEGAL PRACTITIONERS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 FIRST READING

CLERK: THE LEGAL PRACTITTONERS (AMENDMENT') BILL, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT: A Bitl entitled The Legal Practitioners (Amandinent) Bill, 1984, is deemed to have been read a first time and is aet down for a Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: THE LEGAL PRACTITTONERS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL t. BRADLEY: Mr. Ereaident, sirs, in accordance with Standing Order 48(1), I.bea to move the Saoond Reading of a Bill ahortly entitled The Legal Practitioners (Anendment) Bitl, 1984.

Mr. President, this is a very brief Biti containing, apart from the short title, only two clauses. The first of those two clauses is a minor matter of amanding the definition of Court of Appeal which is contained at prosent in the Legal Practitioners Low from the definition which urit shortly be out of date to the definition which will now be in use by wirtue of the faot that under the Constitutional amendment, which will oome into operation later this year, the Court of Appeat of the Cayman Islands. will be our own Court of Appeat of the Islands and not as heretofore, under the Constitution, the Court of Appeal of tomarica:

The second conendment is contained in clause 3, Mr. President, Sir, which seeks to anend clause 15 of the Legal Practitioners Law. Clause 15 of the Legal Practitioners Law is a clauee whioh was brought, in a number of years ago when in 1980 it was sought to provide for the establishment of our Law Schoot and for the enrotling of articled clerks.

At presents, Mr. President; under the Low, clause 15, an attoxney-at-lraw may only take an artieled clerk if he, the attorney-at-law, has been in continuous practice in these Istands for five years. In the view of the director of legal studies, which view the Legal Advisory Council and I, myself, conour, this provision is unduty restrictive in that it prevents students being arricled to attorneys who have had considerably greater experience than that elsewhere in the world, but have not been within the Cayman Iolands for five years or 30 .

Accondingly, the first paragraph of
clause 3 of this Bitl seeks to amend that provision by enabling an

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUIMG): attorney-at-law to take an artioled olerk if he has been in continuous practice for at teast... five years, conywere in the Commonweatith, so Zong as at least, tuo of these years have been working in the Cayman Istonds:

The second provision in olause 3 also deals with artioled clerks. As the Law at present stands, there is a limit of two of the number of articled clerks who may be articled to me as Attomey-General.

As it is extremely untikely that any other lawyer in the Attorney-Goneral's Chambers would be guatified to take an articled olerk, as the Law is at present, it is aought to amend that clause 15 by adding a pmoviso that enables the Attorney-General and the Clerk of the Court, with the permission of the Attomey-General, to take up to four articled alerks.

At present, the situation in my Chamber, Sir, is that with two students as articled alerks, opportunity is effectively denied for $i$ period of three and a half more years for any other govermment employee to be so articted as a olerk.

As I stated, Sir, these proposals have been considered and have the aprovat of the Legat Adiveory Council and I commend them to the Members of this Honoumble House.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

The question is that a Bill entitled $\vec{A}$ Bili for a Law to Amend The Legal Proctitioners Law, 1969, be now read a second time. The motion is open for debate.

Unless my Honourable Member wishas
to speak I shatl put the question.

## DEBATE ON SECOND READIMG

MR. BENSON O. EPANKS:
Mr. President, I have no reat perious problems with this Bill, but I am wondering iff, given our special circumstances in the Cayman Islands, the time has not arrived when we should consider that the articling of a olerk (that is articting of a legat alerk) should be to the firm rather than to the individnat.
I. am aware that the oustom and practise is that the articled olerk is to a specific attomey, but in the same way that it is now sought to reduce the time spent in practice in the Islands from five to two years in order for a person to be able to take on an artioled alerk, it seems to me that the time might have arrived when some consideration should be given to the artioleship being to the firm rather thon the individual attomey within the firm.
$I \mathrm{~cm}$ referring to this point, Mr.
president, because most of the attormeys who would be able to take on articled alerks at this time are persons wowking on permits or on contract to these firms. If they have to complete two yeare of their service before they can take on the clerk, it is possible that either their contract or permit might expire before the clerk completes his or her study. And it seems to me that the articled clerks could find themselves in a very difficult position, of uncomfortable position at least.

In fact, as I ssee it, it is not much different from what is being sought here in this amendment where we are seeking to make the proviso that the Attomey-General and with his permission, the Clerk of the Courts aan take on up to foun articled clerks. In practice I would assume that we are in fact talking about the Attorney"Generat's Chombers beoquse with the workload that the Attorney-General personally has, I wonder whether he

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): personalty witz be abte to over. see these alerka.

And I am juet throwing this out as a suggestion that we consider, in the very near future, the possibitity of changing this. As I said, I realise that we would be departing from the normal custom, but recessity is always the mother of invention. And $I$ think in our circumstances there is a case for havind the elerk articled to the firm pather than to individuaz attorneys within the firm. I would request that this be brought to the attention of the appropriate person.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Preoident, I support this Bill.
This shont amendment is very important to the continuing education of law students and artioled clerks in the Caymon Istands.

As the Honourable Attormey-General has mentioned, clause 2 is a consequantial amendment now that the Court of Appeat has been altered by the Constitution.
clause 3 , which is really the main clause in this amenament," will permit an attormen. who has been in continuous practice for at least five years in the Commonwealth and two years of which he has been in practice in the Cayman Is londs to qualify and take artioted alerks into his chomberis or office.
further, as has been mentioned, the
Attomey-General has that onerous privilege of being able to article four clerks.

The Third Etected Member for West Bay has mentioned artioling clerks to a firm. The system of articleship is very onoient system which hos trained tawyers from time in memorial under the English system and I think under most Commonweat th systems. The idea of it is to ensure that there is a person with the qualifications and the practiont experience to give the time and the tutoming that is neceseary to the clerk. White I can see the reasoning behind his suggestion, I do not betieve that it shoutd be allowed beoause it would derogate from the heavy duty which rests upon the tutor to ensure that the clert has the necessary experience and tutoring before the articled clerk becomes a quatified attomen$a t-Z a w$.

In any event the Law provides that if $a$ tutor eeases, for example by teaving the Istand as the Honourable Member has mentioned, then the articles oon, with certain permissions, be transfermed to another attomey at that time.

Mr. Preaident, $I$ believe that there are now about eteven students who are articled th the Cayman Iolands and there are another four students etudying abroad, att of whom wilt quatify within the next two to five years. This numben witl increase the ranks of attormeys-at-tal by approsimatety 25\% to 30\%,

About a year ago, this Govemment gave approval for five new additional court attomeys-at-taw to foin taw fixms here. This was approximately $15 \%$ of the then practio. ing attormeys-at-taw and a much targer inapease in the percentage of attormeys than was experienced in the increase of pensons in the financial sector.

I note that there have been suggestions that more foreign attorneys should be admitted to proctice. However. I do betieve that a proper balance must be kept between the demond. for attormeys-at-low from abroad to ensure that the articled alerks and students have a place in the legat profession when they go into practice.

In any event, as it hos appeared
necently, the oourts are not able to one expeditiousty with the

HON. IRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): amount of litigation and I believe that the solution to this problem must be to increase the number of judges, and I believe to bring in a oourt official stenographer, or atenographers. I do not believe that the answer to the delays in the court could ever be answered by increasing the number of attomeys because as evermone'knowe the more attoxneys you get, the more litigation you get the more work the court is going to have.

I do, however, Mr. Fresident, strongty reconmend to you in your capacity as Governor that another High Count Judge be appointed as early as possible and I know that you are pursuing this and that the Low be amended so that the court record and notes of evidence can be taken by a count stenographer. This would speed the the process in the court.

I would therefore ask Members to support this Bith. I have spent approximately the last eleven years attempting to see attorneys qualified in the Cayman Islands and we are now seeing a Law schoot that is workina efficiently; the articled system ia working efficievtly and I betieve that these attomeys wilt in the future make the Cayman Islands proud as wett as the legat profession.

> Thank you.

MR. PRESIDEVT:
Untess any other Honourable Member wishas to apeak I witl ask the mover of the Motion whether he wishes to exerciee his migh of repity.

HON, MIOHAEL J: BRADLEY: Very briefly, Mr. President, sir. I thank the two Honourabte Members who spoke for their oontributions to the debate on this Bill proposed by me.
with reference to the proposal that a system be introduced whereby there can be artioles taken by a firm rather than by an individual attormey-at-law, I witi certainty be pleased, since it has been raised in the House, to drow to the notioe of the Legal Advisom, Committee and let them consider it carefully, I do see certain difficulties for excmple where it happens that a fixm consisting of two members sptits up and each form their ow individual practice, there would be a question of determining which way the clerk goes rather than have a Solomon's judgment.

There is provision at the moment in section 17 of the Legal Practitioners Law for the transfer of articles upon the applioation of the attorney and the clerk, which is subject to approval by me. This would not totally answer the case.

With respect to the other matters raised by my Honourable colleague, they are not directly relevant, to the Bill in front of $u s$, but I would say that the question of the employment of stenographers in the keeping of an official oourt reoord by way of stenotyping, has exeroised my mind considerably, Sir, particularly recently in this last year and a half with the number of vemy tengthy cases. I have been investigating the matter:. 'I have established that there is a aystem in operation in Jamaica, to name but one country. I have now recently obtained the relevant laws and rulee which relate to those whioh I am now atudying and it would be my hope and enpectation that I would put, for the consideration of my fetzos Nembers of Executive Councit and you, Sir, an amerdment to the Lawe after the auttable consultatione have taken place - perhars towards the end of this year.

With those brief remarks, I beg to alose and cak Membors to vote for the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READINI.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think it may be a convenient moment
now to suspend proceedings for a quarter of an hour or twenty minutes.

AT 11:17 A.M. THE HOUST SUSPENDED
HOUSB RESUMFD AT 11:45 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Proceedings are resumed. Bills.

## THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 <br> FIRST READING

## CLERK: THE CROMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

MR. PRESIDENT: Before I put this motions perhaps I may mention to Honourable Members that the gremin, whose presence was remarked upon earliex this morning, has been in amongst the printers once again. And if I may on behatf of the clerk and her staff apologise to Members for the fact that it was not noticed before the green oopies of this Bill and the following Bill, that ts The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill, 1984, were ciroulated, that what has happened was that the final pages of the two Bills had been transfermed - that is to say the last page as printed in the green oopy of the Criminal Prooedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984, is, if you look at it, clearty wrong because the last page but one begins with section 6 and the very Last page as you witt see says section 4 and whereas The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) (No.2) Eitl, 1984, which is onty two pages of text apart from the Memorandum of Objects and Reasons, ends the first page with pection: 3 and starts the second page with section 7; and they have simply transposed the two. Not onty that, but there are also some other and unrelated errors in sectlon 7 - that is the one that is printed in the (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill, but belongs to the first Bill.

What therefore I have arronged, in
the hope that this will be convenient for Members, is that copies of the final page of each of these two Bills be now distributed by the Serjeant-at-Arme with the request that Memberg treat what is being aircutated as what should have been printed on the green conies of the Bille.

I am told that the white copies that were circulated some time ago were correct. The error has orept in at the printing stage.
so if Members would be kind enough to substitute the two white sheets that are now going to be distributed for the finat pages of The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendnent). Bill. 1984, and, when the time comes, of The Cmiminat Procedure Code (Amendment) (No.2) Bill, 1984; hopefulty we shall be straight.

I thought I would mention all that
by way of explanation before saying formatly that a Bitl entitled

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): The Criminat Procedure Code (Amendinent) Bill, 1984, has been read a first time and is set dow for $a$ seoond reading.

## SECOND READING

## CLERK: THE CRIMINAL PROCFDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1.984.


#### Abstract

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, as the mover of these two Bills I would like to thank you for your explanation to Members conoerning the state of the printed conies. could I just perhape, with your permission, Sir, before I conmence my second reading, mention one thing that The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1994, which is the one containing seven sections, was previously printed with Gazette number 8 of 1984; but was reprinted because the clerk noticed certain errors in that one which had to be changed. So the two Bille (which are green copies) which should be in front of Honourable Members, should both on the front page read at the top printed with Gaaette number 9 of 1984. I think at least one Honourable Member has got the one that saye Gaaette number 8 of 1984. So just in case Members get further confused on the basis that is anything can go wrong that possibly aan it witl, I just wonted to clarify that.


Mr. Fresident, Sir, I beg to move the second reading of a Bill shortly entithed The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bitl, 1984. And, Mr. President, in makina the second reading motion of this Bitl, $I$ witl, since $I$ think it would be more helpful to Members, cover all the amendments: sought to be moved in the (Amendment) Bill and in the (Amendment) (No.2) Bizu.

There are two Bitts presently before
Members amending the same Criminat Procedure Code. They were issued as separate Bills because it was hoped by me that as much possible notice of the first set of anendments could be given to Honourable Members and in fact that was sent out of my Chombers late in March to enable it to be published timeously so that Members would have an opportimity to consider $i t$, rather then delaying it to wait for the conendments contained in the (Amendnent) (No.2) Bizt.

Having said that, Mr. President, could I go on to say that The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984, which is before Honourable Members at the oresent time seeks to make a numbero of unoonnected amendments to the prinoipal Low which The Criminal Procedure Low. Some of these amendments are minor and technical; others are of more substance, but I propose to deal with them for the benefit of Honourable Members and your, Sir, in the order in which they are set down in the Bith.

Clcuse number 2, as the Momorandum and Objects and Reasons states, seeks to alarify some anomalous wording in section 27 of the Code insofar as it relates to bait.

At present under aection 27 of the Criminal Procedure Code, it is stated that where a person is prepared to give bait, suoh person may in the discretion of the court be admitted to bail. It is thought that there has been some ioncertainty in the courte as to whether the words "qives bail" mean that bait at alt times needs to be given with secumity.

The amendment is to re-word the relevant words in that aection $s o$ as to say that when any person is brought before any court and is prevared to be baited with or

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (COMTTMUING): without security that he may be admitted to bail. It is a technical matter which has been brought to my notice by the coupt in which I thought fit and proner, when appropmiate, to bring to this Honourable House.

Clause 3 aqain is a technical matter and relates to seation 66 of the Criminal Procecture Code. Section 66 of he Criminal Prooedure Code says, and I quote, "If at the close of the aqse for the prosecution the court considers that, subjeat to any fresh matter which might be revealed in the concuct of the defenoe; the prosecution aqee hae been established, the oourt shati, if no defence is offered, convict the accused, but, if the court considers that the prosecution has not established its case and the accused offers no defence, or submits that there is no case to answer, the court shatl aequit the apoused.".

In the two places that those words appear, namety, the prosecution ase has been established and "the proseoution has not established its case", it was not made absolutely alear that the standard there required was that of a prim facie case.

The object of this amending Clause
3, which cmends section 66 of the prineipal Low which I have juet read, is to say that if the proseoution has estabtished a prima facie case that the person, if there is no defence offered, shatl acquit; but if a prima facie case has not on the evidence presented been established, that the oourt ehall acquit. It is a minor amendment that has been brought at the wishes of the fudiciary and whioh does not alter the substantive meaning of the Law.

Clause 4 seeks to amend section 71 of the Code. Section 71 of the Code amys. "The court having heard both the prosecutor and the acoused nerson and the ir witnessess shall sither convict the accused and pass sentence upon or make an order against him acoording to low or shall acquit him, at its disonetion, or may with or without recording a conviation, if it is of the opinion that it is not expedient to infliet any punishment notwithetanding that it finds the charge against the accused is proved, make an ordex discharging the accused absolutely or conditionatly.".

It is sought to limit the power to give an absolute or conditional discharge in a very minor matter and in relation to a subject that I do not think it was the intention of the Legislature ever to permit an absolute or conditional discharge. And that is when a person has been corvicted under section 61 of The Traffic Lra which relates to driving white intoxicated, that in that case as there is a mandatory period of disqualifiaation provided for under the substantive Law that it should appty as a period of disqualification and that it. should not be poesible for the court to say the person was dmank, the Traffic Law says a person should be disqualified, but the person is discharged and not disqualified.

This comes about, Ar. President, Sir, as a result of long, lengthy submission which was made to the court as long ago as 1982 which was after very carefut consideration rojeoted by the court at that time, but which it was thought by the court should be further olarified.

If $I$ may now, Mr. President, turm to Clause 5 and Clause 5 is a olause which seeks to insert in the principal Law a new section which would deal with the restrictions on the reporting of committal proceedings.

Mr. Preaident, sir, at the present time when a person is oharged with an inditeable offence, there

FON. MICHAEL J. BEADLEY (CONTTNUING): must be a preliminary enquiry held in open court. This pretiminary enquiry is for the pupose. of establishing whether or not there is a prima facie case for the accused to meet before he goes for trial: in the Grand Court.

It is the invariable role that in
such cases the prosecution present their evidence and calt their witnesses, but that the defence reserve their defenoe and make atmost invariably no submission other than that there is no case to answer.

The purpose of a pretiminary enquiry
is to qive the acoused the opportwity of seeing the evidence that is laid against him and for the oourt to be satisfied that it is not a waste of the judige and jury's time to bring the fult inditeable offence, if there has not been a prima facie case established. However, at present ati that prosecution evidence oon be reported absolutely and without any restrictione in the newspapers contemoraneously with the preliminary enquiry whether or not the person is sent for trial or whether or not the pereon is found to have not case to answer.

That being so, Mr. President, Sir,
it was thought appropriate a number of years ago in the United Kingdom that there be in faimess to the character of a person charged with an offence and in oxder to give him as much as possible a fair trial that there should be restrictions placed. upon the right to report everything contained in committal proceedings. This was adopted a number of years ago by the thited Kingdom. It has worked wett, it has been adopted by other cowntries and it is thought that it would bs apprormiate nou, especially in a small community such ae ours where if proceedings are reported at the preliminary stage it is very diffioult to find jurers who will not have read those prosecution evidence and statements and be able to disnegard them aompletely from his mind if he has read them.

Acoordingty, this rather lengthy. seation 105 A , which is proposed to put in the Bill which consiots of eleven subsections, says very briefty that it is not lawful to publish a written report or broadcast a report of conmittat proceedings other than in relation to the identity of the court, the name of the Magistrate, the numes and addresses and ocoupations of the parties and witnessee, the ages of the aocused persons and witnesses, the offence or offences or a sumary of them with which the accused person or persons are charged, the nomes of counsel engaged in the proceedings, any decision of the court to oommit the acoused on any of them for triat and the charges in which they are committed; if there is an adjoumment - where and when the adjournment is to, arrongements for bail, the issue of legal aid certificates. Those are the matters which may be reported at the time.

There are other provisions whioh state that after a person has been committed for trial after the trial has been heard that other things at committal proceedinge oan be broadeast or printed.

There $i_{\theta}$ in the matters that are permitted to be reported sufficient to let the public know and see that its acmmittal proceedinge in open court that they are in possesaion of all the relevant details and that basioally the only thing that is withheld from printing or broadoastina at that atage is the evidence which it is sought to bring for the Crown for the prosecution in order to establish the prina facie oase.

BON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): This Clause, Ar. President, Sir, is as I have batd before, in accordance with the procedure that has worked well in the United Kingdom and whioh I think is not only appropriate, but even more appropriate in view of the small size of our conmanity to introduce here.

Mr. President, Six, Clause 6 of the anendment Bill seeks to amend section 160 of The Criminat Procedure Code. Section 160 of the Criminat Procedure Code provides that aperson appealing from a conviction, either against sentence or against conviction itself, shall enter into recognisance before a Magistrate.

The purpose of this proposed amendment is to provide that instead of having to enter such recognisance before the Magistrate himselfs, that it could be entered into before the Clerk of the Court.

This, Mr. President, Sir, I may say was a suggestion which came from the judiciary itself who suggested this amendment with their experience of the Law that at times it was not only coukward, but completely unsuitable that a person should have to attend before the Mafistrate in chrmbers.

The last conendment, which is the one, Mr. President, which is contained on the sheet which has been given out to Honowrable Members and is in substitution for the erroneous last page of this comendment Bill, is an omendment that seeks to amend the First Schedule to the Code which is a consequentiat. amendment brought through and follows the amendment that was made in our previous Meeting to the Penal Code where, if Honourable Members will recall, there was a change in the Fenal Code whereby the maximom penalty imposable in regard to a theft conviotion was changed from one unitary fine to two tynes of impmsonment depending on whether the value of the property stolen exceeded or did not exceed $\$ 10,000$. It is a sonsequential amendment under our Criminal Procedure Code to that atready passed in the Fenat Code.

As I indicated, Mr. Eresident, Sir, I thought that, unless the House wished othervise, I would proceed in this second reading to deal with the one substantive matter which is contained in The Cmiminal Procedure Code (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill, 1984 - the Bill of which is before you.

The Memorandum of the Objects and Reasons for this Bill is very brief. It says, "This Bill seeks to amend the Criminat Procedure Code by abotishing the right of an accused person to make an unsworn statement from the dock.".

Clause 3 of the (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill, makes that restriation go in as a new section and I woutd adds N4. Preeiticnt, that after publication of this Bitl an Honnurable Member drew my attention to the fact that it was proposed to insert this after section 67 of the principal Law in a part which relates to sumary offences. I have considered the matter and agree with him that it could be more appropriately placed in anothar place - so it is intended to plaoe it as an amending additional clauee rather later in the Bill after section 179. That I would propose to do, Sir, at Conmittee Stage.

However, to returm and spett out to this House in rather more detail the brief Memorandion of objects and Reasons, at present where a person is acoused of any criminal offence he has a right either to give evidence upon oath or affirmation, to make an unsworm statement or to be sitent.

[^8]MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUING): with these as two separate Bills, otherwise we are likely to enter into oonfusion.

MR: PRESTDENT: $\because$ They will certainly havie to be dealt with as two separate Bills in the sense that there will be separate motions dealing with them. I think alt that the mover is trying to do in the hope of helping the House is explaining both of them now. After he had finished his explanation and there was a debate, the debate could be confined this time to the firgt of the two Bille - the motion would then be on tit alone. There woutd. then be a first reading on the second Bitl and the becond reading would have formally to be proposed, but he would not then have to make another speech if you follou me. There would then be an opportionity for the debate on the seoond of the two Billes.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Sir, I indicated that if the House so aqreed and as one Member has reservations I am quite happy to olose my second reading speech on the amendment Bill now. I merely tried to save the House the trouble of hearing me twioe instead of once.

With that, Sin, Mr, President, I
beg to move the aecond reading of the Criminat Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House'is that a Bill entitled A Bill for a tha to Amend The Criminal Procedure Code (Law 13 of 1975) be read a second time. The motion is now open for debate.

## DEBATE ON SECOND READING

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. President, I support the amendments to The Criminal Procedure Code that have been put formard and explained by the Honourable Second Official Member.

Although I do not aluaye agree with mandatory sentences, I firmly believe that if the publice safety on our roads is to be protected and maintained, then we need to remove any possibilty or any hope or expectation of lemiency by those who insist to drive while intoxicated.

The high rate of alcohol consump. tion localty, coupled with the ever-increasing number of cars being imported into these Istcnds, will undoubtedly produce mana and more violations of this nature. I fael, Sir, that we therefore have the responsibility of making a serious effort to use every deterent at our disposat.

Statistics have proven that the high rate of fatallities on highuciys have been caused by intoxicated drivers and other countries have had to adopt and carmy out a very firm line in this respeot, and $I$ feel that we can do no less than to support this comendment that has been.put forward to section 71.

In dealing with the other new section 105A with regards to pubtication of committal proceedings by restmicting matters which can be included in any committat report, I find this fair and reasonable.

As has been pointed out in a small communty such as ours where everyone is wett knouns peopte become very much involved, very strong views and opinions are expressed and feelings mun high. A person's fate or their future aan very welt be influenced by strong public opinion in triate by jury and

MR. W. WORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): I feet that every preoaution must be exercised to ensure that as far as te. humanty possible everyone receives a fair and unbiased triat.and that iustice has its course and truly that the interestoof justioe are eerver.

I certainty ajree then, sir, that reports of such proceedings should be restricted and regulated. With those brief oomments, Sir, I have no objection to these amendments and $I$ sumport them. Thank you.
$\frac{\text { Mi. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { wish to speak? }}$ Noes any other Honourable Member MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Eresidents in a arowing country laws need to be updated to attempt to try to keep control, peace, order and discipline in our oountm.

It seems, sir, that there are many people who disregard the taws and feet that the tows do not apoty to them. They are not in the least bit hesitant in breaking the lows and this means that we must do something to rrotect the people of this country. This we can do by todating our laws and making them more effective

The Traffic tow tis one taw that should have been before this House tong ago. There are other laws as well; but this particular Bill before us. The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984, needs to be updated and I give. it my whotehearted support, Sir. For us to curb orime we must have effective taws.

It mpears somehow that the courts are being symathetic to arime since it has been exroressed that two years for a poor fellow who has conmitted a orime is too muen and should be reduced to one year. If that is the case, Mr. President, how witl we be able to slow dow or o rb omime in the cowntry?

We all want to live in peace with one another, we want to live in hamony, but we cannet do this without the proper lows and the carrying out and administering of the taws have to be done effectively.

So, Mr. President, $t$ give my whole support to the amandment of this partioular mitz and when we go to into $C$ momittec stage it there ze ure ommen to make, I witl make them then, sir, but I say afain that I support this amendment.

Thank you.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { wish to aneak? }}$ Does any other II nourable Member.
wish to speak?
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, I support this Bill.
The Honourable Second official Member has mentioned the sections: and very briefly sections 2 and 3 deal mainly with the re-wording of previous sections and do not change the Law in substance.

Section 1 provides that there onn be no order discharge in revation to driving white intoxicated the convictions under that section.

Seation 5, Mr. President, is a wery important amendment which puts restrictions on renorts of committal proceedings. It has set out what in substance is the English low as fown in the English Magistrates Court Aot of 1980 beginning at section 8 of it.

HON. TRUMAM M. BODDEW (CONTINUING): In the past we have seen headlines in newaponers which could prejudice the acoused person's might to a fair hearing and prejudice the minds of jury.

More recently a trial uice stopped by the publication of matters prejudicial to the acoused and fines imposed.

The press and news media have at
times, for the purposes of sensationalism and for the sate of their respective publications, reported matters before the oourt which they should not otherwise have reported

Mr. President, if it is the ohoice
between the acoused person's might to a fair hearing and freedom of expression which the press calle: freedom of the prees, then a fair trial is one humdred times more important to our society.

Mr. Preaident, white dealing with
sections 1 and 7 of the omending Bill which relates to the period and type of punishment in certain cases under the Law, I would like to touch very briefly on the principle upon which thid Legislature deals with lawe and punishment.

Under the doctrine of the separation of powers as adhered to in the United Kingdom and most other democratic Commonwealth oountries, a statement from Wafe and Phillips on constitutionat low, leading the United Kingdom oomstitutional authority, provides and I quote, "The courts take no part in formulation of policy, but simply administer the lai as it is leaving it to the erreutive to provose whatever chonges in the low may be decided upon if a particular decision of the court shows the need for such change. '".

The potioy of this Legistature
and the Executive has been to impose very heavy penatities in drug related cases and to impose certain mandatory penalties such as section 61 of The Road traffic Low.

It is the duty of the court to carry out the low. thile a judge may not neoessarily aqree with the law, I believe that it would be a breach of the pirincipte of the separation of powers doctrine for any judge to attempt to alter the policy relating to that law mather than merely carrying out the taw as it is.

Mr. President, as a Zabyer, $I$ do
not like the principte of mandatory sentences either, but white I am here in this Legislature in the aqacity of a Member, I support the views of a majority of Caymanions who feel that crimes such as drugs ahould be stamped out, even if it does take mandatory sentences.
dent, the aame authority goes Lastly, on this subject, Mr. Presigoes on to state, and I quote, "After examining several illustrations from history and showing that there existed no competing authority; the author oonetuded that within the timits of physical possibility Parliament oould tmmake or make nuy Low whatever. The courts only interpret and may not question the validity of nots of Parliament. No Particment oan bind its auccessor.".

Having said that, Mr. President, $I$ believe that the standard of the judiciary in this dountri; both that of the Chief Justice and the Magiatrate, is the highest that one can find in the Caribbean or in the United Kingdom or in the Commonwealth, and $T$ believe that they fotlow the dootmine of separation of powers.

Mr. President, I mentioned before
and I repeat its I believe that we should have introduced with this Law the provisions similar to the English Contempt of Court

HON. TRUMAN M. RODDEN (CONTINUING): Act which furthex entrenches the right of the accused person to a fair triat. Unfortunately, that has not yet been drafted on brought fomvard.

The facts which are restricted in subsection 6 of the new section 105A can be reported in the event that the accused persons are not committed for triat or after the last person, so conmitted, has been tried. Therefore the press does have the right to make known to the publio these facts. The only thing that this section has done is to alter the time at which the press an make those facts available.

As an excorple, the tow witl cover the situation where matters which are argued before the judge, when the jury has been told to retire, would not be published and thus not brought to the notice of the jury as has been done in the past.

Those matters of arqument without the jury, for exanple, whether confession or not, whether it is admissable in law or not may never have to be considered by the jury and the jury should not be informed of them during the triat.

Mr. President, I betieve that this House will support this Bill as it regards the right of an accused person to have a fair and unbiased trial as a fundamental right in our demooratic Islands.

Thank you.
CAPT. CHARLES L, KTRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I rise to support this Bill, Sir. I would like to thank the Honourable Second Official Member for making it very ctecr to us what ecch amendment is meant to do.

Clause 1, six, the discretion of the court being taken cway, is something I am against. However, in this particular case dealing with drugs and persons driving white intoxicated, I agree that no one should be let off the hook if driving white intoxtcated becruse we have lost too many people in this country as a result of persons driving while dronk. I do not think we can be too harsh in this respect.

I would also like to support the guidelines which are taid down in this onendment. I have noticed where one of our medias have wetcomed these guidetines which I believe will enable them to know what they can and aannot report without affecting someone who is to be tried

I believe, Mr. President, that alt. of the other amendments here are clear and very fair, and I would like to give this Bill my full support.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish
to speak to this Bill?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I agree with Sections $\frac{1}{2}$ and 3 of this Bitt. Section 4 , in spite of the explanation that was given by the Honourable Member introducing the Biti, still gives me aome problem.

I think, if my memoxy semes me correctly, in introducing the Bill he said something to the effect that it could never hrve been the intent of the Legistature that Section 71 be applied to offences under Section 61 of the Traffic Law sinoe a mandatory sentence was imposed in the Traffic Law.

Now what I am unable to reconcile with that statement is why we are not here including Sections of other Laws where there are mandatory sentences, for example, under

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONETVUTNG): the Misuse of Druge Law). I atso have a problem, Mr. President, applying this Section to Section 1 of Section 61 of the Traffic Law. I am tware that wher the Traffic Luw there is a sotentific way of establiahing when a person is under the influence of aloohot, but to my knowledge thene is no definition or seientific or medical" dafinition to say when a person is under the influenoe of drugs to an extent where his effioiency as a driver is impared.

I realise that there is nothing that this Bill aan do to comect that situation, but I am wondering if this is not what has brought the conflict with this Section of the Traffic Law and the Section 71 of the Criminal Procedure Code Low.

I support the view that there muat be heavy penattiss for drunken drivings or if even there was a way of medicatly determining, within the Law, when a person is impaired by the use of drugs. But, it seeme to me that somewhere along the line the decision as to whether a person's ability to dmive is impained by the use of druge, since there is no medical or technteat definition within the Law, must be decided by the evidence produced before the court heaming the oase; and therefore would noxmally give the judge diacretion. Fossibily this is where the contlict has amisen.

As I said, I do not see how we can correct that at the moment, but I am wondering why we are only here seeking to insert the one Section of the Traffic Lcow where there is a mandatoxy sentence. I would have thought that this would have been the case atso where other mandatory sentences were required. And, since, Mr. Fresident. I am arainst mandatory sentences in principle, I have some problem with this Seotion. It may be that the mover can further etucidate this in his winding up as to why we are onty putting this one offence aftex this Section in the Criminal Procedure Code.

The other Section, Mr. President, that is Seation 5 of the Bill which seeks to restrict the reporting of evidence taken in preliminary enquimies. I support wholem heartedly: I can see where, particularly in a small commenity, a person's right to a fair trial could be effected by publication of all of the evidence taken in the preliminary enquiry.

Section 6 I agree with, Mri. President, where the cognizance for a fine can be taken before the clerk of the Courts instead of the Magistrate. This should ceritainly tend to speeding $u p$ the procedures and the efficiency of the operation.

Section 7, as has been said, seeks to put this Section in line with the anendment already made to the Penal Code and I can support this Section without reservation.

I thank you, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think perhape it may be oonvenient to suspend proceedings for thon now because $I$ do not think we with perhaps be able to finish the Seoond Reading Debate on this Bitl before.

If therefore this suits Honourable Members, tet us suepend proceedings until two-fifteen. Two-fifteen? Until two-fifteen.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:25. P.M.
MR. PRESIDENT: Please be seated.

| Proceedings are resumed. Debate on |
| :--- |
| the Second Reading of a Bill for A Low to Amend The Griminat |
| Frocedure Code. |
| Elected Member of Executive Council had misen just before we |
| broke off. |$\quad$| I think the Honourable Fourth |
| :--- |

THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENF) BILL 1994
DEBATE ON SECOND READING CONTINUED
HON. G. BAIG BODDEN: Mr. President; I support this Bitt which is now before the House.

It is in a sense regretful that it has been necessary to take aertain steps to limit the publication of matters arising from preliminary enquimes. This atev has become neoessary because the press, in its greed for reporting matters, have on several occasions in the past interferped with the system of justice and have prejudiced the fair trial of the acoused.

A aimilar low exists in England to prevent the press from twisting the evidence given in a preliminary enquiry in order to prevent jurers from becoming biased because of vicious reporting and sensational headlines on cases reported from the oourt.

I feel that the press ought to be responeible enough to report the facts as they are, rather than reporting the facts as they would like to see reported; or $I$ shoutd say, rather than report whatever oomes to the mind of the person who happens to be witing the story.

I believe in freedom of the prese, but that freedom carries with it a responsibility which is set out in the Geneva Convention on Human Rights that the person making the report has a responsibility not to damage the reputation or to interfere with the mights of the individuat.

In one of the wortd's tedding pubtications, the New York Times, it tas reported in December, 198 , that Mr Barry Bingham, Sni, who is the chief exeoutive offioer of the union newspapers and a former chairman himaetf of the Intermational Press Institute, put the case against the press with forceful simplicity. He said, and I quote. "You cannot hold on to a free press if it behaves irresponsibty.". And white. we have in our midst a few people who are pesponsible in the press and who try to qive fair and accurate reports from the court, from the Legislative Assembly and public mestings, we have one or two vicious and fmistrated people who boast about making up storise.. from the maxe 2 road and who boast about their ability to write innuendoes whioh continue to twist every single fact.

If I may be allowed to quote again from this August publication and the 12 th December, 1983 issue, :They (speaking about reporters) twist facts to suit their not so hidden liberal agenda. phey medale in politics, harrass business, invade people's privacy and then palk off without regard to the pain and fear they leave behind. They are amogont and

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): self-righteous, brushing aside most oriticism as the whinformed carping of oranks and ideo To top it off they alaim that their behaviour is sanctioned, indeed sanetified, by the U.S. Constitution.".

If this happens in a large country like the United States of America, it must happen to a greater degree in a small termitory where everybody knows everybody else. So I support this amendment which will now regulate the material that can be reported from a preliminaxy enauiry and will guarantee that the accused's position will not be prejudiced by vicious and irmesponsible reporters.

I also support other Sections of the Bill. One of them seeks to provide that the power of the court, under Section 71 of the Code, to make an order of discharge, shalt have no application in connection with the trial of a person under Section 61 of the Traffic Lcw which relates to driving when intoxioated. And I believe that that Section seeks to impose the mandatory suspension of a ticence when a person has committed a second offence for driving while intoxicated. And I believe that this mandatory sentence should never be set aside.

The Third Elected Member for West Bay seems to have been a bit confused in that he contradicted himself. He did not agree with the amendment here, but later on in his debate seemed to believe that the provision coutd be extended to cover cases involving drugs, which in my mind would put more restrictions on the court than the amendment in the Bil\%.

The other sections, as the Honourable Second official Member indicated, were mostly technical amendments which sought to clear wo ambiruities which could arise from the wording of the omiginal Law.

With these few remarks, Mr. President, I support the Bill before the House,

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I support this Bill. At firit when I received the Bill I had concern over Seation 4 , but I am grateful to the Honourable Second Officiat Member for his explanation and I feel as Legistators it is our responsibility to try to make our roads as safe as possible to prevent acoidents and fatalities. So with his explanation I have no problem with that.

I am happy to see Section 5, restrictions on reports of committal proceedinge. I think it witl est blish guidelines that the media and the press witl have so there can be no misunderstanding of what is within the Law. I think this will help both parties and will help to oreate more justice in our courte.

Thank you, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless any further Honourable Member wiahes to speak, I will invite the mover of the motion to exercise his right of reply if he wishes.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir $I$ wish to thank all Honourable Members for the support that they. have given to the various provisions contained in this Bill.

With regard to the suggestion that the Third Eitected Member for West Bay has made concerning perhaps widening the scope of Section 4 to matters other than merely Section 61 of the Traffic Law, I have already indicated to him personally as I do to this House that I shall be quite happy to consider and adopt any suitable amendment which may be cared to

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): be moved at Committee Stage to extend.

With those words $I$ commend this
Bill to this House,
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill for $A$
Iaw to Amend the Criminal Procedure Code be given a Seoond Reading.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.

THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) (NO. 2) BILL, 1984
FIRSTT READING
CLERK: THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) (NO: \%) BILL, 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) (No.2) BiLi, 1984, subject to the point I made before the First Reading of the previous Bitl, that is the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bilt, 1984; that is subject to the subotitution of the white slip that has been circulated to Members for the final page on the green printed copy, is deemed to have been read a first time and is set dow for a Seoond Reading.

SECOND READING
CLERK: THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) (NO.2) BILL, 1984.

HON. MICHAEL t. BRADLEY: . Mr. President, Sir, I bea to move the second Reading of a Bill shortly entitled The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) (NO.2) Bill, 1984.

As Honourable Members witt have
seen from the brief memorondum of objecte and reasons that were attached to the published and gazetted comy of this Bill, it seeks to amend the Criminal Procedure Code by abolishing the right of an accuaed person to make an unsworm statement from the dock.

Mr. President, Sir, when I had the privilege of coming to the Cayman Islands almost two years ago, at that time there was in passage through the Mother of Parliamants in the United Kingdom a Criminal tustice bill there. And at the Conmittee Stage of that Criminat Justice bill there was proposed, by a baokbencher and accepted and adopted by the Govemments, an amenoment to it providing in similar terme what is being sought to provide by this anendment to the Criminal Justice bill.

At present, Sir, under our Icw, when a person its accusad of any criminal offence he has a might to give evidence upon oath or on affirmation to make an unswom statement or to be sitent.

> If an wnoworm statement is made, the prosecution has no might to oross-examine upon the contents of such unsworm statement. And the tack of this right has, I fear., reaently, in these last oouple of years, increasingly beoome a subject of abuse as it gives suoh person, who makes an uneworm statement from, the dock, the right to lie to the court with

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTTNUING): impunity and to make allegations without putting his own charaoter or issue at issue.

Mr. President, Sir, I have been considering this matter a long time, but it is only now that $I$ thought fit and proper to bring it to this Honourable House.

In August, 1982, in one of our local newspapers, there was a dmanatic fingt and seoond page article, covering five colume by a journatist who is no longer with us, which had the quiet headline, "Torture death threat alleged at Northward".

This I understand was a report that was repeated in papers outside these Istands; and may I quote from the article, "... but then he supposed he would probably not be around for his trial in Sebtember anyway. He was dying of cancer and starving besides since he had not eaten for the past eight days. He had tost about eighty pounds in prison so far and while he said he did not want to make it seem too melodramatio he allowed that he was probably the only prisoner in the history of that grim fortress, sometimes referred to as Iler Majesty's Northward Hotel, ever to have been tortured. He also told the court that the word was out that he uould never leave Grand cayman again"; and so it goes on. And this is almost neariy at the end of the artiole, "The defendent speaking politely in a rather high pitohed tone last week, read an unsworm statement from the dock in which he mentioned, "I am the only prisoner at Northuard who knows what torture ia. I am kept in aomplete isolation and any more punishment I could not survive". He said he was also refused medicine until one guard took it upon himself to get it for $h i m$, adding that before many times when I asked for medicine they just laughed and stammed the steel door. He was told at another point he said by a certain officer that he would not leave Cayman alive and he said that I cannot live under these conditions anyway.".

Mr. President, Gir, that was a newspaper article based completely on an unsworm statement of a prisoner who was, after it, conviated of the offences for which he had been charged. who far from dying from cancer is still serving his term of imprisonment almost two years later:s who made allegations against public officers and members of the Prison force which were headline articles which were not subject to any cross-emamination to destroy the grose fabmication that he was mintaining in his unsuorn statement from the dook, that did character assisinations of aivil servants who had no right to answer back.

After it, I got representations
on behalf of his officers from the Divector of the Prison and in those representations, Sir, he was concerned mightly beaause of the affect that it had upon his men. And he said that he had been ceproached by a number of people asking him why this prisoner was allowed to make statements at court without being cross-examined or questioned by them, thus implying an acceptance of the statement and the truthfulness of it by the crown.

What was not appreciated by the people who asked him that is the fact that under the Law under an wosworn statement from the dock, no eross-examination aan be made.

> After this, I went and found out
the relevant reasons why the United Kingdom had done it and looking at the amendment which inetuded that in the 1982 Criminal Justice bitl, the amendment was moved and supported by word

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADEEY (CONTINUTNG): Diolook, a Lord of Appeal and one of the most eminent jurons who exist in the United kingdom who said, "The right not to take the oath gave the right to lie to the court with impunity", and was used rapidly as a character destroying cross-examination of poople who might be the victims in rape cases and others by the acoused lawyer while the acoused himself was able to rebut prosecution allegations without putting his own character at issue.

The right was gravely injust to innocent witnesess and it was not a moment too soon for it to go. Another Lord Justice of Appeat, Lord Elton, pointed out that in the proposed amendment, as in ours, the right to silence was alearly accepted. The preservation of the unsworn statement was out of place in modern legal prooedure. After thot, Mx. President, Sir, I decided that it was a matter that should not be mushed. I consulted the judioiary and the judiciam said that the proposal to do oway with the might of an accused pexson to make an unsworn statement in oriminat proceedings would have their full aupport as there wers precedente in other countries and that they, the judiciary, had always taken the view that it is an anomalous hangover from the past which has no merit in the administration of justice.

The acoused should eleot to give evidence or remain silent. He or his counsel has the right of addressing the court of the conclusion of the evidence when everything that could be properly given weight to in an unsworn statement can be said.

In the opinion of the court and its experience, furies rarely reatise or understand the weight to: be given to an wheworn statement from the dock.

I consulted, Sir, the Law Sooiety and the Low Society said, "I have canvassed members of the counoil who are in agneement that the proposal is one which they would. support wholeheartedly. It seems only right that while preserving the might of an accused to nemain sitent, if he doss speak his statements should be subjent to challenge.".

I consulted an eminent firm of lauyers in this jumisdiction who are not members of the Law Sociaty who said to me, iI have no hesitation whatsoever endorsing the views shared by the court and yourself that it is probably appropriate at this time to abolish the right of an accused person to make an tnsworm statement in criminal proceedings. As private practitioners involved a great extent in the practice of oriminat law I can see no difficulty in such a proposal and indeed would welcome the some as part of what I feel shoutd be a much more comprehensive package of law reform whioh no doubt witl be looked into in the foresecable future.".

Mr. President, Sir, there you have it - a proposal made by Government, supported by the judiciary, supported by the proctitioners, following a precedent which has been brought into law in the Mother Partioment; the effect of which is not in any way to tessen or diminioh the might of an acoused to defend himself, but to proteot the defonseleess feonle who oan do nothing when calwnies, lies and wntruths are made in urtworn statemente from the dock, because the wiworn statement from the dock can bs pubiished and broadoast with absolute privilege.

I think the time now has aome when this relic of 110 to 115 years ago at which time an aocused had not a right to go in and give evidence on oath, but merely to make this statement: and that the time has gone full circle and

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): I feel that it is in the intereste of the conmonity and the interests of defenseless outsiders that an aceused, if he wishes to speak to the court to put his point of view, goes into the box, swears on the Bible and is cross-examined on the veracity of it.

Mr. President, Sir, I commend this
Bill to the Asaembly.
MT. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House $i_{s}$ that a Biil for A Law to Amend The Cmiminal Procedure Code, (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill, 1984, be read a second time. The motion is open for debate.

## DEBATE ON SECOND READING

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, it seems to be one
of those days when the lauyers are doing a lot of talking in the
House, Sir.

Mr. President, the short amendment, as the Honourable Second Officiat Member has very ably and aloquentty explained - both as to the history and the baokmpound as weit as the vast amount of ground-work that he did in consulting the respective bodies which are within the legal profession and the judiciary, is one which abolishes what is undoubtedly in this day and age an anachronism.

The Law follows very olosely, as he as mentioned, Section 172 of the Criminal Justice Act of 1982 of the United Kingdom. I agree fully with him.

He has mentioned that there is agreement by the Low society and also by the judiciary and other members of the legal profession that the unsworn statement has seen its best days about a century ago. In fact, Mr. President, I believe it should have been abotished fifty years ago when the United Kingdom and ourselves abolished the Grand Jury system.

I am a firm believer that there must be an updating and reform of the Law and the right to make on weworn statement is no longer important either to the aocused or the judicial system.

I therefore support this short Bill
and I would ask Members to support it also.
Thank you.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, I too give my support to this short amendment to this Bilit.

As I said earlier today, for the people to have their rights there are a number of Lows that need to be amended and some that need to be repeated.

I agree, Sir, with freedom to any
extent - whether it is in the Churoh, whether it is in the home, whether it is in the office, whether it is in the publie or whether it is in the press, but there must be a drawing line when the freedom is abused. We cannot just teave it as freedom and make people feel, believe and act like there is no limit to their feeling or expressing on doing what they wont beoause it is freedom.

I have said this publicty before, Mr. President, and I witl say it now again that the press have made a lot of destrimental setbacks to this country through the articles that they published and which go overseas to hundreds of

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTTNUING): countries.
I do hore that the Honourable Second Official Member is thinking about bringing back the Defomation Bill to the next Meeting. Then we will know whether we have freedom of the press, freedom of speech, freedom of movement or anything we want.

I stand for freedom, but I stand for what is right to an individual and the peonle of this oountry. I do not know, Mr. President, why some people take the attitude when a law is being cmended that it is always for the worse to harness or daprive the people. In my view, Mr. President, we always attempt to do these things for the betterment of the country and the mights of the people.

So I qive my support to this Bill, Mr. President, and whatever else I may want to add to it I witi do in the Committee Stage, Sir.

I thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Untess any other Honourable Member
wishes to speak, I will invite the mover $a_{n,}$ the Third Elected Member for Yest Bay.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I have a lot of sympathy for this amendment, but the proposed amendment does not seem to me to be quite as simple as has been made by some peonle. The Section in this Low sought to be amended is Section 67 and as I read the Criminal Procedure Codes this deals with a trial before a Summary Court. And as I. see it there is no jury in a Summary Court.

The Honourable mover, in his submission, laid great emphasis on the fact that juries woutd not be capable of detecting the untruthfulness of an unsworn statement. And I am wondering whether this is the area where we want to put this amendment or not.

Reference was also made to the
offence, I believe, of rape. I stand subject to corpection, but $I$ believe that the offence of rape carries a punishment as semious as death. And $I$ do not betieve that that is an offence that again would tried in a Surmary Court.

So it seems to me that we either
have to amend the Section 67 which deals with a Summary Court and then go on to Section 129, which I understand to be the Seotion dealing with trial in a Grand Court where a person oon make an unsworn statement. We either have to make two amendments to the Bill of decide which one we are going to do.

I cm not quite clear whether the Honourable mover of the Bill, in his readings, mentioned the fact that the acoused attormey could put forward the case, but the acoused would not be subject to a cross-examination. And what does give me some concerm is whether this refers only to persons who are unrepresented in court or whether it deale with persons who are represented as welt.

I certainty have no sympathy whatever with persons who are represented by counsel having the right to give unswrm statements. But I am wondering about the situation in a Summary Court where a person might not be pepresented and eleots to give an whawom statement pure ty on the basis that he is not capable of standing up to the barmage of a well tmined prosecuting attormey.

I also, too, Mr. President, have to
admit that the 67A(1) which is proposed to be inserted after

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUIDG): Section 67 (the one that is being amended) seeme to me to be contradiotory. I thought at first when I nead this that the subjeots that were being referred to, that the accused could address the court on - the scme as if he had been represented, were matters such as pleas of mitigation and the tike. But this seems to me to be covered in 67 (2) and it leaves me to wonder really what other matters a counsel could address the court or jury on if it was not what could be contained in a statement.

So my short submission, in sumary, $M_{2}$. President, is that I trust that the Honourable mover will be able to embain fulty what arpears to be the contradiotion. in 67(A)(1) and, secondty, to clamify whether we are dealing, both with summary trial and triat at the Grand Court; because aertainty Section 67 seems to apply strictly to trial in summary court. And if my interpretation of the Law is right, Section 129 denis with a similar situation under the Grand Court. So it appears to me that soms oleaning up is going to have be done with the omendment if we are going to achieve what the Honourable mover has eaid that he wants to achieve.

I agree with the submission that the Honourable mover made that a person (a represented person in particular) should not be able to make a statement impunina other persons' characters and leaving his own not subiect to crosenexamination on revelation by cross-examination.

However, I want to be sure whether we are talking about sumary trial, Grand Court trial and whether, it refers only to unvepresented persons.

Thank you.
HON. G. BAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Preaident, the amending Bill is clear to me in that Section 3 states specificalty that the takina away of the entitlement to make an unsworm statement in no way applies and shall not affect the right of an accused person if he is not represented by counsel to address the court or jury. So, the accused, if he is not represented by counsel, woutd stili have the right to address. the court 0 . jury otherwise than on oath on any matter on which if he was so represented counsel could addrees the court or jury on his behalf.

So it would appear to me that the acoused person, if he had no lauyer to represent him in court, would have the right to make an opening statement, he would a right to make a closing statement, he would have a right to crossexamine any witnesses and he would have the right to make any statements that a lauyer could make if he had one. Also, the acoused person, as is customary, has the right to remain sitent. He also has the right to make a sworn statment from the witness box, in which case he can be oross-examined and even after this conviction, if he is convicted, he has the right before sentence is passed to make a statement in mitigation of his case.

So the taking caway of the right to make the umswom statement as the last rart of Section 3 states, "shall not affeat the might of an accused person, if he is not represented by counesis to addreess the court or fury.....".

The amendment, for the reasons out-
lined by the Honourable Seoond Official Member, seems to be a very nesessary one and I give it my support.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): If not I will invite the Honourable mover of the motion to exercise, if he wishes, his might of renzy.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Sir, I wish to thank Honourabte Members for the support that they have given to this amendment to the Criminal Procedure Code.

I fear that in my enthusiaam for taking up as tittle of this House's time as possible when I started speaking this afternoon I did not reiterate the phrases which I used this morning when I attempted to incorporate a short mantion of this Bill into the Second Reading speech of the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984. And I fear that the Third Elected Member for West Bay may have been so busy aetting himself organised to say that he preferred that it was a different Eill that he did not listen as elosely as I might as wished to what I said then and that was that I wibhed to thank a Member of this Honourxble House for bringing to my attention that the new section which is proposed to go into the Bill to effect this chonge in the Low is perhape not being inserted in the most appropriate place in the Law at the moment and that I proposed to move a Cormittee Stage amendment to place it into the principat Low much further on in the Code.

If I may explain further, that whilst there is a tenant of low that margin notes, head notes and part notes are not nart of a law, section 67 (which it is not intended to cmend by this new section - it is intended to put a completely new Section afterwards) and this new Seotion would be in a part entitled, "Procedure in the sumary Court".

I intend therefore to move a Conmittee Stage amendment to bay that instead of fottowing Section 67, that it will follow Section 179 in the misceltaneous provisions - thus removing all doubt concerning the matter and making it ahundantly clear that the provision is intended to apply and does apply to Sormary Court hearings, Grand Court hearings, proceedincs when there is a jury and proceedings when there is not a jury.
$I$ also had intended to mention at that time that I had ready and would have had in front of Members this moming if unfortunately the word orocesson in the Government Administration Building had not broken doum again, on amendment to this Bill providing for a short consequential amendment to Section 129 which has been mentioned by the Third Elected Member for Hest Bay.

I thank him, neverthelees, for his
carefull and diligent search and bringing the points to his notice. I know I can always rely upon hims if ever I nod, to awaken me with a sharp jolt. In this aase I had dreamt about it and did not need awakening on it.

On a more serious note, Mr. President, Sir, I consider that this Bill, this provision, is long overdue. It will prevent something that I consider is undesirazile in extreme occurring. It will not in any way minimise the rights of an accused person.

If on acoused person is not represented as the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Erecutive Council has correatly said, that person who is unverresented may do and say everything, that if he was represented, his counsel could say. It reserves for him the might to soy anything in mitigation of a sentence as he wishes and I feel quite sure that our courts, in the mercy and generosity, will afford to an unrepresented person every assistance in saying to the court and to the jury what they widh to say if they are mable property so to articulate it

HON. MICHAEL J. $\because$ BRADLEY (CONTINUING): themsetves.
May I conmend this Bilt to the House?
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question before the House is that a Bill for A Law to Amend the Criminal Procedure Cods s the Criminat Procedure Code (Amendment) (No.2) Bitl, 1984, be reat a second time.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING,

THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSIONS BILL, 1989
FIRST READING
CLERK: THE PARLTAMENTARY PEWSIONS BILL; 1984.
MR. PRESTDENT:
A Bill shortly entitled The Parliamentary Pensions Pill, 1989, is deemed to have been read a firgt time and is set down for a Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: - THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSIONS BILL, 1984.

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Second Reading of aill Mr. Fresident, I beg to move the Eill, 1984.
ly entitled The Parliamentary Pensions
Mr. President, aarlier this yenr when
you delivered the Throne Speech mention was made of the intertion of bringing forward this legistation later in the year.

At Committee meetinge dealing with other subjects, precedence of this legistation in various other territomies was circulated and if I am not mistaken, Members deotded on the precedent that we wanted to follow.

As a result, Mr, President, we have put forward today legistation and it seeks to introduce a scheme enabling pensions to be paid to persons who have served for $a$ certain time as Elected Members of the Legislative Assembly since the 1et of October, 1959.

The Bitl is dxafted on the order of the Assembly Law of Barbados.

It also seeks, Mr. President', to make provision for pensions for person who have served as Elected Members of Exeoutive Council and, lastly, it makes provision in certain circumstances for the widow and children, in the event of the death of a pensioner, to receive a pension.

Mr. President, I would be stupid to make myeelf believe that this is not going to be controvensial because we have seen it in the paper, we have seen it just outeide the House before we entered it at two fifteen 30 I know it is likely to be controversial. And I by no means uant to get mixed up in a political battle with the politicians, but I ada it, sir, and I say it from the bottom of my heart that politioians have a very ungrateful job. : They work hard, they put in tong hours with their constituents, they try to do things for them that sometimes I do not think I would really do, Sir, because they come to me after a while. So I know in certain ways how they try to help them.

The Executive Councit Members work very hard and come out at all odd times for meetings and although

HON. D. H. FOSTER (COYTINUING): some Members in the past and maybe in the future might be welt provided for, there is just the odd chance that there might be a Member or a couple who may not have Lots of money stacked away to live off when he retires, and that Legislator may weloome a little pension to hetp keen him alive.

Mr. President, I am not going any deeper into this, sir, but I ask Members to Zook at it very cautiously and vexy constmetively and I recommend it to all.

MR. FRESIDENT: The motion io that a Bill shortly entitled The Parlianentary Pensions Bill, 1981, be aiven a Second Reading. The motion is now open for debate.

## DEBATE ON SECOND READING

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. President, I am not adverse in principle to the acheme that this Bill seeks to establish as I fully realise and appreciate the faat that there are several outstanding aitizens who have served and are still serving this country well with tittle or no compensation. However, Mr. Fresident., having said that, before I can give this Bill mu support I would tike to know or have some idea as near as possible the amount that it is anticipated this nension scheme is going to cost.

It could very welt be found that this witl result in a costly commitment on the revenues of this oountry far into the future and one day become a serious burden on Govermment.

I understond that this Bitl is nodelled inder similar legislation in Barbados, but for comparative purposes it would be useful to find out what some other oountries are doing in this regord. In other words it would appear that more research on this matter woutd have been usefut.

Furthermore, Mr. President, this Bitl certainty conveys a distinct impression that I truly find wacceptable at this time and that is that it aives the distinct appearance that Legislators are in fact paving the way for a finanoially halthy and eccure retirement plan for themselves and their dependents before providing some simitar, and I dare say much needed, security progromme for particularth the elderiy people of this country; people who have voted us into this Honourable House as their representatives and as their representatives expect us to protect their interests. And I cannot truly say that I believe that $i_{s}$ being served fully in this case.

Additionally, it follous so closely
on the heels of the increases recently made in allowances to Eleoted Asaembly Members, not denying for one moment that an increase was not justified.

Another concern I have, Mr. President, is that if this trend is continued we are no doubt faet reaching the stage when financial veward witl be a determining factor for seeking a seat in this Honourabte House rather thin a genuine, willingness and unselfish desire to qive a dedicated service to the publice as heretofor.

Mr. President, I strongly feel that our pmorities must be placed in order and kept there. I disagree with the priority attached to this Bill and the efforts to experite its passing when there are so many other pressing needs in our country that could certainly use more financial backind. Probation and Welfare is one Department of Govermment that I am sure could put increased funds to very good use in our conmmity if ptaced at its

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): disposal.
Finally, Mr. President, I share the view that a bill of this nature should have been introduced at a more appropriate time, like at the beginning of a new Session when the reaction from constituents have been fulty aired and its effects fully studied in detail.
at this time.
I therefore do not supporit this Bitl
Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think it may be a convenient moment to take our customary short afternoon break and I with therefore now suapend proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

AT 3:25 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED
HOUSE RESUMED AT 3:50 P.M.
MR, PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Please be seated.
Reading Debate on a Eill shortly entings are resumed. The Parlicanentay Seoond
Rension Reading Debate on a Eill shortily entitled The Parlicanentary Pensions Bilty 1984.

The Third Elocted Member for George
Toun I think was .....in..
MISS ANVIE HULDAH BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, I was somewhat shooked to receive this draft Bitl to provide pensions to persons who have served as Hembers of the Legistative Assembly and the Executive Council.

I have heard all kinds of comments.
People have called me and said. "You know what, they are drafting this Bill because they want you out of the Legislative Assembly and they know if you get anough money you will leave.". (LAUGHTER) I say you must be crazy. I do not need your money. I have worked. for myself before I was sixteen yedrs old. I am not in the majority of women who spend $\$ 40$ or $\$ 50$ to get their hair curited up every week and who go to Miami to get a new frook. I was raised poor and I live very economically. And now that lod has helped me, I still can take care of Annie Huldah Bodden.

I am here in this Legislative Assembly for the purpose of serving the public of the Cayman Ialands which I have done from before I was sixteen years old. And it is rather generous to think about me, if they thought about mes buit I am very sure alt the money in Fort Knox could not buy Annie Huldah Bodden.

I think the timing is wrong, sir, beoause I feel that there are many, many more projecte which desurve consideration. Now I do not disagree that there are some Members who have served as Members of this Legislative Kesembty for many years who may be in sort of bad straits.' And I feet that Government in its wisdom, if they have the money, could hand out a little donation to them once in a while. But as for Annie Hutdah Bodden, $I$ do not need and I do not want it beoause I feel that anything that we do for our country should be free of charge.

The pleasure I get out of serving in the Legilaative Assembly, Str, is that I have everything else in life that a woman requires. God never provided me with a husband. for which I am very happy and I do not have any family. But apart

MISS ANNIE BULDAH BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): from that, I have been the man in my famity from before I was sixteen years ola. I served the Govern. ment from scrubbing the Clerk of the Courts office because when my employer was appointed clerk of the Courts in 1939 he was getting $\neq 12$ a month. And believe it or not, Six., Government. did not even have a proper broom to sweep out the office. And, I, because in my appreciation to the man since he had helped me a lot. would oo and break a lilac bush to sweep out that office. Sometimes when it came to a show-down I had to scmub it - not that I had to do it, but I felt that the Island was so poor then and anything that could be done to assiat, people should do.

Now that we have become so prosperous and money is flowing evemwhere fand whether we do or do not hate this Govermment) we must admit that there is more money in ciroulation in these Islands within the last, I would say, ten years than ever before in histomy. And white I appreaiate their thoughtfulness fand those who wont to acoept it are quite at liberty to do sol and if the Low is pased ( $I$ an seventy-six yeare of age - well over the fifty-five), if I were to be given anything I will do with it what I do with most of the salary I get. I will give it to people who are less fortunate than I cam.

I feel, Sir, that we would be the laughing stock if we come in here and vote money for oursetves. We do not know how much it will cost and there is not a boul in this House who could not well afford to do without that money.

I feel that if there is so much money that needs to be spent, there are a lot of poor people whom we could help. And if it comes to a show-down and Covermment was to get a committee together to see who those actual poor people are and use any amount that it might have for this pension scheme to distribute to thems it would be well apent.

I will oppose it because I do not think
that anyone who serves this countrys for the love of serving this country, should want this pension. We do not need it. Not a soul in this House needs moneu. Everybody whom I see around have three or four houses (some of them), cars, boats and everything. And it is not necessary for us to be paid to serve for the good of the Cayman Islands.

I have served for the last twenty-two years and it is the pleasure of my life to serve and $I$ do not want any pay for it.

Thonk you, Sir.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I do not rise, Sir, to condem the Dill, but $\bar{I}$ rise to oppose this Bill.

First of all, Mr. President, we are asked to support this Bill when we do not know what it is going to cost the country.

Before one can arree to pass a Low
or any appropriation, one must first know what it is going to cost. This we do not know at this moment, nor has anyone told us.

Secondly, Sir, we received the Bill very late: I have had no time to take it to my conetituency to discuse it with them or to see what their reaction would be. And without having theix agreement, I connot support it. to bring this Bill at this time when we are nearing the end of an
elected term in Office. elected term in Office.
leaves us wide open to aritioism by the people for whom we are here

CAPT. CHARLES L KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): trying to get alt that we can as fast as we can from this country.

I do not agree, sir, that this Bizl shoutd be retroactive to 1959 as the Executive Council Members seming were only given responsibilityfor Portfotios in 1972 when the new Constitution was introduced.

I know there are many pressing neede in ow Islands that should be dealt with before any Legislator here thinks of a pension for his or herself.

We were put here by our people to represent them and to attend to the needs of our country. He were not put here to provide for ourselves and our families.

If there is any good that we can do here in this Assembly that we san derive a benefit as a side issue, that is a different thing. But,' to come here to this House and to vote myselfa pensi:n, I feel, would be doing a grave injustice to the people who elected me to represent them in 1980.

I know, Mr. Fresident, that there are demands on Members in time todiy greater than in years gone by and I also realise that there are many Members who are not financially well-off and whom may need some assistance when they reach the age of fifty-five.

We should have been given time to study the Bill and to discuss it with our people before bringing it here, than to pass it hastily behind their backs, so to speak, and lose the confidenoe of the people who put us here.

Mr. President, I connot support this Bill and I hope that other Members witi reconsider their position
before voting for it to pass.

I thank you, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
to speak?
Does any other Honourable Member wish

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Fresident, I witl be honest with yous Sir, but I would prefer not to start now because I am not going to finish in twenty-five minutes and I would hate to break off and get oway from the trend of my thinkina.
$\frac{M R . ~ R R E S I D E N T: ~ W e l l ~ t h e ~ S e c o n d ~ E l e c t e d ~ M e m b e r ~ f o r ~}{\text { the Lesser Islands also }}$ the Lesser Istands also rose at the sams as you.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
$I \mathrm{am}$ sorry, I did not realise.
MR. PRESIDENT:
tike to speak first?
No, I understand, but perhaps he would
CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, I cannot support this Bili as it is presented to us here today. I stand here mepresenting the Third Electoral Distriot which comprises of Cayman Brac and Little Caymon and I was elected by telling the people that I would serve their interests to the best of my ability and to vote for a pension for myself of this cmount of moneys if and when I do qualify I should add, would not be doing what I intended to do when $I$ stood for election.

I fully roalise that many before and
many after me witt serve and give their time to the country and $I$ am very appreciative to all who have served in this House in the past. I feel it would be very appropriate if we, as sitting Members of this House, were to establish a fund that would provide for past, present and future Legislators who definitely need financial assistanoe - not that all of us would be eligible.

CAPT: MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): I realise there is a Clause in this Bith whereby you can renounce it if you so desire, but unfortunately none of us know what the future holds.

Therefore, Mr. Fresident, I carnot support
it as it is presented. I would have liked to have had more time. After receiving it, I did take it to the different districts within my constituency and I could not find one member of the Lesser Islands who was in agreement with it. Therefore, assuming my responsibility as a representative, Mr. Eresident, I connot support this Bill as presented.

MR. PRESIDENT: to apeak?

Does any other Honourable Member wish

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Eresident, I have worked thirty years for this country and I can face this Government, and I aan face God, but I have never asked or begged for one penny for my services during the thirty years that I have been here.
if you will allow me.
Let me back-track a little bit, Sirs,
When the war started, I was in the Merchant Marines sailing out of Jomaica across to Liverpool. When I left, I joined the IT.R,V.R. (Trinidad Royal Ncw Volunteer Reserves). I had a wife and I spent four and a half yeare working for $\$ 30$ a month (Eastern Caribbean dotzare - which was not worth or equal to the Cayman pound). My wife got $\$ 25$ and I kept $\$ 5$ for myselfo I was a clean, honest. young man and it was my duty to do what I could for my country. Like the millions of other servicemen who sacrificed their lives (many who gave theix lives for their country), I looked upon it as an honour and a privilege. Financially $I$ was poor and broke when I left and came back home.

A year after I was home I went back to sea with the Merchant Marines and spent five years back and forth. I had it in my noodle to bring home a used truek to throw myerlf on the mercy of the roads. The first job I got was with Government, at the beginning of transporting the pupits from North Side to the then Presbyterian High Schooz at the back of the existing Library, for fifteen shillings a day. I'would get up at seven o'clock in the morning and get home at four or five o'clock in the evening. I supported a wife and a child on fifteen shillinge a day if $I$ aarried five children, but if I carried two children I got sis shillings.

Again I felt that I was trying to do something to help the country. I again had it in my noodle to attempt to get in, to what, was then termed, the Vestry. It was not the running around and playing politics and dirty games telling false things, oriticising and running dow other people - it was simple to get in. Not only the constituency of North Sides but the population of the whote Istand supported me over the years and that is why I have been here for thirty years.

During my first few years in the then
Vestry, I had a few jobs in the day, but when the Vestry was in aession I parked my otd truak under the guinep tree and gave up what I was supposed to be working for. I would go into the toum Hall and sit down and one could not, even get a glass of water for their service. I would park my. truek giving up the opportunity of making $\neq 4$ or $\neq 5$ a day and go inside.

I stepped out a little further and buitt up a trucking business. I got into the transportation of school children. I got very little for that. Wut when it came to the point that I could not do my work on the outside, pertaining to my own business

MP CRADDOCK EDANKS (CONTINUING): to keep it going the way it should have been going to benefit myself and to keep my. commitment to the country and the people by baing in the House, if I was going to stay in here, was to sacrifice and give up my bussing business. In fact I did. Finaliy I got rid of my bue because the time required in the House gave me little time for my business. I could not do both, and yet still not getting anything, Sir. I was prepared to continue. I knew when I was elected for the firet time that I was not going to get anything.

I am wondering, Mr. President, whether the glory that is now resting over this country and if it just rained down the Elected Member of this House and the past House, and the past House, and the past House did not do anythina to bring to this cowntry what it is enjoying today.

If that be the oase, Mr. President, then we should abolish the Legislative Assembly. We do not need a House with Elected Members - we do not need anything. If we just leave it, all would come down. After one becomes an Eleoted Member, one puts in every effort to make a better country, but it does not mean that one does not get licked across the head. But, one must forever continue to work and when he or she cannot bear' it, the bundte of roses must be put down in the grave for them.

Is that alt the Legislators are supposed to work for? Some will say some should be dead before now, but thank God for my good health and a long life, and I hope to have more. And if it is the desire of my people, I witl come back. And I am not going to make more promises than the ones I have alwaye made. I am not going to run around anybody's door to find out who they are going to vote for.

Mr. President, there was an inorease in salaries a few months ago and this is not the first time. It has been increased as the years come and go. In most cases the private sector pays for these inoreases in the various ways, and within a year of the Civil Servants getting an inorease, you hear, "Well", I wonder if we are going to get a little raiae again nert year", or, rhen are we going to get the next raise". They say, "Don't you think it is time for us to get another raise?. Yet, the poor Legislators must go on and on and his raise goes doum. People say age is atching up on me. Disreopect is shown me because they say I an getting too old now.

We have some of the most ungrateful human beings in the world today in this country.

Every individual who works for this oountry and this Govermunent;' Mr. President. must be paid and they should be paid. And I for one have voted their money year after year that they be paid. On top of that, Sir, it was the opinion that every Civil Servant should have a pension. If they did not accept the pension, they would be paid a lump sum gratuity.

I have never heard anyone say that Civil Servants should not have a pension. Mr. President, we have had people work for this Govermment who were not Caymanians and one can go back and look at the Govermment records and one will find that they are being paid pensions as far back as the $1940^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ and still drawing their pensions now. They are etill drowing tax payers'money as pensions. They may even be dead, but the peneions are still. being paid. You do not hear anybody saying any thing about that. But twelve Elected Members, elected by the people of their districts, must slave all their lives and be omiticised, chewed. licksd and bit upon beacuse Government felt it was time (fozlowing the excmple of other democratio countries where people are being

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUIMG): recornised) for Members to have
The Bible is true, Sir, one's own
perple are the worst lice. Let me tell you, Mr. President, the Co manians and local people are ready to push the Elected Members in here down, beause felt they should be recoomised. If they live long enough, they are going to see some worse days than this. When the man with Caymanian statue gets in here and starts to mun this House, then they will know where the salaries, pensions and benefits are going.

Mr. President, my wife and I raised two boys of high standards. They have seen some hard and poor days. But I am thankful that they had enough interest in themselves and with the assiatance I gave them to get an education, they are able now to find their mightful place to obtain a living.

I see worse happenina to this country than the twelve Legislators getting a little pension. Some of the same people who are oriticising and dragoing us down are making their fomilies go to destmution. How can any rightful thinking person feel that any hardworking individual (whether it is a man or a woman) should not be paid at some stage for their work, service, honesty and integmity such as the twleve Eleated Members in this House, including the previous Members.

There may be some Members who were reasonably well off when they got into the Legistative Assembly, but when one started life for four and a half yearg at $\$ 30$ to serve this country and come back for thirty years and for the first ten to fifteen years one did not get a glass of water from Government to quench one' e thirst, it is a shame and a reproach to offer and to make it possible for Members to get a pension and they in turn are put to shome to accept it. Next year they witl be asking if they are going to get a raise. What a bunch of hypocritioal ariminats we have.

Mr. President, it about two minutes to four-thirty and I have not started, so I would think we should adjourn now. Thank you, Sir. for the time, but I am not finished yet. I will come back.

Thank you.

## ADTOURNMENT

HON. DENNIS H: FOSTER:
the adjournment of this House Mr. President, I would tike to move MR. PRESIDENT:
now adjoum untit 10.00 The motion is that this House do Member wishes to speak, I witl torrow. Inless any Honourable QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
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            ORDERS OF THE DAY
                    SECOND DAY
TUESDAY, 8TH MAY, 1984
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1. PRESENTATION OF PAPERS AND REPORTS
(i) CAYMAN AIRWAYS AUDITED ACCOUNTS (YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE, 1983) TO BE LAID ON THE TABLE BY THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER.
(ii) CAYMAN ISLANDS POLICE REPORT
to be laid on the tablé by the honourable first official member.
(iii) SELECT COMMITTEE
report on companies management legislation to be laid on the table by the honourable second official member.
2. OUESTIONS:
the first elected member of the lesser islands to ask the honourable THIRD OFFICIAL MEMEER OF' EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

NO.42. Will the Member state -
(a) The number of banks and trust companies licenced to carry on business in and from the Cayman Islands?
(b) The benefits received in the form of -
(i) Licence fees?
(ii) Direct expenditure?
(iii) Number of persons employed?
the first elected member of the lesser islands to ask the honourable FOURTH ELECTED hEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

NO.43. Will the Member state what progress has been made regasding the new terminal building at Gerrard-Smith Airport in Cayman Brac?

THE FTRST ELECTED MEMBER OF THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESFONSIBLE FOR HELATH, EDUCATIOV AND SOCIAL SERVICGSS
NO. 44. Will the Member state what progress has been made to upgrade and improve the Faith Hospital in Cayman Brac?
3. GOVERNLIENT BUSINESS
(a) BILLLS:-
(a) The Parliamentary Pensions Bill, 1984 - SECOND READING CONTINUED
(b) The Court of mppeal (Amendmont) Bill, 1984-FIRST \& SECOND READINGS

$$
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$$

SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 46(1) BY THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER TO ENABLE THE FIRST AND SECOND READINGS OF THE CUSTOHS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 TO BE TAKEN
(c) The Customs (Amendment) Bill, 1984
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MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
tion of Papers and Reports - Cayman Airways Accounts.

PRESENTATION OF PAPERS AND REPORTS CAYMAN AIRWAYS AUDITED ACCOUNTS

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I beg to lay on the Table of the House the Audited Accounts of Cayman Airways for the period ending 30th June, 1983.

```
MR. PRESIDENT: So ordered.
```


## CAYMAN ISLANDS POLICE REPORT

HON. DENNIS H: FOSTER: Mr. President, I beg to lay on the Table of this Honourable House the Report on the Cayman Islands Police Force for 1983, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
so ordered.

SELECT COMMITTEE
COMPANIES MANAGEMENT LEGTSLATION

HON. MICHAEL $J_{0}$ BRADLEY:
Mr. President, in accordance with
Standing Order 72(5), I beg to report as Chaiman of the Seleot Conmittee appointed in November, 1983, on the Companies Management Legialations that the Report is herewith laid upon the Table and would beg with permission, Sir, to make a brief report thereon.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Granted.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Eresident, Sir, as Honourable
Members are aware on the 21st of November, 1983, this Legis-
lative Assembly passed the following motion -
"BE IT RESOLVED that this Honourable House do, in accordance with Standing Order 69 of the Legislative Aesembly Standin, Orders, 1976, aonstitute a select committes of all Membors if the Logietatura, Elected and Official th onaider and report upn the activities of persons ongaged in the business of providing in or from within the Inlands management servigos for onmpanies and to to make reommendations as to whether any Zagiotation is moencay or desirabte to oontrot and regutate

## HON. MICHAEL t. BRADLEY (CONTINUING):

such aotivities, and if it is so oonsidered, to make recommendations as to what forms of control and regulationa ape appropriate:
AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Honourable House do appoint all the Members of the Legislative Aseambly both elected and official, to be members of such conmittee.".

That motion having been passed, sir, you as Fresident appointed me as Chairman, I duly held between the 4 th of Jonuary, 1984 and the 7th of May, 1984, nine meetings of the Select Cormittee.

This Seleot Cormittee, at its first meeting held on the sth of Jonuary, agreed that the lawyers and barkers in the community should have a draft of a Management Legislation that had previously been prepared in the AttomeyGenerat's chombers and circulated to them for comment, that this draft also be circulated to Members and that I, as Chairmans issue a release to the media inviting views and conments from the public; and that $I$, together with the Honourable Financial Secretamy who has Portfolio responsibitity, would go through legislation on companies from other territories and bring to the notice of the Seleot Committee those considered useful or relevant.

Subsequently, representations were reaeived from the Cayman Low Society, the Cayman Nationat Bank and Trust Company, Mr. Gordon Barlow, Guinness Mahon \& Associates, Messrs Charles Adam \& Company and Univereal Coneultants.

At subsequent meetings; an entire meeting was spent dealing with a meeting with representatives from the Low Society who had coneidered and made detailed recommendations and submiseions concerning the draft lerislation.

A further setting of the select
Committee met and discussed, with the people who had made representations, matters relevant to the terms of the Select Committee: name $l_{y}$, Mr. Robert Moyle of Universal Consultants, Mr. Gordon Barlow, Mr. John Collins of Guinness Mahon, Mr. Peter Tomkins of Cayman National Bank and Trust. Mr. Charles Adame of Charles Adcoms \& Compony and Mr. Paul Harris of International Management Services.

Having considered all these representations, the next three meetings of the Conmittee Were spent going into detaiz and discuesing and having drafting instructions given to me as Chairman. Eventually a Bill was prepared and at the final meeting of the Select Conmittee it was directed that the Draft Bill was approved by the Select Committee and the Report which I have laid on the Table recommends that the Bill be presented at this Meeting of the Legislative Assembly by my colteacue, the Homourable Thind Official Member. A copy of the Bill, together with the Minutes of the proceedinns of the Select Committee, are attaohed to the Report and have been circulated to Members.

I witl not, Mr. Preaident, go in detail into the various measures proposed in the Bill as it is my understandinc that my Honourable colleague, the Third Official Member, will do so when moving the Second Reading of the BiLL.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Am I correct that you are moving, under Standino Order. $72(5)$, that the recommendations in the Report be adopted? Yee. So the motion is that the reconmendations in the Report of the Select Conmittee on Companies Management, whioh reaomendation

MR. PRESIDENT: (CONTINUING): is that there should be a Bill dealinowith the matter introduced to the Houce, that that recommendation should be acoe: tel. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak on the motion? If not I will put the question that the Report of the Select Committee on Companies Management be adopted.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. REPORT ADOPTED.

MR. PRESIDENT: Item 2 on the Order Paper-Questions.
The First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands.

## QUESTIONS

THE FTRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THLRD OFFICIAL MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVVELOPMENI

NO. 42: Will the Member atate:-
(a) The number of banks and trust companies licensed to aarry on business in and from the Cayman Islande?
(B) The benefits received in the form of $\rightarrow$
(i) Licence fees?
(ii) Direct expenditure?
(iii) Number of persons employed?

ANSWER:
(a) The number of banks and trust oompanies licensed to carry on business in and from the Cayman Islands as at 30th Aprit. 1984, was 449.
(b) The benefits received in the form of -
(i) licence fees for the current year (to 30th April) total CI $\$ 4,344,500$ :
(ii) direct expenditure - the latest figure avaitable is for the year to 31st December, 1982: CI\$38.2 million:
(iii) number of peraons employed - the latest figure available is as at 31st December, 1982: 833 employed localty.

SUPPLEMENTARY:
CAPT. CHARLES L KIRKCONNELL: Could the Member state when he will have some updated figures " that is for 1983 ?

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, it is difficult to estimate it. We are dealing with 449 banks and trust companies and the acoounte are certified by the auditore at some stage between sanuary and June.

I would perhape think that by Auguat
or September we may have the finat figures.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Untess there is any further aupplementary, I witl invite the Member to ask question no, 43.

NO. 43: Will the Member state what proaress has been made reacraing the new terminal building at Gexrard-smith Airport in Cayman Brac?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, this question dealt more with my Poxtfotio than it did with the other one, therefore I was requested to answer it.

ANSWER: The Chief Engineex has advised that preitminary plans ant costings have been completed and witl be forwarded to the EEC Conmission through the Honourable Financial Secretary.. Because of the addition of jet service to Cayman Brac, it was necessary to redesion the buitding to cope with a projected increase in pascengers.
Honourable Members of this House are aware that no funde from General Revenie have been appropriated for a new terminal buitding in Caymon Brac this year. We will, therefore, have to use EDF funding for this projeot and as this is a slow process, it may be quite some time before approval can be secured.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, a suppZementary, Six. There is a sum of $\$ 200,000$ in the 1984 estimates. There was also a sum of $\$ 500,000$ in the 1983 estimates. I see here that it is from General Revenue. He refers here that no funde are available from General Revenue. What about the $\$ 200,000$ that is in the estimates. Could we not use that?
$\frac{\text { M. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { bit more preciaely? } \quad \text { Could you identify the } \$ 200,000 \text { a }}$
bit more precisely?
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Yes, Sir, $\$ 200,000$ is in Capitat Expenditure for the terminal buitding at Gerrard-Smith Airport.

HON. TAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President; the question is really
in two parts. The Member referred to $\$ 500,000$. I think every Member of the House is cware that a vote that is not spent by the and of the year is dead as far as that finanoial year is ooncerned. So if this $\$ 500,000$ was in the 1983 figures (unless it was revived for 1984) that would be a dead issue.

The $\$ 200,000$ that the is speaking about, I am not fulty cusare of but $I$ think that is for work on the shoulders and to repair a part of the present runway that has been giving quite a bit of trouble since the new rumway was opened.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Freardent, before I make a question, Sir, I would say that I am oware that the 1983 estimates go out with the year 1983. I am quite caware of that fact, but there is $\$ 200,000$, Sir, and it says the terminal building, to the beet of my knowledge, and if it is being used for the shoulders I am not cware of $i t$. I would like to get a elarification on this, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: Do you have a copy of the estimates?
CAFT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: NO, Sir, I do not.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Unfortunately I do not have a copy of the estimates here with me either, Sir, but if there is $\$ 200,000$ in the budget this year for the Cayman Brac terminal buitding, to do anything with that we would really have to do a miracle because the estimates cost of the project, as estimated by the Fublic Works Department, is $\$ 3,910,000$. So I do not know what we could really do with $\$ 200,000$. We might be able to out the ditohes for the foundation.

> HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Fresident, to the best of my Knowledge, I think the \$200, 000 relates to the locn from EEC. I have a copy of the draft estimates MR. ERESIDENT: now and the only $\$ 200,000$ I have so far succeeded in finding in the draft estimates does relate to the European Development Bank fund money. This is item 43 of the Capital Estimates in the draft estimates and I do not know whether they were approved in this form. It shows \$500, 000 as approved in 1983 and \$200, ooo is for 1984 estimates which acoord with your figures, but they are both European Development Bank fund money. So perhaps that is the explanation, perhaps it is just EDF money. Unless there is any further supplementary, I will invite the First Elected Member for the Lesser Istands to ask the next question.

THE FIRSTS ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCJL RESFONSIBLE FOR HEALTH EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

| WO. 44. | Witt the Member state what progress has been made to upgrade and improve the Faith Hospital in Cayman Brac? |
| :---: | :---: |
| ANSWER: | Sinee 1980 continous improvements have been made to the Faith Hospital through renovations of facilities, oonstruction of new facitities and through the purchase of technically advanced medical equipment. To demonstrate this progress I have grouped improvements into three (3) oategories, capitat facility, medical equipment and recurrent supplies. The figures for doltar costs have been rounded off. |

## Capital Improvements

1. New operating theatre, kitchen, dining room, delivery and recovery rooms

CI $\$ 100,000$
2. Central airconditioning throughout hospital (price to be provided in writing)
3. Renovations (in progress) of
(a) the old lab:
(b) $X$-ray and examining rooms:
patients' bathroome and
nursing station
CI\$ 71,000
4. New cistern .......................................... OI\$ 38,000
5. Laundry ................................................. CI\$ 11, 000
6. New ambutance (radio equipment)................ CI\$ 12,000
7. New twelve (12) passenger van for transporting chizdren for dental treatment and patients to hospital

## Medioal Equipment

1. Autoclave for central sterite suppty......... CI\$ 22,000
2. Delivery table ................................................. 6,500
3.. Incubator .................................................. CI $\$ 3,000$
3. Transport incubator ............................................ 2,500
4. Cardiac monitor .......................................... CI\$ 3,500
5. Cardiac defibrillator .............................. CI\$ 3,000
6. Infant orib and mattress ........................ CI\$. 750
7. Lab microscope . ....................................... CI\$ 2,400
8. Emergency crash cart .................................CI\$ 900
9. Radio and paging equipment
including the ambulance ............................ CIt 5,500
10. Replaced alt beds with
electric beds .............................................. 4,800
11. Examining lamps and patient sereens ......... CI\$ 2, 800
12. Advanced X-ray equipment ........................ CIS 45,000
13. Replaced dental ohair and equipment .......... CI\$ 2,500

Staff Changes

|  | 1980 | 1984 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctors | 1 | 2 |
| Nursing Supervisors | 1 | 1 |
| Nurse anesthetist | 0 | 1 |
| Nuirge midurives | 3 | 3 |
| Senior nurdes | 4 | 4. |
| Eractical nurses | 4 | 4 |
| Lab assistant | 1 | 1 |
| Ambulance driver | 0 | 1 |
| Security | 0 | 1 |
| Clerical officer | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL: | 15 | 19 |

Faith Hospital Storeroom Supplies
Approximate totals for 1983 and $18 t$ quarter of 1984.
Previous years can be estimated on a deareasing quantity.

| Apparel | 412 | Infusion/Admin sets | 18 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Autoclaving | 518 | Lab supplies | 1,260 |
| Blades and handles | 536 | Needles/syringes | 9,007 |
| Catheters/drainage bags | 223 | Ortho supplies | 584 |
| Diagnostic inst. |  | Otygen supplies and |  |
| and access | 102 | airways | 21 |
| Dreos/bdges/spongee | 5,423 | Sundries | 4,951 |
| Ecc sppliee | 1 | Sutures | 621 |
| Bquipment | 12 | Tubes/tubing/ |  |
| General supplies | 280 | cannulas | 107 |
| Gloves | 1,302 | Utensils | 107 |
|  |  |  | 24 |

TOTAL: 25,402 unita

CAFP. CHARLES L. KIFKCONNELL: Mr. President, first of all I would like to thank the Honourable Member for some very usefut electioneering material for 1984.' I would like to be more specific and ask him - my question really related to the $\$ 120,000$ that was voted in the 1984 estimates. Work has not been storted this year to the best of my knowledge to spend this money that was voted for the year 1984. This is really what I was trying to get at. When will this vote support that?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, on a point of order, if the Member whtl look at his question and read it literally (I am sure he understands the English Zanguage - it states. "Will the Member State what progress has been made ....". That is the past. And about the election side, he can see the progrese made between 1980 and 1984 compared to the little that was made prior to 1980 .

MR. PRESIDENT:
Order? Order? This is questions and answers, not strict electioneering. But I think it is perfectly fair to say that if the Member had wanted to know what progress had been made to spend the funds voted this year, then the question should have atated that and that the answer aiven is a proper and fair answer to the question asked.

CAPT. CHARLES L: KTRKCONNELL: I bow to your ruling, Mr. Fresident, but I do not know again - my question got mixed up - I did not put this question in. I had a different question altogether than the one I just asked.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I see. Well, if there has been some confubion in the clerk's office or elsewhere and you had phrased your question quite differently or asked a different question which had gotten lost, I will certainly ask the clerk to go into this with you and find out what happened in the hope of avoiding similar confusion in the future. And I dare say that the Honourable Member concerned may be kind enough to undertake to provide the information that you would have liked had your question been worded as you had hoped. In other words, what has happened about spending this year's vote for this purpose. If the Honourable Member will undertake to provide ..........

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Trosident, I would be very happy to do so provided the Member provides a signed, certified copy, so that I am sure that he is asking me the question that he wants to ask.
$\frac{\text { CAPT'. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, } I \text { should be detighted }}{\text { at any time. }}$

[^9]
## THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSIONS BTLL, 1981 <br> SECOND READING DEBATE CONTINUED.

MR. CRADDOCK EPANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. President. I hope that all Members have had a big breakfast because there may not be a coffee break or a lunch break if we expect to finish debate on this Bill today.

Mr. President, as I have already
said, I have devoted my time to this House with every good intention to the country and to the people.

Aceording to mities over this proposed Bitl by Goverments, I would have expected that there would not have been standing room in this building this morning. It is always the half a domen faithfuls who walk up those stairs and the other hatf a dosen are oritics who try to feed the pubtic. If it was not election time there would not be a few people up there either.

Mr. President, I well remember when Government indicated to take every foot of swamp land in this oountry claiming it to be Govermment's. When that meeting was called in the old Town Hall to deal with it, therie was not stonding room in the inside or on the outside, nad there was a battleship out in the harbour.

I was one of the Elected Menbere, Mr . Iresident, at that time who protested Government's attitude. I feit it was unfair to the inhabitants of the Cayman Islands to be deprived of land that they had made their livelihood from by planting grass and cane, etcetera, to raise cattle and to ive.

I told the President of the Legislative Assembly that there would not be a sitting in that buitding on day unless the barricades were removed becouse this is Cayman, it is the Caymanians country and they must know what is going on. But I do not see that vast amount of people up in the Gatlery this moming or on the outeide protesting this.

When it appenred that the cuban Government was determined to use Cayman as a stepping-stone, I used what ability I had and stood at the airport terminat in the ganoway of BWIA defying any cuban to get on that plane.

Some of these people were just born yesterday. I have been waiting in the nights for some af them to look for the midurfe to help bring them into the world.

When we had a ship come in here with a load of prefabricated houses, one high Government entrloyee had the gaul to have one brought in for themelves. I was who protested that, to put the ship in the streom until it was decided that that building would go back with the ship.

I know and all Elected Members know; Mr . President, (and if any new Mambers come into this House they will find out after a while), it is an wnthankful, ungrateful and unappreaiated position to be in. It is ontu the people with good, clean intentions to see that their country gets the best of everything who will attempt to take the blowe to remain here.

Arr. Fresident, I am not afraid of losing my seat by giving bupport to this Eiti that Govermment has brought to the House. I have told my electorate over and over when they do not want me they can just tell me. We will not have any hard feelings or falling outs. And I still stand that way. If they do not want to vote me back, it is perfectly alrioht. I witt faxe as good as anybody else. I am oware of that.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. Tresident, I wonder if Goverm ment were to make a request to the public asking for tuelve people from the public to work in any capacity or place with Government for one month, without any remuneration, what the result would be if Government even got an answer? Yet, as always when the E'leoted Members come into the House it is said they are not doing anything.

Mr. Tresident, when Civil Servants are employed they know they will get their monthly satary. They know they will get a pension if they settle for that or a lump sum at the end of their service. They get all their medical, dental and any other care. They get their annuat vacation with pay. Mr. President if the regulations are the polioy of Government, they are entitled to it, and I would hope that it will continue to be the policy of Government so that the Civil Servants may enjoy these benefits and privileges. But I have to go to the Hospital now, I have to push my hand in my pocket. I have to pay for my medicine or go without it. This applies to all Elected Members. I do not know of any Elected Members getting free medical aid or anything else.

Mr. President, what burns me is when we have Civil Servonts reaching their retiring age and getting their benefits, but during their term of service they carry on businese on the outside. That is quite alright they want a better living and to provide more for their families. This is quite atright with me. Buts upon reachina their retirement ape, they get their pension and their benefite and then they go to the private sector and further get into the big jobs and dras their bir money. It is perfectly alright: they still have a framily and a future, let them take care of it. But I fail to see, Mr. Fresident, how any such people can oriticise this Govermment for bringing a motion here for Legislatom to get something. If that does reach the bottom of any human feeling, then I do not know what does. It appears that there are only a few people who are supposed to tive in this country and aet the good from it - the Legistator must live a begrar's life and lose his seat on retire a beggar.

The Elected Members in this House have aaid more than once that their busineases suffer because they come in here. I betieve it, I believe it wholeheartedly. But, if they cannot dive support to this Bill before the House, then they ought not let their business suffer to be in here. They ought to attend to their business. I, myaelf, have been in here for a long time, but I thought it was more important for me to help build this cowntry than think about my business. I do not have cony but to plant a sucker and a yom.

Mr. President, there seems to be some feeling expressed that the people are all against this Bill. But if every Member in here were to retire tomorrow their pensions would begin. I think the Bill has in it that one must reach the age of fifty-five. And I believe there are a number of Membere in here who have some years to put in before they ret to that. However, I hope they have enough interest in the country to try to make that retiring age.

Much of this, Mr. Eresident, I well know is primarily against one or two Members. If this Bitit is passed they are expected to use it as yopa acainst me in the North Side distriot. I know that: and in the Rodden Town district where the two machineries are this way. What a people - our people. God hetp us, especially when we have (as he said) educated people with no commonsense.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Some Members indicated that Government should do more for the poor. It would not be the first time that the little bit of aubsistence I get I give to the poor, and I think other Members have been doing the same thing. And if they want to give their pensions to the poor, it is perfectly alright. I have no objection to that. But we have some people who they refer to as poor, but they were otover enough to do something about thein property and start orying to Govermment.

Mr. Fresident, if I live to reap any of this I witl appreciate it. And even if I live and do not get any and my people give me their support and put me back here, I will come back and put in those same hours for nothing as alwaye. I am not expeoting Government to do this and that for me, or anything for me. Kennedy once said, "Do not ask what your country can do for you - ask what you aan do for your country's. And I hope, Mr. President, even though there have been some Members who have said they witi not aupport this Bills, I hope they will wake up and give their support to it becouse I feel it is a justifiable Bill which Govermment has brought to this House.

I did not look for any.gold rush. I intended to put in all the time that my health would allow and by the support of my people for the some thino. I started out with nothing.

I thank you, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish
to apeak?
Mi. D. DALMAIN EBANKS: Mr. President, I too want to give my support to this Eitl. I cannot see why all this turmoil and upheaval should come about this Bill because pensions are granted in other countries - pensions are granted here to the Civil Servante with vacations and everything eloe, but the Legislator, Sir, is not gettinr anything. First of ati they were not even paid and I know that people who work in other countries work and stay with the aompanies for a pension.

Even back in the United States of America people pay their social security and wait for that time. That is actually the only time they aet anything worthwhile to live on. And I canot see why people ahould be an narrowminded here and say that the Legislators should not have a pension.

With that, Sir, I give my support.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Does chy other Honourable Nember wish to speak? If no other Honourable Member does, I urit ask the mover of the motion if he wishes to exercise his right of reply. I am about to ask him - the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Preeident, this Bill is one that has been the aubjeat of some controversy by a minority of persons.

In every other Comonweatth country there is a taw that provides for partiamentary pensions. Our Law follows more olosely that of Barbados.

I would tike to believe that the public in Cayman would feel that their elected representatives are as good as those in other Caribbeam Islands and should be so treated. Mr. President, mention was made that we should compare the legistation here with other countries - that was raised by the First Elected Member for George Toum. Well, Mr.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): President, many monthe ago, Thecause thils Bill has been the subject of talk between the Nembers all the Members for probably one year) sometime late last year, to the best of my knowledye, a paper was ciroulated giving information on Bermuda, Antigua, Barbados and Guyana. This legistation is reatly, as I mentioned earlier, similar to that in Earbados.

Before going into the justifiability of pensions or in fact of payments to the Legislative Assembly, I would like to look firstly at the people who make up the Legislative Assembly now, who have made up the Legislative Assembly in the past and what the probable future Members will be. And I intend to deal with remuneration generally because a lot of personal issues have been raised and I would like to show Members of this House that a rose by any other name is just as sweet a rose.

In the past, the Legislative Assembly has been predominantly merchants. They fell into the eategory of being influencial and wealthy and having a lot of time on their hands, and being good businessmen with ョxperience and vexy able, salaries and pensions were therefore unimportant to them.

At present, the House is made up of some merchants, some businessmen, some technical and some professionat. Some Members are younger than was usuct in the past, but most significantly the Members who are not merchants or persons who are actually trading in goods, make a living by providing services. There lies $a$ distinction, Mr. Fresidents when it comes to a Member's time. Therefore their income, as professionals or technicians, is largely dependant upon the time that they work. Very simply put, no work - no pay.

Younger Members have less financial resouroes and savings than older Members. Therefores Mr. Iresident, if this Honourable House is to give a fair and ecual opportunity to everyone, to give an ogportunity to Members who depend upon providing services (be such services technical on professional), then I believe that a reasonable salary and pension is both in order and it is necessary. Ands, Mr. Presidents that necessity is even more pronounced if the Member of this Legistature is youna.

This Bill has been debated upon very personal iseues, but I would like to look along with those personal issues while arguing the case against them, to deal with the principles upon which this Bill should be passed and not upon a Member's oum financial position.

I know, the pubtic knows, that severat Members of this Honourable House and in the past have been wealthy, but not evary Member in the future is going to be wealthy. The question very eimply $i s_{s}$ do you deprive that person of a right to represent their people?

On the question of principles, Mr.
President, Members of this Honourable House recently increased salaries to themselves by $100 \%$ or so. Executive Council Members' inorease took the usual course cand was by no means to that extent, so I draw one distinction there because I believe that there has been the levelling of some of this at Members here.

But, let us analyse the present position on principles. A satamy is a payment of money. What is a pension? It is a payment of money. Therefore the principle is exactly the same. I cannot be a hypcorite, Mi. Fresident. If a person feels that they should not be paid money, then why distinguish between a pension and a salary. It is stitl the peoples money. Every month that money is taken by Members of this Legislative Assembly and $I$ do not in principle see the difference.

I could well understand, Mr. Eresident, if the objection of Members was to the cmounts but to object to the

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): prinoiple is beyond me.
I would like, Mr. President, to ao on to the justifiability of pensions and the payment of salary to Members of the Legislative Assembly. I betieve that there is no more difficult and trying a task in life than being a politician. I believe and I know that I have aged more in the eeven years that I have been a Member of this Honourable House than I will ever age again in the next two decades. However, despite the ingratitude or lack of appreciation that is shown to Members by a minority of persons, someone has to run the Government and accept the responsibility and problems that go with it.

Civil Servants are pensioned and I
feel that it is only right that it is only fair and equitable that Members of this House should be pensioned also.

The Bill provides that persons who qualify after: October, 1959, will receive the benefits of this Bill. Perhaps there is.merit in limiting the Executive Council Members to 1972, as I think the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands mentioned.

I recently received, Mr. Fresident, a newaletter dated back in october and that showed that in the Bahomas, Ministers are paid $\$ 16,000$ and Membars $\$ 21,000$ - plus they are pentioned.

Very briefly, as was mentioned eariier, the rate of pensions begins after six years at one-third of the salary and after the twelve years it moves to two-thinds. However, this pension cannot be draion until a person reaches fifty-five yeare of age. The Executive Council pension is at the rate of one-sixth of the salayy for part of each year once aqain with a maximum of two thirds. Your Exoellency has power to increase pensions as you have done in the past in relation to Civil Servants. There are equitable provisions in this Bill for pensions to be paid to a spouse or children at the rate set out in the Bill.

Looking at it from a personal point of view there is a nice little section in this Bill which takes alt of the personal issues out of the matter. It is Section lis. Mr. President, that reads that any person who is entitled to an award under this Bill and any Member may at any time in writing irrevocably renounce his right to any benefit or any anticipated benefit wider this Bill and thereupon notwi thetonding the other provisions of this Bill, this Bill shall have no application to him or in the event of his death, his widow on ohitdren. Therefore, if you wish to put your pen where your vote and your opposition is, I have a very short piece of paper that once you sign it, could bring into effect Section 12.

So if you have cleared your consoience and decided that you witl not take a pension, then Mr. Ireeident tet us look at it on ite merits. There has been reference to retrospectine
legislation. This is not literglly correct because payments will not be made retrospectively, but it is corpect from the point of view that persons who served in the past will be paid pensions.

Mr. President, I would like to look at the basis upon which pubtic servants are paid, look at the merits of payments to Civil Servants. This Government has eonsistently inoreased the salaries of Civit Servants and Your Excellency and your predecessors have increased pensions to ensure that salaries and pensions are realistic compared to the private sector.

Let us Zbok at another aspect that has been raised recently in relation to this Pensions Bill. Members of the Legislative Assembly are pexmitted to carry on their private

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): business white serving their Govermment and their country. This is by no means unheard of in the Civil Service either. It is a know fact that with the permission of the Governor, and more pronounced in the past, for many years Civil Servants in Government have oarried on very substantial private businesses. The carpying on of that private business, Mr. Fresident, has not deprived those Civit Servants upon their retirement, of drawing very large sums and pensions as a result thereof, Therefore Mr. Fresident, the one racently retired Senior Civit Servant who made a statement reminding Members of this Honourable House that they carxy on their private business, and attempted to use it as a reason for opposing part of the Parliamentary Pensions Bitl seems not to be logical because it is a well known faet that with rovern--ment's permission, he among other members of the Civil Service did carry on businesses, did enter into employment which did not oonflict with his Govermment business, and they did so duming a large part of their life in the Civil Service.

Therefore, Mr. President, I discard
that objection to this Bilt. I would just remind Members and the pubtic, rather, that people in glass houses, whether recently in glass houses, should be careful of throwing stones.

Members in this House have stated that they are here to serve their people, to represent their people; that is correct, and I am sure every Member in this House does so. There are politicians, Mr. Fresident, however who have told the people that they would like to be elected, and that their sole purpose is to serve their people and to aet nothing personally, whether gain, fame or anything else from being a member of the Legislative Assembly. They want no compensation, no salary, or pension. They will saorifice everything for their country. Mr. President, that sounds good, but the pubtia should be beware of such persons, for they have told the publice their first lie, and they will go on telling lies of that sort.

I would like, Mr. President, to
look at how much time Members in this House and in the Executive Cowncil spend because there have been imputations that we are entitled to nothing because we do nothing. Mr. President, when I became a Member of the Executive Councit seven years ago, I spent approximately one quarter of my time on Govermment's work. Last year I estimate that I spent about two thirds of my time on Government's work. I have worked just about every Saturday, and at least four nights a week to ten or eleven thirty p.m. each night, together with some Sundays when it became an absolute necessity to so work in an effort to keep up with the business of Government.

I take my job in Government very
seriously and I put in the time that is neceesary to do the job right. Under our Conatitution, the Members of Executive Councit must deal with all matters other than small matters, within their respective Portfolios, through the Executive Councit. Therefore it means that alt Members look at all major policies within the respective portfolios except those which are reserved by the Constitution to be dealt with solely by Your Excellency.

As an excample, I have read more docwents and spent mone time in the past four weeks on matters relating to The Rhapsody and the Confidentiat Relationships Low, thon I have read in relation to or opent in time in my own port-
folio, but that is the way that our Constitution works and it works well, and I hope that it will remain that way.

Mr. President, looking at this purely from a practioal point of view, in this day and age you get what you pay for. The Govermment of this country must work hard. It must be intelligent. : It must act prudently, and timely in most instances. There is no-one who at present cannot say that this country is not in a healthy political and financial position. In fact, it must have been painfui for just about all critice on Radio Cayman's Open Line recently, before dealing with the question of constitutional change, all having to admit that Cayman was in a very good condition and it should remain so. The truth may hurt, but fact cannot be hidden.

I therefore feel, Mr. President, that this Government is justifisd in passing the Pensions Biti, sane as it is justified in aocepting salaries from the Treasury and the people's money every month, and I believe, Mr. Presidenty that the publio does not feel hard at paying this small sum of money for Members of the Legislative Assembly. I have only received, and I was hoping perhaps to get these figures before speaking, but I have been told that in relation to the pensions for Members of the Legialative Assembly, the annual amont will be approximately $\$ 232,000.00$ per annzom.

On the basis, Mr. President, that the Exeoutive Counoil Members are far less being four at present, and in the past only two. I would assume that accepting they are paid a larger salary, that it would be that amount or less for members of the Executive Council.

Futting that in figuree, Mr. Eresi--dents it is . 002\% of the Annual Budget. It is a minute fraction of $1 \%$, on $73 / 590$ th fraction compared to what the Annual Budget is.
put another way Mr. President, the Reserves that this Govermment has acoumilated since 1976, which were not inherited, could pay the pensions of the Members of this Legislative Assembly for approximately another 80 years. So we are really talking about peanuts when you put it down in dollars and cents. Now if you compare that with the salary that you are drawing every month, thens Nr. President, the principle that this money should go back to the poer people would be far better for Membere of this House to also abotish with it the poyment of salaries.

Mr. President, it is a very good theory to say that Members coming into tais House, new Members oan replace us and they can be paid nothing, or can be paid less, but we know in actuality if you pay less, you are going to get a cowntry with lees. If you want to have this country continue you have to put in people who are realistio people with intelligence who aan promote the country. Sure you can atways buy a cheaper product but you are going to get a cheaper product.

You know, Mr. President, an example of this is all of the politieians who have recently been wooing the publice for votes in the Novemher Elections. They all acy what they are going to do by epending the money, the reaerves that this Government has built over the years to do good things for the public, but there is not one of them who has said where they are going to make the money to pay the bilis. Mr. Fresident, any fool can spend money but the finanoial integrity of a country is judged not on its spending, but on its surplus and its reserves.

If we expect people with intelligence, qualificatione, and dedication, who can ably run the complex business of Govermment in this country, to give up a substantial part of their time to serve this country, then I think that it is only faip that they be properly compensated.
-15
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
Mr. Fresident, as I said earlier, I have little hope of ever getting anything under this Bill, therefore I can speak with the exaeption of my colleague to my left, the Honourable First Elected Member of Iacoutivo ownit. I aan apeak on principles because I have nothing to hope for from this Eill. I am many, nany years oway from fifty-five years of age. However, Mr. President, I do resent where people attenpt to say that this Legislative Assembly, the Members, are really worth nothing. I mean, we produce in here and the finances of the country show this.

Like other Members in this House, I too am well off financially, but I will give you an example of what the loss could be for a young Member because I don't regard myself as a young Member. An example of this the alalay in Government per day is less than a professional makes per hour. So you are not looking at draining reserves in the country.

Mr. President, I believe that a decent salory and a pension is necessary to allow the less welt off Members to be Memberg of this Lonislative Assembly, and even more important, a pension is necessary to preserve the dignity of the aged Members of the Legislative Assembly who have served. In fact, Mr. Fresident, the whole basis of a pension is to preserve the dignity of people who have worked for and served the country.

The legistature should not be
limited to persons who can give up the whole of their time. I would like taking a different flat to drawing Shakespeare's writinge about greatness by substituting the wor"d wealth. Some people acquire walth and some people have it thrust upon them. Very few inherit substantial weatth, most people work for it.

I therefore would ask Members to support this Bill and I would ask them to think about this further proposition, and so should the people think about i.t. Would you rather have a country which is run by a Government that has produced a surplus in the Treasury, that has a large general Reserve for the future of this country, and pay this smati pension, or would you rather abolish the payment of salamies to Members, refuse to pass this Bill, put people in this Legislative Assembly to whom you can pay no salary, perhaps because they are getting no salary now, and noed none, but who bring with them neither the intelligence, abitity ox dedication, and in the end lose far areater an amount of money than you would have spent providing a decent salary and pension for competent members of the Legislative Assembly.

The question is very simple, Mr. President, when you sort out the theories from the practioality, Government is a business and we must apply good business sense to its operation. If you pay nothing, you get nothing, and ultimately the country will end up with nothing.

Mr. Fresident, Section 72 of the Bill
allowe Members to irrevocably renounce their pensions. Very simply, if you feel that other Members may need a pension or are entitled to a pension, but you Members do not want one, then the Bill has provided for your case. That takes the personal touch out of your vote.

Looking at it another way, Mr. Presi--dent, on the personal and $I$ would say using it in the polite way, the selfish,meaning the peculiar approach that Members have taken, if the Lows that this House considered were only passed on the basis of whether they were needed for Members of this House, no Laws would be passed. All Laws that come to this House have to be dealt with on principles. We are law abiding people, why pass a penal Law.

I an using this as an analysis to get coway from the fact that if you do not need a pension that does not give you a right to deprive people who do need a pension being paid.

Mr. President, as I mentioned earlier, I would not like to be like the dog in the manger when voting on this Bill by taking the approach that I don't need a pension, therefore nobody else should need a pension, therefore we should not pass it. Can any Member in this honourable House, or any member of the puiblic say without a guitty conscienoe that Members in the past such as Mr. Willie Farmington or the North Side Member, the Father of this House do not deserve to be pensioned. That is where you are decting with the principle of this matter.

I am young, mony of you are young; it is not really a Law that is going to affect me or affect, well at least not some of you in here, but it will affect people who in the future deserve to have their dignity preserved by drowing a reasonable pension.

Mr. President, having rested ry case. and as the second youngest Member in this Honourable House, I an of the firm belief that the only way I would ever reach fifty five yeare of age is to remain in potitics onty for a reasonably short period.

I olose, Mr. Presidents by reminding the good businessmen on the other side of this House that Govermment is a business, a very complex business, and it must be run by competent people.

To vote acainst this Bill is to vote against the future of the young people of these Istands, to vote against the young person who has struggled to get a teohnioal or profeasional degree, to vote against the person who may not be as wealthy as us Members of this House, to vote againet the person who has put in decades of yeare in this House and given their best to their country who now deserve to retire in dicmity. I have ueed synonymously the taking of funds from the public as the principle between drawing a salary or an allowance as we got in the past, and draving a pension is the same. If a person has to give up the dignity of a reasonable standard of tiving for him and his fomily in his old age to represent his people, then possibly we have deprived him of the greatest right in a democracy.

I therefore ask you to vote on this Bill, on its general principles, to take an unselfish approach to it. It is not going to affect the majority of Members in this House, but it is going to affect some Members. It will affect Members who have given their all to this country in the past, and let us accept that this House has already accepted the principle that Members witl be paid for the work that they do.

I an therefore asking you that on this vote you let it be won upon the principles of this Bizls that it be not a selfish vote and tet your conscience be your own judge.

MR. PRESIDEN':
I think it may be oonvenient if we now take our customary morning break. We are a little bit later than ueual in doing so because I didn't want to interrupt the Honourable Member.

I will now suspend proceedings for about fifteen minutes, and hope Members will not take longer thom fifteen.

## HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT: Please be seated. Proceedings are resimed.Debate on the Parliamentary Pensions Bill.

Does any other Honourable Member
wish to speak.
HON.THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Fresident, I beg your indutgence for a few minutes, and hopefully I can try to clarify the cost of this Bill, as I see it.

In Clause 2, it reads, "Full parliamentary term means the period conmencing on the date of the first sitting of the Legislative Aseembly after a General Election and expiring the date of the next ensuing dissolution of the Legislative Assembly", and parliamentary salary Mr. President, means the sum of $\$ 13_{3} 260.00$.

Going further on to clarify when the pension is payable, it reads; "Subject to the provision of this low a pension shall be paid to any person who:-
a) has since the first day of October,
295:s, served as a Member for two full parlicmentary terms, or for the periods equat in aforegate not less than six years."

In requesting information on the number of Members who have served in this Honourable House and who would qualify in terms of the number of years aerved, it appeare at the moment, there are twenty-two Nembers of the Leglislative Assembly who have served for six years or more.

To refine this, the number of members, Mr. President, it appears at the moment, looking at the other aspects of the Bill with reference to when would the Member qualify, which states that it is when he attains the age of fifty-five years, and looking specifically at those two areas, as I see it, there are only eight Members at the moment who are over fifty-five, who are not Members at this time, but have served from 1959, or between the period 1959 and today.

That cost, Mr. Fresident, the annuat pension for the Members as a Member of the Legislative Assembly would be roughly $\$ 53,000.00$.

Two of these Members, Mr. President, atso served on the Executive Councit, and the provisions for the payment of pensions wnder this Bitl dealing with Executive Counoil Members, are stated in Clause 9 , and these two Members, Mr. Fresi. dent, the cost would be $\$ 28.928 .00$, making a total swo of roughty $\$ 82,000.00$ a year.

Looking at the worst possible position in tarms of the expenditure by Government if we were to assume that the liat which I was looking at initially, which states there are twenty-two persons who have served in this Honourable House for more than six years; a good number of them; Mr. President, is in these Honourable Chambers with us today. The cost would be $\$ 7.28,180.00$ as a Member of the Legistative Assembly

On the Executive Council side, Mr.
President, I have been unable to determine the number, or the nomes of the Elected Members of the Executive Council who served for the period 1959 to 1971.

In the Eill it indicates that if a person has served on Executive Council for one year, he is entitled to one sixth of the Executive Council aalary, which is defined in Clause 2 of the Bitl, totatling \$21,696.00.

If we were to assume, Mr. President, the worse position as far as expenditure is concerneds of alt Members of this House and all Members of the Honourable Executive Council. if they were all to qualify both in texms of the period served, as well as all of the Members being over fifty-five, or fifty-five or older, the total Bill would be in the range of a quarter of a million Dollars.

With that, Mr. President, I thought it was my responsibility to try to clarify the cost as best as I could, and therefore leave the discussion to the other Members.

HON. JOHN B. MoLEAN:
Honourable House seeks to introdr. President, the Bill before this to be paid to Ehected Member. comenco in 1950 wor a certain period as an Ehected Member, conmencing in 2959 untiz the present date.

Mr. Freoident, is my minds a pension to a Politician or a Member of the Legislative Assembly is no different from a pension to a Civil semvant. If I was asked to define this, I think I would any that a Member of the Assembly may be looked upon as the provider for the Civil Servant. I would like to ask the question which is the most extraneous, the provider or the spender.

Mr. President, much has been eaid on this small Bill, and I have no doubt in my mind that as $I$ atand here today and support this Bill, that I am in oxder. I have taken the matter to my people. They support the idea, therefore I have no worries as to what oritics may say.

Mr. President, the late John $F$. Kennedy once said, "Mothers all want their sons to grow up to be Fresident, but they didn't want them to become Politicians in the process". I can honestly see why, Mr. President, because especialty in this country, it seems as if everything that a Policitian does, by some it is wrong.

Another thing $I$ would like to mention Mr. President, is the fact that we are to a stage where certain individuals, both in this Chamber and on the outside, seem to take every opportinity to use certain issues as a political football. To mes Mr. President, this is ridiculous. I am here to represent the people of the Cayman Islands, and this I do feel I have been doing for the past eight years, and I will continue to do so.

I feel that with the Bill before us, that although we may have a few, which is alwaye normal, to make noises about it, that if a Census was to be taken today, we would find that the majority would be behind us.

Mr. President, as my Colleague mentioned a while ago; I have no fear of this Bitt. I cm the youngest Member of this Honouroble House, being only thirty-four years old, and I assure everyone that if the strains must continue the way they are, I am one that is not really looking forward to drow pension under this Bill. But why, why should some who are more fortwonate than othere, try to block the way which could only help in the futurs, especially for those who are trying so hard to become Members of this Honourable House.

Mr. President, I think that the first soul to be honest with is yourself, and I think in this case there are many, both in the Chambers and outoide, that are far from being honest with themselves.

We constantly hear, Mr. Fresident, especiatly from one or two Members in the Chambers, of the days when it was almost impossible fon this Legislative Assembly to meet. Today we are fortunate. I am certain we could stand alongside any other Parlicment in the world, and that is not only taking the building into oonsideration, but taking the dignity of the Members that oit in this Chamber to represent this country. But where are we heading for

## HON. JOHN B. McLEAN (CONTINUING)

When we are going to take isbues like this one and blow it out of proportion. I think it is downright ridiculous.

Mr. President, I recall some months ago, when the then Clerk. Mrs. MoLaughlin, made every effort on the request of Members of this Honourable House, to have copies of Legistation from various countries that have a similiar system for Legislators. It was brought here, it was distributed, and I am certain each Member knew about it. This is no different from any other island in the Caribbean, or any other Legislature in the world.

If anything, again I will say I feel
we are doing as good a job, or in some cases, a much better job. This is nothing, Mr. President, that is not due to each and every Member, not those present alone, but those who have fought for years to keep this country on on even keel.

Mr. President, while I support the visit of Her Majesty the queen, and I am certain I air the views of every person in the Islands, we were justly pleased, but what did it oost us? For one day, I am almost certain, it was close to half a million dollars spent. I look at it as money well spent, but I cm just drawing a reference. For a measly $\$ 732,000.00$, we are here beating our heads against the wall, while for one day everyone was happy to spend half a miltion.

To go a tittle further, Mr. Fresident, not too long ago, we were generous enough to donate half a million pounds to the Falkland Fund, to try and to rectify and to run that country, but here we are fighting among ourselves because of $\$ 132,000.00$. If this is not a ridiculous aase Mn. President, I would like somebody to show me one.

I feel it is money well spent, and I have the confidence in the Financial secretary, that if he felt it was something this country could not afford, he would make those recommendations, and there are only two people that cannot change their minds, and that is a dead man and a fool, and I don't think either one is in this Chamber today. So if such recommendations were made by the Financial Secretary, I am certain every member here would abide by it.

It is my understanding, and I think that the Honourable Finanoial Secretary has just clarified this, but it is my understanding, Mr. President, that there are some critics on the outside that are preaching that John MeLean, Jim Bodden, Tmman Bodden and Haig Bodden will be drowing vast sums of money as soon as this Bill goes through. I an proud to know that the Honourable Financial Secretary has just clarified the figures that it witl oost for this exercise. How can this country ever survive with people of that sort, who are now projecting for the 1984 elections. God help us if they are ever elected to these Chambers.

Mr. President, I again refer to the words of Lao-Tru when he once said, "When the best leadens' work is done, the peopte say we did it oursetves". This is quite true Nr. President, because again I refer to myself, I know for the last eight years I might have drawn a salary from the Cayman Islands Govermment but $I$ have earned $i t$.

Thank you Mr. Preaident

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN
Mr. President, $I$ have sat here this morming and heard quite a few Members debate this bill, and I have heard some say that they had no prior knowledge of it. This is a bit confusing because I can remember discussions leading up to this Bill which were held in the Common Hall or the Committee Room of this Legistature, and to which Members were present. and it was decided that the former Clerk of the House would use her good offices to obtain copies of the relevant Legialation in other countries and refer them brok to the Members of this House, and I recall that being done.

I recall it being narrowed down to four countries, and with the sort of majority decision that the copy of certain countries' lows would be to the best effect for this country. So I find it a bit ridiculous to hear Members say that they were not cavare of this Bill or the intent of this Bill.

This Bitl, in my opinion, has come at an opportune time, and $I$ would have referred to it as an Election Year Low, becouse it will give the people of the country the opportunity to see the hypocrisy that exists in some of the Members, but we have thought about that alsos, and there is a way that they can overcome their hypocrisy, and I will deal with that later.

It seems, Mr. President, that we have come to a time in the history of this country, when we are being forced to legislate from outside pressure from the Press. It seems tike it is not the Politicians who any longer put together the laws of the country, but the publications do.

I recently recall a certain publication saying that there was a $\% 400$ increase being voted to the Members of
Exeantive Council. This is only one smazi part of what is being Executive Council. This is only one small part of what is being done against this country today. Some of the Debate that has gone on this moming would make you believe that the person will say, I have wealth and you should do without it.

Mr. Fresident, I feel that I can debate this Bill with a conseience that is clear. I feel that I can. stand here, or stand on any straet oormer in the country and say what I have to say, because, since being in Govermment, I know I have carmied the load that I should have carrisd. I have been elected to this House since 1972. I have received one cheque as a Legislative Assembly Member, and that was for \$15.60. I had it fromed for posterity. I have not since collected or signed, or used a penny of the Legislative Assembly walary that would have acorued to me, and I defy any other Member of this House to stand up and equal that.

So I foel I am quite competent because I am not debating for something that will make me mich. I hope to be in this Assembly for a very very long time. I feet as healthy now as I did when I was fifteen, although my hair may not look it, but I still intend by Cod's help to have a long life as. my anoestors had, and as long as I can drag into this building, I am prepared to offer my services to the people of the Bodden District and the Islande as a whole.

I am not a politieal opponent that is going to be easity whipped. But the thing that reminds me so much of hypoorisy is to ridicule the services of those who served before as well as the present Members.
Members and the eervioses they rencenatantly hear illusions to the past those past Members hapen to rendered this con thion then, some of inherited wealth and they ware in the position that they have not suffer and when and they ware not able to acquire it. Therefore they suffer, and when those Members were willing to come out and bear the
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brunt of the political battles and render the service to their country, why in their waning yeard today, would we not say that they are as entitled to a pension as the Civit Servants who have served have been due it.

I am sure that what I witl say here today witl be misconstrued, I am used to that. I have been insulted, ridiculed, lied about ever since I entered politica, but I mitl remind my opponents that it is still just like weter off a duok' $e$ back. It does not bother me. It is just today like there were political opponents that had surfaced. They are all ready to criticise, but I have yet to see one of them that has been able to come up with any ideas that will tell us how they oan better run this country, and how they will provide one penny of revenue for the country. All they are talking about is the sooial things that they would attend to, and how in the world are you going to attend to such things if you do not have money. Money is the grease that moves the wheels.

I will refer specifically to a certain article which came out in the Press recently by a retired Senior Civil Servant who apparently is aspixing to become a Politioian. Well this type of letter is not going to make him a Politician. It is derogatory and misleading. The bill is not retrospective legislation, but merely states, as is done in many other Lows, the qualifying period, which in this case happens to be since 1959. If we had brought a Bitl here to the House that would have said the Members who are in the present House as of November 14th, 1984, then you would have heard somethina being said, but that has not been done. We have thought about the Members who served before us. It also goes on to state that a aroup has decided that in addition to what they now receive, that they will receive this pension.

Pensioning to Policitians is nothing new. It is done in about every country in the Camibbean. It is done in the United States of America, and it is done, I an sure, in many, many other countries, so what is the junk about it being done in Grand Cayman.
the Executive Council retain the rights and privilege to have outside rovernment involvement. I wonder what this particular writer was alluding to. This is nothing new. Most Senior Civil Servants have for years had this privilege, some in the form of watoh repairs, watoh and radio sales. You know the Phillips radio enjoyed a rood market in Cayman for many years. How hupormitical oan the human being be and still get up in church and preach on Sunday. Is this the kind of man you want to lead the country or help lead the country? To say that the Executive Council is a part time job is so ridiculous that it should be laughed at.

When you consider the responsibility to the Portfolio, responsibility to the Public, atl the public abuse, this is really noing too far Mr. President. I am quite sure and I an aware of it because I have worked in Govermment House all day and many, many nights until at least midnight, and I can assure you that this partioular writer was not in the Glase House at 22 'cloak on a Saturday night doing any Govermment work. So to say that Executive Counoiz work of an Elected Member is a part time job is very, very midiculous. This is just another case, Mr. President of a person saying, in adopting the old adane, if my gut is full I cannot hear the empty grumbles in yours.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING)
Contrary to what one publication said, that the Executive Conncil Members received more than twice $\$ 21,697.00$ per year, it is quite untrue, and this is a midiculous thing to be continually happening in our country. It is the Press which people accept as being people that are telling the truth and portraying the truth to the country that is allowed to continue to portray such lies and to get away with it.

I hope I witt live to see the day when in the Cayman Islande we can boast of having a responsibte Prese, but what the Politicians have been able as a mile to obtain is the lack of appreciation and ingratitude from a lot of people.

Mr. Eresident, I don't have to be ashomed of being a Politicion or of the work load that I have aarpied, and I chatlenge any Civil Servant to be able to equal it. I have been for years a workahotic, and I average at least twelve hours a day, beven days a week working, and it has come to the point where about $85 \%$ of that $i_{\mathrm{s}}$ usually Government work, and then to hear people say that it's a part time job, and there is no responsibility attached to it and soforth, is realiy aoing beyond the midiculous.

This same Senior Civit Servant that spoke out so strongly against the pension for Legislators, this same man on retirement from Govermment service, received a lump sum payment of $\$ 67,150,00$. He received one year's leave paid of $\$ 35,454.00$, and his pension for the rest of his iife is approximately $\$ 18,600.00$, and yet that same man would go out and write this against the Foliticians.

Mr. President, it is a good thing that this Leqislature will probably enact this into low, because as many of the aspiring Politicians that I have heard of for the upeoming elections, they will need this because to many of them it will probably be the first cheque they ever receive. in their ife.

So, I would warn the Opposition
that most of these are on their tickets, and to be able to keep them with bread and butter on their table, they had better vote this pius another salary inorease for them, if they hope to get them in.

Thank you Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Since our break was rather late we might break a little late for lunch. Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? If nots I will invite the Mover of the Motion to exercise his right of reply is he wishes.

No other member? Does the Mover
wish to exercise his right of reply?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I am sure you
reatiae that that wouldn't happen.
MR. PRESTDENT: I had begun to wonder.
MFR. BENSON O. EBANKS: There is one other Member that neede to speak, and I am sure he would not have allowed it to happen either, but in the interest of moving the work of the Assembly alona, I wilt make my contribution now.

MR. BENSON C. ERANKS (CONTINUING)
Mr. Aresident, my opposition to this Bill is not to the thought behind it. I have always felt that Members of the Assembly should be paid for their services and now that it is being proposed to provide a pension, that would fatt in the same category.

The reason why I have said this is
because until now, unless someone was of independent means, it is fairily well impossible for them to serve in the Assembly, or at least if they had not part time or full time jobs on the outside, and there are persons on the outside who through their type of employment or because they are not working for themselves, that is independently, self-employed, are unable to offer themselves as Representatives in the Assembly, and if a satary is being paid, possibly this will enable those persons in future to offer their services. But, Mr. President, having said that, I think we have also heard for many years, promises of providing some form of Social secumity payments for the masses of the people, and I feel that our job is to tackle that job first, or at lease simultaneously with trying to provide pensions for Partiamentarians.

It is difficult for me to acoept that the ungency of pensioning Farliamentarians must take priority over providing some old age pension for the masses in the country. Now, Mr. Fresident. I want to make a couple of points also on things that have been said by other Members. I think one Member said that the fact that this Ditl was contemplated was mentioned in the Throne Speech. That is so, but Mr. President., that is not the only thing that has been mentioned in the Throne Speech, this time or in previous years, that has not come to fruition. I think it was the same Speaker who said that precedents were agreed upon. Mr. President, if that has happened, I have no knowledge of it.

Other Speakers have said that the question use discussed informally in other forvms, and it is true that I heard discussions going on in other fomms about this, and I want to emphatically say that I have never been a party to agreeing on what any precedent would be for this proposed Bitl. I do not recall receiving any paper with the comparisons that have been referred to. I recall my one contribution to this whole Debate over the period being to say to Members that I thought they were putting the cart before the horse, in that as far as I was concerned, we were not yet receiving salaries in Cayman, as Farliamentarians, and therefore I failed to see how pensions could ensue.
$I$ said that beause I have atways known what Members received to be Honorariums, somewhere along the tine we were paid a small Honorarium monthly, and then we were paid for the days we attended Meetings of the Assembly or Committees thereof. I do not believe that those paymente have any sonction in Low such as is being sought for this paysion. It wany sonotion in an extension of the oustom of paying Members of Committees subsistance atlowances, and it was therefore authorised, as $I$ saw it, by the Finanoe Committee each year, and it came under headings. as far as my memory serves me, Allowances to Members.

It was also said that Members voted themsetves an increase of $106 \%$ in satary not so tong ago. Now, Mr. President, that again is misleading. My recollection of what took place with that was that, I guess it was in the Finance Committee,

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING)
but it was certainly in the course of some Commttee Meetings it was suggested that the prooedure of claiming daily was a lot of unnecessary work and bother on Members and the Staff, and that this would be commuted to a monthly allowance. As far as I know the allowances paid to Members differed between the various Districts, and the task of commuting these allowances was teft with the Finance Department. I still do not know what I an receiving monthly ae a Member in this Assembly. My cheque goes direetly to the Bank, and unfortunately, I have not seen my Bank Statement since this has been done, and the first time I heard the question of $108 \%$ raised was when the Honourable Financial Secretamy used it in rebuttal to some Debate during. I think it wis, the Throne Speech, or the last session, and after I queetioned it because I had no idea that this was going to take place, and even now, it is not really so because what has been done is that the Daily Allowances that Members alaimed has been bulked into commuted allowanoes on a monthly basia.

I am just saying that, Mr. President, to clear the record, not that I feel that what any Member is receiving at the moment is in excess of payment for what time they are putting in here, or in Committees.

MR. PRESIDENT: If I coutd interrupt the Member for a moment. Do you expect to be some considerable time further speaking?

MR. BENSON D. EBANKS: I could bey Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT: You could be. Is that a promise or
a threat.
MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: . I have no written speech, вo I
have a number of notes that $I$ have to oover:
MR. PRESIDENT:
Weti perhaps it would be convenient, it's nearty ten to one now, for Members if we were to break for tunoh now and resume at 2.75 if that wizt suit you, so I wizt suspend proceedings until 2.15 .

AT 12. 50 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDE'D

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:30 R.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated. Second Reading debate on a Bill for a Lew to provide for parliamentary pensions. The Third Eleoted Member from West Bay.

## THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSIONS BILL 1984 CONTINUATTON OF SECOND READING DEBATE

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, if I may, Sir, I would like to revert to a point I made earlier regarding prior disoussions referred to concerming this Bill. That is, to the beat of my knowledges no meetings, specific on formal meeting or even hold informal meeting,
has ever devoted to the study or discussion of the concept of this Biti. The only conversations I have heard about the subject always arose out of some other matter that was before the committee, and these conversations were extraneous to the matters before the committee and I reiterate that at no time were the proposed contents of this Bill discussed with me, or any indications given that it would be brought at this time. Therefore, Mr. President, I was and can certainly agree that other Membere would have been surpmised at receiving this Bill at thie time.

Now, Members of this House. as far as my
knowledge goes, Mr. President, have always maintained the position that we are serving as Members for a mere subsistence allowance, and I therefore think that the timing of this Bill is very unfortunate. One Member said that it is a good election year exercise, but I could not diaagree with him more. If there was the intention to provide pensions for Members I feet the proper thing to have done would have been to have made such a proposat a part of one's platform or manifesto for the forth-coming elections, which would have given the electorate an opportunity to have a say on the matter.

To pass this Bill at this time without going to the electorate to give them an oppontunity to pass their verdict on it, Mr. President, is in my opinion, wrong. I would venture further to say, that if we pass this Bilt as a priority to some form of old age pension sustem for the masses of this termitory that the electorate are not going to take kindly to our detions. I do not understand or gather the opposition to this Bill to be from a minority of persons. I have had. representations made to me on this Bill by persons who have never in their life mentioned anything politicat to me in the past.

Now having said that, Mr. President, I am of the opinion that this Bill has the support to be passed into Low today, and therefore, or in this meeting, so I propose to spend a little time dealing with the substance of the Bill.

Firstly, Mr. President, I feel the qualifying period, especiatly in the case of Executive Councit salary, is too ahort. That is, that an Executive Council Member can qualify, for a pension after only one year's service as an Exeoutive Councilior. Further, since the satary of an Executive Counoit Member is computed on the basis of one sixth of ine pension payable for each year served on part thereof served in Executive Council, the Member witt reach his maximum pension, (that is Exeoutive Council pension) after one term or four years service in Executive Council. That is, he would have an entitlement to four sixth or two thirds of his salary which is the maximum that is payable under the Law after only four years of services, and therefore thia gives the Executive Council Member an advantage over the Member of the Legislative Assembly who must serve two terms or six years before he qualifies, and in fact cannot qualify for his fult Legislative salary until after he has served for nine years. He cannot

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): reach his two thirds entitlement untit he has served for nine years, so it seems to me that there is inconsistancy in the Low there.

Further, Mr. President, it is my opinion that some people who may have served their country in the capacity of a Legislative Assembly Member and who may be in need of some form of assistance, and who to my knowledge at this present time are possibly the only people who are really in need or might be in need of some assistance would not qualify under the Bill. I would have expected in a Bill of this nature some provision to allow the Governor to make an award to a Member who is in needy cirownstances, notwithstanding the fact that he might not have compteted the number of qualifying years.

Further, Mn. President, I would not have expected to have found Section 12 in the Bill as being irrevocable. I agree there should be some provision in the Bill to provide for Members to forego forever or for a period any benefits that they might have been entitled to under the Law if they so desired, but, Mr. Fresident, no one knows the futures, and while a Nember might feel comfortable financially at age fifty-five and say that he is going to forego his benefits oircumstances may ahange later in lifes, and I feel that the provision should enable him if circumstances warrant it later in life, that he be allowed to alaim the benefits that he would have otherwise been entitled to. In other words, I accept irrevocable in its normal sense that onoe you have revoked it you cannot again olaim it, and I do not feet that that is doing justice to Members who might have served or might aerve in the future.

Mr. President, the cost of this scheme has been estimated and the figures tossed around as $I$ would put it, are as I understand it, figures that would be applicable today in light of those Members who would qualify as a result of their past aervices, but this does not take into account the future, and a $B i l l$ of this nature in my opinion, the financial implications could change quite quickly. Some Members have mentioned that they do not see themselves benefiting under the Bill beaause of their tender age, but Mr. President, those Members seemed to have forgotten that the Bitl provides for a widow's pensions and children's pensions as well; so we do not know really what the future costs of this scheme could be.

Now there is one thing, Mr. President, that this Bill has brought out that I never expeeted to hear admitted by the Goverment Benoh, and that is, that past Legislators have in fact made some contribution to the development of this country. I. am one who has always acknowledged that each generation and each House makes some contribution, and I am glad that at last we have had this acknowledgement from Members.

Finally, Mr. President, I would just like to mention or to clear up one point whioh I made earlier that on reflection might be misinterpreted, and that is, when I was dealing with the fact that I was not certain what my allowance from the House was becaues I had looked at my bank statement since it had been paid.

On reflection I realized that aome people might interpnet that to mean, that that is because I am so well-off, it is not that Mr. President, it is beoause I an so heavily overdrown that it would not make any impression on the balanoe anyway. And secondly, the account is jointly with my wife and that is her responsibility, 80 I do not peruge the account too often, just check on the wife every now and again.

Mr. President, the plea has been made
that Members search their conaciences when deating or when voting on this Bill, and I would like to do the same to Govermment and ask them to consider the effects of putting into thaw such a Bill as is proposed. here today, especially as I said, when we have not as Legislators made any provision for any old age penaions for the masses in the country. "This is something that I can remember vividly goes back to manifestos published

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): as far back as 1972, if not further, and I think in view of that people would look on us very unfavourably if we were to today agree to pension ourselves without having made any attempt to work out some system for those persons who are on the outside without any form of pension. I thank you, Mr. President.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. Preaident, Sir, I am speaking very briefly on this debate at the request of another Member of this Houre to clarify one point. And that is, in relation to pariiamentary pensions, to qualify for such a pension you need to have served two terms or at least six years as a parliamentarian have ceased to be a member and have attained the age of fifty-five or (b) incapable by reason of infirmitive
body or mind. body or mind.

The position is quite clear that a person
who quatifies under every other category does not become eligible to receive a pension untit they attain the age of fifty-five $x s$ is specifically laid out in clause 3 sub-bection (4) which says, Where a person ceases to be a member but is not eligible for a pension under this Law becoure he has not attained the age of fifty-five years, then, notwithstanding the other qualifications, the pension for which he would have been eligible at the date when he ceased to be a member shall be paid to him with effect from the date on which he attains the age of fifty-five years. The same restrictions applies to Executive Council pensions, who must aualify for a pension as a Legislator, has ceased to be a Member and have served the minimum period in Executive Council. So no person, even if they had otherwise quatified could get a pension onee they ceased untess, and untit they became fifty-five. Thank you.

MR. EENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, could I ask whether that statement was made in relation to anything I might have said, or whether he is just making a contribution to the debate?

MR. PRESIDENT: $\therefore$ think we will regard it as a contribution. I do not think really that there is an entitlement to ask him whether he was specifically replying to you - though ho shakes his head.

Does any other Honourable Member wish
to speak?
HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. President, in considering the Bill which is before the House the main question which should be asked is what value do we attach to the membership of this Legistative Assembly or to membership in the Executive Councit. Ie it really a worthwhile jobs and if it is a worthwhile job is it worth being salaried and is it worthwite being pensionabte? When we consider that every messenger boy in Govermment is pensionable and receives a satary, are we to attach less importance to the role played or filled by the Members of the Legislative Assembly and Members of Executive Council?

The oriteria for any job inoludes what the job is worth to the person who pays for it, and this mule has applied to all Civil Servants and under our internal Government type of Constitution it should be applied to those members who form a part of the Government, whether they be elected or whether they be Civil Semants.

Prior to 1972 Members of the Legielative Assembly and Members of the Vestry served and received merely a token provision. With the introduction of the 1972 Constitution, which gave to these Islands a very advanced stage of internal self govermment and which placed upon the shoulders of the Elected Members of the Exeoutive Council full-time jobs which they did not have before, and which also placed upon the other Members of the Legislative Ascembly many responsibilities and time consuming activities which they had not been engaged in before. The introduction of that Constitution demanded that if you were to get responsible people to leave their jobs and to serve as Members it woutd be necessary to provide some remuneration for these people so as to

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUING): compensate for the ill-effects on the businesses by those Members leaving their own work unattended to serve the country. This was what the country wanted.

All Caymanians were saying, we want our Legistators to have more say in the running of the affairs of this country; we want our Legislators to have more to do with the day-to-day activities of our Govermment. In aaying this, the public may not have reatiaed that they were asking for a change in Constitution which would bring in the type of Constitution which we have, a Constitution which is clearly an advanoed atage of internal self govermment. Whether the public likes it on not the Legislature of that day gave to this country, an advancen form of intermat self government, and this required that internal self government is simply a govermment where elected people have aome aly in running the oountry. We have never had this before, in that the civil Servants ran the Government and the Elected Members had but very little say in the policy decisions and the many activities which go towards a Government.

Apart from the actual work, what is the office of a Legislative Assembly Member worth? I believe being an Elected Member of the Legialative Assembly is the highest honour that can be bestowed in this country upon an individual. We who have been through many alectione: have received the vote of confidence of the majority of the peopte of the Cayman Islands. I know there are a hand full of people in my constituency who wished that I had never been elected, who wished that my other cotlearue from that dual constituency had never been elected, but these people arc in the minority. The majority of our people have bestowed upon us the high honour of representing them, and I feel that the job which they have given us to do is an honourable one, it is worthy of responsible people, it requires the man's integrity, it requires and demands a commitment to duty, it requires and coimands a lot of time, and beause of this, I feel that the Legislative Assembly or the Finance Committee was corvect back in 1972 when they afforded what at that time was a decent salary to the then Executive Councit. Exsoutive Council had never enjoyed what I catt a reasonable salary until 1972 when they, togather with other Members of the Finanoe Committee and the approval of the Govermor, agreed upon a salary for Legislative Assembly Members and for Members of Executive. Cowneiz.

## I recall in 1976 when $I$ was firet elected

 to Executive Councils in the first alary regrading that was approved the Electol Members of Executive Council refused to take the increase in pay, the reason being that the finances of the Goverment had not yet recovered from the bankmpt state whioh we inherited in the November, 1976 election. We felt that we should not put an undue burden upon the Govermment which one year prion to that had been unable (one year prior to 1976) had been unable to give their oun Civil Semiae a raise. We know that when that raise was agreed upon the Government had to go to the Management Council of the Civil Service Association and ask them to try to persuade the Civil Servants not to aocept on not to demand the maise which the Government agreed they should have, beoause they had fallen far behind. Whether it is admitted or not the faot is, that since that time there has been a turn around in Govermment's finances and the Government is now able, not only to pay its Civil Servante but also to increase the pension benefits.I would like to deal with the amendments to the Pensions Law since 1976, in which pension benefite were bestowed upon Civil Servants who before that time did not have these benefits. In 1980 by an amendment to the Pensions Law (Lcaw 13 of 1980) the amending Low, we bestowed upon the widows and children of pensionable officers a pension which had not existed before. That amendment provides that if a peneioner who is a Civil Servant dies that his wife will receive one half of his pension for the balance of her lifetime, and that if there are children under the age of eighteen each child will receive one sixth of that pension. So in this instance the Rension Low had been amended

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): in 1980 to make a worthwhile provision available to the orphans and widows of pensioners. There were other amendments which made more liberal the pensions granted to Civil Servants, one that I can think of, and which come into Law only a few months ago, on the 19th of December, 1983, was to provide for an increase in pensions with the approval of the Governor to sort of make up for the devaluation of money over the years. Section 5 of that amending Bill raads, "that the peneion may be increased onoe in any oalendar year by an order of the Govermor with the prior approval of the Finance Committee".

Now, prior to that there had been no tegal authority for old pensioners to have their smatl pensions upgraded. The eame Bitl made provision for persons to receive pensions on resignation on or after the 31 st day of December, 1982 providing they had completed at least ten years pensionable semvice and on attaining the age of sixty years. There is aleo an omendment to allow people to count on to their pensionable time any prior service which had been broken. We also provided for pensioners to receive free medical care, under the old rules a pensioner did not have the same rights to free medical aare that a serving Civit Servant had.

With alt of these amendments which resulted in liberalizations of the Pensions Law, and which put an increasing burden on the Govermment's purse, I did not hear one single objection. I did not hear any one say, that out of the hundreds of pensionable Civil Servants that their widows and children should not be pensioned, and yet today we quibble over a pension which witl affect a very amall group of people. So do you believe that the reason for objecting to this Bill is because they want to protect the Goverment's purse? They are straining at a knat when they have swollowed a camel.

To admit that the office of a Legislative Assembly Member is not worth pensioning is to admit that these seats should be filled by that frustrated minority, which has been knocking on the doors for aixteen years, since 1968, in an effort to hotd one of these seats. Any one who admits that this office is not worth pensioning is admitting that the office itself is not worth anything, and this is a sad admission to come from those people who have been entrusted by the electorate as the people worthy of representing this Covernment and running this Government.

One of the sad facts of life is that five out of every six persons at age sixty-five are broke. Although these people had forty yeare to prepare for their old age we find that very few of them do it, and the young man every day apends the old man's money and the old man after working for forty years finds himself with nothing, and that was uhy pension became a part of salaries. Do you know for many years in the Colonial Service why we got away with low salaries? It was beoause we had that karat of the pension, and this kept many people in the service when they could have gone out and found other jobs. They decided to stick with this because it provided security for the old age, and this is how the pensions' scheme became an integrat part of the aatary scheme, we pay you a low satary but we will provide other benefits which in the long run will be real money. So, any Member who says today that he cannot acoept a pension or should not receive a pension should not take the salary.

Unfortunately, there seems to be no provision for the remunciation of the salaries, but the Bitl before the House has in it what I call a Japanese provision. A Japanese provisior the Japanese are known for saving face so that a person does not lose his face, and this has a saving face provision in section 12 of this Bitt, where any Member whose conscience bothers him that he is not worthy of the pension which he receives can irrevocably renounce the pension for all times. Any Member who feels that he should not be pensioned or that some other person shoutd not be pensioned can save his face by signing the renowniation forms, which I expect witl be made by the Govemor in

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTTNUING): Council when they start to make the regulations which are permitted under this Bitl. And so, one need not have a conscience that bothers him when he pockets this pension, he can make it right with his soul now by taking the oath of renounciation, which means, that he gives up the pension which he believes is not fair to take from the poor tar payers. I wonder this time next year how many renouncations the Financial Secretary will have received under this Bill?

One Member did not seem to be against the renouncation providing he could take it back later on if an when the time come, but if the renouncation is to be worth anything at all it must be a renounoation for all times. In faot, the whole tone of the opposition to this Bill is, we wish it had not come at this time to teat our metal, we wish it had come in 1981 at the beginning of our term, or hopefully, if we are elected, it had come in January of 1985; and then perhaps they could without any need to go out and save face, without any need to paaify an aggravating conscience, they coutd then sit down and say, "we believe that the office of a Legislative Assembly Member is an office that is worthy of a pension".

I recall in the early days of my life as a salesman, I wrote an application in which I asked the man for a big premium which would have given me a big commission. In fact, the premiun for that plan was more than my annual salary, and I would have earned from that plan eighty percent of the previous years' pay in that one sale. He looked at me and said, "I need this insurance but it is expensive", and I said, "yes, you need it, and the reason why it is expensive is because the company hires people like me to take care of your needs". Needless to say, he bought the plan, because he felt that I had done a good job of not only showing him his needs but offering the solution, and I believe that ninety percent of the people in these Islands will agree that it will cost, as the Financial Secretary explained, a few dollars to provide a pension for the Legislative nssembly Members, but they will also agree that we want to attract the best, and if we are going to attract the best we will have to pay whatever it costs.

Now you noticed I did not say, "attract the rich people", because I do not believe good people are found amongst the very rich. You see, without a salary and without a pension as the Elected Executive Council Member for Health said, "you would prectude a certain type of people". You would get in the House as you had in the old days, the merchants who could afford to leave their business, it would mun, the cloth would sell, the sugar would sell, whether they were here or not. Professional people, teohnical people and people on salaried jobs could never afford to give up the time, and so are we going to continue to enoourage a system which existed in politics throughout the world from time inmemorial. When the only people who had a right to vote were people who had a right to serve in the parliaments, were those people who had substantial holdings, substantial wealth, which they had inherited in nine times out of ten, and if we are to get calay from this system, and if we expect to attract good people we will have to pay them. Of course, the reverse could also be true, and I am beginning to see this now because you can attract the unemployed, and what is even worse, the unemployable. As my colleague from Bodden Town said, "you might even attract those who will aee a pay cheque for the first time.

If the people of my constituency believe that I am not worthy of a pension, which I never hope to take as I do not intend to resign and $I$ do not intend to retire, but if they believe I am not worth that, well, they can keep their votes because I know I am worth it. I am not afraid to tell anybody that.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): We come here year after year and we vote increases. I an not talking about the eightw thousand dollars that the Financial Secretapy said would be required to pension those people who could be pensionable today, excluding the current Members, I am not talking about that kind of money. I an talking about the three million dollar increase we just gave to the Civil Servioa, this is real money. I supported the inorease because I believe that a workman is worthy of his hire, and if you have a Civil Servant that is producing as the Head of a Department, as a manager, as messenger boy or whatever he may be; he needs his money just as much as the man out in the private sector working for a bank or in a business, and these people should be paid. What I am against, is paying people that do not produce, but once they continus to produce I believe they should be paid.

We come here year after year and we make substantial commitments to pay our Civil Servante and our politioians, and in doing this I do not see cony placards on the street about paying the Civit servants. I do not see any letters in the press, I do not see any viaious articles, $I$ do not hear any Annancy stories, I do not hear any sad tales of woe, why, at this time? If other Memberg want to take their prestige down a couple of steps, that is un to them, but I believe that the public expects that we will perform welt and expects that we will continue to demand the respect of the public by receiving from them the remuneration which we have earned.

The Member from West Bay aaid, that he had heard a statement this morning that he never expected to hear from the Government Bench, and that statement was that the Legistators of the past have performed well. I believe the Legislators in the past performed to the best of their abilities, and I believe that at the time all of them were eleoted the Cayman electorate did as they with do in November, elect the best people that come forward, and I think our electorate is so educated and so sophisticated, and so steep in politics that they will know to pick the best.

I feel that in Bodden Town, ever since I. came to knowledges. the Bodden Town people have elected the best out' of those that come forward. Now, there may be two better people than the two Bodden Town representatives, there are better people, but they did not come to the polls in 1980 and I do not know if these people will come out of hiding in 1984 so that the Bodden Toum people can elect them and pay them a pension on a salary. You see, we are blamed because some people cannot get elected and I feel sorry for these people, because if I had my way I would give them every vote there is in Bodden Town just to see what they would do for one day, but I only have one vote which I can control, and that is my oun. So their dilemna is not that I have kept them from being eleoted, but that the Bodden Town people know what they want.

The Member who made that statement that he did not expect to hear us say that past legistators have done a good job gave me the impression by his attitude to this Bill that the past legislators were not worth anything; because the opposition to this Bill seeks to deny those people who since 1959 have been Members of the Legislative Ascembly. He is saying by his objections to this Bitl, that it is not worth pensioning theae people from 1959. Some people urite letters and say, "well, there are good guys around may be we could consider them, but why go back", and I want to deal with that because this is at the bottom of the fundomental of a pension scheme.

Whenever a pension scheme is introduced the company putting it in or the oxganisation putting it in must make provision for the past services of the workers who have buitt the company. If they fail to do this, the pension plan is of no effect, and $\dot{I}$ am sorry that some Members here and some people on the outside mixed up the difference between the payment for past services and retroactive legistation. There is in this Bill no retroactive legislation. There is simply a provision

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTTNUING): to reward certain people who served this country since 1959 as Members of the Legistative Assembly.

I feel the bill does not go far enough and should go back to provide a nominal pension for those few vestry men and justices of the peace who served in the Legislative Assembly prior to the 1959 Constitution. May be there are onty a hanful of them left, but I think they would appreciate it and we would then be giving substance to the theory that the Legislators of the past have built a good foundation for us to work upon, not coming here and objecting to a Bill which would provide payment for those few Members who have served since 1959.

Now some Members are concerned that this payment for pass services may cause the Government a large expense. Some of them are concerned that there will be large increases in the amon amount of retiring Members of the Legislative Assembly, but we can only go by the track record of the experience of the attrition of Members from this Assembly.

Let us look at it in detail since 1972. Since 1972 there has been no change in North Side, no percon from North Side would be eligible for a pension if he were a Member in 1972. There has been no change in Bodden Town, so that no person who was a Member in 1972, since the November, 1972 election, could be eligible for a pension under this. There has been one change only in Eaet End, there have been. two changes in Cayman Brac and one of those Members would not count since he has gone to where you would not need a pension. There have been three changes in George Town and two in West Bay, so there are only seven Members that the effluaion of time has taken away from the Legislative Hall since the November, 1972 election. And this is an average of less than one point five persons that have left in the twelve years, so if this is the rate at which people will be coming in the future under the pension scheme, you do not have to worry about any burgeoning debt for pension plane.

And then to show the truth that the people
behaved in the past as they behave in the current time, the Financial Secretary gave certain statistics which show that as from far back as 1959 there have onty been in one category of the pension, nine people who would qualify. In another, there would be twenty-two, but twelve of them are serving here now, so the attrition rate, or $I$ should aay, the addition to the pension scheme would be 19 people coming into it between 1959 and 1984, a period of twenty-five years. So it is virtuatty impossible for the Govermment to go broke by pensioning less then one new legislator each year.

We have nineteen people in twenty-five years
that would be eligible for the pension. So when I see on the front pay page of the paper that a would-be-aspinant said, that if we pass this Bitl the Government will go broke. I had to say, it ie a good thing that we have a demoaraoy, and anyone who aspires to political office can do so; on the other hand, it is a good thing that we have a democracy and only those people who can cormand the majority of the votes can ever be elected, and because of this, I think the country will remain safe.

Dealing with the statistics given by the Financial Secretary, he has showe the worse possible case that he could make out for the finances, and that case would be, that if all the Legislatoms who left since 1959, and all of these current Members that are here should lose the next election he would have to pension the twelve of us plus the other ones that I mentioned, and that would cost the Govermment two hundred and fifty-eight thousand three hundred and fifty-six dolzars a year providing that all of us were fifty-five years. Some Members have made it alear that they are not in that age group yet, and I know the people of my intage are not fifty-five either, although I have no intention of disclosing my age at this time.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUING): So, if every Member here was age fiftyfive today, and if every Member here lost the next election, and if every Member who served since 1959 was eligible for a pension the pension Bill would be two hundred and fifty-eight thousand three hundred and sixty.five doltars a year. The true picture $i s$ s that none of these thinge are going to happen, all of us are not going to be fifty-five, all of us are not going to lose at the next election. So the largest cost that can come to this Government is set out on the front page, a figure of eighty-one thousand dollare per year or six thousand and something dollars per month.

Now an you imagine this. Govermment is going broke, beause if this Bill goes into effect the Government is going to pay out six thousand dollars in pensions. It is a pity those people were not arowd on Monday morning to hear the Financial Secretary give those vital statistios on revenus and expenditure. He said that the revenue collected this year was seventeen million dollars, and the experditure up to the end of the quarter in March was eleven milition and we have accumitated a surplus of six point three million dollars in the first three months of this year, which is an average of over a two mitlion dollar surptus in the first three months, and somebody is afraid that we may go broke paying a pension to those people who served from 1959 up until 1980 and not currently in the Legislative Assembly.

I wonder if that same person or other people like him, because there are many out there tike him, know where that surplus came from. Do they think that surplus came from the messenger boy or do they think that it come from the peopte who make the policies for this Govamment, the people who legislate the laws, the people who find the ways to collect revenue? But if that were the onty good news I would not bother to dwell upon it.

The year 1983 ended with a surplus. You were given the figures in the March Throne Speech, in excess of one point two million dollars. You were also told that apart from the surplus which we ended in 1983, and apart from the surplus which has been acoumulated since January of 1984, we have a reserve figure of eleven point two milition dollare, and this has come about since 1976.

In 1976, we inherited a bankupt Government, we inherited a Government that had borrowed beyond its capaeity to repay because it was then costing eleven percent of the recurrent revenue to service the debt, now it costs two or three percent. So we had not onty spent all the reserves that had been built up over the last three hundred. and fifty years, but we had a Govermment that could not pay it debtes. and what is even worse of alt, showing a deficit.

The 1975 deficit was two point five million dollars. And this is what these Legislators have done, they have turned this country around and they do not deserve a pension.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I do not know whether the Honourable Member is drawing to a close, if so, I would not interrupt him, if not we are past

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad I$ am certainly not, Sir, I expect to be here tomorrow.

MR. PRESTIDENT:
Well, in that case I think perhaps both
you and other Members deserve a little refreshment.
$I$ will suspend proceedings for approximatety
fifteen minutes.

However, I would like to point out the difference between a sooiat security system and a pension for employees. The pension for employees is paid to a limited number of people: the social security system would be paid to everybody above a certain age, and if we were going to do that, we would have to find out how we would fund it., because it would not take $\$ 6,009$ per month, but it would take, 1.5 million dollars per month in the first year, and if we look at the figures from the census taken in 1979, we will find that at that time, there were 915 people between the ages of 60 and 70,512 people between the ages of 70 and 80,170 people between the ages of 80 and 90 and 42 people above the age of 90 , making a total of 1,639 people, or $9.9 \%$ of the population above the age of 60 .

If we add say $10 \%$ to that for the increases in the population since 1979, we come up with a figure of 1,792 people, or to round it off, say 1,300 people above the age of 60 .

Now, if the Government witt provide a sooial security scheme for these people, and would give them say $\$ 400$ per month, that would be $\$ 4,800$ a year or for round figures, $\$ 5,000$ per year. So if you take the 1 s 800 people and pay them $\$ 5,000$ per year, you come up with a bill in the first year that would cost 9 million dollars, and remember this is merely to provide a pension, this does not provide anything for widows, or orphans. So if this is to be done, the Government and the candidates that are putting this forward will have to tell the public where they are aoing to raise the money froms beocuse I do not see it being put in, well it cannot be put. in physicalty for lese than the figures mentioned here.

So, it is a good thought and I hope that one day, the finances of this Government will be in such a position that we can provide such a scheme, but it cannot be done without proper funding, and if this is to be done, I believe a separate administration would have to be set up to administer the funds, to invest the funds. and it would have to be property thought out with collections coming in, maybe in year one, and payments starting in year five, or something like this. But certainly, there is no aroument to say that you should not provide $\$ 6,000$ a month for the Legislators, because you cannot provide 1.5 miltion for $a$ social security scheme.

I was anazed to herr the Member. from West Bay, who had been the former Member of Health, mention social services, because, during his administration, they were non-existent.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN.
was $\$ 3.100$. In 1976, when the In 1972, the vote for poor relief號 the fortfolio. that vote had been increased by only $\$ 9,000$ to a total of $\$ 12,368$.

Under the Zeadership of the present Member for Health, Education and Social Services, that vote alone rose from $\$ 12,000$ in 1976, to $\$ 100,000$ in 1982, and the figure for this year's spending witl be more than double that amount, and I believe somewhere in the region of $\$ 260,000$. So if he had. the concern for poor relief in 1970 which he manifests todmy, that vote could not have remained at $\$ 12,000$.

The pensions as $I$ already mentioned, is a part of the salam, and is a part of the total package payable to employees. If we look at the civil service over the years, we will see that many benefits hove been available to thems benefits which have cost the Govermment money, but benefits which I believe they were qualified to receive.

To nome just a few of them. Civil Servants receive paid vacation, free airline tickets, responsibility allowance, uniform allowance, olothes washing allowance, acting allowances, motor aar allowance, bicycle allowance at one time, meat allowance, overtime allowance, there is even an altowance in lieu of private practice, housing atlowance, medicat benefits, and today, this Assembly which has voted alt of these things, are against an allowance for past service, for those people who served since 1959, and provided the money to pay for all of these allowances.

I want to continue to deal with aome of the criticisms to this Bill, and one neuspaper, or what passes for a newspaper, the Herald, had an article writtens, I suppose, by their consultant for all thingss on pensions. That artiale, written by a person devoid of any actuarial expertise, unaoruainted with any mortality thbles. and put forward as being the gospel truth, and it is ludicrous to think that a correct finanoiat. analysis could be givens especially when the people did not have the faots of the costs. they did not know the components that go into the computation of such an exercise, and yet they come up with an answer that it is unworkable.

Onty in this Meeting I was delighted to hear the Report from the Financial Secretary on a recent action taken by the Finance Conmittee to provide an additionat $\$ 800,000$ to provide housing for Civil servants. I supported this increase Between 1983 and 1984, a total of $\$ 400,000$ had been voted to help Civil Servante improve their homes and this is under part of a package to encourage the Civil Servant to perform well. Yet, it seems to be a criminal offence to encourage the politioal arm of Government to serve well.

We see in the estimates that $a$ sum of $\$ 230,000$ has been allocated for a self help housing project on Watler Road. So, with all of these amentites being provided, how is it the provider is never mentioned. Do you think it is right for the father to be left out and the chitdren given everything, and then if there are any arwmbe teft. the father would receive it.

One Member seemed to have had a little difficulty with his memory with rercurd to the discussions on this Bill, and with regard to ever hearing about it. Some Members feel that they did not have sufficient time to take this to their constituencies, and I thought that Member knew the answer to that, because the answer was given in a reply that came from London when he together with other Members filed a petition to London to stop the Housing Authority Bill.

HON. G. HAIG BODLEN (CONTINUING): They were totd, in other wowle, that there is nothing that says that a Member must take a Bill to his constituency. A Member receives a Bill and it is up to him to take it to his constituency and if he does not have the time because he is engaged in other activities, that is his problem.

This matter of pension was discussed at a Committes Meeting at which I had been present and the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly had been aaked to get model leaislation from other territories so that our leocl department could draft an amendment suitoble for the purpose.

On page 19 of the Throne Speech, which was delivered on the 24th of Fehmury, the paraaraph reads - "The Goverment will introduce a Bill providing for Elected Members of the Assembly to receive pensions. This witl be based on comparable legislation elsewhere.". Certainly if that Member had the interest which he now seems to have, he could have found out what was afyot from the 24th of February if he had not been present at the Committee Meeting when the first discussion was held.

So no Member can claim that he has not been acquainted with the matter of Farliamentary Pensions. He may say that he did not attach much weight to it at the time and did not give it priority, but one cannot claim that one did not have sufficient opportunity to discuss the substance of the Bill.

If we extmine the existing pension provision in our Estinates it can be seen that the ficure mentioned by the Honourable Financiat Secretary as bein the top figure that could be used paled to nothing. $\$ 910,402$ witl be paid as gratuities for Contracted Officers during 1994. Inorease to pensioners is $\$ 95,151$. Severence pay to daily workers - $\$ 6,000$ and retirinc benefito of pensions to Civit Servants - $\$ 931,552$, making a total of $\$ 1,043,105$ estimated in November to be paid out durine this year. And because of the salary increases, and because of the inorease to peneioners, because of the increase in the cost. of tiving index and because it is later proposed to make an additional increase to other pensioners who now receive very omall sums indeed the full pension cratuity and aeverence pay for this year will exceed probably $\$ 2$ million. So we are talking about a avim of money for the Legislative Assembly Members which pales into insignificance when compared to the total Pension Bill.

If the Members' interest, as they would Let us believe on the eve of this elections is to cut down on pension benefits and to save money, this is where they would strike - not at the $\$ 6,000$ per month that would be paid ta nine people who oould receive pensions for having served since 1959.

It is never possible to blane the Members of Executive Council for money that is spent by the Govarmment. It is impossible for us to spend money. Under the Constitution the money is voted by Finance Committee which is comprised of the twelve Elected Membere and the Easecutive Council in Finance Conmittee is always in a minority position as we only have four votes and need to get at least another three from the Elected Bench in order to vote money for anything. So Elected Members annot blame Executive Council fon apending Goverrment's money and in fact some of the opposition on the outside even go further and seek to blame the two Bodden Town Members for spending Govermment's money when we have no control over the purse.

Mr. President, I had declared that I would speak until tomorrow, but durine the coffee break I was mildly pursuaded by the other Members that I should stop before the adjournment and you, sir, agreed to oontinue after four-thirty so that we can get on with some of the business of the Rouse. I regret that I

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): have to alose my debate after such a short time, but the message I want to leave is that as far as I am concerned the Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Cayman Istands are amongst the best Parliamentarians in the worta. We deserve the respect of the public. I can say that we have earned it. We have perthaps made a few mistakes along the way, but by and large we have performed well.

If one listens to the opposition and to some of the nonsense that is written in the newspapers, one would believe that the Eleoted Members are some little kindergarten child.. ren. And these criticisms come from people in a frustrated minority people who will never get elected in this country and so they will always be out there telling you haw to run the Govervment. They will be telling you how chickens lay egge - something they will never know about. You see, anybody can find out how a chicken lays an egg. You can study it in a book: you can get a graph and you aan even lift up the tail and watah the whole process, but unless you are that chicken you will never know the sensation of laying an eag. And so until these people can get themselves elected, which they witl never do, they witl never know the sensation of munning a Government; they will never know the problems: they will atways have to tell you how chickens lay eggs.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Does the mover of the Motion wish
to exercise his right of reply?
HON. D. H. FOSTER: Very briefty, Mr. President. I would just to like to thank Members for supporting the Bill and those who ware not in full support of the Bill, I still thank them for their ariticism and their points made. I will not prolong it anymore, sir. I conmend the Bill to Members.

MR. PRESIDENT: Farliamentary Pensions be given a Second Reading.
QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES.

MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O EBANKS:
MR. PRESTDENT:
on.

The ayes have it.
Could we have a division on that, Sir?
Very well, will the Clerk please carry

AYES
Hon. D. H. Foster
Hon. MichaeZ J Bradley
Hon. T.C. Jefferson
Hon. John B. MoLean
Hon. Truman M.Bodden
Hon. Jomes M.Bodden
Hon. G. Haig Bodden
Mn. D. Datmain Ebanks
Mr. Craddook Ebanks

Mr. Benson O. Ebanks
Mr. W. Nomman Bodden
Mise Annie Huldah Bodden
Capt. Charles L. Kirkoonnell
Capt. Mabry S. Kirkoonnell

# MR. PRESIDENT: I declare the motion carried by nine votes to five. <br> BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING AND PASSED BY MAJORITY. 

MR. PRESIDENT: The next item on today's Order
Paper is another Bilz.

THE COURT OF APPEAL (AMENDMENT) BILE: 1984
FIRSI' READING

CLERK: THE COURT OF APPEAL (AMENDMENT): BILL, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT .
A Bilt entitled The Court of Appeal (Amendment) Bill, 1984, is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down for a Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: THE COURT OF APPEAL (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL $T$. BRADLEY: Mr. Fresident, Six, I beg to move the Second Reading of a Bill shortly entitled The Court of Appeat (Amendment) Bill, 1984.

Mr. President, I think it only fit and proper that I give, to Members of this House, $\alpha$ brief histomicat swrmary of why this Bitl is now both timeous and necessary.

Honourable Members of Executive
Council will be cware that the present Constitution was enacted as the Cayman Istands (Constitution) Order, 1972, and came into operation on the $22 n d$ Auguet, 1972.

In that Constitution there was, at part $V$, one section, section 49 , which said that, "Subject to the provisions of this section, the Court of Appeal for Jamaica shall have auch jurisdiction to hear and determine appeats (including reserved questions of taw and cases stated) from the Grand Court of the Islands and, in connection with such appeals, such powers and authorities as may be conferred upon it by any law for the time being in force in the Islands.". There was a subsection saying that. "The foregoing subsection shatl not apply to appeals relating to any matter in respeot of which this Constitution or any other law provides that the decision of the Grand Court of the Islands is to be finat.".

That was a brief provision making the Court of Appeal for Jamaica as the place where appeals went to from the Grand Court of the Cayman Istands.

Because of the brevity of the Constitutional references, this Legislative Assembly in 1975 passed its oun domestic Court of Appeal Low (Low 9 of 1975) and as the Memorandum of Objects and Reasons there stated, the purpose of this Low is to make new provisions for the junisdiction, powers and authorities of the Court of Appeal in respeat of appeats from the Isiands under the provisions of the Cayman Islands (Constitution) Orders 1972.

There were contained in that Court of Appeal Law some thirty-one sections which spelt out in detail what the jurisdiction, both in civil and criminal matters and the

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): other powers and duties of the Court of Appeal of Jamaica should be when sitting in appeat judgment from Grand Court decisions.

That Court of Appeal Law remained unchanged from 1975 to now. But, as Honourable Members of the House are wett oware, the Caymon Islands (Constitution) Order, 1972, was earlier this year amended by the Cayman Islands (Constitution) (Amendment) Order, 1984, (United Kingdom Statutory Instruments 1984, No. 126). And that Constitution (Amendment) Order acome into operation on the 12th of March, 1984, even though by seetion 1 , aubsection 3, the provisions of aertain other sections should not have effect until such date as the Governors by proclamation published in the Gazette, appoint.

Section 4 of that Order relates to qualifications of electors. Section 2 of it relates to qualifications for elected membership. but the part that is relevant to this Bill presently before us is section 5 which substitutes Part $V$ of our present Court of Appeal Low, a completely new and comprehensive Fart $V$ into the Constitution and provides in our Constitution new Sections $49,49 \mathrm{~A}, 49 \mathrm{~B}, 49 \mathrm{C}, 49 \mathrm{D}, 49 \mathrm{E}, 49 \mathrm{~F}$ and 49 C .

These new provisions make and bring
into being a new Cayman Istands Court of Appeal which is the superior court of record from all appeals from the Grand Court. thus changing the provision whereby the Court of Appeal for Jamaica was automatically the Court of Appeal on appeals from the Grand Court.

The provisions regarding our new Court of Appeal ares in the Amendment to the Conetitutions much more extensive than the original Section 49 was. But, extensive as those provisions are, because of it coming into force, certain sections and certain provisions of our own present Court of Appeal Law need to be altered. And the object of this Bill, in respect of which I am moving the Second Reading, $i_{s}$ to so atter them.

As you witl see, Mr, President, Sir, and as Honourable Members will gee, in the Bill there are some nine olauses and they are a number of amendments which it is saught to move.

Clause 1 seeks to provide for this Amendment Law to come into force on the same day as the retevant part of the Constitutions and that day will be on the Ist of September, 1984s since there hae already been under the Constitution (Amendment. Order that date given under Clause 1(3) as the date when Clause 5 shalt have effect.

Clause 2 merely seeks to substitute a new definition of the Court of Appeat as that of the Islands and not of damaica.

Clause 3, which is the most substantial alteration to the principal Law, the Court of Appeal Law, seeks to add two new sections, Sections $2 A$ and $2 B$ which make provision for the number of the seniority of the judges of the Court of Appeal and for the appointment of the Registrar of the Court of Appeal and other officers.

Clauses 4, 6, 7 and 8 seek to make a number of consequential amendments to the principal Law as a result of the proposed constitutional amendments, and these are principalty by the provision for certain things to be done by the newly created Registran of the Court of Appeal.

Clause 5 seeks to add to section 11 of the principal Law, a provision that at present appears in section 18 which it is sought to be cmended by Clause 8, and Clause 8, itself, provides for the system of transmission of documents in cases of appeat

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUIVG): from the Clerk of the Grand Court to the Registrar of the Court of Appeal.

The final Clause in the Bitl, Mr. Preeident, Sirs is a short Clause which says section 29 of the principat Low is repealed. And I must confess, when I received this from $n y$ droughtsmaris, I looked at it and was not at all sure why this was necessary because seation 29, which it is sought to repeat, presently states, and I quote. "In addition to every person having right of audience before the Court in its jurisdiction generally, as a Court of Appeat, every advocate, baxrister, soliciton and attorney-at-law having the right to appear and practise before the Grand Court in any capacity shall have the right to appear and practise in a similar capacity before the Court when exercising the jurisdiction conferred upon it by this Law.".

And then I realised the reason for this. This had to appear previously in the Law because the Court of Appeal which we went to was not a Court of Appeal within the Cayman Islands, but with a Court of Appeal of another country, namely that of Jamaica and the might of audience before that Court of Appeal in Jamaica was not necessarily the same right of audience as was contained in our Lows, either in our Grand Court Low or by our Legal Practitioners Law, 1969. And there had to be an expressed revision in our Cou $t$ of Appeal Low since at that time it was the Court of Appeal of Iamaica that everybody who had a might of audience here, would have a right to a right of audience in the Court of Appeal of Jamaica in respect of cases coming on appeal from our Grand court.

Now that we have our oum domestic Court of Appeat of the Istands, this is no longer necessamy as the right to appear in that Court is clearly aiven to every attorney -at-law who is admitted to practice under the provisions of the Legal Practitioners Law, 1969.

I think, $M r$, President, it $i_{e}$ fair to say that none of these nine Clauses, which are contained in the Bill, are in any way controversial. They have been prepared following the constitutional amenoment: they have been submitted to the Chief Justice for his comments and he has approved of them: they have been submitted to the Law Reform Advisory Committee, which I chairs which is an ad hoc advisory committee to assist in revision of the law - in fact at the time they were submitted, both the Judiciary and that Advisory Committee suggested a number of other omendments which could suitably be made to our Court of Appeal Low. The amendments suggested were nomerous and it was thought better to bring the minimum necessamy, consonant with the constitutional conendment, rather than delay it until later in the year. But, it is hoped. Mr. President, that within the neat twelve monthe there will be an opportunity to present to this House a new revised and streamlined Court of Appeal Low.

With these few words, Mr. President, Sirs, I beg to move the Second Reading.

MR. ERESIDENT;
Before I put the motion, since it is just after hatf-past four and since I believe it is the wish of Members that we should continue beyond the time provided for under Standing Order 10, I witi invite the Honourable First Official Member to move an appropriate motion suspending Standing orders.

SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 10(2)
HON. D. H. FOSTER.
Mr. President, if it is the wish of the House, Sir, $\bar{I}$ would like to move the suspension of Standing

HON. $D_{2} H_{0}$ FOSTER (CONTINUING): Order 10(2) to enable us to carry on after four-thirty, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad \because$ The motion is that, in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 52, Standing Order 10(2) be suspended to enable the House to continue beyond four-thirty. My understanding is that Members considered it would be appropriate to continue for approximately one hour and I shall be guided by that wish untess anything to the contrayy is said in the debate on this motion.

So the motion is for the suspension of Standing Order 10(2). Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? ............ I will put the queston.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STAMDING ORDER 10(2) SUSPENDED.
MR. PRESIDENT:
In that case the motion that a Bill for a Law to amend the Court of Appeal Lau, be given a Second Reading is now open for debate. Does any Honoumable Member wish to speak? ................. If not, I wiil put the question.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.

THE CUSTOMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 46(1)

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. T. C. JEFFERSON:

Suspension of Standing Order 46 (1).
Standing Order 82 . I move President, in accordance with so that the

MR. PRESIDENT:
with the provi The question is that, in accordance suspended toisions of Standing Order 82, Standing Order 46 (1) be (umendent) enable the First and Second Readings of the Custome (Amendment) Bitt, 1984. Does any Ilonourable Member wish to speak? .................. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 46 (1) SUSPENDED.

## FIRST READING

CLERK:
THE CUSTOMS (AMENDMENZ') BILL, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT:
A Bill entitled A Bitl for a Law to Amend the Customs Law is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down for Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: THE CUSTOMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984.
HON. T. C. JBFFERSON: Mr. President, I move the Second Reading of a Bitl entitled A Bill for a Liow to Amend the Custome Low (second revision).
Mrendment. Clause 1 deals with the conferming upon the Customs

HON. T. C. JEFEERSON (CCNTINUATING): Officer the power to arrest any person who is suspected of being of breach of certain eections of the Customs Low; and Clause 3 deals with section 21(4) which is now no longer necessary.

Clause 9 (e) of the Customs L(aw (second revision) reads, "to arrest without warrant any person liable to be detained under this Law:". If my understanding is correct, Mr. President, the Law does not find who can be detained. And the other aspect of it, NRw, Fresident, even if it did, I think at this stage the practicalities of it are a bit cumbersomes for examples if a Customs Officer or Officers come across a person who is perhaps five miles awoy from these Islands and is suspected of smuggling, it is going to present some problem for the Officer to detain him until the police arrive.

The amendment aeeks to give the Officer the authority to arrest under certain sections of the Customs Lcuw.
clause 50 deats with offences against Officers such as bribes of the Officer or assaulting of the Officer, or in any way obstruoting the Officer in carrying out his duties. Clause 51 deats with smuggling. Clause 52 deals with engagement in sntuggling. clause 53 - presumption of being engaged in smuggling and lastly, Mr. President, Clause 54 deale with the evading of oustom import duty.

Perhaps, Mr. President, it is unfortunate that we in the Cayman Islandshave come to the position to comend the Low for this particular purposes, but we have seen many unpteasant deatings in the last year and in order to be responsible as a Government. the amendment in this case is necessamy because of the practioalities of the matter.

Clause 2s which deals with section 21(4), is no longer necessary, Mr. President, beaause at the time when the Customs Low was onaoted there was a shortage of storage space in George Town. That section basically said, and it is still Lcuw, Mr. President, as we know, "No goods shatl be pexmitted to remain on deposit in any warehouse for a period in excess of one year. ". As we know, Mr. President, there are many warehouses in George Town: there is much space that could be rented and for the swift operations of imports and the clearing thereof', I think this particular section is no longer necessary.

I commend the Bill to Members.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill antitled $\bar{A}$ Bitt for a Low to Amend the Custome Law (second revision) be given a Second Reading. The motion is open for debate.

If no Member wishes to speak, I
will put the question.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
on the third paragraph of the Bill where it seeks to revoke subsection (4) of section 21 .

I thought, when I read the Bill, that
it had a completely different intent from what it appears from the mover is intended. As I understand this section, warehouse here meane a warehouse, not the Goverment warehouse, but an approved warehouse where goods, upon which duty has not been paid, may be stored. It is an approved warehouse and I thought that the Bill was seeking to limit the time that they would be able to hotd those goods there for one year. But I now gather a different interpretation from the mover and $I$ am wondering what the real intent of the Bill $i_{B}$ - that section of the Bilit, because warehousing

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): there, in my interpretation, refers to an approved warehouse where persons move things tike liquors cigarettes and such and pay the duty when they are taken out of secured areas within that warehouse.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless any other Honourable Member wribes to speak. I will ask the mover whethex he wishes to exercise his right of renty to eluoidate the one point......


#### Abstract

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I rise to thank Members for their support of the Bizl.

In terms of the question being posed by the Third Elected Member for West Bay, my understanding is that we are talking about a Government warehouse. The ware.house at the airport has been used for many years, Mr. President, as a storage facility rather than the use for which it shoutd be property put. And that is the reason for this amendment.

MR. PRESIDENT: I will put the question that a Bill for a Low to Amend the Customs Law (second revision) be given a Second Reading.


QUESTION PUT: AGREED BY MAJORITY. BILL GIVEN A SECOMD READING.
MR. PRESIDENT: Maybe we can etucidate the warehouse point further at Conmittee Stage if need be.

> THE COMPANIES MANAGEMENT BILL, 1984
> SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER $46(1)$

MR. PRESIDENT:
Suspension of Standing Orders.
HON. T. C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. President, in accordance with Standing Order 82, I move the suspension of Standing Order 46(1) to enable the Companies Management Bilt. 1984, to proceed through the First and Second Readings.

MR. PRESIDENT: The motion before the House is that, in accordance with the provisions of Stonding Order 82, Standing Order 46(1) should be suspended to enable the First and Second Readings of the Companies Management Bill, 1984, to be taken. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? .......... I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 46(1) SUSPENDED.

## FIRST' READING

CLERK: THE COMPANIES MANAGEMENT BILL, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT:
A Bill entitled a Bill for a Law
to Iicence and Control the business of Company Monagement and to provide for connected and related matters is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down for Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK:
THE COMPANIES MANAGEMENT BILL, 1984.
HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I move the Second Reading of a Bill entitled a Bill for a Low to Licence and Control the business of Company. Management and to provide for conneoted and related matters.

The object of the Bill, Mr. Presidents is to provide a system of ticensing and control of persons and oompanies engaged in the business of providing manageriat services for profit or reward in respect of other oompanies.

The provisions of the Bill deal with the licensing of persons engaged in such business and the restrictions and conditions which apply to such licensees. Powers are proposed to be pranted, under the Bill, to the Inspeotor with regards to the general supervision and controt, to the Financial Secretary with regards to protection reauired to oomponies for which such managers act and to the Covernor reaarding the power to revoke or suspend ticences.

Mr. Presidents in November last, whilet delivering the Budget Address, I made mention of a Select Committee, for which $I$ will propose a motion at a later time, to be eetablished to coneider this particular subject.

As indicated in that Budget Address, Mr. President, we would, at the Select Committee, allow any member of the puiblic to come and make representation on any aspect of company management leaislation.

We had representations, Mr. Presi.. dent, from many institutions, many individuals - they were all heard - they were alt very appreciative of the time given by the Select Conmittee and as a result, Mr. President, we have before us today a Bill, perhaps a hiatorical Bill., Mr. President, as in the search by Government to try to find some country with a law to deal with company management proved very unsucoessful. Perhaps there is a country, No. President, but we could not find one. Therefore, this Bill has been put together by the experiences of people in the business sector of these Islands: by the experience of the Members of the Select Committee and it does give disaretion in some areas the power to deal with certain aspects which could not be properly defined due to the complexity of the item.

I believe, Mr. President, that should th, House pass this Bilt, it is going to be seen as one of the major acoommishmente during 1984. Most of us, if not all, are coware of the needs to ensure confidentiality and professionatism when dealing with a person's funds: when dealing with assets owned by a company. And I think that this piece of legistation, atthough perhaps, Mr. President, not one hundred percent in the first instance ( $I$ do not think any legislation is, qiven the circumetances of this one), is a Bill and hopefulty a Law that the financial community of the Cayman Istands has been asking for for some time and they are going to weloome this piece of legiolation with open arms.

I commend the Bill to Members, Mr.
President.

## MR. CRADDOCK EEANKS:

excused at this time, Sir?
MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that
MR. ERESIDENT (CONTINUING), a Bill for a Law to Licence, and
Controt the business of Company Management and to provide for
connected and retated matters, be read a Second time.
The motion is open for debate.
the question. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN $A$ SECOND READING.

## SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 14(1)

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, under the provisions
of Standing Order $8 \overrightarrow{2}$, I would like to move the suspension of Standing Order 14(1), 30 that the Government Motions may be placed on the Order Paper and dealt with before the Committee Stage and Report on Bills.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT.
They are on the Ordex Paper I think atready, it is just a question of shufflinc the order. The motion before the House is, then, that Government Motions, item 3(b) on the Order Paper, should be taken before we go into Conmittee to deal with the Bilis.

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to that motion? ...... If not, I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 14(1) SUSPENDED.

## GOVERNMENT MOTTONS

MR. PRESIDENT:
Motion No. 5 of 1984.
The next item witl be Government

## GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 5/84 <br> APPOINTMENT OF SELECT COMMITTEE



HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUTNG):
AND that the said Select Committee do make its report to this Honourable House in the month of or before the month of September. 1984.".

The reason for this motion, Mr. Presidents is that we have a new labour code drafted, but as it is such an important piece of leaislation we feel that the House should take time in a Select Conmittee to deal with it rather than in the open House at the beginning.

I commend it to the Members. Thank you.

MR, PRESIDENT:
I will not read the full motion
pight through again, but the motion before the House is Government Motion No. 5 reconmending the appointment of a select Committee and it is open for debate. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, if I understood the mover of this Motion cormectly, he said that there is a draft Bill in existence relating to this matter - that is the relationship between employer and employee and in view of that $I$ am wondering, Mr. President, why the Bill was not brought to the House and sent to a Committee. It would aeem to me to be a much more businesalike way of having dealt with the matter.

As it is now, the Committee following Standing Orders on Committees, cannot strictly deal with the draft Bill which the Member says he has in his possession because the Committee, according to Standing Orders, con only deal with matters referred to it by the Legislative Assembly, as in the case of the Bill which has been referred to. And I am surprised to hear that there is a draft Bill and that we are taking this route of appointing a Committee to study, generally, existing Laws and to make recommendations.

It seems to me that following this
procedure, if we are going to stay within Standing Orders of the House, it is possible that we will not get the necessary legislation on the books before the House is dissotved in September. Whereas, if the Bill had been brought it could have certainly been brought back to the House from the Committee and passed in the September Sitting.

I know that there is precedenoe, Mr. President, in dealing with legislation in this way. When I say precedence, it has recently become fomiliar and much often used tool of Government to appoint these Committees, but this is the firet time that I have heard it said that there is a draft Bill which could in fact have been brought and sent to the Committee instead of this resolution.

I an therefore wondering whether any useful purpose is going to be semed by this resolution unless we are goting to breach standing Orders dealing with procecture in Committees.

As I said, I am cognisant that this has been happening, but I am not satisfied that it is strictiy in accordance with the Standing Onders of the House that we study a Bill in Conmittee and bring it back at the aame time as we are bringing the Report of the Committee to this House. Now this is what happens when this method of formulating a Committee to study legislation is ueed. This is what has been happening - we have been coming back with the legialation as part of, or certainly

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONIINUING): appended to the Report and bringing it to the House in the some Sitting. In fact, it has sometimes, $I$ believe, been published when the Report comes to the House and that certainly cannot be in keeping with Standing Orders. And for that reason I am reluctant, as much as I want to see this legistation before the House, and I wonder why the Member did not bring the Bill. As I said, that would have been the businesslike way of having achieved his objective.

I am not, at this point, Mr. Presid-
ont going to vote against the Select Comittee because it is possible that the leamed Honourable Second Officiat Member can convince me. with his legal knowledge, that my intempretation of the Standing Orders is wrong, but I am reserving the right to raise that matter when we get into Committee.

## Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that, if I may just comment for a moment before the Honourable Member replies, it may technically have been wrong to refer to the document, mentioned by the mover of the Motion, as a Bill because it has not yet been introduced to the Legislative Assembly and it therefore is, as it were, a draft series of proposale rather than a draft Bill. If it is a draft series of proposals, then I see no reason why the Select Committee should be debarred from considering it just as a Committse can, when reviewing other legistation as requested in and reautred by the motion, consider whatever other recommendations or proposals it wishes for changes.

> HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I am somewhat shocked to hear this type of speech from the Member in objecting ....

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think perhape the Honourable
Member is exercising his right of reply if so, t would just tike to be sure that there is no other Member who wishes to speak first. If there is not, you may certainly...... No, very well, I om sorry to have interrupted you, but I did not want to deny others a chance.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Allwight, Sir. This Member is constantly complaining that he is not kept abreast of what is going on; that there is inside secrecy he should know and so on and so forth. I was a bit wrong in stating after the Motion that there had been certain preparation made towards this Bill, but I was only trying to be decent enough to the Members of this House to make them cognizant of the fact that we had done some preparation in regard to this. This is an important piece of legistation.

If the Members would wish to call a Special Meeting, I would be pleased to ask you to catl a Special Meeting ( $I$ am sure I can get five Honourable Members on our side to do sol to bring the House back to deal with it in a Meeting here. But, I thought this would be, and other Members concurped, the beat way to do it.

If the Member objects to the Bitl
he can make a Minomity Report and append it when it is submitted baok to the House. So I do not see what he is alarmed about, other than to just hear himself talking.

MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
under Standing Orders.
The question is that .........
But maybe you do not see finesse

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: not.

Order: Order:
Not if you prepored them, I would

MR. PRESTDENT:
The question before the House is that Government Motion No. 5 be passed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION DO. 5/84 PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT:
And I think that the Motion itself does contain provision, both for the composition of the Select Committee and for a quomon. So that is in onder.

GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 6/84
HOUSTNG DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

MR. PRESIDENT: . Government Motion No. 6.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Government Motion .........
Mr. President, what about the
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Chakman, Sir?
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think I witl leave the Conmittee to appoint its own Chairman which is, as I understand its permissable under Standing Order 69(2).

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Government Motion No. 6 - Housing Development Corporation. The motion reads as follows -
"That this Honourable Legislative Assembly, in accordance with the provisions of section 20(1) of the Housing Development Corporation Law, 1981, (Low 14 of 1981) approve that the Govervment of the Cayman Islands do guarantee the payments of the principle and interest of the borrowing by the Housing Development Corporation of the sum of 5 milition United States dollare by way of mortgage debentures issued by the Corporation bearing interest at the rate of five percentum per annum and redeemable at maturity on the first day of Aprit, 2004.".

Mr. President. this was a result of a Finance Committee Meeting and there was onty one objection by the Members of Finance Conmittee to bring this before the House. I did so. It was not my first intention to do so because $I$ would have preferred for it to have stood in its original form. But, as the majority of Members wanted it done this way, I consented to bring the Motion.

MR. PRESIDENT: Again I will not read the full Motion, but the Motion is Government Motion No. 6 dealing with the Housing Development Corporation and it is open for debate. Does ony Honourable Member wish to speak............... If not, I will put the question.

## SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTOXICATTNG LIOUOR

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
No. 7-Select Committee Mr. President, Govermment Motion reade ..
"That this Honourable House do, in accordance with Standing Order 69 of the Legislative Assembly Standing Orders, 1976, constitute a select Conmittee to consider and report upon the Laws of the Cayman Islands which now regulate the sale and consumption of intoxicating liquor within the Cayman Islands and atso report upon the Jows of the Cayman Istands which now regulate misic and dancing in premises whioh are licensed to sell intoxicatina liquor, or where food or refreshments are sold, or where a charge is made for admission, and to make recommendations as to whether any changes in such Laws are necessary or desirable and if it is eo considered, to make recomendations as to what cmending or new legistation is, in its opinion, necessary or desirable:
AND that this Honourable House do appoint all the Members of the Legislative Assembly, both Elected and Official, to be Members of such Committee and that the quorum for a meeting of such select Cormittee shatl be seven Members:

AND that the said Seleet Committee do make its Report to this Honourable House in the month of or before the month of Septembers, 1984.".

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Again I will not read the full text of the Motion which is Government Motion No. 7, recommending the appointment of a select Comittee to consider the Lav about the sale of intoxieating liquors and allied matters.

The Motion is open for debate.
Does any Honourable Member wish to speak?........... If nots I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTTON NO. 7/84 PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT: Again, the Motion itself provides for the composition of the Seleet Committee and for $a$ quorum, and agains in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 69(2), I propose not to make a nomination, but to allow the Conmittee to slect one of its Members as Chaiman.

We now have finished the Motions and go back again to the Bills. The House will now go into Committee to study a Bill entitled the Legal Practitioners (Amendment) Bill, 1984, and other Bills.

MR. CHAARMAN: The House is now in Committee. A Bill for a Low to Amend the Legal Practitioners Lows 1969.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chaiman, Six, I take it we can go in these Commttee stages in accordance with previous procedure whereby if there is any, merely, typogrophical or punctuation changes that $I$, in consultation with the Clerk, can do them and need not bother the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have noticed auite a number of printing errors. It might do no harm as we go through clauses just to drow attention to them in case the clerk and/or the Honourable Attorney-Generat have not themselves spotted them, but if Members are content we witl not move amendnents each time, we will just take note of those. Would that be agreeable to Members? ........ Yes, I eense it would. So amendments will be amendments of substance and not the correation of typographical errore.

CLERK: CLAUSE I - SHORT TITLE,
MR. CHATRMAN: question is that Clause 1
Mtand port of the Bill? If there is no debate I will put the
question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 2 - AMENDMENT OF SECTION 2 OF LAW 9 OF 1969.

HON. MICHAEL $J$. BRADLEY, Mr. Chairman, Sir, there is, in tine ones, in the spelling of the word "practitioners" and I have circulated to Honourable Members a notice of intention to move a Committee Stage anendment after I have obtained your leove as Chairman, Sir, in accordance with Standing Order 52(2) which I now request.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Leave is aranted and I think the notice of the amendment proposed has bsen circulated to Members.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Indeed, Sir.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Nr. Chairman, I know in our Interpretation Law, Sir, Islands mean Cayman Istande, but I would eugge日t that we add "Cayman" in front of the word"Islands", to read "Cayman Islands". I think it would sound better if we made that amendment.

HON. MICHAEL J. BPADLEY: Mn. Chairman; perhaps, Sir, before we come to that $I$ could move $m y$ amendment to which notice has been given, namely that clause 2 of the Bill be amended by the deletion of the words "in subsection (1)'.

The reason for this, Sir, is that
I have noticed that whereas this clause 2 specifically refers to subsection (1) of section 2 of the principat Low, the principal Low in 1972 was amended by deletina subsection (2) and also changing subsection (1) into the substantive section. So these words are

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: (CONTTNUING) inaccurate. I bed to move that...

MR. CHATRMAN:
So perhaps we can take that amendment first. Does any Member wish to speak to that amendment? $\ldots .$. If not I will put the question that the clause be amended ......... Yes?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, just that I would like to hear that explanation again. I am afraid he lost me.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY. With pleasure, Mr. Chairman. In 1972 , by Law 11 of 1972 which was an amendment to the Legat Practitioners Law, 1969 (the principal Law), section 2 of that 1972 Law said that section 2 of the principal Law is amended by deleting the bracketed figure $1_{s}$ appearing in the first line thereof and by deleting aubsection (2) thereof.

What happened at that time was that previously there had been two subsections to seetion 2. They got rid of subsection (2) and therefore it was inaccurate to call the remaining subsection, subsection (1) and it become the substantive section.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am not sure I have, but I have accepted it. If there is no further question I will put the question that clause 2 be anended as proposed by the Honourable Second Official Member.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The Fixst Elected Member for the Lesser Istands has a further amendment.

CAPT' CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Nr. Choirmen, Sir, I was suggesting that we put "Cayman" in front of "Islands" so it would read,""Court of Appeal" means the Court of Appeal of the Cayman Islands."

I om aware, Mr. Chairman, that
Islands in our Interpretation Law meane Cayman IsLands; but I just thought it would sound better.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: If it was only that, Ar. Chairman, I would hardly agree with the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands, but my concern is that our Constitution in the new Part $V$, Section 49, speoifically says, "there shatl be Court of Appeal for the Islands". And I would hesitate to use a phrase other than that contained in the Constitution.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Thank you, sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN: So you will withdraw the proposed
amendment?
CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Yes, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN: In that cases unlese any Member has any further comment or point to make, I will put the quaetion that Clause 2 as already anended, by arreements stark? pastit of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT; AGREED. CLAUSE 2 AS AMENDED PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 3 - AMENDAENT OF SECTION IS OF THE PRINCIPAL IAW.
HON: MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Typogrophical error, Mr. Chairman, in the newly to be substituted subseotion (1); delete comna at the word Attorney-at-Law in line one thereof.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Unless there is cny further oorment or debate, I witl put the question that Clause $3_{x}$ subject to the minor typographioal correction, do stand part of the Bilit?

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILI FOR A LAW TO AMEND TGE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS LAW, 1969 (LAW 9 OF 1969).

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that the Title and
Enacting Clause stand part of the Bill?
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. TITLE AND ENACTING CLAUSE PASSED.

THE CRTMINAL PROCEDUPE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
MR. CHATRMAN:
(Amendment) Bill, 1984.
Next, the Cximinal Procedure

CLERK: CLAUSE 1 - SHORT TITLE.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill? If there is no debate I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 FASSED.
CLE'RK: CLAUSE 2 - AMENDMENT OF SECTION 27 OF LAW 13 OF 1975.
MR. CHAIEMAN: The question is that Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill? If there is no debate I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 3 - AMENDMENT OF SECTION 66.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that clause 3 do stand part of the Bill? If there is no debate I will put the question.

QUESTITON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 4-AMENDMENT OF SECTION 71.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that clause 4 do stand part of the Bill? If there is no debate $I$ will put the question.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: 'I think the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay mentioned this the Second Reading speech.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Mr. Chaipman, this was the section that gave me some ooncern in the Second Reading yesterday.why this proviso should only be put in in respect of any prosecution instituted under section 61 of the Iraffic taw' The reason given. I think, or as I understood it, in the presentation of the Bill by the Honourable Second Official Member was because section 61 carried the mandatory punishment of losing a licence for at least a period of one yerr. And I question why thie was being put in when there were other mandatom sentences in respect, for example, of the Misuse of Druga Law, and, I am sure, other Laws. I believe that in the winding up, or in some discussion or whatever, the Honourable Second Official Nember agreed with the submisaion and said that maybe there could be an omendment at this atage. And there was also an indication by him that he was bringing a move comprehensive amendment, I betieve, or another law or whatever, maybe at a different Meeting. So I am in the hands of the House really with this. If the Honoupable Member feels that it would be usefut to put the other offences here on sections of the Low here, $I$ would support it. If he feels that it could be better done tater, I would not push it. And, in fact, having read the section over again last night, I wonder whether the amendment is necessary at alt inasmuch as the section of the Criminat Procedure Code - in my opinion if there is a mandatory sentence, would not the judge be bound by that anyway if it is within the Lcow?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: With your permission, Mr. Chairman, Sir, this specific Clause was brought in this amending Bill beause there had been a welt argued case made out some time ago by an eminent counsel practicing here to the Macistrate's Court, but notwithetanding the mandatoxy provisions as regarding suspension of driving licence for a conviction under Section 61 of the Traffic Lows but nevertheless the discretion still remained with the Magistrate by virtue of Section 71 of the Criminal Frocedure Code to grant an absolute discharge.

The Magistrate at that time listened to the submission: thought there was some merit in it, but determined on other causes that he would nots at that time, exercise that power even if he had it.

I have felt that in this partioular instance, and Goverrment itselfs, that it is inappropriate in this very timited aase that such prower to give absolute discharge and not to suspend should be with the Court. I would be reluctant without carefully considering all the Laws in whioh minimum sentences and mandatory sentences are imposed, to mush it in hastity here, sir I had at one time thought that we could extend it to the Misuse of Drugs Law, but, since, I intend bringing changes to that back before the House this year. Basically I agree, in principat, or have no objection in principat to what the Member says; but I would not like to rush into it and find out that we had done more than we intended to do. If I could give him my assurance, I will acrefully consider.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mx. Chaiman, I believe what the Member is referving to and perhaps a simple way of doing it when the amendment comes back is just making a provision that the discharge shall not relate to any offence of which the marimum penolty exceeds, say, three years or five yeare, or where there is a mandatory sentence.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
As I said, Mr. Chaiman, I am not
going to push the matter

I am told that the tape has run out which is perhaps a lesson to us that we thould be finishing: and we did say that we would continue for an hour which is, by my watch, going to end in a moment. I am sure Members would not wish to continue the debate at a time when the tape was not functioning. So, perhaps, at this stage we can break off proceedings.

I think, technically, the House must reconvene to adjoum, so we witl note that we have completed the first three Clauses and are debating the fourth Clause of a Bill to Amend the Criminat Procedure Code, but in the meanwhite the House witl resume.

## HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT: The House has resumed. I am not reporting the Committee Stage .......... (Please be seated). I shall not, at this stage, report what has so far taken place in Committee: I shall wait to do that tomorrow - just the adjournment.

## ADJOURNMENT

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I move the adjournment of this House until 10:00 $0^{\circ}$ clock tomorrow morning.
MR. PRESIDENT: The question is that this House do now adjourn until 10:00 o'olock tomorrow morning. Does any Member wish to speak? ....... I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 5:29 F.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. WEDNESDAY, $97 H$ MAY, 1984.
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## 10:00 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENP:
Plaaee be seated.
I see that on the Onder Paper, the firet item is the suspension of Standing Onder to enable the Companies Management Bill various atages to be taken. Subject to advice I think that probably should oome before the Third Reading, beoduse we have. already suspended Standing Orders to enable the Fipst and Second Readings of that Bill, which we had, and to enable the committee stage, I take it but, I cum not quite sure, perhaps the suspension that we - If I am advised that we ought to suspend again at this stage .........

HON. D. A. FOSTER: $\quad$ Mr. President, Sir, I think you are perfeotly right if my memomy serves me right. On yesterday's Onder Paper we suspended that and did the Fipst Reading.

MR. PRESIDENT:
yea, that is right. It is only a question of whether that enables us to deal with the conmittee stage too.

HON. MRCAAEL W, BRADLEY: - I think, Mr. Fresident, Sir, that it it not a question of the suspension of Standing Orders as aometimes happens to enable more than two Readings to be taken the eame day, it is the on going neoberity to keep Standing Onders suspended for'att stages of it because at ail atages it faila to comply with standing order 4611 , which refere to oopies having reaohed every. Member not less than seven days before it is proposed to read it a First Time.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Then presunably the suspension will have:
to be in respeot of both Bills, in respect of which we suspended Standing Onders yesterday - not only the Companies Maragement Bill, but also the Customs (Amendment) Bill. We did in both cases suspend Starding orders to enable the First and Second Readings to be taken yesterday, and if we are going to be oonsistans, and if your argument is correct then we shall have to audpend Standing Orders today in order to snable us to. continue with the remaining etages, committee and Ihird Reading of both. Bills.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Unless of course it is the situation as I suepset it may be, that we had reached day 6 of the Chetome Bill yesterday but have now reached day asven of it today, whioh means it is in order.

MR. PRESIDENT:
BON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEX: . I think the Clerk has nodded to me.
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. PRESIDENT: Yes, I think the Clerk hais probably got it quite vight that we do need to suspend standing onder 40 (1) to enable - ta do exaotly what it adye on the Order Raper. In which perhape we might as weil do it at this stage.

## SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 46 (1)

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, in accordance with Standing Order 82 I move the suspension of standing Order 46 (1) to enable the remaining stages of the Companies Manangement Bill to be taken.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that in accordanoe with the provieionsof Standing Order 82; Standing Onder 46 (1) be suspended to enable

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): alt the remaining stages of the Companies Management $\bar{B} i l Z$ to be taken.

Does ant Honourable Member wish to speak?
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 46(1).WAS SUSPENDED.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ In that case since when we broke off procesdings yeeterday we had been in committee, the House will now return to committee to continuing studying the Criminal Frocedure (Amendment) Bitl. The House is now in committee.

## THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

COMMITTEE THEREON (CONTINUING)
MR: CHAIRMAN:
We were yesterday when we broke off
discussing Clause 4 of the Bill; but my recollection is, we had not completed our discussion of it. I think the point had been raised that consideration might be given to including similar provisions to that included in Clause 4 in respect of other legislation which provided for mandatory minimum sentences, and I think that the Second Offieial Member had said that that was a point that he would like to coneider, but that it needed oareful study, and that he might bring a further Bill about the matter to the Assembly in due course, if I understood it coxrectly, but that he would be reluctant to take an off-thercuff decision without looking at. it carefully or reluctant to advise the Assembly to take an off-thewcuff decision. I'think the Member who had raised the point had axpected satisfaction, but if I am incorrect about that no doubt Members will put me right.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
I accepted the information stated by the Second Offreial Member,

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I will ask then, if any Member has any further point to raise in respect of clause 4. If not I will put the question that Clause 4 do atand part of the Bill.

QUESTTON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE \& PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 5. INSERTION OF NEW SECTION 105.1.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
part of the Bill.
I have noticed three or four typographical or similar erpors in Clause 5. The marginal note, there needs to be an " $n$ " on the end of section and the new section 105 A subsection (5) paragraph (b) about the sixth line "anytime" I imagine should be two words. The new Clause section 105A subsection (7) paragraph (b) "publication" needs to be spelt correotly, and paragraph (a) second line "anybody" should probabliy be two words and I think it is the eighth or nineth tine of paragraph (c) where it goes "shall be" I think that "shall be" should govern both ( $b$ ) and (c).

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I was going to mention that, sir, but basioally its the lay-out that oan change the meaning of it. Subseotion (7) should start - the first three tines and then ail of (a) and (b) and all of (c) up to the word "periodical," should be indented, there should then be a new sentence started "ahall be" which would go up to the margin, because "shall be guilty of an offence" governs ( $a$ ), ( $b$ ) and ( $a$ ).

MR. PRESIDENT:
Other Members may have spotted other printing or typographical errors; but those were the ones that I noticed.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: There is another "publication" in aubsection ( 8 ) in the penultimate line.

MR. CHATRMAN: If as yesterday the committee is prepared to agree that the Seoond Official Member and the Clexk may between them correct what axe plainly typagraphical errors we can simply take note that they will do so.

Does any Honourable Member wish to
speak as to the substance of clause 5? If not I witl put the question.
MR. BEDSSON O. EBANKS:
I have a question on this, Mr. Chairman.
If the reason for this section is as was stated to keep certain svidence from a prospective juror prior to the aotual trial in Grand Court. It seems to me that that is defeated by the permiseion contained in subsection (5), where, as I see it, there is no pestriation on what can be reported once the conmittal has taken place.

MR. CHA IRMAN:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Bubseotion (5) says, "It ahall not be buaw under this aection to broadeast matters other than that permitted by subsection ( 6 )-(a) where the court determines not to conmit the aooused person; or determines to commit one of the acoused persons, for trial, after it so determins; or (b) where the court conmits the accused person or any of the acoused pereons for trial, after the oonelusion of his trial".". I would think the "trial" would cover it, Sir. I am sorry about that.

MR: CHATRMAN:
I think it is alright.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir.
HON. TRUMAN: M. BODDEN:
the Englidh Statue, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
get out, but I was worried that thie was when you out but $P$ see the trial is the operative word.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Either he is not going to be tried at all or the triat hae been completed, that was how I read it.

Any further point? $\because$ In that case $I$ will
put the question that Clause 5 do stand part of the Bill.
QUESTIOT PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 5 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE G. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 160.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: : Mr. Chairman, for the avoidance of doubt could I make it ctear to Members that it is my underptanding that we are in committee stage on Clause. 6 ae it is a oontained on the penultimate page of the green copy. - and then we go on to a new Clause 7 on the white oopy. In other words this is the entirety of clause 6.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
That is right. On the bottom of that page: in the grien copy which itself is Supplement No. 3 printed with Gazette no.9, beoause I think there is two green copies. So, as long as Members have Supplement No. 3 preinted with Gasette No. 9 , then it is the set Clause 6 at the end of the penultimate page of that, and it is "Amendment ; of Section 160".

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak. to Clause 6. In that case I will put the question, that Clause 6 do

# -4- <br> MR. CHAIRMAN (CONTINUING): stand part of the Bill. <br> QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 6 PASSED. 

MR. CHATPMAN:
Before the Clerk reads Clause 7 I witl remind you as indeed the second Official Member has just done, that clause 7 is on a white sheet of paper which was distributed before we had the first reading when I explained the muddle that had been with the printing, and it is Clause 7 as on the white sheet that we shall be discusaing.

CLERK: CLAUSE 7. AMENDMENT OF SCHEDULE I.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 7 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (LAW 13 of 1975.

MR. CHALRMAN: The question is that the Title and enacting Clause stand part of the Bill.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I am Borry, and if I am out of order in going baek, tell me so.

In looking at eub-section (5) of Section 5 again. (a) does seem to stand on its own since there is "or: following $i t$, and in the circumstances it appears to me there that there is a loop-hole.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: What kind of a Zoop-hole, Mr. Chaimman?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Well, subsection (5) starts by saying, "It ohall not be untawful under this section to publish or broadeast a report of conmittal proceedings containing any matter other than that permitted by subsection ( 6 )", which means, as far as I am concerned, there is no restriction on what a an be published. And (a) says "where the court determines not to commit the aooused person, or determines to oommit one of the acoused persons, for trial, after it so determines; or" and then we come to (b). Now $I$, awhile ago thought ( $b$ ) took care of it, but (a) seems to stand out there and leave a loophole. I may be wrong, but that is the impression I have.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I have the English Statue that this was lifted from, and if I may just read it, because it is drafted with two negatives. The reason for it in the drafting is, because the Draftsman did not wish to make it lawfil he merely wish to not make it unlawful, and the section is section 8 subsection (3) of the Magistrate's Court Aet, 1980, and it says this, "It shall not be untawfut under this section to publish or broadeast a report of committal proceedings containing any matter other than that permitted by subsection (4) below (a) where the Magiatmate's Court determines not to commit the acouped or determines to commit none of the acoused for trial after it ao determines. (b) Where the court commits the accused or any of the accused for trial after the conclusion of his trial or as the case may be, the triat of the last to be tried". Then it goes on "and where at any time during the enquiry".

MR. CHAIRMAN:
If I may intemupt the Honourable Member, I think that may have helped, because what you read out says "commit none of" and ours says "cormit one of". I think perhaps there has been typing error.

Under our new proposed Sedtion 105 A subsection (5) paragraph (a) third line, it says "determines to commit one of", what you read out was "none of".

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:

MR. CHAIKMAN:

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
HR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
what we are saying here.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
-5-
That is correct, this has "oommit nons".
I am sure "oommit none" would make sense.
It doea.
If you commit one you do not achieve

MR. CHAIRMAN:
It should be "none", that is correct.
: $\because$ Now wa had already in committee passed that CLause, so I think I will have to ask somebody to be kind venough to move that we suspend Standing Orders to enable us to reconsider or recommit clause 5.
T. The Second Official Member has moved that in accordariee with Standing Order 82 , Standing Orders be suspended to enable us to reconmit Clause 5 of the Bill. May I have from somebody an amendment, possibly from the Third Eleeted Member for West Bay since he firnst wisad the point:

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I am quite happy for the Second Official
Member to correot this.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Your are quite happy for the Second Official Member. Welt, would the Second Official Member care to propose that Clause 5 be amended in the sense we have been discussing.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, Sir. I was one of the Members who was speaking tooking up the aetual manuscript copy submitted to the Clerik for publication and it is quite clear that in it, it said, "It shall not be lawful under this eection to publish or broadcast a report of committal proceedings etc., where it determines to commit none". So what was in fact submitted and was sent round in white copies originally to Members was comect, and in fact it is this green copy that is in error.

I would therefore beg to propose, Sir, with youn leave, which $I$ hope $i_{s}$ granted without notice under 52(2), that clause 5 be amended in respect to the proposed new Section 105A by the deletion of the word "one" in the fourth ine of paragraph (a) of subseation (5) and the substitution therefor of the word "none".

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. CHAIRMAN:
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:

Third line on copy.
I think it is the third line.
Third line, my apology, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
it is a signifi And in fact, I suppose conceivably, although and substantial change it is nonetheless the correction of a printing exror, and is covered by the general authority that the committee gave to the Second Official Member to corrrect printing errors, becaue that is clearly what it is...If the winte copy that reached Members said "none" and ........

HON. MICHAEL D. BRADLEY: But I an still very grateful to the Honourable Third Elected Nember for West Bay to be our invigilator, and there has happened in a case before, Sir, that a minor typographical error in the Misuse of Drugs, where instead of saying "seventy-five percent" it was printed as "five percent" necessated afterworde a further amendment.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, white on that, the other two printers errors are in the fifth line after the word "tried" and the "," the "and where":should be indented to cover both paragraphs. On the line after that, "anytime" should be separated, it should be two words, "any" and "time".

What I would say, Mr. Chairman, this seems like a lot of waste of the House's time, and I think the Printers should be asked "would they please get this thing correct", because here you have fifteen people sitting down paseing Laws and it is extremely difficult to see the leaving out of one alphabet, and I worry that we may well make an error. You know, they should have somebody who goes through this and produces a oorreet Bitl.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I an in futl agreement with that.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Since it is a matter of substance, Sir, could I propose with your leave a further amendment which is to change the indentation as it was in the circulated typewritten copy, which basioally is that subsection (5) starts with the first five tines up to the hyphen, then ( $a$ ) and ( $b$ ) or so much of ( $b$ ) as goes to the word "tried," in the fifth line are indented and then "and where" onwards is on the next line and out to the margin, because it governs both sub-paragraphe.
$\frac{\text { MR. CHAIRMAN: }}{\text { but if I }}$
I do not think I put the question,

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: to?

Which is the "and where" you are referring

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The new proposed Section 105A, which is within Clause 5, subsection (5) paragraph (b) tine five. Are you with me. "The trial of the last to be tried, and where at any time", and from "and where"onwards governs both sub-paragraph (a) and (b).

CAFT. C. L. KTRKCONNELL:
Mr. Chairman, the Supplement No. 2 Gazette
Mo. 8 has it correct.
MR. CHAIRMAN: That one had other thinge incorrect, but in corpecting they made new mistakes, I am afraid. So I think we can take it that the general authority given to the Second Official Member and the clerk to correat printing errors and the rest covers atl the adalitional points which we have just been disoussing, as to the word "none" and "one" and as to the layout of Clause 5. So I do not think we need to formally put the question regarding them, and I return to the atage which we had reached, which was that the Title and the enacting Clause stand part of the Bill.

I would just ask whethex any Member wish to speak on that, if not, I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE WAS PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: : That concludes that Bill.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Thexe is another typographical exror,
Sir, in sub-seation (11), the word "instituted" on the second line.
MR. CHATRMAN:
(No.2) Bitl2, 1984.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE I PASSED
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 67 OF LAW 13 OF 1975.
MR. CHATRMAN
The question is that clause 2 do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: : Ar. Chairman, I think this might be the appropriate plape for me to get an explanation, really, of what is the purport or the gist of the new eection 67A (1) that is being propoaed, that is,: where the person aan addrese the Court.

MR. CHATRMAN: $\quad \because$ think that io clause 3, we are on
clauae a now.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: $\because$ I am auare of that; Sir, but it does affect what we are taking out.

HON. MICHAEL.J. BRADLEY. I wonder, Mr. Chairman, hãe the Honoupable Member received a copy of the proposed conmittee stage amendments that I have circulated yesterday.

Section 67 is proposed to amend in accordance with clause 2. It is proposed to insert a new Clause 3 amending Section 129 of the Code, and it is proposed to renumber the present Clause 3 as Clause 4 , and then make two amemaments to that. so that the substantive words of Clause 3 come almost at the end of the Criminal Procedure Code so that is is quite clear that itwefers to Grand Court as well as Summary Court proceedings.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, I am aware of that. But what I am still not clear on is the explanation given in the winding up on the second debate of this about the un-represented person having all. of the rights that he now has to address the Court and what not. As I understand it, he cannot do that without going in the Bcs and being prepared to be croos-examined. Am I not right in my interpretation on that?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: : With reapeat, Mr. Chairman, rather jumping the gun to the substantive Clause, but if it helps the comittee I will....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: . If I aan explain why I am raising it at.
this point, is because it is germain to the amendment we are now proposing.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You mean you might object to the amendment now being proposed unless satiafied about the other point. I understand that.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: With reference, Mr. Chairman, to the un-represented person, the worde in the proposed new section that bite. conoerning that is "this subsection shall not affect the right of an

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): accused person, if he is not represented by counsel, to address the court or jury otherwise than on oath on any matter on which, if he were so represented, counsel could address the court or jury on his behatf". So what, the right that is still preserved there is for an non-represented person to do all the things in court that is counsel if he were represented could do, and does not take any of those away from them. What it does .....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: It takes away the right though, to give the unsworn statement and then makes him liable to oross-examination by a learned prosecutor.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: It prevents him from giving the unBworm statement, because his counse 2 . if he were represented, would not be able to say those thinge, Before, if he was represented by counecl, counsel could do all the normal pleadings, the introduction, the orossexamination of witnesses, not on oath, he then counsel at the end could put his accused person that he represents into the box - (not into the box) - could put the acoused person up and the acoused person not on oath could make an unsworn statement. If the person is unvepresented he can do all the things that he could do before, except make the unsworn statement at the end. It does not mean that he cannot crossexamine, it does not mean he cannot address the jury .....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Does a person who witl be unrepresented really have that ability? I have only seen in acoount in one case where such a person seemed to have done a good job for himself, and in fact, got off.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: AlL I can say, Mr. Chaizman, Sir, is that nothing a proseautor hates more is an accused who is representing himself, because it is much more difficult to prosecute such a case because it is found that the court in the interest of justice leans backwards and at time practically acts ae counsel for the defence for an unrepresented person.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: . I just have one last question, Mr. Chairman, and that is, if in the opinion of the Second Official Member having seen that thepe are separate sections for different courts, if he is satiafied that the magnitude of the case that would be tried in sumary court warrants taking away this privilege, bearing in mind of our legat aid system, as I understand it, is much more restricted than it is, say, in the United Kingdom. It lists the number of offences that you can get help in a much more restricted - are you satisfied that we should use tit for the summary court as well as .....

MR. CHAIAMAN:
I think really questions of this kind are straying (a) a bit beyond committee stage discussion and (b) a bit beyond what is normat or are norually allowed even in debate. I am not sure that any Member of Goverrment is obliged to answer about what his opinion is about some kind of

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Well, it is not being obliged, Mr. Chaixman, Sir, it is within my portfolio responsibilities, if $I$ was not able to support every word of it I would not have brought it.

MR. CHAIFMAN:
So, I think we should now
Just on a general point on that, Mr.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Chairman, I think that when we are in committee dealing with these Bills it is up to us to get information as is possible, and that is the .....

MR. CHAIRMAN:W
Well, I have been fairly tibratty in allowing backbench members opportunities to gain information in conmittee

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: A. And with regard to the last remark made by the mover, I mean, we have three or four omendments before uo now, so .... you know it shows that there has been a oatch or seoond thoughts or whatever.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I would have thought that now that the Honourable Member ie getting near to being a tauyer he would not have to ask legat questions to the Second offioial Member.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Let me now see whether I can put the ....
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
$I$ do not think anyore becomes that
perfect; sir.
I have room for two more aterks now.
I have not brought my gavel down with me,
MR. CHALRMAN:
ne move into committee.
The question is that clause 2 do stand part of the Bill. Unlees any other Member has fupther points to raise I witl put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
MR. CHATFMAN: $\quad$ I think that natioe hae been given of an amendment which would insert a new olause immediately following clause 2. So I will ask the Seoond Official Member whom I give leave if he will move that omendment.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: . Mr Chairman, sir, thank you. In: accordance with Standing Onder: 52(1). I beg to move that the Bill be amended by the addition immediately following clause 2 of the following new chause:-

| "Amendment of | 3. Section 129 of the Code is conended |
| :--- | :--- |
| s. 129 of the | by deleting", or to make an unsworm |
| Code. |  |
|  | statement," in the ninth and tenth |

If I may juet explain to Members that basicalty Glause 2,which we have just approved in committee, and this new Clause which is a consequential amendment to the insertion of the substantive Clause in old clause 3. If I can read 129 as it presently stands to Members, 129 (2) says:- "When the evidenoe of the witnesses for the prosecution hab been concluded, and the statement or evidence (if any) of the accused person before the conmitting court has been given in evidenaes, the oout, if it considers that, there is evidenee that the accused peroons or any one on move of severat acoused pereone, committed the offence, shall if they are not being defended by counat: inform each such aceused person of his right to addness the court. either pexgonally or by his counsel (if any), to give svidence on his own behalf, or to make an unsworm statement, and to call witnesses in his defence, ato.".

This Clause that I am proposing to insert
removes the words "or to make an ungworn statement" while it is preserving all the other righte of the acoused in that particular Section 129 (2).
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Mo the question before the committee
Now is that the Bill be amended by inserting a new Clause 3, (the
wording of which has been read out) - imnediately following Clauee 2.
speak to the amendment? If not, I will put the question that the
amendment be agreed.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHATRMAN: $\quad$ will now put the question that the new Clause 3, the one that has just been read out, do stand part of the Bitl.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. NEW CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
There is now a second amendment of which notice was also given at the same time which remmbers the previous Clause 3 and makes:certain amendments to $i t$, and I give leave to the Second Official Member to move this. I would bring to his notice and invite his consideration of the question that the former Clause 4 of the Bill should, it seams to me, be renumbered Clatise 5 and that perthaps his amendment should itself be amended to cover that point. Perhaps, it would also be helpful if simultoneously I remind Members that the green copy that they now have contains a mistaken final page, and that they should substitute for that final page the white sheet whiah was airculated before this Bill was given a first reading, and which containe the comrect end part of what was Clause 3 now renumbered clause 4 and of what was Clause 4 now renwmbered clause 5 . I am afratid this is rather complioated, but if the Second Official Member would like to move ......

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Sir.
May I move with your help, sir, - (you have not given permission) - that Clauses 3 and 4 of the Bill be. penumbered as Clauses 4 and 5, and that the newly renmbered Clause 4 be amended by the deletion of the numbers " 67 " and " 67 " where those numbers respectively ocour and the substitution therefor of the numbers "179" and "179A".

If I may briefly explain, Mr. Chairman, Sir, that whilst this is a new independent section and stands on its own, and whilst it is a tenant of law that margin notes and headings of parts and titles of parts do not affect the eense or substance of the Bill or of the Law, it was quite properly brought to my attention by the First Elected Member for the Lesser Istands that the placing of this new substantive Clause 67A after Section 67 puts it into Part IV of the Criminal Procedure Code, which has got a heading "Procedure in trials before the Summary Court". Since it is obviousty intented from the wording of it as it refers to court or juris, and there is no jury in the Summary Court, and since there was quite likely an uncertainty in doubt in the mind of the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands - (and I am sure of other persons too) - whether or not it applied to Crand Court proceedinge. I have thought it fit and proper to move this amendment so as to reposition the new Clause into the Bill. under Part X Miscellaneous, that is the reason for the change, instead of putting it half way through the Criminat Procedure Code I am shifting it, if the House so agrees, near, the end under Miscellaneous so that there is no doubt in the minds of any person that it applies to both Summary and Grand Court proceedings.

Thank you.
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HON. MICHAEL, J. BRADLEY (CONLTNUYNG) : Could I now mention a typographical emor, Six, which is in the new seation, six lines down, "subjection" should read "aubsection".

MR. CHAIRMAN: word "an" shoutd read "on".

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Yes. Could I also say, Sir, that the whole of this eection should be indented in the same manner as the first five tines, and also subsection (2) shoutd be squality be indented so that it ie all indented right through, Sir. Perhaps the Clerk could bring that to the notice of the printer.. Thank you.

MR. CHATRMAN:
So apart from correcting printing errors the question before the committee is, that there should be- an amendment such that Clauses 3 and 4 of the Bill be renumbered as Clauses 4 and 5 and that certain amendments be made to the renimbered ctause 4.

Does any Honourable Member wish to apeak to that amendment. If not, I will put the queation that the amendment be approved.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Unless any Member wishes to speak to the Clause as amendeds I witl put the question that the Clause as amended do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. RENUMBERED CLAUSE 4 AS AMENDED WAS PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 5. SAVING.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I beg permission of the Chaix under Standing Onder 52(2) to move an amendment of which notice has not been given.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:

Granted.
Thank you.
Now that we have added an extra clause the first line should be mended by deleting "2" and "3" and substituting "2, 3 and 4", I beg to so move. And could I also bring to the notige of the Clerk that under (b), wnless my spelling is urong, "conmital" should have two "t's".

MR. CHATRMAN:
So again, apart from the correction of a typographical error there is an amendment proposed to the newly renumbered Clause 5 such that the first line of it should read "Nothing in Seotion 2, 3 and 4 applies".

Does any Honourable Member with to speak to the amendment. If not, I will put the question that the amendment be approved.

QUESTTON PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.

[^10]$$
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HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, I am not sure whether it is necessary to reconmit since it is not part of the low, I do not consider it is, but the Honourable First Official Member quite rightly brought to my attention that the margin note of the newly remubered Clause 4 is incorpect, that it should say "insertion of new s.170A". I apologiae for not notioing it timeously, but I think that it not being part of the low, it is one of the

MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad$ took it that the motion that we approved comending where it says "179A" should be substituted for "67A" could be read as covering the marginal note as welt, so I think that is alright.

CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (LAW 13 OF 1975).

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The Parliamentary Fensions Bill, 1984.
THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSIONS BILL, 1984
COMMITTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.
HON. D.H. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I beg leave of the Chair, Sir, under Standing Order 52(1) to introduce an amendment whiah was circulated yesterday, Sir. In the margin it would be "Short title and commencement" and after the figures "1984" "and shatt come into operation on the first day of January, $1985^{\prime \prime}$. The amendments are in aocordanoe with this white sheet signed by me, sir.

MR. CHATRMAN: Leave granted. . I think Members have had a copy of the notice of amendment, so I will first, untess any Honourable Member wishes to speak on the amendment I witl put the question that the amendment proposed be agrged.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. AMENDMENTS EASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question then is that Clause 1 as amended stand part of the Bill. Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 AS AMENDED WAS PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. INTERPRETATION.
HON. D.H. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, again I seek your leave, Sir, under Standing Örder 52(1) to put another amendment forward as circulated on another white piece of paper yesterday that clause 2 of the Bill be amended by the insertion of the words "except where the context otherwise requires" after the word "Law" in line one thereof.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Leave granted.
I think that onee again Membere of the committee would have received a sheet yesterday giving notice of the proposed amendment, although aotually the notice says the Honourable Second Official Member was going to move, but never mind.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUIFG): rightly resumed responsibility and rapped me over the knuckles for daring to move amendments that he should move.

MR. CAAIRMAN: I glad to see that you took no contrite
The question before the committee then is, that Clause 2 be amended in the sense just proposed. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak?

HON. MICHAELL. BRADLEY: Perhaps I could just explain the Honourable mover's reason for this amendment, and that: $i s_{\text {, }}$ that in this seotion the word "pension" is giving a meaning as being a pension payable pursuant to section 3, which refers to parliamentary pensions. There is further later provision: in Clause 9 for Executive Councit pensions, so therefore we have to put in the words "except where the context otherwise pequires" because obviously in the context of Clause 9 auch is obviously othexwise requiped, and it is for that that $I$ am putting in this technical eqpression so that when the Law comes to be construde in the courts, if ever, that: there is not doubt. "Thank you.

MR. CHATRMAN: $\quad$ So, unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak I will put the ainendment to the committee for approval.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHATRMAN: Unless any Honourable Member wishes to: speak to the Clause as amended, I will put the question that Clause 2 as amended do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED: CLAUSE I AS AMENDED WAS PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 3. WHEN PENSIONS PAYABLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I fear you caught this side"by surprise with the delerity irith which you went through it there, Sir. Could I mention a typographical error, the penultimate line of the page which contains the first portion of Clause 3, "Where a person ceases to be a member but it not eligible", "but is not eligible".

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Yes, thank you. I had noticed that one, $\bar{I}$ should have drown it to attention; I cm sorry.
CLERK: CLAUSE 4: RATE OF PENSION.


QUESTION PUT: : AGREED. CLAUSE 4 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 5. CESSATION OF PENSION.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 5 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 6. GRATUITY AND REDUCED PENSION.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 6 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 7. WIDOW'S PENSION.
MR. CEAITRMAN: Firstly, there is a typographical erpor I have noticed in Section 7(1)(ii) on the fourth line where "seciton" should be "gection" and secondly, notice was given - (I will Leave the First and Second Offiaial Members to debate the question of who is giving itt - that an amendment to this Clause be made, and I give leave in accordance with Standing Onder 52(1) for the motion to be introduced. Members should have had a copy of it yesterday, so perhape the First Official Member, if I am right will.......

HON. D.H. FOSTER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I seek your teave again, Sir, under Standing Order 52(1) to introduce an amendment to Clause 7. that Clause 7 of the Bill be amended in sub-clause (3) by the deletion of the words "the parliamentary salary" where they appear in tine four thereof and the substitution therefor" of the words "one year's parliamentaxy salary".

MR. CHAIRMAN: So I will ....
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chaiman ..... finish that amendment, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Well, I was going to put the question that the amendment be approved or at teast to ask whether any Member of the committee wish to speak on the question that the amendment be approved.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Just to make otear, Mr. Chairman, that it refers to one year's salary because the phrase before was "the partiamentary salary" without reference to a period of time.

MR. CHATRMAN:
I will put the question that the amendment
be approved.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: : I will now put the question that Clause .: MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman. ..) - Well, I am going to give a ahance to debate, but let me finish what the question is - that Clause 7 as amended do stand part of the Bill. Now, if a Member wishes to speak.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir. It is understood that $I$ opposed the Bill going into Low, but seeing that it is, I would just ask, particularly the Second Official Member, to have a good look at Clause 7 subsection (1) little (ii) and satisfy himself that the last part of that in particular does not defeat what they are trying to achieve with the total section.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I am trying to read the mind of the Honotrable the Third Elected Member for West Bay, perhaps without actually proposing an amendment to it he could indicate to me his worry.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I will confess, I found it terribly difficult to understand, but having read it about four times I come to conclusion it did make sense.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: It make sense, but I am wondering if - it seems to be conoradiotory.

MR. CHATRMAN: Well, when I say, make sense, I mean it explains what $I$ thought it was intended to achieve.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: To explain what I am drifting after, it appears that subsection (1) is divided into two categories of persons, one who is in receipt of a pension and (b) a person who is entitted to it but not yet receiving it. The littie (ii) the last part of it seems to indicate that the person entitled would have had to have been owarded, not that he would have been awarded but that the award had already taken piace.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: My understanding, Mr. Chairman, Sir, is that this covers the case of a person who has served for two terms on not less than six years but dies either while he is still serving as a Member and so ineligible, or has not reached the age of fifty-five; and in that case his widow gets half of what would have been payable to him if he had not died, but if he had gone on to satisfy the requirement of section 3 with regard to age, or to having ceased to be a member. What it is doing is putting the widow of a person who dies in hormess or dies under the age of fifty-five in the same position as the widow of a person who was a person who had actualty started getting a pension, so that there is no unfaimess between the two.

MR. CHAIRMAN: : That is as I understood it.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, I am quite aware of that. The point that I am making is that little (ii) down to "pension" in the fourth line of (b) of little ( $i i$ ) seems to meet that requirement, if it stop there it woutd seem to be alright, but you are going on to say "and had been swarded", so it appears that you are adding a second qualification there. - "and had been awarded a penoion".

HON. MICHAEL BRADLEY:
I think it is for the purpose of making it clear that the two stages would have been satisfied for, the requirements has been satisfied and the actual process of awarding. Which word makes the Member unhappy?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
What I am saying is -(your exampte)- the person who dies in a seat would not have been awarded, the award of pension would not have taken place.

HON, D.H. FOSTER: Yes, but does that not refer to smalt ( $\alpha$ ) of 7(1)(a). Your are dealing with two thinge, in receipt of a pension or has served.

MR. CHAIRMAN: $\because$ If I understood you right, what you are saying is that the last line and a half of the proposed Clause 7 subclause (1) paragraph smatl (ii) "and had been awarded a pension computed in accordance with section $4^{\prime \prime}$ is superfluous; but even if superfluous $I$ do not think it is self defeat I do not think it adds or detracts from the meaning.
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HON. MICHAEL I. BRADLEY: I think, Mr. Chairman, if you
read it with the right emphasis on it "would have been payable to him with effect from the date of his death if he had not died but had satisfied the requirements of 3 and had been cavarded a pension in accordance with $4^{\prime \prime}$.

MR. CBAIRMAN: I think you could safely omit the "and had been" without attering the sense, but $I$ do not think that it does any harm.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: If it doee not cause the Financial
Secretary any unhappiness and Members are warried about the words "and had been cusarded a pension computed in accordance with section 4", I' do not think the Honourable mover would have any objections to moving to delete them.

Would the Honourable the Third Elected Member for West Bay be happy if somebody else movad auoh an amendment?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: $\quad$ Nr. Chairman, I am not supporting the Bill, I am only pointing out what.I see as a fundamental flaw in the Bith. If the Members who are supporting it are happy, so be it, but I am aure they witl be:back here amending this Bill if it goes through that way because it is making a second criteria.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Well, Mr. Choirman, let us put it through as it is and give the Bonourable the Third Elected Member the satisfaction of saying "I told you so" if we have to.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think that might be the best, beoanse if the Third Elected Member for West Bay does not actually wish to move an amendment, and if the Govemment side does not think an amendment necessary, we reach a position of stalemates. So subject to any further point any Member wishes to raiae I propose to the put the question that Clause 7 as amended do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 7 AS AMENDED PASSED.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 8. CHILDREN'S PENSION.

QUESTION PUT: : AGREED. CLAUSE 8 PASSED.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 9. EXECUTIVE COUNCIL PFDSION.

## MR. CHATRMAN: <br> part of the Bizt. <br> The question is that CLause 9 do stand

My recollection is, I may be wrong about this, but my recollection is that during the Second Reading debate the question was mentioned that service after 1972 rather than service after 1959 shoutd be counted, but I have not had notice of any amendment to that effect. I do not know whether the mover intended to .....

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I cannot speak for the Honourable mover, but I feel sure that if any Member wish to move such an omendment that he would consider it favourable.

MR. CHAIRMAN: If nobody does wish to move such an amendment I will put the question that Clause 9 of the Bill do atand.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: One typographical error, Sir, before you actuatiy put it. On the third line of sub-clause (2) the semi-colon should be a colon after the word "Council".

MR. CHATRMAN:
do atand part of the Bill.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 9 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 10. AWARDS TO BE PAID OUT OF REVENIES.
QUESTION FUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 10 PASSED.
CLERK: COLAUSE 11. PENSTONS NOT ASSIGNABLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 11 PASSED.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: "no" to the Renunaiation? Mr. Chairman, aan I ask why they voted
MR. CHATRMAN:
MR. BEDSON O. EBANKS: The Member is anticipating himsel.f.
CLERK: CLAUSE 12. RENUNCIATION.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that Clause 12 do stand part of the Bill. Does any Member wish to epeak?

MR. BENSON O: ERANKS: Mr. Chairman, similarly as with the other contribution I made I am against the Bill in total, but it seems to me to be an unneasonable provision that the renunciation be an irpevocable one.

As I pointed out in my Seoond Reading debate when I was discussing the merits of the Bill, it would seem that a person could assume at age 55 that they are comfontable financially and would undertake to forego the drawing of any benefits that might be available to them under this Bill, but circumstances could ehange down the Line. I feel that if the Bill is going to become Law the renunciation should not be irrevocable, but in a form that could be done for a time as tong as the person felt oo inclined, but if circumstances change that they needed the money and requested it they should be able to get $i t$, it ehould not be a final and unqualified and irrevocable renunciation.

MR, CHALRMAN: Are you seeking permission to amend the Bill or are you simply passing a comment.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I am recommending the amendment to the Government Bench to make it, Sir.

| MR. CHATRMAN: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yourseff. |  |
| MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: | But you are not proposing an amendment |

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chatrman, the Govermment Bench is welt aware of what this section meane, and who would like to have the soendino of these Members here signing that rentonciation, perhape not being in this Legistatwre in a few months and revoking it, it would defeat the whole idea of being sincere with your vote.

In 1937, even when the King abdicated
the Throne he did not have a right, to go back after he changed his mind. The reninciation is in there, if you genuinely are against this then renounce, you have no rights under it. Why should it be that you renounce now and in another four years or another few months tims then you will after using it politically now, just reveres it out.

MR. BEISSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, my comment was not intended to be related to myself, it was not a personal consideration it was a general consideration for persons past, present and future.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say this, Sir, that I opposed the Bill up to a point, but $I$ an so alarmed at the way that some Civil Servants have looked on us as regular leeches, I believe the word would be, trying to get Government money. I think it is a disgrace, afterall, I have served here for twenty-two years and at one stage in my life $I$ would have been glad for Govermment to give me a little hand-out because I did not have a penny in my name. Well, I am above that now, thank God, but it could be with atl my struggle to save I could strike alamity and be sick and my savings could go overnight, it would not be exactly overnight but in a very ahort time if I had to go and pay large medical bills.

I feel, six, that having served twenty-two yeare, and if I actwally needed money, which I hope and pray and trust that I shall never, that I would have the privilege to come and say, "well, I am asking for suoh and suoh a small amount", I would never request it unless I needed $i t$, and I will never accept it to dieh out to anybody else, I have sworn that in my mind. I feel, Sir, that I will not sign any revocation because circumstances could change in my life, and I, Annie Huldah Bodden have not a sout to depend on and I. have been through an experience where I did not have one penny in $m$ name and not a soul, including Church, Government or anybody else would give me one cent. I am not going to sign it, but I have been told that there was a coloured man who said outside, I do not know who he was, that he has completely lost faith in Annie Bodden, he is not going to give her a vote. Welt, if I get one $X$ at the election that is not going to bother me, the one I make for myself, because thus so far I have served for the love of this country from before I was sixteen years old and I intend so to do.

MR. CHATRMAN:
But I have not heard any proposal for an amendment to the Clause, although there has been substantiat discussion of its so unless any other Member has a point to make I will put the question that Clause 12 do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 12 PASSED.
HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. Chaiman, can I have a division on 12?

DIVISION

## AYES

HON. D.H. FOSTER
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY
HON. T.C. JEFFERSON
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN
MR. DALMAIN D. EBANKS
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS
8

NOES
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS
MR. NORMAN W. BODDEN
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, it looked like these Members would have wanted to keep that one in instead of taking it out.
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MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I understand what is going on, I do not know if anybody else does.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: It is very good to know that, Mr. Chaiman, $\bar{I}$ would not have liked these votes to be misunderstood.

MF. CHAIRMAN: I deolare that the motion that Clause 12 do stand part of the Bill has been passed by 8 votes to 4 with one abstention.

CLERK: CLAUSE 13. REGULATIONS.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 13 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO PROVIDE PENSTONS TO PERSONS WHO HAVE SERVED AS MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY AND THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL, TO PROVIDE PENSIONS FOR THE WIDOWS AND CHILDREN OF SUCH PERSONS, AND TO PROVIDE FOR MATTERS CONNECTED WITH OR INCIDENTAL TO THOSE PURPOSES.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE WAS PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
It is now the time or even slightly passed the time when oustomarity we take a short break, my impression is that perhaps the remainder of our bueiness will proceed more quickly, if Members would like to take a short break;' I imagine Members would like to try and finish the meeting by lunch time. If Members would like to take a short break in the expsotation that the rest of our business will pass quiokly, I will suspend proceedings for ten or fifteen minutes, but I think, let us not make it more than fifteen minutes this morning.

HOUSE SUSPENDED
HOUSE RESUMED
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Please be seated. The House is still in comittee. The Court of Appeal (Amendment) Bill, 1984.

$$
\text { THE COURT OF APPEAL (AMENDMENT) BILL, } 1984
$$

CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE AND COMMENCEMENT.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMENDMENT OF' SECTION 2 OF LAW 9 OF 1975.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 3. INSERTION OF NEW SECTIONS 2A AND $2 B$.
MR. CHAIRMAN: .. The question is that Clause 3 do stand part of the Bill.: I think probably that the worde about half way through Clause 3 before the proposed new section $2 B$, the words "Registrar and other officers" should come out to the side.

HON. MICHAEL 才.‘ BRADLEY: . It should be a margin note.
Mr. Chairman, could I also mention two typographical errors, one is ta the margin note "secitons" should be "sections" - margin note of the substantive Clause, Clause 3 of the Bill and also at the top of the page instead of bracket 20 , it should be bracket 2 alose bracket.

So, subject to those comrections.....
HON. MICHAEL J.. BRADLEY: Soxmy, Sir. 'Subsection (2) the word "Judges" should be followed by a colon, not a semi-coton.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Subject to those and any other printing erpors which the Second Official Member and the clerk may between them discover, the question is that Clouse 3 do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 4. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 10.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. . CLAUSE 4 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 5, AMENDMENT OF SECTION 11.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 5 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 6. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 16.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 6 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 7. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 17.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 7 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE B. SUBSTITUTION OF SECTION 18.
MR, CHAIRMAN: There are one or two printing errors I
think in Clause 8, the whote of the proposed 18 ahould be indented, and I imagine that Clause 8 should start "Section 18" and not "Section 8".

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Yea.
MR. CHAIRMAN: But subject to those and any other printing errors which the Second Official Member and the Clerk may diseover and correct, the question is that Clause 8 do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE $a$ PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 9. REPEAL OF SECTION 29.
MR. CHAIRMAN: In Clause 9 the word "principat" needs
another "i" in it, I think. But subject to the correction of that printing error, unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 9 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE COURT OF APPEAL LAW (LAW 9 OF 1975).
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE WAS PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The Customs (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
THE CUSTOMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984.
COMMITTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TTTTLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMEIDMENT OF SECTION 9.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 3. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 21.
MR. CEAIRMAN: . The question is that Clause 3 do stand part of the Bill. Untess any Honourable Member wishes to speak.....

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to elaborate a bit more on the reason for this pariticular amendant to the Customs Low, second revision.

Clause 3 deals with Section 21(4), which reads "No goods shall be permitted to remain on deposit in any warehouse for a period in excess of one year"." This also relates, Mr. Chairman, to in-bond warehouses which as we all know are accumulating considerable comount of goods and it is difficult for them to keep track of a particutar iten to know whetherit is there for a year or more. As the Customs have adequate aontrol in terms of what is in the warehouse, and the bond that they have provided to Government as seourity ag far as the customs duty is concerned, this particutar subsection of Section 21 is no longer necessary. That is the amendment to the Low.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Untese any Honourable Member wishes to raise a further point $I$ will put the question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, oniy that if that is the purport or the intent of the amendment, it would seem to me that there should have been a consequential amendment to Section 22(1)(e), that should have been taken out.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: It is comect, Mr. Chaimun, we intend to move a consequential amendment.

MR. CHATRMAN: Axe you moving an amendment at this stage?
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: NO, Sir, I would prefer we deal with Clause 3 and then move to add an extra clause.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I see. So in that case, may I put the question that clouse 3 do stond part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
BON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, with the permission of the Honourable mover of the Bill I beg in accordance with Standing Order 52(1), having hopefully obtained leave of the Chair under 52(2) to move an amendment.

MR. CHATRMAN: Leave granted.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I beg to move that the Bill be amended by the insertion inmediately following clause 3 of the following new clause:-
"Amendment of 4. Section 22 of the principal Low is s. 22.
(a) the deletion of "; ori" at the end of paragraph (d) thereof and the substitution therefor of a fullstop; and
(b) the detetion of paragraph (e)."

## -22-

MR. CHATRMAN:
The motion before the committee is that a new Clause 4 be added to the Bitl to amend Section 22 of the principal Iaw in sibsection (1) by deleting the word "or" at the end of paragraph (d) and deleting paragraph (e). The full text of the proposed amendment having been read out by the Second Official Member.

Uniess any Honourable Member wiahes to speak to the proposed amendment, I will put the question that the new clause 4 do atand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GLAUSE 4 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE CUSTOMS LAW (SECOND REVISION). QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE WAS PASSED.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
A Bill for A Law to licence and control the Business of Company Management and to provide for connected and related matters, as this is a longish Bill I have inveted the Clerk in accordanoe with the procedure for which provisions made in Standing Orders to take several clauses at a time.

THE COMPANIES MANAGEMENT BILL, 1984
COMMITTEE THEREON

```
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.
CLAUSE 2. INTERPRETATION.
CLAUSE 3. APPLICATION ETC. OF LAW.
CLAUSE 4. BUSINESS OF COMPANY MANAGEMENT.
CLAUSE 5. INSPECTOR OF COMPANY MANAGERS.
```

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, can I take it that we need not trouble the House with typographical amendments, because in clause 2 alone there are some ten or eleven that $I$ have.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think if Members will agree we may tmost the Second Official Member and the clerk as we have agreed in other aases to make the necessary correations - (printing and typographical errors)- and note again the desirability of trying to ensure that when these Bills come before the House that printing is done with greater eare.

So the question is that Clauses 1 to 5,
I think, stand part of the Bill.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, I had the courtesy of
receiving from one of the Members a number of comments on the basis of our last draft and some of which I had not an opportumity to talk to the mover of, but as Chairman of the select committee which considered this I see no objection to the amendments as so stated. I am not sure whether the Honourable Member, who is the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands, would wish to move them himself or whether he .........

[^11]
## -23-

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, in Clause 2 the first proviso to the definition of "business of company management", that the words "the keeping of statutory returns" before "keeping" if we pould insert the words "preparation, fiting and". It has been pointed out to me that these words really should be included as they are part of the normal type of business.

I may say, Mr. Chatiman, I have not had an opportinity to consult with the other Govermment Members on these, and I am merely hightighting them on behalf of the First Elected Member for the Lesser Ialands.
$\frac{\text { HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: }}{\text { and comect, Sir. }}$
and cormect, sir.
Mr. Chairman, I think that that is fair

MR. CHATRMAN:
1 to 5 .
Are thene any other anendments to clauses

HON. MICHABL J. BRADLEY: . YeB, Sir.
There is a furither amendment to the same proviso that the words "the convening and conduat meetings" should be added there.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could you read it again.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: On tine 2 where it says "the conduct of Directors" and General Meetings" that if the words "oonvening and" were added before the word "conduct".

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, nomally the onty people who convene a meeting are the direotors or in eertain instances, sharehotdere. If I an not a director or a shareholdex of a company I cannot convene a meeting. I do not mind it going in, but it would impute that they have this authority to convene the same as a person not a member of the Legistative Assembly, not yourself really, could not convene a meeting. I do not mind it going in, I just do not see the reason for it.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chaixman, then I do not feel that we would press it if it could just be left out.

Mr. Chairman, sir, it has besn auggested that we need to define "statutory returns". I do not really think so, I think that the terms "statutory returns" in relation to a company means thoes they are bowd to make under the Companies Law, and unlese the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council feels, I would not press that one.

It has been further suggested that we
provide as part of that proviso "as thinge not constituting the business of companies management, the issuance of shares and the recording of tranofers and redemptions approved by the appropriate resolution of the managed dompany".

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chatrman, that is really getting into company management business, if you are going to act as a tranefer agent, then $I$ think you are into the management business.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I will assume that the Second Official
Member would not be moving an amendment to that effect. If the First Elected Member for the Lesser Istands wish to do so, obviousty that would. be his prerogative. HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, sir, as has been said by the Financial Searetary, and as I think, it is quite clear to the alt Members of this House, this Companies Management Law is a new Law in every sense. It has not got a parallelelsewhere in the world that I know of it, and I feel that we will have no hesitation or reluctanoe when it is put on the statute book when we see how it works to come back to the House again if there are any teething problems.

## MR. CHATRMAN: Are there any further amendments you wish to propose to Clauses 1 through 5?

EON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, Six. If you look at clause 4 sub-clause (7) and look at Clause 4 sub-clause (9), it has been very oorrectly pointed out in these notes that I received that basically they cover the same substance, and my initial reaction would be to propose that sub-clause (7) be deleted, that sub-clausee (8) and (9) be renumbered as sub-clauses (7) and (8) and that the new renumbered sub-clause (8) which was (9) be amended by the addition of the words immediately at the start thereof of "It is a condition of a licence that". In other words, cross out (7) completely and have (9) saying, "It is a condition of a ticence that the holder", because at the moment it is a condition of ticence that the licen ee notify the Governor of a change in the information supplied in the application. Under (9), the holder of a licence shall, as soon as possible inform the Inspector of any changes.

It may be that Members would wish, if that was acceptable, to change "Inspector" in that last sub-olause to "Governor".

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I think that would be better than if, administratively something needed to be done it could be done.

BON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: So could I, with your permission under Standing Order 52(2) move an amendment of which no notice has been given?

MR. CHAIRMAN: I was going to get all the amendments to Clauses 1 through 5 first, and then as it were, combine them into one motion. So is there another or not?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: No, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
So, leave is given for the moving of a motion which would seek to amend Clause 2 of the Bill, the first proviso to the definition of the term "business of company management", and Clause 4 of the Bill by the deletion of sub-clause (7), the renumbering of sub-clauses (7) and (8) as (8) and (9), and the rewording of sub-alause (8) as renumbered to say, "It is a condition of a licence that the hotder of a licence under this Law shall, as soon as possible after any such change has occurred, inform the Governor".

I think that I have got the only two amendments which you did decide to move, and may be you would like to comfirm that you wish formally to move them now.

BON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY; $\because I$ wish so to move.
MR. CHAIRMAN: I hope all Members have understood what is being moved, that is an omendment to Clause 2 and an amendment to Clause 4. If any Member. in doubt, I will try and read them through more fully again, but they have been spelled out in the course of this discussion.
-25-
MR. CHATEMAN (CONTINUING): So, the first question before the committee question. question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.' CLAUSES 2 AND 4 AMENDED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question now is that clauses 1 through 5 as comended in the oases of 2 and 4 do stand part of the Bill.

## QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CEAUSES 1 TO 5 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSES 6. SHARES, ETC. NOT.TO BE ISSUED OR TRAIVSFERRED WITTHOUT APPROVAL.
CLAUSE 7. USE OF WORDS; "COMPANIES MANAGEMENT BUSINESS".
CLAUSE 8. DUTIES OF LICENSEE.
CLAUSE 9. ANNUAL RETURNS; ETC.
CLAUSE 10. POWER OF SEARCH.
$\frac{M R . \text { CHAIRMAN: }}{10 \text { do stand part of the Bill. The question is that clauses 6. through }}$
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, amoung these comments which I received from the First Eleated Member for the Lesser Islands, there was one concerning clause 9 aub-clause (3), which was that that sub-alause (3) be amended to make it an obligation to maintain the required minimom share capital. I think that is subject to something that I personally cannot speak for other Members, would be happy to see in, and if that was so I would propose in due course that at the end of that where it says "licenced business" to put in "and that he has at all times maintained the minimum required share capital. Perhaps the Second Elected Member of Executive Council......

HON, TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I agree with that, I was just checking back on Section 4 to see whether there might have been a requirement there, but perhaps .......

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I would ask the Ssoond offiaial Member if he would just read that over again please.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY. Could I ask your leave formalty to move.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Yes, leave granted.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
The motion is that Clause 9 of the Bill
be cmended in sub-clause (3), thereof by the addition imediately before the end thereof of the words "and that he has maintained the required minimom paid up share oapital.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
That is slightly different to what you read out before, but if that is definitely, you missed out the words "at all times" this second occasion.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Well, I have no objections to reinstating it, I thought it perhaps tcutologicat.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am quite happy to accept what you read the second time as tong as what you read the second time is definitive. "and that he has maintained the required minimum paid up share capital". That is the sort of definitive wording, and that the definitive addition

MR. CEAIRMAN (CONTINUING): at the and of Clause 9 sub-clauge (3), "and that he has maintained the required minimum paid up share capital". Is that corpeot?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Yes, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN: So unless any Member wishes to speak
$\bar{I}$ will put the question that clause 9 be amended as proposed.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE. 9 AMEKDED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: I will now put the question that Clauses 6 through 10, Clause 9 as amended, stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 6 THROUGH 10 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 11. POWER OF FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO REQUIRE INSURANCE.
CLAUSE 12. PRESERVATION OF SECRECY.
CLAUSE 13. SUSPENSION OF LICENCE.
CLAUSE 14. REVOCATION OF LICENCE.
CLAUSE 15. APPEALS.
MR. CHAIRMANT:
The question is that Clauses 11 through 15 do stand part of the Bill. No further comendments being proposed, does any Honourable Member wish to speak? I will put the question then.

QUESTITON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 11 THROUGH 15 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 16. OFFENCES.
CLAUSE 17. REGULATIONS.
CLAUSE 18, GUIDELINES.
CLAUSE 19. AMENDMENT OF TRADE AND BUSINESS LICENSING LAW (REVISED). THE SCHEDULE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clauses 16 through 19 and the Schedule do atand part of the Bill. Unless there is any debate I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 16 THROUGI' 19 AND SCHEDULE PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO LICENCE AND CONTROL THE BUSINESS OF COMPANY MANAGEMENT AND TO PROVIDE FOR CONNECTED AND RELATED MATTERS.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLLE WAS PASSED.
MR. CHATRMAN:
That coneludes proceedings in committee on a Bitl entitied "The Criminat Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill' and other bills. The House will reoume.

## HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT:
(Amandment) Bill, 1984.
Reports on Bills. The Legal Prastitioners

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, sir, I beg to report
that a Bitl shortly entitled "The Legal Practitioners (Amendment) Bitl, 1984", has being considered bid a conmittee of the whote House with one cmendment of substonce and a numbex of typographical amendments.
MR. PRESIDENT: The Bill is accordingly aet down for Third Reading. The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bitl, 1984.

## THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 <br> REPORT THEREON

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, sir, I beg to report that a Bill shortly entitled i'The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984" has been considered by a committee of the whote House and has been passed with one amendment of substance and a number of typographical and eetting conendments.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { Third Reading. }}$. The Bill is accordingiy set down for

$$
\frac{\text { THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) (NO. 2) BILL, } 1984}{\text { REPORT THEREON }}
$$

HON. MTCHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Sir, I beg to report that a Bill ghortly entitted 'The Criminal Prooedure Code (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill, 1984" has been considered by a aonmittee of the whole House and passed with three anendments and a number of typographical amendments.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Third Reading.
The Bill is acoordingly set down for

THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSTONS BTLL, 1984
REPORT THEREON
HON. D.H. FOSTER: $\quad$ Mr. President, Sir, I have to report that a Bill shoxtly entitled wThe Parliamentary. Pensions Bill, $1984^{41}$ was . conerdered by a committee of the whole House and passed with three amendments.

Mr. CHAIRMAN:
Third Reading.
THE COURT OF APPEAL (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 REPORT THEREON

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Sir, I beg to report that a Biti shortty entitied "The Court of Appeat (Amendment) Bit2, 1984" has been considered by a committee of the whole House and passed without onendment other than those whioh relate to typography or typesetting.
MR. PRESIDENT: The Bill is accordingty set down for Third Reading. The Customs (Amendment) Bith, 1984.

$$
\text { THE CUSTOMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, } 1981
$$

REPORT THEREON
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I have to report that a Bill entitled "The Customs (Amendment) Bill, 1984: was considered by a committee of the whole House and passed with one amendment.

The Bill is acoordinaly set down for Third Reading. The Companies Management Bill, 1984. THE COMPANIES MANAGEMENT BTLL 1984 REPORT THEREON

HON. THOMAS C. JEFEERSON: Mr. President, I have to report that a Bill entitled "The Companies Management Bill, $1984^{\prime \prime}$ was considered by a committee of the whole House and passed with three amendments, and additionatly, typographical exrors, Mr. Fresident.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bill is acoordingly set down for
Third Reading. Third Readings
THE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
THIRD READING
CLERK: The Legal Practitioners (Amendment) Bitl, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Nr. President, Sip, I beg to move that a Bilt ghortty entitled "The Legal Practitioners (Amendment) Bill, 1984" be read a Third time and passed.
qUESTION PUT: AGREED, BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.
THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
THIRD READING
CLERK: The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move that a Bill shortly entitled "The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bitl, 1984" be given a Third Reading and passed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A "THIRD READING AND PASSED.
THE CRTMINAL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) (DO.2) BILL, 1984
THIRD READING
CLERK: The Criminat Procedure Code (Amendment) (No.2) Bitl, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: $\quad$ Mr. President, Six, I beg to move that
a Bill shortiy entitled the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) (No.2) Bitl, $1984^{\prime \prime}$ be given a third Reading and passed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.
THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSIONS BILL, 1984
THIRD READING
CLERK: The Parliamentary Pensions Bill, 1981.
HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Mr. Presidents I beg to move that a
Bitt shortly entitled "The Parliamentary Pensions Bill, 1989't be given a Thind Reading and paseed.
QUESTIION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.
$\frac{\text { CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: }}{\text { please, Sir? }}$ Could we have a division on that

MR. PRESIDENT:

very well.
I will ask the Clerk to take a division.

## DIVISION

## AYES

Hon. D. H. Forter
Hon. Michael J. Bradley
Hon. Thomas C. Jeffersion
Hon. Truman M. Bodden
Hon. G. Haig Bodden
Nor. Dalmain D. EZanks
Mr. Craddock Ebanks

## NOES

Mr. Benson O. Ebanks
Mr. Norman W. Bodden
Miss Annie H. Bodden Capt." Chartes L. Kirkoonnel2
Capt. Mabry S. Kirkeonneli
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## 5

 MR. PRESIDENT:I dectare that the Motion has been passed THE COURT OF APPEAL (AMENDMENT) BTLL, 1984 THIRD READTNG

CLERK: The Court of Appeal (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move that a Bill ahortly entitled "The Court of Appeal (Amendment) Bill. 1984" be given a Third Reading and passed.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.
THE CUSTOMS (AMENDMENI) BILL, 1984
THIRD READING
CLERK: The Customs (Amendment) Bill, 1984.
HON. THOMAS C. JEFEERSON: Mr. Preaident, I move that a Bill
entitled "The Customs (Amendment) BILL, 1984" be given a Third Reading and passed.

QUESTION PUT': AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.

$$
\frac{\text { THE COMPANIES MANAGEMENT BILL, } 1984}{\text { THIRD READING }}
$$

CLERK: The Companies Management Bill, 1984.
HON. THOMAS C. IEFFERSON: Mr. President, I move that a Bill
entitled "The Companies Management Bitl, $1984^{\prime \prime}$ be given a Third Reading and passed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.

## ADJOURMMENT

HON. D.H. FOSTER: incorrectly please do not hesitate to correct me, Sir.

I move the adjoumment of this Rouse until Monday the 3xd of September, 1984 when we plan to have the next sitting.

MR. PRESIDENT: That is certainly, I think the planned date for the next sitting, it is of course possible that there may have to be a sitting before then, and we simply, I think, take note of the fact but for the moment subject to the wishes of Members there is no reason why we should not adjourn until the date we have so far proposed for the next meeting.

So the motion is that this House do now adjourn until 10:00 a.m. on the 3rd of September, 1984. Unless any Member wishes to speak I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 12:43 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. ON MONDAY THE 3RD SEPTEMBER, 1984.

PRESENT WERE:
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MONDAY
30TH JULX, 1984

1. PRAYERS

TO BE DELIVERED BY THE REV. E.L. KING
2. ADMINISTRATION OF OATH
3. OTHER BUSTNESS

BILLS: -
PRIVATF BTLL
THE GENERAL ORIENTAL TMVESTMENTS LTMITED BILL 1984
(i) SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDERS 16 (1)(b) AMD (c) AMD 62(1)(b) TO BE MOVED BY THF HONOURABLA' SFCOND ELECTED MENBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCTL IN ACCORDANCE WITH STANDTNG ORDER 82.
(ii) PRESENTATTON OF BILL

READING OF PETITION BY MOVER, THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCIL.
IN ACCORDANCE WIT'H STAIDING ORDER 61(2) THE PRESIDENT SHALL PUT THE question that the PROMOTERS be allowed to PROCEED.
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MR. PRESIDENT:
The Assembly is in Seasion. I will
invite the Rev. King to say prayers.

## PRAYERS

REV. E. L. KING:
Let us pray. Almighty God, from whom all wisdom and power are derived. We beseech thee to direct and foster the deliberations of the Legislative Assemblys now assembled, that all things may be ordered upon the best and surest foundation for the glory of thy name, and for the eafety, honour and welfare of the people of these Istands.

Bless our Sovereign Lady queen Elizabeth, the Queen Mothers Philip Duke of Edinburgh, Charles Frince of Wales, Diana Frincess of Wales, and all the Royal Family. Give grace to all who exercise authority in our Conmonwealth that peace and happiness, truth and justice, reliaion and piety may be established amona us

Especially we pray for the Governor of our Islande, the Members of the Executive Council, and the Members of the Legislative Assembly, that they may be enabled faithfully to perform the responsible duties of their high office. All these we ask for thy great
nome's sake.
Amen

Our Father
Which art in Heaven, Hallowed be thy name, Thy kingdom come,
Thy will be done in Earth
As it is in Heaven.
Give us this day our daily bread,
And forgive us our trespasees as
We forgive them that trespass against us,
And lead us not into temptation,
But deliver us from evil,
For thine is the kingdom,
The power and the glory,
For ever and ever.

## Amen

The Lord bless us and keep us. The Lord make his face shine upon us and be gracious tinto us. The Lord lift up his countenance upon $u s$ and give us peace now and alwaye.

Amen
OATH OF ALLEGIANCE ADMINISTERED TO MR. JOHN BRIAN WILKINSON BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

MR. PRESIDENT:
Legislative Assembly.

Thank you, and welcome to the
Please be seated.
As I think Members already know, this is a Special Meeting which has been surmoned in accordance with the provisions of the Standing Orders for the purpose of oonsidering a Private Members' Bill, which is shortly entitled The General Oriental Investments Limited Law, 1984. So that the only business before us is the Bill.

And Members will note from the Order Paper that the suspension of certain Standing Orders will be moved. My understanding is that the Hon. Truman M. Bodden is moving the Bill, and I invite him now to move the suspension of certain Standing Orders in order to enable its introduction and Presentation.

## SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDERS 16(1)(b) and (c) AND <br> $62(1)(b)$

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Preeident and Honourable Members.
In accordance with Standing Onder 82, I move the suapension of Standing Orders 16(1)(b) and (c), as well as Standina Order 62(1)(b).

MR. FRESIDENT:
The Motion before the House is that in acoordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82, Standing Orders 16(1)(b) and (c) and 62(1)(b) be suspended. My understanding is that Standing Onder 16(1)(b) and (c) provides for petitions to be in part at least hand written and in fact, this partioular Petition has been typed or printed.

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to the Motion. If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDERS SUSPENDED
PRESENTATION OF BILL
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I wish to present the Ietition, and $\bar{I}$ shatl now read it. It is to the Honourable Eresident and Membexs of the Legislative Assembly of the Cayman Istande:
"The fetition of the undersicned, General Oriental Investments Limited, a Company registered under the Companies Law, Chapter 22 of the Cayman Is Lands as amended, of Box 309, Grand Cayman, Cayman Istands, $B_{.} W_{+}$. ., respectfully showeth that:

1. The Petitioner has caused the Bill of which a copy is hereunto annexeds to be prepared for giving effect to the purposes set forth in the Memorandum of Objects and Reasons, and the preamble to the Bill.
2. The objects of the said Bill aannot be effective without the authomity of the Legislative Assembly, whereupon your Petitioner praye that your Honourable Assembly will give leave to bring in the said Bill and your petitioner as in duty bound, with ever pray. Dated this 11th July, 1984.

HON, TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
Dennis A. Franklin - Director
General Oriental Investments Limited.
Box 309,
Grand Cayman, Cayman Islands, B:W.I.

Before me: T. Ridley Notary Pubilic

Fresented by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council - TMuman M. Bodden
W. Lauer - Acting Clerk of the Legislative Assembly

MR. PRESIDENT: In accondance with the Provisions of Standing Order 61(2), I shatl be putting the question that the promoters be allowed to proceed, because my understanding is that the Bill hae been now introduced on the basis of a Petition in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 61(1)(a) and that Notice of the Bill has been given by publication in the Gazette and by publication in newopapers in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 61(1)(b), (1) and (2).

The question therefore is that the promoters be allowed to proceed in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 61(2).

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to that Motion. If not, I will put the cuestion.

QUESTION EUT: AGREED
THE GENERAL ORIENTAL INVESTMENTS LIMITED BILL 1984

FIRST READING
CLERK:
Limited Bill, 1984.
The General Oniental Investments

The General Oriental Investments Limited Eitl. 1984, is deemed to have been read a first time, and is set down for Second Reading.

SUSFENSION OF STANDING ORDER 62(2)
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President. In accordance with Standing Order 82, I move the suspension of Standing Order 62(2).

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question before the House is that Standing Order 62(2) should be suspended in accordance with the Provisione of Standing Order 82, in order to enable the Bill to be given a second reading today.

Does any Honourable Member wish to
speak to that Motion.
MR. BENSON O, EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, I think that Standing Order 62(3) should also be suspended at this time, since the Bill has not been put on any order paper, or ordered for the second reading on any partioutor day.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The seoond reading does appear on today's Order Paper, Admittedly, it can appear only on the basis that it will be taken if Standing Order 62 (2) is now suspendeds but my view would be that it isn't really necessary to suspend Stondine onder 62(3) as well, because I reaard today as being the day, or be it oonditionally ordered for the eecond reading.

MR, PRESIDENT: (CONTTNUING)
So unless the second Members, the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council, felt that he too wished Standing Order 62(3) to be suspended, I woutd not myeelf, onsider its suspension necessary.

So unless any other Honourable Member wishes to speak I witl put the question that Standing Order 62(2) be suspended.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 62(2) SUSEENDED.
MR. FRESIDENT:
Item 3(v) the Second Reading
if the Clerk would read.
SECOND READING
CLERK.
The General oxiental Investments
Limited Bint, 1984.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I move the Second Reading of the Bill entitled the General Oriental Investments Limited Bill, 1984.

Mr. Fresident, this Bill is the
first of its type of Trivate Bille that this House has seen. In the past I have moved Bills as Trivate Bills mainly relating to churches which wish to be incorporated for specific purposes within the Cayman Islands. The General Oriental Investments Limited Bill of 1984, is in my opinion a stride foxward in seeking a share of a new type of business, and spreading the base of the Cayman Islands economy in the financial field. There witt be, I would expect, targe and reputable Companies, such as this, in the future, which witt require that specific provisione of the Componies Law be either amended or altered to suit the spectific needs of that Company, and I would hope that this House, in the future, would find it welcome to continue to allow the introduction of these Dills, and the passing of them.

This Bill has been published in adecuate time, and despite some of the suspension of the Standing Onders today, the material aspect, in my opinion, of the proceedures under these Standing Orders, is to ensure that the public has had adeouate time to look at the Dill. That has been fully satisfied and several months have elapsed during whioh the public has had a might to look at it.

But, Mr. Fresident, it is not controversial. It seeks, realty. eertain amendments to the Companies Law in relation to this specific Company, which, I believe, are oood, and should be ultimately put into our Generat Companies Legislation.

So I would therefore ask Members to bear with me, as we steer through the complex procedure which still exists for Private Bills under our Standing Orders, and that they would give the Bill their complete support.

Thank you.
MR. FRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that a Bitl entitled, "The General Omiental Investmente Limited Law, 1984" be given a seaond reading.

The motion is open for debate.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN, M.B.E: Mr. President, this Bitl which seeks to enact certain provisions, and to provide variation of certain requirements of the Companies Law, in relation to General oriental Investments Limited, has my full support.

The fact that a prestigious Company of this size and resources, has chosen the Coyman Istands above other countries in what is fast becoming a highly competitive market, to me is a very definite expression of faith in our future, and confid--ence in the stability of this country.

The Company, of course, havine made this deoision, naturally eapects the means of protecting its interest in the aase of any eventualities, which is not unreasonable.

I trust that this exercise will serve to pave the way for other Companies seeking the favourazle facilities and good business conditions we have to offer, to reqister in the Cayman Islands, and thus prove a boost to our Company registration aetivities to the benefit of all concerned.

Sinoe General Oriental Investments has found these certain provisions and variation necessary, there will undoubtedly be other Companies in similar positions, and as had been suggested by the Mover, I would therefore expect that eventually, whatever amendmente to our GeneraL Companies Law that are coneidered necessary to make this territory more attractive to Companies wishing to register here, will be brought to this House for consider. -ation.

With those brief remarks, Mr. Fresident,
I support this Bill.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
thank you.
Mr. Fresident, in considering the Bill before the House, it is necessary to pause and take a look at the financial services which the Cayman Islands now offer the entire world.

The Cayman Islands have become the third largest financial centre. The Islands have been universally accepted as a place where large multi-national and multi-million dollar Corporations an find a safe place for their headfuarters.

I believe the reason why Oriental Investments Limited have come here, is beoause we have received abroad such clowing reports from the Fress.

I, with your permisaion, would like to quote just two or three of these reports to show that the faith which Oriental Investments Limited have placed in the Cayman Islands, is well justified.

A recent article appearing in February of 1984, in Trade Fublications, which were distributed in London and Europe, headed the article by calting the Cayman Istands, the Suttgertand of the Caribbean, and to guote two short paragraphs from $i t$, the article reads:

## "White other Caribbean destinations have been

 plagued by an increasing reputation fox petty and sometimes not so petty orime, coupled with occasional political upsets, as in the adee of Grenada, Cayman has built on its reputation as the Switzerland of the Caribbean. That reputation reste originatly, on the Islands group's status as a leading banking, insurance and tax haven, a highly profitable growth industry, whose triokle down effect has acted against any social unrest."HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): We have been reasonably suceessful in getting across to the public that you are safe in the Cayman Islands. Our publicity has leaned heavily on the Britieh tradition that resulte from Cayman's status as a Crown Colony of the United Kingdom, and another glowing article nomes the Cayman Islands as a Banking centre with ingredients for success. And to quote from it, this article by Roger Hardman says:
"It seems that 1984 is also the year when rival tax havens are due to have their problems. In SwitzerZand, the move by the Opposition Social Democrat Farty to toosen the Bank Secrecy Lcows, is being watohed with gleeful anticipation. So are the political problems in Fonama, where a disputed election result has led to street riots and a state of oonfusion almost auaranteed to drive sensitive foreicm investors to look for a new home for their money.

The banking cormumity in the Caymans feels it is ideally placed to take advantage of any little looal difficulties elsewhere. Is a British Crown Colony, its political stability is good. Locals laugh at any suggestion that they might become independent. The Govermment even gave one miltion pounde (should have been one million dollares to Britain to help in the Falklands war.

The tax stmucture on the Islands has been specifically designed for its use as an offshore centre. The growth of the Caymans as an offshore centre has ted to its having an impressive finconcial infra-structure. oust about every intermational bank of any consequence, including atl the Eritish Clearers, and most of the Acceptance Houses, have offices there. There are more than 200 firms of Accountants and Soticitors, with offices on the Islands, and numerous Trust Companies. Both the Banking Supervisor and the Acting Superintendent of Ineurance are British. The Caymans are not short of elients. Business is booming, and this small country of just 50,000 (should have been 18,000) now ranks sixth in the world league tables of bonk deporitr. "

I have found hundreds of articles written recently, 1983 and 1984, attesting to our stability. I oould not find any negative articles in the foreign Press. Honever, I did find one in our locat Fress, and with your permission, I would like to quote that article. sust one or two short paragraphs from it witt suffice. This article which appeared in the Nor'weater was derogatory of the financial industry altogether. This axticle was an insult to the people of the Cayman Islands, and it was written by Mr. Foy Bodden, who is a Candidate for the upoming Election, and it reads:

## HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):

"AB far as the aoonomio developnent of the Caymar Islande is ooncerned, certain fundamental questions remain unanswered, wuch as, can our present economic prosperity continue much longer. Is it sound policy to base a nation's economy on cormerstones as parabitic and sensitive as a tax haven and toumist resort. Shoutd we not try to devetop agmioutturat self-sufficienoy."

The article, the pararraphy neede no comment other than to say. I om surpxised that eomeone in our conmenity has so tittle faith in the tax haven bueiness in the Cayman Islands.

Recent events have shown the strong actions which this Government not only took, but intends to take in the future if anyone or any country threatens the security of our financial industry. We have made it clear that, and this was brought out by a tandmaxk case recently settled in our Grand Court, where the Chief Justice miled that there would be no interference and no prying into aocounts in Cayman Banks, particularly where the tax haven industry is concerned. The second event was where the Cayman Islands, in an agreement between the United Kingdom ana the United States, made it abundently clear that we will do all in our power to assist in stamping out the drug trade, and that aocounts in the Cayman Islands, will not be used for the lodging of money that has come from drugs or other illicit purposes.

This had been enshrined in our Lav from its incsption when the Gonfidentiality Low made provision for information to be given in matters that are considered oriminal and carmon to the United States and Cayman or to Cayman and any other country.

Today is a speoial day as. mentioned both by the Fresident, when he opened the Session, and by the Mover of the Bill when he presented the Bill which is before the house. This is a special session of the House oalted to deal with a partioular Bill. There are reasons for this. If one looked at the morning paper one woutd see that Sir Geoffey Howe, the British Foreign Secretary, arrived in Feking on Friday of tast week to discuss with the Chinese Govermment the future potitical situation of Hong Kong.

The Company which has now looated its head office in the Cayman Islands, has left,s or is about to leave Hong Kong, and I would think that the time is ripe for multi--national Comprnies to teave Hong Kong. We know that quite recently, conother very large Company. the Mathieson Group left Hong Kong, and have re-looated in Bermudas so we are fortunate in having attracted one of the very large Companies, and we expeot that others witt fottow. So, there is, in my opinion, urgency for the Bitt, because the position of Hong Kong ia not yet clear. It is true that the Chinese Govermment have said that when they take over Hong Kong. when the Eritish Lease expires in 1997; they will allow Hong Kong's sociat and economio systems to continue for another fifty yeare. Yet they have put a stipulation saying that unless an absolute pact is signed priop to September of this year. they will institute their own systems in Hong Kong. So the position is not clear, and this must certainly be disturbing to multi-national Companies.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The Dill before the House is presented in the favour of Oriental Investments Limiteds and if one looks at the recent artietes which have appeared in the Fress in London and in the United States on this Company, one will see that this is a Company of no mean order.

Keading from the Financial Times, 5th July, 1984, I read, and I quote with your pexmission:
"The U.S. intereste of this Company include the Grand Union Supermarket Chain, and Diamond Land which as Dianond Intermationat was ceouired in 1982 for $545,000,000$ doltars. Many of Diamond's forest products interests have since been sold off, but the Group has been involved in the takeover wrangle for Continental Group, a large paokaging. energy and forest products group."
with Sir James Goldsmith's financit there are other articles dealing to say that amonot the inancial empire, but it is sufficient to say that amongst the many assets owned by this Componys are the 395 supermarkets in the United States, the 1.5 mition acres of some of the best timber land in the States, and one of the largest publishing fimm in France. So it is indeed a large Company, and the fact that such a large Corporation has seen fit to make coyman its home, must be a plus in our favour. It must be a star in our crown, and certainly, it wilt encourage many other such giant Companies to move their operations here.

Why did Oriental Investments select this Istand when they coutd have chosen many other places to go? We are a very modest people, but I think, first of all, they must know that we have never adopted, have never to terated any communist or socialist ideas. We believe, in Cayman, in the free enterpmise system where the profit motive is uppermost. We apeak English, which is usefut when English todry is spoken in more thon $50 \%$ of all the places where business would be done. We are conveniently loated geographically. We have one of the best communications systems in the world, and this is the most essential ingredient for business to function smoothty.

The establishment of our national Airlines, Cayman Airways, guarantees that the businese man oan move frequently, quickty, efficiently, and whenever he wants to move. We have a good telephone and telex system, all the organe of communications are present, and are of the highest standard. We are a Crown Colony, and we expeat to remain one unless the country is taken over by the radicats. The smatl minority that is itching for independenoe have failed so far to even get one seat in the Legistature, and the majority of our indiginous Caymanians are a happy, high spirited and industrious people. We have a vibrant economy, no unemployment; a surptus in the Treasury, and a huge reserve of 11.2 million dollars, and a batanced budget for 1984.

Our banking laws guarantee absotute confidentiality for our clients, except for those who deal in drugs. Now I hear some Laurers are worrisd about losing their drug clients. So these are some of the reasons why we are holding this special sitting of Rarlicoment today. One more entity wants to come to the Cayman Islands to benefit from our benevolent lows, and to be atamped forever with the magnanimity of the Caymonian reapect for $l a w$ and order.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The BiLL is a special Bill. Our Standing Onders make provision for Private Billa to be introduced. Up until today, the only Frivate Bilts that have come have been the Bills for the churches. Every major church in the Cayman Istands benefits from a Frivate Bill. which has been passed to allow the church to carry on business, to own property, and to be a legat entity in our society.

The passage of this Dill witl confer upon Oriental Investments Limited certain benefitis which will be peouliar to them. It re-instrtes in many parts, frovisions of our Companies Law, and will not be in conflict with the Componies Law.

The Bitz altows for the tisue of
s.hares at a premium. It allows for the purchase of shares and it sets out certain emergency provisions or measures which aan be taken, and there are one or two areas in which miscellaneous provisions vary the general law.

So this short one-day session will probably go down in our Banking histom, and our financial histoxy as a red letter day, a day when we have given substance to the many advertisements that we have put out to attract this type of Company." Thie will aive an incentive to othex targe Companies to come here and find a safe area in a country whoseronly ambition is to provide for the welfare of its people and to: give to alt those who visit us, the umberella of political stability.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH:
Mr. President, I witl assure you. Sir, that my oontribution won't be as long as the previous speaker was.

Mr. Fresident, the object of this Bill before this Honourable House today is to expedite the tranafer pursuant on a scheme of arrangement under the lows Hond Kong Law, of the share capital of General oriental Limited, a Company incorporated under the Laws of Hong Kong, to protect and facilitate the $b$ siness and operation of the said Orientil Investment Limited under the Laws of the Cayman Islands.

This Bill, Mr. Presidents in my opinion, is a straightforward Bitl, so I do not intend to burden this Honourable House with a lengthy debates: so I'tl confine my remarks very briefiy.

Mr. Fresident; the offshore banking business is one of the mainstays of the economy of these Istands. It supplies jobs for our people, and also much training is offered to our young people. Licence Fiees from Banks and Trust Companies, and other Companies, make up a targe part of our revenue, and for this, Mr. President, we are vary grateful.

These Islands, Sirs have a good reputation because they are known for their political stability and wisdom of their Legistators, may God bless us and grant us this wisdom and stability in the years ahead.

As a result of this wisdom, $\mathrm{Mr}^{2}$ 。
President, and stability, we have attracted some of the largeot Banks and Companies in the world, and in supporting this Bill before us here today, I am proud to be a part of this Honourable Legistature, and I am also proud to welcome General oriental Investments Limited, one of the realty large Companies, and may they prosper in the Cayman Istands, even beyond what they have prospered in other countries.

Mr. Fresident, the very fact that such a large Company has seleoted the Cayman Ielande as ite headquarters, shows that the Cayman Iskands have won the respect. of ail business commonities.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH (CONTINUING): In conclusion, Mr. Fresident, let me say this is only the beginning of the advent of many such large Companies to our Istands.

Mr. President, I support this Bitl wholeheartedly, and I thank you very much, Six.

MISS ANDIE HULDAH BODDEN, OBE: Mr. President and Honourable Members.
I am very confident that this is the most wealthy the Cayman Islands have been in the past sixty years. I have been associated with the Govermment and its workings from the tender age of 16 years, and in those far off days, Sixy we have been extremely lucky to have obtained such wealth. We have come from rags to riches, and I must say, sir, that it mist be due to the fact that we have a stable Govermments, and most of alt, our attachment to the British Crown.

Mr. President, when I hear so much ridicule, so much talk, so much, everything that is wrong about this Government, it makes me sick, beaause. I would tike to know where in the world we would find a Govermment who has prospered like we have, and it must be through the working of the Government and its people to have attained this.

I think, Sirs that most of the things that we hear so degradings what the Govermment has not done. Will the people that are making those remarks stop and think what they have done that has enabled us to get to this stage where we are now. and I support the Bill, and I feel that we should be honoured that this little grain of sand in the large oceans of the world ahould have such confidence plaoed in hers as a Company of this magnitude and wealth to want to establish its headiuarters here.

I appreciate what has been done for us through the ages, and most assurediy, I feet that we shall continue to prosper provided we keep the right people in the House.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS, IF: Mr. Tresident, in the wisdom of tegistators, just a few years ago, with due respect to our neighbour--ing Jomaica, that we felt that we should sever relationship with that country, being a pout of its, beoome a Crown Cotony, and endeavour to continue to maintain the welfare and interests of the Caymon Islands, and to builds oontinue to build on the foundation that was laid by our forefathers. We felt, Sirs, that if we. the Cayman Islands had tost its identity, and I believe I an aafe Sir in saying, if we had, we would have only been another of the disastrous Caribbean.

I could be lengthy, Mr. President, but I will not at this stage beoause we have got the proof of our labour, the Legislators and the people of this countrys. whether it was our determination and we have lived up to that, to build a good, clean Govermment, a Govermment with respeot, a Government with integrity, which if one should read the press daily, they would get quite upset from time to time.

I read a part of an article a few weeks back, where one candidate for the upcoming Etection, or has declared himself as a candidates, one of the thinos of their main intention is to try to restore the integrity of this Govermment. When one reads suoh as that, they must wonder really if those type people have been following the workings of the Government and what interest did they have or display during this Government's operation, or the maintenance of their working to establish and keep a good clean Govermment with the high standards of integrity.

And if it was nots, Arr. Iresident, for
the integrity and the stable Govermment that over the years whether

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS JF (CONTINUING): politicians and the people, the majority of the people on the outside has supported, then we wouldn't have a country that the world is clamouring to get a foothold in, some place that they could even come in for one day seemingly.

I wonder if Cayman was put into the estate of this Company in the United States in their acreace, would we even be found in a million or a million and a half acre property. Yet, such a Company, such people with the billions of investments, billions of returns; have looked the world around and over - let us go to Cayman beoause it's a little rook in the middle of the oocan that's got a good cleans stable. Govermment. And when you've got people, investors of such magnitude aan make such choise the world over then why should we have a few radieals saying that this country is doing nothing, and getting no place, ond the people are
not getting this, and the people aren't getting that.
Mr. Tresident, I support this Eill
that is brought to the House, even though it is the first of its kind, and I am glad, and I cm happy that those types of investors are happy to be a part of us in their investment, to do business, and it will eertainly be of benefit to our people and the country on the whole, and I give it my whole support, Mr. President; and as has already been said, there seems to be the opening of a gate for other such large, magnificent investors, to come in to do business as well.

So, I give it my support, Mr. Fresident, and I trust that it will prove to be $100 \%$ beneficial to this country and its people.

I thank you sir.
MR. PRESTDENT:
I think it may be convenient for Members if at this point, before cnother Member starts speaking, we take our customary short morning break. So I will suepend proceedings for approwimately fifteen minutes.

AT 11.13. A.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED
HOUSE RESUMED AT 11,44 A.M.
MR. PRESIDENT: . . Please be seated. Second Reading
Debate resumed. "Does any other Henourable Member wish to speak?
CAFTAIN MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, I mise to aupport this Bitl now before the House, the General Oriental Amendment Investment Limited BilL, 1984.

I am proud to be a Member of this Honourable House when history is being written in our financial affairs. I think it atteste to the stability of this country, that a compony of the magnitude of this one would ohoose to estabtish its corporate headquarters here in the Cayman Istands, and I welcome them.

I Zook forward to others who can be beneficial to $u 6$, following suit. I attribute them choosing the Cayman Islinds because of our British Crown Colony status, and our stability, and it is my hope, Mr. Eresident, that this day will long be remembered as a day that we mode a brave step fomward.

And in conclusion, Mir. Presidents,
I give this Bill my full support, and look forward to others to fozlow.

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS:
Mr. President, I too want to give my support to this Bizz. I feet that it does us proud to have a Compony of the magnitude to point out the Cayman Islands as a base for its coming business.

I am sure, sir, that if it waen't for the Govermment of the Cayman Islands, our stability, and the peopto of the Cayman Istands, that a Company like this would never choose us as a place for a business. And with this Compony working 'here. Sir, I hope and pray that it is onty paving the way for other Companies of the aqme magnitude to also cocept the Cayman Is lands as their headquarters.

There have been a. Zot of comments which are bad about our future, but I am sure that these people before they came to the Cayman Islands, had investigated the Goverment and the people, and everything about this laland that was needed before they chose this Island for their base.

And with that, Sir, I'll alose with
saying, it has my wholehearted support.
I thank you very much.

## MR. PRESIDENT: spedk.

Any other Honourable Member wish to

MR. BENSON O. ETZANKS:
It appears that the First Elected Member of Executive Councit has his ready, Sir.

HON. JOHN B. MoLEAN: Mr. President, when I an ready to make a speech, I aatch your aye and I stand up and do my speech.

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS: Mr. Eresidents I didn't intend to speak at this time, but since we hope to finish today, I uril. make my contribution now.
$I$ support the Eitl before ue in generat terms, and as I progress. I witl point out the one or two areas in which I feel some amendment is necessary.

As I listen to some of the Members
speak, Mr. Freaident, although I realise that this year is an election year. and you can expect a lot of rhetoric. I wondexed whether I had the correct $K i l l$ before me, because as far as I am concerned, the Bilt seeks to incorporate a Company and register it on our books, in the same fashion as any other Company would do except that because of the lack of aertain provisions in our Companies Law, which the General Oriental Investment Company Limited seeke to have in its Memorandum of Objects and Reasons, or of its Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation, we are obtiged to inoorporate in this Bill.

Now, the firet misconception that I would seek to dispet is the fact that this Company is moving its headquarters into the Cayman Islands. I see nothing in this Bilt. to suggest that the Company is moving its headquarters to Cayman. It is true that the Company or a sister Company which is incorp--orated in Hong Kong and doing business there, is seeking to establish a Company here which will serve as an insurance policy for the day, if they have to move out of Hong. Kong. But I don't believe that Membere shoutd be of the opinion, nor should they give the public the impression that we are about to see an influx of people, or increased opportunities for employment of local persons inmediately.

Mr. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): In fact, Mr. Fresident, one of the provisions which this Bitit seeks to incorporate is a provision which would enable this Company to remove its assets from the Cayman Islands" control at the twinkling of an eye. So I don't think that we should get any false apprehensions as to what this Bill really seeke to do.

The Mover of the Bizt, Mr. Tresident, said that he hoped that in future the Legislatures or Members would continue to entertain such Bills. I have the contrary view. Mr. President. I would hope that maybe, this will be the one and only time that it will be necessory for us to bring such a bilt before the House, because, we have been talking for some time about amending our Companies Low, which would embody many of the provisions which are contained in this Bills and which, but for the tack of those cmendments, would not be in this Eill.

The few which have not already been identified as desirabie amendments to our bill, or had not been identified at the time this Bill was presented, are now very obvious, and I understand meet the general reouirements and conditions of the new English Companies Low. So that I would hope that Govermment would take early steps to have our Companies Lcw amended in a fashion that maybe Bills of this nature would be unnecessary, and that is not to say, Mr. President, if we had a simitar apptication tomorrow before we could amend the Companies Lows, then I would not support a similar. Bill. But. I am making the point that I would prefer to see our Companies Law amended generally to cater for the things that are sought to be catered for in this Bill, so that we do not have to legislate what I term, special interest legislation, becouse in principle, I am againet that.

There, as I said Mr. Tresident, is nothing, or only one or two ideas that are novel in this piece of legislation, in fact, some of the provisions in this are already contained in other tax haven jurisdiotion legislation. company legistation, and I know that Govermment has been considering making similar omendments to our legistation.

I agree, Mr. Tresident, that this country, over the years has shown stability, and I am confident that it will continue to do.so. I believe we have also demonstrated that this is a democratio society, and I do not believe that one should read into this bill more than is intended, because I am sure Mr. President, that even the signatories to the petition which gave birth to this Bill can sense the feeling in the air that come November 14th, there witt be a change in the composition of this House, and for that reason, I think it shows confidence in the entire 1 ,, 000 inhabitants of the Cayman Islands.

I an not going to get into any more political rhetorio over the Bill at this point, Mr. Fresident. I just thought $I$ would throw that in to make sure that Members understood where we were going, and where we come from.

Now, speaking on the Bill specific--ally, Mr. President, in Section 3, Sub-section (4), a penalty is stipulated for making a false dectaration in one respect of the Bili, and that is the section which I drew Members attention to in my opening remarks, the emergency measures which the Company may take to remove its assets from the Istand, or to transfer assets from one Company to another with ease and at the twinkling of an eye, after making a certain declaration.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING):
Now another novel provision in this Bill. Mr. President, is the way in which the premium collected on shares if they are sold, that a premium may be , handleds and the purchase of shares by the Company other than from profite even, and that as I understand it is to be accomplished, or can be accomplished once again, two Directors sign a voluntayy declaration and diapatoh its a swom affidavit and dispatoh it to the Registrar of Componies.

I believe that that section of the Bill should earmy a penalty the some as the sut-section 4 or, let me be more generct, and that is Section 3 of the Low so what I am reatly saying, $M$. Tresident, the Bill in my opinion should aarry a general penalty olcuse, rather than have it stuck on at the end of one section of the bill. I do not believe that this will in any way detract from our attractiveness as a place to be incorporated and registered. In facts $I$ think it will enhance our image, because, franklys the little amount that hae been mentioned by way of fine, is below what somebody would get, or could get for smoking, experimenting in smoking their firet mari,fuana cigarette, and the offences envisaged here, are very serious offences. And I think that the punishment should matok the offence.

Mr. President, I have raised the matter of the penalty before, and while $I$ am one who believes in, shall I say, govermment in the sunshine, open government and would not mind discussing this matter in open in a full committee of this House, I believe that a better course for ue to follow with this Bill, would be to take it to a Select Committee, adjourn the House, take it to a Select Committee as is provided for under Standing Order 62, Sub-section 4s and then get an adequate penalty clause into the Bill, draft the amendment to the satisfaction of all concerned, and bring it back and report it, and insert it in the Bill.

I am aware, Mr. President, that in order to do that we would have to take cognizance of Standing Order 70, Sub-order 8, but this can be done with the consent of the House, the agreement of the Houee, and I would hope that the Member piloting thia bill would see it acceptable, that instead of us doing a committes section on the Bill in the whole House, we woutd adjourn for a short period, go into a silect Committes. and do the necessary drafting and amendment there.

With those few words, Mr. President, $I$ will close my contribution to this Bill.
$\frac{M R_{0} P R E S I D E N T}{\text { wish to speak. }} \quad$ Does any other Honourable Member
BON. T. C. JWFFERSON:
Mr. President, the Bitl before this Honoumable House today, witt represent a positive mark in the annats of history of the economic development of these Islands.

We are challenged by the need to
be ever oognizant of what attracts intermational business to the Cayman Islands. Additionally, we need to keep a watehful eye on what our conpetitors are offering, when attracting similar business to their shores.

BON. T.C. JEFFERSON (CONITNUING); It appeare, Mr. President, if we are to attract business of this intermational quality in the future, it may again be: necessary to do an Act of this type, which amends certain provisions of the Companies Low. Although the provisions of the Bill fall within the United Kinadom Companies Law. guidelines, or along the lines of the United Kingdom Companies Law, the Bill, while filiting certain legal requiremente to General Oriental Investments Limited, $e \mathrm{e}$ te out the requirement to pay to Govermment an additional Annual Fee as well.

As some people say, Mr. President, we have a good thing going to whioh I agree. but we must took to the long term development of these three Ialands.

This Bille. tre, Fresidents, is a good example of how the long-term development of this country is likely to take place, and that is the private sector and Govermment working together to accomplish our developmental goals.

Mr. Fresident, earlier speakers referred to the transfer of business from Hong Kong, such as General Oriental Investmente Limited; but I am sures, Ar. Fresidents we with need to be seleative as the manpower of these Islands is limited.

Certainly. Mr. Fresident; there are many types of bueiness in Hong Kone that the Cayman Islands do not wish to attract, but I am aure that there are an equal type and quantity which we con select. We must always remember the need to maintain a stable social environment, high aulity of service, a responsible Govermment, financial prudence, and political stability,

I humbly: ask all, Mr. Fresident, who
live in these Islands, to assist us to promote the development of theae Islands for a better quality of life for all. I welcome General Oriental. Inveatmente Limited to incorporate and to operate from these becutiful Islands of ours.

An earlier speaker, Nu. President, referred to Companies moving assets at the twinkiing of an eye. This is true, Mr. President. In my mind the only way of restricting the flow of apital or assets is by the introduction of an Exchange Control Regulation Law, which we repealed in 1990; therefore: the Companies who have aseets and are registered in our Registpar of Companies office at present, have no restriction as to whether they ocn move their assets or not.

To conolude, Mr. President, I wish to thank all the Members of the Assembly for their contribution to the Bill, although I am not the Mover: it is part of my portfolio and I cm certain that in the futures we witl see significant apin-off from the arrival of General Oriental Investmente Limited in these lstands.

Thank you, Mr. Fresident.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish to spalk? If not I witl ask the Mover of the Motion whether he wishes to exercise his right of reply.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, and Honourable Members, the pessimist aees a disaster in every opportunity, and the optimist sees an opportumity in every disaster. In this world we are not permitted to stand still, and the world. progressea because of optimism, and Cayman has progresaed to where we are beoause of optimiem and good leadership.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): We have sGen the stagnation economiactly in the early and mid 1970 s, and it perhaps hat arisen beaause of the lack of foresceability of the leaders at that time, to see mearures such as this being good for the Cayman Islands. Having seid that, Mr. Fresident, I wish to thank the Members who supported this Frivate Bill and I witl deal only with one main aspeet of $i t$, and that is in relation to the movement of assets inder the provisions of the Low.

This same machinery exists from a legal standpoint, under the Low of Trusts, in which assets can be automatically removed in certain instanoes, but in practice I have found as a Lauryers that it is something that is rarely used. It is a mechanism which is there in the event of a total disaster, and I said, this is a very forward looking Goverment, and I am certain that I have no fear whatsoever of that provision going in here, or of it going in in the general Low. We operate this country openty, and if anyone wishes at any stage to withdrow, they have every right to withdraw. That is the basis of the democratic system and good government that exiats heres and indeed if it wasn't you would not get a Company of this atanding coming here. So I am not worried about it, and I don't think it will ever be used.

The Sections that the Honourable Third Elected Member for West Bay referred to. He is quite inght that these points were raised, and unfortunately; Mr. Fresident, I had been in London untit this weekend just gone, and it appears that these were not sorted out by the peopte assisting, the Attorneys, and himself, and I am quite happy to move that the...

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: On a point of ordex, Mr. President, I had nothing to do with the presentation of the Bill.s so the Attorneys had no reason to correspond with me to offer an amendment. I understood the proposed amendment would be going to the Honourable Member.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Wert, let's put it this way, Mr.
President, at this stage I am attempting to give him a sotution to the problem that he has raised.

Whatever it is, Ar. President, in
Iife the solution is atways what I think is most important and I am prepared to move the Amendments to Section $3_{s}$ Sub-section 4, and also to add a further. Sub-section 3 to Section 5 to bring this more in line with what $I$ feel it should be, and these with be circulated to Members fairly shortiy.

I noted, Mr. Fresident, that in passing, the same Member who just interrupted me, even though I did not give leave under the Standing Orders by sittings mentioned that there will be a change in the composition of the House Jater this year, and I did not reatise that he was getting ready to vacate his asat.

Having said that, Mr. President, I would ask Members that they support this, that they also bear with me to move this into a Committee of the whole House, rather than going through the tedious procedure Irid down for the Private Bill through the Seleot Committee, and in faet, Mr. Freaident, it is atways the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay who tatks about having these Bills debated openlys so $I$ cm a bit surpmised to see such a change of tactics, when it comes to a very simple Bitl such as this.

HON. TRUMAN $M$. BODDEN (CONTINUING) : Finally, Sir, I would once again thank Members for supporting this and I would hope that the Third Elected Nember for West Bay will aupport a good Dill, and will join me in welcoming General Oriental to the Cayman Istande.

MR. FRESIDENT:
The Motion before the House is that a Bill shortly entitled the Bill of The General Oriental Investments Limited Low, 1981, be given a Second Reading.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. EILL GIVEN $A$ SECOND READING.

SUSPENSION AND APPLICATION OF STANDING ORDERS
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Freaident, I beg to move in accordance with Standing Order 92, the suspension of Standing Orders $62(4),(5) \cdot(6):(7)$ and (8).

MR: PRESIDENT:
The Motion before the House is that in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82, Standing Orders $68(4),(5),(6),(7)$ and (9), I' 27 say that again. Standing Orders 62, Sub-orders (4) through (8). be suspended.

My understanding is that the purpose of the suspension will be to enable the Bill to be taken in Committee of the whole House in the usual way, rather than to be taken in Select Commttee, which would be the procedure, rather time aonsuming and cumbersome as has been said, provided in Standing Orders for Private Bills.

Does any Member wish to speak?
MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: Yes, Mr. President. Out of an abundance of caution, I am not going to oppose the suspension of alt of the Standing Orders which the Mover has proposed, but it was for the identianl reason why I suggested the suapension of Standing order 62(3) this morning, I think it is, because if as under Order papers, Standing Onder 62(1) is suspended and the Bitl doee not go to a Committee, it would seem that the acme procedure as anticipated this moming would follow, that is they would fall away, and the Bill would automatically go into a Committee of the whole House. So I'm not opposing it, but I'm just showing why I raised the point which I did this morning, and out of an abundance of ooution I think they should att be suspended.

## MR. PRESIDENT: <br> wish to speak?

Does any other Honourable Member
Welt, if I may just comment, I
think the Honourable Member may well be right that if Standing Order 62(4) is suspended, the others fall auky, but nevertheless, I'm on the side both of the Mover and of the last speaker that it oan do no harm on this occasion to be cautious.

So I witl put the motion.
QUESTION FUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDERS 62(4), (5) (6) (7) AND (8) SUSPENDED

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN. Mr. Iresident, I now wish to move that the Bill be referred to a Committee of the whole House in accordance with Standing Orders 49 to 53 . I think, Mr. Preeident, white it is implied, I would rather be cautious there, and apply them expressly, so that we may deal with it under those provisions.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that's wise. The motion is that the Bili should now stand committed to a Comittee of the whole House as if the provisions of Standing Orders 49 to 53 applied.

Does any Honourable Member wish
to speak?
Then I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED
MR. TRESIDENT:
The House witl now accordingly go into Conmittee to study a Bill entitled a Bill of the General Oriental Investments Limited Lav, 1984.

HOUSE IN COMMITTEE

MR. CHAIRMAN: It may be convenient for Members if I first mention one point, on my copy, and it may be on a number of Members' copies. A few printing errors have been corrected, commas inserted where they should appear, but have not been printed, and the tay-out of some of the Clauees stightly altered where words have been indented which should not have been indented or vice versa.

The normat wish of the House has been that the Attorney General should be given leave to make such corrections as may be necessary where printing errors of that kind have oocurred, and I wonder whether it urould be the wish of the House that the Attorney General, in consultation with the Mover, should be authomised so to do on this occasion, and then we would not have to point them out as we go through.

Would that be convenient for
Members?
THE GENERAL ORIENTIAL INVESTMENTS LIMITED LAW, 1984

## COMMITTEE THEREON

CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT THTLE.
MR. CHATRMAN:
The question is that Clause 1 do stand part of the Bizl. Unlees any Honourable Membex wishes to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED CLAUSE 1 PASSED
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. DEFINITIONS.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not, I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED CLAUSE 2 PASSED

CLERK: CLAUSE 3. EMERGENCY MEASURES.
MR. PRESIDENT: I think an anendment to Clauge 3 has been oirculated. I imagine perhape the Mover witi wish to aeek permission under the appropriate Standing Order.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: : Yes Sir, under 52, Sub-order is, I would ask, Sir, for leave to introduce this.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS: Mr. Eresident, I think the Member has transeribed his figures. He means' 25 .

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: apply these Standina Orders , that lo correct, Sir. I asked to: the one the therore the one $I$ have to waive 28 the one that I've applied, and it's 52(2).

However, Mr. Tresident, since the Honourable Member keeps raising these other ones to suaponds if he will move it, I'll support him.

MR. ERESIDENT:
My underetonding would be that the Member would have to first seek teave in acoordance with Standing Order 82, to auspend Standing Order 52(2), in orden to be ahte to introduce an omendment without giving the necessary notice, and then having been granted the susperision, seek leave to introduce the amendment. I think that's probably the right procedure, and subject to the Member's agreement, and I see he aqrees, that's what I shall take it we are doing. And I think that's quite right, at teaat that's my understanding of what the procedure should be.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: to waive the two daus' notice Yes, he wants to get permission to waive the two days' notice.

MR. FRESIDENT: That's right, and have my permission to introduce it. So that's the substance of it. He wants to waive Standing Orders to waive the two days' notioe, and to have my permission to introduce the comendment.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I was looking at motions, not
the procedure in Conmittee.
MR. PRESIDENT: I think we are now atraight on what
is being requested. Does any Honourable Member wioh to speak: If
nots I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I ask to annend. that
clauge 3 be amended -
(i) by substituting "three years" for"six months" in the sixth line of sub-section (4):
(ii) by substituting "fifteen thousand (CI\$15,000)" for "two thousand five hurdred (CI\$2,500)" in the last two tines.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. Chairman, I would tike to place on record that this has been done in afreement with the Attormeys for the General oriental Company itselfs, and also the subsequent Amendment I will be proposing, Sir.

MR. CHATRIAN: The Amendment proposed to Clause 3, (1) as in the note circulated to Members is that "three years" be substituted for "six months", and "fiffeen thousand CI Dollars" for" "two thousand, five hundred CI Dolzars".

Does any Member wish to speak.
MR. BENSON O., EEANKS: Yea, Mr. Chairman, we are reaching the stape now where I indicated, not that I didn't like government in sunshine, but I like to know where I am going whenever I say yes to something, and we may have, this might all for some extra explanations and the question I am raising is whether the "three years" and "fifteen thousand Dollars" have been lifted from any other penalty clause in existing laws on our books, or for similar offerces, or whether this is just a figure that we are in each instance, pulling out of a hat.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:Mr. Chaimnan. I never putt thinge out of a hat. I consider them carefully, and this is what. in my considered opinion, is a just and eauitable penalty for this Section and the following Section.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS; Maybe the Member; if I re-phrase the question, the Member would understand what I on asking more olearly. Is there a precedent which we are using to insert the penalty, of is the Member asting himself up and inviting us to become judges in imposing these fines, or these penalties. I om asking if there is any precedent to which I could be referred that we would know that it's in line with simitar offences in those precedents.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:Mr. Chaiman, I cannot, on a very short notice like this begin to go through, to endeavour to assist the Member in seeing the precedent on it, but I would just point out $M r$. Chairman, that every time we pass a Bill in this House, we make precedents, and $I$ do not mind, afterwards, if he wishes, to look up comparative sections in the Companies Law, but the answer I must give him is that I have not based this on any specific section, it is what I reqard as just and equitable under the Bitl, Sir.

MR: BENSON O. EEANKS: In the circumbtonces then, sir, coutd the Seond Official Momber help me, or help the House.

HON. T. BRIAN WILKINSON: Mr. Chairmans Six, there are precedents, not for this particular term or years, but there are precedents for tonger texms of years in the penat code dealing with these type of offences, perjury, giving false. evidence, false swearing, deeeiving witnesses, and so ons and I think the feeling is that, bearing in mind the penalty in respect of these other offences, three years is a reabonable penalty for this particular type of offence, and also for an offence contrary to Clause 5 , which we are coming to shortly.

Mr. Chaixman, it seems to ne that we are quibbling with the matter of how we armived at the penalties, and this seems to be aecondary to the main arowment. The proposed penalties in the clause seem to have been too small for dealing with the type of offence which is considered, the offence considered is related to the actions of a Director or Directore of the Company, and they are serious offences, and the penalties should be in keeping with the offence. If the Member would tell the House whether he feels the penatties are too little on too great, maybe some Member could assist hims but he has not ohosen to disclose his feelings on the Section which we are considering, because the circulated amendment to the House, is to inorease the penalties, and if he has any objections to the increase of the penatties, he should say so, and then the Chair, or perhape other Members might be able to throw some light on whatever is clouding his thoughts at this time. But, to put up an argument that because the Bill does not say, or Members do not say how they arrived at "three years", he finde it diffioult to accept it, and for that reason wants the Bill to go to a Seleet Committee.

I think this is unreasonable, and certainly is not a matter that should take up the time of the House.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, as usuat the Member beems to be confused, sir. In my second Reading Debate, I made it quite clear that $I$ thought six monthe, and twenty five hurdred dothars were thadequate, and that the penalty shoutd be increased to refleot the magnitude of the arime.

Now whit I am andious to find out is whether we are increasing it sufficiently, for escomples the Second Official Member stated that there are provisions in the Fenal Code for similar offences in excess of what is being proposed in the Amendment, and since I to not have the Fenal Code before me, I would like, for the benefit of myself; and the Member from Bodden Town, to hear what those maximion penalities would be under the Fenal code.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The Member raised a point, and $I$ think that point has been answered. He may not be wholly happy with the answer, but I think it has been dealt with.

I wonder, döes he wish to propose an Amendment, or can we vote on it and see what the wishes of the Comittee are.

MR. DENSON O. EEANKS:
Only if I acn find out. I think the Second Officiat Member was leafing through the Fenat Code when he made the statement, and I don't think it's unveasonable for him to telt us what these maximum sentenoes he is talking about would be, or penalties rather.

HON. TRUMAAY M. DOVERN:
Mr. Chairman, the offence created by Section 3 ts not now a ariminat offence under the Componies Law, or indeed under any Law, so this as $I$ aee it Six $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}$ puts the dectaration as an aditition to the powers and duties of the Directors, therefore, we are not going to find anything relating to this Section in the Companies Lcu, and to really get to a stage where you have to find a precedent for everything that is done, is taking cuky the sovereienty of this House.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Well it seemad to me that there couldn ${ }^{\text {'t }}$ be a precise precedents because if there was something in the ariminat Penal Code, which was the same offance, there woutd be no point in putting this section in here at all. This must create a slightly different offence, and has to have its own penalty. The penalty has got to be reasonable, I imagine that's the wish of the House.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:

I think the word used was similar.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: the hetp of the Member is that those oaths are oaths of public importance relating to the public generally, they are like in a criminal triat on that sort of thing, which must be different from these specific offences, and there is nothingsimitar in the Companies Law as far as I can see, beoause two directors an carry out the powers under the Companies Law. They have to swear no declaration, and they are not aubject to oriminal liability, and if minority interests are not protected, then there is a certain procedure that can be:followed through the Courts to deal with the action that is taken.

MR. CHATRMAN: Unless any other Member wishes to...
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I would just itike to get one thing otarified. I would like to ask the Member if he is auggesting that directors are not ariminally. liable for their aotions as Directors of companies.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, this is perhape the distinction I keep drawing to the Honourable Member when he tries to put his own interpretation to my legat thinking.

What I have said is that there is
not a similar oximinal offence, "for two divectors exeraising their powers under the Companies Low, as has been oreated in this Section.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Unless any other Honourable Member wishes to speak I witl put the question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I asked the question that I did in the beginning because I have reservations obout the cmencment in the form it is drown. As I see the omendment here, these witt be the specific penalties, not the maximum penalties, and I would prefer to see Lows drawn in a frashion that gives the court discretion to impose up to...

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, we have been down this road at least a dosen times, and it is abundently olear that there are two ways of drafting this, and a Fenalty is always discretionary unless made mandatory.

I would just like perhape, which may olarify the Honourable Member's mind, is to read out, if you will permit me. Section 74 , the Oompanies Law, which perhaps can aseist him in this respeat.

It Bays:-
"Where a duty is imposed by this Law on any compcony, director or officer of any company, and no special penalty or fine has been provided for the breach of such duty, then cony such compony director or officer guilty of such breach, will be guilty of an offence,

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
shatl be liable an conviction to a fine not exceeding. one hundred dollars".

MR. EENSON O. EBANKS: "Not exceeding" - that's what I am saying.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN. One hundred dollars.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS; No. We are not discuesing the cmount now. I an discussing the wording of the Anendnent. I tike the wording "not exceeding".

HON. TRUMAN M, BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, in this Honourable Houses it is not what the Honourable Members in minority. tike, it is what is legally correct, and really it is aetting to a point where if he does not understand the Luw, Sir, then I think he should try to get elucidated from someone who understinds it before he moves these points.

The Honourable Attomey-Generaz can tell $h i m$ that penaties are not mandatory unless they are axpressly made mandatory, and I really am not changing At this time.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chaimman, I think that we have ample precedent in thie House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Do you wish to move an Amendment or. .
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes sir. I suçest that.
$\frac{\text { HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: }}{\text { Or is he suagesting? }} \quad$ Does he mean that he is moving, sif, or is he suggesting?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I'm going to move when I get it ready. I would like to move an Amendment, Mr. Chairman, that in the fourth tine of Sub-section 4 of Clause 3, after the word period, that is, "for a period", I would like to substitute "not exceeding three years".

MR. CHATRMAN:
abouts not the fourth.
MR. BENSON O. EBANSS: I am saving Sub-paragraph 1 in the
fifth tine, yes.
That is the fifth tine you are talking

MR. CHALRMAN:
I think you said the fourth line.
MR. DENSON O. EBANKS:
"For a period not exceeding three years", and then simitarly in the next propeesd amendment that has been ciroulated, after the word "fine", I would like to move an anendment to substitute the words "not exseeding".

MR. CHAIRMAN: For the word "Of".
MR. DENSON O. EDANKS: Between "fine" and "Of", to insert
Thot exceeding frfteen thousand CI Dollars".
MR. CHATRMAN:
Actually, you delete the word "of",
in both cases.

MR. DENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, "to a fine not excesding fifteen thousand CI DoZZars" instead of the "two thousand, five hundred".

MR. CHAIRMAN: Am I right, reclly in effect, you $\overline{a r}{ }^{\prime}$ proposing an Amendment to the Amendment.

MFi. DENSON O. ELANKS:
Yes Sir.
MR. CHATKMAN:
If I can just get the position right. In other words you are not ohanaing the proposed maxima which would stay at three years imprisonment, and fifteen thousand for the fine, but you are wishing to change the word "of" in two cases to the words "not exceeding" to make cleap that these are maxima and they are not mandatory.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: Beccotlys Sir.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairmain, I take a Foint of Order That motion is not properly before the House, and the Member can figure out why.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I assume, Sir, that you are giving me the same teeway that you gave the Member to bring it in at the last minute.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You havn't aotually asked for it yet. I think you had bedter ask for it firet, to be on the eafe aide.

MR. BENSON O, EBANKS: Welly may I have permiseion under Standing Order $52(2)$ to propose the amendment which I have mode.

MR. CHATRMAN: I think what you thave to do is what the Members well I explained what I thought the Member was doing, that is seek leave under Standing Order 82 , to waive the period of notice specified in Standing Order $52(2)$, and provided that the period is waived, then leave also to introduce an Amendment of which no notice has been given.

I think that's what I shatl assume that you are asking, just as I assumed it with the other Member.

MR. BEIJSON O. EBANKS.
Excoctly Sir. I didn ${ }^{9} t$ think it was necessary to calt attention to 82 , because I thought that everybody woutd know...

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, I think we'd perhaps better get
it quite mght.
Mr. Chatimang I think he's overtooked
HON. TRUMAN M. DODDEN:
Mr. Chatrmans I thunk he's overlooked one point, because under 52(2) you can only give leave to bring an Ameadment into the Bill itself. So, if he's trying to extend that to the Amendment, he can't do it. A vote must be taken on the Amendment first. If it fatis, then we go on with his. So what he's left with, he can"t deal with the amendment to the Bill only after we have cleared my Amendment.

MR. CHAIRMAD: I havn't been acoustomed to that practice in the House here befores in other words, my reooltection is that on oocasion we have aonsidered Amendments to Amendmente and nobody has thought that out of order.

MR. CHiIRMAN: (CONTTMUING): And without consulting Erskine May carefully, I couldn't हay for oertain, but I don't really read 52(2) as muling it out of order to have an Amendment to an Amendment; and I think it may be simplest and quickest, and therefore in accordance with the ishes of the Comittee, if I accept an Amendment to an Amendment, and put it and we will see if it gets oarried or not.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: From the point of expediency $I$ agree, but I'm just trying to get through to the Member, if he's going to play legat, so can $I$.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: If the Member would refer to 52(6), Mn. Fresident, he would olecrily see that Amendments to Amendments are anticipated, and if I was given two seconds I would find the specific Standing Order which refers to Amendments to Amendments, but, if he recade (6), he'tl see that that's antioipated.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairmany (6) tooks to me to be very relevant. It says if an Amendment refers to or is not intelligible without a subsequent Amendment. Mine, I submit is completely intelligible. It is good modern legat draftinf.

MR. DEESON O. EBANKS: Go on and read the whole of it.
MR. CHAIRMAN: I think, order, order." We've had enough exchanges for the moment.

There is now firstly an Amendment
to the Amendment. Now that is that in two places the words "not exceeding". I am sorry, I om misleading the House, in fact, it isn't an Amendment to the Amendment, it's really an Addition to the Amendment. It doesn't alter anything in the Amendment.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chirirmon, since the Member wants
to get legat, I woutd refer $h i m$ to $S$ tanding order 27 , which proves. that I don't even have to ask for suspension of Standing Orders to do what I have done, an Amendment to an Amendment requires no leave.

## MR. CHALEMAL:

## That's with Motions.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
If you reat, Sub-regulation 10 of
52, you will see that 25 and 27 as applied to Motions, witl apply to Amendments in Committee.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
put the question.
Quite right. ...Now, I was trying to
The question is that the Amendment
that had been moved by the Second Elected Menber of Executive Council should be added to, by adding the words "not exceeding" before the words "three years" in the first part of the Amendment, and again before the words "fifteen thousand" in the second part. and by deleting the word "of" in the printed version of the substantive, Sub-clause 4 of Clause 3 , that comes impnediately before the words "six monthe" and the words "Cayman Islands", so that if the Amendment to the Amendment were carried, and if sub--sequently the Amendment itself as amended were carried, we should have the relevant part of that clause reading:"

[^12]HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
alear what we are voting on.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
"not exceeding" shoutd be included and the words "of" deleted

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: In my Motion? No I'm just trying

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: That is correct.
Mi. CHATRMAV: Yes, we are voting on Mr. Denson's Motion first, that is that your Amendment should be altered by adding the words "not exceeding". If Mr. Benson's motion gets. carried, then your Amendment is itself, if Mr. Benson's motion doesn't get carried, then we come. back to your Amendment. I am sorry it's so complicoted, but that's my understanding of the position.

So all Members understand, all we are voting on at the moment is whether the worde "of" should be taken out twice, and the words "not exceeding" substituted for it.

QUESTION PUT: AYES \& NOES

MF. CHAIRMAN:
MR. ZENSON O. EDANKS:

I THINK THE NOES HAVE IT.
Could we have a divisions Sir?

## DIVISION

## AYES

Mr. Benson O. Ehanke
Mr. Wo Norman Bodden, MBE

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I declare the Motion Lost. I made it 12 votes to 2. So we can now turn back to the Amendment int the form in which it was originally circulated, in which you have it in front of yous and unless ony Member wishes to speak further I will put that questions that Clause 3 of the Bill be amended in the manner eet out in cyclostyle note airculated to Members.

QUESTION FUT': 1 GREED. AMENDMENT PASSED EY MATORTTY
CLAUSE 4. Iesue of Shares at Fremium.
The question is that Clause 4 do stanct
MR. CHAIRMAN: the question.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED CLAUSE 4 PASSED

CLERK:
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, under Standing Orders 82 and 52(2), I once again ask the leave of the Chair to introduce the Amendment that I have ciroulated, sir.

MR. CHALRMAN:
The question is that in accordance with the provisions of Standing Onders 82 and $52(2)$, Standing Orders be subpended as necessary, and leave be granted to introduce' an Amendment which has been circulated, but of which the usual length of notice had not been given. Unless any Member wished to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED : STANDING ORDERS 82 AND 52(2) SUSFENDED
MR. CHALRMAN:
Amendment.
HON. TRUMAN M. DODDEN: Jir. Chairman, I ask that Chause 5 Be amended by the addition of the following new Sub-section (3):
"Any Director of the Company making a declaration under the provisions of sub-section (1) without having any reasonable grounds for the opinion required to be given under such sub-section shatl be guilty of an offence and liable upon conviction by a court of summaxy jurisdiction to imprisonment for a period of three years or to a fine of Cayman Islands Doltars fifteen thousand (CI\$25,000) or to both ${ }^{i ;}$.

Mir. CHAIRMAN:
The question before the Committee is that a new Sub-clause 3 of Clause 5 should be added, the wording of which has just been read out.

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak.

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS:
Yes. Mr. Chairman, I would like to offer an amendment to that, sir. That in the seventh line, 'off: at the beginning of the tine be taken out, and the words "not exceeding" be substrituted therefore, and where the word "of" appears befone Cayman Islands Dollars, that "of" be deleted and "not exceeding" be substituted therefor .

MR. CHAIRMAN: would I be correct in aseuming
that you are seeking leave in aocordcnce with Standing Orders 88
and $52(2)$ to propose that Amendment.
MR. EENSON O. EBANKS: No Sir, my understanding is that under Standing Orders 25 and 27, an Amendment to an Amendment doss not require notice, so that it can be moved at any time without notice,s so that no permiseion is required.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I can't agree with that. He te etilt confusing cmendmente to motions with omendments to BiLls in Committee.

MR. CHALRMAN:
No, I don't think so, becauee I
Wadn't first spotted this. But Standing Order 52(10) applies theprovisions of Standing Orders 25 and 27 to Bille in Conmittee, which I hadn't spotted.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: that the Member's unater a bit of pressure now, but he should be able to understand that.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Onder, Order. In that oases the question now is that the additional Sub-section proposed as an amendment by the Seoond Elected Member of Executive Council should be itself amended by the detetion of the word "of" at two places in the seventh line of the new Sub-section as circuiated to Members, and should be replaced in each case by the words "not exceeding's and it's that amending Motion of the Third Elected Member for West Day's that we shall be voting on in the first instance, fust as we did with clause 3.

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak. If not, I will put the question that the proposed additional Sub-section should be amended as moved by the Third Elected Member for West Bay.

QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES
MR. CHAIRMAN:
MR. EENSON O. EBANKS:

## AYES

Mr. Benson O. Ebanks
Mr. W. Norman Bodden, MBE

MR. CHATRMAN:
12 votes to 2.

## NOES

Hon. D.f. Foster, CVO, CDE, JP
Hon. J. Brian Wilkirson Hon. T.C. Jefferson Hon. Jotin B. MoLean Hon. Truman M. Bodden Hon. Jomes M. Bodden Hon. G. Hatg Bodden Mr. J. Garston Smith Mr. I. Daimain Ebanks Miss Annie HuLdah Bodden, OBE Capt. Mabry S. Kirkconnett Mr. Craddock Ebanke, OBE, JP
$2 \overline{2}$ votes to 2.
I deolare that motion defeated by
We now move to the conendment in the form in which it was originally introduced by the Second Elected Member of Executive Council. That is the form in which it has been circulated to Members.

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to that. If not I will put the cuestion that clause 5 be amended by the addition of the new sub-section proposed.
QUESTTON EUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 5 PASSED BY MAJORITY
MR. CHATRMAN:
I witt now put the question that clause $\bar{\delta}$ as amended do stond part of the ritl. Does any Honourable Member wish to apeak. If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
CLAUSE 5 PASSED AS AMENDED

CLERK: CLAUSE 6. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS VARYING GENERAL LAW. QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 6 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 7. DEBMING PROVISION.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 7 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 8. FEES.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 8 do stand part of the Bitil. Untass any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will:" put the quastion.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Sire: I would just like to point out that the tremendous figure referred to by one Member that we would collect annually in addition is in this Section as $\$ 2,500$ a year.

MR. CHAIRMAN: . I witl put the question.
QUESTION PUYT: AGREED. CLAUSE 8 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 9. SAVING OF RIGHTS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that clause 9 do stand part of the Bill. Does any Honownable Member wish to speak? If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 9 PASSED.

CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW FOR THE ENACTMENT OF CERTATM PROVISIONS IN RELATION TO GENERAL ORIENTAL INVESTMENTS LIMITED, A COMPANY REGISITERED ON 14TH JUNE, 1984 UNDER THE COMFANIES LAW, CAP. 22 AS AMENDED AND FOR VARIATION TN RELAIIION TO SUCH COMPANY OP GERTATN REQUIREMENTS OF THE GOMPANIES LAW, CAP, 22 AS AMENDED.

| MR. CHAIRMAN: | The question $i_{s}$ that the Titie do stard |
| :---: | :---: |
| part of the Bitl. |  |
| QUESTION PUT: AGREED. | THE TITLE PASSED. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: | That conoludes procesdings in conmittee |
| on a Bill extitiled "th | General Oriental Investments Limited Law, 1984". |
| The House will resuma. |  |

HOUSE RESUMED

## REPORT THEREON

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I beg to report that a Bill entitled the General Orisntal Investments Limited Lau, 1984, was considered by a Committes of the whole House and was passed with the circulated amenonents set out in the Conmittee stage of the Bill. and I would ask that I not have to read these again, please.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Third Reading.
The Bill is acoordingly set down for

## SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 47

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Eresident, in accordance with Standing Order 82, I move the suspeneion of Standing Order 47 to permit the Bill to be read a third time in this Seseion today.

> MR. PRESIDETTT:
> The question before the House is that in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82, Stonding Order 47 be suspended in order to enable the bill to be read a third time today. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? I will put the question.

> QUESTITON PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 47 SUSPENDED.

## THIRD READING

CLERK: The General Oriental Investments Limited Law, 1984.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, I beg to move the Third
Reading of a Bizl entitted "The General Oriental Investments Limited Law, 1984".

MR. PRESIDENT: " The question is that a Bitl entitled a Bill of the General Omiental Investments Limited Lcw, 1984 be given a Third Reading and passed. Untess any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.

## ADJOURNMENT

HON. D. H. FOSTER: of this House to

MR. PRESIDENT:
have been adviaed alread.
HON. D.H. FOSTER: In whioh case then Sir, I move the adjourmment of this House until the 15th August, 1984.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, on the adiournment motion. $\bar{I}$ would just like to say that I wish to congratulate the Honourable Lady Member, who had been ili, and $i_{s}$ now back with us again, ond to tet her know that we her friends, look forward to supporting her at the election in November.

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN: Mr. President and Members, I thank you all for your kindness and for supporting me... (INAUDIBLE) .... I shall oontinue $m$ race in the etection and hope to serve for at least the next coming four years. Thank you, Sir.

## MR. PRESIDENT: apeak?

Does any other Enourable Member wish to
If I properly may, perhops as President, I would like to join in aongratulating the Lady Member on her recovery, and to say how delighted we are to we loome her back again to the House. I would not comment on the possible outcome of the elections, I do not think that would be proper, but I know that we are delighted to see her back with we at the moment, and glad that she is evidently feeting so much better.

The question now is that the House adjourn until Wednesduy the 15th August, 1984.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 12:17 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. WEDNESDAY 15 TH AUGUST, 1984
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MR. FRESIDENT:
The Assembly is in Session. I will
invite the Rev. King to say prayers.

## PRAYERS

REV. E.L. KING:
Let us pray.
Almighty God, from whom wisdom and power are demived, we beseech thes to dtrect and prosper the deliberations of the Legislative Assembly assembled, that all things may be ordered upon the best and surest foundations for the glory of Thy Nome and for the safety, and honour and welfare of thy people.

Bless our Sovereign Lady, Queen Elisabeth, the Queen Mother, Philip the Duke of Edinburgh, Chorles Frince of Wales, Diana Princess of Wales and all the Rayal Fomity. Give grace to all who axercise authority in our Commorwealth that peace and happiness, truth and justice, religion and piety, may be established among us. Especially, we pray for the Governor of ow Islands, the Members of the Executive Council and the Members of the Legislative Assembly that are now assembled, that they may faithfully carry out their mission and the duties entrusted to them. sake. Amen.

All this we ask for thy great Name's
Hallowed be Thy Name, Thy Kingdom Father, which art in Heaven, come, thy will be done in earth us our trespasses, as we forgive them that trespass against us, And lead us not into temptations but deliver ws from evil. For thine is the kingdom, the power, and the glory, for ever and ever. Amen. The Lord bless you and keep you; the Lord make His face to shine upon you and be gracious unto you. The Lord lift up His countenance upon you, and give you peace. Amen.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated.
"Presentation of Papers and Reports." (i) The Honourable Third Officiat Member of Executive Council.

REFORTS OF FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETINGS HELD ON 23RD MAY, 1984, 28TH JUNE, 1984 AND 1ST, AUGUST, 1984 - LATD ON THE TABLE

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. President, I beg to lay on the
table of thts Honourable House the Reports of the Finance Conmittee for the 23 rd May, 28th June, and the 1at August, 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT:
So ordered.
HON. T.C. JETFERSON:
Mr. President, the Meeting of 23 xd
May approved a totait supplementary expenditure of $\$ 88,600$. is as follows:

A breakdown of that, Mr. Freaident,

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON:
At this aame Meeting, Mr. President, a discussion was held with regard to the United Kingdom Ship Registry proposals.

The Committee agreed that the Chairman should write to the United Kingdom Department of Trarsport, Marine Section to enquire on the following pointe

1 To request additional infoxmation on the regiatering of shipe under. 500 tons.
2. To request additional information on the registering of ships under 150 tons.
3. To enquire whether the Cayman Islands might fill the Ship Registry vacuvem when the United Kingdom withdrows from Hong Kong in 1997, and to enquire further in a clause of the Doovment with regard to obligations to register.

The Meeting of 2eth Iune, Mr. President, approved a total supplementary of $\$ 658,000$.
$\$ 4,000$ for the Legisilative Assembly, additional funds to meet expenditure of the Cayman Islande Commonwealth Parliamentary Association branch for the remaining months of the year.
$\$ 150,000$ to provide for additional Courtroons, officee for the Fuisne Judge, and offices on the Ground Floor of the Court Building.
$\$ 500,000$ for the Gerard Smith Airport mumay at Cayman Erac, to uparade the rumay to recommended stand--ands.

Albo at this Meeting, Mr. Freaident, Finance Committee approved a virement of $\$ 150,000$ from funde provided for the abattoin to the development of the East End interion roads, which is for agricultural purposes.

The Finance Committee also approved the extension of the Cayman Islands Government's overdraft for a further year at Barclays Bank for a sum of $\$ 2,000,000$.

And additionally, Mr, Fresident, Finanos Committee gave its blessing to the ereation of a building at the Northward prison to, take effect of a decision made by the Court.

The Meeting of 1 st August, approved a total supplementary of $\$ 312,919$ :

HEAD 11- SUB-PEAD 20
PURCELSE OF LANDS
Fund no vided for the purohares of 2 and Wost Bat representing Parcels $\div 0,1$ me 2. These parcols of land, Mr. Fresident are purchased for playing fields and other re-creational purposes.

Finance Committee also gave approval to the Governor, Mr. President, to appoint five appeal court Vudaes.

It was also dapeed at thio moting that Goverment having purohased the Thwer Comonation buitding ahould prom vide a smatl affice for the Members if the Leqialative sisambly, in order for them to meet with their constituents as neossacry.

Thank you Mr. Prosident.

MR. PRESIDENT:
In accordance with the Provisions of Standing Order 67, paragraph (4), the House is deemed to have agreed the Motion.
"Presentation of Papers", the Elected Member for North Side.

REPORT OF HOUSE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON THE 13TH JUNE 1984- LAID ON THE TABLE

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS, OBE. $\mathrm{IPF}_{\text {: }}$ Ar. President, I move that the House Comittee Report held on the 13 th June, 1981 , be laid on the table.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Motion is that the House Committee Report of 13th June, 1984, be taid on the table. Untess any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.
QUESTION FUT: AGREED $\quad$ HOUSE COMMTTEE MEETING OF $13 T H$ JUNE,

MR. PRESIDENT: "Presentation of Papers';' the Honourable Third Official Member.

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL - ACCOUNTS OF THE CAYMAN ISLANDS GOVERNMENT FOR THE YEAR ENDING 31ST DECEMRER, 1983 -LATD ON THE TADEE

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON: I beg to lay on the table of thie Honourable House the Auditor General's Report for the year ended 31et December, 1983, and for the Report to be referred to the Public Accounts Committee.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Motion before the मouse is that the Auditor General's Report on the Accounts for the year ended 31 st December, 1983 be laid and be referred to Fublic Accounts Cominittee.

Untess any Honourable Menber wishes to speak, I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED $\quad \frac{\text { AUDITOR GENERAL 'S REPORT' ON ACCOUNTS }}{\text { FOR THE YEAR ENDED } 31 \text { ISt DECEMBEET, } 1983}$

HON. T.C. JEFEERSON:
Mr. Fresident, I would like to make a few remarks on the Auditor General's Report in order to perhaps clarify some points in it.

It is, Mr. Eresident, a very lengthy one, some 62 paragraphs, but $I$ think it can be condensed into nine Goverment Departments, of which there are thirty: two Statutory Authorities and two Fublicly owned Companies. One of the four is audited by his Department.

Of the nine Departments, only
sections of some of them are being reported upon. For exomple, the Rublic Library of the Department of Social Services. The Dental Offiee and Hospital Fees of Personal Health Services, the Pirates Week Committee of the Portfolio of Tourism, Aviation and Trade. Although the Report, Mr. President, in my view has some unnecessary remarks, overalt it demonstrites the absence of inefficiency, and the good position of the financial records of Govermment.

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON:
At the xisk of being inmodest, $M x$. President, in my view, at present, the Treasury Records are in the best position since I've joined the Service.

We have during 1983 ooncentrated on strengthening the staff stmucture of the Treasury, and permit me to congratulate them for their performance.

We have analysed and put to bed. aceounts which in some aases dated back to 1879.

Alloul me aleo; Mr. President to oorreot a few inacouracies in the Report. In paragraph 21 it is stated that the Port Authomity is indebted to the Govermment for $\$ 146,306$. It should be $a$ lesser sum, Mr. Fresident, it is in the range of $\$ 120,000$.

The Auditor General also makes some oommente in relation to the British Postal Orders, and we have analysed these since he did his Report. It appears that had the Department perhaps had more staffs or taken more time, he would have found what we arrived at.. The $\$ 5.677$ which needs, I think, a bit more information than he has in his Report, is reatly a result of the Pound parity in relation to the time these British Postal Orders were cashed. It ranges from 1979, when the relationship was 1.84 CI Dollars to the Pound to 1984, when the value was 1.14 to the Pound. That difference, Mr. President, is over a period of five years, wad the exchange lose is the swn of \$5, 677.

Adaitionally, Mr. President, there is a reference to International Reply Coupone, still dealing with the Post Office. There have been alaime submitted, and there is an ongoing correspondence on the subject. It deate with a country in West Africa, and the United Kingdom Poot Office Headquarters are presently dealing with it. The Auditor General may be correct. We may not collect it beoause the country does not: 10 will not pay the stm due to us.

We have also looked at the Philatelic Bureau, since he submitted his Report, and we are satisfied, having done a significant mount of analysis; that the Philatelic Bureau is now put on a euce footing.

Thank you Mr. Fresident.
MR. FRESIDENT:
Fresentation of Papers." The Honowrable Thind Elected Member of Executive Council.

CAYMAN AIR HOLDINGS LIMITED - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ENDING 3OTH JUNE, 1983 - LAID ON TABLE

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN.
Audited Financial Statement ended Presidents, I request that the Hozdings Fnanczal Statement ended 30th eJune, 1983, of Cayman Azr Holdings be laid on the table.

MR. PRESIDENT:
So ordered.
Item 3. Govermment Business. It has been represented to me that it may be the wish of the House that we take Item B., that is Government Motion No. 8 , Broadeasting of Particamentaiy Debates before we take Item A., the Bills, the point being that unless the Motion is passed, it will not be possible for Radio Cayman to broadoast any of the proosedings. of this Meeting, so that if we went ahead with the Bills and spent the whole of todoy debating the litils; there could be no broadaast this evening of the day 's procesdings. Thexe might be a possibility later after we'd passed the Motion. But it's been represented to me that Members might wiwh the proceedings to be broadcast today if possible, and with the leave of the House, I will tharefore alter the order and invite the Mover of the Motion, the First Officiat Member to introduce Govermment Motion No. 8.

GOVERNENF MOTION NO. 8.
BON. D. H. FOSTER, CVO, CBE JP: Mr. President, I beg to move Government Motion No. $Q_{s}$ which reads as follows:

In accordance with immunites, powers and privileges conferred upon the Legistative Assembly of the Cayman Islands by the Caymon Islands Constitution Order, 1972, the Legislative Assembty Immunties, Fowers and Priv. ileges Law (Revised)s and all of the written Laws, be it HEREBY RESOLVED that Radio Cayman being a Broadeasting Station operated from within the Cayman Istands by the Govermment of the Cayman Islands, is hereby authorised to broadeast recordings of the proceedings of the Legistative Assembly as are hereafter nomed:-

1. Question Time.
2. Presentation of Papers, Reports and Committees speeches thereon.
3. Debate on Second Readingsof alt Bills set down for the sitting on Wednesdry, 15 th August: 1984.
4. Debate if any on Govermment Motione.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I will not read out again the full wording of that Motion, which the Finst Official Member is moving and has just read, but the Motion itself, Government Motion No. 8, is now open for debate. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 8 PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT:
We arn now go back to Item $3(a)$,
Bitls, Frret Readings.
THE TRAVEL TAX (AMENDMENY)BILL, 1984
FIRST READING
CLERK:
THE TRAVEL TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL 1984.
MR. PRESIDEWT:
The Bill entitled a Bitl for a Law
to comend the Travel Tax Law, 1976, is deemed to have been read a first time, and is set dow for Second Reading.

SECOND READING
CLERK:
THE TRAVEL TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1904.
HON. T.C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Fresident, I move the Second
Reading of a Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to omend the Travel Tax Licas: 1976. Law 11 of 1976.

The objects and reasons of the Bill, Mr. Fresident, are to seek an increase from $\$ 2.40$ to $\$ 3.20$ on the Travel Tax payable in respect of Cruise Ship Fassengers.

Mr. President; an increase of $80 \hat{4}$
in my view, will areate no hardship for anyone, and the respective. agents of cruise ships, some of them, have been informed personally by me of our Govermment's intention to increase the Travel Iax, thus allowing them to put together their Brochure which witl affect their winter season.

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): Secondly, the Bill has been pubitahed in sufficient time to give everyone adequate time to make whatever adjustments they need to do in their Room Rates on these Cruise Ships, and I recommend the Bill to the Honowrable Members, Mr. President.

MF. PRESTDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitled a BiLI for a Low to amend the Travel Tax Law, 1976, be given a Second Reading. The motion is open for debate. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak. The Third Elected Member for West Bay?

QUESTION PROPOSED: DEBATE ENSUED.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, this Bitl, in itself is not controversiat or innocwus. However, my grapevine gathering informationhas told me that recently, the Port Authority purchased some anchors, which are intended to be used as permanent mooring for cruise vessels. I understand that the cost of those anchors was in the region of $\$ 70-80,000$, and while this is desirable in my opinion, since they will be permanent moorings, and prevent, or remove the neoessity of ships anchoring in various spots at different times, thereby preserving our natural resources and environment, in other worts, protecting the destruction of ooral by having permonent moorings. I understand that it is the intention, or it was the intention of the Port Authority under the Fort Authority Luw, which permits such a charge to be made, to recover that investment by changing a berthing fee. I understand that this was also cleared by the Port Authority with the Cmise Ships, and it was going to result in a fee of, I don't recall whether it's 80 or $85 \phi$ per passenger, and $I$ am wondering whether this Amendment has been put forward with the full reoollection of that intention, eince I would presume Government must have given its blessing to the purchate of those moorings, and instead of an 80\& increase per passenger, if both charges are levied in. 1985, it would become more like $\$ 1.60$ or $\$ 2.65$ per passenger, and I do not believe that if that is the case, the increase couth then be termed small.

Coupled to this I understand, Nr. President, that at least one of the Cruise Lines that pioneered the Cruise Ship business into the Island has aerved notice that aome time in 1985, they will cease calling at this port beccuse they have purchased their own island slsewhere, and will be making use of $i t$.

I am not sure whether Govermment has been made aware of this. I am sure thet they would, but I am not in a position to say since they have not discussed it with me.

Now, Mr. President, I appreciate that the permanent mooring situation might in some respects inhibit the number of boats that could be accomodated in George Town, as opposed to the random anchoring that is now permitted, but maybe this is a good time for Govermment and the Port Authority to considex putting some permanent moorings in West Day, and building a landing facility there, which I have advocated for some time.

It would take the pressure off of the town, and it would give the paople an opportuinity to see the best part of the Island first. That they would see the garden city of this Island, and it would bring added bueiness to the district, and alleviate the congestion that oocurs in tow when we have three and four aruise ships at the same time.

MR. DENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): In view of what I have said, Mr. President, I would hope that the Mover of the Bill, or maybe the Member responsible for the Fort Authority, of the Member under whose Portfolio this operation falls, would give some olarification to Members as to whether or not such a fee as I mentioned that is a berthing fee will be oharged for anchoring or tying to these permanent moorings, because I feel that if that is the case, maybe Members would have a different approach to this increase in the Trovel Tax, which, as I said, by iteelf, is fairlyinnocuous; but if it is coupled to another increases, it would be a very steep increase indeed.

And, Mr. Fresident, my view is that onoe levy is made against something like the omise ship passengers, maybe the best way to increase the revenue from that source, is to increase the number of touristes, and not by increasing the fee and thereby discouraging those who may now even come. And as I said, if my suggestion of taking some of the cruise ahipe to West Bray is followed, we would have double or tripie the capcietty to handle the touriats, and I know that we would give them......

HON. G. HALG BODDEN:
Mo. President, there is no relationship between the Bill before the House and a berthing fee for ships which may tie up at a permanent mooring sometime in the future.

The Bitit before the House, as Members know, has been pubtiahed for soms time. The idea had come to Exeoutive Council long before the Rhipsody incident.

It is always necessary for Goverment
to have more revenue each year, if it is for no other purpobe than to keep up with inflation, because the cost of all materiats and semiaes rise each year, as well as the oost of any new capital expenditure. It is therefore necsessary to have inereased revenue if we are merely to etay afloat. Increased pevenue onn come fiom two sources, one is to increase taxes in a particular area, the other way $i s$ to have an empansion of the economy, and in this particular case would be an increase in tourist armivals.

Members oan attest that the policy
of the present administration over the tast eight yedrs to increase the economy, to expand the economy so that Govermment. ...Mr. President, I do not know what the firot Speaker on this Bili did to the power, but he ceritainly started it on a course which seems to interrupt the proceedings for the batanoe of the day. However, to continue my speech, the aecond method of inereasing revenue......

MR. PRESIDENT: $I$ think the Sergeant-at-Arms has probably gone out to try to establish what's hrppening, but tet. the Member continue.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, if there is another
intemuptions I would suggest that we take the coffee break:so that there witi be time for the eleotricians to check on the problem. The polioy of the present administration has been over the eight years, to expond the economy, and this expansion has been accomplished as can be seen by the dromatic miee in Govermment revenue over the eight years from the revenue of maybe 12 to 13 milition in 1976, to a revenue of fifty million this year; and all of this has been done with little or no increases in taxation on the locaz economy, and in fact it has been done with a reduction in taxes in many areas.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONITNUING): For example, one of the first actions of this Government was to reduce the import duty from $33-1 / 3 \%$ to 27否\% on motor vehiciess and this inmediately brought cbout an increase in revenue from this source.

We also have taken off the duty off
most food items, and perhaps, this has reeulted in the mony robust looking and well fed people we see on this Ialand.

But there has been a constant drive to expand the economy, and I would think that in this year, it is imperative that there be a continued expansion, because, if one can believe what one hears from the public platform, and from the newspopere, is that if the prosent administrotion is replaceds ecoh new Member of the twelve that witl sit here has a progromme, which if they are going to implement, will bring us a deficit bigger than the one that the United States has. We hear about social programes to be initiated. We heax about houses to be buitt for every man, woman and child in the Cayman Islands reqardless of how rich that. person is, and these things are going to take money, because we have not heard from a single would-be applicant where the money's going to come from. So there has to be an expansion of the economy, and an increase in revenue if we are going to hand over the administration to the would-be aspirants. And even this morning in the debate on this Dill. I have heard of a new project, which if it is to be implemented, will cost many millions of dollars, and that is a dook and harbour in West Bay where tourists can land, and where ships can tie up in an area that $i_{B}$ totaly unsuitable for it, and would probobly cost forty million dollars to implement.

So the pressure is on the Govemment to accept these monumental projects including the cruise ships landing in West Bay, and many other farwout projects, which it is my opinion are simply the rantings of politicians to get elected. (Intermuption by Third Elected Member for West Bay).

Mr. President, this Member mist
behave himself. I am not yielding to him. He should have the knowledge from his years here that when a Member is apeaking, he has no right to interrupt, untess I choose to give way, and I certainly do not choose to give way. If he can't take the medecine, I suggest that he toke his coffee break now.

To continue with the Bill before the House, the Pinancial Secretary, or the Third official Member, in introducing the Bili, indicated that this Bill would inorease the Trevel Tax on toumist ship arrivinc passengers, By $\$ 1.00$ U.S. per armival. This is a modest increase, and will result in the fee which is charged going from US\$3.00 per person to US\$:.00 per person, or from CI\$2.40 to CI\$3. 20.

The BitL is introduced early ao that the Tax can be made known to the amise ship companies. and they can attach it or include it in their billinge to their passengers who will be booking at this very time for next year's cruises.

The Member who spoke on the Bilt made note of the fact that the Fort Authority has purchased four anchors which will be embedded in the coral in the harbour, and so provide permanent moorings for two ships at a time. This project has been discussed with the Agents of the cruise ships that come. here, and tt has their full support becouse it will be much safer for a cruise tiner to tie up to these moorings, rather than to be anchored. Also, it will be a hetp to the ecology in that there witl be less strain on the coral and sponges which are destroyed by the anchowing of ships in the harbour.

BON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): It ie also true that under the Fort Authority Law, it is possible to charge a berthing fee to a ship which is permanentty moored, and such a fee is not chorged on a per pasenger rate, as the Travel tax. It is: a fee which would be chorged to the ship, and the ship itself or the shipping company has the obligation to pay that fee. The Travel Tiax Law levies a Tax on the arriving passenger, and the armiving passenger has an obtigation under the Law to pay that Tax just the some as a departing passenger from the Ieland has an obtigation to pay the Tax, and the Member may have confused the method of collection with the principle of the Taxs; in that on the Travel Taxy the Travel Tax is collected. (Interruption from Third Eleoted Member for West B(y).

Mr. President, I will not allow an interruption to my speech. This Member knows that....
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Witl both Members please sit. .. West Bay rising on a Point of Order, or not.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: No Sir, I was mibing to oce if the Member woutd give way.

MR. PRESTDENT:
In that case no, thank you, please sit. The Member has not given woy so I an afraid you must stay seated, and the Member continues his speech.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes Sir, I was just serving notice, that I intend to exereise my right under the Stcriding Orders.

MR., PRESIDENF: If you mean that you wish a right to give a personal explanation, that is noted, and you have an opportunity at the end of the speeoh by the speaker.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad$ Mr. President, if I may continue my speech, after having been so rudely and unnecessarily interrupted.

One must not confuse the method of ootlection of the Travel Tax with the principle of the Tax, and by that I mean that the aimlines....

HOUSE SUSPENDED DUE TO PONER LOSS
HOUSE RESUMED AT 11.53 A.M.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated. Proceedinge are resumed. The Seoond Reading Debate on the Travel Tax Amendment Bill. The Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, I conclude by
supporting the Bitl before the House.
MR. BENSON O. EEANKS:
Mr. President. Under Standing Ordex
34(2), I wish to offer an explanation on a matter raised by the last speaker.

MR. PRESIDENT:
That is in order. I would just remind the Member that the texms of the Standing Order inctude a Froviso that you may not introduce new matters, or seek to strengthen your former position by new arguments.

MR. BENSON O, EEANKS: Yeb, Mn. Freaident, I am very cognisant of that proviso.

The time that I sought to make the explanation, the Member had oharged that I had confused the difference between a berthing fee and a landing fee.

I would just tike to point out that I was not at all confused. I understand the difference alearly, and in fact the figure of 85 which I quoted per passenger in my speech, I know to have been based on the capacity of each veseet entering the port, divided into the berthing fee which the ship would be charged based on its size. In fact, if one accepts that the berthing fee is a separate item and the ship travels with less than capacity passengers, the charge per passenger will rise commensurately, and there is no eacaping the fact that that fee, even though it is paid by the shipping company is a cost of doing business, and will eventually be passed to the passenger.

The Landing Tax is also collected from the Shipping Company at the moment.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDERT: }}{\text { wish to apeak? }} \quad$ Does any other Honourable Member
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident. I $D$ not rise, sir; to oppose the Bill, but I would like to issue a warning to Government of the consequences of each of these little so-called increases, which are gradually, and slowly but aurely, being assessed against cruise ships.

These increases, Mr. Fresident, are being passed on to the passengers or toumists. We are in a very highly competitive market. The tourists today are counting, not dollars, but they are counting their eents, and we must not erode our position any further by gradually increasing. putting one smatl increase here, one mall increase there, beeause, it all adds up, whether related on not. The total sum is what the Shipping Compcny base their charges to their passengers on, whether it is an anchorage fee; whethex it is an increase in the Travel Tax: all of these are added together and the tourists are the people who are paying it.

The other day, Sir, we passed into Low, or imposed rather, a duty on Duty Free items, At that time they said this was very, very small, insignificant, but, Mr. President that one strokes on one paseing of the Bill has oaused the Cuyman Istonda to tose its duty free status ond we can no tonger claim tinct we have a cuty free port. There are so few items teft thut one could not term the Cayman Islands any longer a duty free port.

We should be very mindful of what we are doing, and just be careful, sir, that we do not kill the goose that laid the golden egg.

Thank you.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { Whish to speak? }}$
Does any other Honourable Member

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS; OBE. efP: Mr. Fresident, it is a feeling of a majority of the populous that tourists are atl rich people, but I an audire that is not the case. I betieve that the mich that may come as a tourist, whether he is in his million or his billions, he is more cautious than spending 60 added to whatever might be the fee, or $80 \%$ added to his landing fee or his tickets or what, he questions it far mores far quicker than the ordinary tourists.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS, OBE., JP. (CONTINUING): Yes, it is not very much being asked, but these things do add up, and white I am not out for opposing the proposed Amendment at this timie, it woutd be good ij covernment oould look into cther avenues if they feel that a minimum taxation should be raised in certain areas to continue to develop the country, and to keep the country on a safe financial footing.

But they say when these small things are all put together and add ups it is very noticeable, and I feel that we are at the stage where we need to be careful in looking int such as this, while, as has just been said, we are in a very conpetitive market, business. It is good for us to endeavour to try to hold what we have got, and not to try too fast to grab a few more cents that might make us tose some doilars.

So, I cm hoping; Sir, that thie Amendment will be, if it is considered, then the Govermment will find other areas that minimum taxation could be levied ons to bring in the amount of revenue that might derive from such an Amendment as this, Sir.

So with those few remarks, Sir, I give my support to this proposed Amendment at this time, but as I say, we need to be carefut.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: $\quad$ Mr. President, one more time, I think, we are apending more time, really, in debating the amall isoue, and the cost witt be greater, than the money we derive from it.

Sometimes, I am astounded to see the amount of Debate that can be provioked out of a matl subject; and if it is being done for political purposes, then I an afraid we are not going to get very far with it.

Here we are looking, sir, at a ticket that probably cost the average person. on the cruise ship, that is the cruise shipe that operate to Grand Cayman, about $\$ 700$, and we are talking about addine one more dollar to that \$700. Bear in mind that some of these cruise ships mau charge for a room on a weekly atay, probably as high as $\$ 1,800$, but I am speaking about the average of it probably being $\$ 700$.

By bringing this Bill today, we are giving sufficient notice to cruise ship operators, that they can add it in when their tickets are probably raised in price the first of the year, but someone, I think, spoke about greed, and I witl remind this House, as I reminded someone in the audience in Bodden Town the other night, when they brought up a question concerning orime, and I said to them in that Meeting, that one of the great causes of crime was greed, and it is greed in your community today, that will kill the goose before anything else. And when I speak about greeds I speak about greed in a very broad sense. It is like an injection concerning the duty free stores.

The duty free storea in the Cayman
lslands, are very noneompetitive, the reason for it being, greed in a lot of cases, because the profit that is taxed on to the item, is an astounding amount. In some cases 2 and $300 \% o^{\prime \prime}$ the originat price. Now if we are going to be wormied about what will kill the goose that laid the golden egg, it is that type of greed. It is not the $1 / 700$ th part of an increase that we are debating today.

I being in charge of toumism, have
to deal with many problems in regards to tourism, to try to keep the Ishand on a levet keet.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): One of the big problems again, that I have spoken out about, is the high hotet rates: If our tourism is going to be affer ted, it is going to be affected hy the hieh tourist hotel mates that are, charged in the Cayman Islande. The average hotel room in the Cayman Istands, is today going at a very, vexy high rate. We from the Department of Tourism have tried to do something about $i t$. We have not ducoeeded because we have not had cooperation.

The other thing, Mr. President, that will probably kitl off toumism in the Cayman Istands, before anything else will.g will be the support of radioal political groups by some people who may think they can control theae radical political groups, but they only can oontrol them as long as the hen is eating the oorm out of youn hand. when the hen strays from the nest, you can no longer control $i t$.

So to sum up, Mra Presidert, I I think that this Houee need not be alaxmed abowt a: \$1 rate increase in the armival tax, when it is tacked on to an duerage $\$ 700$ bill, but we must concern ourselves with greed in the hotel sectors and the duty free stores, and the support of the radical political groups that are emerging.

Thank you.
MISS ANNIE. H. BODDEV, OBE: Mr. President, and Member's of this Honourable House. As far as I am aware, the departure tax now. is \$4.00 CI per passenger, and this Law for a:Bill to anend the Travel Tax which would apply to tourists on these ships, is barely US\$4.00. So as far as I know that we are chaxging far more for the departure tas than we are for the incoming pasengers in this. And, while the amount is smatl, I feel that we should try, as far as possible, to make this the final. Dill where we shall increase these rates if it is to affect the tourist industry.

When Prince Philip was here, many years ago, I remember there was a gathering might out where this buitding is now, and he made a speech, and he satd: "Re sure never to kit the noose that laid the golden eng"', and I am afraid that if we continue doing thinge to up the charges against these people, we might eventually do that.

White I do not disagree with the Bill, I would implore us as Legislatom to try, in the future, I an sure some of us will get back here, that we should not make any small increase regardless of how smatl the amount is, against this trade, which we deperid so much on.

Thank you, Sir.
MR. PRESTDENT:
Unless any other Honourabie Member wishes to speak, I witl ask the Mover of the Motion whether he wishes to exeroise his right to reply.

HON. T.C. JEFEERSON: Thank you Mr. President. I wish to thank the Honourable Members for their support of the Bill, although they have raised sone concern about the cmount, and how ituill affect the tourist traffic to these Islands.

Whenever we do an inorerse in a tax,
Mr. President, we generally look to see what other countries oatering to tourists in this area are also charging, and I believe if everyone examines it in that light, they will see, as far as Travel Tat is concerned, that Caymon remains ouite competitive indeed.

HON. T.C. JEFFERSON(CONTINUING): The last Amendment, Mr. Fresident, to the Travel Tax Law, in reapect of oruise ship passengers, was done in 19.79, and roughly five years later we are talking about a CT 804 increase.

Personalty, Mr. President, I do not think this affects the amise ship passengers' decision to come to the Cayman Islands at all.

During the debate, Mr. Preoident, one speaker made reference to duty being charged on luxury items, and I realiee that in his specch he said "the other day", it is a aommon Caymanian phrase. But just to put the reoord wights, Mr. Fresident, it was done in 1981, and we are talking about a 5 in increase on some items, and $10 \%$ on other iteme.

With regard to another apeaker, who made mention of anchors being bought by the Fort Authority. Yes, Mr. President, four anchors were bought: each of these anchors weigh fifteen tons, and the cost of those anchors, including chain and shaokle, was $\$ 78,000$. In addition to this, Mr. President, the Smittag International firm gave to the Port Authority, two anchors which are lef't for the Fort Authcrity to take up from where they presently are located.

Thank you Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is, that a Bill entitled the Bill for a Law to comend the Travel Fax Law, 1976, be given a Second Reading.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Bills, Eirist Reading.
THE ELIDENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1989
FIRST READING
CLERK:
THE EVIDENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Eill entitled a Bill for a Low
to amend The Evidence Low, 1978, is deemed to have been read a first time, and is set down for second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK:
THE EVIDENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 19RA.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY, LLEB: Mr. Eresident, Sir, I beg to move that a Bit bhortly entitted The Evidence (Amendment) Law, 1984, be read a second time.

Honourable Members will recall at the
last but one sitting of the Legislative Assembly in May of this year, that a amall four clause Dill amending the Criminal Procedure Code was passed. The main purpose of that Amendment Eill to the Criminal Frocedure Code, was to abolish the right of acoused persons to make unsworm statements from the dock, beocuse of the abuses that had been created in recent years by defendants, and acoused persons going in, making unoworn statements from the dock, in which they cast allegations on persons who had no right of reply thereto.

This substantive Provision was a
new Section 179(a) in the Criminal Procedure Code, and the other Sections of that Amending Dill, were consequential amendments to eliminate references to such unsworn statements.

It is part of this process, Mr.
President, Sir, that this two-olause Bizl is before the Members here today, and it is purely a consequential amendment following on the passing of the previous Bill.

HON. MTCHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): As at present stands, in Section I? of the Evidence Law; 1978, which is Law No. 8 of 1978, there is a provision that, and I quote: "Nothing in this Law affeots Section 86 of the Criminal Frocedure Code, on the right of any person charged with an offence, to make a statement without being sworn".

It is sought by clause
2 of this Bill, to eliminate those worde, "or the right of any pereon aharged with an offenoe, to make a statement without being sworn", and thus bring the Frovisions of the Evidence Law into tine with those of the Criminal Procedure Code.

May I commend this Bill to the House.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitled a Bill for $\bar{a} L(a w$ to amend the Evidence Lcav, 1978, be given a Second Reading.

The Motion is open for Dabate. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MR․ PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Bills, First Reading.
THE REGISTERED LAND (AMENDMENT) BILLL, 1981
FIRST READING
CLERK:
THE REGISTERED LAND (AMENDMENT)BILL, 1984

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bill entitled a Bill for a Lad to amend the Registered Land Law. (Revised), is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down for Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK:
THE REGISTERED LAND (AMENDMEN')BILL 1984
HON. JOHN B. McLEAN: Mr. Fresident, I move the Second Reading of a Bitl entitted a Bill for a Low to omend the Registered Land Law (Revised).

Mr. President, the Bill before the
House seeks to make a few changes to the Registered Land Low (Revised), to iron out some anomalies in the legal position of the Registered Proprietor, brought to light by a recent judgement of the court of Appeal.s and also by a judgement of the Grond Court.

Mr. President, it is my intention to refer this Bill to a Select Committee of the wholle House where, if necessary, certain amendments can be mades and also to give each. Member a ohinoe to have some input.

If it is the wish of Members, I will
also be happy to provide ary Member with the relevant cases which I refer to.

Mr. President, I aonmend the Bill
to the House, and ask the support of all Members.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill
entitled a Bit for a Low to amend the Registered Land Law (Revised), be given a Second Reading, and if I have understood the Mover correct, perhaps he is incorporating in that same Motion, the proposal that the Bill be referred to a Seleot Committee of alt the Members of the House, which should be appointed in acoordance with the Provisions of Standing Order 69(1), and which should report on the Bitl. Have I understood you correctly?

HON. JOHN B. MOLEAN:
Yes, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
The Motion is open for debate. apeak on this biľ. The Mover Mr. President, I did not intend to which I he Mover has covered basically the points but we can deat with raise. There is one small typographical erpor, am deal with that when we get in the Comittee stage.

The only other point I would make that it is down on the Onder Paper today to oo to the Conmittee so I do not know whether it is necessary to remove that in light of what the Member has said, or that would be the appropriate time for him to move the Motion to send it to a Select Cormittee rather than the Committee of the whole Houge.

HON TOHN D. MOLEAN:
Mr. President, I am avare of that, but in tight of what has taken place in this House in the past, as far as Members having input into Rills, I felt it would be better for us to take our time and to thrash this out in a Select Committee.
MR. PRESIDENT: So I think that if the present
Motion is passed, in other words, a Select Committee is appointed,
the item on todoy's Onder Paper prop sing that this Bill go to the
usual Committee of the whole House, will simply fall cway.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, I was going to say
that under Standing order 49(1), the Bill would have antomatioaity been conmitted to a Committee of the whole House had not the Member made the Motion to send it to a Select Committee.
MR. PRESTDENT:
That is cuite right.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY, LLEB. Mr. President, Six, I was about to rise to refer your attention to 49(1) of Standing Orders, and my understanding is that the Motion to move it to Select Committee shatl be made inmediately after the Bill hos been read a Second Time, so I think technically it needs to be two separate Motions.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Yee, I think you are auite right.
I had not opotted Standing Order $19(1)$, I an afrait. I had only looked at 69(1). I was taken sitightly by surpmise when the Mover stated that he intended to propose a Select Committee, so I think I have been guilty of misleading the House, and the Motion before us now is aimply the ordinary Second Reading Motion, but the Mover. of that Motion has given notice, in effect that he will introduce another Motion if the Second Reading is passed in order to enable the Bill to be referred to a Select Comittee. But on that basis, I think, the debate can proceed. Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak.

In that case I witl put the question, that a Bitl entitled a Dill for a Law to omend the Registered Land Law (Revised), be read a second time.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.

MR. PRESIDENT: I take it that the Mover would now Wish to introduce a Motion under the Frovisions of Standing Order 49(1), and that probably he would need to seek the leave for the suepension of Standing orders in oxder to introduce it.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY. LLB:
With respect, Mr. Fresident, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I may be wrong, yes I have not...
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY, LLB. Standing Order 24(9)(ii) is a Motion which may be made withcut notice.

MR. PRESIDENT: $Q u i t e$ right, yes $t$ was searching for that and had not found it. Well in that case, if you need teave, whioh I do not think in fact you do, but if you dids, I would give it to you. In any acses please carry on and introduoe your Notion.
MON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: Mr. Preaident, I beg to move a Motion to refer to a Select Conmittee a Bili for a Law to omend The Registered Land Law (Revised), and that the quorum for the Conmittee be set at seven, and like I mentioned in my deliberation before, that the Committee be made up of the full House.

MR. PRESIDENY:
The Motion before the House is that the Bitt whose second Reading has just passed, a Bitl for a Low to amend the Registered Land Low (Revised), be referred to a Seleet Conmittee consisting of the whole House, and that a quomem in respect of that Conmittee be seven Members.

Does any Honourable Member wish to
speak to that Motion?
If not, I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED.

MR. PRESIDENT:
BILL REFERRED TO A SELECT' COMMTTTEE
OF THE WHOLE HOUSE WITH THE GUOROM BETNG SEVEN MEMBERS

In accordance with the Provisions of Standing:Order 69(2), I may nominate a Chairmon of the Seleot Committee.

If the Mover of the Bitl and of the Motion to refer it to Select Committee wished to Chair the Select Committee himself, I would be happy to nominate him, but if he regarded the matter as primarily a legat matter, as has often been the case, I would be equally happy to nominate the Second officiat Member.

HON. JOHN B. MOLEAN: Mr. President, that is fine with me because we will be relying on his legal knowledge anyway.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well, if the Second Official Member
has no objection.
HON. J. MICHAEL BRADLEY, LLE: I thought perhaps the Second Elected Member?

MR, PRESIDENT: I think, perhape, the Second Officiat Member, I will nominate to be Chairman of that Committee, and $I$ will express the hope that the Committee ean report shortly. It will not matter if it caniot report until early next month perhaps.

MR. PRESIDENP: I think pe: haps we have time for one more First Reading, and maybe even a Second Reading.

THE FITREARMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
FIRST READING

CLERK:
MR. PRESIDENT: to amend The Fireams Law is deemed to have been read a first time, and is set down for second Reading.

SECOND READING

THE FIREARMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1994
HON. D.H. FOSTER CVO, CBE, JP: Mr Presidents I beg to move the Second Reading of a Bitl for a

Law to amend The Firearms Low (Flevised)

Mr. President, Section 40 of the present tuw provides for certain exemtions, or for certain people to be exempted from the Provisions of the Low. This two section Anendment just seeks to add Members of the Prison Service from the provisions of the Firearms Law, that is to say in respect of firearms in their possession as Frison Officers. To make it quite clear, in case there is doubt in onybody's mind it would not just be that a Prison Officer is allowed to walk around with a gun, or as the case may be. This Frovision is being made in case it is ever needed; beouse this Amendment is put forward now, does not mean that Prison Officers are going to carry runs, ao let it be quite olear that the Provision is being made in case it is ever needed.

In any event, the guns would have to be issued by the Police etcetera, and so on and so forth. But it is merely a part of a tidying up of Prison Rules, Regulations and things that need to be done to give us the neaeseary power in case of emergencies, etcetera. And this is one small amendment to this particular Law.

And I recommend $i t$, and ask for the
support of the House, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Diti entitied a Bill for a Law to amend he Firearms Low (Kevised) be given a Second Reading:

The Motion is open for debate. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will...Third Elected Member for West Bay.

QUESTION PROTOSED: DEBATE ENSUED.
MR. BENSON O. EPANKS:
Mr, President, I have no hesitation
in supporting this Bill. As I see it, it barely, or merely reatores the position that existed when the Prison Service was under the Folice, in that the Dolice Officers were exempted under the Law.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Nevertheless, in giving my support to this $\overline{\operatorname{Bi}} \mathrm{IV}_{1} \bar{I}$ would draw the attention of the relevant authority to the fact that I would hope that before firearms are issued to the Officers, that they are given proper and adequate training in the use and handling of firearms, that an ocourrence such as occurred with the Folice some time ago would not recur, and with those few words, I support the Bill.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN, OBE: ; Nr. President, and Honourable Members, Irise to support this Dill, but I would say. Sir, that those people at the prison who are given this authority to have firearms, that they are very competent people, people who will not use a gun foolishly, and destroy people innocentiy.

I remember when I was first working out in the office at one Christmas, a very high Police Officer was intoxicated, and he was chasing there another af his-submofficers, and he came to the office window where I was working. He held us up: "Get out of this place, on I will shoot you inmediately". I was so frightened, I jumped out of the window, and by the time I found myself, I was up where the By-Fite building ia now.

I remember, many yoare ago, the Honourable Member for East End said that he did not think that we should allow police to mlk the otreste armed as if we were a Republic. But, if the occasion arise that they need to use firearms, they should be so instructed to use these firearms discreetly.

Thank you, Sir.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, I support this Bitt, and I have three points to make, sven though these had been repeated eartiers and it is firstly that firearme are dangerous weapons and they should only he used in cases of necessity. Secondly, the persons using the firearms should be given proper training, and thirdly, there should be a strict control over the storage of these firearms when not in use.

Thank you.
HON. G. RAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, my contrinution will also be brief, and I would tike to emphasise the fact that it is necessary for the Erison Officers to be given the proper trairing: before they are authorised to carry weapons.

The Member for West bay oited an unfortunate incident which happened at the Governor's Reaidenoe some time ago, but this is only one of the many we have had. I would have thought the Member would hove cited a case that was much closer to home. Because, when he was in charge of the Sooial Services, the Town Hall at West Bay was guarded by a man with a loaded 12-guage shotgun, and the result was the head was blow off of a twelve year old boy, and so the Member from West Bay...

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, I rise on a point of personal explanation, Sir, or elucidation.

[^13]Very well, at the end of your speech the Member witt have on opportunity to..

HON. G: HAIG BODDEN: This was an unfortunate incident, and sin e that trayic event, different steps have been taken to make sure that the How Hatis are no longer guarded by men armed with shotouns.

The Bill rives the authority to the
Conmissioner to licence guns for the Prison Officers. and so atlow them to use weapons, which I think is a good thing in the situations with which cur Prison Officers, our Police Officers have to deat with in today's world.

I would hope that the use will be restricted only to those speciat cases where it is absotutely necessary to use force.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, it is unfortunate that the Member should have taken this opportunity to paise an incident over which, he knows, that I had no control.

Well, first of ail, let me say that I had nothing to do with the issuance of the firearms, to the person nur was the requirement of the job a requirement that the person be armed. If the Member will read the Law, he would see that watchmen at Government premises are not exempted under the Lrw, therefore, the licence under which the person carried that veapon would have had to have been issued by the Commissioner of potice under whose authority all firearma licences are issued. I aould have had no responeibility for issuing that licence.

Now, I wilt sound a warnins, Mr. President, I am mindful of the restrictions under which I aan make this rebuttal, but I will sound a warning, that I am very welt oware of why that person was issued a licence, and I would implore those people who are trying to make political hay out of that incident, to be careful, or maybe the skeleton witi be dragged out of their own nests.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Does ary other Honourable Member wish to speak. If not I will ask the Mover whether he wishes to exercise his right of repty.

HON. D.H. FOSTER, CVO., CBE. , JP: Mr. Fresident, just to thank the Members for their support, and to give them my aesuricnce that any of the Frison Officers using weapons of that nature witl certainty have received training before they are issued with them, Sir.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question before the House is
that a Bill. entitled a Bill for a Liaw to amend the Firearme Law (Revised) be given a Second Reading.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING
MR. FRESIDENT: I . I propose now to suspend proceedings and euggest, if this is convenient to Members, that we resume at 2.15 p.m.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2.15 P.M.
$M R$ PRESTDENT: $\quad$ Please be seated. $\quad$ Eills First Readings.. Bilt No. 5.

THE NARCOTIC DRUGS (EVIDENCE) (UNTTED STATES OF AMERICA) BILL; 1982
FIRST READING
CLERK:

## THE NARCOTIC DRUGS (EVIDENCE) (UNITED STATES OF AMERTCA)DILL, 1904

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bill entitled The Narootic Drugs (Evidence) (United States of America) Bitl. 1989, is deemed to have been read, and is set down for Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK:
THE NARCOTIC DRUGS (EVIDENCE) (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) BILL, 1984

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY LLB: Mr. Freaident, Sir, I beg to move that a Bitl shortty entitled The Narcotic Drugs (Evidence) (United States of America) bili, 1924, be read a seoond time.

In this, my opening sentence, $I$. gave the short title of this Bitl. I think it is uaeful to do what is not normally done, Mr. President, Sir, and that is' to give the full or long title to this Bill, which is" Bill for a Las to facilitate the obtaining of Evidence required in or for the purpose of investigations and proceedings in the United States of America, in pursuance of obligations under the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, as amended by the Protocol of 25th March. 1975, and for purposes conneoted therewith, and incidentally thereto". As you see, it is in pursumee of obligations under the single Nareotios Convention, 1961.

Mcy I atso do, Sir, what I do not normally do, because I presume in other oceasions, that since a Bill is published with its Memorandrm of Objects and Redsons, that not only the Honourable Members of this Chomber, but the public at large through the media have had the opportunity to read them. and that is the Memorandum of Objects and Reasons; and the one published with this Bill states:
"The Govermment of the United Kingdom, including the Cayman Islands, and of the United States have antered into an Agreement concerning the Cayman Islands, with the object of ensuring that every necessary facility is afforded to the Attomey General of the United States for obtaining evidence required in respent of investigations and proceedings relating to trafficking in narcotic dxugs."

The purpose of this Rilt is to
provide for the Law to give effect within the Cayman Islands to the aforesaid Agreement during its continuance, and to define the procedural and other arrangements between the Attornezw General of the Coyman Istands and of the United States when a tequest is made for information to be obtained in the Cayman Islands.

HON. MICHAEL $J_{0}$ BRADLEY, LLLB. (CONTINUING): This Bitl buitds upon the practice and procedure atready existing under an Agreement between the Cayman Iszands and the United States of America made in 1982.
tihis proposed Low will only hav witl be noted that, under clause 3, within the ambit of the Single Convention in relation to matters as amended in 1972, and that, under the Certificate in the First Schedule, the United States Attorney General undertakes that any such information will only be used fon the purpose defined in the Agreement.
provisions for the protection of persons who are required to make information available, but which othervise would be confidential or secret.

Clause 10 contains provisions for the enforcement of the arrangements set out in the Bill, and for the issue, when necessary, of a warrant to search for the sised documents not produced when required.

Honourabte Members of the Legistative Assemblys in my opinion, this is one of the more significant pieces of legislation to be presented to this House in recent years. It is less than twenty years ago since the Cayman Islands started evolving into an offohore fincncial centre, and during this short space of time, it has evolved, it has built itself up to be one of the foremost, direct, straightforward and reputable offshore centres to be found anywhere in the wortd.

It has accuired this status. It has had investments mude here by virtue of a number of reasons: by virtue of our stability: by virtue of our position as a dependent territory of the United Kingdom: by virtue of the efficiency of adminietration in Governments, and in the private sector, and by wirtue of the fact that the Covermments of this country have made it abundently olear that they are concerned to be a proper offshore financial centre, that they are concerned to protect the investments of peopte who come here, but that they are also equally concemed to make sure that the integrity of the Ccyman Talands is preserved, and not to parmit it, at any time, and on any aacasion to be used as a centre whereby drug money, narcotics money of any other kind, or money the fruit of oriminal activities can be sheltered.

As part of the process of building up the offshore finance industry, it was found expedient, some eight yeari ago, in 1976, to pass the Confidential Relationships Preservation Law, which was a Low which gives sanction to the duty of non-divulgents of information imparted undor conditions of professional confidence, whether exprese or applied.

That Law was only enforced three years, Mr. Fresident, Siri, when in 1979, with the experience of those yecrs, a number of very substantial amendments were made to that Law, the most aignificant of which was that the Law was amended to provide that information which was oonfidentiat, which otherwise, it would be an offence to release, could be released in certain circumstances if among other considerations in a criminat case, it was in the requiremente of the interest of justice.

That, Nr. Fresident, Sir, wàs 1979. In the five short years since then, the world has changed, the Caribbean, the Western Hemisphere has changed. Since then, the Cayman Istands has continued to build its strenath and reputation as a financial centre.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY, LLB. (CONTINUING): In the rest of the world, and in the Western Ilemisphere particutrity, there has arisen increasingly in every country, a drug problem, a problem of nareotice trafficking of an intermational scales of a vast soale, a problem that has affected us, slightly thank God, but still has affected us, and in wit hce affected us, as Members know, the Police of this country, the legal administration of this country, and this Legislative Assembly have taken atl the steps possible within their domestic jurisdiction to ensure that, as far as possible, we shall remain as little tainted by the world-wide epidemic of narcotics problems.

The United States of America, unhappily, has been much, much more troubled with this problem, and it has become one of the greateat problems to the law enforcement agencies of the United States.

The Cayman Istands have, Mr.
Eresident. Sir, over the years, co-operated quietly and efficiently with the dmug administration authomities, with the FBI, with the police authorities there, co-operation to ensure that as far as possible, that nareotics operators ahould not in any way be given any aid or assiatance in either territory to carmy out their evit work in the other one.

The problem grew, and the problem grew with the United States in such a manner that they resorted in their method of law enforcement to enlarging the concept of extra-termitomiality that they claimed in reapect of thein jurisdiction over persons or banks or establishments within their jurisdiction or who come within that.

The mother country, United Kingdom and the Cayman Islands have resisted and still resist the concept of this unbounded alaim of extra-territorialitys, and as Honourable Members may know; there has been going on for over a year a aase in the United States, where we have with the permisaion of the Court, gone in as a friend of the Court, as cmicus curiae in relation to one of these aases, and opposed with the United Kingdom Goverment the concept and the claim that uas being. made in that respect.

So, Mr. President, Sir,you had developing a situation, where beause of the problems of narootics, the US authorities were using machinery that they were doing in many ways for legitimate purposes of narcotics controts but machinery that affected in many was potentially, our offshore finance industry.

The problem had developed over the years: it needed a new solution. There was as had been set out in the Memorandum of Objects and Reasons, an administrative agreement made between the United States and Cayman, wherebys if information was aiven to us which satisfied us that the request for information was in retation to genuine ariminality, that authority could be given for documents and information to be released without there being breach of the Confidential Relationships Protection Law. The problems continue to exists and because the United Kingdom Govermment were contemroraneoualy carrying on a tong and complex series of negotiations with the United States Covermment on the whole field of extra-terxitoriality, and Members of this House may like to be reminded, as I am sure they know, that the extra-territomiality which was being exercised by the United States in respect of the matters that concerned us most, was oniy one aspect of $i t$.

HON. MICHAEL $J$. RRADLEY, LLE. (CONTINUING): The United Kingdom, as you know, had been concerned about extra-territoriality alaims by the United States in respect of the Siberian gas pipe line; in respect of sanetions, and in many other directions, in respect of anti-trust law.

As happens on many, many occasions. the aims of the United Kingdom Government and of its dependent territory, the Cayman Islands, were at one, and negotiations were entered into on the one side between the Uniter Kingdom Covernment and the Cayman Islands Govermment, and on the other side, the United States Governments to see in relation to this particutar aspect of extra-territoriatity, whether any agreement oould be reached, any method found, in relation to what the American cuthorities particularly identified as the narcotic drugs problem.

If I may now, Mr. Fresident, Sir, go back some twenty-three years to 1961. In 1961, the United Kingdom Government and the United States Govermment signed a Convention which was the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs. That Convention entered into force on December 13th, 1961, and the United Kingdom Instrument of Ratification of that was lodfed shortly thereafter, and that Instrument of Ratification of the Single Narcotios Agreement was entered into and ratified by the United Kingdom on its am behalf, and also on behatf of a number of territories for whom the United Kingdom held the responsibitity for external affaixs, including the Cayman Islands.

That being so, for the last twenty years, the United Kingdom and the Cayman Islands, and the United States. Sir, have been bound by that Convention, and one of the two sionificant articles in that Convention in relation to the Bill before Members is Article 35, Article 35 of that Convention, which is entitled 'Action against the Illicit Traffic', among other things says. "having due regard for their constitutional, legal and administrative systems, the parties shalt assist each other in the compaign against the itticit traffic of narcotic drugs, make crrangements at the national level for co-ordination of preventive and repressive action against the illicit traffic, co-operate closely with each other and with the competent international oreanisations, with a view to maintaining a oo-ordinated campaign against the itlicit traffic, ensure that international co-operation between the appropriate agencies be onducted in an expeditious manner, and ensure that where legal papers are transmitted intermationally for the purposes of prosecution, the transmittal be effected in an expeditious manner to the bodies designated by the parties."

Article 36, the next artiote of the Convention is similarly significant. It is entitled 'Penal Frovisions', and it states that"subject to its constitutional limitations, each party shall adopt such measures as shall ensure that cultivation, production, manufacture, distribution, transportstion, importation, and any other action which is contrary to the provisions of this Convention, shall be punishable offences when ommitted intentionally, "and further saying that, "Bubject to the constitutional limitations of a party, its legal system and domestic laws, international imparticipation in, conspiracy to commit and attempt to conmit any of such offences, and preparatory acts and finanoial operations in connection with the offences referred to in this Article, shall be punishable offences as provided in Paragraph One".

HON. MICHABL J. BRADLEY, LLB. (CONTINUING): Mr. President, Sir, the Convention was enforceds the Convention was binding upon the Cayman Islands, the United Kincdom and the Govermment of the United States, and for that reason the attentions of the parties were directed to trying to come to an agreement, which was an agreement under that Convention, in accordance with the terms of that Convention, and carrying out their obligations on that Convention.

After a long series of negotiations between the United Kingdom and the United States; and with the Cayman Islands as a party to those negotiations, woth by nerotiations and directly between the United Kinadom and the Cayman Istande, and as parties with the United States, eventually, after many months, many hard monthe of work, many months of intensive negotiation: on the 26 th July, less than four weeks ago, an exchange of letters was made at Lancaster House between the Govermment of the United Kingdom and Northern Ireland and the Government of the United States, conoeming the Cayman Islands, and on matters connected with, arising from, or related to, or resulting from any narcotics Qotivity referred to in the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, and that exchange of letters which had attached to it the Agreement, is the reason why this Bill, the purpose of which is to implement that exchange of letters, is before this House today.

The procedure in the Bitl is new. it is revolutionary, and it is reasonably simple, and hopefulty, extremely efficient. The application of this implementing legislation, shall apply only to any nareotics activity referred to in Article 36 of the Convention, and the procedure under this Bill is that when the United States Attorney General certifies to the Attorney General of the Cayman Islands in a certain form and manner, which is set out in the First sohedule to the Bill in front of this House, that person or persons identified by him are involved in matters the subject of Article 36 of the Narcotics Convention, and that docwmentrry information relevant to the investigation or resotution of that matter, is Zncated in these IsLande, that he, the Attorney General of the United States, makes a request and certifies to the Attorney General of the Cayman Islands in relation to such matters, and I think that it is relevant for Members to refresh their memory concerning the First Schedule of this Bill, which sete out in detail what such Certificate shall consist of, and, with your permission, Sir, I will read;
"The Certificate shatl:
(i) Be signed by the Attorney Generat of the United Statef;
(ii) In respeat of Grend dury proceedings identify those proceedings by Grand sury number, and in respect of an indictment, identify such inaictment by caption and docket number:
(iii)Certify that the Attorney General of the United States has reason to believe that a matter falling within the scope of the Agreement has amisen:
(iv)Request that the Attorney General of the Cayman Istands secure the documents identified by the United States Attorney General:
(v) Declare that the information is relevant to the successfut resolution of the matter; and
(Mr. President, Six),
(vi)Undertake (and this is an undertaking by the United States Attomey General in person) that, aave with the consent of the Government of the Cayman Istands, the information will not be ubed for any purposes other than the resolution of matters encompassed by the Agreement," (the Single Narcotics Agreement).

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY, LLB. (CONTINUINO): Mr. President, Sir, upon receipt of such a Certifiaate, the Cayman Islands Attorney General shatl adopt the procedure in the Seoond Schcdule to the Bill, nomely, that he, the Attorney General of the Cayman Islands issues a notice to the Assistor, that we person whom it is believed has the information, requiring him to produce it within fourteen days, or such Zonger period as may be given for good reason, that onee that information is given by the Assistor, it shall bo conmunicated back to the, by the Attorney General of the Cayman Islands back to the United States Attorney General.

The provisions of the Bill are short, are simple, and follow almost exactly, Mr. Fresident, Sir, the exchange of letters. If upon the basis of that documentary information which has been obtained, and which will onty be used for the purposes of the Agreement, in order to establiah evidence within the fromework of the Evidence Laws of the United States, testimony is required, the provisions of Clause 7 apply, and Clause 7 of the Bill relates to what is called Foundation Testimony, and Foundation Testimony is testimony given by a witness solely for the purpose of securing the acceptance as admiseable evidence in proceedinge in the United States of documentary evidence referred to in a Certificate.

This Foundation evidence shalt be by way of Affidavit taken in the Cayman Islande, by way of depositions taken in the Cayman Islands, or by way of voluntary appearance by a witness at proceedings in the United States.

There is provision that where it is seen to ocrry out a druge prosecution effectively in the United States on the basis of the documentary evidence obtained by this procedure, that such deposition or Affidavit is necessary, there is power to enforce an Assistor or other person to give auch deposition or affilavit in the Cayman Islands, and under this Clause 7, a person, an Assistor who gives such Foundation Testimony in the Cayman Islands, enjoys here all the rights, privileges, as regards immunity, selfinerimination, privilege and incapacity as would be enjoyed by any witness in any proceedings in the Grand Court of the Cayman Islande.

If he wishea to go voluntarity to give evidence in the United States, he may do so. There is no provision here in any way, which compels a person without his own voluntary wish, to go to the United States, or give evidence in any other place other than by way of Affidoutt or deposition in the Cayman Islands.

Once a person has co-operated to this extent, further provision is made protecting that person, and those protections are set out in Clauses 8 and 9 of the Bill.

Clauses 8 and 9 of the Bill provide that such a person doee not conmit an offence under the Confidentiat Relationships Freservation Law, does not conmit on offence under the Banks and Trust Companies Regulation Law, both of which impose the normal secrecy pequirement, anc: even more important, provides that where such disclosure or testimony is made, it shatl be deemed not to be a breach of any confidential relationship between the person giving it and any other person, and that no civil alaim or action shatl lie against the person making such disclosure.

There are, of course, Mr. President, Six, contained in Clause 10 of the Bill, the normal enforcement provisions.

HON. MICHAEL $J$. BRADLEY, LLB. (CONTINUING): To give teeth to the terms of the Agreements to give teeth to this Bill.s there hat to be power to acnetion the person who ignores the order made by the Attorney Generat of the Cayman Islands, who fails to produce the documents, who informs people without permission, or who does not when requested to do sos give the appropriate deposition, or Affidavit.

As I have said, Mr. Presidenty Sir, the provisions of this Bill are new, they are simple, they should be efficient, they should be expeditious. They are also related strictly to narcotios offences, and they will. Hr. Eresident, Sirs, in my opinion, without any doubt, strengthen the position of the Cayman Istande as an offehore financial centre, because it will prove to the United States and to the world that we have integrity, that we have honesty, that we can be an offshore financial centre without having to accept on take, or lodge, or harbours tainted money.

We have said often before, Mr. President, sir, we do not need $i t$, we do not want it, and $I$ think my colleague the Financial Secretary has often in his speeches, and budget addresses identified that as the aims of this territory.

Mr. President, Six, the Bill in front of us does not recite, because it is not necessary for the implementation of this legislation, some further provisions, but it may interest Members to have their memories refreshed about them.

The first one is that the Agremant whieh was signed on the 26 th July between the United Kingdom and the Cayman Islands and the United States, aomes into operation on the date that the United Kingdom Govermment notifies the United States Goverrment in writing that the Cayman leglislation implement--ing thit Agreement has come into effect.

The Agreement is not" yet in effect; it witl not be in effect untit this legislation becomes a Law of these Ialands.

The seoond thing to point out is, Mr. Fresident, Sir, that there is a provision that this Agreement will expire fifteen months after it comes into operation unless extended by mutual agreement, but a further provision which is that after the expiration of two months from the coming into operation of it, either the United Kingdom Government, inoluding Cayman, or the United States Government may terminate it by giving one calendar month's notice' in writing.

There is a provision in there, Mr . President, Sir, if it does not work, if it is being abused, three months. from the date it comes in, it can go out again. I think, Mr. Fresident, Sirs, I hope Mr. President, Sir, that that provision is in out of abundent caution, becouse, I think that in this Agreements and in this legistation there has been a great. step forward made in encouraging respect and oonfidence between the United States authorities dealing. with this problem, and the Cayman Islands authorities, and in relation to that, Mr. President, Sir, can I'say before finally commending thic Bill to the Honourable Members of this House, that perhaps the most significant aspect of the Agreement is clause $6(i)$ of the Agreement, not of our tegislation, of the Agreement, and if I may read it:

[^14]HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY, LLE, (CONTINUING): This is a remarkoble, and a far reaching clause to be put in an International Apreement, because it is the first time, Mr. Fresident, Sir, ever, to my knowledge, that the United States Govermment has in any way abregated on damitted or said that it will not enforee its claims to the fullest hilt as regards extra-territombotity. It has been a hard won Afreement: it has taken, as the man who was Prime Minister of England some forty yecris ago said, 'blood and wweat and toil and tears' to reach it.

Lots of us have burnt midnight oil on it. We have done and expended a great deat of time on it. We have done so because we thoughts as I aaid, that this is one of the more significant Bills that couldeome before this House in recent years, that it will be a Bill that will not in any way break the fabrio of the finance industry of the Caymon Islands, but witt help to strengthen it beause it has been made abundently clear that the scope of the Agreement, the scope of this Bill before the House, relates to narcotic matters, relates to narootic information, relates to narcotio money, information and money which we in the Cayman Is lands do not need or want.

Mr. President, Sirs may: I commend this Bill to the Honourable Members of the Assembly.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill shortly entitled a Bill of the Narcotic Druas (Evidence) (United States of America) Bili, 1994, be given a Second Reading.

The Motion is open for debate. Does any Honourable Nember wish to speak. The First Elected Member for Georottown.

QUESTION PROPOSED:
DEBATE ENSUED.
MR. Wo NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, in this day and age when the word extra-territoriatity has found its way into our every day converaations, it is not aurpriaing that we would eventually be faced with the type of legiolation that is before this Honourable House todoy for consideration.

A Bill for a low to be called the Narcotios Dmugs (Evidence) (United States of Amemica) Law, which when it becomes low will give effect to the Agreement signed on the 26 th July, 1984s, between the United Kingdom inoluding the Cayman Is zands, and the United States of America, teating with narootic $d$ rug activity.

As is wett known, this Agreement has already been signed, and all this Bill is doing is seeking to legatise what has already been done.

Whenever the Attorney Generat of the United States has reason to believe that there is infcrmation held in the Cayman Islands relating to narootic dmug traffioking which he needs, this Bill sets out the procedure to be followed in order for him to obtain that information.

Mr. Exesident, I have to state that phrases such as "has reason to believe" as well as "certain other discretionary power that is being provided for", and the fact that our Court is not involved in the process, ait give me oonsiderable concern.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): Nevertheless, I do share the view that some arranoement had to be reached with the United States to somewhat avoid, and as far as possible, relieve to some exitent the harassment of members of our financial commuity, as regards dmug related Subpoenas. And too, there can be little doubt that the many unfavourable Press releases abroad regarding illegal druo related activities in our Islands, have tamished our image, and we must let it be known in no uncertoin terms, that we will not allow this country to become a playground for crooks, nor harbour their proceeds from illegal trade. But, at the aame time, we must be able to maintain the conditions which witl safeguard our tax haven operations, and under which we witl continue to attract new legitimate business to our shores, unmolested and free from heavy pressures, and unnecessary interferences.

Mr. President, there is such a thing as might overcoming right, and these powerful and mighty countries, with all due respect, must find a way of aontrolling their own nationals without causing hardship to smaller countries which are striving to maintain economic independence.

Having said that, Mr. Fresident, I nevertheless fully recognise the need for our country to join forces with other countries around the wortd, and particularly with the United States of America to take preventive, and repressive aetion in the war that has been declared against drug trafficking.

I support the view that the first line of attack must be outting off of the source of revenue derived from illegal drug trades, and regardless of my reservations about this Agreement that has been sianed, I have to fully and unreservedly support what has prompted it and brought it ahout as far as the Cayman Islands are concermed.

The plague of drug abuse, once Amexica's problem, has become a sexious threat to the entire world. It reaches far into the lives of families of every class, and to every level of society, the rich, the middle elass, and the poor. The human toll is staggering, and statistics prove that at least $80 \%$ of drug abusers are young people undex twenty years of age. The eapertise and financiat resources of those operating this drug trade must not be underestimated. Even the great United States of America, which has been admitted here, cannot accomplish this task and win this war alone. The full co-operation and assistance of all countries, small and large, are needed, if this battle is to be won.

In the interests of the youth of today, and generations to come, I feel that we can do no less than to assist and make our contribution. This contribution may cost us in doltars and eents, but it is probably money that we are better off without, and more importantly, no monetcry value con be placed on the lives it can help and perhowe save.

It can, of course, be said that the Govermment of the tnited States, and its agenoies will use every means at their diaposal to oapture and recover every dollar they olaim as their's. On the other hand, we in the Cayman Istands, are striving to maintain the conditions that will encourage the growth of our financial industry. In this we can at times, find ourselves at crose purposes, but surely the great United Statee, which has always been our good neighbour to the North. knows full well that our economio survival depende almost entirely on the continuing success of our finctncial industry.

MTi. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): In their quest to conquer what they elaim. they will hopefully be ever conscious of this fact, and not interfere to the extent of our ruinations which could bring a once proud and independent people to their knees; dependent on hand-outs from them or other countries, for survivat. We cannot let this happen, nor can they.

I support this Bill, Mr. Fresident, and needless to say, the whote country will be patiently waiting, and watching with interest the outcome in the three monthe trial period that lies ahead, and further, after the nine monthe period has elapsed, before the next step is taken, that of negotiating a low enforcement treaty.

That is my contribution, Mr.
Fresident. Thank you very much.
MR. PRESIDENT: I am sure some other Honourable $\overline{\text { Member must wish to speak, but if none rises I shall have to ask }}$ the Mover of the Motion whether he wishes to exercise his right of reply. The Third Eleeted Member for George Toun.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, and Members of this Honowrable House, as far as $I$ am concerned, this is a very sexious Bill; and one that we should well study and note exactly what it means.

Now, I do not put myself in the poaition to know all the legal terminology of Bills. I do know that there is a lot of public comment on that we are selling our rights to the United States. You can read articles with page and page calting us down for this action, but as far as I an conoerned, drugs are becoming and have become the greatest enemy to the youth of the world.

We read of all kinds of people, Presidents' sons, grandsons, all kind of peonle being destroyed by this thing, dmugs.

Mr. President, say forty or forty-
-five years ago, what we now call ganja, was almost unknown in Grand Cayman, but from that stige, it has developed until now, I would say that we are the trans-shipping aceent in the Caribbean Sed, and I feel that we should do everything to try to stamp out this evit.

Of aourse, I am somewhat afraid that all this will bring some retribution, I should say, to our financial industry. We have to be very careful that the might and power of this areat United Nation with all its influence, money and att the rest of it, cannot eventualty, if aiven full charge to go ahead with what they want, destroy our economical position. We cannot afford that, and neither can we afford drucs to be trafficking through this Island, like I am sure it is now.

Several years ago, when $I$ adid in this Honourable House that drugs were becoming a very serious matter in this Istand, I was laughed at. The person in charge of this Honcurable House at that time, said I was making fun, I did not know what I was talking. I sure know what I was talking, and today it has grown and arown and grown until it has become a disease almost as bad as cancer.

I will support this BiLL, and I hope and trust, and I depend on the expranation given by the Honourable Second Official Member that this thing is to the best intereste of the Cayman Islands, and I can only hope and pray that it will in no way destroy our now economical position.

Thank you, Sir.

Member for North Side.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, to endoree what the tady just said, as to when she made a statement in this House that drugs were being seeded in this little Island, I remember her making those stataments, and I remember the smiles that oame across from the Officer, in connection with her making those statements, and I am wondering, $M r$. Fresident, if the populace, the poople of this little Island, if they will awaken to the fact that there should not be any limit and bounds with this Govermment to try to eliminate the destruction already into this country by drugs.

Mr. Fresident, if we look around and see what money cannot buy, what money does not have any value to be compared with the lives of some of our young people, and fomilies that are being destroyed, wrecked and muined by druge. If all the money that we have got in all the tanks could be proved to be drug money, why should we want it to remain here, since it has got a toll on the human being and, as I just aaid, the deatruction of youth and the wrecking of families and homes. Why should we want such money as that to be in our Bankss in our stores, in diroulation, or any place else.

I have been asked many times by members of the public, "why is it that you people do not do comething about these drug peddlare, why do you not do something about this one that is dealine in drugs: you know this one is selling it, this one is dealing in itip.

Now, because it has reached the highest effort that this Govermment has taunched out on to try to heip slow dow, at lecet, the drug trafficking, the use of druge in the Istands, it is a storm being raised on the outside, telling you in one breath, why do you not do something about something boon. you attempt to do something about it when the temperature goes up on the outside;" like you do not leave it to the people; why do you not bring them in and let them deoide what we should do about it.

My few years in this house, Mr. Fresident, it has to be the first time in the tast siaty-nine months that what the public is being fed with, that they should decide these Dilts that oome to the House.

I am not trying to say, Mr. President, that they are at some stage that an input from the public is not justified or does not fill the proper place, but what is being fed to the public, it is your country, you should have a say in the passing of these Billis. Keep them brak for three monthe, six months, so the public can have time to scrutinise them. It would not take that much time. Mr. President, if they spent less time around the clubs, probably hetping procure a sale of dmags.

Mr. President, I would be lengthy on this but I am not going to be. But if the prasing of this Bill can help in any way stop the drug dealers, the drug peddlars, the drug pushers, I support it, and I do hope, sir, that when the next nine to twelve monthe, or fifteen months is up, we will be able to $g o$ all the way in whatever aigning might be necessary.

In connection with this piece of
legislation that we are now here to consider, what I can never digest all the way, why should leniency be dealt out to criminale. When a hard backed man of thirty, forty or fifty years are prepared for a half a million dollars to destroy five hundred youths, or a thousand youths. Those are the things that I think of, Mr. President. To know for a dollar somebody will attempt to destroy the life of aomebody that could he valuable, could be a good man on woman to their country, and live a good clean life.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): So I do hope, Mr. Frecident, with those few remarks, other than I said at the end of the period, suggested that we will, the three Governments, the United States Government, the United Kingdom Government and the Cayman Islands Government, will be satisfied to go all the way in this matter, that we may be able to assist and help slow dow the peddling, the pushing of drugs, and save the lives of some of our young people.

I thank you, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think perhaps this may be a convenient moment to take our customary aftermoon break, and I will therefore suspend proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

HOUSE SUSPENDED AT 3.25 P.M.

## HOUSE RESUMED AT 3.50 P.M.


#### Abstract

MR. PRESIDENT: Debate on the Second Reading of the Narcotic Drugs (evidence) (United States of America) Bizi. Does any other Member wish to speak. If no other Member wishes to speaks I shall aall upon the Mover to exercise his might of reply if he chooses. The Third Elected Member of Executive Council.


HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, I think one previous speaker has said that this is probably one of the most notable pieces of legislation that has been passed in this Chamber, and I think I would tend to agree with that statement.

Drugs of all sorte have become a world-wide problem. Yeare ago, we might have believed that it did not exist in this country, but we have been proven wrong many. mony times in that respect. Druos have become world-wide, one of the largest businesses that exist, and it is a shame, the amownt of grief that this particular subject has brought to so many people, not just in this country, but in countries abroad. The damage that it has wrought on society, and people has been uncountable, and we should be very proud if we are able to assist in some small way in helping, not only ourselves; but in helping another country to stem the tide, and stem the flow of this product.

All countries today of the world have the problem: they all try to fight it in many different ways. We have put in some fairly tough Laws here, with tough sentences; not alt people approve of this, and we get people crying for leniency from time to time, but I am of the opinion that we have not yet gone far enough, as for as putting tough sentences into our toal Lows. I think that in the very near future, we will once again have to look at tightening up certain areas of our local law and imposing some stricter sentences.

It is true that the tifeblood of the Cayman Islands is the offshore banking business, commonly referred to as the finance sector of the Is land, coupled with tourism. Both of these have been called fiekle industries, and quite true. We have discussed in this Chamber this morning something in regards to tourism, beccuse we have to be very gentle with that. The next thing is in the banking sector. We cannot be all things to all men, but we have to give as a Government every support that is possible to the fincnoial side of the business in this Istand, We have to afford them the support of Govemment and we have to protect our banking secrecy.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): People say that this is the firat foot into the door as far as the United States Govermment is concerned, and the brecking of our Secrecy Lows. It is no doubt that we have had a lot of trouble with the United States over the past years, and I would say, understandably so, but we look on taxation a lot different than they did. Taxation was what brought Amerioa into being as a great country. All of us remember the atory about the Boston tea party. Well, unfortunately, the taxes in the United States have got out of hand, and we do not view it in the same way as they do, so it has brought the difference of cpinion on many different occasions.

The past few years, we have been headed into an endless headlong fight, I would say, with the United States authorities. Something had to give. This small territory with its limited resources, could not have endured much longer into this headlong mush, and in the end, I think, we have much more to gain by what we have done, or what we are about to do, than the United States has to gain.

It is true, that with our help, the Americans may be able to ferret out some of the criminat money that has apparently been lodged in our Banks. If that money exists here, and I heve no doubt, but for what there is some of it, then $I$ think it is incumbent on Govermment to help flush it out in every way that we can. I think it is also inombent on the banking community to assist Govermment in doinc this.

Therefore, when we entered originally into these discussions, we knew that we were not going to have an easy time of it. But with persistence, and a good team of negotqators, we have been able to get some concessions, really, that the United Statee has not afforded in their agreements with some of the other foreign countries much larger than ourselves.

If this approach was not made, I cm of the opinion that in a very short time we may have seen the collapse of the entire offehore banking system as it related to the Cayman Islands. By doing what we are about to do, I believe, we will extend the lifetime of it for many years yet to aome.

We have, throughout the years, given limited assistance to the United States authomities, most of it through our judicial system. They have found out that it is difficult to cope, in many cases, through a prolonged judioial system, and be able to get conviotions in the United States. Therefore this ia a short out to its and I hope that not onty the people of this country, but the people abroad, will view it in the similar sense to the way we view it.

I am very pleased to know that although this could have been interpreted as a very controversial subject, that the Members of this House, on both sides of $i t$, apparently have seen the wisdom in what we have done, and apparently support it.

It is very rood to know, and it shows to the entire world that we ach. at though we differ, have oo-operation when the country's well-being is at stake.

We have given appropriate notices to the American authorities that we are prepared to abrogate this Agreement at any time that they do not live up to the letter of the law and try to sidetrack. us.

I have no dotibt in my mind but for what there will be some inconsistencies on the part of the United States Govermment, but I only hope that cool heade can prevail, and that we can make this Agreement work, and that it oan last and that we can bring stability to the offehore banking industry, as well as to our country.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. Frebident, I feet very honoured to speak on this subject, and once again I would say that I am very thankfut that alt the Members of this Houses apparently are in agreement that it is for the preservation of the pillape of society of this country.

> Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Any other Honoumble Member wish to speak?

CAPT: CHARLES L L KTRKCONNELL MM. Fiesident, I rise, Sir, to support the Bitl hefore this Honourab le House, and as I have said before, do feel that if we had spent time streamlining our Lawe instead of, I calt it lombasting the United States cuthorities, in the Budget Address in November, 19?3, we would have been much fupther ahead, and this nill today, might not have been before this House.

I would like to thank the Attorney Generat for his very able address to this House. I think it is one of the best apeeohes I have heard. He has made it abundently olear what our position is. He has covered it from one end to the other, and whatever I may say now, would merely be a repetition of what he has already so ably put forucrid today.
M. President. I feet that this Bitl, whioh backs the Agreement signed with the United States authorities, witl restore the stability of the Cayman Istconds as a financiat centre. Our duty is to co-operate and assist to stanp out drug trafficking, both morally and legaltu.
$M x$. President, drug trafficking five years ago was completely atien to the Caymanian society, and our way of life. Our heritage is a Christian one, which has not onty enabled us to survive, but to excel in a complex and competitive world.

This country, Mr. President, was not built up by narcotic traffickers, nor alcoholice. It was built up by hartuorking, honest Caymanians. They are the people who brought the country to its present state of prosperity. Drug traffiaking, Mr. President, has become a multi-billion dollar induetry, equal to that of General Motors and the big oil companies.

Very few of us, and I think very few Caymanions realise just what we are up against. I am eternally grateful to know that we nave a big brother to the North who is able to help us, becoues it is getting roots here, Mr. Preaident, and our youth are being destroyed daily.

We are not only doing the world a great service, we are doing ourselves, because this country cannot exiat if we continue on the present road that these drus traffickers are trying to guide this cowntry, or rather in the direotion in which the drug treaf fickers citz trying to drive this oountry.

I have no aympathy for drug traffickers. Their greed for money blinds their eyes to the domage and destruation that they are causing their fetlow human beings. I acy we must stop it at all costs.

The Cayman Islands kave existed for three hundred yeare without having anything to do with drug trafficking, and I would rather see ue go back to starvation than to aee our youth and ow country being destroyed by these drug traffickers whose only aim in life is to get money as quickly as they can, wherever they aan, however they can.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): I must congratulate the team whioh went over to the United Kingdom to represent our little Islands. I know when they went over, that they were not in a very strong position. I think they have ployed their aards well, and I think we have got on Agreement which, (quite honestly) I think, there is room for improvement. After we have had this trial period, I am hoping that the Sections I am referring to will be reviewed in order that we can make amendments to protect ourselves as far as the tax haven is concerned, because our aim, Mr. President, is to get mid of the drug traffickers, and any crime related money which is in the Gayman Islands today.

There ane people here in our country, honest people, who have used our tax hoven, and have contributed to the welfare of this comtry, and we wish to keep them here. In the United States and the United Kingdom, we know that avotidonce of taxss, in most instances, are considered a orime; this is not a crime as far as we are concerned. They mast take care of their problems. I do not feel that we oan allow any fishing expedition to take place here, as our Ielands will be ruined for ever and a day if we allow it.

I am only hoping, and will be watching over the initial period to see what the authorities have in mind. It will not be too long, Mr. President, before we will know the intentions; it will quiokly come to light. We have put good faith in this Agreement; as the Second Offiotal Member said, long hours of toil and oweat, and I sincerely hope that it will bear fruit, and that we the people of the Cayman Islands witt once again be able to hotd our heads high and proud, and to become the tax haven which other countries envy.

I thonk you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable
Member wish to epeak?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Preiedent, I read reaently that there is a lanyers' adage which says, "when the facts are on your side, argue them, when the low is on your side, argue it, when neither is on your side, just argue." They also have another adage, Mr. President, "when the facts do not fit your aase, find conother set of facts."

I believe that potiticions sometimes also adopt this adage. Mr. President, while I realise that some form of acoommodation had to be reached with the United States on the matter of narcotic dealings, I am not staisfied that the Bill before us todoy, is the best we could have done.

It is very interesting, or I listened with very mach intereat, Mr. President, to the introduction of this Bill, in which the Mover referred to the two sections of the Single Convention on narcotic drugs, 1961, as amendsd by the Protocol of March 1972, and it is vary interesting to note that in the preamble to each of thooe sections, there was something to this effect. Having due regard to the Constitution, its legal aystems and tocal laws of each of the signatory countries.

Mr. President, my whole
problem with this Bill, is the fact that it circumvents our legal system. It is completely an administrative Law ond procedure which is being provided, and here I would like to pause to make it very clear that I share the views of those persons who have said that we do not need nor do we want narootics trafficking in our country, nor the finanm cial gains which moy be derived from them.

MR., EENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): I encorse that one hundred percent, and I notice too. Mr. President, that woven through some of the deliberations that have preceded me seems to be the thread that all Members of the House support the Bill which we are about to pass, and for the sake of clarity, I want to revert to what I said earlier, and that is that unlike one or two earlier speakers, I cannot accept that we have got the best deal that we could have, out of these negotiations.

I accept that we here obtiged to make some accommodations or reach some coconmodation with the United States on narcotic trafficking, but I am not satisfied that we have the best deal we could have extracted, and, Mr. President, the records of this Honourable House will prove and substantiate that I favour negotiation on these matters, but I do not favour surrender or recapitulation to the extent that our entire financici industry could be placed in jeopardy.

Someone has said that our economy is based on the finmeial industry and tourism, which are two fickie induotries, and I could not agree more, and this is what has me wormied, Mr. Treaident, because nothing is more fickle than oapitat and one's accumulated wealth.

The other thing is that I am not sure if anyone has yet determined how olosely related is our fintnoial industry and what we term our tourist industry, and it would be interesting if a study was onrried out to determine this. I have heard estimates which ronce as far as. or go as far as saying sixty plus percent of our tourism is finance related. Now, Mr. Fresident, while I hove said that I do not support, nor do we want in this country, narootics related money. or any buainess that could be generated by it, on the other hand, I am a stronc defender of our offshore finance industry, as it relates to legal transactions, and it seems to me that somehow, some of us have been convinced that the only money, or only business whioh is transocted in the Cayman Islonds is narcotics related.

The sustem and concept of minimising taxation should not be new to the authorities in the United States. They have, in their own taws, and in their own country what is genexally called tax ahelters, and it is not necessarily a means of avoiding taxations but a means of minimising it,s and if we are able to structure our Laws in such a way as to make those principles of tax shelters more attractive by operating them from offshore areas such as the Cayman Istands, then I om not sure what anybody should come cxying to us about.

Mr. President, in the introduction to the Dill, the Honourable Second official Member saids, cuite riohtly, that the procedure in the Bill is new, revolutionary, simple to implement, and hopefully, effective. My problem in accepting that statement, Mr. Fresident, is the fact that it is too novel, and appears to me, too simple to implement, and if $I$ may, Mr. Fresident, return to the point of supporting, Members supporting this bill, I would like to clear the air onoe and for all on that matter.

## It is twue that Members of this

 House were given, what $I$ have seen referred to in a Press release relating to the financial conmunity or business community, a sight of the Afreement, and of the Bills, but Mr. Dresident, it was in fact a siohts, and whenever a question was raised, we were basicalty told that this was cut and dried, to re-open this would re-open thats and to rewopen that would re-open this, and that therefore, our euggestions were basioalty of no avail.MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): So, I want to make it elear that my support for this bill is restrioted and bound to the extent that as far as $I$ ann see, and nealising the voting power of the Government benoh, that it is an acoomplished fact, and that as I said, aome accommodation had to be reached, and therefore that is the extent to whioh my support of this Biti extends.

The Mover mentioned that, I think it was, in 1965, the Confidential Relationship Preservation Law was introduced, and that by 1967, if my memorys serves me correctly, or 1969.....

HON, MICHAEL J. BRADLEY, LLB: On a point of information, 1976 and 1979.

Mh. BENSON O. EBANKS: Oh yes, Mr. President, I was ten yeare out, but I had the span of time oorrectly, and thank you for the correction on the dates.

Yes it was passed in 1976, and by 1979 it was amended. Now, Mr. President, it is my contention that if, and it still is my contention, that if we had sat down and put our efforts into atreamlining the prooedures under our Confidential Relationship Preservation Law, for example, it seems that one of the great hurdles and obstacles in that Law, and the oreatest objection of the United States Authorities to that procedure, is the fact that our Courts were involved, and therefore the process was sonetimes long and cumbersome.

But, Mr. President, if it was fett that an acoomodation had to be reached, surely, it was not beyond the realms of capability and possibility, and it still is not, to have inserted into that Law, certain time limits and so on, by which information would be given and the rest of it. And it is my belief that if we had travelled that route, we would have had a much more comfortable situation, and a much more acceptable Lutu.

Mr. Tresident, to bear out my fears
and reservations about this Bili, I am going to have to refer to certain sections of the Bill, and obviously of the Agreement. I do not intend to ao into too much of either of these, I just want to hightight a few of the points that give me some concern.

For excmple, in Paracraph 7, which
reade.:
"Foundation testimonys with respect to docwnents to which this tow relates, shall be by way of Affidavit, depositions taken in the Cayman Is Zands, voluntary appearance by a witness at proceedings in the United States in respect of matters to which this Latw applies."

Now, if that section, Mr. President, had stopped there, I would have had no problem with it, but it goes on to read:
"Or in acoordance with such other procedure as may be agreed between the Covermment of the United States and the Governments of the United Kinadom and of the Cayman Islands."

It aeems to me, Mr. Freaident, to widen or nullify, to some extent, the first provisions of that section.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUIMG): The enforcement of the Bitl, Mr. President, the Enforcement Section, Section 10. It is interesting to note that the penalty in this clause exceeds the penalty which we have been operating with under our Confidential Relationship Preservation Law, and this gives me cause for concern, beacuse we turn the page and we find where in Sub-paragraph (3):
"Where any documentary information has not been produced as requested in pursuance of a notice served under this Law, the Attorney-Generat of the Cayman Istands or any potice officer of the rank of Inspector or above acting on his instructions may appiy to any court or Justice of the Feace for the issue of a warmant to search for and seize any such documentary information, and thereupon the court ar Justice of the Peace shall issue a warrant to search for and aeize the information sought ${ }^{\text {in }}$.

Mr. Fresident, as I said, I object generally to this Bill being a bill of administrative enforcement as opposed to a Bill enforceable in accordance with our judicial system. But I have distinct reservations about this Section. We already, under our Banks and Trust Companies Regulation Low, and the Confidential Retationship Ereservation Low, the provision for the Inspector of Banks or, with his sanction, police officers and so on, to make searohes, and I know that we are relying on the good faith and commitment of the United States, who have said that they will not use information obtained in these woys. Are you buggesting an interruption, Sir?

> MR. PRESIDENT: I was hoping that you might finish dealing with this one particular point. I did not want to stop you until you had, but if you were going to be a tong time on this point, then I should be breaching Standing Orders if I did not interrupt you. If you are going to finish in a few seconds.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Fresident, I am not cuoting from notes, or a prepared text, so that I could not say how long $I$ am going to be. I would be dishonest if I told you I did not believe I was going to be a while longer.
MR. PRESIDENT:
No, No, corry, I understand the speech may go on for some time; I wondered whether your dealing with this one partioular point you might...
MF. DENSON O. EBANKS:
Since I have just entered upon this one, Mr. President, I would welcome the interruption accordine to Standing Orders.

MR: PRESIDENT: Wetl in that oase then, I think let us intermpt you in accordance with Standing Orders.

## ADJOURNMENT

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Mr. Fresident, I move the Adjournment
of this House until 10.00 a.m. tomorrow morning.
Mi. PRESIDENT:

The Notion is that this House do now adjourn untit $10.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$, tomorrow morning. Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.
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# MR. PRESIDENT: <br> Please be seated. Proceedings are 

 moswmed. The Second Reading of the Bill entitled the Narootic Drugs (Evidence)(thited)States of Amerioa Bill 1984. The Third Eleoted Member for West Bay.MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr, President. When the adjournment was taken yesterday evening, I was dealing with Section 10, SubSection 3 of the Bill dealing with enforcement, and speolfically with the powers of search and seizure of docioments, and I had reached the point where $I$ aatd that we are relying on the faith and oommitment of the United States who have said that they witl not uee information obtained in such a way in any other way.

Eartier I had called attention to
the faot that in our Banks and Trust Companies Regulation Iaw, and in our Confidential Relationships Preservation Law, there was already power given to the Inspector of Banks to make certain inspeotions. I would have thought, Mr. President, that it would have bsen acceptable and sufficient if this clause had read something to the effect that the Attorney Genaral may cause the Inspector of Banks, eto. This would have put the matter back into existing procedures and we would have had the Penalty Clauses of those two Laws in effect.

Mr. President, the dangex of this action, as I see it, is that while the Inspector of Banks could be expected to be seneitive to material which he might have come across in the process of his search, not relating to the docoment in question, unfortunately, sr. President, one cannot rely entirely on the provisions here with the same faith.

While it is true that the document being sought is entirely in relation to Narootics, my understanding of this Section is that if the Assietor has said that he does not have the document requested, and the Police officer or the Attormey General is to esarch for that dooment, then it means that he has to go into the premises concerned and turn up every file and document within those premises to satisfy himself that it is not ooncealed somewhere. In fact, I would assume that it would extend to even the living premises of the party involved. Antwhere that he may even conduct a business, and that is a very wide power. Mr. Preaident.

Now, I know that some people with in rebuttal of that submission, use the arament that the request for the information will have to carry a Grand Jury or subpoena or Inditement Number, and I might as wetl dispose with the Grand Jury Proceedings as I ionderstond it here.

As I understand the Grand Jury Proceedings, Mr. President, encept that it is much wider, less controlled, less reatriotive than our preliminamy enquiries, it operates in a similar fashion, but the Inditement nommally follows a Grand Jury investigation, but it does not always follow that every Grand Jury investigation results in an inditement. There--fore, it is my contention that the Grand Jury Proceedings oan open up a fiahing expedition in this operation. All the Grand Jury needs to do is to oonvene, give the Docket a number, and then they go fishing, and once they come acrose a nome, they see that there is some cornection in Grand Cayman between the person suspected in the United States of narcotics and the person who is doing business in the Cayman Islands, then they have a heyday and a free-for-all as far as $I$ an oonoerned.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING)
What is even more concerning, or disturbing, Mr, President, about this procedure, is that alt subsequent documents are in fact the entitlement of the United States Attormey General under the one original request.

What I am saying is if by requesting a simple Draft which has been'sent from the United States to Orand Cayman and paid in to an acoount, and if an acoount number appears on that cheque, their eyee are then opened to the faet that that person has an acoount in Cayman. They then summon the documents on that account. If there is any oross-reference on that ledger, account to another account, they can then go and ask for that account, and frankly they have a heyday.

Now I want to make it clears; Mr. President, that I am not condoning wrongdoing in narcotios, but given the exuberance of other United States Authomities in stamping out Offehore Tax Havens, it is not unreasonable to expect that they witl know when a naxcotics investigation is going on. You may as well say that you oan keep a seoret in Cayman as to say that you can be assured that seorets witl be kept between various departments in the United States, even though they will not pass on the apecific information. But what is to stop someone, an agent of the IRS from oontacting someone in Cayman whom they know are conducting a search, and saying to them "You know while you are tooking through that office, look for John Doe's nome, see if that doesn't come up. I am interested in him".

Now, having gone this far, Mr.
President, I might as well tell you of something that has come to my knowledge within the last iwo days. That has no bearing on this particular Bill, but it proves, it serves to prove the point that I am saying.

I happen to know that a 1983
automobile was sold to an insuspeeting young lady earlier this year as a new car. The owner had an original log book, shown as the original purchaser of the car and with the licence plate that was on the automobile as the original licence plate. The young lady. locked herself out of her car, and when she went to the Dealer to get a key made, she was told there was no necord of this gentleman having purchased an automobile from them. It transpired that what in effeat had happened, was he had bought the car second-hand, and somehow had obtained what should have been at least a duplicate log book and carmied the originat information on it. He had obtained a new log book presenting himself as the original ouner of the oar. In other words, this action facilitated a fraud.

So what I an saying is, Mr. President when we are talking about circumventing the courts, we are taking some very, very calculated risks.

The other thing in this connection, Mr. President, that is very bothersome and worrying to me, as, of aourse, is the whole Bill, being an administrative procedure, is VI of Section I in the First Schedule Request and Certificate. I have already dealt with the II regarding the identification of the docwnent or of the proceedinge by the Grand Jury, Number and Inditement Caption and Doeket Number. I have dealt with that in my last aubmission. I would only add that as I read II, that does not mean that we are dealing in this situation with Grand Jury Proceedings and Inditement Proceedings only, and I think that when we go to the agreement, my oontention will be borne out

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING)
But to get back to VI of $I_{s}$ it says:
"Undertake that save with the consent of the Govermment of the Cayman Islands, the information will not be used for any purpose other than the resolution of matters encompassed by the Agreement.

This, Mr. President, as $I$ see $i t$, again further broadene the power of the Govermment to cooperate with Authorities in the United Statee. Mr. President, if I had not heard so much about, expressed by persons concerned, and by this I mean Members of this Assembly, about the might of the United States, and what they could do, and all the rest of it, this type of discretion wouldn't worry me as much as it does. But it ssems to me that some people believe that unless we give away our heart string, the United States adn do juet what they want with us, and I insist that as long as we negotiate in good faith, they will have to see those negotiations to a successfut conctusion, and we need not sell our birthright to reach an agreement with them.

I have heard people say that what
if they cancel your rights to fly into the United States. Mr. president, that's not as easily done as said. There are International Agreements that govern these things, and as long as we do not breach those agreements they annot arbitrarily withdrow our rights. I have heard if we don't do this or we don't do that, they will stop selling you cornflakes and potatoes. There are agreements which govern that. There is a general agreement on Tariffs and Trades, and we might be small, Mr. President, but we are not powns.

The worrying thing about this Bill, the most worrying thing about this Bill, Mr. President, coming after the fact that it circumvents our Lows, and that it does so unnecessamily in light of what the introducer of the Bill quoted to us yesterday, is the fact that this Eill gives to the United States, or the Attorney General of the United States, power in the Cayman Istands which he does not enjoy in his own country. He oannot in the United States aircumpent the legal process. It was proven just a few years ago, that the President of the United States is not above the law, and here we are knuckling down, giving the Attormey General of the United States powers in our jurisdiction which he does not enjoy in his own.

I have also heard it said, Mr. President, that if this Legislature or this Govermment had failed to reach this agreement, on if this Bill was not legistated, that the mother country would use its reserve powers under the Constitution to enforce the legislation. Mr. President. I say without fear of euccessful contradiction, that no Govermment in the United Kingdom would dare introduce into Farliament a Bill similar to this, which takes aucy the righte of the Court or ciroumvents the judicial process.

Therefore, I have no fear that the mother country would have imposed this upon us. I agree they would put pressure for us to negotiate, and I think we should negotiate, I've said that from as early as last year, but I don't think that we should surrender.

Mr. President, one other thing. In the Agreement, Artiole 6 Exclusivity, which reads:
"No Federal Subpoena, inctuding a Crand Jury Subpoena (and this I want underlined), related to doammontary information located in Cayman in any matter falling within Paragraph 21 of this Agreenent (that's where the

MR. EENSON O. EEANKS (CONTINUING)
narcotios related offences are mentioned) will be enforced in the United States without the prior agreement of either the United Kingdom Covermment or the Cayman Govermment".

Mr. Fresident, as this has been heralded as the panacea of our problems with narootio related matters; it has been heralded as the victory of this negotiation, and I want to aall Members' attention to the fact that this under'm -taking relates entirely to documentary information which is defined in the Bill. My understonding of this Faragraph is that this does not include or exclude anyone from being harassed under the guise of a material witness. It relates to documentary evidence only, and the information is supposec according to the Bill and the Agreement to be provided in the Cayman Islands, that is the foundation testimony under Fule 15 of the United States Federal Fules of Criminal Procedure.

Now I asked to see, or to have a sight of that, Mr. President. I was told it was not available, but I was assured that that was the section of the United States Low whioh made Affilavits given or taken overeeas legal in proceedings in United States Courts. Now, Mr. President, if that in fact was the case and the United States Government had no intention of going any further, I wonder then why $4(c)(5)$ is in the Agreement, and I read:
"Should it beoome necessary for the successfut conduct of the proceedings in the United States, that Foundation Testimony be obtained, the United Statee Attorney Generat or his designee may request the Assistor to attend the relevant Court in the United States to provide such testimony".

I was led to believe and I beligve
that the public was led to believe that the Affidavit taken under Rule 15 of the United States Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure was sufficient, that it was adequate and need not have any comoboration by individuat or personal testimony, and I on teft to wonder Mr. President, what woulds this is staying here, notice this one does not have request him to voluntarity attend; this one says may request him to attend. So if John Doe who the United States or who is giving testimony in Affidavit form, and he refuses to go when requested to the United States, but he has reason to pass through the United States, what happens if he is bapped by a Federal Agent who requests him to accompany him to a place to give evidence, and he refuses. What is his fate? I have not been able to receive a satisfactory explanation on that point.

Mr. President, I understood the Mover of this Bill yesterday in his introductory remarks and I certainly hope that I was wrona in what I understood him to say, but I under--stood him to say that the provision under Article 10 of the Agree--ment relating to the axpiration of this Arreement, which reads:
> "This Agreement will expire fifteen calendar months from the date of its coming into operation unless it is extended by the mutual agreement of the Governments of the United States, and the United Kingdom inctuding Cayman."

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING)
Now I understood the Mover to say that this would expire in fifteen months untess it had been extended by him with your permission, and I think he meant your permission as Govexnor and not as Fresident of this Assembly

Would you like the floor Sir?
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
On a point of explanation $I$ think it would be gooa if a transcript of the prooeedings were avaitable. I do not remember saying that. If I did say it, I did not intend to say it, because it would not be true.

MR: BENSON O. EEANKS:
Well Mr. President, that is what I understood and maybe he can go back and get the tapes and rebut me or enlighten me in his winding up.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
What if... if the member would sit down for a mrnute...by a slip of the tongue the Member did say that, and only the tapes will reveal whether he did or not, it seems to me the fact of the matter is that he has now explained to the House that he aertainly did not intend to say that, and that that is not in fact the caee - if I have understood the Nember correctly.

So to an extent the point fatze away and it's to an extent academic.

## MR. BEDSON O. EBANKS:

It falle away to the extent that he might not have said it, Mr. President, but it doesn't fatl casay from my argument because there is nothing in this Bill to say when this Bill expires $_{s}$ and this Bitl is required to aive validity to this Agreements and I would have expected to have seen in this DLIL an expixy date fifteen months from the date of coming into operation. of the Agreement, because it is a limited Bill, it has application to this Agreement onty, since the Legislature has to pasa the Eill, any extension of this Bill or of the Agreement consequent upon this Bill, should be the prerogative of the Legislature. And I would hope that itris not the intention to use administrative authomity for the extension.

Mr. President, I think I can surmarise my contribution on this Bitl in a couple of minutes.
a) Having regard to the preamble to the two sectionsof the Single Convention on Harcotia
Drugs 1961 as amended by the Erotocol of the 25th March, 1972, read to this Honourable House by the Mover in his presentation yestem
day, it is my contention and submission that
we, the Cayman Islands need never to have circumvented our legal system to meet the requirements of that Convention. I contend that we could have, if we had been prepored to act thnaously, amended our Confidential. Freservation or Relationships Preservation Law in auch a manner as to have met the requirements of the United States and the United Kingdom Authomitien.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING)
Now, Mr. President, you will recall yesterday in my opening remarks I said that there was a Lawyers' adage which went something like this... When the facts are on your side, argue them. When the tow is on your side, argue it, and when neither is on your side, just aroue... And a further adage that when the facts don't fit your case, find another set of facts, and I am sure that I will hear attempts to discredit, particularly this suggestion. I will hear legal arguments to discredit this suggestion, and here I want to spend a little time and explain what I an acying.

In the first instance I believe in the separation of powers. I believe in the separation of the powers of the Legistature on the Legislative arm of Government, the Legal arm of Govermment, and the Administrative arm of Goverment. But this Legislature can leorislate Zoas, and today we are diecussing a Bill which cirownents the due process of law completely. We are talking about a speciat piece of legialation dealing entirely with the transfer or exchange of information between countries, and it is my contention that we could legislate a Law, we ould have amended our Confidential Relation--ship Law in such a manner as to mest the hurdles which the United States Authorities were encountering in its present form.

We are not talking here about a person's rights or privileges or the penalty of death or whatever. We are talking about a piece of tegislation dealing purely with the exchange of information on narootics related matters, and it would not be unknown to have provided within that $5 i l l$ a special format and procedure for dealing with it. In fact, Mr. Freeident, there are miles of court which every litigant must follow. Naturally they are not legielated as I understand it here, but nevertheless they have the force of $l a w$, and we could have done something simitar. We are getting a new Puisne tudge which should reliove some of the pressure on the Chief tustice, and if necessary, if it was found necessary to hire another Judqe just to administer this Bill I think it would have been preferable than what we have done, which I consider is a complete selt-out, ox surpender.

I don't want to give the impression
that I am imputing any improper motives to persons who may have neg--otisted it,so for"se"il-out"substitute "surrender".

We have other Lows on our books, Mr. President. They are rare occasions, but we have Lowe on our books which because of special circumstances reverses the onus of proof. So what I cm talking about is in fact not novel, it exiats, but the Mover of this Bill has said that this is new. it is revolutionary and hopeffulty simply and effectively implemented. If we had the judiciat process, those persons who are doing business legally within this country would have had lese fear, and, Mr. irnsident, the reason fox my saying that is that as a part of this Agreement we have undertaken to enter into negotiations on other matters, and having got their foot into the door on one aspect of the Bill or of their headaches $s_{y}$ it's going to be more difficult, you know, Mr. President, if somebody oan get one foot in the door and get their two hands on the threshold it's much harder to push them out than if you can keep them from getting that foot in the door. And that is why I am taking the time to make these submissions.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING)
Now, Mr. President; I have also heard it said that the only people who are objecting to this Bill are people who must know that they have problems with narcotios or narootios related business. I think that is an irxesponsible statement for anybody to make, and I do not subsaribe to it, and I want to make it clear that, to the beat of my knowLedge, I have no skeletons in my closet. I am talking as a free agent with a free mind, and with no preconceived ideas, and nothing to hide or to proteot.

Mr. President, I have spoken much longer on this Eill than I intended to speak. It was my intention. or it was my attitude, that the less said about this Bill, the better. But during the course of the Debate yesterday, or of the proceedinge yesterday, I scratched my head, and I conoluded that we might fool ourselves, and we might fool some of the public, but we would not be able to fool the Accountants, Lavyers and Tax Advisors in the United States who would in the normal course of business, be sending clean business to the Coyman Islands from the United States, because, Mr. President, no one to the best of my knowledge, unless it is a very new novice in the business locally, sets themselves up as a Tas Advisor to their clients. His advice is always, you go back to the United States, get your advice from your accountont, your liaver or your tax advisor, as the case may be, and if he telle you that this particular scheme works, and it is within our Lows, we will talk business, but nobody sets themsetves up as an advisor. nobody nobody of repute sets themselves up as an authority on United States taxation.
not fool them, I further conelu, having concluded that we could country by doing whot I have doned that I could best serve my call the surrender of our sovone, and that is pointing out what I that not all persons in this legisty in this Bill, and indioating that there is hope at the endegislature hove the some mind, and of the tunnel.
One final point, Mr. President I said that I thought if we had taken time, oonsidered and nerotiated with the United States within the basic framework of our Confidential Relationship Freservation Low, this Lill could have been prevented. This would have been unnecessary.

I contend it was because of a system of foot draaging, why this was suddenly put in our lap. Further, $M_{\text {r }}$. President, I think that our problem with narootics trade and trafficking in the Coymane is due almost entirely, if not exclusively to what I would have said was the theme sono of certain politioians who now form the Govermment, commenoing from 1976 s and that was "Open the gates that all may come in, praise the Lord",

If you mentioned, Mr. President, anything about making hay slowly, investigate what you are doinf, you were labelled a heretic, that you were not progressive, that you were against expaneion, and againet the country generally. These recorde will prove that shortly after my return to this House in 1980, when we were dealing with another matter, I made the prophetic statement that what we were dealing with that day was but the hiccough of a very much more semious bout of indigestion to come, and this is one of the attacks, semious attacks of indigestion Which we are suffering from that policy of "open the gates that all may come in".

As I said, Mr. Tresident, it has not given me any pride to have to stand here and say some of the thinga that I have had to say, but they are my honest conviotions, and I consider that I would have been less than a man, had I not done so. Thank you.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I wish to state firstly the advantages of the Agreement and this Bill to the Cayman Islands in a summary form, and then to give the history of this matter: thereafter to deal with the criticisms of the Afreement and then to go into detait on the advantaoes and the disadvantages of this Agreement and the enabing legislation.

The advantages of the Narootics Agreement and this Bill to the Cayman Istands are as follows:

1. The United Statss Government and its Federal Agencies have agreed not to enforce Documentary Subpoenas against Cayman residents in narcotics matters when the process under this Agreement is carried out.
2. Caymanians aan now give evidence in Cayman on documentary matters for Federal Grand Jury matters, and also Federal Inditements either by way of Affadavit or Deposition, or if they 80 wish, and onty if they so wish, they may make a voluntary appearance in the United Statee before United States Grand Jury. When giving evidence in the Cayman Islands, a witness has the right to protection by both Cayman Island and United States Law, to claim inmunity, privilege, self inorimination, incopacity, and the right to have an Attorney to represent them under both United Statee and Cayman Istands Law.
3. The Cayman Istands will clean up their image abroad as a country allegedly laundering drug money, and harbouring drug traffickers and drug profits.

Honourable Members of this House, we are a tax haven, we are not a drugs haven, and let us never confuse the two. When we clean our image, Mr. President, we wilt have destroyed the most powerful weapon that the United States and other oountries have used agoinst us in reoent years, nomely that we are acting as a haven for drus traffickers.
4. The undertaking by the United States Attorney Generat in person that the information will not be used for purposes other than oonvention narootios drugs matters, is very important. Prior to this, when information was received, there was no undertaking that it would not be used for purely tax or revenue matters.
5. Bankers and other persons giving information under this Bill will now be protected against being sued civilly for releasing that information by virtue of a statute.

## BON. TRUMAN M BODDEN (CONTINUING)

8. The Agreement can be terminated by giving one month's notice after the firet two months of the Agreement. The Narcotics Agreement lasts only for fifteen monthe unlees extended by the Govermments.
9. The Agreement sets up a system whereby the use of the information can now be monitored by the Cayman AttorneyGeneral so that it will be posaible to see what has been done with the information that is given. Defore this it was impossible to do so.

## THE HISTORY OF THIS MATTER

For the past decade the United States Govermment has been attempting to bring to justic narcotic traffick--ers as well as other cximinals who comit serious arimes. This Govemment has accepted the principle that it has a duty to see that eriminals who commit serious orimes are brought to justice. and assistance has been given in the past deoade to assisting foreign Govermments in bringing ariminats to juetice.

In the Cayman Islands this Honourable House has omended the Drugs Law on many occasions, making it one of the toughest Drug Laws that exist in the Westerm hemisphere.

However, this Govermment has made its position abundently alear that where matters relate purely to tax or revenue matters, then it is not prepared to assist. However, $i f^{f}$ those matters are part of a norootios scheme and are part of the profits of narootics, then, Mr. President, the information witl be given. The United Kingdom supports our position in relation to taxes.

Mr. President, I stress agains we
are a tax haven, we are not a'drugs haven. We were never meant to be a druge haven, and I am amazed, Mr. President, at the oonfusion betwean those two havens that I have heard in this House by the last speaker.

One of the eariy policies of President Reagan was the repression of dmug trafficking, and this has been spearheaded in two main areas; firstiy against stopping the physical entry of drugs into the United States of America, and more recently by depriving drug traffickers of their profits. Prime Minister Mrs. Thatcher of the United Kingdom fulty supports and has on many occasions had her Government restate this policy. In fact, Mr . President, the statement of poticy to repress druge has been made not only by the United Kingdom and the United States, but by just about every Western democratic country, and at least several times every year.

The pressure from the Deportment of Justice in the United States oame first through oubpoenas which were served on Caymanians and nesidents when passing through the United States or entering the United States. At first these subpoenas were the ordinary subpoena, and later it is what is called the Material Witness Subpoena. This specific type of Sibpoena, the Material Witnese Subpoena, permite the Ameriacn Courts to arrest a person and hold him or her in prisons or within the bounds of the United States until the evidence is given. It is a horrifying sort of Subpoena which is unknown to the modern English legal system, and there were severat incidents of these.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING)
More recently, the American Courts have been issuing subpoenae on United States branches of Banks in the Cayman IsLands, in the United Kingdom, in Canada. and other countries and requiring them to produce doownents which are outside of the United States in countries such as Cayman, Canada, and the United Kingdom and the Bahomas. This, Mr. Fresident, is where the principle of extra-territoriatity arises in that the American Courts are attompting to exercise jumisdiction over foreign Bovereign jurisdiction by requixing an act to be done in a foreign country despite the fact that that aot is illegat in the foreign country.

The dilemma, put very simply, is
this. An American Court will order a person on a bank to produce documents in the Cayman Istands. The Cayman Court will order that the documents be not released. Both orders will carry heavy civil or criminat penalties. It is obvious that both orders canot be obeyed and the person is caught in a situation where he cannot win. This, Mr. President, is the real amux of the problem we face. It is not one of delays in the courts.

It is against this continuous pressure that negotiations continued, and in 1982 on agreement was reached by which the Department of Justice would apply to the Government of the Cayman Islands under the Confidential Relationship reseservation Law, for information. Once the Executive Council was satisfied that the crime was one for which information should be given, based upon the submission, then a senior police officer requested the information. In mrmy instances the bonk would, as it was entitied to do. refuse to give this information and an application would be made to the Grand Court. In some oases, an Order of the Grand Court coutd be made, but in many cases. Mr. President, the information before the Grand Court was not sufficient for the Judge, acting in his discretion to make an order reteasing the informations and the problem in the witness Subpoena cases with the Eank of Nova Scotia and Mr. Ita Falconer was not a problem of delays in the Court.

The Courts in this oountry have always acted, in my opinions fairly, justly and as expeditiously as they reasonably ocm. However, when there is no information before the Court to make the Order, the Court, quite rightly, does not make the Order. Therefore, one of the main difficulties was that once a matter was before the United States Grand Jury, then eertain documents and evidence before the Grand Iury could not be released to anyone other than a Govermment Frosecutor. It was, therefore, really with the Grand Jury system, that the problem arose.

Mr. President, I would tike to
thank the Honourable Attorney-Generat, the Second Official Member, for his very clear, fult, and fair explanation of this Bills, and to him I believe that this country has in his statement, a fair explanation of what has been going on, and aan be expected from that Eill.

I would now like to mention a few things about the Amerioan Grand Jury system. It is a system that originated in the English system of law several centuries ago, firstly in what was known as the Star Chomber of very devious and extensive powers and renown of centumies ago, and subsequently it refined to the Grand Jury system.

RON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
It wate abolished in the United Kingdom by, I think it was the Misoellaneous Provisions Act of 1933 that come into effect in 1934, and shortly thereafter it was abolished in the Cayman Islands under Adjudicator Administration of Justice Low which provides in Seotion 223 that" (Irand Juries shatl be and the same are hereby abolished and it shatl not be lawfut to amponel any Grand Jury in the Islands".

A Grand Jury aan oall subpoenas, both ordinary and Material Witness eubpoenae to be issued to witnesses who are brought then before the Grond dury. In the prooeedings that witness is not entitled to have an Attorney-at-Icw present, and indeed on most occaaions, on Attorney hes to remain outside of the Grand dury room, and the witnese has to mon in and out and ask hims" "an I answer this question or should I alaim what the Americans call the Fifth Amendment, which is that relating to incriminating questions". It is this refusat to allow represent--ation by a Lauryer. It is this sitting of the Grand Jury in asarecy. It is the power by which a Grand Jury can ask questions on any matters affecting the matter before the Grand Jumy, whether the questions are incriminating or not, that creates the fear of this system that the modern world has abolished many decades ago, but unfortunately, which remains current in the United States.

Mr. President, so be it. If the
Amexicans feel that the Grand Jury system still serves a purpose in their country, then that is their business and if we feel that we should have abolished its as did the United Kingdon, then, Nr. President, that once again is a matter for ue.

Th: ointer problem that we have had in the past, would be that under the old procedure the drug traffioker and many of the Bank's emptoyees and the Bank's Attorneys and their staff knew what persons ard information the United States Governnent was seeking on drugs. The result would be that the dmug trafficker would go, for example, to South America, so would go the money, and the records in instance; have disappeared. He would then continue the operation from his new country of abode.

Further, the Drug Enforcement Agency has had problems whereby their Agenti had been exposeds their under--oover Agente had been exposed, and in fact, I understand that there have at times been attempts upon the lives of these people through information being released at a premature stage.

Mr. President, here I would like to
deat with a matter beocuse much has been said about the Executive Council reversing out the Court's decision in the Bank of Nova Scotia vereus the United Statee Govervment case.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If I may just ask the Honourable Member one question. He is moving on to a new phase of his apeech. It's about the time for our break. Would that be a convenient moment for you? Decause I imagine you probably witi not finteh for a white.

[^15]HOUSE RESUMED AT 11.45 A.M.
MR. PRESIDENT: Please bs seated. Debate on the Second Reading of the Narcotic Drugs (Evidenoe) (United States of Amerioa) Bill, the Seoond Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, much has been said about the Executive Council purportedly reversing out the Court's deaision in the Bank of Nova Scotia and United States Govermment case. This is totally untrue. In one instance, the Executive Council under the provisions of the Confidential Relationships Preservation Law, Section 3 (2) (b) (iv) which provides riat"the Financial Secretary, the Inspector ors in relation to particular information specified by the Governor, such other perion as the Governor may authorise", may release information, did altow information to be released.

What was done was legat. It had no legat effect on the Court's decision. The problems that arose there epitomi.se the problems that we have had in that case and subsequent ones, in that there was not sufficient information before the Court for it to make a decision to release the information. We must understand that when a court procedure is used, that the only evidence that it can act upon is evidence which is provable, and for example is not hearsay evidence. Further if the ohain of that evidence is broken because a specific part of the evidence is not admisaible, then the courts sometimes cannot make a decision either.

However, the Executive Cowncil is not bound by the strict legal rules of evidence and provided that the Executive Council is satisfied that the matter is justified, then it can make a decision. Further, the transaction in that instance related, as was atated publicly, to transactions between the United States, the Cayman Islands and the Bahamas.

As we know, the Bahomian Attorney General released the information to the United States, and at that stage, the United States had both of the two missing comers of the triangle to the tranacction, namely it had its own courte with the information between the United States and Cayman, and the United States and the Bahamas, and when the Bahamas released the information that linked up the third angle of the triangle, then, Mr. Fresident, it was foothardy for us at that stage to believe that the information which we were releasing was amfidential. However, it took the Contempt proceedings, of which the Bank of Nova Scotia had accrued, I think, some mitition and a half doliare at that time, at the rate of $\$ 25,000.00$ a day off the Bank of Nova Scotia, and I assure the public that the Executive Council always acts legally, and that in this instance it was fully justified in doing what it did, and further, that that had nothing whatsoever to do with reversing out any Court's decision at alt. It did not affect the Court's decision.

The Cayman Istands have agreed to an exchange of letters between the Govemments of the United Kingdom and the United States of America in matters connected with, arising from, related to, or resulting from narcotics activity, and this was signed in London on 26th July, 1981. The Honourable Financial Searetary, the Honourable Attomey-General, and I were present at the signing. At that time the Commissioner of potice was otherwise occupied, and unfortunately was not able to come to the United Kingdom with us.

This Bill before this House, The Narootic-Drugs (Evidence) (United States of America) Bill must be passed before that Agreement comes into operation.

The Agreement and this Bill is in accordance with Article 36 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Druge 1961, as amended by Frotocol of 1972, and which has been extended to the Cayman Istands and other Crown Colonies. The Convention requires countries to takes and $I$ quote, "preventive and repressive action against the illicit traffic of narcotic drugs." I would like to repeat that, Mr. Fresident, it requires cowntries to take preventive and repressive action against the illicit traffic of narcotio dmugs. This Convention sets out very harsh provisions, which are aimed at stomping out narootic drugs by every means.

Mr. President, the Second Official Member read parts of Article 36, and I would like to do so because I think this is very important,

ARTICLE $36,1(a)$
Subject to its constitutional limitations, each party shall adopt such meabures as will ensure that cultivation, produetion. manufacture, ex--tractions preparations possession, offering, offering for sale, distribution, purchase, sale, delivery on any terms whatsoever, brokerage. dispatch, dispatch in transit, transport, importation, exportation of drugs contrary to the provisions of this Convention, and any other action which in the opinion of auch party may be contrary to the provisions of this Convention, shall be pronishable offences when committed intentionally, and that sexious offences shall be liable to adequate punishment, partioularly by imprisonment or other penalties of deprivation of liberty.

## And it states in

## SUB-ARTICLE 2, PARAGRAPH (a), EARAGRAPH II

Subject to the Constitutional limitations of a party, its legat system and domestic law, intentional participation in, conspiracy to commit and attempts to commit, and any of such offences and preparatory acte, and finanoial operations in connection with the offences referred to in this Artiole shatl be punishable offences as provided in Paragraph 1. (Which I just read).

It there refers, Ar. President, and
I stress, preparatory acts, and financial operations.
There are other Articles, Mr. Fresident, in fact, this Convention has some fifty-one Articles in it, and, for example, in Article 34, it deals with measures of aupervision and inspection.

## HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING)

Mr. President, we kave to file as the Government, returns with Suritaertands thowing what drugs have been imported, narcotic drugs that is. What has been used. What we expect to use, and stook that remains, and there is a very heavy duty under Article 34 to ensure that the druas are properly admin--istered.

Mr. Tresidents back in 1975, we did run afoul of this Article, when at that time our Auditor Ceneral reported that there were considerable quantities of dangerous drugs missing from the hospital, shortages and lack of requisitions. Besides that, we have, as far as I know, properly conplied with this Convention, and I do know that now the Returns are filed.

There are many other bections dealing with matters such as opium, poppy, cannabis, or as we refer to. it here, ganja.

Mr. President, this Agreement is
limited only to dubpoenas whereby documents are requested to be produced, and it is limited only to the Federal Government. The State Govervments are not bound, and to do so, either an agreement would have had to have been reached with each state, which is neorly an impossible task, or altermatively, a Treaty would have had to have been entered into and that could bind the specifio states. However, narcotic cases, and especially those where documents such as Bonk Acoounte are involved, actually comprise the substantial part of the cases where the Americons request information, and most serious drug offences in the United States, such as conspiracy and trafficking are Federal offences. So, in fact, while there is this limitation on this Agreement, we do in foet cover a very large area of where our problem lies.

The Cayman Govermment has agreed to enter into negotiations towards a wider Low Enforoment Treaty after nine months if this Agreement worke satisfactorily to us, so we do have a test period to iron out problems in the Agreement.
speaking for myself, and I would hope, for the team that negotiated the Agreement, we would expect to see whoever goes from the Cayman. Is lands to negotiate that Treaty, to negotiate only in relation to aximes which are oommon to the Cayman Islands as well as the United States, and we do not invend to aee that matters which are purely tax matters, would be involved in it, and personally. I would like to see the Treaty limited to the accepted semous crimes usualty found in Internationat Extradition Treaties.

The negotiation of that Treaty will be one of the most important negotiations that this oountry enters into, and I believe next in importance to this Agreement which has laid the groundwork for that Treaty. However, as I mentioned carlier, the obligation is to agree to enter into negotiations onty. and there is no obligation on this Govermment to sign a Treaty unless Cayman is fully satiefied with the terms of it.

The Govermments of the Cayman Istands, United Kingdom and United States of America agreed to follow the accepted procedure for intermational negotiations. Therefore, no prose releases could be made until a joint pues release was issued by the three Govermments. Whether the prees likes it or not, it is not possible to have international negotiations in which we oonstontly have the prese intexfering by putting out statemente which are not only incocurate, but are highly provocative and detrimental to the negotiations, and here I refer onty to some statements.

## HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONT INUING)

The Electonate of the Cayman Istands have eleoted their Representatives, and they place trust in them in matters such as these. The press, on the other hands are not elected, by, nor do they have to anawer to the Electorate. Mr. President, it just simply was not possible to brief the public at every step of the negotiations.

A very good example of destructive and untruthful journalism is seen in the press relense by the Caymanian Pitot of 13 th July as a news flash and headline "Secrecy Lows Shock", which is the wonst and most detrimentat Press coverage that this Agreement has had.
Follows, and I quote. "The London Mresidents, it is stated as ${ }^{\prime}$ Cayman Island the Financial Times headine lerced. In truth, Mr. Fresident, the Financiat Times headline was 'Cayman Istands Agreement Reached'. Look at the difference. To oreate the shook they have blatantly lied ubout what the Finanoial. Times said, and the headlines of the Finchoial Times they know would carry a lot of weight in this country. That release, Mr, President, is in my opinion, greatly detrimental to the Cayman Islands pubiic. On the other hand, Mr. Presiclent, I wish to thank the resporsible journaliam of The Sun and the Compass, and the radio who reported facts. It is good to have the responsible newspapers who have responsible journalists, who feel their duty is to inform the people, and not to try to shock the people in matters such as this.

I would like to just read to you a few of the headlines that came out on this. On the 27 th July, 1984 the Financial Times had "Britain, Cayman and U.S. reach accord" The Times, 27 th July, 1984 had "Cayman Accord". The Intermational Herald Iribune of the same date had "U.K., U.S. Pact Aims at Drug Dsalers"', and Mr. President, quite frankly up until this time the press have been far easier on us ooverage wise, than they had been before.
which referred to $u s$ as being a haven had headines in the past the narco-dott and Winchester that's perhops whoster that's perhaps the best known. We could never have worse coverage thon we had in the past, but this Agreement has now taken the sting out of the main weapon that the prese has had, nomely, that we are now showing to the world that we are prepared to run a tax haven and not a druge haven.
five monthe my priority, Mx. Fresident, for the past four or Council has been this Bill the priority of the other Members of States and United Kingor. In fact, Mr. President, the United had to and United Kingdom normally fixed the times and we normatly there five times in when these were fixed. In fact, we have been Mr. president, that past few months, and to such an extent, had to return to , was in London a few days before my wedding. I I had three week of being my honeymoons and, in fact, I found that ife, andee weeks of being away from the Cayman Islands, and my new wife, and in London, out of five weeks of marmiage.

I mention that Mr. Freaident, because I have lived with this Agreement night and day, literally, for the past few months, and both my Portfolio in Governments as well as my Law Firm have auffered very seriously as the result of giving these negotiations absotute priority, but I regard this as one of the most important negotiations that this country has had in the past few years, and I was happy to do so.

## HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONHTNUING)

The United States negotiating team consisted, Mr. Fresident, of a Fmineipal Deputy Legal Advisor in the State Department, an Assistant Legat Advisor in the State Department, a Deputy Associate Attorney General of the Department of Justice, a Senior Trial Attorney, the Office of Intermational Affairs, Department of Justice, and two Trial Attormeye from the Criminal Division of the Department of Justioe, and the First Seoretary to the United States Embassy in London.

These Lawyers, especially the
senion negotiators were experienced top Lounjers and negotiators from the United States of America. It took considerable negotiating experience and skill which our team has buitt up over the past years, to get the good position fox Cayman that we got from the united States of America, and I would like to thonk them, especially, for all the efforts that were put in in this series of negotiations.

You know, Nr. Fresident, it is one thing talking big at a public meeting in Cayman, it is a totally different thing to face the top brains of countrise auch as the United States and United Kingdom.

I would aleo like to thank this Honourable House for its patience on the five or six times that we went through the points agreed, and went through the Draft Agreement, and finally, this Bill, and $I$ wish to express ny fullest gratitude to the United Kingdom team which consisted, as we know, of Mr. Jeremy Thomas, the Assistant Under-Secretary, Mn. David Bickford, a Legal Advisor in the Forsign Commonwealth Office and Advisor to the Economic Relations Department, a member of the West Indian Atlantic Department, and a member of the Department of Trade and Industry, but eepecially to Mr. Thomas and Mr. Bickford who supported us fully in the negotiations.

The United Kingdom have extra--territorial problems with the United States of America as well, similar to ciris, tut in other areas also such as economic eanctions where the United States has unilaterally imposed these on United Kingdom oompanies.

Mr. President, our team knew what we. had to achieve and with firmness and believe me, a lot of patience, experience and pereuasion, we have achieved a solution for the Cayman Istande, not previousty granted by the United States of America to rny other country, not even to the Swise or to the United Kingdom, specifically on their other matters.

Another point I'd like to mention, Mr. President. I make my living from the financial offshore industry, and therefore for the Third Elected Member from West Bay to impute that we did not try oufficiantly hard would be vexy stupid. I had to live with this Agreement far more than perhaps anyone else in this Houee, and believe me, I put in every effort to get the best that we oould get.

Mr. President, the most that the negotiating teon were permitted to do was to consult with the Legie--lative Assembly, which we did at all stages throughout the past four or five months that the negotiations were under way, and we bought their, approval at all stages, both before an Agreement was fixmed up and thereafter before the Agreement was aigned.

BON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING)
The Legialature obviously supports this Agreament and this Bill. Further, wo were only permitted to disolose to a small Committee oomprised of representatives from the Bankers, Trust Companies, Aocountants, and Insurance Aseoo--iations, as well as the Low Society, and we held mestinge with them on Apmil 11th, May 23nd, June 28th, July 17th, July 18th, and August 2nd. This Bitl has the aupport of the conosnsus of that Committes which was made up mainly of the Presidents of the Aseooiatione.

The olearing bon?: wio do almost all of the banking and I'must businese feet that the Apreement improves Cayman's positton. I know, however, that there are one or two of the amaller private banke who may be worried, but, Mr. President, I guses you och ros please everyone.

However, the Bill affects mainty bankers, and if they feel that it improvee the sitwation, then $I$ an prepared to acoept that faot.

Mr. Epeeident, I have remained extremsly quiet politically over the past several months, but from now on, I intend to opeak out. This has been for a most important reason. I felt that the Narootics Agreement should not be clouded in politioal iseuse beoause the motter was too important to this country to be lost through petty politiaal arguments. I have, therefore, made no Press releasej nor done anything puiblioly, not even the anowering of letters and allegations against me, which may oause the subjeat of extra-territoriality to be a politioal football. Mr. Preeident, I have not even announced that I propose to mon in the General Elections, and while that mo well prejudice me when that announcenent $i_{\theta}$ made because of the long delay, I belisve, Mr. President, that it is a very snall price that I will pay to see an issue as important as this brought in: without being politically attacked until a fow days ago. Believe me, Mr. Preeident, I have had to have a lot of patience in relation to. what has been said about me, that I did not answer.

This iceue is crucial, however; to the Cayman Islande, and if it had not been properly handled, it could have meant the 2008 of close to 1,000 jobs in the event of banks and offehore industries withdrowing, ox neducing their smployaes in the Cayman Islande.

However, very recently in the past week or so, there have been political attacks made on this Agreement and this Legislation, and I would like to deal with these at this time, because the Agreement is now behind me, and I can now speak fresly, and it is obvious from this Honourable House, that the majority of this House supports the Bill.

The most importont aepect of all of the attacks on this Agreement has been the faot that none of the writers offer any solution to the problem. Mr. President, any fool can see a problem, only the wise produce a solution. Therefore, I regard ariticism destructive unless it provides a solution.

It has been said that entering into this Agreement on narootio drugs is ore which will aaue the financial oentre and the tax haven status of this country to be destroyed. However, $I$ woutd like to deat with the corne ${ }^{4}$-stones of the finanoial industry, as many Members have alraady done, by showing that the people of the Caymen Islanda have more to fear from other relevant matters dor 3 or said in the past, and not by the paseing of thie Bill.

One of the most serious attacks on the drugs Agreement was made in the Sum on Theeday, 7th August, 1984, on Page 14, and also in the Compaes by Mr. Linford Piaxaon.

The most important aspect of the Cayman lalands, which attracts funde, and the banking, finanoial and ralated industries to this country has been the political and constitutional stability, and the faot that the Cayman Islande are a Crown Colony. If there is ever any sign that the Constitution of these Is lands will be advanoed to put more power in the hands of Eleoted Mambers, then, Mr. President, I believe that the damage to this cotontry will be great and it will be irreversible. Most important, the vast majority of Caymanians are against the advance of our Constitution. I fully oupport leaving it as it is.

I would like to give you an example of where I feel we get a destruetive approach to the tax haven status. In the Cayman Pilot of 9th March, 1984, Page 3, and headed "News Foreact", we find these words: "Question - if you ware sleoted in your quest for publio office, what role dould you see yourself in? Answer - with my previous erperience, I belisve I oould be most benefioiat in the area of Finance or in the area of Health, Eduoation and Sooial Semvices. Eusstion - there are two areas that are oovered in Exeoutive Council Portfolios, that is Finanoe which is under the Finaneial Secretary, and Inmigration whioh is under the Chief Seoretary. Could you oomment on these two areas independently. Finance, do you see that fatting under an Eleated Member of the Executive Council's Portfolio? Answer I believe that we have a very oapable Financial Secretam, but I think that we have to appreciate that the position is a Civil Semant position, and that it is getting somewhat unwieldy, and I also feel it is aomewhat unfrir to a Civil Servant to have the responeibility of sixty odd million dollars a year budget to oontrol".

These words, Mr. President, were made by Mr. Pierson, the main attacker on this drugs Biti in that intemview. Those worde cre many times more dumaging to the Cayman Islands than entering into this narootios Agreement. Very aimply, they mean this. That he is prepared to take this country into a more advanced stage of conotitutional advancement by removing the official Fincncial Seoretary from the most powerful and most important post in this country, by removing finanoes from the civil Servioe to the Elected Members, and presumably, as he appears to be the most qualified, putting himself in place with that responsibility.

I regard suoh a Constitutional advancement as a very major Gonstitutional change, as it would mean the removal of responaibility from the Civil Service to an Elected Member.

Mr. President, winding up on that part. The removal of the official Executive Council Members is one of the last steps, and $I$ should say the removal of the three Members together with the Governor, is one of the last atepe that a Crown Colony entere into constitutionally before they are into full internal self-goverment.

Therefone, Mr, Fresident, I would
like to very alearly point out, and I'll just be brief, on an authority on this subjeot. It is the book by the Author Morley Ayearst, 'The Britiah West Indies: The Search for Self-Govermment', where it ahows that we are now at approximately Stagea 4 and 5 of constitutional advancement, and it goes through, I'll be very brief Sir, Stage 6. The quasi-Ministers become full Ministers. Stage 7 differs from the previous stage in the approximation to
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Cabinet Govermment provided by the areation of the office of a Chief Minister or Fremier, and then Stage 8 . This is substantial refinement and formalisation of the previous stage. The officiats, inctuding the Governor, withdraw from the Executive Council which is renomed the Council of Ministers, and is presided over by the Chief Minister. The Governor is still empowered to summon and preside over emergency meetings of the Councit. The finat Stage, 9 , is that of full self-govemment or Dominion statue.

Therefore, Mr. President, the becinning of the chain of advancing the Constitution of this country, by shiftind the most powerfut and the most aritical Portfolio of this Govermment from the Civil Service to the Elected Members, is undoubtedly a very serious constitutionat advancement.

I would like to move on now to point out that if this ever happened, the finanoial industry would take very serious note of it, and Mr. President, I believe that if we change our constitutionat status, that many banks would disappear overnight.

The Caymon Islands have built their nome upon being a taw haven, not a drugs haven. However, you look at that article, and you oan look at it as often as you like, but there is no solution to Caym's problems in it. This country has been built, and can only be buitt, by Members of this Legislative Assembly, who provide solutions to the problems. As $I$ said before, any fool can see a problem, but it takes a wise man to produce the solution to that problem.

The next most important aspect of the Cayman Islands, is its freedom from taxation. The day that we introduce income tax into this country, of any direct taxation, we aboliah our tax haven status.

Mr. President, I wish to read from
a Report of the Legistative Assembly Taxation Review Committee appointed on 10th March, 1966. In it, Mr. President, we find it is reconmended, and I am now readine from the Sixty-sixth Minutes that:
"this should be further amended to inolude male persons in the scme age bracket, resident or capable of earming wages on salary, or in employ--ment in these Istands, and the Tax should be graded as follows:-
a) Persons capable of earning an income not exceeding $\mathbf{t} 500$ per annum - $\mathbf{- 1}$ Foll Tax.
b) Persons capable of earning an income over $t 500$ per annum, and not exceeding $1,1,500-$ 72. 10 Foll Tax.
c) Persons earing an income exceeding $\leq 1,500$ per annum - 15 Poll Tax.

Mr. President, we also find at Page 62 of this Honourable House Minutes of 31st October and 1at Noveriber, 1966, and I quote, this was the President asking the question of the then Administrator:

## HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING)

"Would therefore those Members who wish to see all reference to any form of graduated personat tax deleted from this Bill, kindly raise their honds.

Members raised their hands, there being ten against and five for. Those who were against this particular section are as follows:-

Captain E.E. Kirkoonnell
Captain K.P. Tibbetts
Mr. A. B. Bush J.P.
Hon. T. W. Farrington
Mr. Claude M. Hizt
Mr. G. Burne Rutty
Mise Annie Bodden
Mr. Spurgeon A. Ebanks
Mr. Anton Bodden
Mr. Richard Arch
Those in favour of that particular section are as follows:-

Mr. Warren Conolly
Mr. Benson Ebanks Jr.
Mr. 'E.U. MoNamee
Mr. V.G. Johnson (Treasurer)
Hon. D.V. Watler (Official Member)".
Now, Mr. President, what is Income Tax. It is tax on income. You deolare your income, and you pay a tax acconding to the income you have. The Third Elected Member for Gerrgetown, in her wisdoms voted againet thia. If this Bill had have passed on the vote of the Third Elected Member from West Bay, this country would have ceased to be a tax haven before it had started. Therefore, Mr. President, I find it really somewhat hypocritical for him to stand up now and start to give us advice on what will destroy this tax haven.

Incidentally, Mr. President, it looks
like Mr, Johnson who alaims to be, I think, the founder of the tax haven, was also one of those who voted for the income tax, but, that's by the way.

> Mr. Fresident, I have Zistened quite carefulty to what the Member from West Bay said in his attack on thie Liill, and I now know, Mr. President, why he started referring to 1966, when it was 1976, and he was referming to the Confidentiat Relationships Low, because his mind nust have stroyed back to what would have been one of the most serious blows struck to this country if he had have introduced income tax into this country, and he was a Member of the Committee that proposed it. In fact, Mr. Fresident, I'd quote lastly on this subject. In the Minutes once again of 31 st October and 1st November, at Page 59, the Third Elected Member from West Bay had this to say;
> "The reaommendations presented to the Convittee, I supported. I scu nothing irvegutar about it. The understanding of the Committee was that the taxation would be based on the voluntary deolar--ation of each mate at the commencement of the year".
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At another place he said:
"Let's not make any bones about it, I support this in principle".

So, Mr. President, I find, and by
the way it's unfortunately that the Member had to leave the Houee and wasn't able to hear thie, but I always try to put my priomities right, and it's unfortunate that the is' not here. Mr. President, the third moat detrimental and destructive thing to the finonoial centre and to this country, would be to have radicals or militants aitting in this Honoumable House. They would not only scare away foreign inveetors, but I believe it would seare curay many good Caymanians as well. We have seen this in many other Caribbean Islands where good aitizens have even had to flee their country when it is destroyed by radicals. And, Mr. President, this country should never be lulted into a state of complacency to believe that in the next few monthe, there will not be a slate of radicals in line to take these aeats.

Fourthly, and separately, Mr. President, the other attack on this Agreement came from Mr. Gitbert MoLean and Mr. Roy Bodden. Mr. MoLean has only within the past few months entered the private sector in the financial industry in association with an Attomey-at-Law who, as we know, made a statement on druags. Mr. MoLean is a qualified teacher, obviously not having a grasp,nor does he appear to clearly understand the ramifications of the current position with the United States of Amerioa.

Mr. Roy Bodden is mother qualified teacher, and has never been in the financiat industry, and I do not believe he has any grasp of the facts buts in ony event, Mr. President, I understand he is far more interested in taking us back into agriculture of some centuries ago, so I do not know what he' 6 worvied about the tax haven for.

Onoe again though, Mr. President, and most importantly; neither Messrs. Bodden or McLean have any solutions to Cayman's problems on extra-territoriality. I do not even believe they understand it, Mr, President.

Mrr. Hurletone also wrote a letter oritioising the Agreement, but he once again provided no solution, and I guess due to his attempt to follow the statement of Mr. Pierson, he has got into a state of confusion as well.

I would tike to stress to this Honourable House, and the people of these Istands that if you cannot produce a better solution than this Government has then it is destructive to go out there and attempt to destroy the solution that we have provided.

The problem here, is a complex legat problem and it's a complex banking problem, and while I know that it normally does not go down too well with the Third Elected Member from West Bay who likes playing lawyer at times, I do have a qualif--ication both in the area of banking, and too in the area of lav, and while I aan make my mistakes, as an everyone else, at least, I believe, I fully underetand what was going on in these negotiations.

Mr. President, following further on
this specific topic, I would like to deal with the specific oriticisma and the opposition to this bill by the only Member opposing it, that is the Third Elected Member from West Bay.

He referred to Article 36 which provided, and I quotes

> "Subject to constitutional limitations on a party, its legal system and domestic law."

What the Member does not understand is that that reference in there is legally to the United Kingdom only, as we are a colony of the United Kingdom, and therefore we are not legally a party to the Convention. Howevers if that West Bay Member believes that the United Kingdom would be prepared to stand by and allow us to hide nareotic profits in contravention of this narcotics Convention he is sadty mistaken. He is completsly out of touch with the foreign affairs side of this country and the United Kingdom. The duties under this Convention have to be carried out, and if we are not prepared to do it, then I am certain that it will be done for us. Time and again we have had the United Kingdom pass statutory instruments, and at a tater stage I will refer to a 1979 Instrument, and we must clearly understand that we are a Crown Colony.

If an International Convention is extended to $u s$, we must abide by it.

Mr. Ebanks also mentioned that the Agreement was not the best deal that we could have extracted. Well, Mr. President, it is a far better cleat than either the Swiss, the Italians or the Dutoh got from the Americans, and in fuct, the case of Mark Ritch, which is intermationally know, shows that the Americans have attempted to extradite and enforce a gubpoena against Ritch, in instances where it could not have been done in the Cayman Islands under this Agreement. But the Swiss have a Treaty which covers certain revenue related matters, not income tax but insiderdealings for example in oompanies, and it does not include a provision that states that the Federal Govemment is not going to enforce its subpoenas when the Treaty is carried out. This has been the hig thing that we have achieved for the first time, and, Mr. President, I would like to read from a letter from the Foreign and Commonweatth Office in London, which I think sume up very clearly the position. It says:

> "As you know, it is very impressive that Cayman has achieved the first Agreement with the United States in their internationat retations, whereby the United States have formatly limited their perceived rights to enforce their subpoenas extra-termitoriatly."

It is also interesting to note that whereas mutual assistance Treaties often have a central Executive Authority which may include the Attorney General's Departments, in this case, the United States Attomey Ceneral is personally resp--onsible for the signature on the certificate requesting the documentary information in nareotics matters.

So the Third Elected Member from West Bay need not take it from me if he thinks this is the first time that there has been a breakthrough on extra-territoriality, because this supports very alearly what I have mentioned.

I have tried, Mr. President, time and again, to explain to that Member what our problem is, to axplain to him that it is not a problem of a court's taking a lot of time on these matters, but that we cannot get the information before our court for it to make a decision, and despite my constantly explaining this to him, he just doesn't seem to under--stond what is going on. ouite frankly, Mr. Fresident, in his
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remark about this team not doing as good as it should have done, if he aannot understand the problem when I am axplaining it to him, there is no way that he is going to understand it if he has to figure it out for himself. And I mean. I an not joking on this, this is the problem that he has faced in these discussions.

Mr. President, he referred to
leaving the discretion in our Courts. That is precisely where it is under the 1976 Low, and if he is naive enough to beiteve that the Americans would be prepared to take the discretion away from their courts enforcing a subpoena; to give up the rights which their own oitizene have to face; to go into Grand Iumy, to not be represented by legal councel; to have the rights under Cayman Low and under United States Low to mattere such as self-incrimination without getting anything in return, he is completely out of date with what the Department of Justice is all about.

I would like to follow on that, Mr. President, with the fact that the Third Etected Menber from West Bay mentioned that he only had, and he emphasised, sight of the Agreement. Since he has chosen to disclose that fact, and he implies that he did not thoroughly go through the Agreement, nor did the other Members here, $I$ would like to correot that statement.

Every Member of this House was involved in these negotiations from the very beginning. From the stage before we actually set the limits and the matters which would be discussed, we had informal disoussions with the Members of the Legislative Aesembly. When we had points agreed. and points of principle to agree, we discussed it. There were some twenty-one of these if the House remembers. We cane back to the Legislative Assembly and asked if there were areas of this we could not live with. We went baok with those points and re-negotiated them. We came back to the Legislative hasembly again, and we said to them this is the best we oan do.

We further negotiated a Draft
Agreement. We oome baok to the Legistative Assembly, and we went through it clause by ctause, in fact the most vociferous ever and always has been the Third Elected Member from West Bay, I mean he's been into more of that than many of the other Members.

When the full Agreement was reached, we had a Draft Law, we came back to the House. Mr. Fresident, while I know the Legiolature has not been as intimately involved in the negotiations as were the Honourable Financial Secretary, the Honourable Attomey General, the Commissioner of Folice and myself, I believe that every opportunity was given to Members here to go through this, and in faot a lot of what Mr. Ebanke is now raising, I on hearing for the first time.

I witl admit, Mr. President, that when matters were raised, we told the Legialative Assembly; "Look, we can either, we feel we can either get somewhane on this point or we oan't get somewhere on this point'", because we had to be frank with you. It was nonsense going back, as the Elected Member for Weet Bay suggested, and telling the American Government to change the Federal Grand Jury system, to allow information to aome into the Cayman Courte. I mean, there has to be some stage to which practicality and sensibleness prevaila.

We got areas put into that; he referred to one we put in a Section that said that a person above the rank of', I think, an Inspector would doal with the searoh. That's one of the points that were re-negotiated. Points on legal representation were re-negotiated

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
Mr. President, on the point that the Third Elected Member from West Bay raised on Seation 10, that the penalty is out of line, I am not certain which Law he got his information from, but on the Confidential Relationships Law that he passed in 1976, Section 4 (1) says; "Subject to the provisions of Sub. Section 2, whoever being in possession of confidential inform--ation however obtained, divilges it, or attempte, offers or threatens to divulge it to any person not entitled to possession thereof; wilfully obtains or attempts to obtain confidential information to which he is not entitled, is guilty of an offence and liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding $\$ 5,000$ or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years or both."

Sub-Section (2): "Whoever conmits an offence under sub-section (7) and receives or solicits on behalf of himself or another any reward for so doing is liable to double the penalty therein presoribed." Now it's the difference between $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 10,000$ but the same two yars in there, and there are four years under this Low.

MR. PRESIDENT: If I could interrupt the Honourable Member for one moment, I imagine Members might quite coon, like to take a break for lunch. When you reach a convenient point, if you would care to sit down, we will take the break, but if you would care be the judge of that yourself ....

BON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: WeLl, Mr. President, I would take it now because I woutd like to just deal on a few more points that Mr. Benson Ebanks made, and it would take me, you know, probably five ten minutes, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: If that is convenient to you we could take our break now then. I would suggest, subject to the views of Members, that we suspend proceedings until 2.15.

HOUSE SUSPENDED AT 12.45 P.M.
HOUSE RESUMED AT 2.15
P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated, Debate on the
Second Reading of the Narootics Drugs (Evidence) (United States of America) Bitl. The Second Elected Member of Executive Council.


Mr. President, I wonder if the Nember would give way on a matter of explanation.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Not really, Mr. Fresident, let him take it at the end.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Well I'Zl take it at the end Sir.
that you are not going to are not prepared to disolose it, becouse under the Law as it now is, the onty way that the Americans oan in some instances get the evidence that they need, is to moke disclosures from the Grand Jury proceedings in the United States, and therefore I would ascime that the Member is imptying that we telt the united Statea to change one of the most important parts of their legal system. Well, I hope that he would be the one to tell them that, because I know what the answer is going to be.

I believe that he is under the impression that in the. United States, the Attorney General through its department oannot get evidence in the United States that it wants, but let me remind this house, Mr. President, that the American Grand Jury system provides that that Grand Jury can short circuit many of the usual procedures under their Criminat Lcw.

White, I would not like anyone for one moment to believe that the United Kingdom has forced us into this Agreement, because we have entered into it freely, I do not want people to be as naive as to believe that there is not a difference between being an independent country, and being a Crown Colony. We have had Statutory Instmments, whioh are Instmoments made in the United Kingdom, and aarrying the force of taw there' or in her colonies, in many, many areas. Perhape the most have been made in the areas of Merchant Shipping and Civit Aviation, but, Mr. Fresident, as late as 1978, in the Eridence Proceedings in other Jurisdictions Cayman Islands Order, 1978, the United. Kingdom legislated positively for this country, by passing a Low which provided that evidence could be taken in this country for both civil and ariminal proceedings in Courts abroad, and, Mr. President, if you believe that this onder doesn't apply to us, or if you believe that we made it, then you can very clearly have a look at it, and you will see on the back of it another five United Kingdom Acts that have been applied to us in this respect. And this permits evidence to be taken here in civil proceedings for proceedings abroad, and it gives yous Your Excellency, power, in your discretion, not acting through the Executive Council, to direct that international proceedinge may also reoeive evidence here.

And, Mr. Fresident, believe me, if the Member believes that a Statutory Instrument passed by the United Kingdom does not overvide our Law where it conflicts, then I feel ready to follow the chain of that argument.

Much was made of the fact that there $i_{s}$ no expiry date in this Bill. Mr. President, one has to enter into an Agreement like this as an optimist. If you enter into it as a total peesimist, and believe that it is not going to work, then it probably witl not work.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
The Legislature oan atways repeal any Low that it has made. The Leqislature is supreme over the Courts, over the people of the land, and if, at any stage it becomes necessary to repeal this Law, it can do so, but it would really have been a very pessimistic view to take, to put in that the Low will expire in fifteen months, when in faot, the Aoreement provices that the Agreement itself may be mutually extended by the parties.

I would like to touch again on where
that Member referped to us amending our Bitl to cover the United States problems. You can speed up the process under our law, but what you cannot do, is under our Law, amend the American Grand Jury system to produce the information that our Court needs. In fact, the whole basis of this Agreement is attempting to timit the sovereign territorial jurisdiction of countries under it, and that, Mr. President, while it would be null and void, would be a way of extending the extra-territoriality of this country into the United States.

So, therefore, the argument that we have another Judge will speed up the process has no bearing on the problem whatsoever. The problem never has been getting the Court to make a decision. The problem always has been, in the Bank of Nova Scotia case for inetance, and in the Falconer case, that they were not able to get what was necessary to have the Court make a deoision. The Court did make decisions in those cases, but they had not sufficient to allow them to disclose.

One of the last matters that the Third Eleated Member for West Bay raised, was relating to the time taken, and felt that it could have been negotiated under the confidential Relationshipe Law. Well, I can tell you very clearly, Mr. Fresident, that these negotiations have been long. I have been very patient in them, perhaps far more patient at times, than I have had to have been in the Cayman Islands for the last four or five monthes when I merely had to sit back and take the reprisals, and the allegations that were made against me, and not say anything in relation to them, and nothing was hurried up. Stages were gone through. Steps from the beginning, were carried out thoroughty. We made about five trips to London, and, Mr. President, $I$ do not see how anybody can criticise it that we did not take the time that we needed to do $t h i_{B}$.

Lastly, I think, he raised the question of Rule 15, and very simply what Rule 15 of the United States Court Rules means is it provides a process whereby, if a witness cannot be induced to give evidence, or subpoenaed, as they now do, to give evidence in the United States, then the evidence can be taken abroad. We have similar provisions in our law, and it $i_{s}$ nothing unknown to modern learl systems.

It operates on the basias, Mr. Freaident,
that eince you connot subpoena a person who is outside the jurisdiction, then if you do not get that person within the jurisdiction to issue the subpoenc, you con take the svidence in this way and see that justice is done.

I would like to mention the disadvantage of entering into the Agreement which is purely from the legal point of view that the information on dmos is being given directly from the Cayman Attorney-General to the United States Attomey General, without the Courte intervening. That io a disadvontage, but, Mr. President, look at the advantages that we have received in return for what we have given.

HON. TRUMAM M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
The Americant Courts, the American Depariment of Justios, had for a long time been getting a reabonable amount of information, as was seen in the Bank of Nova Scotia case, and we canot hide from these facts. The ditemma that we wers faced with was the confict beiween the American Court Order and the Cayman Count Order.

It must be remembered that bataneing this disadvantage is the fact that when the information is released, the United States Attorney General, in person, undertakes that he has cause to believe that the information relates to named drug traffickers, that the infomation is within the single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, and most importantly, he undertakes that the evidence will not be used for purposes other thon rug rotere.

Therefore, Ir. Fresidents to impute, as has besn imputed here, and also by Mr. Pierson in his articte, that the American Attomey Genswat or the IRS would be breaking this Agreement, and using that information, must be a very drastie statement which could have sexious international political effects to the Cayman Islands.

These negotiationc were campied out in an atmoopneve whereby both countries regarded the iv Attomeys Genarat and other senior members of Govermment as beirg persons of high reeponsibility who would act in good faith, and not break this Agreement.

Up to this time, the Cayman
negotiating tram found no rearon to cause us to question the good faith of the Unitied Statso or the United Kingdem Govemments in this matter.

Who wir\% be affected moet by this Agreement, and by the passing of this Bill Firstly, Nir. President, it will direstiy affect persons who ane naking money from hiding narcotio collaw, from providing semices for money which is being derived from narootic profita.

It naturally will also affect persons who are directly involved in the narcotic traffic, the traffickers and the amugglers of hard drugs. It will mean, therefore, that there witl be the lose of eome money in this country, but I do not believe that narcotic dollara represent any large sume in the Cayman Islands. I believes that for several years now, drug. traffickers have moved asay from the Cayman Islands. In most instances nowadays, they have used the burrounding Caribbean and Latin Amsrican countries to stash the naroo-dollars.

Therefore, I do not see, and indeed we have not seen the movement of any significant comounts of money from the Caymian Ielande.

Mr. President, narcotice money oan only be a very minute part of the fourteen billion dollare per day thut pass through this country, and therefore, by passing this Bizt, we are protecting the $99.99 \%$ of money which is cleans whioh is good businees, and which this country needs.

There will be a loses in a completsly different way, a completely legal aspect of this, by Altorneys-atLaw. As everuone knows, I am an Attorney-at-Law, and I am always mindful of the status of my fellow professionals. But while $I$ stand in this Houees, I owe thy duty to the people of this country. The appliaations whioh would normally be made to the Court under. Section 3( x ) of the Conficientrial Retationships Presaruation Law, many of which witl not now be mads, and thue there witl be some loss to Atwimpeys who had prasticed in Court in this lucrative area.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
I point out that this is a completely different category from which I mentioned ecrler, and these services are legally provided, and indeed, Mr. Presidents it is the legal duty of Attormeys-at-Low to provide such services as Officers of the Court. However, I believe that my fellow Lowyers will join with me in saying that this loss on us is going to be emall compared to benefits that witt be derived under this Agreement to the Cayman Istande as a whole. In any event, Mr. President. my law firm has the largest Court department, employing two full time Attorneys-at-Low, so $I$ would be the biggest loser, and I am prepared to bear that loss. I would therefore hope that other Attormeys-at-Law, and I know they will take this approach, and hopefully that there will not be any resistonce from our profesion to this Bill.

We must always remember, Lady and
Gentlemen, that our duty as Legistators is to the majority, it is better for a few of us Attorneys-at-Law to lose some buainess, than for a 1,000 people in Banke, Trust Companies, and the Offshore Industry to be unemployed.

I would now like to go on to the advantages of thia narcotios Agreenent, and this Bill to the Cayman Tstands.

Firstly, the United States Govermment and ito Federal Agencies have agreed not to enforce documentary Subpoenas against Cayman residents in narootics matters when the process under this Agreement is carmied out. This has cleared up the fear that when a Banker, Accountant, Attorney, Insuranoe Manager, or other person in the financial industry is passing through Miami or Foustons or any other part of the United States, that at least as regards Federal Documentary Subpoenas, he will not be served with a Subpoenas and held in the United States to give evidence.

Further, Banks with branches both in the United States and the Cayman Islands will be assured that there witl be an orderly procedure resolving the conflict between an American Court Order to release documents, and a Cayman Ielana Court Order not to release them. This will go a long way towards assuring Banks that the Cayman Islands Govermment is doing all within its power to solve the problems that the United States of Amerioa has created on extra-territoriality.

Mr. President, this is the first
time in any Agreement between the United States and a foreign country, in which the Federal Government has given up the right of its Courts and its Grand Jury to enforce Subpoenas.

As I mentioned eartiers the swiss Government entered into a Treaty with the United States for certain orimes as well as certain revenue related matters, such as insider dealings. They hove also entered into an agreement and passed a local Law whareby funds deriving from crimes are confiscated and forfeited to the Swies Government. Despite all of this the Americans would not agree not to enforce their Federal Subpoenas, and indeed the preeent internationatty renoumed case of Mark Ritoh, where the United States has requested not only that its Subpoenc be enforced, but that he be extradited. Our Agreement does not permit them to do this on Federal Narcotics Subpoenas for documents, and I have used this example to show how much the Cayman Island Goverrment has been able to achieve in these negotiationa.

Mr. Presidant; one Banker said to me that he was not satisfied with a part of the procedure. I mentioned to him that he could always go voluntarily before the United States Grand Jury if he so wished and give his evidence there under the tais. He is not precluded from doing that. He looked at me somewhat surprised, and he said "that would be stupid to do wouldn't it?"

Secondly, Caymanians aan now aive evidenoe in Cayman on documentary mattere for Federal Grand Jury matters and Inditements either by way of Affi.davit or Deposition. When giving evidence in the Cayman Islande, they have the right to protection, both at Coyman as well as United States Low, to claim inmunity, privilege, self-incrimination, incapacity, and the right to have an Attorney represent them at the Hearing.

As I mentioned earlier, before the United States Grand Jury, there is no right to have a private Attorney represent you.

Thirdly, the Cayman Islands will clean up its image abroad as a country allegedly laundering drug money and harbouring drug traffickers and drug profits. We have had severat good, investors who have refused to invest in the Cayman Islands when they believed that the Cayman Islands was laundering drug money. Normally good money is many times larger than dirty money, so on a balance, I believe that this will be advantageous.

I once again stress we are a tax haven, we are not a drugs haven; we have never been intended to form into a dmugs haven, and this Govermment will never see that hazpen.

Fourthly, this is the first time in an Intermational Agreement, that the United States has undertaken to Kave the Central Exeouting Authority as the Attorney General of the United States personatly.

The undertaking by the United States Attorney General personally that the information which he receives will not be used for purposee other than narcotic related mattere under the Convention, is to me a very great leap fomard in this respect. At present, when the information is released into the system, then the Federal and other Courts are entitled to use it for purely tax matters.

Fifthty, Bankers and other persons giving information will now be protected against being sued civilly for releasing that information, and this, Mr. Presidents. is very consoling to a Bank.

Sixths the Agreement can be terminated by giving one month's notice after the first two monthe of its operation.

Seventh, the Agreements have get up a system whereby the uee of the information can be monitored by the Cayman Attorney General, so that for the first time it will be possible to see what has been done with the information that has been given. Up until this time, this has not been possible.

Mr. President, the druge negotiation had been very aomplex. It has taken me some considerable time, effort, researoh and dedications to understand sufficient of the relevant parts of the Amerioan legal system, and to sort through the many practical alternatives which may have been opened in the negotiations, to the Cayman Istands.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUIVG):
The public should be made oware of the fact that in these negotiations, unlike other negotiations that we have been in, the Cayman Istands team did not have very much to negotiate there, and I am happy that other Members of the House here have acknowledged that.

Fox some time, the Americans had been winning the battle in the United States with theix subpoenas against ourselvee, Canadi, United Kingdom and the Swiss. And, in fact, there was not very much that the Cayman Islands could dive in return for the large aoncessions it requixed. But I am happy to says, Mr. Fresident, that the three main concessions that we wanteds we got.

Looking ai the aritice on this matter, I once again ask this Honourable House, has anyone provided a better solution? In fact, Mr. Fresident, most of the critics did not understand the problem, obviously they could not come up with a solution.

Mr. President, when one realizes the suffering and ultimate death that narcotic drugs brings to users of it. When one realises the youth in other countries that are snuffed out in their very tender years beocuse of the use of drugs. When one sees the crime and the suffering on humanity which drugs create, I find it very hard to believe that there are people in this country who are prepared to support the hiding of profits from drugs in the Cayman Istands.
$M p$. Fresidents I aecept the fact that no matter how careful a Bankers Attorney, Acoountant, or Insurance Manager may be, it is not possible to be $100 \%$ sure a customer may not be involved in drugs. Formatly, crooks have better referees and references than honest people. Therefore, when I refer here, I am referming to persons who intentionally or negligently are aecepting nareotic dollare.

If this country, ladieo and gentlemen, must make its name on the hiding of profits from narcotic drugs, or by the befriending of drug traffickers to this country, then, Mr. President, these Islands will end in destruction anyway. There is no way that you can make the profit of drugs clean. Drug profit is bloody, it is dirty, and no matter how moh it is washed, it remains bloody and dirty.

Internationalty, the Caymen Istands can now hotd up its head and say to the world that we have foined the war on narcotic drugs. For years we have been labelted as being a haven for narootic dollare. This Agreement and Bizl, for once, can let this country face other countries intemationally.

Mr. Tresident, in ending, I would say this to the people of these Tslands. To the few who oppose this Agreement and this Bill. If you are intentionally involved in narcotic drugs, if you are intentionally involved in hiding the profits of drug traffickere, then you should continue to oppose this Bitt, and oppose it bitterty beoause you have good reason to be worried about it. But on the other hand, if you feel that the duty of this country is to eliminate the use of norrotic druge in the worlds and the pains, suffering and death that goes with it, then you should pass this Eill.

If we must live off dirty, bloody money derived from narootios, then ultimately we will perish with it We are a tax haven; we must remain a tax haven; this country must never become a drugs haven.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
I believe that this Bill is good for the Cayman Islands, and nost importantly, I believe that it is right in the sight of the Lord that we should stamp out the death and suffering from druge.

Thrink you
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Fresident, under Standing Onder 34, sub-section 2, $\bar{T}$ crave the indulgence of the House to olarify some matters raised by the last apenker.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, would he please state which matter he rose on to clamify earlier.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I think the President is oware of the time on which I rose, and.....

MR. PRESIDENT:
May I just caution the Member that he dossn't have an opportunity to make another speech. It is an explanation on a particular point.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I cannot introduce new matter to boak to atrénẹthen my former position, Mr. Fresident, but the...

MR. PRESIDENT: No, you oannot introduced new matter or seek to strengthen your former position.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
That is correct, and I only intend to repeat what I have said in the past, and with your permiseior. refer to the document which the Member referred to in trying to rebut what I had said.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN. No, Mr. Presicient, that is why I asked that he specifically state the point, because the point as I remember it was when I stated that he had mentioned he was not condoning profits being stashed, narcotics profits being stashed. If he is going to try to ao beyond that sir, I would object on a point of order.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Preaident, he went on to say that I wanted to do it under the existing Law, and this morning I made it very ciear what my proposal was.

MR. PRESIDENT:
$I$ do not think that the House would wish to aive to Members, to any Members, opportumities in effect to make second speeches under the guise of explanations. I am not saying that you are intending to do that. I am just saying the way in which $I$ shatl interpret the standing order, because if I were unduly liberal in allowing what purported to be explanations, we would find many. Members perhaps making second speeches in the oourse of debates.

My understanding of the Standing Corder is that it is there in order to enoble a Member, a part of whose speech has, in his view, been misunderstood, to satplain on that precise point, briefly, what he meant, and it io on the understonding that that is what you intend to do that you may now rise, and if I think you are doing mone than that, I shatt ask you to sit.

MR, BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I do not think you will have any trouble with me on that, sir. It will not take me two minutes to do what I intend to do. In fact, if you refer to my speech you will recall that I anticipated the tactics of the Member and said that when the facts do not fit the case, find a new set of facts.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I object on a Point of Order. He has begun by straying from the point. He must explain what the point is that he is going to state was not correctly made.

MR. PRESIDENS:
Yes I agree, and we with ask him to
do 30 .
Mr. President, the Member specific-
MR. BENSON O. EBRNKS:
ally said that I had said that I was witling to have, I was not prepared to have narcotics related profits atashed away in the Cayman Islands, but I was not willing to have the evidence released under any oircwotance, or under the Law as it now atands.

I made it quite olear, Mr. Fresident, that I understood our existing Law, and my proposal was that that Low should have been amended to facilitate the exchange of information between the Cayman Istands and the United States Authorities. In reaard to the Grond Jury system and taking of evidence by Subpoena under Rute 15 of the United States Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, I specifically said that I understood that to be a procedure whereby that evidence would stand alone and would be sufficient in a United States Court to be recognised and accepted as evidence, and I then went on to inquire if that was so, why in the Agreement, we had 4(c) (5) which I read...

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, with due respect, Sir, I never went into 4(c) (5). I have let him go on to a gecond point, but he must state what I have said wrong, and leave it at that. He cannot make another speech.

MR. PRESTDENT: I think we are getting beyond what I would regard as a legitimate explanation, and verging on a second speeoh, and you are repeating atl the points you made and saying that notwithstanding the fact that another Member has purported to rebut them, you still think you are right, and you are entitled to your opinions, but you are not entitled to...

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: Mr. President, if I understand the Standing Order correctly, Sir, I am not permitted to introduce new evidence to strenothen my case. I am not introducing new evidence.

MR. PRESIDENT: With respect, I did point this out to you eariter. You are not entitled, either to introduce new matters or to seek to strengthen your former position, and it seems to me that you are seeking to strenathen your former position by new arguments.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
No, Mr: President, we can go to the
tapes. I referred to 4 (c)....
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, have you made a ruting ox not. If you have made a rutine, the Member shutd obey it.

MR. PRESIDENT: I have made a ruling, and my miling is that you are not entitled to speak at length repeating what you said before.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I am not attempting to speak at tength, and $I$ am not introduoing new ovidenoe to strengthen my argroment, and you are not reading the Standing Order to the end.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { argroments. }} \quad Y e s$, I read it to the end by new

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: By new arguments. I am not re-
introdueing new arguments.
MR. PRESIDENT:
No, but now are you, at this point, really, in my view, offering an explanation. I think that the Standing Order is intended to be used where somebody on a particular and specific point, oonsiders that he has been misunderstood, but...

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
That is my point.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Wett, I think you are going a long way beyond that. If you have anything else in respect of which you belisve you were misunderstood, you may say it now, but I shati otop you if $I$ think you are going beyond it.

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS: Well, Mr. Presidents if I am not altowed tatitude of the Standing Order, I am unable to alarify the point. I am not introducing new evidence. I am using what I used this morning, and I certainly referred to 4 (c)(5) this morning. In fact that is what caused the concern. Where in the opinion of the Attomey General of the United States, if it becomes necessary, he can request, to establish the evidence, he can request the person to attend.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: But, Mr. President, my recollection is I never dealt with that point, even on the...

MR. PRESIDENT: I cannot remember whether you did. If I may make an observation myeelf. It may in sone waye be unfortunate for a Member who is speaking not to give way to another who has a point of explanation, bsaause, if one does give way then, it is fairly easy to limit what the interrupting Member says to that speaific point of explanation. If one comes to the end of a two hour spesch, and we then have the explanation, it is really not easy to remember exactly what was said.

However, you were entixely within
your rights to continue. You did not have to give way to him. I am just saying it is a little bit more difficult for me now, to know eractly how far' the intermupting Member can properly go.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
I just wanted to mentions you are quite mght Sir, but there is an old legat tactic that you break the chain of thought of your opponent, and that many times is wores than what is going on at this stage.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, I fail to see how I could break the train of thought of the Member, when he was reading
his speech. (Laughter)

MR. PRESIDENT: - Order, Ordex.
MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: I think I made my point, Mr. President. Am I permitzed to refer to this document which the Member introduced into evidence. I got it from him Sir. The Statutory Instruments he referred to.

HON. TRUMAN Ms BODDEN: Mr. President, with respect, that is completely new, beeause he did nothave it till I just gave it to him. I mean, it would really have been interesting to see him face nine top American Attormeys at a negotiating table if this is how he is going on over a Standing Order.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Maybe, I would have been more successful, Mr. Tresident. I just wanted to say...

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: You would never have knowm
KR. PRESTDENT:
No, I really do not think, I think that isa fair point, I do not think you can have now an opportunity to speak about something that you didn't yourself introduce earlier.
MR. BENSON O. EEANKS:
It ia just, Mr. President, that this list is so small that $I$ would call his attention to several more that I know about.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well, I think we have perhape had enought of this exchrnge for the moment.

I must ask for the assistance of alt
Members in limiting exptanations to something that is reasonably brief. If the Honourable Member would be seated. I think he has had a fair go now.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, I was just offering you this Sir to seehin irelanat and incomplete the Member dealt with it.

MR. PRESIDENT: I will take advantage of your kind offer in due coupse. Mearwhile, does any other Honourable Member wish to epeak?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, I would like to start by saying, that I will endeavour to oblige you in giving way to any intermuptions, exoept those that come from the Third Etected Member Bay, because intermuptions of other Member's speech in this have become $a$ way of life with him. So unless I am specifically ordered to give way, I will not, sir.

The bill before the House is perhaps the most important piece of legislation that has ever come before this Assembly in that it deals with drug related mattere, which are horrifying in every extent, and on the other hand, it deats with matters involving the offshore business, and therefore, this Bill cannot be taken lightly. Neither am the unjustified aritioisms made of it be taken lightly.

HON. RAIG G. BODDEN (CONTINUING) The United States, as weli as the Cayman Istands and other cotintries; have realised the lares problem that confronts mankind in the traffio of drugs. In a very recent paper from the United States, in fact the 27 th August, 1984, the front page article in 'U.S.A. Today' which is a national newspaper, says that Americans want the United States' orime and drug problems to be Govermment's top priority, according to a new poti by Roper Reports. This is the first time since 1977 that a non-economic issue was selected as the top need of Govermment action.

It surprises me that the pubiic has focussed on the isoue. Francis M. Mulling, head of the Drug Enforcement Administration said Tuesday, "that is the type of focue we need in the Congress and Administration. "And it goes on. The point is, $M$ r. Fresidents that this articte shows that the United States Govermment and the people of the United States have placed the drug problem in high priority for solving, even above their economic problems. So there can be no doubt in the minde of anyone, that the United States Govermment will make every effort to get information on dmug related matters.

The peorle of the Coyman Ialands, with the exception of a few, aleo share this idea. The present Administration has placed special emphasis on the drug problem, and if I can go back a little into matters pertaining to this Houses, I will call Members attention to a motion that was brought in this House in 1973, asking the Govervment to inorease the penalties under the Drugs Low. The motion brought by the other Member from Bodden Toun and me, at the time, was defeated. It was voted against by the entire Executive Council Members of that day, of which the Third Elected Member for West Bay ie the sole surviving Member, and also voted against by another one of their supporters. Eight votes in the House caused the defeat of that motion on 26th June, 1973.

Since that time, the Drug Law has been ahanged. The fines have been increased. Other penalties have been increased, inoluding confiscation of vessele involved in the trade. When upon the armival of our present Conmissioner of Police, he was given a mandate to wage war against dxug traffickers. he went about the joh with alacrity in his hart, and a purpose in his step, and the result has been the conviction of many dmag dealers, not only in Cauman, but in the United States as well.

Yet over the past few years, he has been treated with discourtesy by a small minority. He has not been shown the respect which he is due, and what is aven worse, the entipe police force was nearly demoratized by thoae who would sell their souls, and destroy this country, for the politiad aims of bringing down the present Goverment bo they can get seats in the November election.

The Agreement which has been signed, and which is the subject of this traw, has come under severe oritieism, alt of it imfoundeds all of it unjustified, and the unfortunate part is that most of the critioism has come from people who now aspire to lead this country after ite next etection.

One of the criticisms levied at the Goverrment in the recent case, the Bank of Nova Sootia case, was that the Executive Council had acted contrary to the Constitution. The Executive Council had overmuled the Courts, and had provided information which the Executive Cownil did not have the authority to give.

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN (CONTINUING) This eriticism is untrue as $I$ witt show, by dealing with the bitt, and in fact, one of the critics even admitted that when he said that we had overruled the Countes, had not been telling the truth, and I would refer Members to an article which appeared in the Sun, Friday $13 t h$ Julys if you are superstitious. It says, and this is supposed to be the words of Mr. MoLean who is, we connot find out correctly, but is one of the kingpins in the new Govermment.
of Nova Scotia. He pointed to the case of the Bank the Chief Justice here had three times vined dowm the United States request for information because no evidence of wrong-doing had been given, but the all powerful Legislators opened those acoounts. He said how am we trust the Govermment that breaks the laws they make. But there is a note right under that which says: "this reporter spoke with Mr. HeLean several days later, to discuss the subject. He agreed in fact information had been given upon appeal to the Governor; as provided for in a section of the Confidentiality Law. Mr. McLean said that white his conments may have been misleading, becouse they were made in the heat of the moment, he nevertheless feels that there should not even be a tow that altows it."

So he exiticised Exeoutive Council, made an untrue statement that we had gone above the Court, that we had done something that was contrary to the Constitutions and readily admitted that he had misled the public, because the truth of the matter is, the information was given under our Confidentiality
Law. Law.

MR. BENSON O, EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, $I$ con rising on a
point of order, Sirs and I am abking you to mute on Standing Order
36 (1) Relevancy of Debate.
MT: PRESIDENT:
I think the relevancy of a good deat that has been said today is marginat, but I do not think that this is any more marginal yet, than some of the remainder. I think that the Member will be skilful enough to show ite relevance quite shortly, but I hope quite shortly he will do so.

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, I thought I had made it clear when $I$ started this part of my speech that $I$ am dealing with the criticisms of the Agreement which have been signed and of the Bill which has been passed and of the oriticisms which we received in the Bank of Nova Sootica aase, which was perhaps the case which triggered this very Agreement, which brought it to a boiling point, and if the Member fails to see the relevoncy, I would be happy to discuss it when we finish here. Yet I know he has to interrupt, so I am being rather kind to him.

The Confidentiality Low under Section $3(a)$ and (b) sets out many ways in which information could be given; the Bill before the House just adds one more avenue by having introduced a new Low to deal with the giving of information, and the Bill makes provision for the Grand Court to order the disclosure of information. It makes provision in 3(b)(1) for the Finconcial Secretary, the Inapector, or in relation to particular information specified by the Governor, such other pewon as the Governor may authorise, and the word Governor here means the Governor in Councti.

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN (CONTINUING): So, the information which was given by the Executive Council in this particular case was given under Section 3(b) (4) of the Law, and it in no way, interfered with the directions of the Grand Court. And throughout this whole matter, we will find this type of criticism being levelled at the Executive Council, at the Members who negotiated the Agreements perhapo even at the LegisLative Assembly Members themselves.

The Bill which will implement this greement, has already had a positive effect upon, not only, our financial sectox here, but also upon the international soene, and if I may quote again from another united Stotes newspaper in Miami: "Dmug dollars no longer safe in Cayman. A positive and important step has been taken toward reining in the flagrant abuse by dmig traffickers of the Cayman Islands banking system. The United States, the United Kingdom and the Cayman Islands Govermments apreed in London recently, to work alosely together and effectively to bring to justice, any narcotic traffickers who Zaunder money in the Caymane. Once this Agreement is implemented, dmug smugglers will no longer find a safe haven in the Caymans to hide their itl-gotten profits. The Aqraement announced by the three Govermments reflects their determination to ensure that the financial operations of drug traffickers ahall not bep rotected by Cayman Bank Secrecy Law that has turned a smatl Cambbean Island into an important banking centre. The Seorecy Law, however, has also attracted ariminat elements who used some of the 449 Banks and 18,000 Registered Companies of the 15,000 resident Istand, to launder their drug profits and convert them to safe investments untouchable by Government authority. The new Agrcement seeks to put a stop to this abuse, while at the same time, preserving the integrity of the offshore banking industry, so important to the Cayman economy. It provides procedures for the United States Attorney General to apply to the Cayman Attorney General for access to documentary information relating to narcotics trafficking offences. It also provides that after a nine month trial, further negotiations may be entered into dividing the Treaty to inctude other ariminal matters.

The Governments of the Cayman Istands and the United Kingdom have earned our commendation and thanks for taking this historic step with us."

The article which I have just read is supposed to be the words of Senator Lawton Chitds.

MR. FRESIDENT:
Could I perhaps just ask the Honourable Member whether he intends to speak for some substantial further time or not? If you are going to finish in five minutes or bo, Members might prefer to allow you to continue to do so. If you are going to be a long time, perhape we might take our acoustomed break.

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN:<br>but I suggest that....<br>(laughter)

MR. PRESIDENT:
But not that brief. Well I think perhaps then, we might suspend proceedings for about fifteen minutes.

## HOUSE RESUMED AT 3.45 P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT: Please be seated. Proceedings are resumed Debate on the Second Reading of the Narcotic Drugs (Evidence) (United States of America) Bitl. The Fourth Electer Member of Executive Council.

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN: Mr. Fresilent, I had been dealing with the positive pesults that have come about because of the Agreement, which had been signed in London on the 26th Iuty, and the passage of this Bill today.

The Bankere Association had this to say in a press release: "A representative group of the Cayman Clearing Banks and their related Trust Companies, as well as pome members of the Executive Committee of the Bankers Aesociation who collectively handle a very significant proportion of the businesa conducted in the Cayman Istands, were invited to sight the draft Agreement that the Cayman Government were about to sign with the United Statee of America relating to the provision of evidence in narootio related Grand Jury enquiries in the United States.

The representatives recognized that there was no opportunity for variation in the Agreement or the Press release that had been agreed between all negotiating parties ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

Conetdering all the circumstances, the Executive of the Cayman Istands Bankers Association supported the new Agreement regarding nurcotics related investigations and believed the Agreement would be an improvement over the current situation, They were advised by Government that future disoussions may be held with the United Statee for the broadening of the Agreement, to inolude other oriminal matters.

It was emphasized that the Cayman
Islande Govermment would never countenance entering into an agreement with the United States on taxation related matters. Any such discussions would have to be limited to matters of common criminality recognised under Cayman Law.

During this debate we have heard that allowing drug related information to be given to the United States could cause the United States to get their foot in the door of our Secrecy Laws, and so get information on tax related matters, and if this should happen, our economy would be undermined beoause offshore clients would lose faith in us. I belisve that if our economy is to ever be undermined, it will be done from people right here within thia country, and not by the United States.
$I$ am alarmed when I see a notice
which appeared in the paper today. saying "Cayman's declared war on money extra-territoriality. Enough is enough".

For those in Cayman who do not know
what is enough, I would like them all to hear that that 'enough. is enough' slogan ueed by certain candidates, is a Miohael Manley slogan, when he used it to take over from the JLP in Jomaica, and bo undermined the economy of famaica. So I hope people will not forget that when they see that banner floating in the air. 'Enough is enough'. Also, something else that could under. mine our economy much more than the signing of this Agreenent is an article which appeared in the Nor'wester written by Mr. Roy Bodden which said that Cayman's eoonomy cannot continue for long if we depend on the tax haven business and tourism, because those induetries are sensitive and parasitic, and we should get away from them and into acriculture.

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN (CONTINUING) These statements coming from our new teaders, our would-be teaders witl definitely undemine our financial industry and our touris: industry, and I am certainly alarmed. And what is even worse than ony of these is another matter dealing with finance in which one candidate, Mr. Fiersons said: "There are two areas that are not covered in Executive Council Portfolios, that is finance, which is under the Financial Secretary, and Immigration which is under the Chief Secretary." That's the question, "could you comment on those two areas independently."

And the answer is: "I believe that we have a very capable Financial Secretary, but I think we have to appreciate that the position is a civil servant's positions and that it is getting somewhat unwieldy. I also feet it is somewhat unfair to a aivil servant to have the responsibility of the aixty odd miltion dollars a year budget to controls. and the only way to take that control out is to change the Constitution, and if we change that seation of the Constitution, we will cut the thin web which would hurl us into Independence.

So, these are the things that will de-gtabilise the economy, not the signing of an Agreement with a friendly Goverment.

One Member, and I made a note of it, said: "We are relying in this Agreement on the faith and oommitment of the United States." His explanation was that we have no control over the information. The United States Attomey General can demand it, our Attorney General will give it; and we have to rety on the faith and commitment of the United States, not to use that information for other purposes than drug related purposes.

Here, I consider that a statement of no confidence in the United $S$ tates. We have alwazs looked upon the United States as a friendiy nation, as a nation who honours its commitments, and this is not a mere oommitment. He suggested what we have achieved nere could have been done by an amendment to the Confidentiality Law, which is a paradowioal statement, because it doesn't bear out his non-retiance on the faith and commitment of the United States.

What happens under this Agreement, is that we do not rely on the faith and commitment of the United States. We guarontee it by the signing of an Intermational Agreement. If we simply had chonced the Confidentiality Low as he suggested, there would have been no onus upon the United States Government not to use that information, but here we have an Agreement negotiated at the very highest tevel beween the United Kingdom and Cayman, and the United States, and the United States must honour that Agreement.

Does he consider the United States a Govermment that does not honour its agreemente? I would say that Cayman is better off having an agreement with the United States than with any other country in the world that I can think of.

It is alt wetl for some to say this matter should have been left in the hands of the Grand court. The Crand Court has its duty which it has performed wells but the matter of reteasing information for someone indited with a drug offence by the Grand Jury connot be done through our Grand Courts. The reason was made elear this morning by the second Elected Member to Exeoutive Council, when he explained that all the proceedings of the Grand Jury are secret, and that it is not possible for the applicant to make available to our Grond Court the details of the Inditement from the Grand Jury.

HON, $H A I G G$. BODDEN (CONTINUING): This was home out very forcefully when we baw the dental of the application for the Sootia Bank case, and the dilemma was that the applieant who was the Bank of Nova Scotia come to the Grand Court and said I would like to release some information. The Grand Court said, you will have to make out a prima facie case and you have to bring the information. The bank was not able to provide this because the Crand Jury woutd not release it. The Court in the United States that had ordered Scotia Bank to produce the information, simply said you have not produced it, therefore you are in contempt of this court, and we will fine you $\$ 25,000$ per day until you produce the information.

The result of this aase was that the Bank of Nova Sootia on 28th Febmary, 1984, in the United States Court of Southern Flomida, was ordered to pay a fine of $\$ 1,825,000$ for the failure to comply with the Court's order, "I wonder how many people understand the signifioance of that fine, and that if a fine like that continued to run for ever and ever, that Scotia Bank would eventually collapse. And following on from that the United States could impose similar fines on Baralays Bank, and Canadian Imperial and any othex Bank that had offices in its jurisdiction, and what we would have had would have been a total collapse of the banking system. And if the Executive Counail had not intervened in this impossible situation, today, all of our banks would be olosed. All of our people involved in the work of the banks would be out of jobs.

And this is the reason why the Executive Council considered the recuest of Scotia Bank to give the information to the United States Court. The Second Elected Member dealt in great detail with this this morning, and I won't go on any further....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:

## Was that a Federal Court?

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN:
Mr. iresidents this is not question time. But $I$ witi say that the Members in my opinions is not behaving like a Member. He is behaving like a teenager on his first date. But for his information, this was a District Court which ordered the initial fine. The case, I think, had since been appealed.

So the action of the Excoutive Counot
in giving the information to the Courts should be praised to all
high heaven for what it really did, because it is my opinion that it saved the collapse of our banking system, it preserved our eoonomy. and laid the groundwork for the present Agreement, which is the subject of the Bill before the House, and so, if this Executive Council is not remembered for any other good aotion which it has doves you can tell the whole wide wortd that we aoted swiftly and in a responsible manner to solve a dilenma which, had the problem not been solved, could have meant chaos in this Island. But rather than being praised for it, some people that do not understond the situation which existed, have tried to ariticise in for overriding the Courts, which we did not.

I intend to go into some of the principles of the Agreements and I intend to go into aome of the principles of the Bill, but before I do that, I think it is necessary for me to deal with certain other matters which are relevant to this matter, and whioh have atready been raised in this debate

HOV. HAIG G. DODDEN (CONTINUING):
One Member doubted that the United
States could harm us economioally if we failed to comply. I de not think the United States would ever attempt to harm us, but we are more vulnextble than a sitting duck would be. Can you imagine what would happen tomorrow moming if the United States eaid no more trade with Cayman? The United States has imposed trade sanotions on different countries in the past for far lesser reasons than that of getting information for drugs which are such a serious problem with the United States. And I could go on to tell the many other ways we could be hurt, and I do believe this would happen if we had a majority of people thinking the same way that the Member that spoke on this thinks, or appears to think, and I think these trade sanctions and othen economic sanctions would have to be apptied to bring him to his senses.

The same Member emphasized over and over, until I got tired of the repetition that this Agreement, or this Bill circumvents our Law and circumvents our judieicl process. What a silly statement. This Bill is a part of our Law. Once it's passed it goes on the Statute Books and ranks on the scone level as any other existing Law. So how can a Lcw which we pass today cirownvent our Law when Section $3(2)(b)$ of the Constitution and, I need not read it, gives to this Legislative Assembty the power to make Laws. It is a little Roman iv.as he likes to call it, Section 3. Sorry I seem to have the wrong section, yes in Fart iv, Sections 29 and 30 , Fowers and Frocedure in the Legielative Assembly, and it says:
"Subject to the provisions of this
Constitution, the Governor with the
advice and consent of the Assembly
may make Laws for the peace, order
and aood Governmant of the Islands,"
and 30 is just as important:
"Subject to the provisions of this Constitution, the Governor and the assembly shall in the transaction of business and the making of Laws conform as nearly as may be to the directions contained in any instrucions under Her Majesty's signed manual and sionet which may from time to time be addressed to the Governor in that behalf?.

And the Bill which we are dealing with was actually drafted in London by Her Majesty's Goverrment, and do you' think Her Mafesty's Government would draft a Law, ask us to put it in and to pass it, if that Law would circwment our Laws, or would interfere with the process of our judiciary, or if those Laws would be contrary to the Constitution. So that ie a hollow statement that this Low circumvente our Laws.

It does not take away the rights of the Court and it does not circumvent the iudicial process.

One other remark was that if the Courts got bogged down we could hire more judges, and I heard talk about hoving a Irisne Judge which would hopefutty be able to keep up with these applications so that it would not be dragged out in Court and would not take so tond, but the problem with these 3 A applications as they are called is not the time lag. It is not

BON. HAIC G. BODDEN (CONTINUING): that the Court has taken a lone time to make the declaration. This is not the problem. The problem is that ow Grand Court is unable to make the declaration because it cannot make the declaration unless the Court is satisfied under Seation 3(a) that the infomation should be released. So if we were to hire ten judges and buitd fifteen oourthouses, this would not hetp the administration of this part of the Low because there is a funotional defect which cannot be corrected by adding bodies to the Court.

The one oriticism of the Agreement which I found comusing is the Member's fear that this Agreement would bind us or would encourage us, or would force us, into entexing into a Treaty ooncerning other matters. These were his exact words, and I wish he will be abte to listen to the tapes conoerving other matters, and I ahould say that that could be put to rest if he would look at the Acreement, because the Agreement says we may enter into negotiations under ? ( ( ) concerming ariminal matters and not other matteres so here again I am trying ta guarantee that he sleeps well tonight, and put another of his fears to reat.

The prasent administration has been
blamed because of the drug money which has come to Cayman. In fact the Member said our policy is 'open the gates that all may come in's and even if we did anything which I do not know of that he iped to open the gates, he has made it clear this morning that he will guarantee that the gates will be wide open for evermore and the druge and drug deaters, and drus money will not only come into Cayman, but may never get out again, and that no one witl ever be brought to justice for any drug related crime if he had a majority, and if the svidence depended on any assistance from an aseistor like him.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, that's taking a joke a little bit too far, sir, and I reserve the right to reply to that under the Standing Orders.

MR. PRESIDENT: If you mean you wish to offer an explanation, I have noted your wish.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
$\bar{I}$ think it is, ...
HON. HAIG G $_{0}$ BODDEN:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR。PRESIDENT:
BON. HATG G. BODDEN: Mr. President, I think the Member shoutd reserve two chonces becouse I on going to make another point. He considered it a joke when he said the polioy of this Goverment has been responsible for these problems, because the policy was 'open the gates that all may come in's, and I an saying whatever' he meant by that conbiguous statement of his 'open the gates that atl may come in's his policy $i_{s}$ 'close the gates that all of it may stay in', and if he thinks that he deserves the right to explain his statement, he should also know that I have a right to reply, because in politics there is the matter of give and take, and some in alt their experience have onty learned to rive. But when they give it to me they are going to take it back whether they like it or not.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
HON. HAIG G. BODDEN: Mr. President, I an making a foxmat pequest that the Sexgeant remove this man, so that the business of the House may be conduoted..

MR. PRESTDENI:
No, I do not think I shall grant that
request.
HON. HAIG G. BODDEN:
Much had been made of Section 4(o)(5)
of the Agreement which says:
"Should it become necessary for the succeseful conduct of the proceedings in the United States, that foundation testimony be obtained, the United States Attorney General or his designee may request the assistor to attend the relevant Court in the United States to provide auch testimony"
and the Member who found fautt with this Section would not have found fautt had he read 4 (o)(1) dealing with foundation testimony, because $4(c)(1)$ says:
"Foundation testimony with respect to docwments provided under this Agreement will be by way of Affitavit,; deposition taken in Cayman. votuntary appearance by a witness at proceedings specified in paragraph (2) above, in the United States or such procedure as may be mutwally agreed upon".

So he should not be atamed by the Tine that reads that the United States Attomey Generat may request the assistor to attend the relevant Court, because it is made clear in 4(c)(1) that that is alt the United States Attorney Generat oan do. He may request the assistor, he cannot compet the assistor, and the assistor may make a voluntary appearance at the proceedings to give the evidence.

And I believe anotiher concern of
the Member was about administrative authority being used for an extension of the Agreement. The Second Official Member cleared this up in making it certain that none of us, which ineludes him, will extend this Agreement, even with the Govemor's permission. No such thing will happen, and in fact it can't be done because this is an Intermationat Agreement signed between the United States and the United Kingdom, so how adn the Executive Councit or the Attomey-General, with the Governor's permission, ever hope to extend this Agreement.

Section 7(1)(c)(2) of the Constitution puts external affairs outside of any administrative process, and if this is what he is dreaming about, of the day when administrative action in Cayman oan extend, or change an Internationat Agreement, he too betongs to the camp that is seeking so vigorously to change our Constitition, becauee it cannot be done. This is an International Agreement, and because we are a dependenoy of the United Kingdom, the United Kingdom on our benalf,will have to extend this Agreement, or change $i t$ s on end it for that matter.

HON. HAIG G. BODDEN (CONTINUING):
that the result of the passage of this Bill, on the outside has been signing of the Agreement would be that Some people say money has left. Some poney woula leave the country. left, and I agree with the second Ele people say they know it has this afternoon, who said that if any of these peo this moming, or in drugs, they should be concemed. In fact, they should take the money and mun, beoause the day of reckoning has come, and it is true that some money could lecle some accounts, but those who are honest and those who are not involved in drucs need not have any fear about this.

To deal in general with the Agreement, the Agreement simply sets out a procedure under which information can be given to the United States Attomey Genexal. The Bill streamlines the procedure, and, if I can deal with both the Agreemen and Bill at the bame time, Section 4 of the Bill says:
"Upon the receipt by the Attorney-Generat of the Cayman Tstands of a certificate from the Attorney General of the United States in the form and manner in the first sohedule that he has reason to believe that person or persons identified to the Attorney General of the United States are involved in a mattex in which this Low applies and documentamy information relevant to the investigation or resolution of that matter is looated in the Cayinan Islands, the Attorney General of the Cayman Islands, shall promptly act upon the request contained in the certifioate in accordance with the procedure set out in the second echedule"
out the Certificate and the And the Schedules to the Bill set the Certificate is received. Anedure that is to be followed when part of this Certificate is the fact that it is under the hand of one individual, a person of no lesser standing them the Attoxney General of the United States of Ammica, the greatest country in the world. It is not being signed by any little Civil servant or any little Attorney General in any little backwoods state or any little Governor on mayor in any littlie town. It can only be sirined by one person, and that is the United States Attorney General, or as he likes to be called, the Attorney General of the United States of America, and I do not see cny other person in the United States in whom I would have greater confidence, with the possible exception of President Reagan who has deciored war on druge.

So this Certificate is a document which in iteelf alone, gives to us the gutrantees which we should seek. It is not a simple matter, no uninformed person is going to jump up and aay I want information from Cayman. It ean only be given to one person alone, and he is not allowed to go on a fishing expedition. He must quote chapter and verse for everything that he wants. The Certificate must bear the Grand Jumy numbers the Inditement caption, and the Docket number, and, Mr. President, it is 4.30. I am willing to yield if you adjoum.
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FRIDAY
17TH AUGUST, 1984
10:00 A.M.
MM. PRESIDENI:

Please be seated.
Proceedings are restoned. The Narootitc Druge (Evidenoe) (United States of Amemica) Bili, 1984. Continuathion of Seoond Reading Debate. The Honourable Fourth Eleoted Member of Executive Counoil.

> THE NARCOTIC DRUGS (EVIDENCE)
> (UNTXED STATES OF AMERICA) BILL, 1984
> CODY TNUATION OF SECOND READING DEBATE

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Nr. Preaident, when we adjourned yesterday, I had bsen dealing with the Certificate under which the Attorney General of the United States applies to the Attorney General of the Cayman Iatands for information. That Certificate is very narrow in its application and so prevente the United States from going on fishing expeditione on matiters not related to namotios.

The Certificate muet apply oniy to a special Grond Jury case. It must bear the Grand Jupy number, the inditament caption, the docket number and the Cartificate as set out in the Agreemant; and in the Bill makes a speotal request from the Attomey General of the United States. It aitso muet atate that the United States Attomey Generat has reason to belisve that the individuals mentionsd in the inditement are invoived in a matter fatling within Article 36 of the Single Convention on Narcotio Drige.

Most of the opponente of the Bill sesm to have had difficulty with accepting the fact that the material reseived could not be used for any other purpose than for the trial of a diug related matter. This is taken care of in No. 3 of the Cortificate, and reads:
"The said dooumentary information will not be used or disolosed by the United States covernment op its agencies for any purpoaee other than the nesoluition of mattare enoompaseed by the said Agreement withont the written coneent of the Goverrment of the Cayman Io lands through the Attorney-General of the Cayman Islande."

And the Agresment which the United Statee has signed also states that the information reoeived through the use of this Certificate; wilt not be used for any other purpese. This ie contained in paragraph 1 (vi) of the Certificate which forms a part of the Agreement, and it reade:
"The Certifitarte shati undertake that save with the aonsent of the Caymon Islands Govermment, the information will not be used for ony purposes other than the resolution of matters encompaseed by the Agreement."

The only matters covered by this Agremient are matters relating to nanootice. So, the onty way in which the information oould be used for a tax related matter, or for any matter related with the IRS or for any other aivil matter other than a drug related matter, would be for the Cayman Tslands Government to agree to its use, or for the United States to break the Agreament.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): As the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council said, we entered into this Agreement in good faith. We have entered into an Agreement with a friendly nation; with a country that has been of great benefit to the Cayman Islands and there is no reason to doubt that the United States will not keep its agreement.

I would tike to take this opportunity to read the Certificate, which oomes to the Attomey-General of Cayman, so that the public may know how narwow the soope of the Certificate is. And, as I mentioned earlier, the case is property identified in the Certificate so that there witl be no doubt as to what information is being sought.
"Having regard to the provisions of the Agreement
between the Govermments of the United States of
Anerica and the United Kingdom of Great Britain
and Northern Ireland dated ................. I, the
Attormey-General (it would have in the name of the
Attormey-Gensral) of the United States of America
hereby certify as followe:".
I believe that although it was mentioned yesterday, this point cannot be atreseed too often. This Certificate will be signed only the the Attomey Gensral of the United Statee and not by an IRS agent on an FBI agent, or any of the other exuberant Civil Servants mentioned by the Thind Elected Member for West Bay yesterday.
"1. I have reason to believe that individuals and entities, identified by United States law enfoncement officers, are involved in a matter falling within Apticle 36 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, as more fully desoribed in the Agreement.
2. I request that you, the Attorney-Generat of the Cayman Istands, secure to me, the Attomey General of the Unites States, that documentary information betieved by me to be held by the following pereon/s ............. (and here they must nome the bank, company or the person who has the information) which is described in the schoduite hereto and believed by me to be relevant to the resolution of the matter in hand, as wsll as other documentamy information subsequently identified by the Attorney General of the United states or his designee as being relavant to the resolution of the matter in hand.
3. The said dooumentary information will not be used.on disclosed by the United States Govemment or its agencies, for any purposes other than the resolution of matters encompassed by the said Agreement without the written consent of the Government of the Cayman Islands through the Attorney-Generat of the Cayman Islonds.".

It will be signed by the Attorney General of the United States. The person giving the information has to be a person who is qualified to give it; a person who is authorised to give it and that pereon must also aign a form to say that:

## HON. G. BAIG BODDEN (CONTINUTNG):

"I............ attest that my position with the Government of the Cayman Istands is .... ....... (whatever it may be), and that in that position I am authorised by the Law of the Cayman Is lands/United Kingdom to attest that the documents attiched hereto and described below =
(a) are true copies of ortginat pecords which are outhorised by the Law of the Cayman Is lands/ United Kingdom to be recorded or filed in $\qquad$ $\cdots \cdots . . . .$. (name of public office or agency) which is a piblic office or agenay;
(b) set forth matters which are required by the Lav of the Cayman Islands/United Kingdom to be recorded or filed and reported.".

## And the person attesting to the

 authenticity of the documents must also sign a form saying: $I$ attest (a) on penalty of perjury or on my oath that I am employed by (suoh and auch a business) and that my official title is ( 80 and 80 ). I further state that the documente attached hereto are originats, or tiue copies of records in the custody of (so and so) and I further state that this business (whatever the name of the business is) engages in the business of (banking or whatever it may be); (b) that it is a regutar practios of this bueiness to make peoonds of the kind attached hereto; (c) that these documents were made by persons with knowtedge of the transactions or oocurrencee reconded or from information transmitted by persons with such knowledge; (d) that these documente were made at or near the time of the traneactions or occurrences recorded; pursuant to a systematic and noutine procedure for the aonduct of the business and are kept in the course of the regular activity of this business.So how can one doubt the honest efforts that were made to guarantse that the information given would only be given for drug related cases and that the information onoe received, could not be used by the United states on by any of its agencise, including the IRS, for tas related matters.

Whether we had signed this Agreement or not, these Ielands were already bound by the 1961 Narcotics Agreement whioh had been constructed in 1961 and acme into effect in 1964, and entered into force for the United Kingdom on the 20th July, 1978

So the Convention which is entitled
'The Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs,' 1961', entered into force on the 13th December, 1964, and the United Kingdom Instrument of Ratifioation- of the amending Protocol was deposited on the 20th June, 1978, and entered into force for the United Kingdom on the 20th July, 1978.

The point I would tike to make here is that the Convention entered into force on the 13 th December, 1964, which, I believe, was during the time of the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay. And this Convention agreed with the United States that they would pase information between the United Kingdom and her Colonies, since the Convention applies to us being dependencies of the United Kingdom = and it was during his time. OBvious ly the: United: Kingdom must have done, as is normally done today, whenever an Intermational Convention is signed, the Colonies are notified that the United Kingdom is about to enter into thie

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Convention and sometimes even ask the dependencies if they have any input if it is a specifice matter that touches on the Colonies. And, I am certain this must have been done.

I should like to read a bit of this Narcotic Convention between the United States and the United Kingdom which bound these Ielands to cooperate from as far back as 1964, which I might aay is prior to the entry into the Govermment of any of the ourrent Elected Members of Executive Council.
"Hoving due regord to their constitutional, legat and administrative systems, the parties shatl:
(a) make arrangements at the national level for coordination of preventive and represeive action againet the illicit traffic. To this end they may usefully designate on appropriate agency responsible for such coordination. ".

I would like to dwell a little on this paragraph because this Convetnion binds us to take action against the illicit traffic in drugs and it also binds us to appoint an appropriate agency for the coordination of assiating the United States in matters relating to drug trafficking. The appointment of the Attorney-General of the Cayman Is lands under the Agreement and under the Bill we are debatings is only the final step in coordination under the Narcotic Agreement which was signed between the United Kingdom and the United States, and, which affected us from 1964.

I am aertain the Third Elected
Member for West Bay never told anybody we had become a party to this Agreement. I am certain no word was ever leaked to the press so that people could be aware that despite our involvement with the financial offahore business, and despite the fact that this was a vital part of our economy, not a single word ever came from that Member to let the public know about our involvement involvement whether we liked it or not, because we are a dependency.
(b) reads: "aseist each other in the oampaign against the illicit traffic in narcotic drugs". So, we have an obligation and the United Kingdom has an obligation to aseist the United States just the same as the United States has an obligation to assist us in this matter.

So, the faot that the Attorney
General has now been appointed the coordinator, and that he will require Assistors to assist, is nothing new in the relationship between the two countries, becouse the Narcotic Convention had already laid the grouncwork. And I am happy to report that in the past, assistance has been given to the United States on drug related matters. The Commiseioner of Police has cooperated with the Drug Enforcement Agencies in the United States on many cases, and in many times the result has been that ahips trafficking in narcotics were arrested by the Coastguards and by the Drug Enforcement Agencies, and many people have now been convicted becaues of the assistonce given to the United States by our police force.

We, too, have reaped the benefits of receiving assistance from the United States in solving drug related matters and it is a pity that this assistonce has not been cppreciated by att members of the community because, as I mentioned yesterday, the Commissioner of Police was turned into a political footbatl and kicked around until his face turned red some tims ago when there were marches and demonstrations which were led by the peopte who now want to lead the country into destruction.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): We had the gemvices of DEA agente who were bamboozted in our oovirts and discredited in own prees because they attempted to give this Government the assistance which is mandatory inder the Convention entered into during the time of the Third Eleoted Mamber for West Bay in the Govermment.
(c) of the Agreament reads: "co-
operate alossly with each other and with the competent international organiaations of which they are members with a view to maintaining a coordinated compaign against the illicit traffic.".

Drug offenoes usually involve international dealings. There is a demand and there is a supply. Usually, the origin of the supply is in a foreign country. Some of the naw people on the horizon are telling the public that when they get alected there are going to be no more drugs; they are going to put alt the drug people in jaits they are going to make everybody into Chriatians; there is going to be no more orime: there is going to be no more cormption, and as I mentioned, in Bodden Town, this witl happen in Cayman. This witi happen in the Vathalla of the communists. You are never going to have a sooiety free from drugs. You are never going to have a society free from orime. You are never going to have a sociaty free from problems. ferhope, if you get to Heaven, and I do not believe, from what I have heard, that many of those proposing, this Garden of Eden and this paradise lost have muh chance of going to Heaven. So, the ehances of finding a eituation like that, where nobody is going to import druge into Cayman, will never happen.

But, we, as a Government have to do our utmost to prevent and to slow down the traffice to make it not readily: available on the streets. And this has to be done by eduoation of the public, starting with the schools so that young chitdren witl know the dangers of experimenting with drugs which witi become habit forming. We have to cooperate with foreign countries so. that we can slow down the traffic and make it costly and not so profitable for the dealers. This is what we are invited to do in (a) of Article 35 of the Convention.
(d) - "ensure that intermational cooperation between the appropriate agencies be conducted in an expeditious manner.".

Now this was one of the failings of our Confidentiality Law. Under the Law, information; as I dealt with yesterday, can be given in several ways and one of those ways is through an order of the Grand Court. There are six different ways in which information an be given: (1) by the Grand Court; (2) by Exacutive Council; and there are four other wayd in whioh information can be given. But, information through the Grand Court cannot be given in an expeditious manner as has been proven before.

Even if the information is
obtained after a long procedure in the Court, this would give the drug operatore a chance to flee the country and perhape assume another name, and another passport in a different countrys, and long before they could be brought to triat they would have skipped bait, on woutd have removed themsetves from the jurisdiction of the taw enforcement agenoies. And, so it is imperative that in drug related matters, the avidence be obtained expeditiously.

I already went into the other
reasons yesterday, and I will not go into them now, as to why the Court could not give infomation which can now be obtained under this Agreement.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): (e) of the Article $35-$ "ensure that where legal papers are transmitted internationally for the purposes of a prosecution, the transmittal be effected in an expeditious mannex to the bodies designated by the parties. This requirement shall be without prejudice to the right of the party to require the legal papers be sent to it through the diplomatic channet.".
(f) "furnish, if they deem it appropriate, to the Board and the Conmission through the SecretaryGeneral in addition to information required by Artiole 18, information relating to illioit drug activity urithin their borders, inctuding information on illicit cultivation, production, manufacture and use of, and on illicit trafficking in drugs. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$.
(g) "furmish the information referred to in the preceding paragraph as far as possible in such monner and by such dates as the Board may request. If requested by a party the Board may offer its advice to it in furnishing the information and in endeavouring to reduce the illicit drug activity within the borders of that party."

And, finally on this Nareotic Agreement, I would onty like to touch on one other paragraph which is very important and that is Artiole 36 (1) (a)
"Subject to its constitutional limitotions, each party shall adopt such measures as will ensure that cultivation, production, manufacture, extraction, preparation, possession, offering, offering for sale, distribution, purchase, sale, delivery on any terms whatsoever, brokerage, dis. patch, dispatch in transit, transport, importation and exportation of drugs contrayy to the provisions of this Convention and any other action which in the opinion of such party may be contrary to the provisions of this Convention, shall be punishable offences when conmitted intentionally and that semious offences shalt be liable to adequate punishment, particularly by imprisonment on other penalties of deprivation of liberty.

The Honourable Second Elected Member of Esecutive Council yesterday mentioned a case that had been discovered by our Auditor-General and reported: The report was laid on the Table of this House and afterwarde, I think, questions were brought and it was shown olearty that during the late seventies a subetantial amount of hard druge disappeared from our hospital. The question with which I am dealing was brought to the Houee in 1979 after we had received the Auditor's Report on the hospitat for action duming the seventies. The date and the amounts are all available for public scrutiny, but, here, where we believed that we had drugs under good control, we found that they disappeared from the hospitat, which means that people will go to any lengths to obtain drugs if they want them. - and we do have a serioue problem in the control of narcotic druge.

I understond the system at the hospital now is much improved and, hopefulty, we will not have a recurrence of where drugs imported by the Cayman Islands Government for use on sick people were sold on the streets of this Istand to destroy the youth.

Mr. President, the Honourable
Second Elected Member of Executive Council has asked me to make it clear that the Auditor-General's Report was made on the 31 st December, 1975, so that the reeord can be clear as to who was responsible for the pharmacy at the time of the disappearance of these drugs.

HON. G. $A A I G$ BODDEN (CONTINUING): If we were not discussing this BiL2 today and if we had not signed that Agreement on the e 6 th July, what would have been the answer to the dilenma in which we were in, where the United States was out to get information because President Reagan had waged his war on dmug? . No aritic has aaid what the altemative is. One did mention, and I dealt with that yesterday and will not go back into it, that we could have handled this matter under or through an amendment to the Confidentiality Law. And I would only like to add that I did not think anybody would offer that as an alternative becouse under our Confidentiality Low we could not expect the cooperotion of the United States because they would have no obligation at alt to us. They are not a panty and we cannot make them a party to our Confidentiality Low. We cannot enforce extraterritoriality to the United States and thereby bind them by our Lads. This can only be done through a mutwally agreed Agreement or a mutually agreed Treaty. So that could never happen regardless of how many firme of accountants or law firms send out memoranda suggesting this can be done. It cannot be done.

The Bahomas have found another solution which is not even hatf as good as the colution we have found. This solution was raported in the Caymanian Compase onty on Wednesdoy of this week, Wednesday, 25 th August, 1984. The sotution the Bahamas found, according to this paper, is that they are now ordering lawyers to disclose the beneficial ouners of companies. We have not gone that far, and in fact, I think, if we did go that far, it would aertainly mean the end of our tax haven business.

So, we have done one thing in signing an Agreement. The Third Elected Member for Weet Bay suggeeted something that could not work. The Bahamas have used a solution that is far worse than ours and nobody else has come up with any other suggestion.

To get it in the recordes, I would like to quote, with your permission, from this paper: "The Bahamas signed a Treaty with the United States which is a ten year Treaty and it allows the Navy, that is the United States Navy, to continue its underwater testing facility in Andros Island. ". And I want to read to you the part affecting the cooperation with regarde to druge: "United States officials also say there has been more cooperation on bank secrecy. There are 330 banks and trusts licensed in the Bahomas, many of them offshore shelle United States officials euspect are used for taundering of drug money or for federal tax evasion. An IRS criminal investigation report aited 464 cases in Caribbean offehore banks in 1978 to 1983, and aaid of those fifty-five percent involved laundering of illicit funde, and of that fifty-five percent, twenty-two percent of the cases were in the Bahamas. Bahamian offiaials have protected the banks' secrecy saying United States officials must prove that offences that are orimes under Bahanas Law were committed. The Bahamas has no inoome tax, so tax evasion would not be a orime, but, United States officials say there appears to be progrese on the issue.". This is the importont part: "The Bahamian Central Bank head recently ordered lauyers establishing she li companies to disclose the beneficial owners, a step giving United States investigators more information about the sources of the offshove operations. 'I think the United States Govermment has gone a long way with the Bahamas. The Bahamas have Been talking extensively with the United States,' said Charles Morley, chief investigator for the Senate Permonent Sub-Committes on investigations in Washington. Pindling said he

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): is willing to compromise in negotiations through the State Department. ".

So that is the altermative ueed by the Bahomas to pacify the agreseive action of the United States in.obtaining information on drug matters, but, that alternative to my mind, really opens up the flood-gatee in that the beneficial owners have to be disclosed and some of them have actually been disclosed by order of the Central. Bank of the Bahomas.

Yesterday, the Honourable Second
Elected Member of Executive Council drew attention to a matter whioh relates to the Bill before the House, and that was an attempt in early 1966 to impose an income tax on the people of the Cayman Istands. If this had been done; we would not be discussing our tas haven bueiness today because our tax haven businese would have finished. And, if our tax haven buainess had finished, as it certainly would have; we would now be imposing income tax becouse there would be no other revenue.

The reason why I like to touch on this is because the strongest opponent to this Bill was an advocate of that bill which was actually introduced in the House. in 1966 and voted dow by a ten to five majority. Ten Members voted against it and the Third Elected Member for West Bay, who is sitting across from me, actually voted. I have the minutes of that Meeting and I have the Report from the Setect Committee.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. Preeident, I wonder .....

MR. PRESIDENT:
I will not take an intermption ......
$\because$ Was the Third Elected Member for
West Boy rising on a Point of Order?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: No, sir. I was asking for an opportwity to give an explanation which I realise I would not get, but I am preserving my position under Standing Order 34(2).

MR. PRESIDENT; Does the Honourable Member apeaking wish to give way or wish to leave the explanation witil later?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad$ I ceptainly will give way, sir.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, the Honourable Member should be honest with the House, read the entire proposal, and see if he can call that income tax. Income tax is an acoomplished fact. That proposal was based on a person's capacity, or antioipated earmings based on the poll tax. And I would request that he be honest and read the whole thing out.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: : Mr. President, I never thought I would have had buch a task that I could perform with such alacrity, and I will start, Sir, reading a mesaage that come from the President: of the Legislative Assembly in 1966.
"Members of the Legislative Assembly are cuare of the fact that there is a growing demand from members of the public for more expenditure on education, roads. public health. agriculture and other services provided by the Government of the Cayman Islands. The revenue of this termitory is derived mainly from import duties,

## HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):

the sale of postage stamps and annual licences. The only eliement of direct taxation, whioh is income tax, is limited to a poll tax of one pound per year on adult males. The Govermment's annual revenue from all sources has increased from about a quarter of a million pounds to a third of a million pounde in recent yeare, but this increase is insufficient to meet the cost of desirable improvements to our social and other semvices; some of which are essential to the progress of this territory. ".

Now comes the dramatio part.
"The burden imposed by the existing system of taxation in the Cayman Istands is shared equally by all Caymanions irrespeotive of their income, age or aex, with the result that a family of modest means, for examples contribute more to the Treasury than a wealthy bachetor. This system of taxation is inequitables, and because of this, and because this Honourable House recognises this as a fact, recent proposals for increasing indireot taxation in this territory have been resisted or postponed."

In short, therefore, they were brainwashed certainly, if they betieved this was not income tax. They were certainly brairawashed.
"In short," therefore, it is true to say that although public demand for more expenditure in many fitelds of governmental activity is increasing, the pubtic's resistance to additional taxation has not diminished. This attitude is not unusual, but the reality of the situation must be faced, and it is the duty of this Honourable House to draw public attention to the fact that better roads, an expansion of our educational system and improved services of all kinde cannot be achieved without a proportionate increase in the Govermment's revenue.
This termitory continues to recerve generous grants and loans from the Commonvealth Development and WeZfare Fionds provided by the Bxitish Goverrment for specific projects which necessitate capital expenditure beyond our nomal resources. But, if we are to retain our financial independence by avoiding the status of a grant aided termitory, it witl be necessary to inorease our revenues to meet the growing demand for further public expenditure in many fielde.
In view of this oituation, this Honomobie House is requested to oppoint a Conmittee to review the existing systems of taxation in the Cayman Is lands and to make recommendations to this House for an equitable basis. It will not, I think, be necessary to ramind Members that the termitory must continue to encourage Commonvealth and foreign investment in the Cayman Istands for many years to come by offering definite inoentives to potential investors. ${ }^{\text {if }}$
Dated 28th February, 1966, and signed by J. A. Cumber, President of the Legislative Assembly.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): And now, Mr. President, I witt read the Report by the Legistative Assembly's Taxation Review Committee. The Thind Elected Member for West Bay was a Member of that Committes. He signed this Report - a photocopy of his signature is on the back of it and he also voted in favour of the bilz.

Report by the Legistative Assembly's Taxation Review Conmittee:
"At the last Meeting of the Legislative Assembly, the President, in his message No. 3, addressed the House on the matter of taxation. As a result, a select Committes was appointed to examine the existing system of taxation in these Ielands and to make reconmendations to the Législative Assembly for a revised structure which witl produce additionat pevenue on an equitable basis."

Equitable basis (intermuption)
........ yes, I an going to read on - but equitable basis with regards to income tax, simply means that you pay as you earm; those who pay little sam little, those who earn most, pay most.
"The Committee meeting on three occasions was faced with a responsible duty, but certainty not a very desired one. It saw many difficulties in recommeniting additional tax legislation at this time in view of the following: the smatl population of these Islands limits the covenues of taxation; the present tax structure is based on indirect taxation"; (which is the type we have where you pay import duties and other fees, as opposed to direat taxation which is income tax), "the present tax structure is based on indiract taxation in many forms and while the syistem is in effect mavoidable in any country, it is not desired that the whole structure should be basicially indirect taxes as the system drows no line of equity dividing the wealthier people from the poorer class."

How coutd someone be so brairwashed that they did not know this was income tax ......... (Interruption)...... Mr. President, I will not atiow any intermption. I am reading the Minutes which he requested me to read; which you gave your permission, and $I$ should not be interrupted.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: If you will read the Minutes;..... HON. G. HATG BODDEN: - Yoü must not interrupt me; no, I an reading on.....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: ...... instead of putting in your own injectione, I will not intermpt you.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad$ I have a right to comment.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, but you are doing: it in a clever way to make it appear it is part of the records.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: I have a democratic might to make a speech unintermpted as well, and I wish you would respect Members.

[^16]MR. PRESIDENT:
be reated.
Elected Member pleare resume.

Order! Would both Members please
Now, witl the Honourable Fourth cult to continue when we have constant intexruptions by one Member who has no regard for other Members.. He has debated this Bill and if he will not behave himself, we will hope the Sergeant-At-Arms will put him out. It must be annoying to the other Members and destroye the dignity of this House.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
What destroys it is untruths.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
"Under the terms of the referenos the Committee could not consider direct taxation as this territtory must continue to encourage Commonwealth and foreign investment in theee Islands, for many years to come, by offer ing definite incentives to potential investors. These problems resulted in lengthy debates, however, the Conmittee was always aware of the existing financial needs of Govermment and realised that in the interests of good administration, additional funds would have to be found to maintain the machinery of Government.
It also knew that the public would not entertain even the very thought of new tax legiatation, but with the steady growth of development in these Islande, it could not sit by and allow commerce and industry to leap paces ahead of Goveriment, which is becoming obvious, without providing aome souroe of additional revenue to meet same of the most urgent preaent day needs of Goverrment.
The Committee, therefore, makes the following recomnendations. White alt the recommendations set out here were not given unanimous support, they are nevertheless the decisions of the Committee and notes of disagreement and: other proposals will be recorded where applicable and as requested.

## $\frac{\text { PROPOSALS }}{\text { POLL TAX }}$

Under the Tax Collection and Internat Revenue Law, 1927, as anended by Law 6 of 1958, every male pereon between the ages of eighteen and sixty years, resident in these Islands, is liable to a personal tax of twenty shilizings. each year. It is reconmended that this should be further amended to include male persons', (I think that word was female, becouse the mates were already in it) "to inctude female persons in the same age bracket."

Further reading the Minutes from the House, we will find out the word was female - they were going to put a tax on femates as welt at the some time.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
not choke on it.

It is a public racord. Read it. Do

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): I am not choking. I think that is a genuine typographical error, and the word was femate. By tomoxrow when I finish reading the Minutes from that Bill; you will find out that there was an attempt to tax females at that time.

Poll Tax:
"Under the Tax Colleation and Internat Revenue Law, 1927, as amendad by Low 6 of 1958, every male person between the ages of 18 and 60 years, resident in these Islonds, is liable to a personal tax of twenty shillings each year. It is recommended that it should be further amended to include femate persons in the same age bracket, resident or capable of earning wage or salary, or in erployment in these Islonds, and the tax should be graded as follows."

Graded, I might acy, according to income.
"(a) persons capable of earning an income not exceeding $\neq 500$ per connum would pay $\neq 1$ Poll Tax.
(b) persons capable of earning an income over $\neq 500$ per annum and not exceeding $\neq 1,500$ per annum, would pay $\neq 2: 10$ Poll Tax.
(c) persons earning an ineome exceeding $\neq 1,500$ per annum, would pay $\neq 5$ Poll Tax."

An income tax based on earnings pure
and simple, pure and wndefiled.
Measr's A.B. Bush and Claude Hill agreed to an increase in the Poll Tax, but not to the graded system.

There were some Members who felt that the Poll Tax was too tow, and you could make it more, and this was atright, because, this was not an income tax.

There are other recommendations to provide taxes on vessels, boate, motor vehiales, motor cycles and scooters, driving permits, increased tares on liquor, aigarettes, yasoline and cement, and so on. I do not suppose the House wants to hear all of that because it is not nelevant to olear up the mina of the Third Elected Member for Weet Bay.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: My mind is clear.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Now, Sir, with your permission, I will
start the tong and laborious task of reading, as he requested, the Minutes of the Howse dealing with inoome tax.

MF. BENSON O. EBANKS: I did not requeat income tax, I said the resolution you were referring to.

HOR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
The Iax Colleation and Internal Revenue
(Amendment) Biti, 1966.
MR. PRESTDENT: I think before the Honourable Member ngages in $\bar{h}$ is laborious task (Iaughter) other Membere may fee 2 in need of some slight refreshment and a break. So let us suspend proceedinge for approximately fifteen minutes.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 11:46 A.M.

| MR. PRESIDENT: | Please be seated. <br> Proceedings are resumed. |
| :---: | :---: |
| HON. G. HATG BODD | President, |
| Minutes of the Legislative Assembly on 31st Ootober, 1966, and 1et November, 1966, the Tax Collection and and Internal Revenue (Amendnent) Bill, 1966. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

"THE TAX COLLECTION AND INTERNAL REVENUE (AMENDMENT) BILL , 1966

MR. PRESIDENT: Ladies and gentlemen, we now proceed to consider the Bill entitled the Tax Collection and Internal Revenue (Amendment) Bill, 1966.

MR. V. G. JOHNSON: Mr. President and Honourable Members, I. move the introduction of the Biti entitled A Law to Amend the Tax Collection and Internal Revenue. Law, 1927.
MR. D. V. WATLER: I second that.
MR. V. G. JOHMSON: I move that the Bill entitled the Tax Collection and Internal Revenue (Amendment) Low, 1966, be given a Pirst Reading.

MR. D. V. WATLER: I Becond that.
MR. V. G. JOHNSON: I move that the Bill be given a Second Reading.

MR. D. V. WATLER: I second that.
MR. V. G. I $H$ SON: I pr ceed to read the objects and Reasons of this Bill. "This Low seeks to implement the firet, second and third recommendations of the Report of the Select Cormittee appointed to review taxation. As regards the first recommendation, the definition of 'tourist' is the same as in the Tourist Accommodation (Taxation) Law, 1960 (Law 1 of 1961). As regards the second recommentation, it is considered that no difficulty will arise concerming the collection of this tax on vessels if the ouner resides outside the Islands and refuses to comply with the requiremente of the Law: The Petty Court provides the machinery for the enforcement of the Tax collection and Internal Reverue Law, 1927. 'By Law 1 of' 1896 (entitled "A Lou' to amend Low. III of 1889, entitled a Low for regutating the Courts of Justice in the Cayman Islands'l) stimmons may be served on authorised agents within the Is lands of defendents outeide the Islands, or if there is no known agent within the Istands, but the defendent carmies on a business within the Islands then the summone may be served on any servant or agent who is engaged in a amying on in the Islands such absent defendent's bubiness."

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Does he have hie switch on? Do you have your switch on?

HON. G. BAIG BODDEN:
dust low key.
"MR. V. G. JOHNSON: Mr. President and Honourable Members, I move that the House go into Conmittee in order to study the Bitl clause by clause.

MR. D. V. WATLER: I seoond that.
MR. V. G. JOHNSON: I move that Section 1 form part of this Bith.

MR. D. V. WATLER; I second that.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Now, I will read the actuat debate.
Mr. Anton Bodden, as we will see when we come to the end, was against the Bill.
"MR. ANTON BODDEN: I had the privilege of calling three meetings on this Bill and of those three meetinas I could not find one person who was in favour of this, what the authomities call personal tax. At one stage it was allled poll tax and now in this Bill it is called personal tax, but I aall it income tax. I still say that in view of the facts, if you give Government an inch, they will take an ${ }^{\prime} L^{\prime}$.

Therefore, under the circumstancee, I cannot in any way consider, even consider this Bill for passage today. I am prepared to say that I could not find one in my constituency that would agree to this income tax at this stage or ever. I cannot now, or Zater, support this Bizl in any way."

Sounds like a true Bodden.
Mr. W. W. Conolly, who voted in favour
of the Bill said:
"MR. W. W. CONOLLY. I must agree with the Member as far as olamity is conoerned that a personat tax is paid by every male in the Cayman Islands. They pay the same one pound. There is no change where the poorex people pay one pound and the micher man pays one poung as had been described. There is no difference. I cannot agree with him that there is no maxgin. There is no margin as it is now, where everyone pays the scome, but that point alone shows that, some consideration has been made to spreading this personal tax out to be more equitable than it hae in the past. Another point that was raised by the
Member was the point of income tax. I think this is misleading because one drows conclusions to such things. It might be some relative of inoome tax, but it is most unfair to say it is income tax. As far as I am concered, this Tax Collection and Intexmal Revenue makes provisions for a personal tax to be paid, but never before did I hear it mentioned as income tax. I think it is most misleading to say it is income tax.

MR. ANTON BODDEN: I really have no objection to that. Actually, if you are dealing with a man's income, then it is not far away from income tax; you just cannot get caway from the fact. With regard to Civit Servants paying this tax, that will very well do as they are the people Government will no doubt know how to assess. At the next Meeting

## HON, G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):

we witt be considering the overatl raise for civit Servantis, finanoing them for paying this tax. We have to be careful with this points too. I cannot support you in saying it is not.

MR. V. Go JOHSNON: I know the Goverment's views in the matter of income tax is very strong, espeoially in the light of present development. We are not now foreseeing income tax into this termitory. At the meeting of the Taxation Review Conmittee, this was strongly expressed. There are three categories of persons who are capable of eaming: the ocnmon labourer, the middleolass and the big salaried man. And this is the manner in which it is outlined here in this Bill. I do not see how this has any affiliation wiht income tax at all.

CAPF. ELDON KTRKCONNELL: The only thing I oan see this particuTar Bill does is that it does give some oonsideration to the poorer man. It is obvious that it is the beginming of income tax. The same as we upgraded the duty of the tax on cigarettes a year ago, we did it today again.

It is the general feeling of the people from George Town that they are very much against it. I cm not, however, opposed to an increase of twentyfive shililings in the MaZe Head Tax. I cannot support it now or in the foreseeable future.

MR. V. G. JOHNSON: Mr. President, I was in Cayman Brac in dune of this year and it was amazing to see how these people accepted these reconmendations. The matter was put forward by the Members of the Leseer Istands as recommended by the Committes. The peopte had no objections as it was going to be used for the benefit of the community for the reoonetruction and repair of roads. There was no objection to it at all as they thought that it was a most equitable means of taxation. It hase been said by Caymantans that they have suffered so much and that they would do anything to get the roads. We mist bear in mind that we need to bring in foreign capital, people to invest money hares, and if we introduce a handwriting on the wall they arenot going to invest in these Islands and we are going to stay exactly where we are and not get the money to develop the land how we want to. If we bring in taxation, then investors will be only too happy to take them in tax free."

Mies Anmie Hutdah Bodden who was against the Bili, and who voted against its said:
"MISS ANNIE BODDEN: Mr. President and Honourable Members of this House, I must support: all that Capt. Kirkconnelt said. I have olients who have gompanies formed here and they haves said to me, 'Mise Bodden, pleaee advise the inhabitonte never, ever have income tas introduoed here'. It is a haven for people, especially those who have formed oompanies here.

I know the soliaitor who works upstairs in my offices in the buitding, has fifty-seven companies registered in his office. He came to see me and said that

## HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUINC):

if' we ever start that at least half of these companies will go elaewhere. There are other firms who hove almost the same or more companies regiatered in their offices and they say that if we introduce this we will drive all these companies away completely. We have only recently increased the fee for registration of companies.

And if I am permitted just one conment, in the very early stages the Lady Member foresal that an introduction of income tas would mean the end to our offshore financial business even back in 1966.

These are the words of the President of the Legislative Assembly at that time:
"MR. PRESIDENT: There is only one thing for us to do, either reject the Bill or accept it. It must be quite clear to each and every Member that personal tax or poli tax is not income tax, and I repeat, it is not income tax. . It is quite misleading to infer that a graduated head tax or poll tax makes any difference, is in any way income tax, it is not. The introduction of income taw would mean first a Committee being formed to study this in very great detail. if that was accepted. It means the implementation of an income tax office, the employment of more Civil Servants. So please, let me make this olear beyond a doubt, the form of graduated poll tax, head tax or personal tax, call it what you will, is not, I repeat, is not a form of income tax.

This Govermment, and I trust for years to come, will not be introduoing any form of income tax which has been imposed in other termitories for the reasons outlined by the Third Offioial Members that we wish to encourage foreign investments. At the adme time, this House would need to form a Conmittee to go into taxation which would seem to be the sole object and duty of this House to achieve a more equitable form of taxation throughout this territory. When I bay a more equitable form, I mean that we must ask the more affluent to bear a larger amount of the burden of raising funds for Goverrment than the poor man.

The imposition of the graduated poll tax, head tax or personal tax is not income tax. This is the sort of thing which is quite unlikely to drive away any investors from settling here. For the sake of a few hundred pounds, which it may produce, it will not be worth driving cusay investors. If I thought for one moment that the passage of this Bill would have this disastrous effect, it would never reach this House.

Please, Ladies and Gentlemen, we talk about income tax, but nobody here, I repeat, nobody here has really been subject to inoome tax, as I am sure that they have not stayed any length of time in a country which imposed income tax. Income tax is a word that is up frightening every Caymanian who has to pay. There is only one person here in this Island whom I know of who pays British rates of income tax, and that is our Director of Civil Aviation who loses a large portion of his salayy which is deducted in Britain. Theoe were the terms and conditions of secondment to $u s$ of this officer from Britain.

I must make it quite olear, while endecvouring to answer, and to point out that there is much difference between income tax and graduated tax, and flat

## HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONEINUING)

personal tax, as between jumping and cheese. Let us assume that the poorer man cannot pay what he was paying before, and that a man who makes more is able to pay more. This, of course, falls in different categories. I woutd witlingty pay more if I am calledupon to do so, becauee I earn more than the gardener who attends to the garden at Government House.

With the greatest respect to both Honourable Members from George Town, there is a cros section of residents; some being a little more affluent than the others, and it seems that the opposition to this measure comes from the more affluent of the community. Let us look at this thing impartially and endeavour to show and to devise means of getting equitable forms of taxation for our people. The poor man at the bottom of wage eaming scale can say this is all very werl and good, but I howe to pay the same taxation by indireot means and by direct meane, at the momemt, as my boss. This is what he aan really say with tmuth. He may only rely on the fact that his boss buys more beer and he uses more gas in his car, therefore, he should be making a little more. He senses the personal requipements, be he rich or poor. Accepting the luaturies which are approximatety the same. there' are a certain number of meals a day, a certain amount of travelling to be done, therefore, he pays as much as the rich man.

This Bill would be the only one where an attempt is made to say to the wealthien that we are asking you to make a greater contribution towards bearing a greater mount of the burden for the Cayman Istands Goverment than those at the bottom of the wage soate.

Let us therefore arrue in good foith and let us not be misled by inferming that this is income tat or the beginning of $i t$. I would have been arguing it even before it come to the House, if we did this at this stage of our development as it would be doing consideratble harm to your economy. Ask any questions, ony thing which would correct the fact of income tax being introduced here. I would like this correoted here and now.

MISS ANNIE BODDEN: AGain, I must say that the inhabitants are against this. I an reconmending, if $i t$ be so, that women be taxed, but it seems the men do not want that beccuse the women witl soon be. on the same level with them. If the women have to be taxed all good and well, but if the men want it that way, well alright. The whole Ioland has been misinformed about this Bitl, but it is the conoensus of opinion throughout the whole Istand about these taxes. I eay, tax the women as well. Sir, with the generat feeling of unrest concerning this Bill, I say have a flat rate increase and I am sure it witl be acceptable to everybody."
the poll tax, not related to income
"CAPT' ELDON KTRKCONNELL: We do not have sufficient staff to enforce it. How oan Government assure the general pubtic that if the taxes are introduced that it will be fairly

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING)
done according to the man's earnings and his capabilities.

MR. PRESIDENT: We have just got to believe that when and how these questions are asked, they will be anowered honeatly. It is either yes or no; $I$ do or $I$ do not eam more. It has been reportedity said in this Houee from all Members, that there is such great faith in the sons of the soil in these Telands, therefore, I have not the atightest doubt that anyone would be misleading in this way in order to be known to have such an insult and slur cast on the Caymanian character.

MR. K. P. TIBBETTS: Several people come to me on Friday and Saturday and asked me to fight it, that it would not go through. They said that they did not realise what they had said at the time. I tried my best to explain and verify what I felt it really meant, and what $I$ saw were the benefits of it. However, they stitl pereisted that it chould not go through. I would like to say here that I oannot support it.

MR. PRESIDENT: I wish they had mentioned something to me when I was in Cayman Bracs, and when I asked for questions to be fired at me about any doubte on any matter which they might have had, but this aubjeot was not brought up. 'If it was so desired,' this matter should have been brought to my attention there.

MR. K. P. TIBBETTTS: I was tempted to bring it up, Six, but knowing the feetings of the people in tune conoerning the same, I did not know whether I should on not."

Mr. A. B. Bush who was atso against
the introduction of income tax -
"MR. A. B. BUSH: As $I$ Gaid in the Committee meetinge, when this eubject was brought up, I did not agree with this upgraded system of poll tax. If Members may reoall, this was said and the recorde will show it also. Call it what you may, I feel within myself it is something more that what most of us look at it to be. I am sure that once it is in practice; it wilt accelerate each year and it witl go on working up to nothing ehort of fullufledge income tax. I am not agreeable to support it. I do not agree to working it out on this type of scale, although I am in favour of having the present tax raised; but I am not in favour of the re-graded system.

MR. PRESIDENT: The opposition comes from thoee who can best afford to pay, not from the poorer people, but from those who can give a greater contribution to Government. It would appear that in certain quarters there is so much opposition, it is so strange that we might even expect a certain revolt from this. I assume that the affluent members of the community are oontented with the progress in this tomitory. There are no other inferences.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):
MR. W. W. CONOLLY: If I may say something, Sir, I have Baen listening to the debate and I am a bit astonished that just a white ago when dealing with the Bill that we have just passed, Members were so anatous about putting on taxes which would be recurrent taxation in the form to be placed on taxies; and for that to be, as I pointed out, it would be forwarded on to the passenger who comes from the other district. Note that in a year's time the poor passenger, one who cannot afford to buy a car, might have to pay pounds and pounds extra on account of us putting a raise on the taxi licences.

As far as I can see, Sir, I do not see why there would be so mich opposition to a person paying a flat sum once it is a personal tax and it is stipulated how it is. In my submission there is only a mall portion that people pay indirectly. Nearly every mouthful of food is imported into the Is lands. I take it that as it etands nows the head tax will double itself. I am not saying that this is the best way of wording it. I am not suggesting that this taxation as this type has been done ony place elae, but what I feel, Sir, as we did stand today, there should be some difference between the person who is better off and they ahould contribute more than the person who is not so well off.

And as I have said, I supported this When the Committee met and I am still supporting it, Sir. If the necessity arises, and the necessity arose at this time for some additional revenue, $I$ an supporting it as it etonds. I feel that there are different eategories of people who shoutd pay different categories of head tar. It is too unfain for all the people to pay the same cmownt of head tax.:

MR. CLAUDE HILL: We are quite aware of the financiat needs of Government that more money witl have to be raised, but I do not feel that poll tax should be dealt with in the form of grading, but personal tor could be raieed to a standard where we could ge something and everybody witl be happy."

Mr. T. W. Farrington, who is now deceased; but I know he wilt not mind my reading his words. He was a strone opponent of the Bill.
"MR. T. W. FARRINGTON: I have listened to the dehate on this Biti, Sir, and I am convinced from what I have heard, it is the consensus of opinion that the public is definitely against this Bizt.

I may say that when this BiLt come before me in cnother place, I felt that we had the right to oppose this Bill in its present form. In the first place, there oertainly would be some confusion if one would attempt to aseess who is capable of doing this and who is capable of doing that. That is number one. It would mean employing extra staff for Govermment and I believe that in the long run it will not mean very much money. The whole objeot of these bills are to raise money that is badly needed, and I believe that there are other ways of raising
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move revenue than this form of taration. I believe that if we were to say, for instance, thit we raised the taxee to twenty-five or thirty chillings, it would bring in very much more - I on convinced of that.

Furthermore, it has been suggested that women should be taxed. Well, there again we have this situation - mates are all taxed and a lot of those males find it difficult to pay that tax. If we were to say, 'We will tax women', their men would say that a man finds it difficult to pay one pound on one pound five shillings, whatever is to be paid. Now he witl find it much more diffioult to pay for his better half ton. I believe that in view of alt that I have heard here, this Bill will not pass. I am convinced by the argumentes of the pros and cons.

MR. PRESIDENT: The original guggestion was never made With the intention that not all women would pay tax, only those in salaried employment. There was never any intention to tax a housewife. This proposal first came about many monthe ago when it was thought that the suggeetion was made that the poll tax should be extended to women in sataried employment. If the woman's only funation is fulftlling her duty in the home as housewife and mother, then there is no question of taxing her. Here is a chance now when a person who earns more can give just a little more contribution than oan the poor man who earns lese. We hears, at least I do whenever I go around to the different districts, that all of us are bearing the scane burden. This is a true atatement.

Now, if we were introduoing income tax - why have poll tax? There is a distinct difference between the two. Here is a chance of changing that popular oritioism that taration is levied equally, irrespective of his or her income. I do not see why people who earn five or ten hundred a year should object to riving the Government five pounds instead of one pound which the poorer man gives. Now we have a baxrier of defence, from the salaried and wealthy people in this territory, which does not, to my mind, reflect the meritorious reflection on their part. We are just asking the more affluent to make a stightly little more contmibution towards levelling it out amongst everybody.

I must say, this is the first country where I have lived or visited where every man pays the some. It is inequitable; not everybody can be a top Civit servant or property owner. I pay out into the general kitty, the some as the honest-to-God hard-working tabourer. Do you think this is might? Again, we are not talking in terms of income tax.
MR. T. W. FARRINGTON: Women who are gainfully Bm Loyed, it is said, should pay a polt tax. I note that as far as men are concerned, there is nothing in the Law concerning men who are gainfully employed. They pay a flat rate whether they are amployed or not employed. Let us assume that you have these returns on the firet of January. At that time you might find that there are fifty women gainfulty amployed.

## HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):

The next month or two, every one of them is out of a job. Altermatively, Sir, you might find that two months after that, one hundred are gainfully employed and the assesament was made in Jonuary. I think it is inequitable and that is where the confusion comes up.

MR, PRESIDENT: This was disoussed over a year ago. What I said, sir, was merely amending that suggestion which was originally put forward bu this House, which was only to consider the poll tax for women who earm salaries. It is onty the popular opinion that Government ever considered taxing women. We never We only considered the possibilities where women were salaried, employed, to pay a pound a year.

Is there any Member of any Constituency speaking in fcvour of $i t$ ? Then we are to ouppose that the recomendation was made in good faith by the Taxation Committee, and when expressed to the people, on a cross-section of the people, it has been rejeoted.

MR. W. W. CONOLLY: I think I spoke in favour of it, Sir, I an not arguing about it. I had a meeting whion was attended by d repreeentative group of my constituents (I om speaking about the number of representative groups there) and they agreed with the idea atong the tines which I hat made to them. They realised that the money is going to be used to buitd roads, sohoots, a better airport and the maintenance of these different buitdings.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I would just tike to sound this warning that in my opinion if this House does not give some consideration to a more equitable form of indirect or direct taxation through the form of poll tax, which is not income tax, then this House witl uttimately be asking for trouble from the bottom of the scale who witt say, 'I earn a quarter of that mon's salary, and yet my burden of taxation is the some.' This is what the poorer man can say in truth. Therefores we must aserme that the affluent, and I hate the term 'vested interest', but the affluent people are reluctont just to make that contribution to the development of their onuntry than those to the bottom of the end scale. This is a bad examples it is not what this Bill might realise in terme of hard cash, it is the principle of hard cash that is all important."
the Bitl, and who voted afainet it
"MR, SPURGEON EBANKS: I have listened earefully to the debate. I have put some thought into this Bill and I do not think the real object is the one pound suggested or the two pounds ten shillings suggested, or five pounds suggested which is realty the snad. I think the real snag is, Sir, this real tax which is paid on income and as such is income tas, whether we want to call it eo or not, and this House $i s$ afraid of it or the adverse bearing which the sound of passing an income tax Bill will bring in the ears of the foreigner. I an not speaking as one
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of the affluent, but it is the principle of taxation that is the enag, and the bad feelings that we: have had is becaude of the adverse bearing it might have on our economy. This is all this House is afraid ofy not the amount of money we would have to gay.

Now, in my opinion, Sir, a graded taxation could be placed on a professionat. basia. I an suggesting that you bring back this Bill and base the taxes on a profescional basis and it would probably have no trowble passing. through the House, and maybe we coutd realise a greater taration as well. For instanoe, Sir, lat us say some of our tawyers made a document taking half an hour to do so and they charged five, ten, twenty guineas. People. like that would not mind paying ten pounds a year. I am only just making that suggestion that it be graded on a professional basis rather thron income.

MR. RRESIDENT:
That is an alternative auggestion, but there is a difference in scatine on a professionat basis because you have the common tabourer, the carpenter and then we have the white collar alerk, orade one and ariade two in professional alasses, yet the Civil Servant, a olerk today, gete far less and not much more than a common labourer. Nevertheless, we appreciate that suggestion.

MR. CLAUDE HILL: Dieing the Traxation Review Committee, the Chaiman of that Committee introduced a. letter to the Commtttee suggesting the proposal of thie grading. I objected to having it read because aome of the Membens were not preaent at the Meeting I have no record here on the Taxation Review Conmittee, on it, and I would like very much to find out who gave that proposal which was produced in the Committee.

MR. V. G. JOHNSON: I was Chairman of that Committee and I aconot remember that letter at ath. I cannot recalt any such letter, not with the proposal of regrading, which was introduced into the Committee. How ever, there was some mention made of a letter being reoieved. from an outsider to one of the Members of this House. It had nothing to do with the grading of polt tax, but rather, other reommendations. That letter had nothing about re-grading of poll tax.
MR. PRESIDENT: However, the suggestion was made
and it adme from sone source.
MR. CLAUDE HILL:
come from outside. I have no reason to say that it

MR. W. W. CONOLLY: During the Taxation Review Committee, a letter was reoetved by me from an outsider who had no connection with this House personally whatsoever.: If I om requested. I would be at liberty to produce it.

MR. V. G. JOHNSON: The recommendation was posed by the Chaiman of the Conmittee and it met with a lot of
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opposition. I recormended $i t$. I sumponted $i t$, and I have no intention other than to continue supporting it.

## MR. PRESIDENT: Let us take a vote on this.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I was a Member of this Comittee, Sir, but unfortumately $I$ did not attend that Meeting as I was absent from the Istand. When I returned, some reference was made to this letter. I asked to be apprised of the contents and was told that the letter was taboo as far as the Committee was concerned. My feeling on the matter is that it seemed as though, duming the disoussion in the Committee, this question of graded poll tax was tossed to and fro. The recommendations presented to the Committee, I supported. I scus nothing irregular about it. The understanding of the Conmittee was that the taxation would be based on the voluntary declaration of each male at the commencement of the years but it was also put to us not on the person's oapabilities. We even used the argument of seconen aqpable of earning quite a good salary, but he comes home, and through no fault of hie own, is not recalled to his job for a year. The principte, of which was accepted by the Committee, was that it would be based on the deataration of his previous year's eamings.

The suggestion as adopted by the Comittee would have aaused no complications in coltecting, now would added ataff be necessary. It was entirely a man making a votrontary dectaration. I cannot support the view that this form of taxation was adopted that it would steadily mise to where one would be paying fifty or one hundred pounds per year - it would hove to oome back to the Honourable House.

If covermment found it necessary to raise personal tax for recurrent expenditure, it is as simple to raise from one pound on the present scate as it would be to raise it fifty on the proposed soale. As for the effect of foreign investment in thia country, I must say that I have had the same representations made to me as hove been expressed by other Members. It is only one thing of which they have lost aight in this representation, that this is a personat tax and investments made in the Cayman Islands are made through reaistered companies and therefore cannot affect the earnings of these companies.

I must say, Sirs, that I must agree
that the opposition to the implementation of this tax comes from the more affluent amongst ue. Arguments have been raised for and against this, and the chief argument for, was that the more affluent already contribute more under our indirect taxation. However, I would like to make the point that they have ignored the anob appeat and the benefite enjoyed under the indireet twation. They drgue that becouse they wear better clothes, theip contribution is more than the man who wears inferior quality alothes. I feet that the individual making this submission is losing sight of the fact that there is a certain snob appeal acquired by those who hove
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good quality olothing, and who eat ham instead of fish, and who drink whisky instead of beer. In other words, Sir, I feel that in the wording of this Bill there, is something wrong with it. I do not feel and I do not see how we can determine a person's capabilitiee of eaming. An individual is capable of eaming exactiy what someone is willing to pay, but I am for the principles of the Bill and I make no bones about it. I eupport it.
$\because$ A few nights ago on the radio I heard a comment by an influential American who said, 'To expect the State to look after you is socialiam. To expect your neighbour to look after you is commionism, but to look after yourself is Amexicanism.' And I feel that we should begin to shoulder our responsibilities, that those of us who are in better circumstances, whether we care to admit it or not, do benefit from the social services and other amenities of our Government. The only question on it, and on which I nom fully decided, whether in view of the public uproar whioh this Bitl has created, we are acting in the beat interests of this country to impose the Bizl as it now stands. I cannot support the view that it is income taxt, and I do not see personally, where it oan do that much hamm if it ie implementsd."

Later on, I witl show that the Third Elected Member for West Bay voted for the Bill. ".
'MR. PRESIDENT: . I conmend the Honourable Member on a very able, well batanced, well divected aroument for the the pros and cons of the matter under diseussion. I agree that certain things require antentments here. The discussion has been on principie, not what is actually written in the Bill itself: If such a Bill should cause an uproar and if the Members are homestly and sincerely convinced that the publio are against it, then we will withdraw it. In withdrawing it, one recognises that if there should be an uproar on a Bill which seeks to impose a justifiable measure upon those who eam more, then the $i$ innorance in this termitory is lamentable.
secondly, one woutd conctude personally here, that if those wealthier people objeot just to pay that much potl tax, then the poorer who fatl at the bottom of the salary seale; is nothing short of a disgracefui condition.

Those is favour of withdrawing the Bill please raise their hands.

MR. V. G. JOHNSON: You are asking for the withdrawal of the Bidl, Str, but I would suggest the withdrowal of the appropriate section of the Bill und not the whole Bill. I think that is the question which should be put formard, Sir.

[^17]HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):
MR. T.W. FARRINGYON: It ie not fair to say thats sir, I do not say the weat thier should not pay more than the poorer man, but make'it a clear out case, whether you want it to be on a araduated systems or not going any further than that. It is not fair to this Assembly. I must say that much.

CAPT ELDON KIRKCONNELL: I second that.
MR. PRESIDENT: You can disguise it by putting that in another way. Let us deat with this section by seation. We can then note those sections that have been deleted.

MISS ANNIE BODDEN: I did not consult the richer people. Six, my duty is to the poorer class of people. They have been consutted and they oppose it more than the richer people. This term of capabilities of earnings' has oot them alt confused. The whote aeneral publia is against it."

And if I can add one comment - capabilities of earmings is at the root of ineome tax.
"MR. PRESIDENT: I would seek to amend that in any case. 'Capabitities of earnings' is a very difficult thing to define, as we would have to find out what category he falle into on earnings in the previous year.
MR. W. W. CONOLLY: What a person earms is altogether different from what a person is capable of earning. We must agree that if a person is earning five thousand pounds a year here, yet stitl he may be capabte of earning one hundred and fifty thousand powds a year. I feel that 'capabilities of earnings' is used as a noun here; that is as I see it.

MR. PRESIDENT: Is it not whether or not we are going to have the form of graduated personat tax, what we are talking about? Hands up those in favour of proaeeding to consider the form of graduated poit tax, and second, those who wish to have deleted all references of graduated personal poll tax from this Bitl.

It is necessary to establish it before we continue considering it with the rest of this Bill, whether the House is in favour or not in favour of having a graduated form of personat tax. Then we can know how and where we start. slicing out and so see the majority for and against. Would, therefore, those Members who wish to see all reference to any form of graduated personal tax deleted from this bill, kindly raise their hands.

MEMBERS: : Members raised their hande. There being ten against the Bill and five for."

Those who were against income thx .... I am not reading this from the Minutes........ I am saying that those who wers against income tar beoause whether you calt it personat tax

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS;
Mr. President, Sirr, in fairness, the Member should say what the vote was on. The vote was not on income tax, and ho is here cleverly injecting an untmuth.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, the question was asked Whether the Membere wanted graduated personat tox or not. I am saying that a rose by any name, smetle the same, and I agree with the other ten Members of the Legislative Assembly baok in 1966 who voted this Bill down, that a graduated personat ta based on the earninas of an individual is sumely for all intents and purposes, in alt respects, an income tax law.

The Nembers who voted againet this
Bill were:

> Capt. Etdon Kirkeonnetl
> Capt. Keith Tibbetts
> Mr. Berkley Bush, JP
> Mr. T. W. Farrington, CBE, "JP
> Mr. Claude Hill
> Mr. Burns Rutty
> Miss Annie B. Bodden
> Mr. Spurgeon Ebanks
> Mr. Anton Bodden
> Mr. Riehard Arch

Those Membere who voted in favour of this particular seetion of the Bill, that is to keep in the graduated personal tax, alias income tax, were:

Mr. Warren W. Conolty, JP
Mr. Beneon O. Ebanks; Jnw
Mr. E. U. McNcmae
Mr. V. G. Johnson - Treasurer
Mr. D. V. Watler, OBE, JP - First Official Member
To go an with the debate:
"MISS ANNIE BODDEN: Would it be out of order if we make a flat increase in the rate of head tax, or wilt that be taken care of in cnother Bill?

MR. PRESTDENT: It will be taken care of in another Biti at the Budget Session if that is the generat feelina of the Members of this House.
$\frac{\text { MR. V. G. IOHNSON: Section three then becomes section }}{\text { two and Bo On, Str. }}$
And the reet of it goes on to deal with the other matters of the Bill which were to increase taxes on aigarettee and liquor and so on. I do not think the House needs me to read that, but to sum up, I would like on this point, because there are many other pointe I have to deal with, I would like the Third Elected Member for West Boy, with your permission, Mr. President, to know that I read no untruths from this Bill. The question was put on............. Mr. President, I an conscious of the Standing Onder that should prevent the Third Eleated Member for Weat Bay from making slurs against my reputation. I am diagusted with it and I am cshomed that a Member of this Legis lative Aseemblywould behave in this fashion.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The question was put on whether the Members wanted a personat graded poll tax. The answer was 'no' from ten of the fifteen Members who were present and the matter was defeated and so saved our financiat offohore business.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think perhaps, if the Honourable Member has come to the and of that part of his speech, and since he have promised ue so much more, the remainder of the House may consider this a convenient moment to break for lunch. I therefore buggest that we suspend proceedings until 2:15 p.m.

HOUSE SUSPENDED AT 12:45 P.M.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:15 P.M.

Please be eated.
The Narootic Drues (Evidence)
(United States of America) Bill, 1984. The Honourable Fourth Eteoted Member of Ereoutive Council.

HON. $G$. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President; I had made up my mind to make by debate very short, but I know that the Thind Elected Member wants to epeak in this debate and he ......
MR. PRESIDENT:
Third Elected Member.
He has spoken atready - the

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Yes, the Honourable Third Official
Member wanto to speak in this debate, and even if I have to fitibuster, I will have to keep the debate open so that he is not denied his right to speak.

Before continuing with my debate, I would like to clear up one amati mattex on the date of the coming into effect of the Single Convention of Nareotics, so that people witi not get the urong impression on what I said this morning, or so as to set the recond straight.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): The Single Cbnvention on Narcotic Drugs seeme to have been drafted in 1961, and amended by the Protocol amending it in 1961. The Convention entered into force on December 13th, 1964, and was subeequently applied to other temitomies afterwards, and in the case of the United Kingdom, the application to the Cayman Islands as well. as its other dependencies was the 26th January, 1965, and I am informed that the Third Elected Member from West Bay was not a Member of the House on the 26th January, 1965, and therefore the credit I had asoribed to him for becoming a part of this Convention oannot now be ascribed, since he was not a Member. However, I think the Convention is a good Conventions. and the credit should be ascribed to whatever Members were in the House on the 26th January, 1965, and I must say that I con sorvy that the Member could not get the credit whioh 1 had hoped to give to him for accepting this Convention.

It is regrettable that we were sidetracked by the reading of such a long and tedicus docwent this morning, and I must ady that I cannot see the reason for it. However it was the wish of the Member, and the Chair agreed to it, so I do not question the order that was made from the Chairs and I am happy that we were able to read in its entirety the documents that have been read.

I would like to show thes one of the devious methods that was used by the Fress to give the wrong impression to the public about the Agreement which had been signed. The Financial Times in London published an article whioh eaid "Cayman IsZands Agreement reached" on this Narcotic Agreement. The headline was "Cayman Islands Agreement reached". Our oun newspaper, the Cayman Pilot, in trying to make the pubiice believe that this was a Secrecy Low shook, on the 19th July, 190s, in their weekiy paper, which is published, according to this, from Friday, 13 th July to Thureday, 19th July, come out with an artiole in which they used for the substance of the article, the Financiat Times articte which I have just mentioned, but instead of telling the truth, as would be expeoted of a newspaper, they did not say "Cayman Istands Agreement reached", they said "The London Finuncint Times carried the headline Cayman Islands Secrecy Lows Pierced", which is attogether different from the Financiat Times story, and I think this is regrettable when the Fress deliberately manipulates the news to give the wrong impression. Had they simply quoted the headtine from the Financial Times, they would not have been able to come out with a headline "Seorecy Lows shock", and they would not have been able to twist the headlines in the London Finanoial Times to read."Cayman Islands Secreoy Laws Pierced".

There has been long debate on this Dill, and with the exception of one Member, it has been unamimousty accepted that this Bill, which gives force to the Agreement signed on July 26 th this years is a good Bill, and one thiat will probably go down in history to the aredit of this Lerislative Assembly, and with those few words. Mr. Fresident, I close my debate on this bill.

MR. PRESIDENT:
eartier. you were allowed to interrupt.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Tresident, I had this berth booked from yesterday aftermoon: Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Let me just have a took. You will have to refresh my memory because the Minutes do not make it altogether clecr that you had risen yesterday afternoon during the speech of the Fourth Elected Member with a request.
$\frac{A R \text {. EENSON O. EBANKS }}{\text { yield at that time }}$ Yes, Siry and they did not ehoose to yield at that time. This morning he yielded.

But, Mr. President, I am not going to be very long. I assure you that I had, and I think the Second Official Member remembers my booking this berth yesterday evening.

HON. MICHAEL $J$. BRADLEY: Mr. President. Sirs since the Thimd Elected Member from West Bay has invoked my nome into the proceedings, my fading recollection indeed was that at one time he asked for a right to make a point of explanations but way was not given. However, I had considered that when way was given this morning, he had had a good opportunity to make ati explanations he thought necessary up to that date, and had exhausted his rights thereby.
MR. PRESIDENY:
Well, I think if he did store one up yestorday, this morning's one I reqarded as being for the purpose. solely of replying to what was immediately being said then, and I would have stopped him from saying anything else.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Mr. President, particuzarty in light of your mitima yesterday.

MR. PRESIDENS: Yes. The clerk has confirmed that you boiked your berth, although the Minutes do not actualty make it clear.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS:
Yes, Mr. President, yesterday afternoon I did indtoate a wish to offer an exptanation at the conctusion of the Fourth Elected Nember of Executive Counotl's speech under the Frovisions of Standing Order 34(2), but in view of your muling on this Order yesterday, 1 rr . Fresident, I have reconsidered the matter and $I$ am going to waive my opportunity, save that to say that I think it is unnecessary for me to make the rebuttat whioh I intended to do, because I remain satisfied that my submissions have not and will not be rebutted by facts.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Third Officiat Member has risen.
HON, THOMAS C. JEPFERSON: Mr. President, I have been associated with the Department of Finanoe and Development since 1971, and the knowledge gained of our finanetal industry places me in a good position to determine what is detrimentel to the industry, and what is not.

Thia Agreement whioh is based on our obligation set out in the Singile Convention on Narcotic Druas 1961, and which was signed on the 26 th July, 1904 by the United Kingdom and the United States, in my mind is a major step forward to ensure the future development of our financial inctustry, and these Ialande.

Anything a human being does, Mr. Fresident, con be improved, if for no other reason than ohanges which occur over time, requires us to do so.

The ideal situation, Mr. Fresident, was for the Caymon negotiating teom to arrive in London with its masterpiece of an Agreement which included input from everyone, and for the American tean to read it, and say thank you very much, here is our signature.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING):Mr. President, and Honourable Members we all know that this ideal situation would not be realised. The mere word, Mr. President, negotiate, means to bargain, to confer for the purpose of mutuat arrangement. That word, Mr. Ereaident, by itself illustrates that an ideal situation or an ideal solution would be almost impossible to achieve.

Mr. Prebident, allow me to review some of our experiences which led us to be here todcy debating this Bill. Mr. President, as His Excellency the Governor will recalt, both of us about two years ago, on separate occasions, held discussions with Bankers using moral persuasion to gain their acoeptance in establishing more stringent controls on the quality of business they accepted. I have over the last two years. Mr. President, been discreetly monitoring the quantity of cash that arrives in these Istands in suitoases, and I have been satisfied for many months that very little, if any, is coming in and being deposited in our Banks.

Recently, we have been concentrating on the entire financiat industry, and the need for every firm to ensure that they immrove the selection process of the business they take ons simply because, Mr. Eresident, the Bankers take accounts referred by the legal, acoounting, insurance, and management company businesses. When we consider the many methods used in establishing accounts, and for transferring or receiving funds, we then realise the difficult task of the Dankers and Trust personnel in ensuring that atl business is clean.

In their defence, Mr. Fresident, I am satisfied that the business coming to and operatind from the Cayman Is lands is being cleaned up.

This Agreement will make that task, that is of keeping out narootic related business, easier, because the narco-dollars as we have come to call them will go elsewhere, and if any were here, certainly the funds have been transferred before this Bill aame to this Honourable House.

I remember, Mn. President, a person who entered these Islands six months ago with a briefoase of money; he walked around town all day, Nr. President, trying to get those funds deposited.

## I have had many convereations with

 senior officials from the parent international banks over the last two years, and their conoern is what is the Cayman Islands Government doing to clean up its tarnished image. Mr. President, when we examine one of the clippinge which I have collected entitled "Money Laundering" how orooks recycle 80 billion dollars a year in dirty money, and unfortunately, Mr. President, the Cayman Islands nome is mentioned in many places in that article.When we take this article into account, Mr. Tresident, we can appreciate the concern because the article is slanted in such a way to allow the reader to come to the conclusion that all funds transferred from or received by an offshore financial centre io drugs related.

The senior officers of parent intermational Banks previously referred to, Mr. Fresident, also told me that the parent Bank has many aliente which it wishes to refer to the Cayman Islandes but those alients have given strict instructions because of the image that is being portrayed of the Cayman Islands, not to refer their business to these Islands.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING):Mr. President, in my budget addreses, I made mention of the buttying tactios being used by the United States Govermment in trying to undexmine the Laws of this: country. I have no apologies to make for those statements, Nr. Eresident, becouse todoy, I believe them to be as oorreot and appropriate to be said as I did then.

Many residents are now aware of the trying times this Govermment has had with the extra-territoriality pressure. We began to negotiate with the United States Government, from September, 1982, Mr. Fresident, so any aceusation of aragging one's feet, is in my view unjuetified.

It was within recent times that the
Fresident of the United States, uppointed his Vice-Fresident to head the task force dealing with drugs, or narcotic drugs, and we witnessed as a result of that appointments some pressure from the first quarter of 1992, when the Assistant Manager of Barclays was held in Flomida. This task fonce previously referred to is staffed with Federal Proseoutore and Investigators, Mr. President, who are not for one moment concerned about the Laws of any other country.

We have evidence of documentary Subpoenas being used in the case of a Bonk branch located in London. We have evidence of documentary Subpoenas being used in the care of a branch in the Bahamas, and clooer to home, Mr. President, we have very conctusiv evidence of the BNS case, and I understand from a very reliable source yesterday, Mr. President, that the country of Bermuda is now under very serious pressure from the Federal Prosecutors as well.

The looal example of this Subpoenc. pressure, Nip. President, where the Bank of Novo Sootia branoh in Florida was aubpoenaed by the South Diatrict Court of Florida in order for that particular Court to obtain documents from the Cayman branoh of BNS. That case, as most of us know, but allow me to say it again, Mr. President, went to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals in Atlanta; who referred it back to the District Court because the Appeal Court thought that the District Court did not take into consideration the letters signed by His Excellenoy the Governor and the United States in 1992.

That District Court, Mr. President, and perhaps it illustrates agains the attitude of Federal Frosecutors, and their argwents which they con pose to a District Court; the court ruled there was no agreement, and the case was then appealed or referred back to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals in Atlanta, where, I understand from official souroess that the decision was made in the last couple of doys, not in favour of Bank of Nova Sootias, and the bank is now facing a fine because of the fine that was imposed by the District Court of $\$ 25,000$ a day. That total fine, Mr. President, now facing BNS, is 1.8 million United States Dollars.

Allow me to ask, Mr. Fresident, how long do we estimate any Dank in the Cayman Islande can sustain these charges to ite Profit and Loss Account before taking a very major decision which may not be in the best intereste of these Islands.

Secondly, Mr. President, whioh is more detrimental to these Islands, the Narcotics Agreement which we have, or the situation I have just described. When we add to the BNS case, Mr. President, the oase of Mr. Fatooner, who went to Houston as a member of a aricket team to compete there, and was served on that cricket field with a Witnees subpoena, where is the real danger to the Cayman Istands, Mr. Eresident, I ask that question.

MON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): Mr. President. very often we talk about the need of the Banks and the Tmust Companies to clean up their act, and I know I am repeating myself's Mr. Fresident, and in their defence, I must say to the Caymanian publice that I have anuee to believe that they have, but we should have fooussed, as we have been, our attention on the fincncial industry's need to keep it alean, and this Agreement, Mr. President, which we have before us, will assist in that task, although, only related to narootic cases, Mr. President. But, I witl venture to say that the number of cases that I have seen since sitting at my desk, $98 \%$ of them are narcotic related.

The Cayman negotiating temm met with Members of this Honourable House on many oocasions, Mr. Fresident, to ensure that Members were culare of the progress of the negotiations on the Agreement at all stages. While there were many questions raised seeking clamifications, I an happy to say Members supported $i t$. The team also met with the Financial Secretary's Consultative Committee, which is oomprised of many senior partners of the legal firms, accounting fixms, senior managers of the insurance firms, and a representative from the Bankers Association, whose specialis. ation is banking, and another from the trust side. These members of the Committee, Mr. President, were reconmended to me by their respective societies or associations.

Their support, that is the Bankers' cupport was svident in the Fress release, Mr. Eresident, but in the early stages, many points were raised, and we took them back to the negotiating table, and in some we were successfut.

We run across the situation sometimes,
Mr. Ereaident; where one person begins to argue even after having all opportunity to go through the Agreement, to look at it and excomine it Article by Article, such are human beings, Mr. Fresident, the good man that came down from Heaven, even he did not please everybody.

I would like to publicty thank the Bankers Association who, as I said earlier, demonstrated their support by a public release, and aleo the other members of the Committee, who helped us to reach an Agreement with the United States. Special thanks. Mr. President, to the members of the team who experienced, as I believe the song goes, 'blood, sweat and tears'. Ferhaps one day, our long hours' efforts, and personal sacrifices will be rewarded by the reat judge of this Universe, Mr. President, and I refer to Almighty God.

This Agreement before us, Mr. Presittents and the Bill, but referring specifically to the Agreement, has certain buitt in safeguards. In 5(1) there is the consuitation: that consultation even goes as far as to allow the Attorney-General of the Cayman Islands to find out how the information was used that was referred to the United States Attorney-General.

In 6. which has been read before, no Federal Subpoena, including a Grand Jury Subpoena retated to documentary information located in the Caymans, in any matter falling within Paragraph 2(1) of this Agreement, witl be enforced in the United States without the prior agreement of either the United Kingdom Government, or the Cayman Istands Government.

And, Mr. President, Faragraph 2(1) is based on the Single Convention of Narcotice.

This profession of ours, Mr. Pres-
ident, and Honourable Members, is a very thankless one, but we hove done our best to ensure that the prosperity of these Istands continue, and that our children, and perhops theirs' will continue to have the opportunities which we cherish today.

HON. THOMAS G. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): In closing, Mr. President, When you have done your best and your conscience is clear, the satisfaction of the job comes from within.

Thank you very much.
MR. PRESIDENT: Does any other Honourable Member.
wish to speak?
MR. GARSTON J, SMITH; $\because \quad$ Mri President, and Honourable Membere
of this House, so much has been said on thits Bill in the last few days, that it leaves me very tittie room to say very much, and in the light of this, Mr. President, I will make my contribution to this debate very brief.

This BiLl, Mr. Presidents in my
opinion, as the Mover of this Bill rightly put it, is the most important piece of legislation ever to come before this Jonourable House.

Mr. Preeident, the very able and concise mannex in which the Mover of this Ditl, the Honourable Attorney-General, who piloted this Bitl, one who had the stightest objections to this Bill would be convinced that the passing of this Bill through this Honourable House witl be for the betterment of the people of these Istands.

Mr. President, Sir.s in listening to
some of the debate on this Bitt, I am astounded to hear some of the arguments put forvard against this Bill, and the little trust they have in the United. States, and also the little trust they have in the Members of this Honourable House, who negotiated this Agreement.

I am also astounded, Mr. President, to hear people ary out against increase of taxes, when they themselves in 1976 tried to introduce income tax on the people of these Istands.

Mr. Eresident, the United States has been the lifetine of these Islands over the years, and has co-operated with these Istands in every way, including curtailing the trafficking of drugs from our shores. For this, Mp. Fresident, we should be most grateful and assist them in any way possible.

It is a known fact, Mr. Preaident, that druge have become a world-wide problem. It is not different; Mr. President, in these Islonds of ours. Drugs have caused many. countries to face what we are facing today in our little Island. We have made an all-out attempt to cure this evits with some sucoess, and if we can help someone who can help us, and has helped us, we should do so.

Mr. President, I can only say that time, only time, will prove to some people that the signing of this Agreement, and the passing of this Bill by this Honourable House we will gain much more than we witl lose.

Mr. Presidents I have no problem in supporting this silt. The onty people who should have a problem in supporting this Bill is the people who have something to hide.
$M r$, President, in conclusion, $I$ want to congratulate the three Members of the Govermment Bench who negotiated thic Agreement. I know they have spent many long hours on this Agreement, and I have every oonfidence that what they did is in the interests of the Cayman Islonds.

Mr. President, I thank you very much.

MR. PRESIDENT: Does any other Honourable Member
Wish to speak? If not, I will invite the Mover of the Motion to
exercise his right of reply if he wishes. Second Official Member.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, when I opened this Debate, and proposed the Second Reading Motion, I said that I considered it one of the more important Dills to come before this Leqielative Assembly.

The seriousness, the tone of the Debate, the intensity of the Debate, has shown that the Members of this House, have treated it aeriously, have treated it with concem, and have given it the due regard which it should. I am glad to find, Mr. Fresident, Sir, that the Members of this Honourable Assembly have, with perhaps one exception, given general support, both to the negotiators, to the Agreement which was signed, and to the Bill which implements that Agreement.

To those Members. I give thanks for their suppoxt, their encouragement, and their words ooncerning myalf and my fellow negotiators.

For the one Member who did express doubts, and womies, I accept those doubts and wormies, I accept those doubts and worries, because in my experience in this Chamber, and in the Cayman Islands, I have never doubted the aincerity of that Member, of the reasons why he raises doubts and objections, that is because he likes to question, he likes to be assured, and whenever he does things, he does them in the interests of the Cayman Islands. But, perhaps, Mr. Fresident, Sirs, he did in his speech, wax a little eloquent and a little enthusiastic when he described the Agreement and the Bill as a surrender and a capitulation.

Mr. President, Sir, I do not think it can be fairly desoribed as a surrender or a capitulation when the ohief legal advisor of the world's greatest nation, is prepared personally, to certify, personally to give undertakings to the ohief legal advison of a small, proud and independent territory.
$I$ do not think it a surrender and capitulation, when for the first time ever, the United States of Ameriea has been prepared to do what it has not done in negotiations, in Treaties, in mutual assistance pacts with any other country in the world, with the Sviss, with the Canadians, with the French, with the Dutch, with the Mexicans, which it has not yet agreed to do with the United Kingdom in its own right, and that is, voluntarity to say, not merely as on aside, but to formalise and put into an Agreement, that it will undertake to limit its own claime of extra-territoriatity. If that is surpender, Mr. President, Sir, if that is capitulation, Mr. President, Sir, the words have different meanings from what I have always known them to be.

Mr. President, Sir, in the doubts that were raised by that Member, there were a number of points made, and since they were made, I feel that it is only fair to the Members of this House, and to the Government, and to the population of the Cayman Islands, to mention them briefly, and to give, what I consider, is the correct interpretation on them.

The first one was that the methodology adopted, nomety of certificate and order, in this Eitit and in the Agreement, circumbents our legal system, and goes behind the backs of the Court.

HON. J. MICHAEL Jo BRADLEY (CONTINUING): I think Members shoutd be olear in their minds that what is being done by the issue of a Certificate to the Cayman Islands Attorney-General and his subsequent issue of an order to an Assistor, is not to charge that Assistor with any oriminat offence, but to ensure that that Assistor produoes documentary and cther information to the Attorney-General of the Cayman Islands.

It was sait, wronaly, I believe, that it was giving to the United States Attorney-General, in respect of the Cayman Islands, power which was not possessed by him in his own country. Here, Mr. President, Sirs in the Cayman Islandes the power to request and to enforce the handing over of documentes, is not a unique concept. It has been enshrined in our legislations it is enshrined under the Banks and Tmust Componies Regulation Law to the Superintendent of Banking. It is enshrined in our Insurance Low, to the Inspector of Insuranee, who both have similar powers to demand documentary information.

In many other juriadictions,
administrative authority have the right to issue notices, in the United States, in the United Kingdom, and to demand that information and documents be handed up for the general good govermment of the territory concermed.

Mr. President, Sir, it was suggested
by the Honourable Member that the wrong approach had been made, and that instead of introducing this new methodology, that it could have been achieved by streomlining of the Confidential Relationships Protection Low, 1976.

May I remind Honourable Members, in respect to that suggestion, of two thinge. The first of alt is, that basically in order to get authorisation for the release of confidentiat infomation under that Low, that there had to be such a case presented to the Court here, to convince it that a prima facie case already exiated, or that an Inditement had already been laid in the United States or other texritory. It was in may ways, if I an use a much abused phrase in the Coyman Islands, recontly, a. 'Catch 22' situation, beocuse, Mr. President, Sir, in many cases the very documente that were needed to elinch the Inditement, and, or to elinch the prima facie ocse, were the documents which were being sought.

The second problem with the Confidential Relationships Preservation Law in respect to the narcotice oases, was that even when pexmission was given, and authority was granted for information to be aought and to be obtained, it was found that, quite rightly, persons in the finance industry here, were reluctant voluntarity, to hand over such information, because it was felt by them, that auch voluntary handing over the information could be considered to be a breach of thein customer/client relationship, and that they wauld leove themelves open to an aotion at law for this.

In the Bill which is before this Honourable Assembly, there is specific provision which states that if information is disclosed by virtue of the order made to them by the Cayman Islande Attorney-Ganeral, that the pereone giving auch information, witl be protected from any suits, tow-suits or actions against them, and in fact, Arr. President, sir, far from regording it as a burdensome and oppressive meacure, I understand that the finconce industry have welcomed the scnction that is applied to them, that they have to comply with on order made in this way; so that they have got no discretion in the matter, but they must comply with it.

HON. J. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): They do not oppose this, they support it.

Mr. President, Sir, the other objection which there is to the suggestion that these negotiations could have beon along the lines of streamlining the Caymanian Protection Law is that one of the prime essentials to counter drucs, to get anvietions, to prevent the flow of money, to successfully overcome the world-wide use of telecommunications and computers, is speed and simplicity. We can strengthen our Courts if we wish, Ar. President, Sir, we can enlarge the judiciaxy, we can put cases forward on a basis of urgency, but the point still remains, that even in our, and it is speedy by comparison with other systems, even in our speedy judiaiat system, there is from the Grand Courts a right of appeal to the Court of Appeal, from the Court of Appeal, a right of appeat to the Privy Council, and if at any time, for any reason, any of these Courts refers the matter back to a Lower Court, the whole trail starts again, and I need not remind Honourable Members, that there exist at the present time, matters, civil and oriminal matters, in our Courts, which were started off, not yesterday, not last week, not last month, nor even last year. From the point of view of efficient enforcement, and prevention of narcotic abuse, the United States authorities said, and they convinced me, that they needed a speedy obtaining of information. This method of certifying and obtaining the information witl, Mr. President, Sir, in my opinion, do that.

May I go on to another suggestion which was that, perhaps another method could be enlarging the prwars of inspection of the Inspector of Banks. We must realise that though the main input in the Cayman Islands, may be towards bank recoxds, that this is not the only source of information, that the Agreement is intended to cover information, whoever hotds it, and wherever it is vested in the Cayman Istands, not merely the Bank vault or the Bank records, it could be in an Insurance Company, it could be in a licuyer's office, it could be in a Trust Corporation office.

Another point, Mr. President, that was raised, was that there would be a donger in widespread requesting made by the Grand Jury in respect and under the Agreement and this Law. May I remind Honouroble Members that the procedure is nothing to do with cnything that emanatee from the Grand dury. The certificate and request comes from one person, and one person only, and that is the United States Attorney-Generat and it is he who certifies, and he who undertakes.

Mr. President, Sir, there were others many, several more points mentioned but I think these were the most important, except, perhaps, one other one, and that was the worry of the Honourable Member that even if the Agreement comes to an end after the fifteen months, and is not extended or renewed, since there is no provision for termination in this bill before us. that the situation could arise that the Bill could continue in force, and in application, even though the Agreement was dead and gone.

May I bring to the attention of
the Members, the terms of the Dill before us, and the terms of the Bill before us, if Fonaurable Members would care to look at the First Schedule, Request and Certificate, and look at the actual form of the Certificate, which starts out with the reaital; "Hoving regard to the provisions of the Agreement between the Govermments of the United States of Amerioa and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland including the Cayman Istande ete., I the Attorney-General of the United States of

HON. U. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): as foltows.

This Certificate, Mr. President, Sir cannot issue in respect of this Bill unless the Agreement is in full force and substance, because the foundation stone of the issue of that Certifiaate $i_{\theta}$ the Agreement dated the 266 th Juty; 1984. Onoe that Agreement ceases, this Bill will be spent and no lonaer in any foroe and effect.

Mr: President, Sirs there was, unfortunately, some delay in the implementation of the Resolution passed on Wednescay, by this Fonourable House, concerming the broadcast of the proceedings including this Debates and my understonding is that those broadeasts did not commence until last night. It is perhape unfortunate that it did not commence a day errlier, because, it is $m y$ understanding that since this Debate started, and before those broadcasts, that members of the public, at public meetings, have been under certain miscpprehensions conceming the tenor of this Bill and the effect of the Agreement.

I understand one of the points made was, that the negotiations were kept secret from the public until a few days before the Agreement was signed.

Mr. President, Sir, Goverment is Government. I am here in my official capacity as a non-elected Member, my two colleaguess one on either side of me are also, but the rest of the Members here the vast majomity of the people in this Chomber, are alected by the people to represent them for four years. I consider that those representives who elect a Govermment, are given a mandate to conduct the course of affairs of the Cayman Islands until they are next subject to the will of the electorate, and in the normal course of action of any Govermment, it is of essenoe that when negotiations are going on, because of the sensitive nature of the negotiations, because of the need to consult back, to refer, to hove the oonsultative processes, which we did, quietly and effectively here, Nr. President, Sir, that we do not, nor does any Government spell out every single word of what is thought, of what is intended and what is proposed.

I think the mombers of the public were kept informed by statements being issued regutarly, saying the negotiations were going on. I think that the members of the pubtic were, once the finat terms of the Agreement, were reaohed, informed of them, that once it was signed, it was iseuec? and that there has been adequate publication of the Bill before us, before it was considered by this House.

Secondly, Nr. President, Sir; I think it is unfortunate that when we have seen fit to enter into this Agreement, that when we have talked to the United States. and the United Kingdom and negotiated with them, and when we have been treated by them, not as ohildren, but as squals, as responsible people, and as a stable Govermment, that sturs could be cast upon the credibitities of the Govermment of the United Statee' ohief legal advisor, that he is an appointed person, that he will disclose information to other persone.

I am Attorney-General of the Cayman
Istands. I consider it a great honour to be so. I consider that the responsibitities that I have are considerable. Think how much greater and more onerous are the responsibilitied and duties of the Attorney-General of the United States. As the chief legal advisor to the Govermment of that country of 200 million peoples I do not think that he will likely append his signature to a Certifioate issued. I do not think he witl lightly give an undertaking.

HON. J. MICHAEL BRADLEY (CONTINUING):without making sure, without making very sure, that what is in thet Certificate is acrrect on? that the undertaking that he gives will be observed.

As you know, Mr. President, Sir, in the United States of America; the actions of public figures, be they elected or appointed are eubject to very severe acrutiny, and I think that if at any time there was ever any suggestion or hint that there was a breach of an undertaking, that it would not remain searet and dormant for long. My colleague has said that there are provisions in the Agreement whereby we, through me, the AttorneyGeneral, can learn the use that is made of such information. I Sir, and my successors in this post, will not hesitate to ask when we think we need to ask.

Mr. Preaident, Sir, this Agreement that is before us, and this Bill that is before us, is as I said before, a revolutionary departure, a new methodology. But, Mr. President, Six, make no mistake, when people aay they are waging war against the dmu trade and narootics, they are using the word war in its correot sense. It is a world-wide war. Mr. Fresident, Sirs, it is a war that goes beyond the boundaries of any country and of any territory. It is a war for the hearts and the minds and the bodies of men. It is a war in which unscrupulous countries, and unsompulous persons are dealing, dabbling and meddling in drugs to break down the fabric of civilisation as we know it and treasure it. It is a war, Mr. President, Sir, which is costing bilitions of pounds of money in low enforcement, that is mining lives, that is killing people, that is lowering productivity in the world. No man is an istand, and no territory, however small, oan disassociate itself from that war.

Mr. Fresident, Sir, we, with this Agreement, and with this Bill that is before us, feel, I feel, are making our small, but our significant contribution to try and kill the evil that is in society today. We know our attitude in the Cayman Islands to druges we have emphasised it many times, and this is a further example of how we hate and abhor it.

In that sense, Mr. Fresidents Sir, we are entering into this, we are prepared to assist, and we are assisting in a manner that preserves the integrity of the finance industry of the Cayman Is lande, that has the United States treating us as an equat paritner in that fight, and has got built into it all poseible safeguards for our finonce induatyy, so that instead of causing a flow of money oway from here, it witl bring to these shoree many people who are glad to come to a place that they know is not tainted with drugs, and with the abuse of $i t$.

Mr. President, six. I commend this
Bill to this House.
MFi。PRESIDENT:
The Motion is that a Bill shortly entitled The Narcotic Drugs (Evidence) (United States of Amerioa) Bill, 19R4, be given a Second Reading.
QUESTION FUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think it may be convenient for Members if we take our customary short afternoon break now, but I would ask for Members "assistance in keeping it frirly ahort because my understanding from a number of Members at least., is that it may be the wish of the House to try to complete proceedings today even if we have to sit beyond 4.30. So I hope we can limit our present break to not more than fifteen minutes.
MR. PRESIDENT: Please be seated. Proceedings are
resimed. The House will now go into Committee to study a Bill entitled
The, Travel Tax (Amendment) Bills 1984, and various other Bills.

## HOUSE IN COMMTTTEE

MR. CHATRMAN: $\quad \cdots$ The House is now in Committee. A BiLl for $a$ Lew to Amend the Travel
Tax Low.
HON. MICEAEL J. BRADLEY: $\because$ Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, Sir, it might expedite proceadings if $I$ and the Clerk were jointly given authority on similar terms to previous occasions, namely, that we can correct minor typographic, spelling and other formalistic and printing errore.

MR. CHATRMAN: In respect, I suggest of all the Bilts we consider this afternoon. I think that is the wish of the House. That is what we have done on previous occasions and if Members are content, well then, so authorised. So that there is no need.........welt, if anybodiy spots a typographical or other. error, it might do no harm just to bring it to notice to make certain that it has been pioked up.

THE TRAVEL TAX (AMETDMENT) BILL, 1989
CLERK: CLAUSE 1 - SHORT TITLE AND COMMENCMENT
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 1 stand part of the Bill. If there is no debate, I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 2 - AMENDMENT OF SECTION 4.
MR. CHATRMAN: "The question is that Clause 2 do stond port of the Bill.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chaixman, in light of my submission, in the Second Reading of this Bill, in respect of my understanding that there is an additional ohaige to be imposed as a berthing fee, I am unable to support this section.

MR, CHATRMAN: UnZess any other Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, it dose not appear to me that this request here ties in with berthing whatever might come about at some other time. I could not agree with that at all, Sir.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: $\quad$ It $i_{s}$ inminent as I understand it.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, undex the existing Low, a ship that is permanently moored, either to the type of moorings which we anticipate putting in, or whether the ship is tied up alongside the dook, that ship has to pay a berthing fee. I think it is at the rate of so much per ton, so that the larger the ship, the more the fee would be. I do not remember what the fee is now, but it already exists that any ship that goes into the dock or is tied up, permanently moored, has to pay a fee, white the ships at anchor, I believe, do not pay white they are anohored.

So, if the moorings are put in, alt shipe that tie up to them would pay a berthing fee, but it is not an exorbitant fee and on the large aruise ships, it would probably work out to about eight or nine hundred dollars. The smatl onee tike those that carry say four or five hundred passengers, would pay around three or four hundred dollars. If you took it on the per passenger, it would work out less than a dollar per person, but it is actually fixed on the tonnage of the ship.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
We22, I think we had better come back to the actuat claube because, although I can see the connections the Third Elected Member for West Bay was quite right that he did make the point in the Second Reading. Alt we are reathy conoerned with now is Clause 2 of the Bitl. There has been no proposal for an amendment, so I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED BY MAJORITY.

CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE TRAVEL TAX LAW, 1976.

MP. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that the Titte
stand part of the Bill. Untees any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TTTILE WAS PASSED.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
A Bill for a Law to Amend the
Evidence Law, 1978.

THE EVIDENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
COMMTTTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1 - SHORT TITLE.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clouse 1 do stand part of the Bitl. Unless any Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 2 - AMENDMENT OF SECTION 18.

[^18]CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE EVIDENCE LAW, 1978.


THE FIREARMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
COMMITTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1 - SHORT TITLE.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill. Uniess any Honourable Member wishea to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2-AMENDMENT OF SECTION 40.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Honourable Member wishas to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE FIREARMS LAW (REVISED).
MR. CHAIRMAN: stand part of the Bill.

The question is that the Title do
Before I put that question, I think that the words 'Revised', both in the Titte con the outoide cover and inside) ought to be in capitals. I think they normally are. Just in case the Clerk and the Honourable Second official Member had not noticed, it is a change that might be made.

UnZess any Honourable Member wishee to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUI: AGREED. THE TITLE WAS PASSED.


#### Abstract

MR. CHAIRMAN: A Bill for a Law to facilitate the obtaining of Evidence required in or for the purpose of Investigations and Proceedinge in the United States of America in pursuance of Obligations under the Single Convention on Narootic Druga, 1961. as omended by the Frotoool of 25 March, 1972, and for purposee connected therewith, and inoidental thereto.

THE NARCOTIC DRUGS (EVIDENCE) (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) BILL, 1934


COMMITT'EE THEREON
CLERK:
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that Clause 1 do speak. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. INTERPRETATION.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

OUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
GLERK:
MR. CHATRMAN:
stand partof the tan part of the Bitl. Unless any Honourabte Member wishes to speak, $I$ will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED.
CLERK,
CLAUSE 4. PHOCEDURE IN RESPECT OE
REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTARY INFORMATION.
MR. CHATRMAN:
The question is that clause 4 do stand part of the Bill. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak, I with put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE \& PASSED.
CLERK:
ATTESTATION OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.
MR. CHAIRMAN: " The question is that Clause 5 do stand part of the Bitl. Does any Member wish to speak? If not I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 5 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 6, AUTHENTICATION AND ATTESTATION OF DOCUMENTARY INFORMATION OTHER THAN OFFICIAL RECORDS.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 6 do
stand part of the Bill. If no Member wishes to speak, I will
put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 6 PASSED.

CLERK:
CLAUSE 7.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I think, Atr. Chairman, Sir, that even though it may be considered typographioat, the words "Foundation Testimony" ought to be inserted as a margin note thereto.
Mi. CHAIRMAN: "Foundation Testimony" is the marginal note that was in the Draft, is it?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: : And there is an arrangement of Clauses.

Mr. Chairman, I do not know, Sirs, HON. D.H. FCSTER: but on my copy on 7(3) right after the end of the first line after "testimony", is written in, "in the Cayman Islands". I do not know if that is meant.......
$\frac{M R \text {. BENSON O. EBANKS: }}{\text { as an Amendment. }}$ I think that should be mentioned
MR. CHATRMAN: Yes, I think perhaps that should be, because it to a bit more than a typographical error is it not?

HON. MICHAEL t, DRADLEY: Yes, I wanted to raise thats Mr. Charman, Sir, because I got two copies of the fazette, one detivered to my aeat here with that manuseript rdditions and one detivered to me in my Chambers by the Gazette without the addition. So I am just wondering which is supposed to be authoritative. If it should be in $I_{. . .}$.

MR. CHALRMAN: My understanding is that it should
be in.
HON. MICHAEL I. BRADLEY: Welt then, could we formally ask your teave, Sir, under Standing Order 52(2), to give teave to propose an amendment to which no notice has been given.
MR. CHATRMAN: Yes, leave granted.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I beg to move that the words "in
the Cayman Islands" be inserted inmediately following the word
the Cayman Islands" be inserted inmediately following the word
"Testimony" in Line 2 of sub-clause 3 of Clause 7.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The Amendment proposed is that the worde "in the Cayman Istands" be inserted immediatety after the word "Testimony" in the second line of sub-clause (3) of ctause 7.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: And leave having been granted, Sir, may $I$ continue with the second half of my amenoment which is that in sub-clouse (5) of Clause 7, for the sake of uniformity of atyle, the worde "United States Attorney-General"be deleted, and the worde "Attorney-General of the United States" be substituted therefore. Delete "the United States Attorney-General'; and substitute "the Attorney-Generat of the United States" as it is in other parts of the Bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Are you making alt that one amendment, I take it? So I have read the first part of the amend ment. The second part of the proposed amendment is that in subwolause(5) of Clause 7 of the Bill, the words "the United States AttorneymGeneral be deleted, and there be substituted for those worde, the words "the Attorney-Generat of the United States."

MR. CHATRMAN: Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to the anendment? If not I witl put the question that the clause be amended as proposed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENTS PASSED
MR. CHATRMAN: Does any Member wish to speak on the Glouse as amended? If not I will put the question that the ctause as anended do stand part of the Bill.
QUESTION FUT: AGREED." CLAUSE 7 AS MMENDED PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 』. PROTECTION FOR FERSONS $\overline{D I S C L O S I N G ~ C O N F I D E N T T A L ~ I N F O R M A T I O N . ~}$

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 8 do stand part of the Bill. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? Then I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT; AGREED. CLAUSE Q PASSED.
CLERK.
OF LAWS NO. 16 OF 1967 AND NO. 26 OF 1979.
MR. CHATRMAN : The question is that clause 9 do stand part of the Bitl. Does aniy Member wish to speak? If not I will put the ouestion.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 9 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 10. ENFORCEMENT:
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 10 do stand part of the Bill. Does any Member wish to speak? I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 10 FASSED.

CLERK:
MR. CHAIRMAN:
To stad The queation is that the Firat Sohedute保 part of the Bill. Does any Lionourable Member wish to speak? If not I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
CLERK:
PROCEDURE UPON RECEIPT OF A CERTIFTCATE.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that the Second Shedule do stand part of the Bill. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. SECOND SCHEDULE PASSED.
CLERK:
5) ATIRD SCHEDULE
(FORMATION OF AUTHENTICITY OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that the. Third
Schedute do otand part of the Bitl. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak ? I will put the question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Only as to whether the correction in the speiling of authenticity will be regarded as a typographicalerror?

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I had regarded it as a typographical error. It really plainly is just a spelling mistake is it not? It is not like the omission of a word seeme to me to be rather more open to debate. Where a word is spelted wrong it seems to mc quite clear that the House would wish it to be spelied correctly.

```
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
corrected.
It was just that my copy has been
```

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mes mine has, yes. And in my oopy at the end of the Third Schedule, they have written in words about 'Fassed by the Leqistative Assembly this (btank) day of (blank), Fresident, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly" in manuscript. That is the standard form of words that comes at. the end of any Bill, and I would imagine to...

HON. MICHAEL J. ERADLEY: I think, Mr. Chairman, striotly speakings that they are not necessary in a sills because they are only put in as a certification after the Bill is passed. I know it is always done.

MR. CHATRMANT:
I think it is always done, so I had taken it that it was a kind of typographical or printer's omission which you are entitled to correct, becouse it was standard practioe which the House would wish observed.

So we are on the Third Schedule, and unless any Member wishes to speak. I witl put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
THIRD SCHEDULE PASSED.
CLERK:
A BILL FOR A LAW TO CACILITATE THE ODTAINING OF EVIDENCE REQUIRED IN OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF INVESTIGATIONS AND PROCEEDINGS IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN PURSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE SINGLE CONVENTION ON NARCOTIC DRUGS, 1961. AS AMENDED BY THE FROTOCOL OF 25 MARCH, 1972, AND FOR. PURPOSES CONNECTED THEREWITH AND INCIDENTAL THERETO.

MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that the Title do stand part of the Bith. Unless any Member wishes to speak. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. TITLE PASSED.
MR. CHATRMAN:
That concludes proceedings in Committee on a Bill entitled a Bill for $a$ Lcw to amend the Travel Tax Laws and other Bills. The House will resume.

## REPORTS ON BILLS

THE TRAVEL TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

HON.' T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I have to report that a Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to Anend the Travel Tau Law, 1976, Law 11 of 1976; was considered by a Conmittee of the whole House and pasesd wi thout amendment.

MR, PRESIDENT:
for Third Reading.
The Bill is acoordingly set down

## THE EVIDENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr: President, Sir, I beg to report that a Bill shortly entitled The Evidence (Amendment) Bill, 1984, was considered by a Committee of the whole House and passed without amendment.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bilt is accordingly set doun for Third Reading.

## THE FIREARMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

HON. D. H. POSTER: $\quad$ Mr. President, I beg to report that a Bitl for a Law to Amend the Fipearms Law (Revised) was considered by a Committee of the whote House and passed without amendment.

MR. PRESTDENT: The BiLI is accordingly set down for Third Reading.

THE NARCOTIC DRUGS (EVIDENCE)
(UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) BILL, 1984

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I beg to report that a Bill shortly entitled The Narcotic Drugs (Evidence) (United States of America) Bill, 1984, was considered by a Conmittee of the whole House and passed with two amendments.

## THIRD READINGS

THE TRAVEL TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
CIERK: THE TRAVEL TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1981.

HON. T. C. UEFFERSON: Mr. Prestaent, I move that a Bill entitled A Bitl for a Inw to Amend the Travel Tan Law, 1976, Lew 11 of 1976, be given a Third Reading and passed.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question ts that a Bitl entitled A. BiVI for a Law to Amend the Travel Tax Law; 1976, be given a Third Reading and passed. Does any Honourabze Member wish to speak? . ..... I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READTNG AND PASSED BY MAITORITY.

THE EVIDENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
CLERK: THE EVIDENCE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mx. President, Sir, I beg to move that a Bill shortly entitled The Evidence (Ameniment) Bili, 1984. be given a Third Reading and passed.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitied A BiZZ for a Law to Amend the Evidence Low, 1978 , be given a Third Reading and passed. Untess any Member wishes to speak, I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT. AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.

THE FIREARMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
CLERK: THE FIREARMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 198 穴.
HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I move that a Bill
for a Lav to Amend the Fireams Law (Revised) be given a Third Reading and passed.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The quastion is that a bill entitled A Bill for a Law to Amend the Firearms Law (Revised) be given a Third Reading and passed. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.

THE NARCOTIC DRUGS (EVIDENCE)
(UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) BILL, 1981
CLERK:
THE NARCOTIG DRUGS (EVIDENCE) (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) BILL, 1984.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, I beg to move that a Bill for A Law to faoilitate the obtaining of Evidence required in or for the purpose of Investigations and Proceedings in the United States of America in pursuance of Obligations under the single Convention of Narcotic Druge, 1961, as amended by the Frotocol of

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTHVING): 25th March, 1972, and for purposes connected therewith and incidental thereto, and which Bill is shortly entitled The Narcotic Druge (Evidence) (United States of Amerioa) Bill, 1984, be given a Third Reading and passed.

MTR. PRESIDENT:
The queation is that a Bill entitlad $\vec{A} \bar{B} i l l$ for a Low to facititate the obtaining of Evidence required in or for the purpose of Investigations and Frocsedings in the United States of America in pursuance of Obligations. under the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, as amended by the Protocol of 25 th March, 1972, and for purposes connected therewith and ineidental thereto, be given a Thirid Reading and passed.

Unless any Honourable Member wishes to apeak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.
$\frac{M R . ~ P R E S T D E N T: ~ M o t i o n s . ~ S u s p e n s i o n ~ o f ~ S t a n d i n g ~}{\text { Onder }}$
onder 24(5).
SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 24(5)
HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN: Mr. President, in accordance with
Standing Order 82, I move the suepension of Standing Order 24(5) in order to move Govermment Motion No. 9.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that in accordance with the provisione of Standing Onder 82, Standing Order 24(5) be suspended to enable the moving of Govermment Motion No. 9. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 24(5) SUSPENDED.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Honourable First Elected Member of Executive Councit may move the motion.

GOVERNMENZ' MOTION NO. 9
AMENDMENTT TO THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 1977
HON. JOHN B. MoLEAN: . Mr. President, I beg to move Goverm-
ment Motion No. 9, which is as follows:-
"BE IT RESOLVED by this Ledislative Aseembly, pursuant to the powers conferred on it by subsection (2) of seotion 7 and subsection (1) of section 8 of the Development and Planning Law (Reviaed), that the Development Plan 1977 (being the plan referred to in subsection (5) of section 6 of the Law) be this day altered by:
(a) re-zoning from "medium density residential" to "commercial" the area in George Toum Centrat Registration Section shaded in gray on the plan annexed to this Reeolution;
(b) re-soning from "Zow density residential" to "hotel/toumiam" the area in West Bay Beach North Registration Section shaded in gray on the plan annexed to this Resolution.

Mr. President, copies of the motion have been airculated. I have also spoken to all Honourable Members of this House, and also, it is similar to something that has been done in this Honourable Houee before; most recent being a motion brought here for Tamarind Bay DeveZopments.

HON. JOHN B. MoLEAN (CONTINUING): Another reason, Mr. Preaident, for this Resolution, ts to be able to pass or approve a large development which is in the tourist related area, and another large development which I understand is to go wh here in George Town.

I commend the Motion to this Honourable House, and ask the support of all Members.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Motion before the House is that the Resolution mending the Development Plan, which was read out by the Mover, and which I witl not read again, should be passed by the Assembly. The Motion is now open for dbate.

QUESTION PROPOSED: DEBATE ENSUED.
MR. ${ }^{\text {BENSON O. EBANKS: } \quad \text { Mr. President, } I \text { can support this }}$ Motion, Sir. I would juet ask that in the case of (b) in the Resotution, that the Member would ask of the Planning Department. and I do not know that this particular pieae of land is actually involved, but I know that at one time, there was talk of putting a seoond road, or a road farther back along the West Bay Beach between George Town and West Bay, and I would ask the Member that if that road would, or should pass over this piece of property, or near there, since the area is commenoed to be developed, that proper reservation be made for that road, so that we do not find ourselvee unable to do it if, and when the fovermment finds itself in a position to implement it. Because, Mr. President, I am sure any Member who uses the West Bay Road, will realise that it is fast becoming overorcuded, and beooming a death trap.

With those fow words, I can support
this Motion.
MR. PRESTDENT: Does any other Honourable Member
wish to apeak? If not, I will invite the Mover to exercise his right of reply if he wishes.

HON JOHN B. MOLEAN: Mr. Fresident, just to thank Members for their support, and especially the Third Elected Member for west Bay.s I will have my Department check this, but I feel certain the area which ie pointed out here on the map will not fall in the way of what he is speaking about.

I think, if I remember olearly, the
road that he is speaking about, falls further south of this area.
So once again, fust to thank the
Members for their support.
MR. PRESIDENT: In that case $I$ will put the question, which is that the Motion moved by the Honourable First Elected Member of Executive Council. moving a Resolution to amend the Development Plan, be carried.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 9 PASSED.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. Fresident, sir, I have not had time to look up Standing orders, but I have one slight worry that has passed across my mind; and that is, since we have finished the deliberations on the Narcotics Bitl, did we need to suspend Standing Orders to take the remaining stages.

MR. PRESIDENT: I do not think so. Standing Orders say you may not take three readings in one sitting. We had completed the first reading on an earlier day, so what we did today was to complete the seoond reading, and do the third, and

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): it seemed to me that that was perfectly in order. So gubject to any further advice or guidance you have to offer me, or the clerk has, I think we are alright.

Before the Adjoumment is moved, there are just two points I wanted to make, both brief.

The first; I know that there will have to be Meetings of Select Committees to diacuss the one Eill which was referred to a Select Committee during the course of this Meeting, the Registered Land (Amendment) Bill. I think, probably another Seleet Conmittee Meeting dealing with the Protection Law and possibly a Select Cormittee Meeting dealing with Liquor Licensings and I just wanted to ask the Member who is Chairing those Select Committees whethew he had had an opportunity to fix a time for a Meeting with the Members, and if not, whether he wanted to take advantage of the fact that he had got them allaorralled here to try and fix a time before they dispersed.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, if I may arove your induigence, what $\bar{I}$ was proposing to do after this Sitting finished was to invite Honourable Members if they could just stay behind for a couple of minutes in this Chomber, rather than adjouming to the Committee room; ask the Sergeant to clear the public galleries, and very briefly spend five minutes fixing times and arrangements for the three various Select Committees. I think if the Members could indulge me for five minutes, I would be able to dispose of it.

MR. PRESIDENT: That is fine. I just wanted to give you an opportunity to arrange that much.

My second point was that I am not sure whether we ever considered, and even if we did consider, I cm not sure whether we ever reached a conctusion about the question of whether we should now be adjouming this Meeting so that the same Meeting should continue on the 3rd September, or whether we should adjourn and have a new Meeting, the fourth, on the 3rd. September, nor am I sure whether it matters in the least. I do not think it really makes any difference. But, as for as I know, the wish of the House always had been that we should meet again on the 3 rd September; that was the date fixed long ago, and since we have concluded all the business on the Order Paper, I think we can adjoum until then.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: Mr. President, I had thoughts sir,
that since we were having this Meeting,
September would be put back a few days.
I reatiee there was no formal
agreement on this but I think the letter that changed the date suggested that...

MFT. PRESIDENT: No, I do not think so. and I - well, with any discussions I have had with Members, principally, perhaps with Government Members, the expectation has atways been that we would continue on the 3xd September, and I know one or two people who have planned absences that would make it difficult for them to change that date now.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Welt, I would not stand in the way,

HON. MICHAEL Jo BRADLEY: Mr. President, I think that it is open to the House to adjoum either sine die, or to a fixed date. The only thing is that under Standine Order $\cap(3)$, when it $i_{B}$ adjourned to a specified date, more than seven days after the adjourned Meeting, then a Notice of Resumption is necessary. So there is really no advantage in adjourning to that date as opposed to adjourning sine die and summoning.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well. exoept that Members, if they know it is to be the 3 md , they oan make their plans. I think we will ask the clerk to remind them. But that does not aatually ocver the point as whether when we reswo on the 3rd September, we are resuming the third Meeting, or starting a fourth, but I do not think that matters.

> So I will invite the...

HON. D.H. FOSTER: $\quad$ Mr. Fresident, Six, I think that we should eet that date beccuse acoording to our Election timetable we hove to dissolve the House on Monday 17 th September, and it would be good if we had a specified date.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I think we certainly should not post-
$\frac{\text { pona }}{\text { it, because I foresee possibly quite a long Meeting with }}$
the Debate on the Amendment to the Protection Law taking up a
certain amount of time, and maybe other subjects which some Members
will wish to speak on at some length. Who knows.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I agree with that, Mr. President. The onty thing is it is going to be difficult to filibuster from the 3rd to the nioht of the $17 t h$.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I am sure some Membexs will have a

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEX: I hope Honourable Members are caware that the 3rd September is a significant date, being the anniversary on which the World War started. (Laughter).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MR. PRESIDEN': Members may not come into the } \\
& \text { Chamber armed, with anything more lethal than their notes. } \\
& \text { If the First Official Member would } \\
& \text { like to move the Adjournment then. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ADTOURNMENT

HON. D.H. FOSTER:
Mr. Fresident, I move the Adjoumment of this House until Monday, 3rd Septembers 1904.
MR. PRESIDENT: The question is that this House do
now adioum untit $10.0 n$ a.m. Monday, zrd September. Unless any
Ionourable Member wishes to speak, I wilt put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
AT 4.3. P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL 3RD SEFTEMBER, 19R4AT 10.00A.M.
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MONDAY
3RD SEPTEMBER, 1984
10:00 A. M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Assembly is in Session. I will
invite the Reverend Edgar Ogaton to soy prayers.

PRAYERS


#### Abstract

REV. EDGAR OGSTON: Let ue Pray. Father, God, You loved us so much that you sent us Jesus Christ for the illumination of our darkness and salvation of our souls. Give us wisdom this day to profit by the words He spoke, faith to accept the salvation He offers and graoe to follow in His steps. We proy for the Legislative Assembly now assembled; grant them that true wisdom which comes from You alone; help us to see things from Your viewpoint that we may see them as they really are. We come to choiose and decisions with a prayer upon our lips for our wisdom fails us. May we always turn to You to seek Your guidance upon all the weighty matters laid before us. We pray for the queen and all members of the Royal Family. Help us to appreciate the tremendous responsibility which they carry and grant them strength to bear those responsibilities with integrity knowing the great influence they om be on the lands of the Commonvealth. And, lastly Father, we pray for these Islands in this eleation year. Moy vora peace rest upon all those who live here and grant Your wisdom to choose between the many choices being presented at this time, and may You aleet the men and women of Your choice, that these IsLands would contimue to grow and prosper through Jesus Christ Our Lord who tought us to pray the famity prayer:

Our Father, who art in Heaven, Hallowed be Thy Name, Thy Kingtom come, Thy will be done on earth as it is in Heaven. Give us this day our daily bread ond forgive us our trespasses as we forgive those who trespass against us, and lead ue not into temptation, but deliver us from evil: For Thine is the Kingdom, the power and the glory for ever and ever, Amen.


MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Presentation of Papers and Reporte of Select and Standing Comittees - Item 2 on the Order Paper.

## PRESENTATION OF PAPERS AND REPORTS

## SELECT COMMITTEE - COMPANIES LAW


#### Abstract

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, in accordanoe with Standing Onder 72(5), I beg to present to this Honourable House the Report of the Chairman, together with the Minutes of the proceedinge of the Select Committee which was appointed by this Honowrable House in relation to the Low regarding limited liabitity componies.

Mr. Fresident, the Report is laid upon the Table and $i_{s}$ avaitable for members of the public, for Members and for the media. I would, however, bay in relation to this Seleat Commitee, that, as Honowraze Members were avare, at the time that this


HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): Committee was reconstituted and appointed, there was contemporaneouely a select Committee on Management Companies consisting, as this one was, of all the Members of this House.

At the first meeting of Members, it was determined that the businees pelating to Management Companies, being of prior importance, would be considered first. That being so, a considerable amount of time having been spent on that select Committee, this Select Committee had no opportumity to go into or to complete its investigations. However, in its reoomendations at paragraph 5, it has reconmended that a new Select Committee, with similar terms of reference, be oppointed at the First Meeting of the Legislative Assembly held after the Goneral Elections.

Mr . President, in acoondance with the same Standing Onder 72(5), I beg to move that the recommendations contained horein be adopted.

## Thank you.


#### Abstract

MR, PRESIDENT: So ordered. The motion is that in accordance with Stonding Order 72(5) the Report of the Select Conmittee be adopted. Perhaps it may be helpfut to Members if I just comment that, subject to any advice from the Honourable Mover of the motion, it will be for the next House after the elections to deoide whether they wish to accept the reconmendation - in other words that a Select Committee be revived. In other words we cannot purpoirt to bind them in any way - we are simply expressing a view which witl be brought to their attention when the time comes. Is that cormect?


Mr. President, Sir, that is indeed my interpretation alao.

MR. PRESTIDENT: So, does any Honourable Member wish to speak to the motion? If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THAT THE RECOMQENDATIONS AS SET OUT IN THE REPORT BE ADOFTED.

## SELECT' COMMITTEE - INTOXICATING LIQUOR

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Six, in acoordence with $\overline{S t a n d i n g ~ O r d e r ~} 72(5), \frac{I}{I}$ beg to present to this Honourable House the Report and Minutes of proceedings of the Select Committee, which was established on the 8th day of May, 1984, by this Honourable House, to consider and make recommendations with reepeot to the Law relating to intoxicating liquor.

At the first mesting held in Juns, the Attorney-General was appointed Chairman. The Seleat Committee held five meetings between the end of June and the end of August, and they considered a discussion draft - a Bill prepared in my Chombers and representations from a firm of local attorneys, and a petition from a resident of Cayman.

The recommendations are contained at paragraph 6, Mr. President, nomely that a draft Bill to amend the Liquor Licensing Low, 1974, incorporating all the recommendations of the Select Comnittee be set down for this Meeting of the Legislative Aseembly; and it is my understanding that the Bill will in fact be

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINOING): moved by the Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Council.

Mr. President, Six, I would also state, as you so rightly mentioned to the House, that the recommendations contained here are not binding on future Members of the Legistative Assembly as in the past case, but in this one it is a recommendation to do with this sitting of the House, that it be introduced and passed.

I would now propose, in accordance with the aforesaid standing Order 72(5), that the recommendations contained herein be adopted.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that the recommendations contained in the Select Committee Report, which has just been laid, be adopted in accordance with the procedure laid down in Standing Oxder 72(5).

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS SET OUT IN TAE REPORT BE ADOFTED.

## SELECT COMMITTEE -- LABOUR LAWS

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, in accordance with Standing Order 72(5), I beg to present the Report of the Select Committee which was appointed in May by this Honourable Legislative Assembly, to consider the laws relating to employers and employees and to make recommendations concerming new or amending legislation.

Mr. President, the Select Committees, in this very busy and short Sitting of the House since early this year, found that they were in this case such was the nature of their other responsibilities to aomplete their investigations other than to have two meetings to determine the course of procedures. They have recommended to this Honourable House that a new Seleat Committee with similar terms of reference be appointed at the First Meeting of the New Session of the Legislative Assembly, fotlowing the General Elections, and, as you have stated previously, this is a recommendation which of course is not binding upon the new House, but merely an expression, if passed, of the opinion of this present House.

I would therefore, Mr. President, again in accordance with Standing Order 72(5), move that the reoommendations contained herein be adopted.

MR. PRESIDENT: The motion before the House is that, in acoordance with the provisions of Standing Order 72(5), the Report of the Select Committee on Labour Laws be adopted.

Does ony Honourable Member wish to spaak? If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS SET OUT IN THE REFORT BE ADOFTED.

## SELECT COMMITTEE

## THE REGISTERED LAND (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, in accordance with Stonding Order $7 \overline{2}(5), I$ beg to present to this Honourable House the Report of the SGlect Conmittee which was oppointed under Standing Order 49(1) to consider the Registered Land (Amendment) Bill, 1984, which was oonsidered at Committee Stage at the Iast Meeting of this House on the 17 th of August, and was therein referred to a Select Conmittee of the Whole House during the Committee Stage of that Bill.

Mr. President, the Committee held one meeting at which it considered briefly the Bill, at which it had presented to it the judgment in the particular case in the Court of Appeal which had led to the proposed amendment to the Bill. It also received a letter of representation from a firm of local attormeys and it was felt that since this matter had been going alowly between the Registrar of Lands and the draughting section in my Chamberg for a number of monthes, that rather than meet and come to hasty reconmendations in what are really technical pointe of law involved, that it felt it more expedient and opportune to adopt the same procedure as it had with two other Select Committees in which they were unable to finish their deliberations, namely that the Bill be reintroduced at the First Meeting of the Legislative Assembly after the General Elections, and thereafter referred to a Committee of the Whole House which could, at its leisure, reoeive expert input and representations.

Accordingty, aqain, Mr. Fresident, under Standing Order 72(5), I beg to move that the recomendations contained herein be adopted. The procedure, Mr. President, is stightly different from the other Seleot Conmittees, in that in fact this one was a Bill which was in Conmittee and refarred to a Select Conmittee. But, I feal that the motion I am moving is within the premise of this House and it does not need, in the circtonstances, to go back to the Committee of the thole House at Committee Stage and thereafter to be referred; but I am subject to the wishes of Members.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I was just quickly looking at standing Orders myself to see whether there was anything that would require us to do more than you have suggested. I have not, myself, yet succeeded in finding anything that prescribes the precise procedurc to be followed under the slightly unusual circumstonces which have arisens and I would think that it will be in order to do as you have auggested - that is to say to adopt the Report of the Select Committee in accordonce with the provisions of Standing Order 72(5), in the knowledge that the oonscquence will be that although the Bill has been read a second time, it will not be proceeded with any further during the life of the present Assembly and that it will fall to the new Assembly after the General Elections to consider whether to pursue the matter on the sought of basis proposed by the Select Committee.

So unless any Honourable Member wishes to rise to a point of order and to suggest that some slightly different procedure would be proper, I witl invite any Hember to speak to the motion which is that the Report of the Select Committee be adopted. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak?........ In that case I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS SET OUT IN
THE REFORT BE ADOFTED.

## SELECT CONMTITEE <br> THE CA YMANIAN PROTECTION LAW

HON. MICHAEL T. BRADLEY: Mr. Preaident, Sir. in acoordance with Standing Order $72(5)$, I beg to present to this Honourable House the Report of the Select Committee which was eatablished on the 20th February, 1984, to consider anendments to and make recommendations with respect to the Caymanian Protection Low.

Mr. Preaident, as contra distinction to several other Select Committees in which theve were brief meetings and the Conmittee felt that they were not able to complete their investigations. On this occasion there has been in this Session and in the previous Session of the Legislative Assembly a Seleet Committee considering for a period of almost two years the Caymanian Protection Low in the light of the changed circumstances in the Cayman Islands and in the light of the now concept of citisenship under the British Nationality Act.

Mr. President, you will remember that on the 20th February, which was the Firet Sitting this year, Government Motion No. 3 was moved by myself which said, "In accordance with the provisions of Standing Onders 24(1) and 69(1) it is moved that the House do appoint a Select Committee oonsisting of both Eleeted and Official Members to consider such anendments as may be necessary or desirable to the Caymanion Protection Law follow. ing the coming into effect in the United Kingdom on the 1st day of January, 1983, of the British Nationality Act, 1981, and also to make such other recommendations as it thinks fit on alt matters contained in the Caymanion Protection Lav or which relate to Caymanian Status, Gainful Oecupation Licenses, Immigration and Deportation, and that the Select Committee so appointed do incorporate and deem to be part of their proceedings the minutes, reconmendations and other business traneacted by the Select Committee on the sume subject appointed during the previous 1983 Session of the Legislative Aesembly.".

I, Sir, had the honour to be the Chair-
man of that select Committee, appointed by yourself', and in this year's Session the Conmittee held no less than eight meetings, which together, if my memory serves me right, with scven meetings of the previous Select Committee was a total of no less thon fifteen lengthy meetings to consider thia Bill, an amendment to $i t$, which as all Members reatise is a vital piece of legistation in the Cayman Istands.

Through the media, in both years, representations were invited and ware recoived from members of the general public, and there were a large number of representations received. The Seleet Conmittee invited representatives of acveral aseooiations to meet with them. They discussed these representations. A Draft Discussion Bill was published on the 9th of July. The Committee received representations thereafter. On the basis of the response from the generat pubtic and thein oun feelings and the representations, they revised the Draft Discuscion Bill and they have now receonmended that the Draft Bill, attached to the Report which incorporates the recomendations of the Select Committee as set down in the minutes, be set down for consideration by this Legislative Assembly at this Meeting of the House.

Mr. President, Six, I do not intend to go into any details on the numerous recormendations. I understand that my Honourable colleague, the First Official Member, will be moving this Bill at its First and Second Readings later this week, and no doubt he will take the opportunity to highlight, as the Member of the Portfolio responsibility, the vamious ohanges that are proposed.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): May I only say, Mr. President, Sir, that the Select Conmittee met long hours, considered the matters very seriously, considered att the representations and that this Report is coming to this Honourable House with due deliberation, and the Bill that has been prepared is a Bitl prepared after those due deliberations.

Before I move the motion, Sir, under Standing Order 72(5), may I just please bring to the notice of Members. yourself and the media, that it has been drown to my attention by the Third Elected Member for West Bay that in the minutes of the thirteenth meeting there are in fact two mis-statements. And that is under section 2, under the definition of 'eligible persons' it states, "Mr. Benson O Ebanks was against paragraph (c)". In fact, it should correctly read, "Mr. Benson $O$ Ebanks was against paragraphe (c) and (d)". And on the seoond page of those minutes of the thirteenth meeting under section 15 there is cn underined statement which sayes, "Mr. Benson 0 Ebanks disagreed". In fact he has statod to me and I understond that it is correct that he did not discgree. He agreed that section 15 at that time be deleted and not disapreed with the deletion. I apologise for having to mention it at this stage Mr. President, Sir, but can onty say at that time that it was the Aoting Attorney-General who chaired that meeting so I personally was not cognizant of the decision taken at that time.

That being so, Mr. President, Sir, may I again move in accordance with Standing Order $72(5)$, may I move without notice that the recommendations contained in this Seleat Committee's Report be adopted and drow the Chair's attention to the fact that if the motion is seconded and tonopposed, you moy forthwith and without debate put the question. I think that Members may be tompted, since it is a matter of public interest, to speak now; and I do not know whether it would be the wish of Members to speak now and then have a second bite of the cherry at the Second Feading. If they are content that the motion be unopposed, I think there would be ample time tater in the week for a full discussion. But, agains, it is in the hands of the House. I beg to move the motion.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that the Report of the select Comittee oppointed to consider comendments and to make recommendations with reapect to the Caymanion Protection Law should be adopted in accordance with the procedure laid doum in Standing Order 72(5). Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not, I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS SET OUT IN THE REPORT BE ADOFTED.

## HOUSE COMMITTEE

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Fresident, I beg to toy on the table the Report of the House Committee. The Committee held one meeting on 30 th August, 1984.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

So ordered.
It may perhaps be appropriate for me just to mention at this point that as Members may have seens one of the subjects discussed at the meeting of the House Committee hetd on 30 th August and recorded in the minutes now laids was the question of draft miles dealing with admission of strangers to the Aesembty,

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): and for me to add that I have amended the omiginal draft mutes which I contemplated making to take acount of the two points raised by the Conmittee so that the miles now reflect them, and that as to the third point, which was olarification as to whether Bills or other papers directly conneoted to the Onder Paper could be properly studied by members of the public admitted, I have told the clerk that my interpretation is that any papers, like Bills, that are ciroulated with and connected with and related to the Onder Paper should properily be regarded as part of the Order Paper and that it is perfectly proper for any strangers who are admitted to be reading them. I have signed and made the rules and given the rules to the Clerk, and I cm sure the Clerk will be taking note of the euggestion that they should be posted for people to see.

Business Comittee.

## BUSINESS COMMITTEE

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I beg to lay on the Table the Report of the Business Committee meeting held on Friday the 31st of August.

MR. PRESIDENT: So ordered.
Accounts.


#### Abstract

ACCOUNTS

HON. THOMAS C. IEFFERSON: Mr: President, I beg to lay on the Table of this Honourable House the official copy of the Accounts to be referred to the Public Accounts Committee.

MR. PRESIDENT: So ondered. Item 3 - Questions - the First Elected

Member for George Town.


## QUESTIONS

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTTVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

> MO. 45: Would the Member state what progress has been made on the proposed new road connecting Elgin Avenue with Smith's Roadr

ANSWER: The boundary ptan and dectaration has been prepared but it has not been gazetted as Government oonsidered that other road-works should have priomity.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

MR. W. NOAMAN BODDEN:
A supplementary, Mr. Preaident. In February of this year we were told that it was proposed for this

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): road to be buitt during 1984, and my question is, is it still proposed to have this road built during this year - 1984?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Fresidents the Member knows that there is money in the Estimates for this road. However, there were aertain events which made it impossible for all the road-works soheduled for this year to be completed. I doubt that this road will be built duming 1984.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, would the Honourable Member say what other roads might have taken priomity over this partioular road?

HON. G. HATG BODDEN: I think, Mr. President, all Members are ouvare of the road-works which have been completed this year. Some worke have been in the making from last year, for example there are traffic improvements in George Town; there is the Rush Fond Road in West Bay; there is the work on the many subdivision roads that have taken place this year; and there is also the faot that public Works was engaged in an enormous task at the airport. This work took up some of the time that normally would have gone on roads.

The road is still in the Govermment's programme for completion. As I mentioned in the crower, while it is desirable to have this road, I think there are many roads that could be built which would definitely have priority over this. I can mention just three of them. Right now the Bodden Town area is very congested and that is the main artery to the eastern distmicts, and I would like to see a by-pass road starting say at Meagre Bay Pond going behind Bodden Town and coming out either at Spotts or Prospect Park - and so open up the whole of the interior and reiteve the eongestion on the extremely narrow road that passes through the densely populated area of Bodden Town.

I also see a need for a by-pass road to Crewe Road starting up at Mr, Roscoe's house in Crewe Road and travelling behind Mr. Arthur Hunter's house in Creve Road and ooming out on Walker's Road. This would relieve the Crewe Road area which is densely populated - it has a very narrow street. I also see the need for a road to by-pass the West Bay Road. Right now there is something like 13,000 to 15,000 vehicles per day travelling the West Bay Road, and $I$ would see a road starting at Mr. Delworth's, or what used to be Mr. Delworth's Gas Station, and travelling down through the swomp into West Bay. I think that I oould probably name a dozen other roads that would have more priority thon this road whichwill aimply connect Elgin Avenue to Smith's Road. The proposed road would go past the Govermment House: and the Racquett Club, on to the, I think it is, western boundary of the Agricultural Grounds and into Smith's Road. It is desirable to have onother outlet which would ease some of the congestion and would provide an alternative route. But, I connot truthfully say that the road questioned by the Member should be one of utmost priority. Nevertheless, Goverment, as I mentioned, has done some advance work on it and has prepared the plan. The survey work has been done and it is only a matter of going ahead with it.
$I$ should atso mention that the improvement on the intersection of Shedden Road and the North Sound Road is a road that could have decidedly more priority than thie. I am wondering if the Member questioning it knows that thie road, while it may serve the public, would benefit the individual land owners through whose land this road would pass. I am

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): wondering if he is asare of that and that the pressure that may be put on him to bring this question may be a selfish interest, and that the actuat construction of this road would materially benefit a few more than the wider area of the population whioh would have benefitted by probally the oonstruction of any one dozen other new roads. However, Government witl continue to look at this road becouse I think it is necessary and hopefully since the money has already been voted for it, that when the Estimates are made up and if the work has not been complebed by 31 it Decembers. the money can be re-voted and that early next year it can be buitt.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. President, under Standing Orders, as far as I know, questions are to be asked for information and action and I can assure this House that that is my only motive: I have no friends who have property in that area. However, I have a supplementary, Sir.

It was my understanding that it was envisaged that this road would partly reduce the congestion and the traffic at the intersbetion of Smith's Road, Walker's Road and Hospital Road, and this was part of the reason this road was being planned. Sinoe it witl probably not be built in the course of 1984, my question is if the Honourable Member would now consider the instatation of a traffic light then at this busy intersection?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, the question of a street light at the intersection Smith's Road, the Hospital Road and Walker's Road has been studied carefully in the past, and a recommendation had been made originally that it could be one of the areas where a street light could be used. However, instead of putting in a street light, the Public Woriks Department, with the concurrence of the police, instatiled four-way stop signs which requires the traffic coming from the hospital, the traffic going to the hospital, the traffic ooming from Walker's Road and the traffie coming from Smith's Road, to stop. This means that every vehicle coming upon this interaection must come to a stop. The first vehicle to arrive will have the right-of-way and other vehicles arriving aubsequently will follow the firgt vehicle. The stop sign has worked well. The Portfolio has received no criticism of it. The Portfolio has reoeived no complaint about the stop signs and in this particulor area we consider that the four-way stop sign is doing a better job thon a street light would do. One of the problems with a street light is that the street light will hold traffic to a stop when there is no other traffic in the area and so the traffic using a four-way stop will move much faster than traffic being controtled by a mechonicat light which does not take into account the fact that there is no other vehicle in sight.

We have seen, in George Toum, where the traffic lights were instatled on Harbour Drive and Shedden Road, and on Harbour Drive and Fort Street $\qquad$
MR. PRESIDENT: I think the Honourable Member really has andwered the supplementaxy already fairly fully and we have a number of other questions down .......

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, could I ask a supplementary?

If it is a further supplementary, yee,
MR. PRESTDENT:
you may, but I must ask $\qquad$

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir. I wish the Member would conswer the questions and not go into a big debate.

I just simply want to ask the Member sir. if he is cuvare that this question arises out of the conswer which he gave to a question in Febmary about that street light. The road was then given as an alternative to the street light. Is he now saying that the Portfolio or himself have changed their minds?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Freeident, Abrahom Lincoln once said if a person kept quiet he might be thought a foot or he could open his mouth and remove all doubt. This is question time and I endeavoured to give an answer to clear up any doubt that might be in the minds of the questioners, and yous Mr. President, were satisfied that I had satisfied the questioners. Now a Member gets up to make a statement rather thon asking a question, and if the Member will ask a question, I will endeavour to answer it.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think there was a question alked. You were asked whether the Portfolio had chonged its mind in view of the fact that earlier in this Session you answered a question about the road junction in question by saying that the proposed new road was going to help relieve congestion there.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, the answer is (and I hope I witi be given time to elaborate on this) when the Traffic Report was completed by Mid South Engineering, they noted the intergection at Walker's Road as one of the problem areas.

Whether we use a traffic light on whether we use a stop sign does not diminish the comount of traffic. So the question abked, in my minds is utter noneense. the vehicle for controlling the traffic only helps it to move more smoothly. It in no way diminishes the amount of traffic, and so can in no way relieve the oongestion. It can only guarantee that the congestion that is there oontinues to move in an orderly and safe manner.

The action taken by the Govemment in putting in the stop signs does in no way relicve the congestion, nor would the putting in of a traffic light relieve the oongestion. It only helps it to flow in an orderly manner. It only helps it to flow in a safe manner. But, these oontrols, particularly a traffic light, will slow down the traffic and so even cause more congestion, but what it does is to give equal opportunity to the traffic moving in the four directions to travel.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I must interrupt the Honourable Member because the Member is not really answering the supplementary that was asked. The supplementary asked was in view of the fact that the Member etated that certain road-works would be undertaken in order to help relicve congestion at the intersection he is discussing, and in view of the fact that he now says the road-works are being postponed, has he changed his mind?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
from Elgin Avenue to
Are you talking about the road-works

MR. PRESIDENT:
My understonding is that the supplementary question was in view of the fact that you stated, in answer to a question during February this year, that these road-works would be undertaken. The road-works -- the proposed new road oonnecting Elgin Avenue with Smith's Road, would be constructed in order to


#### Abstract

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): retieve congestion the Hospital Road crossing, and in vieb of the fact that you now state the new road construction is being postponed, have you changed your mind? I think that was the supplementary. Am I right? Yes.


#### Abstract

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Every person has problems with that Member's questions because he buries them up in so many explanations and statements that no one is able to follow them. But if he is asking, as I understand through you now, Sir. whether Govermment has changed its mind that the construction of a road from Elgin Avenue to Smith's Road will ease the congestion at the intersection by the Hospital Road, Smith's Road, Walker's Road and the other road leading into it, the conswer is that I believe that the construction of a road from Elgin Avenue to Smith's Road will definitely ease the oongestion. I have never indicated, I have never implied that this road would not now ease the arngestion, We are convinced that it witt.

I com saying that the construction of this road now, athough it witl ease the congestion, is not as importont as the construction of other roads that could be built by Goverment.


MR. PRESIDENT:
We have spent a tong time on this question and the supplementaries. I hope we can move on to another question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: NMr. President, I wonder if this would not be an appropriate time, Sir, under Stonding Order 82 to seek the suepension of Stonding Order 23(8) to complete all of the questions on the Order Paper today. That is untess Standing Order 23(8) is suspended, questions would have to cease by eleven o'clock, and although I personally do not have any questions down for today, I would like to see Members given an opportunity to have their questions asked and answered.

MR. PRESIDENT:
It certainly is the case that we spent some thirty minutes, I think, on presentation of papers and reports so hatuing the time for questions, and we subsequently spent twenty five minutes on the first question. I would hope that the remaining questions, if we do suspend Stonding Orders, will not occupy twenty-five minutes each. I would suggest that if we were going to suspend, we perhaps altow a further fifteen or twenty minutes, some speoific period rather than say we will get to the end of questions which might tempt Nembers to speak for great lengths of time on them. Supposing we allowed another thirty minutes from now which I think woutd be reasonable. Would the Member care to ......

$$
\frac{M R_{1} \text { BENSON O. EBANKS: }}{\text { President. }} \text {. An half-hour would be acceptable, Mr. }
$$

MR. PRESIDENT:
Welt then the motion is that in accord-
ance with ..........
HON. MICHAEL $I$. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, perhaps if you, before you move the motion, could have your attention drown to standing Order 23(6) which aays. "Not more than three questions requiring an oral answer shall appear on the Order Paper in the name of the same Member for the scone day and any question in excess of this number shall not be called by the Presiding Officer but shatl be answered as provided in paragraph (8) save that no postponement shall be allowed.".

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): Now I may have gotten my arithmatic wrong, Mr. President, but it appears from Item 3 on our Order Paper that the First Elected Nember for George Town has four questions doum for today and the Third Elected Member for George Town has five questions down for todoy.

MR. PRESIDENT: That seems to be quite right. I think perhaps the Business Committee must have overlooked Standing Order 23(6).

MP. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I think if we look at the Orders of the Day it would appear that the Member in fact does have his arithmatic wrong, because on the Orders of the Day I only see three appearing under the name of the First Elected Member for George Town and ......

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad I$ see four -45.46 .47 and 53.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
I om sorry, Sir, I did not see that they had shifted that. I know there are four for the Third Elected Member for George Town. But I agree with the Honourable Seoond Offioial Member, and one of those would have to be carried over tomorrow for each of those Members.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: No, Mr. President, Sir.
CAPT, CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, when the Business Committae met, Sir, on Friday we had nine questions for the first day. There were a total of twonty-eight questions. There were nine for the first day, ten for the second day and nine for the third day. I was called, Six, by the Clerk of the Legistative Assembly who said that you had instructed her to only take six questions for the first day, and the order in which the Committes put the questions has not been followed, Sins, and that is why there are more than three questions on the Oxder Paper.

We did take into aecount that no Member could ask more than three questions and it was clearly laid out on the Business Paper. If there is ony error it is in the Orders of the Day, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: With respect, the minutes of the Busineas Comittee, which you laid, do list five questions by the Third Elected Member for George Town and four by the First Elected Member for George, Town for today. "Perhaps there has been some confusion. Moreover, my own recolleotion of the message I gave to the Clerk was that since some questions had not reached me until I think it was Thursday, and could not be expected to reach Members, after I had approved them, until Eriday, it would be best if those questions were not asked until the Tuesday or later in the week. But the questions that had come in earlier were asked on Monday. However, perhaps we can resolve this, and if I may make a suggestion, $I$ think it would be harsh on the two Members, the First Elected Member for George Town and the Third Elected Member for George Town, whom I do not think share in the responsibility for any confusion that arooes if they were denied an opportunity to aak their questione. Perhaps if we are suspending Standing Orders, and it is obviously going to be the wish of the House, we shall have to determine whether it is the wish of the House to suspend them. They could be suspended not only in relation to Standing Order 23(8), but aleo

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): in relation to Standing Order 23(6), so that all the questions that are on the Order Paper con be asked today as long as we can dispose of them within half an hour.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I an wondering whether the motion is now open for debate, sir, and precisely what is the motion?

MR. PRESIDENT:
If I understand ........... welt.
let the Member say, yes.

SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 23(6),(7) \& (8)
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir. Mr. President, the motion is that in accordance with Standing Order 82 , Standing Order 23(6) and (8) be suspended to enable the questions on the Orders of the Day to be disposed of this morning.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think it would have to be (6),
(7) and (8) of Stonding order 23. So the motion is open for debate and if any Honourable Member wishes to speak to it he may do so.

## DEBATE

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I always find it amusing that when it suits the Thind Eleeted Member for West Bay to suspend the Standing Onders, he is all ready to do it. The biggest ariticism that he has levelled at this House has been the suspension of Standing Onders, but now it suits him to do it so he is prepared to put the motion.

It is, in my opinion, Mr. Preeident, hyyocritical to stand there Meeting after Meeting and say that these Standing Orders are sacrosanct and should not be suspended, and then to get up and suspend three sub-orders.

There are very important mattere on the agenda today and I would have no objection if the Members moved their questions aoross to another day because we are going to be in the House for several other days, but I do not see why on the first day we should take and have a whote day loaded with questions when there are other extremely important matters here. I will oppose the motion as it now stonds, but I would not object to the Members being given the opportunity on the other days of the legislature to ask these. I should mention to this Honourable House, it provides one very good lesson. When you ask a question, if you are not oertain of the length of the answer and you continue to ask supplementaries to it, then you must expect that the time is going to be taken up on it.

So if the House would be prepared to lay the questions over until tomorrow and then we begin to move down them again, we can see how muoh time we have at the end of the Sitting and then perhaps it is more appropriate to put the motion that the Third Elected Member for West Bay has put. But, I once again remind him that if he is going set up rulee then I hope that when applications to euspend these Standing Orders come to this Honourable House again that he will remember that he does it when it suits him, so he must expect that other Members should have similar rights. What is good for the goose is good for the gonder. Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENT: Before any other Member rises, my understanding of the last speaker is that he would support a suspension of Standing Onders which would enable all of the questions that are down today to be asked orally in due course, and it would be necessary to suspend Standing Orders 23(6), I think, for that purpose or part of 23(6). If that is so, would he care to move an amendment to the motion beacuse we would have to vote specifically to ouspend part of Standing Order 23(6) if we were going to proceed as the Member wished.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Fresident, I would like the Member to tell us which Standing Order he would propose to duspend to achieve what he has suggested.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, the Third Elected Member for West Bay want to ask the questions so let him figure out what to get it under.

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, I am quitte prepared to have $m y$ five questions laid over until later during this Meeting.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN: Mr. President, since the matter appears to still be open for debate, I would like to exercise my right to support the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council. I can see no reason for the interruption of today's Order Paper. Question Time has been exhausted. This is the fixst day of the House and we should move on to other matters. However, I will support any Member who wants the questions answered tomorrow or maybe on Friday of this week, because I think we will still be here until Friday. There are many important matters to be dealt with.

The Question Time is, in my mind, one of the most important periods in the House and $I$, as a Member, am always glad for the opportunity to explain any progrommes that may fall under me, and to clear up any matters that may be in the minds of anyone bringing a question. But Members must understand that it is a two-way street. If a Member brings a number of questions, he muet deaide whether he wants alt of them answered within the speaified time or whether he wants to exhaust all of the time in dealing with one question, and as we saw here this moming with a question that was of not much importance. Therefore Members must behave like other business people and must do what they can do within the time allotted.

We have Question Time in the House every morning and Members aan have many questions answered each day providing they do not take up the time, as the Third Elected Member for West Bay has done this moming, in making statements. If they will confine their actions to simply asking questions, which is what question Time is about, but we have some Members who want to make political apeeches during Question Time.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Like you.
BON. G. HATG BODDEN: Mr. EreBident.......
MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS: Mr. President, I think the Third
Elected Member for West Bay .........
MR. PRESIDENT:
I con afraid I could not hear. Was

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): the Member rising on a point of onder? ............ Well, please continue.

HON, G. HAIG BODDEN:
Yes, I think, Mr. President, the Second c'leeted Member for West Bay was rising on a point of order because my debate was mudely interrupted by the Third Eleeted Member for West Bay for no cause at all. As I said earlier this morning, a person. may keep quist and remain a fool or he may open his mouth and remove alt doubt.

It is my hope that every question on the Order Paper will be answered before this Sitting is out because I oertainly have three more questions and it will be a pleasure for me to give the answers beaduse I think the questions are very sensible questions; I think the questions are of great importance for the public, and I certainly would like to give the answers.

Finally, I would say that if Members use up alt the Question Time in speeches and intermepting other people, there is still a provision in our Standing Orders for the Members to receive the answers. There is a Standing Order that says any question not answered orally in the House may be answered in writing, and the answer can be sent to the Members. But I hope that before the Meeting is out we will be able to give all the answers to all the questions that have been asked.

MR. Wi NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. President, question. no. 45 was asked $\overline{b y}$ me and regandless of what the last speaker thinks, I feel that that question was of paramount importance to the public and that was the reason I asked it. It seems to me that quite a bit of the politioal phetoric is coming from the other side as well. In any case, Mr. President, I would request that question no. 46, 47 and 53 set down on the Order Paper in my name be postponed to a later date.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If I could ....... wett, I was hoping to eatch the Member before he finished because although some previous speakers have indicated support for a proposition that would enable all today's questions to be answered oralty at a later date, but no support for prolonging Question Time today and most of the time by whioh we might have prolonged Qusstion Time seems to have been taken $u p$ with this debate, there is not yet any formal amendment proposed to the motion of the Third Elected Member for West Bay, and unless an amendment is proposed, which would faciliate what you wish. we may get ourselves into the diffioulties, although most Members are anxious to do as you have suggested, we have not got a motion that will have that effect.

If you cared to move an amendment I would be prepared to allow you to continue to do so because I think that is what you were, in effect, asking.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Yes, Mr. President, I would move an amendment then that the remaining questions on the Order Paper today be set down for a later date.

MR._ PRESIDENT: I think what you have to do is to amend the motion of the Third Elected Member for West Bay. His motion sought to suspend Standing Order 23(6), (7) and (8), in order to enable all the questions on today's Order Paper to be asked today provided that they could be answered within half an hour.

Other Members have objected to that, but have agreed to a proposition that the questions could be answered orally on another day. Now, to achieve that it would be necessary

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): to auspend Standing Order 23(6). So I think you would have to move an amendment to the motion before the House which is the motion of the Third Elected Member for West Bay, that instead of suspending Standing Order 23(6), (7) and (8) to enable further questions to be asked today, we should suspend $23(6)$ only.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
The point I on making, Mr. President, is that we want to be sure that these questions are answered orally and not in writing, and for the avoidance of doubt $I$ would also think that sub-order (8) shoutd be suspended to make sure that they are answered verbally.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well perhaps it should because we have already gone way beyond eleven o'olock, yes.

HON: MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Fresident, Six, in oase this situation gets even more confused, could I drow your attention to the fact that Standing Order 23(7) says, "No question shall be asked after 11 a.m. "s, and then $23(8)$ says that if an oral answer has not been given, a Member must reserve a right.

The time has gone past eleven o'clock, Sir. No Member has reserved a right. I think that even if we suspend Standing orders 23(6), (7) and (8), it witl not have the effect desired unless we deem Standing Orders to have been suspended at one minute to eleven, but I am not quite sure how Members feet about retroapective legislation, (LAUGHTER)

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
IAr. President, if I could address that, Six. My motion was made prior to eleven o'clock and it was for the specific reason to preserve the right of Members to ask their questions after eleven o'clock. I have a lot more to aay, Sir, but before I go on I want to know if you are going to consider this my winding up ....

MR. PRESIDENT:
Not really. I do not think that you are in order speaking at all now because there has not been an amendment to your motion that has been quite completely moved yet. We are in the process of amending it. Once an amendment to your motion has been moved then you can speak on the amendment, and you could speak winding up as well later if need be.
$I$ would myself feel that if Standing Order 23(6) and ( 8 ) were both suspended (leave aside any question of retrospection), I would be prepared to mile, in view of the fact that this debate stared before eleven o'olook, that the effect was that all of the questions on the day's Order Paper could be set dow for another day for oral answer.

So I think I will take it that the First Eleated Member for George Town has moved an amendment to the motion to the effect that only Standing Order $23(6)$ and (8) should be suepended, and not $23(7)$, for the purpose not of enabling further questions to be asked today, but for the purpose of enabling the unanswered questions to be set down for orat answer on another day or days. Is that correct? Now that amendment is now open for debate and the Third Eleoted Member for Weat Bay may speak to the amendment, but only at this stage to the amendment.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
to the amendment?

I am not indicating a readiness .....
Does any Honourable Member wish to speak

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I support that amendment. I think Members have a right to have theip questions asked orally and there witl be several other days when this can be done. But I think that motion should properly have come from the other aide of this House, from the First Elected Nember as it has, and I am prepared to support that. I do endorse the consistent pressure against retrospectivity, but I leave that to those Members' consciences and I take the point of what you have mentioned, Sir.

So I support that and I think this is the proper way of giving Members the right to have their questions heard orally.

MR. PRESIDENT: Does any Honourable Member' wish to speak?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
And you are speaking, at this stage, simply to the amendment?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
This witl embody both motions .......
MR. PRESTDENT:
No, well, you can at this stage (ptease $\frac{\text { sit down - both of youlspeak only to the amendment. If after } I \text { have }}{}$ put the amendment, whether it is oarried or not, we then go on to vote, as we shall have to, on the substantive motion to which you will have a right of reply - a winding up speech.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Six, to clarify my mind, at least, could the Clerk please read out the amendment that is in front of the House at the moment - the words of it?

MR. PRESIDENT: I am not ...... I think it would be very difficult for the clerk because we have been really rather imprecise, but my understanding is that the Third Etected Member for West Bay moved a motion that in accordance with the proviaions of Standing Order 82, Standing Order 23(6), (7) and (8) should be suspended to enable question Time to continue for a further thirty minutes today. I am not sure that those were the precise words, but that was the effect.

The First Eleoted Member for George
Town subsequently moved an amendment that the motion be amended by deleting the referonce to Starding Order 23(7), and by deleting the purpose for which the suspension was sought and substituting as the purpose for the purpose of enabling all the unanswered questions, standing of today's Order Paper, to be anawered orally on another day or days. It is that amendment that is under debate. If the amendment is carried, we shall then debate the motion as amended. If the conendment is lost, we ahall continue debating the original motion. In either case, the Third Elected Member for West Bay witl have a might to speak again at the close. He has a right to speak on the amendment now, but anything he says must be addressed to the amendment alone.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I support the anendment, Six. The Government Bench has atready used up the thirty minutes which I sought to have for the answering of questions. So I must in att honesty and integrity support the anendment.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish

MR. PRESTDENT (CONTINULNG): to speak to the amendment? If not I will put the question that the motion be amended as proposed by the First Elected Member for George Town.

QUESTION PUT: $\triangle G R E E D . ~ A M E N D M E N T$ TO THE MOTION WAS PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT: We are now debating the motion as amended. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not, the Third Elected Member for West Bay has a right of reply.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
For sake of clarity now, Mr. President, this is an amended motion. You are treating my. contribution now as the winding up of this debate.

MR. PRESIDENT:
That is right. you are therefore now able to speak to the whole motion as amencied.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yee, Sir. Mr. President, I am really surpmised at the reaction of Government Members to what I considered a simple straight forward and worthwhite motion put to the House.

With regards to the question of hypoorisy, referred to by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council, I would like to say that he would not know what hypocrisy is if it hit him in the face.

My motion was a simple motion. It was not a motion which sought to take away the rights from this House or Members of the House. It is a motion which sought to extend the right of Members and the right of the public to know. So unlese some action had been taken, similar to what I proposed, these questions would have been answered in writing and handed to the Member, and therefore the public, and possibly the prese, would have not had an opportunity to know what the anewers were.

My action, I justify one hundred per cent and I think that the Member should be able to distinguish between suspending Standing Orders which take away the rights of Members and the pubtice such as the right to have Bills for seven days before the sitting, and proper notice of a motion and proper notice of amendments. Those are the suspensions of Standing orders to which I have objected and I will continue to object. But as I said, it appears that the Honourable learned Member is unable to diatinguish that difference and I am afraid he will have to live with that.

Thank you, Sir.
MR: PRESIDENT:
The question before the House is $i s_{s}$ as nearly as I can recall it, that in acoerdance with the provisions of Standing Order 82, Standing Order 23(6) and (8) would be suspended for the purpose of enabling those questions, numbers 46 to 53 , standing on today's Order Paper which have not yet been anowered, to be set down for ord answer on another day or days during this Meeting.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. $\frac{\text { IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF }}{\text { STAIVDING ORDER } 82 \text {, STANDING ORDER } 23}$ (6) AND (8) ARE SUSPENDED.

MR. PRESIDENT:
That ends Question Time. We have used
the full half-hour, by which it might have been extended, to debate its possible extension, and I think perhaps we have reached, if we have not atready passed the time when it is customary to suspend proaeedings
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MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): for a short period. Before $I$ do eo, however, perhaps I could just mention that it has been brought to my notice that there is one matter which the Honourable Seoond Official Member has spoken to Members about and which I believe Members might find it helpful to discuss privately after the coffee break, therefore I suggest that we have a short meeting in the Committee Room before we resume proceedings here.

AT 11:3O A.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:19 P.M.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { MR. PRESIDENT: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Please be seated. } \\ \text { Groceedings are resumed. Item 3, }\end{array} \\ \text { Foverment Business - Motions, Goverrment Motion No. 11/84. }\end{array}$

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS
MOTIONS

## GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 11/84

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I beg to move Govermment Motion No. 11 which reads as foztows:-
"In accordance with the inmonities, powers and privileges conferred upon the Legislative Assembly of the Cayman Islands by the Cayman Islands (Constitution) Order, 1972, the Legislative Assembly (Inmumities, Powers and Privileges) Law (Revised) and atl other written laws, be it hereby resolved that Radio Cayman, being a broadoasting station operated from within the Cayman Istands by the Government of the Cayman Istands is hereby authorised to broadoast recordings of the proceedings of the Legislative Assembly as are hereafter named ..

1. Question Time.
2. Presentation of Eapers (Reports on Conmittees) Speeches thereon.
3. Debate on Second Reading of alt Bills set down for the Sitting commenoing on Monday, 3ra September, 1984.
4. Debate, if any, on Government Motions.".

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is Government Motion No. 11 dealing with the broadoasting of parliamentary proceedings. I will not read the motion through agains but as Members know it is necessary in order to enable Radio Cayman to broadoast those parts of our proceedings which Members advise should be broadcast. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 11/84 PASSED.

## GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 10/84

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I beg to move Govemment Motion No. 10 - Tower Building Borrowings which reads:-
"Be it resolved that this Honourable House approve the borrowing by the Govervment of the Cayman Islands of the sum of $\$ 5,500,000$ (five million, five hundred thousand United States dollars) from Barclays Bank International Limited for seven years at 1\% (one percent) above the rate paid to Govermment on fixed: deposits. ".

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is Government Motion No, 10/84 dealing with the borwowing by Government necessary for the purchase of the Tower Building. Does any Honourable Nember wish to speak? If not, I will put the question. I am not quite sure whether the Thind Elected Member for Weot Bay ........ yes.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Mr. President. Purely on a point of order, I am wondering if Standing Order 24(2) has been coversd? I realize this is probably the first time that we are dealing with a motion which appears to be secured by deposite, but if my momory cerves me correetly, in the past when we have been guaranteeing Government borrowinge, we have charged the borrowing by the motion against the revenues of the country; and it would seem to me that if the security to the bank in this instance is intended to be fixed deposits, this motion should have inctuded some reference to the Government being authorised to give a lien over the fixed deposits.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? Perhape I should mention the point of order which was raised and perhape I may answer it by saying that I did indeed give or make the neaessary recomendation, give the necesaary permission, for the resolution to be brought - I did as Governor. It is perhape arguable that the Member who introduced the motion should, when introducing it in order to satisfy the requirements of Standing Order 24(2), have signified the faot that I had done so.

Does any other Honounable Member wish to speak? Does the mover of the motion wish to exercise his right of reply?

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Yes, Mr. Presidents and I will be brief. It is mainty to thank Members for their support to the motion. It is indeed a borrowing that Goverment is not necessarily able to negotiate on most occasions and the cost to the revenue of the country will' be small indeed. Therefore, Mr. President, the overall cost then of the Tower Building projeot will be equally rectuced by the interest which will be charged on the loan.

The price that was reached, Mr. President, or the bid that was sucoeseful was US $\$ 4.650$ mitlion. The building is 63,400 square feet and we have, at the moment, tenants who are paying $\$ 51,200$ a year each. I witl just add that, Mr. President, as I should have done it in the beginning.

Thank you.

| MR. PRESIDENT: | I witl put the question. |
| :--- | :---: |
| QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. $10 / 94$ PASSE'D. |  |

MR. PRESIDENY: Goverrment Motion No, 12/84.

## GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 12/84

1ON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I beg to move Government Motion No. 12 dealing with the expenditure in 1985 which reads:
"Whereas the Estimates for the Finanoial Year 1985 witl not be approved before the 31st December, 1984, due to the General Ilections on 14th Novembers 1984;
And whereas it will be neceseary in the interest of the Public Service to incur expenditure before the approval of the said Estimates for 1985;
Be it resolved that thtil the Estimates for 1985 are approved, the Financial Secretary is hereby empowered and authorised to inour expenditure out of the Generat Revenue of the Islands as a charge to proper Heads and Sub-Heads of Acoounts, aluays provided that there with be:
(a) no increase in any officer's satary (other than approved increments) or allowances.
(b) no increase in the establishment over that provided in the 1984 Estimates or approved during 1984.
(c) no repayments on account of recurrent expenditure in excess of the provision for the financial year 1984,
(d) no repayments on account of new services or capital work except for the completion of projects approved and implemented in 1984.".

Thank you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is Govermment Motion No. 12 dealing with the approval of expenditure during the early part of 1985. I will not read the whole text of the motion again, but the motion is now open for debate. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak?

HON. MICHAEL $J$. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, just in the stightest of technicalities - so expert has my Honourable colleague become in drafting recently that in fact we do not draft in chambers motions of this kind, but merely aast an eye over them. $I$, myself, admit to having cast an eye over this motion and said it appears acceptable, but in strictest technioality the phrase in the second and third lines, "due to the General Elections on 14th November, 1984", is pexhaps arystal gaaing in that you, I wnderstand as Governor, have not yet issued the proclamation appointing that date even though it is generally understood it will be so. Thow words could, I think,

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTLNUING): on a technical point be left out - "..... on 14th November, 1984".

MR. PRESIDENT: Well, it is perfectly true I have not yet signed the proclamation that fixes the date although we did announce the date some months ago and I feels,mself, comnitted to it and I think probably Members of the House would be taken back if I changed my mind.

I had one, also technical if you like, question. The final sub-paragraph of the motion (d) says, "no repayments on acoount of new services or capital work except for the completion of projects approved and implemented in 1984", and it occurred to me to wonder whether that wording sufficed to enable work to be undertaken early next year on a capitat project for which funds had been approved in 1984, but on which, for whatever reason, it had not been possible to start work during 1984. We had a debate or there was a question earlier today, (the answer to which indicated that a particulor road-work might not start before December - funds having been voted for that work this year) would the work; if this motion were approved, be able to be undertakion in the early part of next year before the approval of a new budget or is it not intended to cover that kind of thing?

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr, President, I believe from a practical point of view, that should not areate too much of a burden unless I have my facts wrong. The General Election is going to be held on 14th November. Members are going to be sworm into the House and I think if we wanted to start a project in January we could call Finance Committee to deal with it. If we get ite approval the funds could be made available.

MR. PRESIDENT:
But, is the answer to my question then that even though funds have been voted this year, if the work has not been started this year we cannot make a start on it without voting new funds. We could, if the work had been started, go on and complete the job. Is that right?

HON. THOMAS C. JEPFERSON: That is correct, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Well if no other Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 12/84 PASSED.

MR. PRESIDENT: Item 4 - Other Business, Frivate Bilil.

## OTHER BUSINESS <br> PRIVATE BTLL

THE NATIONAL CULTURAL FOUNDATTON BILL, 1984
FIRST READING
CLERK: THE NATIONAS CILTUIRAT, POINDATTON RTLI. 1984.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, following upon the procedure that this House recently went through with the General Oriental Investments Limited Law, 1984, I am asking that in acoordance with Standing Order 82 that we suspend sub-orders (2) and (3) of Standing Order 62 to allow the Bill to be given a Second Reading today, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that in order to enable this Bill to be given a Second Reading today, Standing Order 62(2) and (3) shoutä be suspended in àeordance with the provieions of Stonding Order 82.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Ereaident, sin, would it be the intention of the Ḧonourable proposer and mover, later, to propose the suspension of sub-orders (4) onwainds of the same Standing Order?
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yee, Sir, I proposed to suspend Standing Order 62(4) and request that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee of the Whote House under Standing Order 49. (That is Standing Oxider 62(4) and (5).)" I would do that, Sir, after the Second Reading and before the Committee Stage.

MR. PRESIDENT: Yes, my understanding is that the requirements of Standing Order 62(1) have been satisfied in respect of this Bill. I am not sure that I ever got as far as saying that the Bitl is deemed to have been read a First time and is set down for a Second Reading. I think it is my fault. I probably looked across at you before I........
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Sorry, I think you are quite might to say it was read a Firet time. I think I need to get this through before you set it down for the Second Reading.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Yes, $I$ think that is right. So I think we witz have to take it that I have aaid and I do now aay that the Bill is deemed to have been read a First time and is eet down for Second Reading, but before the Second Reading can begin it is necessary to suepend, if the House agrees, Standing Order 62(2) and (3) in order to enable the Second Reading to go ahead today.

So I will ask any Member who wishes to speak to the motion which has been proposed by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council proposing the suspension of Standing Order $62(2)$ and (3) in accordanee with the provisions of Stonding Order 82. If no Honourable Member wishes to speak, I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 62(2) AND (3) SUSPENDED.

## SECOND READING

GLERK: THE NATIONAL CULTURAL FOUNDATION BILL, 1984.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I move the Seoond Reading of a Bilt entitled the Cayman National Cultural Foundation Bill, 1984.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. President, this Bill will be a milestone in the history of culture in the Cayman Islands. It will establish for the first time by low a cultural Foundation and it is hoped that this will work side-by-side with the other foundations and statutory bodies such as the Cayman Museum and the other foundations within the Islands.

The Bill is one that follows along the tines of the statutory corporations. The section establish the Foundation as a limited liability corporation, as separate from its members, and the Bill sets out the functions and objeatives of the Foundation itself. I will not go into reading those, Sir, because they are somewhat long. It has in it also sections that provide for the application of fionds and also for accounte and audit, and perhaps most important to this Honourable House it has a right of access by the Auditor-General of the Government.

I witl have to move one additional clause as Clause 17, and I have ciroulated that to Members which is really the reservation of right which has to go into every private law. and infortunately in the rehashing of this by the different parties, it seems to have been left out.

I would like to exprese my thonks to Mre. Harquail who has not only gifted large aums of money, but has also agreed to transfer substantial portions of land into this corporation, and in fact that will form the main part of the aseets of this Foundation.

As a result, Mr. Fresident, I think that her good advice is something that the Foundation should always have and we have entrenched in this Bitl that she shatl be a member during her lifetime.

The Cultural Foundation will provide good altemative - clean entertainment for the youth and other persons in the community, and will continue to give an alternative to the television and cinematograph institutions.

In proposing this Bill, Mr. President, I am always aware and witl always be aware, both during my time as Member for Social Services and aftemwards, how important it is to have the youth of this country channelled in the correct path and to permit them the alternative sources to expend the tremendous amount of energy which youth have.

I would also like to thank the members of the Cultural Foundation, which over'laps to a part with the theatree, as they have put in, as well, a tremendous amount of work.

Therefore, very briefly, Mr. President, this Eill will provide the machinery for the Foundation to accept the gifting of the property. Into it, it will give them the limited liability and the corporate status that is necessary to enter into contracte, for examptes, for the building of the theatre and whatever other buildings that they ohoose to put on this property in due course. It will, to a large extent, be an endorsement by this Government on a very important milestone in the field of culture in these Islands.

The Bill, I hope, will not be controvergial, and, as I mentioned earlier, I undertake to move an amendment at the Committee Stage on the usuat reservation of rights which are necessary in a private Bill.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that a Bill shortly entitled The Cayman National Cultural Foundation Bill, 1984, be read a Second time. "The motion is now open for debate. If no Member wishes to speak, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.

MR. PRESIDENT:

Item 5 ......
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, if I may just aok, Six, under the sub-oxder (4) of Standing Order 62, unless you permit me to make the motion now to move this to a Committee of the Whole House, I may very well Zose that right and I would ask that I could do that at this time.

MR. PRESIDENT: Yes, I think that is probably quite might. So you would be moving a motion to seek to suspend Standing Orders as you said sarlier, yes? Well you oarry on then please.

## SUSPENSION OF STANDINC ORDER 62(4) AND (5)

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Thank you.
Mr. President, under Standing Order 82, I wish to move the suspension of Standing Order 62(4) and (5) and to further move that the Bill be allowed to be referred to $a$ Committee of the Whole House under Standing Onder 49 instead of being referred to a Select Committee.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House io that in accordanoe with the provisions of Standing Order 82, Standing Order 62(4) and (5) shall be suspended for the purpose of enabling the Bill to be referred, in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 49, to a Committee of the Whole Houae instead of being referred to a Select Conmittee.

Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? If not, I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
STANDING ORDER 62(4) AND (5) SUSPENDED
AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAANDIMC ORDER
49 THAT THE BILL BE REFERRED TO A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Will it be oonvanient perhaps for
the Honourabte Member and for the House if we go into Committee now to consider this Bill? It seems to me that perhaps we ought to keep it separate from the Govermment Bills and dispose of it first before we move on to item 5 .

HON. TRUMAN M BODDEN: NTr. President, with respect, if I could perhaps take this tomorrow because I would just like to be fairly aertain of whether there may be any printing errors or not.

MR. PRESIDENT: Surety. Well in that case it witl
be perfectly in order to teave it and let it stand over until tomorrow. We have suspended the Standing Order, it can go to a Cormittee of the Whole House and $I$ will, or you will no doubt ask the Clerk to ensure that it gets put on tomorrow's Order Paper then.

In that case we do now pass on to
item 5 on today's Order Paper - Government Bills, First and Seoond Readings.

## GOVERNMENT BUSINESS BILLSS

## THE LIQUOR LICENSING (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 <br> FIRST READING

CLERK.:" THE LIQUOR LICENSING (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENTT:
A Bill entitled The Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984, is deemed to have been read a First time and is set down for Second Reading.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: THE LIQUOR LICENSING (AMENDMENI) BILL, 1984.


## DEBATE ON SECOND READING

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, yesterday land seemed to be gold in the eyes and values of our people. Today, it seems to be liquor.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. President, there was a Caymanian citizen who resided in Frank Sound who claimed he was a boat builder. He started his craft when nailing the keel on to a growing tree. After getting a certain amount of work done he had the idea that dertain parts he had buitt in should be removed to some other area of the model. He continued that for a number of years and his boat was never finished.

Mr . President, I have appealed to this Govermment over the last two or three years for the desperate need for a comprehensive Liquor Licensing Law because the present Law has been patched and one section moves from one area to another. Now it serves no purpose.

A few years ago, Mr. President, an omendment was brought to the House that there should only be a licensed premise to every 250 registered voters. Well that then, Mr. Fresident, was atready used up. North Side had four and in accordance with the amendment it shoutd onty have had one.

Other amendmente were made to try
to control the rapid growth of licensed premises, but it aeeme, Mr. President, that eomewhere along the line things have broken dow. The public appears to have the idea that for them to start a business and for it to be succeesful it must have liquor in it. Then they start crying to Goverment, the Board and other areas as well.

Mr. Presidents what we have before us this evening is exactly what I sow ahead three years ago. When a motion was brought to this House with an amendment to the Liquor Licensing Law on the grounds of hardship and difficulty and other reasons to go with it, that amendment came out because the Board suspended Galleon Beach Hotel's licence for their bad behaviour and management of the premises. I said, Mr. Fresident, that this is exactly what the results would be.

When I am in the Rouse, Mr. Presi-
dent, I do not bring my family, friends, buddies or anybody in here. I have been elected to do a job to the best of my abitity and $I$ will do that without fear or favour.

Other licences have been granted on the grounds of hardship and they were not entitled to its but simply because the loop-hole was put there. Also in the Law you will find, Sir, that no licensed premises should be within a oertain distance of certain buildings such as the churches, sohools and civic centres, yet we are forever getting applications for premises which are not in the limits of the prescribed area. It may only be two, three, five or ten feet out of the presoribed area, but they feel because they are only ten feet outside the area they can be conoidered for a licence.

Mr. President, when we tell the public that we are in here by the majority vote of the constituente we told them that we would lead them and that they should not be led by people on the outside.

When I made aertain remarks earlier this year in connection with the building at North Side (the little hotel that was being erected), I blamed Planning for granting that permission, and I still blame Planning for it. I got a knook by the proprietor for saying that.

Mr. President, our forefathers,
grandfathers and great grandfathers, the founders of this country like many other countries who laid the foundation, laid it on civilization and the church as the leader for civilization to continue to grow in that direction. When we get people dispute

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUTNG): or disregard the churches, schools and civic centres or anything else then, Mr. President, what do we expect to happen to this country?

As far as I know there are supposed to be about four candidates for North side for the upooming elections and two of those candidates have signed a petition that they see nothing wrong with the licensed premises being nest door to the church. That is a beautiful examp ie for leadership. What is the good of them telling the public what they are going to do if they can get Craddoek out. Mr. President, I say this without fear of favour or contradiction - neither one will spend thirty years in this House and come out to be honoured as I am being honoured. Whenever I decide to come out, whether I am voted out or I feel like stepping dow, it will happen.

Mr. President, when application was made to the Planning Board for the erection of that building, it was for a little guest house. Planning permission was granted (and if I can read or understand, Sir, subject to correction by the legat advisor to Goverment) three items in the planning application were ticked off because they did not need or want them. These were a tennis court which they do not have, a bar, a restaurant and a pool. Now they feel that it is utterly imposeible to survive without a licence. An application was made to the Board. The Board did not agree with it because it was in the bounds of certain premises which restricted this by law. So, Mr. President, they deoided that they would present a petition to this Honourable House through you, Sir. The petition had approximately 371 signatures and out of those 371, there were 160 Caymanians, or probably a few with Caymanian status, I do not know. The residents of North Side who signed the petition numbered 75 to 80 out of the 160 . The remaining approximate 80 were from Island-wide. 211 people signed that petition who had no connection with this country, people who were forsigners, There was a 15 year old schoolgirt amongst those from the distriat of North Side who signed it. There was also a young man who signed it in two places.

So you see, Mr. President, where we are headed. 211 visitors to this country signed that petition, and $I$ would guess that 10 per aent of the signees never even read the petition whioh was put before them. Somebody just aaid, "We want to get a licence for a good hotet's and they signed it. Some of them said they were from Ontario, some from New York, Some said they were visitors. That, Mr. President, tells me now, more than ever, what the majority of all nationalities who would like to get Caymanian statuis would do with this aountry when they got their Caymanian statue.

According to the licensed premises they are expecting renewals this month and in addition there are applications for new licences. We even have lauyers who are making appliaation for a licence to operate a licensed premises. We have in it one person with Caymanion status who came here and worked for the Govermment, spending four or five yeare polishing chair seats and trouser bottome. Govervment gave him status and he turned around and watked the street as much as he wanted, and now he is making application for a licence to operate a place in the George Town area.

Mr. President, who is in control
of this country? Is it those people with their whims and faneies who because somebody taps them on the shoulder and sayb, "Yes, you are a good guy", and tries to push them around to get what they want, on is it the people who have been elected to make laws.

MR, CRADDOCK FIBANKS (CONTINITNG): They should not have any mibber in them. We had a lot said here some monthe ano about corruption, but when you start bending the 'law and twisting it for indivituals, $I$ do not know if that is not as muoh cormuption as in any other language. The licensed premises to be renewed and the new applications will probably make it between 110 and 115 licences expected for the new year. There are ahout 8,000 registered voters approximately. When you figure that out you have about 75 people to one premises and yet they are scrambling for more ticences all the time.

## We have a number of broken homes with

 tives snuffed out by drinking. They say they want a last drink and they then say they want another one. Then they get out on the street and they do not know where they ape going. Mr. Preaident, I will extend sympathy to someone duing and try to get them medical aid, but I am not going to use any sympathy to help achieve the granting of licences for premises to helr put somebody in their Trave. We have more now than we should have. The first thing we talk about is atways visitors, toumists. Every premiaes in this country should be told that 11.00 p.m. Saturday night to 12. 00 p.m. Monday they should be otosed. We would have a better place. You would find some mothers at home rather than finding them out in the outakirts hanging around a har point. You would find fathers carrying home their pay oheque rather than leaving it behind. Then they no up to the Fovermment Administration office to the Probation officer and heq for alms on aid.Mr. president, when are the Flected Members going to wake up to the fact, say it is the fact and do what is right. I do not have to go out there to buy a vote from anybody if they do not want to vote for me. I never did and I do not intend to. They do not have to agree with what I say aither, Sir. What I am saying, Mr. President, is that it ts high time that Govermment made some mites and regutations, and pased sone bills and lawe to control some of theee places and keep them alosed for longer hours than they are open. Then we woutd have a better place. There is only one section left in this country to make applications for licences. Now most of the churches have church halls and I would not be surprised, Mr. President, to see them trying to raise funds by having a beex and wine and oheese function in the okurch hatl, to put envy into those who do something else.

When memhers, probably elders of a church, say that it does not make much difference how close it comes, what happens? Arain, Mr. President, tet me say this. The church, schoote, evexpbody is sleeping. They are leaving it for the legislator. They stay at home. We have a Govermment sohool within the few humdred feet of a licensed premises and I do not helieve that any teacher in that schoot made representation to this Government ahout this premises going up there, and yet we object to them being that olose to a school. Neither did the church. Neither dia aryhody etce.

Mr. Tresinent, if it is God's with that I should come back here, or if I am not, I would auggest, Sir, that you make a bamboo bill for the coming yerr so that you aan pick it to pieces to meet every amplicant's desire. We have over a hundred Zicensed premises for the new year and we do not know how many unlicensed ones we have got. The whotesalers would sell drink to a cat or a dog, dmyhody as long as he has $\$ 5$ or $\$ 10$ according to the amount he wonts, they will sell it. I have never heard of a wholesaler being put before the courts for illegally selling to people who do not have a licence.

MR. CTADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. President, I helieve I have said enough. Anyone should understand that. I do not have any approval to give to this applicomt with tue reepect to him, Sirn, or any other person who build writhin the quarter mile limit and expects me as lang as $I$ am in here or a memher of the Liquor Licensing Board to aive any support to such applications. I do not intend to, Sir. During mu years many petitions have come hefore the Roard from the public ohjecting to oertain premises to he ticensed, and I hove whetd any petition which come to the Roard. I do not support one individual and let 75,100 or 200 neople suffer. The maiority, sir, must have the henefit of the doubt. So with those few remarks, Mr.
President, $I$ wish the applicant evert, success in his effort. Foor is. the staff of tife but not liquor. So if he can sell enough nood food I wish him luck and hope that prospemity will he in his path all the days of his tife.

I thank you, Mr. Pnargident, and again
I say I cannot support this application.
MR. J. GARSTON SMITH:
MR. PRESIDENT:
Before the Honourable Member start break. That will save me having to hreak into the Member!s speech. So I will suspend proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

AT 3. 36 P.m. THE HOUSE SUSPINDFD

HOUSE RESUMED AT 3:46 P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT: Reading Debate on the Liquor Liceeeding (Amendment) Bitl. Second First Elected Member for Weat Bay.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH:
Mr. President, I realise, Sirs that the stand I have taken in conmittee and in this Honourable House on this Bill will not make me popular, especially on the eve of a General Election. But, Mr. President, I an put here by the people of my district and I intend to speak my conscience on any issue that comes before me in this Honourable House, regardless of whether I have to stand alone, or not.

Mr. Fresident, I intend to stand against my convictions. The mover of this Bill, Mr, President, rightly said in his opening address that we have spent much time, we have taken much time of this Honourable House, and of the Liquor Licensing Board, to make amendments to the present Liquor Law. Mr. Presidents this is quite true, and in my opinion, every time we touch this Iaw, we make it worse than it wa before. Mr. President, my contention is that I think it is high time we have a complete new Law.

Mr. Fresident, in Conmittee, I opposed amendments to certain sections of this Bill. The Minutes of seleet Committee will bear me out that I was against this Amendment.

Mr. President, we have here an amendment, and I ask you to permit me to read: "Section 9 of the principal Law is amended - (a) by the deletion of the full stop at the end of subsection (1) and the substitution therefor of a colon, and by the addition imediately thereafter of the following proviso -
"PROVIDED however that the aforesaid prohibition on the granting of a licence by reason of proximity to any church. school or civic centre shall not apply in respect of a restourant licence or a wine and beer ticence under seation 10 where a written statement has been obtained from the organisations res. ponsible for the local governing bodies of all churches, schools and civic centres within a quarter of a mile's distance that there is no objection by them to the granting of such ticence."".

Mr. President, I did not agree to this omendment. I think it is time, Sir, that we stand up to our respansibtlities; stand up like men and be counted. This amendment, Mr. President, in my opinion, only shifts the responsibility off our backs and puts it on the backs of the ehurehes and other organisations. Why should we bring the chucrehes into the political arena, Mr. President?

Mr. Preaident, I will not be as long as the Member from North Side, but I just wanted to state my feelings, state my stand in Conmittee and state my stand here openly this evening. I cm against this amendment.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH (CONTINUING): I think that as the Member from North Side atready said, we cannot come here and legislate to the whims and fancies of everyone. I realise we cannot please everyone and I do not interd, Mr. Fresident, to try and please everyone. The good Lord Almighty came dow here on earth and He was unable to please everyone.

I thank you very much, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Town.
The Third Elected Member for George

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN:
Mr. President, it must be known throughout the Cayman Islands that I cm absolutely against this terrible tiquor drinking which goes on in our country. I am sure, today, that more homes have been urecked by men, and now unfortunatety the women have taken up drinking mon and alcoholic beverages, or whatever you choose to call it. I feel today that it is time we, as Legistators and supposedly Christian people, stand up against introducing any short-cuts for people to get Liquor Eicences.

Mr. President, I got the title of
the "big doll" because shortly after an election I managed to get the eleven men to consent that bar-rooms would be closed on Sundays. Well, the last occasion that they had to sell mom on Sundays, some of the Members, at a certain ptace along the Seven Mile Beach, took and bumied a great big doll and said, "We are burying Annie Huldah Bodden", and after that the publio called me the big doll. Welt, $I$ an not saying $I$ am a big doll, but I an saying that I am a big Christian and I cannot act as a hypocrite and shift the blome on any church.

What has the church got to do with the passing of Laws regarding liquor? I must ady it would only be, in my estimation, a very, I would like to say the word. I had in my mind, kind of a church that would really associate themeelves with trying to destroy the people of this country.

Mr. Preaident, I will never agree to where a written statement has been obtained from the organisam tions responsible for the local governing bodies of our churehes, schools and civic centres within a quanter of a mile's distonce, and there is no objection by them to the granting of the ticence.

Do you believe today that there are any real God-fearing Ministers who would stand up and aay let us have more liquor drinking in these Is lands? I am afraid if there axe, they should be bar-room keepers themselves, instead of Ministers.

Mr. President, I agree that this Liquor Licensing Low should be onended, but these drift-draft picces will not help ua any. Let us make a comprehensive Liquor Liaensing Law and put in it stipulations that might try to stop liquor drinking in these Islands, and not encourage it.

Thank you, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Third Elected Member for West
Bay. MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I agree with those Membere who say that we need a new comprehensive Liquor Licensing Law, and, in fact, we were promised something similar. However, the comprehensive Law is not forthooming and we have before us certain amendments which, to my mind, improve the working of the present Liquor Licensing Law.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONIINUING): As was stated by the Mover, the Bill makes provision for two new types of licences, that is, $i$ bar and restaurant, and beer and wine ticences, and it removee the category of Might Resort Licence.

Mr. Presidents it is all weil and good
for us to pontificate about stopping the sale of alcohol, or the consumption of alcohol; and I want it clearly understood that I am not here advocating the gale of alcohol, but if we cast our memories back a few years, we will remember that prohibition was tried in the United Statee, and it did not work. In fact the eituation that existed during prohibition was woree than when before they had it, and they had to reverse themselves and bring in the licensing of liquor distribution places again.

Further, Mr. President, I feet that
if we have a law on our books that controls and regulatee the sale of liquor or alcohols. and an individual or individuale choose to enter into that trade, as long as they abide by our lawe, they should not be made to feel that they are entering into an illegal businese or that they are doing something for which they should be regarded as outcaste. Any honest labour is good honest money earned, and when they follow the low, I do not see where they are any different from those of us who carry on other businespes. It could be argued that the supermarkets who bell to people who overeat, are doing a diaservice to the country, or to the public, becauses, anything taken to extreme is not a good thing. But I cannot say that if a person chooses to have a licence under the Liquor Lieensing Low, that that person should be ostracised or oriticised in any way.

Now, Mr. President, the area of the Bill that seems to be giving the most concerm, is the eection regarding the quanter of a milets distance from the churches. In the Low, the aubstantive Law, there are generat provisions whereby persons can object to a licensee receiving a licence. In other words, whether the Bill, whether the premises are located within a quarter of a miles distance of the church or not, the ohurch still has a general right to object to the granting of the licence, if in their opinion, it is going to cause annoyance or other dismptions to them.

So there is nothing magical, Mr.
President, in my opinion about a quarter of a mile. In fact, I understand that in my district, there was one application where, had the church been situated just its own width further to the east, in other words, if the east watt rad been the west walt, the applicant would have been within the quarter of a miles distance from the church. And surely, Mr. President, that is a harsh yardstick to be applied in determining whether the person should have a licence or not.

It is said that this brings the church into the political arena. Mr. President, I do not accept that that is the case, because under the Planning Law and Regulations, if one goes to construct certain types of businesses, even a gas station in an area that is zoned residential, it is a requirement that that person has to serve notice on everyone living within fifteen hundred yarde or feet or whatever of the proposed buitding, and one person objecting, aan, in fact, stop the person from building this gas station or oupermarket or whatever it might be.

So if the ohurch happened to be in that vioinity, they are already within the requirements of certain tows to have to object. It was felt that it was better to put this in the poative fashion where the church would have to give the written statement saying that there is no objection, rather than to put it in a negative form and say if there was no objection from the church. The reason being that in this fashion, the churoh

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): cannot say that it was not oware of the fact that a liaence was proposed to be granted to the premises to be licensed.

Under the present Law; Mr. President, it is held by certain authorities that there is no such thing as a renewal of a ticence, that each annual tieence is a new ticence, that the amendments which were effected to the Lous, which rought about this absolute prohibition within a quarter of a mite of a church, had no saving powers within it, and as a results many inequities now exist in our commonity. There are premises that have continued to emjoy the privilege of being ticensed, which are nearer to churches than other restaurants which are farther from the churches, but happen to fall minimally within the quarter of a mile, and they cannot have a licence because of the absolute prohibition of the quarter of a mile. I do not believe that it is the intention to use this section of the Law to grant retail licences, that is general ratail Lioences, but, Mr. Preaident, if there is a restaurant, there is nothing to prevent people from going to that restaurant and taking their bottle of wine, or whatever they want to drink with them, and have it with their meal. So why should not the propmiator of the restaurant have the benefit of the revenue from that bottle of wine. It eeema to me to be inequitable, and a hollow argument that that person should not be able to sell the wine, when in faot, it can be consumed on his premises with the meal which he selis.

The other thing is, Mr. Freaident, if my understanding is correct, to revert to my earlier point about each licence being a new ticence, half of the licensed premises in thite country, if this Law was going to be adhered to, before thits amendnent goes through, would have to be closed, because most of them are in fact located within a quarter of a mile of churches. Take the little district of Bodden Town. I do not believe that there is one lioensed premise in that place that is outaide of a quarter of a mile of a church, and it does not seem to be causing too much hardohip there; at least, we have not seen any petitione coming in against them, and it would seem that it is working fairity we27. The same would be true in my distriot, and Mr. President, to make the situation even more ridiculous, the olause in the Law does not say just ohurches, it says churches, civic centres or sohools.

Now let us take the case in my district, of West Bay. The town hatl is the only civic centre which we have, and it is a conmon occurrence for dances to be held in those premises with the tiquor ticences granted. It is a hundred yards from the ochool, and it ia no more than one hundred to one hundred and fifty yarde from a church. And to make it even more complicated, Mr. President, the civic centre, itself, is used as a churich. There is a churoh there on Friday nights, and Sunday night oertainly, but you could have a dance there with liquor on Saturday. So to me it seems to be somewhat of a dog in a mangex approach that we are taking, or some Members are taking, and, it is not often Mr. President, that Members on the Govermment bench have the pleasure of hearing me support a Bitl whioh they bring, but that is as I have alwaye said, I support anything in which I believe, and contrary to what an earlier speaker satd that he was to speak against his convictions, I am going to stand up for my convictions.

The Bill also,Mr. President, strecom-
lines the workinge and funations of the Board. It enables the Chairman to adjourn Meetings, and it also gives the Chairman the power to extend licencea in special circumstances, and Mr.' Preeident, I om sure that that section was put in to cover special evente buch

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): as when New Year's Eve might fall on a Saturday, or there might be some other national festival on a night when there might be a wish to eatend the licence for an hour or so, and $I$ do not see that section being abueed.

Mr. President, I know that reference was made to what we call in Cayman, speakeasies, where people setl alcohol without licences, and unfortunately, Mr. President, I cannot say if that is the case today, but certainly, when $I$ was growing up it was the case, because, I can say here, that the first drink which $I$ bought for mysetf, was bought from a speakeasy, and I strongly suspect, that not only was it from a speakeasy, but that the alcohol was emuggled in, and that the Govermment did not even get ite duty on it. So if we can find ways and means of negulating licenesd premises, I think it is a good thing, and this is what this Bill seeks to do. I agree that there are many provisions caused by a multiplicity of amendnents to the Low in its entirety that now makes it desirable for us to have a new and compreheneive Liquor Licensing Low, but, as I said, in the absence of that Law, I can support these amendments which I feel ean only help with the administration of the Liquor Licensing Low, and certainly ease some of the problems of the Board.
President, I support the Bill And with those few remarks, Mr.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Honourable Fourth Elected Member
of Executive Councit.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, the problem of alcohol has been with us ever since the world began, or at least sver since man found out how to make $i t$. In fact, one of the earliest recorded stories is found in the old Testament, where the daughters of Lot hadacooktailparty in which they were able to drunk their father, and get what they wonted from him.

Tho years ago, we celebrated the One Hundred and Fiftieth Annivereary of Parliamentary Government, and it is interesting that during the firet year of our Parliament, backin 1832, one of the first Laws passed, was a Law to regulate the sate of alcohol. That Low had a provision in it which encouraged people to report on the illegal sate of atcohol, and one of the penalties under that Law, was that the alcohol, if sold illegalty, could be confiscated and sold at public auotion half of the proceeds going to the Government, and the other half to the informant. If we trace this through our history, we witl find that the ale continued. In the years between 1929 and 1933, the revenue from liquor licensed establishments remained constant at the figure of twenty eight pounde per year. There were, at that time, onty two liquor licensed establishments for sale of alcohol.

It is apparent that the Law which we now have is simply a revision of that old 1832. Lcw; year by year the Lrow has been revised, it has been amencled, but I do not think it has ever been nodermized to cope with the many problems which did not exist in 1832, and this is why I will support the bringing into effect of a compreheneive Liquor Law sometime in the early future.

I believe that we have to look at the situation that exists. Does the Government and the people want an aboolute prohibition on the sale of alcohol, or do they want alcohol to be sold, as it has been in this conotry from the beginning of our existence under regulated circumatances?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): I believe it is far better to have the aloohol sold in a ltcensed baw or restaurant or night etub, thon it is to have it dispensed from a speakeasy where there is no control at all.

One Member mentioned prohibition in the United States, and that country once attempted to do cwoy with alcohol by making its sale illagal. Duaing the years of the bootlegging in the United States, they found that crime was at its higheet peak, the Government was deprived of its revanue, and when it finally tost the battle, they then instituted a system of licensing places. I believe if we are to cure the evilo of drink, it will have to be done, not by saying you cannot drink, but by educating people, starting with the young, about the evilis of drinking. We have to aducate our young people, and I believe this should start in the home where parents should talk to their children; and teli them the evils of drinking without any controt. It witl not be done by tegistation saying that we cannot have any liceneed places.

I had an uncle who is now dead, and I understood from my mother who was hie sister, that her brother was once very sick beoause he could not get any mum to drink, and so drank Bay Pum which wae not intended for internal conewmption, and almost lost his life, because of this incident. If we are too harsh on the places that are licensed, we will make it easier for the bootlegger, who will not only sell, but will deprive the Govermnent of its revenue, and who will perhape dispense under vexy insanititory conditions, and, I believe, we will be worse off.

It would be a good country if nobody drank alcohol, and if nobody suffered from overuse, but I believe this is a stipulation that will definitety not come about. So, if we tolerate $i t$, we should allow the liceneed dealers to carry on their trade in a fashion that is reeponsible and would not do too much havm to the public.

One of the omendments in the Law deals with the eatablishment of a house for the sate of liquor within a quarter mile of a church, a civic centre, or a schoot. The Third Eleoted Member from West Bay pointed out the anomatous condition which now prevaita in that he aaid that about one half of the places are operting illegally because they are within a quarter of a mile. I believe the percentage is muoh higher, probably nine out of every ten liquor ticensed establishments ahould be alosed down if this seotion of the Low was etrictty adhered to, because it has been discussed here that the application for a renewal of a licence is really the application for a new license under the exieting Law. And, the Third Elected Menber for West Bay mentioned that in Bodden Pown there are places that are very close to the church. This is true, there is one place that is right across the street from the Seventh Day Adventiot Church, and as you sit there sipping your beer, you acon also hear the benediction being pronounced.

This is the situation that exists, and tet us look at a more ridiculous situation might here in George Town. We have the Cayman Axms which is within a quarter mile of the church, with a liquor tioence, $y$ let Sheita's Restauront whioh ts much further awxy from the ohurch than the Cayman Axme, cannot get a licence beocuse of this restriction.

I believe it is time that we stopped acting as hypoomites, and sither do one of two things: say there will be no sale of alcohot in the Islands or we will allow fair competition to prevail, because I believe that we are better off with twenty weil run places where free oompetition can guarantes that the servioe is up to the highest standard poseible than to have

HON. G. BAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): one or two places whioh enjoy a monopoly.

My personal feeling, acoanding to the Christion teachings I have had, is that dmankennese is a sin. However, I do not parsonatly believe that it is a ain to take a drink. I believe, it is a sin to become drunk, and as one Member oaid, to use the money whioh the famity reatly needs, but alcohol in moderation, like any other food or any other beverage, or any other thing we engage in, oan have the same effect as other things whioh are considered to be more popuitar.

The other matter mentioned too, is really not oovered in the Lcaw because it comse under the Regutations, and that is the restriotion where there would be one licensed astablishment for each 250 people on the liat of registered votere. I feel that this is a ridicuiove ratio, and perhape it should be changed, becouee I oannot see any rilevanoe between the mumber of licensed places and the nomber of registered voters, because probably 90\% of the aloohol consumed is not conswned by the registered voters but probably conswed by the nearity half a mitition visitors we have to these shores every year. So I do not see the relavanoe between the voters' list and licensed places.

Finally, $I$ did not agree in the Committes, and I do not now agnes with putting the onus on the church to say the church must make a positive statement adying they do not objeet to a liquor licensed place. This is pasaing the buck. This is getting the ohurch to do what we should be doing. We should have a haw that allows the Board which is set up to give the lioences, and the Membere of the Board, following the presariptions in the Lav, should administer the licences without fear or without ooeroion. and they should not have to rely on the church to say that you can grant this lidence or you carmot, beaause it te taking what should be in the Law out of it, and putting it in the putpit, and they have anough problems of their own without having to administer and make the deoisions that the Liquor Licensing Board shoutd have.

Mr. Preeident, I com mindful of the time,
and $I$ witt say.....
MR. PRESIDENT:
to go if you want to.

## I make it that you have another minute

HON. G. HATD BODDEN: I would tike to say that I conctude my addreas on thle Bitit, and that I would hope that in the Committes Stage the anomalies which still exist in this amending Bill, oan be ironed out so that the responsibility for the Low and the responsibility for ite administration will be put in the hunds of the people who have been elsoted to carry out the administration of, and the oonstruction of legistation for these Islands.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I nove the adjoumnent of this House until 10:00 o'alock tomorrow morning.

MR. PRESIDENT: The motion before the House is that this House do now adjourm until 10:00 o'clook tonorrow morming. Does any Honourable Menper wish to speak? Then I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED, AP. $4: 30$ P.M. TEE HOUSE ADJOURNED
UNTIL 20:00 A.M. TUESDAY: $4 T H$
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MR. PRESIDENT:
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Please be seated. } \\ \text { Proceedings are resumed. Item 1, }\end{array}\right]$

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 46: Would the Member atate what the Zatest estimate of illegal inmigrants in the Cayman Islands is?

ANSWER: It is difficult to estimate how many illegal inmigrante there are in the Cayman Islande, as obviousty, if the Department was aware of auch persons, they would be apprehended and dealt with accordingly. Over the last thirty days a total of twenty-five such persons have been apprehended. A recent mun of the computer indicates that at the end of July there was a total of 125 overstayers in the Islands. This would include all nationalities.

SUPPLENENTARIES:
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Nr. President, a supplementory, Sir. Would the Member state, Sir, if the Government has any cort of programme or any idea of how to oope with illegal immigrants - that is are they not considering going out and rounding up these peoples these overstayers and illegal inmigrants? Have they got any such programme in mind?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Yes, Sir, we have a programme in force. We have an enforcement gection in the Inmigration Department, headed by a very able Officer who, when he needs further assistance (that is apart from his oun Officers, he gets it from the polioe.

Regular round-ups, if one could say that, are made, or raids are made and regular action is taken when we get information as well from outsiders that certain people are arownd. Every effort, Sir, is being made. And when I bay in the answer that twenty-five people have been apprehended, this means that there are twenty-five people in the courts. This is not talking about the others who have just been given twenty-four hours to get off the Istand or who are put on a plane the neatt day, Sir.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: A supplementary, Mr. Preaident. Could the Honourable Member state whether the one hundred and twenty-five overstayers includes possibly pereon who may have just overlooked to have their permission to stay, for a ahort period, extended, or are these long-term overstayers?
HON. D. H. FOSTER:
No, Sir, it would include those

HON. D. H. FOSTER (CONTINUING): mentioned who have sort of just slipped up on getting their paseports extended or a new stamp in their passports. It would include alt of those persons, sir.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH: A supplementary, Mr. President. I just want to add on to the question which my good friend has put forward.

Could the Member say whether these one hundred and twenty-five overstayers, mentioned in his onswer, have been deported or sent back home?

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
At the end of each month, Sir, when we have the printout on the computer, the first thing that they do is oheck to see if there are any entries that have not been made thats if adjusted, would make that number different. After that they start rounding up these people or making contact with them to let them know that they have overstayed. Some of them, as the Third Elected Member for West Bay said, hove just plain forgotten or slipped up on it.

I could not realty tell you whether since July ........ I am pretty sure that they have made contact with a lot of these persons during August, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If there is no further supplementary, $\bar{I}$ will invite the First Elected Member for George Town to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORCE TONN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

NO. 47: Would the Member state what the cost, is to date of Government's computerisation programe?

ANSWER: The cost to date is CI\$1,253,090 broken down as foltows:-

| Salamies | 527,563 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Equipment | 566,136 |
| Maintenance | 123,544 |
| Supplies (stat/pub) | 28,947 |
| Conmunication Lines | 3,000 |
| Traveling | 3,900 |
|  | $-., 25,090$ |

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: A supplementary, Mr. President. Does the Honourable Member conaider the aystem adequate?

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, the attraction to use the computer service is perhaps similar to a person wenting to buy a new car. If you see a Mercedes in town, you would tike to have one.

I think over the period of time, Mr. President, since the computer has been in operation, sixteen Departments are presently on that computer service. There ape seven hundred and twenty-five acmputer progranmes written and there are many other Department seeking additional services of

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON (CONTTNUING): the computer's time.
It witl not be very long, ins. Preeident, before the computer will not be able to cope with the demands of it and we shall have to upgrade the system. But, Mr. Fresident, I am personally satisfied that the use of the computer and the oost of it is a good penvy spent by Government.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Supplementoxy, Mr. Fresident, would the Honourable Member state what the age of the computer equipment is and what the anticipated life of the present equipment is? I am phrasing this question this way, Mr. President, because in Govermment I do not think that we have a system of comortizing or writing doum the equipment. So I have to phrase it this way and I apologise if it might put some pressure on the Honourable Member.

MR. PRESIDENT: The only thing is, is that $I$ am not oure it is striotly a supplementory. I will allow it for the moment, but we are atraying a bit far from the original question and from information coming out of the omiginal question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: With respect, NR. President, I think the Honourable Member made mention of having to renew or mend .........

MR. PRESIDENT'*
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:

As I acid, I witl ........
the equipment shortly........

I have said I will allow it, but the answerer did not necessamily have all the information, you seek, available. However, if he is prepared to answer.

HON. I. C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Presidents $I$ will try my best to answer the question although the details which the Member is seeking is not available at this particular time to me.

The equipment, I think, is the question of the Third Elected Member for Weat Bay. We have one computer brought from Digital Equipment Corporation, sexial number PDP1170. The computer, as I understand it, when it was purchased was not brand new and the age of a computer is not necessarily the deciding faotor as to when it oupplies all the needs of a particular service. Normatly a computer. Mr. President, will be taken off line because it does not have sufficient storage space in its central processor to deal with the volume of information that is requested or put into it.

Additional equipment is four dise dmives, two tape drives, one line printer and data commortcation equipment, two metrios printers and twenty-nine video terminals. Speaking generally, Mr. President, they areall new. It depends upon the use of the equipment. This type of equipment is quite delioate and how long it will stand up is, I think, just about anybody's guess. At the moment I do not have available to me the tife of the pieces of equipment.

Mr. Fresident, with your permissions Sirs I would like to ask a supplementary question. And it ts this. Could the Honourable Member state the number of people who reoeived the aalamies of $\$ 527,563$ ?

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Yes, Mr. President, the computer information bection of Finance and Development. There are twenty members of that section. There is a manager; theve is a software development monager (the person who io reatly responsible for the programers and absistant analysto who are putting together or doing the programes); there $i s$ an operations manager who is in charge of the computer installation itself and the running thereof (that is looking after the harduare and making sure that work gete done on $i t$ ). there are sis analyst progranmers (at the moment there are only four in post). We lost two progranmers recently at the end of their contracts. It was agreed that they would not renew because of their oum coreer needs. There is a data entry supervisor; there are three computer operatore; there are two progromme trainees and there are four data entry operatore (three are in post) and one executive officer who is performing secretarial functions.

Mr. President, at the moment in staff, there are eeventeen - ten are Caymanians, seven are expatmiates. One member is a Caymanian at a very senior level - he is systems analyst.

MR. PRESIDENT:
In case there is any misunderstanding, I take it the salaries figure (the total of $\$ 527,000$ ) covers several years salary since the operction began - it ic not just one year.

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON: Yes, Me. Fresident, the question read, "Would the Member state what the cost is to date of Govarnment's computerization prograrme?". And the salaries will take us baok to 1981.

MR. PRESIDENT: If there is no further supplementary, I will invite the First Elected Member for George Town to abk the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATTONS AND WORKS

NO. 48: Would the Member state when it is proposed to commence work on waterfront repairs on North Church Street necr the "7-11" store?

ANSWER: Due to increased work-load associated with advaneing the terminal completion date from March 1985 to November, 1984, and with the works curvently underway on the Sports Centre and Little Cayman dook, the section at P.W.D. responsible for this work is unable to conmence the project at this time.
Should funds be rebudgeted in 1985, it is anticipated that the work will be carried out in the second quarter and at the end of the Northwester season.

Unless any Honourable Member has a supptementary, I will invite the Trird Elected Member for George Town to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORCE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIDLE FOR INIERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 49: Will the Member state which, if cnys of the four newspopers now published in the Is lands is subsidized by Govermment?

ANSWER:
None.
MR. PRESIDENT: Untess any Honourable Member has a supplementixy, I will invite the Third Elected Member for George Town to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWM TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESFONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 50: Will the Member state the totat number of pxisoners in the Northward Prison broken down by Caymanians and non-Caymanians?

ANSWER: $\quad$ There is a total prison population of 74 at Noxthward
Prison -49 Caymanians and 25 non-Caymanians (as of
29th Aucust, 1984).
SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: A supplementrry, Mr. President. Could the Member atate whether he could give the House a further breakdown of the 25 non-Caymanians by nationality?
HON. D. H. FOSTER: Yes, Sir. We have 9 Jomaicane,
10 Cubans/United Statee/Bolivian: 3 United States of America;
2 Hondurans, 1 Nicaraguan. Perhaps I could juet explain those
10, Str.
Amerioan reeidence. So that is why it is "/United States" and
1 Bolivian. 1 Bolivian.

MR: BENSON O. EBANKS: MP. President, could the Member say whether all of these prisoners are serving sentences or whether some of them are in remand owaiting trial? And is bo, what quantity are aroaiting trial?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Nr. President, to the best of my knowledge, Sir, they are all people who have been convicted. I do not think they would have given me the information on people on remand because they are really not prisoners yet.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Perhaps the Honourable Member would undertake to cheok beocuse I suepect they misht include remand prisoners and to inform the questioner in writing later. I do not think it is really teohnically a supplementary that quite arises out of it. If it is information that you wanted, you have put down a question. But, if the Honownable Member wilt give you the information in writing perhape that will ................

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Fresident, what I was drifting after was that we were aiven an undertakina, when the prison was opened, that in the case, for example, of Jomaicons, a treaty would be

MR. BENSON C. EBANKS (CONTINUING): worked out with Jamaica whereby Jamaica would take its prisoners oonvioted in Grand Cayman and we would receive Caymanians convicted in Jamaioa to serve the time here.

MR. PRESIDENT:
With respect, if you wanted to ask whether some arrangement like that has been worked out, then you need to put down a question to ask it. You cannot expect the Nember to be prepared to answer that as a supplementary arising out of the answer to a question of the kind that is now down.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Could I rephrase the question then and ask why the 9 Jamarcans are in our prison?

MR._PRESIDENY:
HON. D. $H_{0}$ FOSTER: several reasons. But, before I go onto the rearone, I witl let the Member know that we do sent to Jamaioa any, on the majomity, or all of the Jamaioan prisoners oonvicted here.

One of the reacore that they are here might be that when there are appeals we do not send them to Jamaica until the appeal is heard. Beocuee what simply happens is that if we send them first and when the appeal comes up, which may be months tater, we have to send for them and bring them back again, and it is additionat expense.

Another reason could be that
they are very chort-term (two or three montlts - in which aase we do no: bother to send them, wi just serve the revtence here and take further action to see that they do not come back in the futurel. So there could be several reaons like that and as I told the Member before, it could be, but I doubt th, that some of these are in remand.

MR. PRESIDFIIT:
Lesser Istands.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, could the Honourable Member state whether or not these prisoners, when they are sent back home, are being declared prohibited immigrante or not?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Yes, Sir, I would say in the majority of caseswe do deal heovily, especially with the drucy ones and that is mainly what they are there for. But, we do do that, sir, and this is published in the razette regularly.

The Elected Member for North Side.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, a aupplementary.
Would the Nember be able to say what the accommodation at the prison is now like binoe there are 74 inmates?. I am wondering whether they are abundontly over-crowded?

HON. D. H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I do not think it is over-crowded, Sir. They should be very comfortable becauee, as Members will know, we completed last year a new bïock which gave us ample space. As a matter of fact, if my memory serves me right, we cm acommodate about 110 comfortably.

MR. PRESIDENT;
If there is no further supplemantary, I will invite the Third Elected Member for George Town to ask the next question.
THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE
SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR
REALTH EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO. 51: Will the Member state what Government sohool fees are presently due and owina?

ANSWER: Amount of schoot fees due at 6th Aucust, 1984, is one hundred and one thousand and eighty-seven dotlars ( $\$ 101,087$ ) and the omount owind is thirty six thousand, four hundred and sixty-eight dollars ( $\$ 36,468$ ).

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL. A supplementcry, Mr. President. Whil the Member state what steps are being taken to collect the $\$ 36,468$ which is owing to Government?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, lettexs have been witten to the persons, who owe this money. requesting payment.


HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, on a point of order, is he qoing to ask a question or is he going to make a statement?

MR. PRESIDENT. I think he is probably trying to explain what the question is .....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I am going to ask q question, Mr. President. ....... And then a figure of $\$ 36,468$ is given as owing. Now, the question is, if the $\$ 101,087$ represents school fees due at the beginning of the term previous to the 6 th of August, what is the difference in the two cmounts. How would they distinguish between what is due and what is owinत if that in fact goes back to the beginning of the period before when I understand schoot fees are due?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: It is very simple, Sir. The $\$ 36,468$ are owed. The $\$ 101,087$ are due.

MR. PRESTDENT: With respect, $I$ do not think that quite answers the supplementary. What is the distinction between what is due and what is owing? How do you define owing and how do you define due for the purpose of the answer. I think that is what the Member meant.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Well, the part of it that is owing is now a reat debt. The port that is due is a eontingent debt.


#### Abstract

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I thought that a contingent debt was something that was not specific, but became due upon a certain happening. I wonder if the Honourable Member could enlighten me on what he means by a contingent debts in his answer?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, a real dobt is one that is owed. A contingent debt is one that will arise in the future, but is due.

Mr. President, there is very little more I can say on this, but one of the things I should mention which would assist this House is, if the time given on these questions could be somewhat longer becouse many of these questions, some six of them, were put in my lap a couple of days before the weekend. And if Members would like to have very Zono detailed answers, perhaps when the Standing Onders are revised, we could lengthen the time and say give seven days notice for Members to prepare these.


MR. PRESIDENT: Well. that is a slightiy separate issue to which no doubt the new House may aive ........

MR. EENSON O. EBANKS: May I have a supplementaxy on this, Mr. President, and ask the Honourable Member if he would undertake to provide Members with detaits of this stating exactly what it is because the answer, as given, serves very little purpose in enlightening Members?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: With respect, Mr. President, the question asks what is due and owing, and I have aiven a proper reply.

If the Nember wants a different answer in different areas, perhaps the question should have been worded in a different way.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well, I must admit, I myself was uncertain what the questioner originally intended. I had interpreted it as not distinguishing between what was due and what was owing, but it being one phrase, due and owing, to cover one thing. However, I do not really know.

Unless there is any further supplementary, I will invite the Elected Member for North Side to ask the next question.

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO. 52: Will the Member state what amount has been spent to send patients overseas on emergencies from the 1st donuary to the 31st Auqust, 1984, and what amount has Government been reimbursed by these patients?

ANSWER: Total amount spent from 1st January to the 31st August, 1984, is six hundred and eixty-eight thousand, three hundred and twenty-six dollare and nineteen aents. Total amount collected is fifty-five thoueand, nine hundred and seventysix dollare and eighty three cents.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:


#### Abstract

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, a aupplementary. Witi the Member state whether any effort is being made to obtain reimbursements from patients who are able to make them? In other words, Mr. President, if they are physically able to work, are they then prepared to continue the payments?


HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Presidents many of the patients whom Government has paid for are firstly Civil Servonts - probably nearly one half. The remainder are not civit Servants.

What Govermment endeavours to do, Sirs is to examine the menas of the paitent and to establish whether there are any assets of that patient which may be available for the payment of the medicat fees.

Also, under the present taw as:it has stood for the past 100 years or so, a statutory charge is automatically placed upon the property, the real property -. the land, of a person who is civen poor relief under thet Law.

Beyond the charges, we normally take promissory notes and sometimes the patients are apable of repaying this money over a period of time. However; Government has always had, at least since 1976, an over-riding policy where in cases where necessary medical treatment is required, then, regardless of the means of that person, this Government bees that they get that medical treatment.

That policy. I think, is fair. It is in line with the International Convention on Human Rights in relation to the alteviation of humon suffering in the wortd, and Members of this Honourable House must expect that some of the funds spent witl never be recovered. So the most that the fortfolio can do is to endeavour, within the recsonabte means that the patient has to ensure that there is the sufficient security and procedure set up to get back such payments as they ach reasonobly make.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
A supplementary, Mr. President. I wonder if the Fonourable Member coutd rive us a breakdown by country where these expenses were incurred and by amount in each country?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: No, Sir, I connot. I con suppty that infoxmation to the Member, but I do not have it with me.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that is fair.
Unless any Member has a further supplementary, I will invite the Second Elected Member for West Bay to ask the next question.

> THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO. 53: Have any of the dangerous druas reported missing or not beinq supported by prescriptions in a 1975 Audit Report been recovered?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Iresident, I had hoped that this question would have been asked tomorriow because once again the detay in time. The answer is somewhat tong. While I have it oraily, I am afraid that I have not been able to hove it prepared in written form. So either I could give it orally now to the best I cans or if it

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): could be put on the paper tomorrow I would then have the proper written reply to it, Sir.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Eresident, Sir, perhaps I could draw the Houses attention to Standina Order es (5) which says, "A Member of the Govermment may, with the leove of the House, defer


MR. PRESIDENT:
I would think it would be reasonable to grant the Honourable Member leave because in fact the wording of that question was amended at my request and with the agreement of the Member asking it, sometime yesterday morning I think. And therefore the Honourable Member answering it cannot hove received notice of the question as amended until at least mid-day yesterday. and I had hoped that it would not have been put on today's Order Paper. I think perhaps there was some confusion. I did not maybe make my wishes known clearly enough that it should be put down later in the week. But, I think to defer answering it would be quite reasonable and I hope the questioner will acree with that and we can put it down on the Onder Paper for tomorrow or tater.

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS: I take your ruling, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT: So I witl invite the Third Elected
Member for West Bay to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

NO. 54: Will the Member make a statement regarding the Zoan to ICCI aiving a reason or reasons why no agreement has been signed containing provision for the repayment of the loan as stated in the Auditor General's Report dated 8th ofune, 1984, at paragraph 27?

ANSWER: The toan of CI $\$ 36,000$ to ICCI was made by my pre-decessor in 1978. The Auditor General's comment in paragraph 27, to be fair, should have mentioned that payments have and are being received.
Total repayments as of $31 s t$ December, 1983, were $\$ 24,744$ and $\$ 1,284$ in 1984, resulting in an outstanding balonce of CI\$9,972.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, part of this queetion, Sir, has not been answered; that $i_{s}$ why has no contract or agreement been aigned with ICCI?
HON. T. C. JEFEERSON: Nr. President, whatever arrangemente
there were, were done back in 1978 . I think it is quite obvious
from the answer that there was some arpanement as payments are
being received from ICCI.
the Treasury, the Financial Secretary's office, the Deouty in
Secretary's office and we have not been able to find the agreement.
However, Mr. President, in fairness to my predecessor, I remember.
seeing some piece of paper, deemed to be an agreement back in 1978 .

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Tresident, in light of the Honourable Member's anowers witl he now endeavour to get a new agrement siened with ICCI to secure the cmount of money loaned by this Government to them?

HON. T. C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. Fresident, I can undertake to do that. I think at the end of this year, it witl probably be an outstanding balance of $\$ 7,000$. For that, I can do, Mr. Tresident.

MR. PRESIDENT:
that will end Question Time.
If there is no furthereupplementary
In that case we can pase to Item 2 on today's Ordex Paper which is the Committee Stage of the Private Bitl which had its First and Second Readinos yesterday. So the House will now go into Comittee to study a Bill shortly entitled the Cayman National Cultural Foundation Bill, 1984.

## COMMITTEE STAGE

## THE CAYMAN NATIONAL CULTURAL FOUNDATION BILL, 1981

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Flerse be seated.
The House is now in Conmittee The Cayman Nationat Culturat Foundation Ditt, 1984.
CLERK. CLAUSE 1 - SHORT TITLE.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, if I could just mention (this may perhaps arise from the long title) that, "Be it enacted by the Legislature of the Cayman Istands. ", was in twice and perhaps we could just remove that please. This is in the long title actually. I know that oomes at the end, Sir, but the printers seem to hove included it twice.
$\frac{\text { MR. CHAIRMAN: }}{\text { imagine, } i s \text { it }}$ not? It is really a printing exror I
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, Gir. And there are an abundance of printing exrors in this, Sir, and I am wondering whether you wish me to point these out as we ao along or whether they could be corrected at the Table or what, Sir?

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I would hope that the Committee might agree that on this oceasion, too, in accordrnce with the practice we normally adopt, we could authorise, I think perhaps in this case, the Honourable Member movine the Bitl in consultation with the Clerk and with the Honourable Attomey-General to correct any clear printing errors or mistakes of that kind.

If Membere are in agreement with thats then I think we would charge him with that tosk and there is really no reason for him to point out, clause by clause, each comendment that his eagle eye has spotted.

Would that be aceeptable to the

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Six, providing that the error does not chanfe the sense of ..........

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Oh, no, I mean we are tatking about
something is clearly a typing erron or a mistake of that kind.
HONa MICHABL J. BRADLEY: Yes, Mr. Chaiman, sir, as it is a Frivate Members Bitt, I have not been responsible for the draft in any way and I have not seen the proof as opposed to the draft, so I would very much rely on the mover to make sure that it is ... a oorrect .......

MR. CHAIRMAN
Yes, indeed. I mean I would think the primary responsibility would rest with the mover of the Bitl on this occasions but I would hope he would have your assistance and that of the Clerk in the matter.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: $\quad \therefore$ I accept that responsibitity. I would just mention there are only more public bills thon Private Bills with which the Honourable Member atwaye has my asoistance. (LAUGHTER)

MR. CHATRMAN:
The question now is that the short title stand part of the Bizl. Untess any Honourable Member wishes to spaak, I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 FASSED.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think I will invite the cterk to read the alauses three or four at a time, as conventent, because it is a slightly longer Bitl than usuat.

CLERK: CLAUSE 2-INTERPRETATION.
CLAJSE 3 - ESTABLISHMENT AND CONSTITUTION OF FOUNDATION. CLAUSE 4 - INCOREORATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that Ctauses 2, 3 and 4 stand part of the Bill. Unless any Member wishes to speak, I wiLl put that question.

HON. MTCHAEL J. BRADLEY: In subsection (4) of Clause $4, I$ seek correction from the sohoolmasters in the Chomber, but should it not says "The Foundation may sue and be sued in its corporate name. "?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I I AM happy to chanoe it to that,
$\frac{\text { HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY }}{\text { singular. }}$ If it is a body corporate, it is
MR. CHATRMAN: Yes, it io quite true - Zater on, $\bar{I}$ find in Clause $g_{s}$ the Foundation is "it".

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, that is correct, six.
HON. MICHAEL I. BRADLEY: Which I mention because it is more than a mere typographical.
$\frac{M R_{0} \text { CHAIRMAN: }}{\text { So, the question is that Clause } 4 \text { be conended by substituting in }}$ So, the question is that clause 4 be conended by substituting in subseotion (4), the word "it" for the word "their". Now I witt put that question first - the comencment.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 4 PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
$\frac{\text { The question now is that Clauses }}{2 \text { and } 3 \text { and } 4 \text { as anded do stand part of the Bitt? Unless ant }}$
Member wishes to speak. I will put that question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 2 AND 3 , AND 4 AS AMENDED PASSED.
CLAUSE 5 - FUNCTIONS AND OBIECTIVES.
CLAUSE 6 - REMUNERATION OF MEMBERS.
Mh. CHAIAMAN: The question is that Clause 5 and
B stand part of the Bill? Unless chy Member wishes to speak, I
will put the question.
QUESTION FUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 5 AND B FASSED.
CLERK. $\quad$ CLAUSE 7 - FUNDS AND RESOURCES OF FOUNDATION.
CLAUSE 8 - APPLICATION OF FUNDS OF FOUNDAXION.
CLAUSE 9 - RESERVE FUND.

MR. CHATEMAN:
The question is that Clouse 7 , 8 and 9 stand part of the Bill? I will put that question.

Unless any Member wishes to speak, QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 7. 8 AAD 9 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 10-ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT.
CLAUSE 11 - RIGHTS OF ACCESS BY AUDITOR-GENERAL OR AUDITOR. CLAUSE 12 - REPORTS.
CLAUSE 13 - FINANCIAL $¥ E A R$.

The question is that Clouses 10,11 ,
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairmany before you put the question, it appears that the word "by" is missing in clause 13 . HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: That is correct, Sir.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
by the Foundation.".
It ohould read, "........ determined

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: That is correct, Sirs and the word "a" is missing from Clause 12(1)(a). I would have inserted these after.
$\frac{M R_{0} \text { CHATRMAN: }}{\text { Clause } 1 Z(1)(a) ? ~ S o r r y, ~ w h a t ~ w o r d ~ i s ~ m i s s i n g ~ f r o m ~}$
HON. TRUMAM M. BODDEN: The word "a" is missed out orter
the bracketed alphabet $a$.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Ah, yes. I tnink they could be regarded as printing exrors and omissions if the Committee is content.

> So, the question is that Clauses

MR. CHAIRMAN. (CONTINUING): $10,11,12$ and 13 do stand part of the Bill? Does any Honoumble Member wish to speak? I will then put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 10, 11, 12 AND 13 PASSED.

| CLERK: | CLAUSE $14-$ DTRECTIONS. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | CLAUSE $15-\operatorname{TRUSTS.}$ |
|  | CLAUSE $16-O F F E N C E S$. |

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clauses. 14, 15 and 16 do stand part of the Bill?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, the marginal note to Clause 14 does not appear to me to be directly relevant to the substantive words of the Clause.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
That is correct, Sir. That oriqinally retated to a different way in which thic Eill was drafted and I think it could be altered to the words "Acquisition of....."s or we could just put in "Real property and loans". This., I think, would be sufficient, Sir.
Min. CHATRMAN: The question is then that clause
14 Be altered so that the marginal note reads, "Real property and
loans" instead of "Directions".

MR. BENSOH O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I would be prepared to leave that to be put in since the marainal note is really....

MR. CHATRMAN: The marginal notes are not really .... that is right. I think that the llonourable Member could perhaps correct it and we need not take a vote on the anendment, or need not regard it as an amendment.

So, the question then is that
otauses 14, 15 and 16 do stand part of the Bill?
QUESTHON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 14, 15 AND 16 PASSED.
HON, TRUMAN M. BODDEN: . Mr. Chairman, .......
MR. CHAITMAN: I think I cm anticipating you;
there has been a notice of an amendment. Ferhaps you would like to proceed with it.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I have circulated a notice of an amendments but $I$ do not have two clear days and I would ask, Sir, that under Standing Order 52(2) you give the leave of the chair to bring it.

MR. CHATMMAN: Lexve तiven.
$\frac{\text { HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: }}{\text { motion in relition to the new Clause } 17 \text { which reade } .}$ I would therefore move that the
"Reservation of • 17. Nothing herein contained shall mights. affect or be deemed to affect the rights of Her Majesty the Queen or
of any bodies politic on comporate, or other person or persons, except such as are mentioned or referred to in this Law and except those alaiming by, from, through or under them. ${ }^{\text {if. }}$

MR. CHATRMAN:
The question is that a new clcuse, Clause 17, be added to the Bill. The wordind of it being as just read out by the mover. Does any Member wish to speak to that motion? .... In that case I will put the question that a new clause be added as proposed.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: I should be gratefut for guidance. Do we need to put the question also that that new clause stand part of the Bill or have we automically done that? Perhaps for an abundance of caution it would be as well to put the question that the new Clause 17 stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. NEW CLAUSE 17 TRASSED.

CLERR: SCHEDULE.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that the Schedule stand part of the Bill. Unlees any Member wishes to speak, I witl put that question.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, in Clause 5 I would wish, aubject to leave of the Chair, Sir, to amend that in the second line where it says, ".... in writing auldressed" - if I could insert there, "and transmitted". So between the words. "addressed" and "to" in the sepond lines, "and tranemitted" is inserted; and remove from it the words "and transmitted to the Member Health, Education and Social Services"; and ineerting after the word "Foundation", "whereupon".

MR. CHAIRMAN:
An I right then that paragraph 5 of the Schedule as onended would read, "The Chairman may at any time resion his office by instrument in writing addressed and transmitted to the Foundation whereupon the Chairman shatl cease to be Chairman and if the instrument so specifies, shall cease to be a Member.". Is that a correct vereion of paragraph 5 amended in the sense that you propose?

```
HON. TFUMAN M. BODDEN: YeS, Sir. I wonder if you oould
Just grve me one minute please?
                            Mr. Chaxirman, I am wonderince if
we could perhaps take a break now because there is one matter
retating to appointment that I may have to ask for an amendment
ons Sir?
MR. CHATRMAN.
Very welt. It is in fact just about the time we customarily take our coffee break. Let us suspend proceedinge for approrimately fifteen minutes.
```

Flease be seated.
Do all Members have a copy of the notice of amendment? Well, let me read out ..... the first is an amendment to paraoraph 1, sub-porarraph (2) of the Sohedute and the amendment is to add, after the word "Chairman" at the end of that sentence, the words "and shall appoint new Members and Members to fill vacancies". So that paragraph 1(2) as amended would reod. "The Foundation shall appoint a Member of the Foundation to be Chairman and another Member to be Deputy Chairman and shall appoint new Members and Members to fill vacancies.".

There is also a proposed amendment to paragraph 2, the preamble of which is to be changed ao that it reads, "A Member of the Foundotion, excluding Mrs. Helen Harquail; shall hold office until he dies, resichs or has his appointment revoked at the absolute discretion of the Foundation before the end of that term by the Foundation, but:".

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY. I think then, perhape, we may need a small consequential amendment over the pace beomse really if you hold office for life, the unexpired term would not be of the term of life of the former Member.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: In other worde we are taking out the three years. Is that what I an understanding?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, we would be taking out the three years and they would then hold office until they are really moved on resign. The Honourable Second Official Member is quite right, we would have to alter that period over on the other page.

HON. MICHAEE IT. BRADLEY: In fact, Mr. Chairman, Sir, subject to what the Honourable mover thinks, I think that we could just put a fullstop after the word "Foundation" as it is now because if you hold for an indefinite term I think that the small paragraph (a) and small paragraph (b) are redundant.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
That is correct, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I cannot, to be truthful, maybe the Honourable mover will remind me, recall whether we ever did take a vote on the comendment which he had earlier proposed to paragraph 5 of the Schedule. Did we deal with that on not? On maybe the clerk ........ we did not - no.

So we now have three amendments and subject to the Honourable mover's consent, I propose to take them in turn. Fixst the amendment to pararraph 1 s sub-paragraph (2). Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to that amendment? If not, I will put the question that that amendment be acoepted.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. PARAGRAPH 1(2) OF THE SCHEDULE AS AMENDED PASSED.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Next the amendment to paragraph 2
of the Schedule and as I undexgtand them thoter amombronttor ape

MR. CHAIRMAN (CONTINUING): firstly in effect to delete the worde, in the second line, ".... for a term of three years unless ..." and to substitute for those worde, the word "untit". And then to delete the word "but" at the end of the precmble in paragraph 2 and to delete the whole of aub-paragraph $(a)$ and ( $b$ ).

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, Sir, but alao in the third
Iine of that paracraph, between the words "revoked" and "before", to put in "at the absolute discretion of the Foundation".

MR. CHAIRMAN: Quite right. Thank you.
So, I hope Members now are cware of the amendments that are proposed to paragraph 2 of the Schedule. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to that? ...... If not, I will put the question that those comendments be adopted.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. PARAGRAFH 2 OF THE SCHEDULE AS AMENDED PASSED.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Then, there was an amendment, which was mentioned before we took our short breok, to paragraph 5 of the Schedule which I think I read out before we took our break and unless any Member wishes me to read it again, I will take it that ......

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I I It not get that amendment, sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Very welt then. It is to insert in the second line of paragraph 5, after the word "addressed", the words "and transmitted". To delete in the second and third lines "and transmitted to the Member Health, Education and Social Services", and to insert in their place the word "whereupon", And the effect of that is that the paracraph would then read "The Chairman may at any time resign his office by instmoment in writing addressed to the Foundation whereupon the Chairman shatl cease to be Chairman and if the instmonent oo specifies, shatt aedse to be a Member. ".
$\frac{H O N . ~ D . ~ H . ~ F O S T E R: ~}{S i r .}$
You left out "ond transmitted".

MR. CHATRMAN:
Sorry, "addressed and transmitted". You are quite right. ". addressed and transmitted to the Foundation whereupon .....". Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to that amendment? ...... If not, I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. PARAGRAPH 5 OF THE SCHEDULE AS AMENDED PASSED.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: $\quad$ Mr. Chairmon, $I$ am wondering if I could reconmit Clouse 14. I would just like to explain, sir, this Bill was dealt with extremely quickly......
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I wonder .... aould we dispoee of
with Schedule firet and then I am sure that pormission to recommit

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:

MR. CHAIRMAN:
..... becouse I am frightened that
I will get into a muddle and we ahail not finish the Sohedule.
So, I have dealt now with the proposed omendments to paragraphs 1(2), 2 and 5 of the Schedule. Did the mover have any further amendments to the Schedule to propose? I have not notice of any. Is that the lot? You did not have any move anendments to the Soheduta? ... No.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: The other one was minop - puttina the words "of" and "the", but I could do that, sir.

MR. CHATPMAN: WeZl then, I wiLl put, unless any Member wiahes to apeak to the Schedule as omended, the question that the Schectule stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. SCHEDULE AS AMENDED PASSED.
MR. CHATRMAN:
Now we have only the Title and Enacting Clcuse. Perhape we could do that and then come back to .................
A BILL FOR A LAW TO ESTABLISH AND REGULATE THE
CAYMAN NATIONAL CULTURAL FOUNATION AND IN CONNEC-
TJON THEREWITH AND INCIDENTAL THERETO.

MF. CHAIRMAN: The question is that the Title and Enacting Clouse stand part of the Bill.

HON. MICHEAL J. BRADLEY. Mr. Chaiman. Sir, I think norm-
atly the words useg as a wrap-up set of words, ane "and for purposes in conneation therewith and incidental thereto". The worts "and for purposes" ...... would the Honourable mover have any objection to those worde going into the long Title.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Not at all. Sir.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Welt perhape I could ask leave of the Chair to move an amendment of which no notice has been given.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Fermission granted.
HON. MICHABL J. BRADLEY: Then, Sir, I would move that after the word "AND" in the long Title, that the words "FOR PURPOSES" be inserted.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I wonder whether ......
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
I am not sure that I am with you, sir.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: The long Title would then read, Mr. Chairman, "A Bilt for a Low to establish and regulate the Cayman Cayman National Cultural Foundation and for purposes in oonnection therewith and incidental thereto".

MR. CHAIRMAN: I wonder, too, whether, iff we are amending the long Title and Enacting Clause, all the proamble
"Whereas a petition ........" and "Whereas it is deemed ...... ought to come out beccuse it does not realiy properly belong there does it? I suppose it is not material whether it stamde

MR. CHATRMAN (CONITNUING):
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Sir, to conform.
or not.
If you wish it amended, I cm happy,

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: The only thino, Sirs is that, I have not been here long enough to reatly know whether there is a pattern estahlished, I think in two previous Private Members' Bills there has been a preamble printed as part of the Bill and $I$ think it may be useful in the sense that it appears to identify Government sponsored legislation from privately sponsored legislation.

MR. CHAIEMAN:
Alright. Fair enouch then. Well, then, there is just the one amendment to propose to the long Title and Enacting Clause and that is the insertion of the words "for purposes" after the words "Foundation and" and before the word ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ in ${ }^{\text {tr }}$ in the Title. Unless any Member wishes to speak; I will put that question.

QUESTTON PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I will then put the question that the Titte as amended and Enacting Clause stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. TITLE AS AMENDED AND ENACTING CLAUSE PASSED.

MR. CHATRMAN: Now, the Honourable mover wanted
to recommit clause 14.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, Sir. Clouse 14(a). I would just like to explain to Members and reatly to apologise - this Bill was done fairly rapidly and I do not reatly have aceese to the Chaixmon (these last two days - he is in New York), but my recollection was that going through it with the Foundation they had requested that in Clause 14(a) I delete the words "qoquire or", and I think this would be acoeptable, Sir, because I think Govermment should hove a right if there is a disposition or real property, but it seems to be a nuisance if there is an acquisition of $i t$. And I would therefore ask for a recomittat, after the leave of the Chair, to amend Clause 14 (a) by deleting "acquire or ${ }^{\prime \prime}$.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chaiman, Sir, in order that we may get the procedure absolutely straight in my mind, is it the intention of the Honourable mover to ask for the suspension of certain Standing onder to reconmit or does he propose to do it under Standing Order 53(4) when there is a procedure whereby at Report Stage ....... under Standing Order 53(5) there is a procedure upon a Bitl recommitted? I think it would be easier just to suspend Stonding Order ...... at this moment.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Yes, sir, I agree.
MR. CHATRMAN: I had assuned he was possibly intending to because we have not ........ so I would have thoucht that in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82, the Honourable mover was

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, Sir, I would like to suspend any Standing Orders which relate to my having to recommit through the procedure laid down in there and those provisions are in Standing Ordere 53 and 55. I do not know if you wish me to look for the epecific sub-orders, but I think if the House agrees that they be suspended to that extent. I would be arateful, sir.

MR. CHAIBMAN: Yes, well I cm perfectly content to aocept: an arrangement whereby we suspend whatever Standing Ordexs may be necessary in order to faciliate or enable the recommitment of that partioular clause and in onder to enable you to introduce, without notice, a motion amending the clause concerned.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, under standing Order S5(1) I think it can be properly done because that makes provision for reconmittal before the Third Reading of the Bill and .....

MR. CHATRMAN: But, that would be at a tater stace. That was the point that the Honourable Second Officiat Member. raised. If we were using the 55(1) provisions, I think we woutd be doing it later.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, once the Conmittee agrees on that, I think we should not try to get into anymore technioalities on it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am inclined to ...... yes ...
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: I would hate for the Honourabte Fourth Elected Mamber of Executive Council to join the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay as a lawyer now. (LAUGHTER)

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I com inclined to put the question that Stinding orders be suspended as necessary to enable the purpose described. Does any Member wish to speak to that? ..... If not, I uill put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDERS SUSPENDED TO ENABLE THE RECOMMITTAL OF CLAUSE 14.

MR. CHAIRMAN:: I think your motion was quite simply that clause 74 ( $a$ ) should be amended by the deletion of the words "acquire or".

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Yes, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Does any Member wish to speak to
that? ........... I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED, AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
And for an abundance of caution
I think I should probably put also the question that the Clouse as amended stand part of the Bill. Does any Member wish to speak to that? ........ If not, I will put that question too.

QUESTTON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 11 AS AMENDED PASSED.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
That I think ooneludee procedinge
in Conmittee on a Bill entitled the Cayman Nationat Cultural Foundation Bill, 1984. The House will now resume.

## HOUSB RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Report Stage.

## REPORT THEREON

THE CAYMAN NATTONAL CULTURAL FOUNDATION BILL, 1984

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, I have to Report that a Bith shortIy entitled the Cayman National Cultural Foundation Bizl, 1984, was considered by a Committee of the whote House and comended as set out in the Comittee Stace. I would ask that I not have to go back through these, Sir, and if that would be sufficient?

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bith is accordinaly set down fox Third Reading, Third Reading stage if the Clerk will read the title.

## THIPD READING

THE CAYMAN NATIONAL CULTURAL FOUNDATION BILL, 1984

CLERK: THE CAYMAN NATIONAL CULTURAL FOUNDATION BILL, 1984.
HON. TRUMAN M. EODDEN: Mr. Fresident, I am just checking
briefly to see whether I need to suspend Standing Orders to do that.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { subejct to corxection. Not so far as I know, but I speak }}$
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: It does not appear so. Yes, Six, it appears not. It is Standing Order 62(9). No, Sir, I do not need to suspend anything.

I therefore, Mr. Fresident, move
that a Bill shortly entitled the Cayman National Cultural Foundation Bill, 1984. be given a Third Reading and pasced.

MR. PRESIDENT: The question is that a Bill shortly entitled the Cayman National Cultural Foundetion Bill, 1984, be given a Third Reading and passed. I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND FASSED.

HON. MICHAEL I. BRADLEY. And I think, Mr. President, that my colleague, the Honourable Third Official Member, would tike if I would just drow the attention of the clerk to subseotion (10) of that aection.

MR. PRESIDENT:
That I think is the provision about printing expenses and so on yyes.

## HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I am wonderind whether the Honourable Member thinks I am not good for $i t_{\mathrm{s}}$ Sir. (LAUGHTER)

MR, PRESIDENT: We now move to item 3 on today's Order Paper - Government Buainess, Bills - The Liquor Licensina (Amendment) Bitl. 1984. The continuation of Second Reading Debate and my recolleation is that the Honourable Fourth Eleated Member of Executive Council was still speaking $I$ think. I com not quite sure whether he had finished his speech. I do not think he had. I would certainty give him the benefit of the doubt if he told me he had not.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
ctucled my speech.
Mr. President, I think I had con.

MR. PRESIDENT,
Then, in that case I will i vite any other Member who may wish to speak, but has not yet spoken during the debate on this Bill to do so now.

> THE LIQUOR LICENSING (AMENDMENT) BILL. 1984 CONTINUATION OF SECOND READING DFRATE

CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Mr. President, the Bill for a Law to Amend the Liquor Licensing Law, 1974, eeeks to make a number of changes which I agree with and consider necessary. However, Mr. President, I do not agree with Clause 7 of the Bill in its present form and in particular the first portion of that amendment.

The second part of the amendment as it now stands will shift the responsibility of making a decision from the Liquor Licensing Boand to our ohurches, schools and civic centre organisations.

Most Caymanions, Mr. Preaident, consider theis church sacred and if this amendment is passed into tow, as it now stands, we witl couse unnecessary probtems within the church and the community.

I see no reason for this sudden departure from what the normal functions and responsibilities of the Liquor Licensing Board are and we shoutd not, and I repeat, should not legislate a low in this House which would shift the decision making to any other body. Our churohes have enough problems without us broadening them further with matters that we, as Legislators, should find solutions for.
$I$ can see no reason why a restaur. cont's licence or a wine and beer licence, which oan only be sold when a meat is served for oonsumption at the restaurant, be granted, particularly when the restaurant is enclosed and airconditioned without us involving the churches, schools and civic centres. I hope, Mr. Fresident, that at Committee Stage an conendment witl be made to this Bill which will not involve our churches, schools on civic centres in making a deeision with regards to our Liquor Licensing Law.

With those few remarke, Mr. Presidents and with the hope of having an omendment to clouse 7, I support the Bill.

HON. JOHN B. MOLEAN: Nr. Presiclent, I sat here yesterday and Iistened to the debate on the Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984, which is now before us, and I must say, Sir, I have agreed with certain areas and I have disagreed with others.

Mr. President, the amendment to
Clause 9 of the Bill which deals with the proximity of a bar to a church, school or aivic eentre was an area in the debate which was very heavily debated. I feet, Mr. President, that if we are to omend the Clause, we should do so, but I cannot agree that the onus should be placed on the shculders on those responsible for our churches, civic centres and schools.

Mr. President, I honestly believe in the worls of Calvin Coolige when he aaid, "I bolieve in absolute separation of the Church and State matters." I reculty believe in those words, Mr. Fresident, and I further feel that we, as Leeislators, here in this Honourable Chamber beinn the leaders of the majority of people by whom we were elected, would be passing the buck and shrinkine from our responsibilities if we took such a retroorade step.

Mr. President, as $I$ think of the Liquon Low, the words of Herbert Huphreys come clear to my mind, and his words were, "There are not enough jaits, not enough policemen, not enough courts to enforce a tou not supported by the people.". Mr. President, I think it has been made clear from the debate in this Chomber that the time has come, not only to have ari amendment here on the Liquor L(w), but to introduce a completely new Law.

Mr. Eresident. if the people do not support the Low, for many recsone that we are oware of, then I feet that it is our duty again to endeavour to do something about it.

I would like, Mr. President, to
point out just a few areas in the Law that I have constantly received queries on and honestly, I must say I have to agree that it does merit the queries that were put forwand.

I first deat with certain hours which are established in the Liquor Low. I am a believer, Mr. President, that the Sabbath shouldbe kept holy. I think that when the Low was amended to bring in the section which covers a look-up period of twetve o'clock on Saturdry nights, it was meant to show respect for the Sabbath. But. on the other hand, $\mathrm{Mm}_{\mathrm{M}}$. President, if we ao a tittle in, we witl gee where the bame licensed premises are able to open at one o'clock on the Sabbath.

To me, Mr. President, this does not spelt senae. If we are going to keep the Sabbath holy. I think we should keep it holy. And if we are not goind to respect it futily, I think, therefore, the licensed premises should be able to open on Soturday nights, the same way they are open through the week.

Another area that was brought
out in the debate, Mr. President, and I think it was my cotlearue here in Executive Council who mentioned this, was the fact that if move places were ticensed, Government would be sure of having better control, both sanitary and atherwise, and be able to enforce the Law. Fresently, Mr. President, the way things are, we constantly hear of speakeasiee which I am certain we will never be able to get rid of, but it is my honest feeling that something more can be done about it.

HON. JOHN B. MOLEAN (CONTINUING): Aleo, Mm. Presidents one Member mentioned that due to the fact that there are so many bars, we have families who are presently suffering. My views, Mr. President, on those remarks - in every society we have odd persons. It is my focling, Mr. President, that if there was but one licensed premise in these Istands, those individuals would take the same stand. I think it is unfair to penalize the majority for an odd few.

Let us face it, Mr. President, there are many things that can be detremental. to our health, We see many who, through their lives, dia their aroves with their teeth: and what I mean to say is that they eat too much. So, we have the same problem with those who may overdo it and drink too much.

Mr. President, for a white I considered an issue which was brought out here yesterday. It really is not something that is in my district, but like I have said of times, I stand in this Chamber not only to represent the district of East End, but to represent the Cayman Is ands a a whole.

Mr. Presidenty the hotel which was mentioned here yesterday, hae, as I understand $i t_{s}$ presently applied for a liquor licence. I speak as a Caymanian for a Caymanian. Mr. President, records will bear me out, there are not too many hotels in this country which are owed by a true born Caymanian. Ands in this case, Mr. President, I feel I cm oorrect in saying this may be a case where a Caymanian has put his whole life savings into this very importont venture.

Mr. Fresident, the economy of these Istands are based on tourism and I am proud to know that such a facility was buitt to try to accomodate and to encourane tourists to enjoy a little more of these Islands than the Seven Mile Beach.

Mr. President, I am hoping that more such development will be established, not only in the nor thern district. but also in the eastern distmict. I am eertain tourists will enjoy that part of the Island too.

Although I speak in defense of this, Mrr. President, I would like to make it abundantly clear that I have never been to the doors of that hotel. But, as I said in the beqinnina, I merely speak as a Coymanian, a concerned Caymanian and a Caymanian who supports another Caymanian. I am hopeful, Mr. President, that some solution will be made wherely Government can assist this individual. I, for one, would not like to know that it is because of some negligence, of which whereby we could have helped, this business hod to fotd.

I think the First Eleoted Member for
the Lesser Islands made it.clear a while goo when he said he could see no reason why a licence could not be issued, especially in an area where it is well built and is properly enclosed.

Mr. President, to bring my short.
debate to an end, I would just like to ask Members that when we go into Committee on this Bill that we put our heads together and try to iron out whatever anomaties might have surfaced.

Thank you, Mr. President,
MR. PRESTDENT:
I think, possibly, pather than have another Member start apeaking and perhaps suffer intermuption, it may be best ift we break for tunch now and I surgest that we should resume at two-fifteen.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I wonder whether we coutd resume at two-thirty beccuse I have set an appotintment in my office for two o'olock and I need to be back here, Sirs as I am the mover of this Bill - if that would be convenient to the Members?

MR. PRESIDENT;
Will that suit Members of the House? .... Well, we will take a slightly longer lunch break than usual then. Let us resume at hatf past two.

AT 12:33 F.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:AO P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Froceedings are resumed. The Second Reading Debate on The Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984. Does any further Member wish to apeak?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Presidenty Sir, I mise to partiaipate in this Second Reading Debate of the Liquor Liensing (Amendment) Bilt, 1984, not to oomment in partioular on any of the Clauses here, because as Chairman of the Select Committeewhich oonsidered this, the Honourable Attomey-Generat was aware of the deeply held feelings by a number of Members; and I will leave it to the Members to get their owi consensus on them. However, there has been mentioned one partioular factor and that is that this, amonsst a number of other laws. has been widety amended and extensively amended, and in foct" this is perhaps the classic example of the old saying, "You need to know what you have to know what you want".

The Liquor Licensing Law oricinatly was passed in 1974, Mr. President. There were amendments to it in 1971, twice in 1979, twice in 1991, once in 1982, once in 1983 and with this present Bill in front of us, it will, if passed, have been omended eight times. The originat Law was a short one effectively of forty-two sections of which eight have been amended once, four amended twice; four amended three times, one amended four times, one amended no leas than five times and four new sections have been added. And I think that as Members have said, the need for a revision of this is very much a matter that should have top pr mity because I think we need to see this Law, as at present is in our statu e books, in a revised form before we can look at it clearty and see, hopefully in the new year, what a new oomprehensive $L$ cuw should effect.

In relation to that, Ar. President, I am pleased to say, that, not only in relation to this, but in. relation to a number of other tows, I have, with the kind asaistance of the Honourable Financial Secretary, been oble to obtain assistance from British Axecutive Service Overseas which is a service that makes cuailable, in many fieluls, experienced executives to assist termitories with expertigas and we hove great ploasure in having, at the moment, a Mr. Roger Suddards who is an English Zauyen from BESO with us on the Island, and an assietant who is also a lawyers, who are here to assist in comiling revisions of not only this, but a targe number of other lawe with a view, eventuatiy, to bringing these taws up to date and seeing as the way than clean for perhaps the preparation of a oomplete new revised edition of the lows.

HON. MICHAEL T. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): Having Listened to the remarks of the Members here, Mr. Fresident, I assure the House that a revision to let the public and Members know what the Luw is in regard to liquor licensing to revise the Law and put it into its present form witl be one of the first prioritise.

Thank you.
BON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, as the Honouriable Second Official Member has mentioned, this Low has been amended many times and it is a very controversial Low. I would like to deal with some of the sections and then deal more with the general principles at the end.

In Section 2, the definition of 'seagoing vessel' will now permit the Port Authomity to dive approval as to the auitability of a vessel for the purposes of holding a liquor licence and having persons on board. And, among other things, it uill ensure that the recuired omount of safety equipment such as life-jackets and life-mfte are on board. This is important, Mr. President, for any vessel which has passengers on on it to be secworthy.

Subsection (6) of pection 7
provides that no liquor can be sold on a seagoing vessel within a half mile of the land.

Section 3 of the amending Bill adds a new subseation (2) to section 3 of the Law and it provides as follows . "Whoever, being the owner, occupier or being concermed in the management of any premises not licensed under this Law, permite or suffers any intoxicating tiguor to be sold or exposed for sale from those premises is guilty of an offence. ". This seotion, Mr. Fresident, tightens up the Law aonsiderably and I think that it is right and proper.

Section 4 of the amending Bill
adds a new subsection (3) (a) which pexmits the Liquor Licensing Board to adjourm. The old Low provided that the Board had to sit and deal with all applications and, indeed, under section 6 , subsection (4), of the old Low provided, and I quote, "Boards and annual session shatl continue in such session on every working day until all applicatione timously made had been disposed of.". obviously that section was put in a long time ago when the Board was lese busy.

Subsection (5) permits the promulgation of the Board's decision as soon as practicable after the conclusion of the relevant hearing. Before this, it had to be published within twenty-four hours after the hearing and this was not always possible.

Section 6 of the anending Bill removes the words, "prior to the 15th day of that month", and, now an annuat session of the Board can take place any time during the month of September. Section 6 also brings the law relating to seagoing vessels in line with comendments that I mentioned at the beginning.

Section 7 of the amending Bill adds the proviso to subsection (1) of section 9 of the originat Low which provides ..... I would just like to read this because, Nr. Presidents this is the ontrovergial, or one of the controversiat sections. It says, "Provided however that the aforesaid prohibition on the granting of a licence by reasons of proximity to any churoh, school or civic centre shalt not apply in respect of a restourant licence or a wine and beer licence under section 10 where a written statement has been obtained from the organisam tions responsible for the local governing bodies of all churches.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTTNUING): echools and divio centree within a quarter of a mite's distance that there is no objection by them to the granting of such a licence.".

So the Low before this stated an absolute prohibition and now what it is sought to do is to change that so that the churoh or schoot or civic oentre could put in a statement that there was no objection to it.

It is under this section, $M r$. Fresident, that the representations made by Mr. fanes Terry in relation to hie hotel have been considered. I think for the benefit of everyone, it is importont to remember that white we do have sympathy for Mr. Terry, the Lau was enforced a long time before he began to build his hotel and therefore it is not as though this Legisilature has, after he has built an hotel, deprived him of a liquor. lidence. Hovever, I have a certain amount of sympathy for him, but I have an overriding duty, eepecially to the churches and the schools to ensure that they are not interupted by licenced premises within a quarter of $a$ mite of them.

This section as drafted would put the onus on the church, the sohool or the civic centre to get a written statement of no objection to the licence. Ae the First Elected Member for West Bay mentioned, ond subsequently by other Members, this places a very heavy burden on the church and I am inclined to agree with them that this Legislature should shouldex ite burdens, itself and make a decision either to lift the restriction or to leave it on. However, the First Elected Member for the Lesser Istands did mention, what may well be reparded as on exceptional circumstanee in that if it oan be shown to the satisfaction of the Boand (and we must atways bear in mind that the church, achool and civic centre can make a general objection under the Law in any event), but if it can be shown to their satisfaction that the licensed premises would be properly enclosed, airconditioned and will not cause a nuisance (as he mentioned in the case of wine and beer and for a restaurant licenee) then perfaps this is the way of settiting this section.

In which case, Mr. President, an cmendment could just be brought statinc that in exceptional circumstances and provided that the Board was satisfied that there woutd be no detrimental noise or persons who may be drinkind dismpting the church, then it could orant it in those oircumstances. And, also I would mention it should condition the licence that in the event of having any of those problems it could be withdraum. So I belipve that that is one way of perhaps settling the controversy over that.

Section 10 of the prineipal Law hois been conended by deleting the night resort ticence and by adding two oategories - restaurant and wine and beer. I feel that this is an improvement as there will be times when it is appropriate to issue a restaurant on a wine and beer licence, but may not be appropriate to issue a retail licence. It will therefore yive the community more protection and the Board more flexibility on dealing with applications.

Section 11 of the Lraw is also amended by giving the Chairman a power to extend licensing hours. I would expect this would only be done when it is an absolute necessity for such an extension.

The remainind sections and some of the previous ones deal with the insertion of the Chief Fire Officer in relation to inspections and the licensing of premises.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): I regard this as very necessary because in the event of a fire in a licensed premise which has a lange oro $d$ of people, their lives could depend pon proper fire precautions and fire ipment.

We undoubtedty have the beat fire department in the Caribbean and perhaps in the wortd -effectively manaced by our Chief Fire Officer and I believe thrt this amendment is therefore a very positive step in the right direction.

I believe that the Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984, is one which will clear up anomalies in certain areas as well as tighten up the Law in relation to the use of premises for purposes of contravention of the Law. Undoubtedty, in the past, as the Honourable First Elected Member of Exacutive Council has mentioned, we have see the speak.-easy liquor premises opringing up and I believe that there should be a more effective control in relation to premises.

Mr. President, drinking is a major:
problem in Cayman. Our dmug and aleohol clinic is now getting well under way, however, unless the Law is properly policed, drinking can only become a biecier problem. Drinking becomes the biggest problem when it abused beoause, tike all things, abuse creates problems. Most worrying to me, Nr. President, is the failure to stop, the faiture to prohibit youth under the age of eighteen drinking on premises. I believe that the polioe must properly check premises to ensure that this section of the Law is enforced. We must remember that youth are susceptible to temptations and they must be protected; and white apperson perhaps in their midteens may be regarded, or may regard himself, as an adult, at law he still is not and I believe that we have to make zaws to protect persons under the age of mafority.

In conclusion, Mr. Presidents I
belisve that these amendments, subject to my reservations in relation to the removal aompletely of the restriction on the quarter mile limit. will improve the preeent Law. They will tighten up the Low and therefore, in principle, I am in favour of the amending Bill.s Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ The Second Elected Member for West
Bay.
MR. D. DALMATN EBANKS: Mr. President, this Fitl that is before us tefinitatu has been amented mom times than other Eille in tho House, and has atill not been finalised. We witl have to agree that not until a new Law is drafted that we will probably find oureelves out of this problem. Although these amendments here seem to help in some ways, we are always to finf problems. Number one is drinking, which witt never be prevented in theoe lalands. I feel oure that by giving people ticenses, their businesses can be better controlled. Thise This Clause here which puts the onus on the churches I cannot support, Sir. If you can do something about that I will go along with the rest of the Bill. That is my argument against it, Sir. Thank you.

## MR. PRRSIDENT: Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? Does the mover wish to exercise his riaht of reply?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, it is not often in life that I become confued or short of words. But today $I \mathrm{am}$. $I$ am not responsible for the Liquor Licensing Law. In other worde, it is not my baby. The Low has been on the statute books of this country for ten years, and as various speakers have said today, it has had to be changed and changed and changed. I am charged under the Constitution

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): through you with the responsibitity for enforcing the Liquor Law as it is written into the taw and to see about the functions of the Liquor Boand, beting its Chaimon. I think alt the Members that have served on that Foard with me for the past eight yeare on many ocoasions find ourselves wondering just why we are there. Today, I find myself in the position of the happy, expectant father who rushes of to the hospital when the baby is delivered. ushes to the crib, looks into the face of the baby and sees his best friend's features. That's just how I on with this Bill.

I chaired a Cormittee, I think probablu close to two years aco, of the Liquor Board, because we had alt become a bit frustrated in trying to deal urith the applications that oame before the Board, and we were also further frustrated beacuse we could get no enforcement of the Liquor Law. I am asticmed that I have to be the Chairman of a Law which aanot be enforced any better than the Liquor L(aw is. In my opinion we either have some thinn and we enforce it, or we have nothino. You micht as well do divil with it. We get complaints of speak-easies, of people sellina liouor in places where everybody attends from time to time and no one cees it beine done. No one can get the necessary evidence to convict, so I reatty, truth fully ask this Assembly today whether there is any need to have this Law. I am supportine no special interest rroups, because when you come before me on the Borrd, recardless of who you are, I am coing to treat you the woy that the Las has set it out and the way I think it should be done. I do not believe in the preservation of any special interest rorops. But the time had come to try to do something with this Lcw.

We formed a Committee and we had aeverat meetings. The Committee drafted what we considered to be necessary amendments. I in turm added some comments to them, and we awaited a draft of a new Law. Inotead of it being Arafted as a new Low, it was brounth in as a number of amendments, and in my opinion then it did not fill the Bill, and that is why I brought it to the floor of this House, and I asked that it be put to a Select Conmittee, because it did not fitl what was needed, and I thought it best for the full House to deal with it in the Committee stace in private and see what we could come up uith.

Unfortunately. I had to attend to business abroad, as welt as I took some time off on my oum, and I was unable to attend any of the Conmittee meetinos. The Conmittee dealt with it. Thare were five meetinge. I have copies here of the Minutes of those meetings. From time to time I think every Member except myself attended that Committee or those meetings, and I wonder why $I$ was presented with an omendment like this and a Fitl like this to bring to the House when evidently it had no support, because every Member has spoken arainst it.

Executive Council I said When this omendment first came to that $I$ woula not present it to the House. it. Now relented after it wos dealt with, and decided to present it. Now I wish I had etuck to my first stand. The Low, N". Fresident, as far as I om concerned es the Chairman. is out of date with the existing conditions in our Country entirely. The section that has had the discussion today again, in my opinion, is superfluous and a bit ridiculous.

We cre put here by the people of this Country to make decisions rnd we must make those decisions; whether people like those decisions on not, we must make them. The time to remove us if they do not like those decisions we have made is at the elections, and we have one coming up in a couple of months. I atuays

HON. JAMES $M_{\text {, }}$ BODDEN (CONTINUED): say, make a decision even if it is the wrong one. Face the musie if it is wrong. I do not believe that I should pase on my responsibility to a church or a schoot house, or anyone elae, not even to one of my fellow colleagues. I was elected a Member of this House. I was then eleoted from this Houee to Ewacutive Counail, and you have charged me with the responsibility of beinc Chairman of this Board. Therefore $I$ do not relinquish that and believe that I should bend.

I pose the quection to this Honourable House: which minister of religion in this country, which teacher of our schoots, is goina to be foolish enough to append his signature to a paper that gives permission fox a liquor establishment to get a licence right next doox to him? And the other point about it, Mr. President, is that once an objection is raised, by that person, whether it is the minieter or the teacher, or someone in charge of a civic organiaations it is a complete prohibition. There is no disoretion left in the hands of the Board. We already have a section in the Law which allows for a person to enter a petition against the issuing of a Liquor licence. I think that is suffioient if we want to have something that we sort of put the onue on someone else.

As I have said before, Mr. President, it is very seldom that you urit ever hear me speak for something I am charged for and notpush to have it done, becouse I believe I have a duty to fulfill: I believe in doing that duty: and I believe in faoing it come helt or high water. This time, I cannot face the high water. Mr. President, I leave this comendment and this Bill completely to the discretion of the House beocuae I feel we need a comprehensive Low, which should be dealt with next year, whether I am here to present it or not, it does not make a difference. I think someone should preaent a Bill next yeor that fulty tekas care of all the problems we have.

If it is the feeling of the House that we con amend this in Committee stace and go through with it, I will be very pleased to lead it throuch, Mr. Fresident. But other than thati, I leave it completely to the diecretion of this House as to whether we proceed any funther with this amendment. Thank you, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENM:
The motion is that the Bill entitled The Bitt for a Law to Amend the Liouor Licensing Law, 1974 be given a Second Reading.
qUESTION pUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Nr. President, Sir, before you move on to any other businees, Sir, I wonder if I may, under Standing Order 31 be permitted an opportunity for a personal explanation.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Very well, yes. You will remember, and I am sure $I$ have drawn this to your attention on previous oocasions, that you must not include controvereial matter, and there cannot be any debate arising on the explrnation.

MR, BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Preaident, with reapect, I
belteve this is the first time I have used this Standing order. I have used I think it is 43(2) several times but this is the firet time I am usine this one.

MR. PRESIDENT: You may stant on your personal axplanation. If $I$ think it goes beyond what could properly be allowed, I shalt obviousty stop you.

MR.'BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Mr. Fresident. It is to do with this section 9 of the Bill which hae juet had its Second Reading. I think that I should explcin my position on that section. Section 7 of the Bill which deals with section 9 of the Lai, and that is thut to the best of my recollection there were three Members in the Committee: the First Elected Member of Executive Councit, the Fourth Eleoted Member of Executive Councit, and muself, who were prepared to support absolute the guestion of putting this section in a form where we would remcve the absoluto pronibition of a quarter of a mite, but leave the discretion with the Board: hon. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: $\quad$ is debating the Bitl stitl. Fresident, on a point of order,

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ In an beginning to think this, I I do not raally think this is a personal explanation. I think this is something that you could quite welt have said in the course of your speech about the BiLL. I do not think that anybody has, at any otage, after you spoke, satid anythinc that imputed to you some action you did not take, some view you did not hotd. If you want to make a further point you may have an opportunity when the Bill gete to Conmittee, but I do not really think that for the explanation on which you are embarking, which is,

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS: $\quad$ I an very well aware of my righte in Committee stages and I will make it, six, but you know that the Committee stape of the Bilit is a different matter from the Second Readina, ete.

MR. PRESIDENT:
already been ocmpleted.

The Seand Readinf, with respeet, has

NR. BENSON O. EEANKS:<br>it in the Minutes.<br>All might, Pre: President, I will record

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think I said
before, that completes the Second Reading, and we can turn next to Item 3(2), the Supplementary Appropriation Bill. I am hopefut that perhaps the debate on that and the Second Reading of the Second Supplementary Appropriation Bill may be quite short and that we may be able to take the Second Readincs of those two Bille before we suspend proceedinge. We did start a little late after lunch. If the clerk would read the title.

$$
\frac{\text { THE SUPPLEMENTARY APRROPRIATION (1982) BILL, } 1984}{\frac{\text { FIRST READING }}{}}
$$

CLERK: The Supplementary Appropriation (1982) Bill, 1984.
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Prosident, I move the Second Reading of a BiLl entitled A Bill for a Lid to Allow and Confirm cortain Expenditure duxing the Financial Year, 1982. Mr. Fresident, the expenditure to be

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING):
confirmed has been approved by Finance Conmittee during that partioular year, and the law has been brought before the House to confirm those approvale given. 1982 to some people may have been a long time aqO, Mr. Preeident, and I would just indioate one particular ficure which tends to stand out in the Sohedule, and that is Thirteen Mitition Ninety-eight Thousand Eight Hundred and Fifty-five doltars under Finance and Development. It was that

MR. PRESIDENT:
Coutd I interrupt the Member:c moment? I think I am right, and if I om right the foult is entirety mine. We have not formaily done the First Reading. I did not read my Order paper oarefully enough, and it say Firet and Second Readings. I was thinking that we had had the First Reading. . I apologise. I think what I witl have to do is now to put the question that the Bill be read a first time. I apolagize to the House for my oversight in not seeing it. $I$ am only comforted that nobody else apart from the Deputy Clerk appeare to have noticed either, and brought me up on a point of order. So if I may, I will put the question that the Bill be read a firet time. Would those in favour pleare ady "Aye".

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Beoging your pardon, Sir, I think you have to take it as deemed to be read a first time and oet down for a Second Fealing firgt, beoure the Clerk. . .

Mr. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Sorry, I do not have to put the question, you mean, I deem it. . . You are quite right. Well then, I will deem the Dill to have been read a firet time. If the clerk would read the title again we coutd embark again on the Second Reading.

THE SUPPLEMENTARY APPRCPRIATION (1982) BILL, 1984
SECOND READING.
CLERK: The Supplementaxy Appropriation (1982) Bill, 1984.


MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitlen A Bitt for a Law to Allow and Confirm Certain Expenditure During the Fincnoial Year 1982 be read a eecond time. The motion is open for debate, If no Honourable Member urishes to speak, I will. put the question.
qUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): We can move on to the next Bill and I must remember that it is the First Reading.

FIRST READING
CLEFK: The Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1984.

MT. PRESTDENT:
The Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bitt, 1984 is deemed to have been read a firet time and is set down for Second Rearing.

## SECOND READING

CLERK: The Supptementary Appropriation (1983) Bill; 1984.
HON. THOMAS C. JEFEERSON: Mr. Ereqident; I beg to move the Second Reading of a Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to Allow and Confirm Certain Expenditure During the Finanoidl Year, 1983.

The supplementary expenditure to be oonfirmed, Ni. Prasident, is Two Million Three Hundred Sixty. Thousand Four Hundred and Sixteen Dollars, and the major sum of that is One Million Six Hundred Eighty-Four Thousand Nine Hundred and Four Dollars under Finance and Development. This relates to the purchase of the Caymon Islands Turtle Farm, Mr. Fresident. Thank you.

MR. RRESTDENT:
The question is that the Bill entitlad A Bitl for a Law to Allow and Confirm Certain Expenditure Durine the Financial Year 1983 be given a Second Reading. Does any Honourable Momber wish to speak?

HON. THUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I take oogniamee of what you mentioned earlier, but I would tike to epeak briefly to a thow that it is justified having regard to the finconces of this Country, to put through this Appropriation Bizl. I hope, within the confines of that, I would be pervitted to speak on it.

## Mr. President, this supplementary

 expenditure of 2.3 Mitlion Dollars, and in fact the previous expenditure, is a supplenentary expenditure which the Country oan woll justify. The tast audited account that the Government had has just been laid on the table as at 31st of December, 1983, and it is mainty to these that I shall refer, pather than attempting to get into varue estimates which may be neither facturt nor unvertietio.The present acnerat reserves of the Cayman Istands are at present 10.1 Mition Dollars, and as 1983 we had a surplus of mother million cloltwrs, making a total of reserve and surplus of 11.1 Million Dotlors. That amount, Mr. Fresident, is approximately a little lese than one quarter of our annual expencliture, so that the country could, in fact, be run fer three months without receiving any revenues whatsoever. The most impnutant aspect with relation to this Bill, white we are ooinc to appropritate money dver and above what was appropriated originatly, is the fact that the Cayman Islonds has put aride savings for rainy days as I mentioned earlier, in the form of a reserve and surplus. And, Mr. Fresident, I would hope that we would not have any objections in relation to this because in fact the supplementary this time is small compared to what went on in previous years. In fact, a supplementamy this size, for example, I would aay baek in 1975, when the country only had a reserve of a million and a deficit of 2.43 mitition would have been very worrying. However, the country here at present is in a

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTTNUING): very good financiat position.
This supplementary expenditure is even further justified, Mr. President, because as at the end of July this year, 1984, approximately a month ago, the Govermment's financiat to position showed a surpiue of 4.5 million doltars, so when we add that to
the $\$ 10.1$ million we are looking at reserve and supplus of aproximately 14 and a half million dollars. Fut very simply, even though we are expending an extra two million dollare here, we have, deopite that expenditure, stitl a very large reserve and savings in the form of this fourtsen and a half million dollars.

Mr. President, the other aspect which I think ts relevant to this and I hove heard Memhers raise this from time to time, is what really have these expenditures achieved? Weil, the way to find that out is to look at the amount of capital expenditurs because, Mr. President, this buys assete whioh continue and when we realise that a part of this expenditure, a large part of it in fact, relates to Head 10; Finonce and Development which is in fact oapital expenditure, and this is taken from reourrent revenue, then if we took at, say, the last four years, we have expended 36.2 million Dollare on oapitat expenditure buyine assets; assets whioh oontivue. That, Mr. Preeident, compared to 5.6 Million for the four yeare since 1972, and the distinotion I would like to draw in relation to this Bill is the fact that it is a whole different situation from puttinf money into capital assets such as, for example, the airport or the dock or this buitding or any type of building, because this is money that is spent well.

The other aspect is what is owed by the Country before one an appropriate supplementory expenditures. And, Mr. President, the public debt now stands at caproximately Nine Milition Dollars. Housver, looking back, in 1976 it was 7.3 Million Dollars, or approximately. $62 \%$ of all the looal revenue, compared to $19 \%$ of the tocal revenue at present. And the servicing of that debt is very small indeed, and at present, as stated by the Financial Secretary in his Eudget Speech, stands at $2.6 \%$ of the local reverue, compared with what is aocepted internationaliy as $10 \%$ of the local revenue. So we are way below what is the accepted marimum for servicing the Country's debts.

So Members oan feet happy at passing this slight increase in the axpenditure, because the Country is reatity in the best position that it has ever been. One may well eay in relation to this Appropriation Low, 'Well, what about the contingent liabilities of Government? How do they affect this?"

Mr. Presidert, a contingent liabitity is one which does not become a debt which is owing until it is actually onlled upon. It is like a cuarantee that is given. And that has to be discarded when looking at the finanoes of the country in relation to an Appropriation Low. Indeed, Mr, Fresident, it would be a funny situation in the world if we had to recard as liabilities matters which are contingent auch as guarantses. And furthers, str. President, we all know that as lone as one continues paying on a debt, then it will not be oalled. So some of the argument which has been advanced from time to time which state that we should always have anouch money, enough cash, to pay all of oup delts, and Mr. President, this is the first in the history of these Istands that we do have anough cash to pay all of our debts, is not really being very fair. While this Appropriation Bill will inorease the amount spent over the supplementayy appropriation which has already been

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): passed, the Country can welt afford it. When looking at the assets of the country, and I have shain that we have, in the last few years as in this Appropriation Bill, spent a lot of this money on capttol assets such as you will see in here: roads, schools, hospital equipment. These are funds which are well-apent and they are not eaten up by the revenue which is recurrent. So far any attenpt to say, as I have seen from time to time, that the Country should not be spending these funds, is really, Mr. President, to confuse what the Country's reat position is with the fairy tale wortd of what some people say it is.

What I have given to you as at suly are hard facts, they are acoounts, in fact the cudited cccounts are now before this House. In fact, Mr. President; with this targe cmount of cash in the bonk it realiy has put us in a position where we are the envy of the world as a whote; because fow ocuntries have any surplus at all, normalty no reeerves, and as we say, for example, the trode deficit with the United States is something like Sixteen on Twenty Bitlion Dollars. That is a horrendous dett.

In this Appropriation Bill, while it is an expenditure, Mr. Fresident, it is money that this covernment has earned the hard way, but more then that, as I mentioned earlier with the larae reserves that we have it is one that is justified. We do have loans and in fact what I mentioned earlier would exalude the Zom that we did towards the Tower Building, but even with adding that in there is still sufficient oash to pay all of the Government's zoane.

One other aspect that I think is important is that these Appropriaticn Lowe and the Einance Committee are made up only of the twelve Elected Members of the Legialature, together with our civil semants Financial Secretary; and tong may we have a civit semant Financial Secretary. An' therefore, untike this House where we hove the Official Members with wotes, money whioh is spent here in fact, alt money which is spents is money that the twelve members approve, $s o$ I find it very atrange at times that Members who were Members of the Finance Comititee will go out and begin ariticising the finanoes of the Country. Because that toad is scuarely on the shoulders of the Finance Committee. It is really like abmitting defeat to oneself.

I bnow that ueually
Membere like to put the blome soucrely on the shoulders of the Elected Members, and while we do acrry a lot of that load and we do direct poticy in many directions, the finances of thio country, in its final analysis are directed by the twelve Elected Members of this House, so each of them should ;oin me very proudly. I would exy, in uphotding the supptementaxy appropriation that is here, as well as expounding to the world that our fincnces are in a very strong position.
this specifio Appropriation Bith would like to add in relation to at having our finanoial Sen Bills that I feel very safe and very happy this matter, and to a lange extent wh is a Civil servont dealing with this matter, and to a large extent the internal controls on spending and the reverues cre in his hands. And Mr. Fresidant, lastly on this point, with a Mastere degree in finance and statistice from one of the best universities in the United States, George Washington University, and a Bachelor of Science, I think it is, in Economics, and a diploma in Enonomic Development, he is very capable and I an sure does not feel the burden of the sixty $\rightarrow$ odd million lotzar budfet and these appropriation supplementaries that are now going on.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUINC): I further believe that we will oontinue in the future to be able to take supplementary expenditures such as this becauee I do not believe that motters such as the introduction of inoome tar or perhape the licensing of land and presumably the taration would ever be raised by him. So the House can feel guite happy, not only at the financial position that we now have which permits ue to pay. these supplementary expenditures, but alao by the faet that all twetve of us are contributing in keeping the Country on a steady keel.

## I know, and Members of this House

know, that the beet principte to run the finances of a country is, naturally, to keep expenditure as low as possible. This Goverrament has mun this Country by living within its means. If there is not ouffiaient monay for a project to be prudently financed, we do not do $t t$. So this dupplementary Bill now io one which has been prudently put forward. You may well worry that in passing this Appropriation Bill you may be depriving the Country of certain reveruee in the future, but with thinge as they preeently are with several major hotels in the pipeline to keep the revenues of Government flowing, and in fact large amounts of condominiums in the pipeline, then we need have no fear. . .

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, on a point of order, Sir, is this debate really relevant to the Eill before the House?

MR. ERESIDENT:
Well I think it is arguably
relevant, yes. From time to time it wanders off what might be strictly very relevant, but I think it is near enough. After all, he is speaking to persuade you, convince you, that you can reasonably and prudently support the Bill. I think this is fair.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
been voted by Finance Comitto for this money.

MR. PRESTDEITT:
On that argument it is pointlees to introduce and pass the Bill at all. I. howe the Member may not go on too much longer. I would have to take up the point that has been raised otherwise.

MR. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
I shail not, Mr, President. Eut referring to events and knowing that the Member for excmple who just raised that point, at least on one ocoasion stood up and spoke completely against a Bills and then voted for it. The Narootice Bith. I assure him I am spacking for this and I am zoing to vote for it. I witl be brief.

So, Mr. Presiclent, with the mafor hotels which are now in tine, one two-forty room cnd one two-fifty room, I think that there is sufficient future security in our finances, I would just mention lastly, Mr. Fresident, that one American President said, "If a thine worke, don't fix it". And Mr. President, we are in a very strong firancial position and Members of this House have brought us there, and I would hope that the public will not be putting in anyone to attempt to fix a good thing. So, in oonclueion, in supporting thie Bill, I would sum up briefly that the Country at present has reserves and surpluses of about Fourteen and a half Million Dollars. It has enough oash to pay its debte and is in a very strong finameial position, so Members can very happily support this Bili, and I commend it to thems Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think before any other Member gets $u p$
we might take our austomary break. I had hoped, as I said eariter, to finish those two Bills before we took a short break, but I had not anticipated speeches and I guess there will be further speachee now. Let us therefore break but let us keep the break ahort even if we aqmot keep the opeechea ahort; maybe ten minutes.

HOUSE SUSPETIDED
HOUSE RESUMED
MR. PRESIDENT:
Plecse be seated. Proceedings are resumsd. The Second Reading debate on the Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Biti, 1984. The Third Elected Member for West Bay.

MR. BENSON O. EBRNKS:
I have seen some adroit footwork in this seosion or in this sitting, meeting, but how the Member who just concluded can juetify the speech which he made on thte simple bill beats me. I can only imarine that he come to the conclusion that the radio audience ie a wider audience than he would get at a public meeting, and is lounching his campaion.

Mr. President, the truth of the matter is that this simple silt before us merely seeks to confimm expenditure which was made in 1983 and whioh in fact reflects in the budget which was debated earlier thie year or at the end of last year. In other words, these fisures, Mr. Fresident, wili be shown in the 1984 budget, or that is, Estimates of Expenditure and Reverue, which I do not have before me; but they will be reflected in the revised figures of that Estimates which in fact reflected, if I remember correctly, a savings on the year of better thon one million dollars. But in fact that inproved situation did oome aboui $b_{y}$ any dranatic ohange in revenue oolleoted. It was almost entire ly due to eovings in not undertaking expenditures budgeted.

These expenditures which we are now confixming by Law beocme necessary only becouse they were not included in the 1983 Estimates for approval. But they were approved during the year by Finance Comittee. So these Estimates or these expenditures which we see before us here are not expenditures which we are now being asked to provide money for or use any surplus money for. These expenditures were incurred during 1988 and approved. by the Finance Committee. It is the sone as the Bill which preceded it; expenditures which were incurved in 1982 are in fact now fust being approved by Low.

Now for some strange reason, Nu. President, the Member sought to $-I$ do not know exactty why he was seeking to paint this glowing picture of the fixances of the Country, and somewhere down atong the tine he" did get in the 5.5 million dollars for the Fover Euitling which is now a part of the national debt. And, if I remember correatly, he said that prior to that, the national debt was 9 million, oo it is now 14.5 mitlion. But acconding to him we have no fear because we have a tot of eash and some of our liabilities are contingent liabilities. And I presume he was apeaking of the guarantees which Governnent have given in respect of various loans which the Government has outstanding, tnotuding the fourteen million dotlare which we have guarconted for Cayman Aixwaye.

And I an also happy to see, Mr. President, that he has got his contingent debt explanation might, now, and that is that a contingent liability is one which crystalises and becomes due on the occurrence of oertain happenings. If I might refer, Mr. Fresident, to the Auditor General's report dated tune this year on paragraph 13, we will see this comment: "The fiprline is whollt

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTFNUING): oumed by rovernment and the shares held at 31st December, 1983 in Cayman Airwaye Limited and Cayman Air Holdinoe Limited are shown in the statement of assets and liabitities at cost". And here, Mr. Fresident, I might turn to the statement of assets and liabilities and say that acoording to my figures, those total Seven Million Three Hundred Sixty-Six Thousand and Ninety-three Dollars. In other worcis, that is the investment which we are showing at cost in our statement of assets and liabilities in Caymon Airwaye and Cayman Air Holdings.

And to go buck now to the Auditor General's report, "I stated in an Appendix to my last report a shareholders' deficiency of 1.7 Million was reflected in the audited airline acoounts at 30 th June 1982. I have since seen financial statements for the year ended 30 th June 1988 and certain management accounts covering the monthe July to November 1983. As the result of Zosses incurred during these periods, the sharehntders' deficiency for the airline as a whole had misen to US\$5.9 Million at the 30 th of June 1983 and had apparently increased further to a figure probably in excess of US\$8 Million at the 30 th of November, 1983."

I hove encuired whether circumstrnces have since chanced in any matemiat way. Mx. Presidents, what the Auditor General is saying there is that since the airline's deficiency is coproximately equal to what we are showing our shareholding value in our in those companies in our statement of liabilities and assets since the deficiency is equal to that investment, he is saying that in fact that figure should not be in our statement of assets and liabitities at all, because the airline is in fact trading with a deficit, and to put it bluntly, is bankmupt.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, on a point of onder, I wonder whether the Member would tell us whether he is debating the 1982 or the 1983 Bill.

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I oalled attention to retevancy when the last Member was apeaking and what is good for the goose is good for the gander.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think that it is perfectly foir because the previous speaker ranged widely over the Government's entire fincncial position in an effort to convince the House that it was proper and prudent to support this Bill, and I think it is
fair for the contrary view to be expressed, too.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, that may be true, but I think I heard the Member axying that he was debating the 1983 Bitl, and if that is so, I would like to refer you to Strading Order 68 which aays, "If from time to time, whether in the course of a partioular financial year, or after its close, a supplementary appropriation bill is presented appropriating only proposals which have been agreed to by the Finance Committee under Standing Order 67 s. the debate on the Second Reading thereof shatl be strictly confined to the matters for which additional expenditure is required and when the cuestion thereon has been agreed upon the bill ehatl not be committed and the question "That the bill be now read a third time"shall be decided without amendment on debate".

The 1983 ficrures in the Finance and Developmont does not inelude anytining in regards to Coyman Airways, that is in the 1982 Bitl. So if the Member is debating the 1983 Dill

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTTNUTNG): he is:not allowed to bring it out.
GON. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Freaident, the figures were
brought up in the statement of assets and liabilities to which the last epeaker referred.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think the tmith is that if my attention had been drown to Standing Order 68 by the Third Elected Member for Weat Bay when he got up on a point of order to enquire whether the Second Eleoted Member of Executive Council was atrictly in omder, I might well have deoided that the Second Elected Member of Executive Councit was out of order. But, not having haz my attention dram to it at that time, and havino allowed him to range a little bit more widely than perhaps I should have done, I think it is only fair to atlow you to range a little more widely, too, although I give you notice you muet not range too widely or for too long, rather as I gave him notice, and I give evarybody notice that for the future, not during this particular debate because I have made the mistake, I will try to remember to construe the terms of the debate a little bit more strictly.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, if that is, the case, then I witt give notice now I did not intend to debate this Bill, but when we get to $i t$, I will be dealing then with expenditurse and reverue covered under 1982 and 1983 and we have ahout ten departments in each one of those that is vary relevont in regarde. . .

MR. PRESIDENT:
I with artainly allow the Honourable Member the same conount of freedom that I have allowed other Honourable Membere. That is only fair. But not too areat a length I did tell each of them.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Presictent, I have reached the point where I was adying that according to the Auditor General's report the airline is trading with a capital deficiency and in fact, Mr. President, if it was beind mun as a pmulent operation, it would. . .

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: objection to this, Sir.

Mr. Iresident, I take strong

MR. PRESIDENT:
Are you rising on a point of order?
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Yes, Sir. I think the Member is entirely out of order in his sort of attacks $I$ would say that he is placing. The airline is a national thine, it is oumed by the Govarvonent, it is owned by the people, and if it is anything that he can add to it to help it rather than to tear it downs but the personal attack that he is making, I take strons objection to it, Sir.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS:
Mr. President, I am not attacking the airline, I am attacking the figures, and this was introduced by the laet speaker, and I am getting around to this oontingency
thing, Six.

If this airline was operating in any other jurisdiction, particularly in the United Kingdcm, it could not operate with a ahareholders' defioienoy this way, and would be bound to be wound up, in which case the Goverronent's contingent tiability on that $\$ 14$ mitition guarantee would then be callect. And I want to know how the last speaker oan say with such cosuranoe that we have the cash to pay alt of Government's

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): possible debte. That is the point I om making, Mr. Eresident.


#### Abstract

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Presidents I intervene there, $\bar{I}$ do not know if he wishes to give way. I did not say what he eaid there. He is confusing what I have said. He says possible debts, that is a whole different thing from saying debts excluding contingent liabilities. I would like him to at least try to understand there is a difference between possibilities and actualities.


MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I am glad the Member has leamed the tesson I tought him this morning, Sir. I am wett avare of what a contingent liability is and an uctual liability or a current tiability.

If as I said the Member is trying to prove the financial viability of the Country as a whole, I think he should have taken another form to do it, and not refer to the Auditor General's report and of couree I presume he had been through the Estimates and made his notes which he referred to copiously, and. often. You witl notice, Nr. Eresident, I have no notes on this and in fact it is only good fortune that I in fact have the Auditor General's report. I was cognisant of the Standing Order to which you have just been referred, that was why I drew the attention to the relevoncy of the previous speakers contribution.

One gets the imression that with this Bill we are being asked to spend additional revenue on the various headings mentioned in the Bill, that is, $I$ think one of the things he mentioned was roads and the rest of it; but as $I$ pointed out, Mr. Fresident, these are figures that the Ccumtry has already derived the benefit from; expenditures which the Country has already derived the benefit from; or otherwise; and this should have been a very simple debate. In fact. I had not intended to say anything on the Bill; and it has not given me any great satisfaction to point out the things which I have had to point out; but I think it is only faix that the Country knows the truth, the whote truth and nothing but the truth.

And when, on the apset side, we are showing Seven Million Two Hundred Sixteen Thousand Six Hundred Ninetythree Dollars in shares in a oompany which the Auditor General says, and remember, the Auditor Generat's report only goes back to, or he mentions that he had seen these figures up to Novembers not at the $31 s t$ of December; and, if the situation could deteriorate eo rapidly from the 30 th of dune 1983 to the 30 th of November 1983, it is reasonable to assume that the situation was worse at the 31st of Deoember than he was able to state.

Now coupled to that, Mr. President, we could go on and any that what the Auditor General had to bay about Cayman Airways was in faot not the fult story, beacuse there are loans outstandina to Govermment made in previous years to the airlines whioh have not been paid. The last areaker olaimed ail Government repayment on loans is up to date, and I chazlenge that statement. Are you suggesting an intermption, sir?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think you are going to have to be intermpted bectuse by my watch it is 4:30 and I had hoped you might finish by 4:30. I understand you have not, so I will intervupt you, but on the understanding that you will not go on as long in the morning. You will remember I did tell the other Member he was not to speak too lone.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: But, Mr. President, if I have time to do some ressarich there is no telling how long $I$ am going on tomorrow.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Weit, I think there may be a littie
tetitng in the sense that I may apply the mule of relevonoy a bit more strictly if I find the debate going on too tong, and meandhite you witl have the time for your research because I think the adjournment is to be moved.

ADTOURNMENT
MOVED BY HON. D.F. FOSTER.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 4:30 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTTL 10:00 A.M. WEDNESDAY, 5TH SEPTEMBER, 1984.
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10: 10 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}
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MR. PRES 1 DENT: Froceedings are reaumed. Item 1, Presentation of Papers and Reports. The Third Honourable Official Member.

## PRESENTATION OF PAPERS AND REPORTS

FTNANCE COMMITTEE
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFBRSON: Mr. President, I beg to tay on the table of this Honourable Houee the Finance Conarittee Report dated 6th August, 1984.

MR. PRESTDENT: So ordered.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, that meeting approved a total supplementaxy of one million dollays for the repairs of the Gemardi Smith Airport motway. This subject, Mr. President, was alec discussed, if my memory sexves me correctly, in two other Fincmoe Committes meetings. Finance Committee agreed that the entire area of 3,800 feet should be repaired at the oost of one militon dollare which would be drown from the general reserve fund - the balance to be taken from general reverue.

Thronk you, Mr. Preaident.
MR. PRESIDENT: In accordance with the provisione of Standing Order 67(4) the House is deemed to have agreed to the motion. Item 2. Questions, The Thind Elected Member for West Bay.

QUESTIONS
THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MBNBER RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEDELOPMENT

NO. +55 : Wi2l the Member state by what authority the terms of the Zoan to Cayman Aimbaya was varied in a manner to permit only a portion of the toan of CI\$7.5 mitzion to bean interest as etated in the Auditor General's Report dated 8th June, 1984, at paragraph 247

ANSWER: The authority for the loan to bear interest at five per cent-per annom was given by Finance Committee and no person has any authority to change it. It was an oversight on $m y$ part, but intereet is colteotabte.

The reason for the movement of CI $\$ 4,000,000$ to Cayman Air Hotdings Limited was to offoet the Escrow Account of US $\$ 4,000,000$ in reapect of the sale of the $B A C$ 1-110 which is placed in the name of the Govermment of the Cayman Islands and alt interest accrued thereon is recetved in Govermment revemus.

SUPRLEMETITARIES:
MR. BEDSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementory, Mr. President. I note that interest $i_{s}$ collectable. Woutd the Member state whether interest has besn paid to date on the toan, and secondly, why is

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): the $\$ 4$ million held in the name of the Cayman Istande Govermment and not in the name of Cayman Atr Holdinge Limited since this was the company who owned the BAC 1-11s which this $\$ 4,000$ represents part payment on? $\$ 4$ million, Mr. President.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, if I do not appear to answer the Member's questions, it is because I may have misunderstood his two questions. The first one dealing with the repayment of interest on toan: There has been, to my knowtedge, no payment per se by Cayman Aimays, although the Financial Secretary has given instructions to the Chief Accountant to charge against that interest all travel by Civil Servants on Cayman Airways. That is, Mr. President, all travel on Cayman Airways by Civil Servants is not paid to Cayman Airways, but deducted from the interest due to us.

The second part of the question, Mr. President, dealing with the $\$ 4$ mitition: To the best of my recollection, when we were dealing with the leasing agreement for the Boeing 727s with Interfirgt, it was agreed at that time that the $\$ 4$ million would be placed in escrous in Govexmment's nome in a bank in Texas, and that the Financial Seoretary only hae ant authority to deal with that particular $\$ 4$ million.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: SuppZementary, Mr. President. Could the Member state how much money has been used up by the Civil Servants for travel and has this been deducted from the interest payment of Cayman Airways? Does the Member have any figure that he could give the House?

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I would not wiah to say in the House a particular figure, because I do not have at my fingertips this morning how much has been deducted from the interest due to Government by Cayman Airways, but I will undertake to provide the Membere with this information.

MR. PRESIDENT:
If there is no further supplementary, $\bar{I}$ wit invite the Third Elected Member for West Bay to adk the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR TNTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AF'FATRS

NO. 56: Will the Member etate what charge is made to the "Sun" newspaper' for the use of Radio Cayman's news service links?

ANSWER: There is no charge made to the "Sun" newspaper as there is no established news service link between the two media offices.

## SUPRLEMENTARIES:

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. The
Member has stated that there is no established news service link between the two media offices. Has this newspaper then had use of Radio Cayman news service links on an informal basis?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. President, if one wante to call it so, yes. On one occasion when an employee of the newspaper came to the radio station asking if there was anything new on the foreign press, the Director said to the smployee, "There it is in the garbage. If you want it you can have it."

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER (CONTINUTNG): Mr. President; I woutd tike to go on to say that we have two machines which bring news in there. One is the CANA/REUTERS and the other is ASSOCTATED PRESS. I think the Compass newspaper has one or both of those machinas. It is not unconmon if ours breaks down for us to get the material from them or theixis from us. In the case of the Sun they do not have, and on this one ocoasion they asked for it and by that time we had used what we wanted off of it and gave it to them. It is not uncommon, Mr. President, for media organisations to cooperate with one another often in news gathering and news diseemination. We give out a lot of commonity events to all the papers so that we oan get a broader exposure with the commanity benefiting from such a move.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mx. President, another aupplementary, Str. Could the Member state oategorically that it was onty on one ocoasion that this tape was retrieved from the garbage can.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. President, this is the information that was passed to me, six. Yes.

MR. BENSON O. EBANRS: Mr. President, I understand that the garbage can is often a convenisnt way of passing information and...
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { making a statement? }}$ Is the Member asking a question or
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I am coming up...
MR. PRESIDENT: On to the question then.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Sir. Would the Member assure the House that tapes will no longer be put in the garbage oan wohredded?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: If that is the wish, Mrr. Eresident, I will certainty give instructions for that to be done. (Iaughter).

MR. PRESIDENT:
If no other Member has any supplementary, I will invite the Third Elected Member for West Bay to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE PIRST ELECTED MEMEER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSTBLE FOR AGRICULTURE LANDS AND NATURAL FESOURCES
$\qquad$ Will the Member identify the land purchased in 1984, referred to by the Auditor General in paragraph 48 of his report dated 8th fune, 1984: State the valuation assessed by the Lande Officer for the Department of Landsiand Survey and the actual amount paid by Govermment for the said land?
ANSWER:
The land which the Auditor General referped to in paragraph 48 of his Report has been identified as Registration Seation West Bay Beach South, Blook $13 C$ Parcel 2. The property was valued by the Lands Officer at CI\$75,000 and by a reputable Real Estate Broker at \$522,000.

Government reached a Bettzement of CI\$390,000 which was subsequently presented to Finance Committee where funds for the purchase was unanimously approved. This settlement took into account the fact that land in the immediate area was sold for prices in keeping with the prices asked by the proprietor of the said parcel.

SUPPLEMENTARTES:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. Would the Member state whether the valuation of the Lands Officer or that of any reputable Real Estate Broker is used when Government is establishing valuation of land for stamp duty purposes?

HON. JOHN B. MCLEAN:
Mr. President, if you wish, Sir, I will anawer that question, but I do think it is a completely different question as it is dealing with stomp duty and not the question before the House.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think it does relate, because the answer that you have given reveals a very significant variation between two valuations, and I can see that it is in consequence of interest. Whether this would be for you or for the Financial secretaxy to answer the supplementary, I am not sure, but I can see that the supplementary does amise.

HON. JOHN B. MoLEAN: Well. Mr. President, in that case I do not have stamp duty under my Portfotio.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, if it is your wish I will try to answer the aupplementary question.

Normally before purchases are made, the Lands Officer is asked to do an evaluation. As the Finanoial Secretary, who has been dealing with the subject of land over quite a number of years, $I$ also dealt with it when $I$ was Deputy Financial Secretary, Bo perhaps I an not an espert in land values, Mr. President, but at least I have an understanding of what the value should be. If I am not personally satisfied with any evatuation, I will seek second, third or fourth evaluations by other people whom I deem competent to do so in the real estate market.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONDELL: Supplementary, Mr. President. Witl the Member state who this reputable Real Eetate Broker is?

HON. JOHN B. McLEAN:
Mr. President, it is my understanding that the valuation was arrried out by Mr. Crighton.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Third Elected Member for West
Bay I think caught my eye firgt.
MP. BENSON O. EBANKS: I have a further aupplementary, Mr. Fresident, and maybe this should be directed to the Financiat Secretary in reply to his answer. My question is whether, in his reply, he is suggesting that the Lands Officer, whom Government uses for valuation of land, may not be totally familiar with land valuation in the comtry?

MR. PRESTDENT: I am not sure that that is really quite a proper supplementary. His answer was fairly aleax that if he was in doubt he sought a second opinion. I think that there must always be a possibility of doubt, whether it is in a matter of this kind or whether it $i_{B}$ in any other matter, and of seeking second opinions.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I am entirely in your hands, Sir, but I was left with the distinct impression of the opinion which I sought to be confirmed in that supplementary.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIAKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, why was a third Real Estate Broker not called $i_{n}$ seeing that there was a difference in the two valuations of $\$ 315,000$ ? Between what Government actually paid, it is $\$ 445,000$, Mr. President, and Government actually paid $\$ 390,000$, and the difference between it and the valuation by the Lands Officer was $\$ 315,000$. Why was not the third, fourth or fifth real estats agent called in to value this land? This looks to me like it is a terrific error.

HON. JOHN D. MCLEAN: Mr. President, if there is an error $\bar{I}$ think it was made by the Finance Committee. The matter was placed there by the Honourable Financial Secretary. I cannot recall anybody asking for a third, fourth or fifth valuation. I am certain the Financial Secretary presented a case. If he, in his good office, was dissatisfied with the price or if he was dissatisfied, as I mentioned in my question, the properties around the said land were sold for a certain price and this was taken into consideration: well then I think it rests with Finance Conmittee.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, a surplementary. Would the Member say whether the figures which he has just given in reply to $m y$ answer or in reply to my question, that is that the Lands Officer had valued the land at $\$ 75,000$ and that a reputable Real Estate Broker had valued it at $\$ 528,000$ was put before the Finance Conmittee?

BON. JOHN B: MCLEAN:
Mr. Fresident, the Member posing the question is also a Member of Finance Comnittee. He knows well that what is done in Finance Committee I cannot come to the floor of the House and reveal. He has the Minutes the aame way that I do.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, is the Member aware that the meeting at which this was lecided has been reported to the House and ia now public knowledge and he is therefore at liberty to answer my question?

HON. JOHN D. MoLEAN: Mr. President, if the Member is oware that it was reported to the House, why is he wasting the time of the House to ask me a silty question?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Nr. President, the ignorance is on the other side. If I am permitted to make a statement, I will say that these figures were never told to the Finance Committee.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: On a point of order, Mr. President, I object to him contiruously making statements and he must at some stage keep the decor and the decency of this House by acting in a reasonable manner and I object to him making statemente. We have been sitting here and listening to him all morning making atatements. I think the time has come for you to atop $h i m$, Sira.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I have once stopned him from making
what I thought was a atatement and I was about to stop him making a further statement, but the faot is that his question has not been answered... the latest supplementary, and there is no confidentiality bar to answering it.

HOIV. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: . Mr. President, on a point of order. As I understand the meetings of Finance Committee, under Standing Order 70(5) it says, "Subject to any Order of the House or resolution of the Committee, the sittings of a Select Conmittee shall be held in private", and there is a list in subsection (7) of Section 72 which sets out what comes to this House. If the Member is attempting to say that everything which goes on in Finonce Committee which hae not been through a resolution of this House or that Conmittee made public, can be made public in here without that resolution, I think there is clearly a breach. Because a lot of discussion goes on in there, and regardlese of what relates to his question, I think it is a very important point of prinaiple. That the Committees - the Finance and Select Committees sit in private and unless that Conmittee or a resolution of this House wants to make discussion public which have not been made public on the floor of the Houee then I believe we would be in'breach of this and in fact probably conmitting a criminal offence under the Immmities, Powers and Frivileges Low. Therefore if it has been made public in the Minutes, it is before this House anyhow and it is a matter of publice information, he should refer to that. If it is not in those Minutes, my aubisisaion, Sir, is it cannot be referred to, otherwise everybody is going to get up and say what everybody else said and what everyone alse did in those meetings. The whole idea of them is to howe a free flow of information and discussion in an atmosphere of privacy and secrecy. I would hope, sir, that you would not rule that those meetings are not secret.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Also on a point of order, sir, I would direct you to standing Order $22(7)(f)(x)$ which says that a question shall not be aaked if the answer to which can be found by reference to avaitable official publications.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Presidents that is specificalty why I asked the question. It is not available in that document. The Member has introduced this infommation in his answer, and I think I am entitled to an answer to my question. They cannot hide behind the secrecy of Finance Conmittee and then try to cloak or blame and rope in ail the Members of Finance Committee into a deal which the Finance Committee had no knowledge of.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Presidents on a point of order, if he ach show this House the authority, and as far as I go it does not matter to me what comes out on this question, but on a point of principle, Sir, he must show the authomity for disclosing what goes on in Finance Conmittee. If he cannot show that he has no right in this Honourable House to go into it. Now it matters not to me at all what happened on this; it was unanimously passed and it is on our shoulders.

MR. PRESIDENT:
It seems to me that, on the one hand, it is perfectty true that some details of the proceedings and discussions that take place in the Finance Committee are and should nemain private; and that if it were ever to become the case that all expressions of opinion and all statements and all discussions made during the course of Finance Committee Meetings might subsequently be revealed as a result of subsequent questioning in the House, then that would be a matemial impediment to the future amooth muning of Finarnee Conmittee. It, would make it more difficult to hold free discussions and to arrive at agreed compromises and conctusions.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): On the other hand, that said, it does seem to me that the answere given by the Honourable First Elected Member of Executive Counoil to, I think, to the supp Lementaries not to the original - well to the original question and to the supplementaries and did specifically convey the impression that Finance Conmittee bore responsibitity for the decision which was reached to purchase the property for a certain price, and, by inplication at least, that Finance Committee was fully cuare of the whole positions including the varying valuations given for the land, at the time at which Finance Committee reached its conclusion. That certainly was the impression that in my view was given by the answers. If that impression was indeed misleading, and if it were the case that Finance Committee was never made aware of the conflicting valuations given by different people, then $I$ do think that it is reasonable in view of the answers given by the Member, that the public should be made
aware of that. Therefore I do think that the eupplementary question that was asked was, under the ciroumbtances, and even having regard to my general ruling, one cannot permit general disolosure of all statements made and all discussions that take place duming Finance Committee; even having regard to that I think that on this particular occasion, the question was a proper one.

HON. MICHAEL I. BRADLEY:
Mr. President, Sir, could I with respect draw your attention to Standing onder 22(1)(f)(3) which apperrs to be on this particutar point. It says a question shall not be asked regarding proceedings in a Committee which have not been placed before the House by a Report from that Committee; and it is proceedings that have not been placed before the H. use by a Report from that Conmittee. I do not know whether the Chair's interpretation of that is that if there is not a matter specificalty mentioned in a report, then a question cannot be asked about it.

MR. PRESIDENT:
My interpretation of that one would
have been, wetl I do not know, it is slightly ambiguously worded. I had thought that it meant that questions could not be asked about proceedings before any Report had been placed to the House by the Committee, rather than that questions could not be asked after a Report had been placed about proceedings that were not mentioned in the Report. It could mean either.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President...

MR. PRESIDENT:
But in any case I do not think that one is realty strictly applicable.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I accept your very
lucid ruling oompletely, Sir, and I would suggeat that we get on to the next question so that we do not get bogged down like we did on Monday. I think it is abundantly clear to the public...

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I once again take a point of order. I mean, with respect, Sir, you have to stop him from making statements, beccuse otherwise I am going to start and everybody is going to start making statemente, and where is the House going to be?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I agree with that, but I was about to stop him proceeding any further. I think that the first part of what he was saying was helpful. I think that it would be of valus to go on to the newt question and that is what I propose to do. So I will invite the First Elocted Member for the Lesser Islands to ask the next question.

NO. 58: Will the Member state whether any action has been taken to collect the outstanding revenue, contained in the Auditor General's Report, of approximately $\$ 3.5$ million as of 29th February, 1984, and if so what is the current outstanding balance broken down by revenue Heads?

ANSWER:
Appendix I of the Auditor General's Report is a statement of revenue outstanding at 31st December, 1983, uncollected at 29th February; 1984. I will deal with each item individually.

1. Personal tax - $\$ 90,000$ outstanding is an estimated figure using persons who wene between the ages of 18 and 60 years on 8th October, 1979, i.e. on Consus day. No account is taken of persone who ane on poor relief and there are no individuat records to aubstantiate the anount. However, steps are taken by announcements over Radio Cayman and the prese that personal tax is payabls. The Treasury is steadity building reeorde as the public comes forward.
2. Motor vehicles - $\$ 83,099$. The Traffic Superintendent states that the figure includes vehieles written off or not beinç used and is therefore incorpect, as the police have no means of determining when a vehicte is taken out of service.
3. Traders Licence Fees of $\$ 4,823$ have been collected.
4. Local Companies Fees of $\$ 6,400$ have been collected.
5. Radio Licences - $\$ 21,281$. The Postmaster states that it is inpossible to know the amount coileotable the Radio Advisory Committes has miled that frequencies are not to be withdraw in respect of non-payment. Additionally, many tourists will take out a Licence for $\alpha$ short period and may never renew it. The figure of $\$ 21,221$ is based on Licences that have been issued and not renewed over a period of years. In the Postmaster's view, the amount is uncollectable.
6. Dental Fees - $\$ 8,375$.
7. Medieal Fees Leseer Istands - $\$ 3,171$ - $\$ 390$ collected. Balance is uncollectable, according to the District Commissioner. Medical gemices were rendered to toumiats and they are no longer on the Istand.
8. Hospital Fees. The feee of $\$ 983,678$ shown as outstanding at 31st December, 1983, acoording to hospital administration cannot be substantiate?. Investigations are to be carried out by the hoepital adminiatration, and to date a targe number of duplicate billings have been discovered, and in many instanoes, bille have been paid but patients' records have not been amended.
9. Garbage Fees at the end of 1982, $\$ 20,000$ was outstanding, but at the and of $1983 \$ 150,000$ were outstanding. Here again it is an estimated figure using the number of householis stated in the census report. The garbage fee is collectable per each apartment, or house etc.s and there are many houses with more than one family, you may have, for example, daughter and huaband living with doughter's parents. No account is taken of people who deliver direct to the garbage dump, peopte who are on yoor relief, and persons whose fees are waived by the Financial Seoretary. However, in 1984, $\$ 41,000$ have been collected in excess of the 1883 total aolteotion.
10. Landing Fees - \$181,003. No collection; the amotant io due by Coumon Airwoys.
11. Survey Fees - $\$ 4,224-\$ 1,689$ collected and the remaining balance of $\$ 2,535$ may need to be written off, as it related to 1976 and prior, and it is doubtful if Government can colleat it.
12. Companies Fees - $\$ 1,168,200$ - a recent computer print-out indicates the following outstanding balances:-

| 1981 and prior | \$ . 38,793 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 316,790 |
| 1983 | 735,518 |
|  | \$1,091, 101 |

The majomity of Companies' Fees outstanding are those represented by a raistered office and which have to receive funds from the olient before the fees oan be paid. The only alternative is to strike the Company off the Regiater, then no fee is collectable. Nownally, the Registrar works with the Registered Office to collect the fee and it may sometimes take a year on two.
13. OSAS - Overseas Service Aid Scheme terminal reimbursement due of $\$ 5,500$ is being clained from the United Kingdom Govermment.
14. Broadeasting - $\$ 23,718$. The Director of Broadcasting states $\$ 10,888$ have been collected, but the remaining 12,800 has to be written off due to Companies' insolvency.
15. Schoot Fees - \$4, 188.
16. Loan Repayments and Interest - \$601,298.
17. Port Authomity Loon Repayment. The figure of $\$ 146,306$ quoted by the Auditor General is incorrect. It ehould be $\$ 126,060$. The Treasury has collected $\$ 51,060$ and the remaining $\$ 75,000$ will be paid on Friday, 7th September, 1984, when the Authority's fixed deporit matures.

It is my view that estimates belong in the Budget and facts, and facts onty, should appear in the Auditor General's Report.

SUPPLEMENTARY:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I have a Supplementary, Sir, and it deals with Item 2. Motor Vehicles in the arower, and I hope that is not interpreted as a statement, Sir. I have to identify what $I$ con asking about.

The answer says that the Traffic Superintendent states that the figure includes vehicles written off or not being used and is therefore incorrect, as the police have no means of determining when a vehicle ia taken out of aervice.

The question that I would like to pose, Mr. President, is whether it is not correot that under the Road Traffic Low when a vehiole is written off or taken off the road, that the ticence plates are supposed to be turned in to the Traffic Department or othexwise the licence fee continues to run and be collectable on that vehicle?

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mp. President, I think, to the best of my knowledge the question and statement by the Member is correct. It depends on wino we are talking about, Wr. Fresident. If the person wrecks a car, leaves it on the side of the street, does not turn in the licence plate, has no funds to pay the fee, how are we going to collect it? But I will just try to answer the Honourable Member.

The Auditor General's Report itself
states in appendix 1. item (B):
"Motor Vehicles Licences. The amount of \$83, 099 shown as revenue in arrear at the end of 1983 is a notional figure only, and has been calculated by reference to the number of vehicles registared with the Traffic Department at that time. Since no altowance is made for vehicles written off or those taken off the road temporarily or permanently, the true figure of reuenue outstanding is likely to be less than the amount shown!

## SUSEENSION OF STANDING ORDER 23(8)

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, under Standing Order 23(8) I would like to have the questions in my name carmied over until tomorrow. That is questions No 59 and No. 60.

MR. PRESIDENT:
MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN:
AH BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, I should like to have the questrons Nos. 61, 62 and 63 in my name put on tomorrow's Agenda.

Thank you.

MR. PRESIDENT:
So noted.
My understanding of the Stonding Onder is that although the Order provides for your questions to be postponed to a later sitting it does not necessaxily entitle you to have the questions put dow on tomorrow's Order Paper. I think it is for the Businese Committes to settle which questions go on which day's Order Paper.

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): That concludes questions and the time for them is in any case now up.

Item 3. Government Business. Billss Continuation of the second reading dehate on the Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1984, and my recollection is that the Third Elected Member for West Bay was speaking and that I had called his attention to the fact that although I had been tenient, or flexible in my interpretation of the Standing Order about what was pexmitted in the debate on this motion, in view of the speech that had been made earlier on $i t$, I had told him that flexibility had its limite, and that I hoped the would not plan to continue for very much longer, as I might have to start applying the mulea a little more strictly if he did. The Third Etected Member for West Bay.

THE SUPRLEMENTARY APPROPRIATION (1983) BTLL 1984
CONTINUATYON OF SECOND READING DEBATE
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Thank you, Mr. President, and I will
As $I$ said when $I$ started my debate on this, I think that the whole question of debating this BiLl was out of order, but as it was thrown into the arena, I alaim my right to have a say too.

This morning I am going to try to make my point vary quickly and succinctly by using the figures from the estimates and the Auditor General's Report dated June, 1984. Mr. President, the totat revenus ', tances of the Govermment as at 31st Deaember, 1983, inctuding all reserves, anounted to \$11,137,253.10. When the 1984 estimates were prepared, a sum of $\$ 1.5$ million was shown in those estimates under Revenue Head as a contribution from General Reservas. So that meant that once the estimates were prepared, the revenue or surplue figure in Government automatically fell to \$9,637,253.10. I pointed out yesterday, Mr. President, that in the Auditor General's Report, he had stated that he had seen management figures of Cayman Airways to the 30 th November, 1983 which indicated that the sharehotders' deficiency in that Company, at that date was in excess of US\$Q miltion. I have rounded the figure off to US\$8 million, converted it at. 83 , Mr . President, which is the mid-rate, and that gives us a figure of $\$ 6,640,000$.

I made the point yeeterday, Mr. President, that prudent management woutd dictate that this shareholders' deficienoy be put right. Cayman Aimuays is the responsibitity of this Government, and this Govermment could never walk away from any commitments on indebtedness of Caymon Airways. If we assure that, and here I would like to point out that I am using the date of the 30th November as opposed to the 31 st December, but I an prepared to give that latitude for the purposes of my exercise; if we take the $\$ 6,640,000$ away from the surplus of $\$ 9,637,253.10$, we are left with $\$ 2,997,253.10$, a situation, Mr. President, which would result in the Government not having sufficient on deposit to back the $\$ 5.5 \mathrm{million}$ which we authomised in borrowings yesterday to be seoured by deposits to pay for the Tower Buitding. Mr. President, I would like to pause a moment to elaborate on what shareholders' deficiency is, because I betieve that it might be a techrical term whioh not everyone wilz understand, and I know that there will be others maybe, who might put their own interpretation to $i t$, and I would like to give my interpretation.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONNTNUING): Shareholders' deficienoy ocours, Mr. President, when all of the paid-up and callable capital in the company has been exhausted. What this really means is that the capital that has been put into that company from time to time, all of the money that has been put into that company from time to time by way of er uity capital, has been exhausted and that a further deficit of approximately US\$8 million now exists. That is what is meant by capital deficiency and it means that the company, under those circumatances, can only be trading on borrowed money or on the good graces of its creditors. That is the true position of what those figures reveal, and what they say.

Mr. President, that is not a
beautiful picture, and you will notioe, Mr. Preaident, I have not included the contingent tiabilities in those figures; that is another $\$ 14$ million. I am talking about straight, hard cash that has already been gone through.

Now to refer to the state of the economy or the state of the country financially generally, I would say that if we refer to the Auditor General's Report, the picture gets even more gloomy because during 1983 expenditure amounting to $\$ 320,800.05$ was incurred against an advance acoount to be applied to specific Heads from the 1984 budget. So that is an additional expenditure as I see it, to be taken into consideration.

The public debt which stood at
$\$ 9,090,382.99$ as at the 31st December, 1983, did not include Toans from Camibbean Development Bank for on-lending to the Agrioultural Industrial and Development Board, and student toans. Mr. President, these amounts are not quantified, and I have no way of knowing, but I assume that these figures are substantial and would further increase the national debt.

The revenue batance, or the reserve balance, which is revenue balance, as at 31st December, 1983, also inctudes an amount of $\$ 87,815.36$ which represents funds transferred from the Govermment Savings Bank and which are in fact the property of depositors at large in the cormmity. They still have a right to claim that money.

It should also be noteds Mr. President, that the revenue for 1983 included $\$ 1,395,265.94$, being profit on the Currency Board operations during 1982. Secondly, there is an element of revenue which has not been quantified from tourists" landing taxes, because it is mixed with travel tax. Now, this figure can be ascertained, and it is my intention to put this figure before the public at an appropriate and later date. The figure an be ascertained by referring to the statistics published by Government which show the number of cruise ship passengers that arrived, and multiplying that by the amount per head charged each tourist to land, so if I had had the time I could have found that figure. But over the years, Mr. President, that has been a substantial figure, and I am merely mentioning this to say that both of these amounts of revenue are the legacy of the pre-1976 Govermment that has come in for so much whip about its financial management. Those were investments which have yielded revenue to the Govermment ever since they went into operation and surpluses have been able to be transferred to revenue from those each year.

Now, on the other side of the ledger, Mr. President, it would be noted that the estimated revenue from company fees fell short of the budget....

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I would just like to take a point of order which has been taken so many times by the Honourable Member. He is standing up hotding his speech in front of him reading it, and I would just like to point out that when he

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): refers to this in the future, just remember he is doing exactly the same.

MR. PRESIDENT:

## It is the faot of referming to ooptows

 note which is singular.MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Fresident, I am quoting figures and there is no way that I can quote these figures without looking at them. The Member will note that I am not following the script with my finger like he does, line by tine.

Mr. President, I am making the point that the estimated revenue from company Fees for 1983 fell short of the budgeted target figure of $\$ 7.5$ million by CI $\$ 1,075,978$ and, Mr. President, this is not a good omen. The estimated revenue in 1983 from repayment of toans and loan interest fell short of the targeted figure by $\$ 1,193,000$ and again, Mr. Presidents, I assume that this is due to a large extent, from Cayman Airways.

One more point, Mr. President, is the amount of $\$ 932,452.71$ paid to Cayman Airways in 1983, which was authorised in 1982. Now, if my memory serves me correctly, Mr. President, that amount of money was voted by Finance Comnittee when it was given the asourance that the BACs were going to remain in service and that money was provided for their refurbishment for the winter season. So, I question whether that fiqure paid to Cayman Aimays in 1983 when the $727 s$ were in uss and the BACs had been disposed of, were in fact, proper payments.

Mr. President, I have not made my remarks regarding Cayman Airways, lightly. I support Cayman Airuaye fully In fact, this House will know, and the nation will know that Cayman Airways had its birth with my besssings, many, many years ago. Of course, Mr. Fresidents it was not in its present structure and only time will tell whether the re-structuring of the airline in its present form was a wise one or not, but what is abundantly clear, Mr. Fresident, is the fact that the management of Cayman Airways must be looked at aritioally, and very critically, or this will become the Waterloo of the Cayman Ialands.

Mr. President, I could be speaking much more freely and intelligently on Cayman Airuays today, if we had at least the draft accounts of that company up to the end of its finanoial year, ended June this year. We would know whether the trend of the increasing deficits had been arrested or whether it is getting worse, and it is unfortunate that this being the last meeting of this House before it is diseolved, that those accounts are not before Members.

I have seen glowing statements, Mr. President, coming out of what I consider another waste of Goverrment funds, the propaganda machine of the News Bureau, stating how much money Cayman Airways is making, but the accounts that we have seen to date have not supported that, and one wonders whether we aan believe what they are now baying. We see where there has been an
inorease in revenue, and in the absence of acconents to prove differently, I will have to accept what I have been given on reasonable authority. For example, in the case of transportation of the Peruvian passengers, I understand that this is done at a figure gross to the company of $\$ 60$. Now, Mr. President, I do not know whether that is one way or return, but even if it is one way, it is almost half what a Caymanian must pay one way. It is about half what the Caymanian would pay, and it has never happened to me, but $I$ understand that it is not unconmonon for Caymanians or tourists to be bumped from the flights to make space for those passengers, the Peruvian Fawcett passengers, on whatever it is.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUTNG):

As I am saying, in the absence of accounts, I am bound to accept those figures, beoause they were given to me in good faith, and I have no reason to doubt them.

MR. PRESIDENF: I would just tike to say to the Member that he is straying rather further still from what I woutd regand as strietly relevant. I did ask him to keep hia remarks; and I: thought I had his assurance he would keep his remarks fairly short. So I hope he is intending to complete his apeech within the next few minutes.

MR. BENVSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Eresident, I am just about finished, $\overline{S i r}$, but I would just call your attention to the fact that the Member whom I questioned irrelevancy of his debate was not stopped. He finished his speech.

MR. PRESIDENT: I am allowing you to finish...
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Eresident, on a point of order, to be frank about it, we have had a full scale debate on Cayman Aimays, which has as I see it no relevance, however, since this tolerance has been shoum, and I have been very careful not to object. I assume the other Members will receive similiar tolerance. He has been going for nearly an hours, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: I was just wanting to make ctear that there are Timits to tolerance, and I hope other Members will take note of that, but obviously any other Members who wish to speak will have the same grace that I have given those.

HON: JAMES M. BODDEN: So tong as we understand, Mre. Fresident, that the tolerance is not just to that Member.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ That is right. No. The Member may
restume.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Mr. President, I think it is found in Proverbs, something to the effect that "get wisdom, but with all thy wisdom, get understanding", and I have interpreted this to mean that whether you have degrees' or qualifioations, you must have common sense, and that is what $I$ depend on.

```
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: He is in a bad state if he lacks the latter, Sir.
```

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I did not get that remark, Mr. President, but obviously, he lacks understanding.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: You Zack both do you not?

[^19]MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): I am not saying to get rid of Cayman Airways. I might be suggesting that that oxitioal exomination would invotve a new approach and new management teohniques, but I am not suggesting we get rid of Cayman Airways. That is a national asaet which we must keep and preserve, but in closing my remarks on Cayman Airways, I would hope that any arguments that are going to be brought are going to be substantiated by figures and not puilled out of a hat either. I quoted figures stated by the Auditor Genaral, and no one has disputed them up to this point, and, Mr. President, maybe this is a good time, yes. You know if the Public Accounts Committee of this House had been active during the life of this House, we might be a little bit more enlightened. To my knowledge, I see the Auditor General neferming to a Chaimun of the Public Accounts Committee, but my Minutes show me that when the Public Acoounts Conmittee was appointed at the beginning of this session, no Chairman was appointed, and certainly no Report of the Public Accounts Committee has bsen laid on this table. Nr. President, the Pubtic Accounts Committee is made up almost entirely, if not almost entirely, of Goverrment Members, which is something unknown in a democracy. In the average democratic country if it is not the Leader of the Opposition; at least a Member of the Opposition is Chairman of the Public Acoounts Committee. When this Cormittee was appointed all Govemment Members were put on it.

There is one last thing I would like to say, Mr. President, and I am going to wind up. It has to doal with the Public Accounts Committee, and I think I am at liberty to refer to this, Mr. President, because that schedule would have been attached to the Report that was put on the table. I think it is oignifioant that when one supplementary request was made that the marginal note on that said that when Government, ( and I might make it clear that the amount in the budget was not a token vote, it was a subatantial sum, but the marginal note for the request for increased funds, or virement of funds as the case might have been, stated that it was known when the figure was put in the estimates that it was insufficient to complete the project, but it was done in order to balance the budget.

I oan produce that reeord, Mr. President; I treasure that like nothing else that I have. I only want to state that to show that had the Public Accounte Conmittee been active, we would have been in a more informed position.
Mr. Preaident, I would like to state here that I om not aasting any aspersions on the Civil Service or the Finanoial Secretary for that budgetary error. The Member responsible for that Portfolio has to accept responsibility for that beccuse they are the people who put the projects forward and have them costed and the rest of it.

With that, Mr. Fresident, I think I can bafely say that I have proven beyond a shadow of a doubt that the finonces of this country are not in the rosy picture and position that the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Cowneil tried to paint to us yesterday.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think we have already overmun slightly the time at which we customarily take a short break so I witl now suspend proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

## HOUSE RESUMED AT: 12.01 P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT: . Please be seated.
Before proceedings are resumed there is one point that I would make. It has been boucht to my notice that after I suspended our proceedings for a short period some half hour ago, and after I had left the House, a stranger reportedly was clapping or creating some other notae. There are rules governing the conduct of strangers who are present, and those mules preclude any kind of disturbance or distraction like that, and those mules, I would like it to be clear, apply in such a way as to prohibit olapping, applause, conmentary of any kind, even after proceedings have been suspended, and if there were any further disturbance of that kind, I would have to ask the Sergeant-at-Arms to take appropriate aetion.

Continuation of the second reading debate on The Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1984. Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak?. The Honourable Fourth Eleoted Member of Executive Council.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Preaident, The Bill before the House is the Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1924, to approve, allow and confirm oertain expenditure during the financial year 1983.

We muet congratulate both the Honoumable Third Offioial Member and the Auditop General for making it possible. that this Bill could be discussed at this sitting of the House, considering that we had only previousty dealt with the 1982 Supplementary Appropriation Low. The 1983 audited acoounts of the Government which were laid on the Table on Monday of this week, paint a rosy picture of our finarees in 1983 , and so pave, the way for the general acceptanoe of this Appropriation Bill which is now before the House.

One Manber opened his debate by saying it was out:of order to have a debate on this Bill, and he closed his debate by seeming to say that debate should not have arisen on this Bill. I totally disagree with those eapressions because I feet every important Bill, whether it is controversial on not, is deserving of a full scale debate during the second reading of the Bill. I feel that there is no more important Bill than those Bills dealing with the revenue and expenditure of the country.

All other things pale in significance with Goverment. All other things depend upon a healthy state of the economy. Alt the aervices which people expect depend upon the collection of revenue as well as the proper disposition of the revenue collected. If we are going to improve the quality of life for the people of the Cayman Islands, we must seriously consider not only the major Appropriation Bill passed at the budget session, but also all supplemental Bills for expenditure, and therefore we aannot take the attitude that has been taken by the Third Elected Member for West Bay when he makes the oorments, both at the beginning and the end of his speech that it was not necessary to debate the Bitl or debating the Bill was out of order, and debate should not have arisen.

The Bill before the House seeks to give authenticity to certain sums of money which had been approved during 1983. After we passed in November, 1982, the budget for 1983, it was found necessary to spend an additionat $\$ 2,360,416$ in 1983. We went about this in the fashion prescribed by our Laws. The Finance Committee approved from time to time, the different items mentioned in this Bill, and when the finat accounts were

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: (CONTINUTUG): comeleted for 1983, the Finanaial Secretary brought to the House the Bill which we now discuse. Of the $\$ 2.3$ million in this Bill, the major part of the funds under the Finanae and Dewelopment of $\$ 1,684,904$ went towards the purchase of the Thurtte Farm. This purchase would not have been poseible if the finances of the country were not in good shape, and if we needed a certificate to show to the prabice that the present administration had, over the last seven years, performed quite welt, we could use the audited acoounts which were laid on the table and which are ineeparable from the Bill which we now discuss.

Very little has been said on this Bill, very tittle mention has been made of the $\$ 1.6$ mittion which made up the major part of our supplemental expenditure, and while others have strayed from the Bill, I would like to use some time to deal with this major expenditure. Everyone in Cayman knowe the aaga of the turtle farm. Everyone knows how the farm was started by an individual who had been coaxed into starting it by men interested in the rearing of turtles. How people like Archer Carr and wayne King coaxed Mr. Naylor into etarting the turtle farm. How Mr. Naylor gave the job of operating the form to Dr. Schroeder instead of giving it to Wayne King or Archer Carr. The relevance to this Bill is the reason why the Government had to purchase the farm. No sooner thad the turtle farm gone into operation than Archer Carr and Wayne king, who are renowned experts on turtling, and who had recommended the establisimnent of the farm in Cayman, felt jilted because the job of morning the farm had gone to one of their proteges. So they atarted a ampaign of hate against the turtle farms and this was the beginning of the evente which led up to the ban on the turtle farm.

We know the story of how the original ourners of the turtle farm had to put the farm into bankruptoys how it was bought by Dr. Maytag, a German industrialist, and how he poured money into it, something in the vicinity of $\$ 15$ million dollars, and how he too found himself in money difficulties because he had brought up the farm to where in the seventices it showed a profit for one year. Unfortunatety for us, the United States Govermment fell into the hands of the conservationists who elected Jinmy Carter, who, in turn, used their clout in Washington to put a ban on the products from Cayman Turtie Faym. This bon resutted in the finat dounfall of the farm. If the conditions made out in Washington about the farm had been corpect, Govervnent would not have been justified in spending this money to buy it but everyone knows that conservationists are people who never stick to the facts.

I remember when $I$ attended a conference in Washington held by the World Witd Life Onganisation, I was shocked to see this docwment called TRAFFIC United States of America, (the word TRAFFIC, the lettere stand for the Tmale Record Analysis of Flora and Fawa in Commerce, and is a seientific information gathering programme monitoring trade in wild life, plants and their producte). This docmment, whioh is supposed to be a scientific document, contained many allegations that were definitely untrue about the farm, and this is perhops responsible, the authows of this were responsible in previous years for giving the misinformation to the world. White I hope I witl not be asked to read this document in its entirety, I would like to point out some of the false allegations that were made againet the turtle farm in this widely circulated document.
"initially many yearling turtles were released to dompensate for the egge which the faym had taken from the witd. It was thought that yearlings woutd have a better chance of surviving than hatohlinge. However, this procedure was abandoned after the first year,"

That statemant was not correot. The abandonment oame saverait yeare after.

> "In 1975, Marioulture Limited deolared bankruptoy, it was sold to a West German industrialist, and was nenomed the Cayman Turtle Fam. To date the Cayman Turthe Farm is still not self suffioient, that is, thay cannot. continue to get turtles, young turtles, from eggs laid on the farm,"
and that statement was not comeot at that time. They go on to say:
"The turtle farm maintains that it plans to be independent of witd eggs by 1980."

The truth is the turtle farm, at the time thiogigs written
in 1979, had been independent of eggs from the wild in 1978.
The worst lie of all told in this
artiole, or in this document is:
"At present the main criticism of twrile farms is that they enoourage and maintain a market for turtle products, and in some cases, cause wild turtle products to be sold under the guise of farmed ones at a time when the world population is eeriously threatened by aormencial exploitation. Frior to the United States ban of Cayman Turtle Farm products in July of 1979, the Cayman Turtle Faxm was auopeoted of importing wild turtle produats in cartons that were repacked, labelled with the turtle fawn emblem, and legally sold and imported into the United States.".

That was an abominable untruth. The turble farm never bought wild turtle meat, wild turtles, slaughtered them, packed them in Cayman Turtle Form boxes, and shipped them to the united States. It was a flagrant untruth, and these were the type of people that during the Carter administration imposed the ban. I am satiefied to say that in 1980, when President Reagan took office in the United States, the first man fired from his department was the Secretary of the Interior who had imposed the ban upon the turtle farm. That is why the twotle farm changed ite taotios and was able to re-negotiate again with the preeent United States Government, and hopefully, one day, they may oome to an understanding whereby the products of the turtle farm may again be imported into the United States.

Before 1980, Govervment could not lobby the Conter administration because the Carter administration was filled with oockeyed conservationists. The turtle farm's onty recourse was to file a auit in a court in the United States, trying to have an injunotion brought which would set aside the ruling of the Department of Interior and Commarce.
The propaganda against the turtte farm continues, and the allegation that the Cayman Govervment still authomises the trade

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONITNUING): in turtle products still continues. I understand from the Frincipal Secretary of our Department of Agriulture, than when he attended a CITIES Convention in Botswana last year, that the some world-uide organisation TRAFFIC is still putting out their propaganda. Not only TRAFFIC United Statee of America, but TRAFFIC Japan' circulated a document which: contained information about the Cayman Islands which was not correct. I am referring to the BICO imports, which is the tortoise sheit of the hawksbilt turtie. That document oontained amongst its statistics, a statement which said that Japan had imported from the Cayman Ielands 115 kilograms of howksbill shell.

So clearly the conservationists have not given up in their desire to put an end to the turtle farm. In the light of this, Govermment was faced with a situation where the turtle farm could go into liquidation, or Government could inject the capital to purchase it. Our Government, with the consent of the Firance Committee, appropriated the funds for the purchase of the turtle farm beoause the Government felt that the turtle farm is symbolic of our heritage; it is an ideal tourist attraction. Furthermore, if we could get the market for the products, it could be a financial enterpriae which could be successful. That is why thir large sum appears in this Appropriation Bill.

If one examines the audited accounts which were taid on the Table on Monday, one will peadily see why the Government could step forward boldly and make the purchase of the turtle farm. For when one examines the audited acoounts for 1983, one will see that the year ended with a surpluss despite the fact that we had made a purchase which had not been budgeted for at the beginning of the year.

The year 1983 ended with a surplus, according to these figures, of $\$ 1,994,000.99$ despite the fact that we had spent during the year $\$ 2,360,416$ beyond the original estimate.

It is a pity that the Auditor General's comments axe not as acourate as this statement of the finances. It is a pity, as has been shown in this debate, that some of the comments were inacourate, some of the comments were stanted, and this is regrettable. Nevertheless, what stands the test is not any comments the Auditor General may make, but the actuat accounts of the Govermment of the Cayman Istands for the finanaial year ending 31st December, 1983. It matters not what any Member of the House or any member of the public may read into any conments from any report or from any other paper. What matters is the actual figures - the dollars and cente. What have we done with the money? Was there a surplus at the and of 1983 ? This is what witl stand the test of time. This. Appropriation Bill which is a byproduct of our finances in 1983 , and which is married for all times to the 1983 accounts, is really the example of prudent financial management by the present adminiotration, a type of management which has been reflected every year from 1977 onuards to 1983. What is even much better is that 1984, atthough the year is not complete, and atthough the figures which we have are not audited, it is a fact that the figures up to 31st July, this year, 1984, paint the same rosy picture as all previous years. You must pardon me if I become a little elated by the state of affairs that the country now enjoys, a state of affairs which is borne out by the Bill that we are discussing; which is borme out by our latest audited figures, and which is also borne out by our unaudited figures up to duly, 1984.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The position of the Government at the end of 1983 , a position whieh is relevant to the Appropriation Bill which we are discussing, is a rosy positions and if Members are not aatisfied with the audited acounts at the 31st Deoember, 1983, they only have to look at our current position to find out that they are doing the right thing when they pass into Law the Appropriation Bill which is before the House. If one looks at the sommary of accounts up to the 31st July, 1981, one witl see how good the finaneial condition is today. On 1st Januaxy, 1984, the surplus brought forward was $\$ 1,994,000.99$. The revenue for the seven monthe up to the 31st July was $\$ 36,328,457.15$, making a total of $\$ 37,329,452.14$, and the expenditure was $\$ 36,675,661.34$, leaving a surplue balance of $\$ 653,790.80$.

This supptus was onty $\$ 653,790.80$ because the Finance Committee approved the purchase by cash out of our surplus, of the Tower Building, which was a figure very ctose to CIS5 miltion. If that building had not been purchased, we would be looking on the 31 st July at a aurplus in Government of revenue collected above expenditure of a sum in excess of $\$ 5$ million for the first seven months of 1984.

Also, Members know that Government has taken a loan from Barclays Bank to pay for the Tower Building, a loan which is to be repaid over seven years at an interest rate that is only 1 per cent above what they are payinc us on the fixed deposits. In actual fact the cash which we paid for the Tower Buitding has come baek into this year's revenue, and the truth, Sirs is that we still have a surplue of over $\$ 5$ million.
This is why I say that there has never been a time in the histomy of these Islande that we have had such a good financial position.

One Member spoke about the pre-1976 Govermment which comes in for so much oriticism, and in discussing this Appropriation Bill, I must say that as far as finances were conoerned, they were a total faiture. The position which mads possible the purchase of the farm and the other expenditures which are included in that Bitl was brought about by the present administration. Such a situation never existed before, and if I am permitted I will quote from the Hansards the words of Mr. Vasee I Johnson who was our former Financial Secretary. In these words he laid to rest the myth that our prosperity stemmed from the 1972 to 1976 Govermment. The situation which has made possible the type of Appropriation Eill which we are discussing today started in 1977, acoording to Mr. Johnson. And I quote his exact words:
> "I do not think that the finances of this Government have ever been as bright as they are at present. I have been in this service, Mr. President, for 35 years. I have seen many good times, and I have seen many bad times, and so from those experiences I know what it is to enjoy a viable and bright finanoial position. The Cayman Islands are now experienoing a period of great boom which started in 1977, and I only hope that this bright position will continue.".

He goes on in another paragraph, and I hope I am not called upon to read the entixe Minutes, but I an prepared to do so if I have to.
"And too we enjoy politieal stability from oup status as a Crown Colony, and political stability has earned for this country the bright financial position we see

## HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):

"today. It has stimilated the economy. It has improved the various sections of tourism, and the financial industry, and I think that as tong as political stability oontinues in this Island, the economy witl continue to be buoyant. I hope that the politicians of these Islands will take this into considerations and that there will be no hurry in these Islande to move formard constitutionally. The present system has paid us well. It has treated us wetl, and, Mr. President, what more coutd one expect in these days of great tumoil and frustration throughout the world. I think it is a position that we can be proud of and one which we should protect at alt cost.".

> If there is any doubt in the minds of some people about the finances of this country since 1977, and if there is any doubt about the passage of this Bitl, one can take not only the words of our own Financial Secretary when he presented the Budget in November of last year, but one can go back into history and use the words of his predecessor, whioh all point to one glowing fact, that thia Govermment is in a good financial condition.

But, as I mentioned eartier, it is not neceseary to take the comments of these gentlemen when we have the audited financial figures as aet formard in the 1983 andited accounts of the Government.

The Appropriation Bill which is a part of the 1983 financial figures, could not have been possible prior to 1976, although the Member feels that this cxiticism is sometimes umarranted and unjustified.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I wonder whether this may be a convenient moment to break for lunch. If so $I$ will suspend proceedings until 2.15 p.m. if that witl suit Members.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2.25 P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:

please be seated.
Froceedinge are resumed. The Second Reading Debate on The Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1984. The Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council.

THE SUPPLEMENTARY APPROPRIATION (1983) BILL, 1984
CONTINUATION OF SECOND READING DEBATE
HON. G. HATG BODDEN (COITTMUIMG): Mr. President, a the adjoumment $\bar{I}$ was about to embark upon the principle of whether we are in a position to accept the Bill before the House, and I think that has already been cteared up by, as I mentioned in the beginning, not only the financial records for 1983 , but for the unaudited figures for 1984. And if one would look further back, one would aee that we are todoy in a better position to pass this Appropriation Bill than we were in any other period in our history, inctuding the dark days of 1972 to 1976.

If one examines our reserve funde as revealed in this report for 1983 , one will see that the resarve balonce stands at $\$ 10,136,258.00$. However, if this Bill, which is before the House, had come to us in 1976, there would not be sufficient reserves to warrant the acceptance of such a supplemental expenditure, because the reserves were only $\$ 820,848.00$. If one looks at other aspects of the finances of the Govermment, it becomes much alearer that we are now in a position to adopt the Bill which is before the House, because I have shown this morning that at the end of the seven months up to the end of July, we have an annual surplus, or we have a surplus of revenue over expenditure in the tume of over今5,000,000.00. At the end of 1983, we also had a surplue of over $\$ 1,000,000.00$, white on the other hand in 1975 we had a deficit of $\$ 2,430,794.00$. So we are in a much better position today to accept this additional expenditure, than we would have been back in 1975. If we go on to look at the public debt and its relation to revenue, we wilt find that today we are in a better position to accept the Bill which is before the House than at any other time in oun history.

The publio debt at the 31st December, 1983, stood at $\$ 9,090,383.00$ which represents $19 \%$ of the local revenue colleated for that year. There have been additions during 1984, but the additiore to the public debt do not dramatically increase the percentages of the debt to the local revenue, because it has been estimated that the revenue for 1981 will far exceed that of 1983. The performance of the plan to date is such that at the end of July, 1984, we had collected not only what we had budgeted for, but a sum, an additional sum in excess of $\$ 5,000,000.00$ more.

So, at the end of 1983, which is the last year for audited figures, we were faced with a pubtic debt which represented $10 \%$ of our local revenue.

In 1976, the outlook was very
grave indeed, because our publio debt of $\$ 7,397,736.00$ represented a percentage of $62 \%$ of the local revenue, the worst condition that the country had ever been in as far as its finances were concerned, because the Govermment had borrowed at that time, far beyond its ability to repay.

There was onty one period in the history of these Islands when finonces were as bad, but not as bad as in 1976, and that was in the years between. 1929 and 1933,

HON. G. $\operatorname{HAIG}$ BODDEN (CONTINUING): when wa ran several deficite. In 1929, we cotlected on2y $16,962.18 .9 p$. and spent $t 7,022.10 .5 p$. Also in 1931, we collected revenue of $16,209.19$. 11p. and had expenditure of $t^{7}, 290.1 .5 p$. Even in those bad days in 1933, 1933 did ahow a revenue balance greater than the expenditure. Even in the dark days of 1933, when we had just survived the greatest natural calcomity these Islands had ever witnessed, the 1932 hurricane, and when the whote world had gone through the great recession of the thirtiess we were in a better position financially, than we were in 1976, because, quoting from the Cayman Istands Report of 1933, I reac, there is no public debt at that time. Although our finances were in bad shape in the thirties, we had no public debt, while in 1933, the difference between our revenue and our expenditure was only a little over two hundred pounds, at least we faced no debt. But in 1976, we not only had a deficit of almost 2 热 million dollars, ( $\$ 2,400,000.00 \mathrm{~b}$ we had a deficits we had public debt of over $\$ 7,000,000,00$ representing 62\% of the revenue, and taking 11\% of the revenue to sexvice the debt.

So these Istands have never had a
finanoial orisie equal to the one whion we saw presented at the end of the 1976 administration. It is indeed fortunate for these Istands, that Govermment had a change of not only Members, but a change of poticies, which resurrected the finances of this country and brought them up out of the doldrums into the light of a new day.

I would like to examine this subject in greater detait, but I am mindful, Sir, of the wish of the Chair that the debate will be as bricf as possible, so I will move on and turn to the last page of $m y$ notes.

I am cmazed to hear the criticiems of Membere against Cayman Airways. How can a person sit in Finance Committer and vote the money to buy the ehares in Cayman Airways; sit in Finonce Cormittee and vote the funds to lend Cayman Airwalis, then come here at election time and conderm themselves for having done this. It is not the Third
Electad Member who Executive Council who has given this money to Cayman Airways? It is not the Managing Director, Mr. Gonzales, who has given this money to Cayman Aimuays. It is the Members of Finance Committee who have approved these loane for Cayman Airways, and if it is bad, it is the Members to blame. Every penny spent by this Govermment is approved by a majority of the Members, and the majority of the Merbers of this House must bear the responsibility for having made the loans to Caymion Airways. They must bear the responsibility for having taken the equity in Cayman Airways, and they must bear a further responsibility for having
come into this House and passed resolutions which guaranteed the borrowing of Cayman Airways.

We had a fine lesson in semantics
when we ware told the difference between the debts and the contingent debts, debts owing, and debts due. This House is responsible for the contingent debts of Cayman Airways which they approved by resolutions in this House when they gave the Govermment guarantee to buy the two '727s' and to buy the two BAC 1-11s before that. It could welt be that the odd Member may not have supported the guarantees. It could well be that the odd Member may not have supported the loans. It could well be that the odd Member may not have agreed to the purchase of the shares in Cayman Aimuays, but it is a fact which cannot be disputed that the majoxity of the Members agreed to every penny which Cayman Airways has reeeived from this Government. They

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): must bear the blame; the blame cannot be put on the shoulders of one person or two people, because in Finance Committees our Financial Secretary has no vote unless there is a tie. A majority of Members agreed, and if this thing is good, they are responsible.
of oourse, Mr. Preaident, there are two kinds of good. If a man shot his mother-in-low at 500 yards distance, I would not be able to say he was a good man, but I would have to cay that he was a good shot, so that here we have a situation where I believe we have tivo kinds of good. Those who were good to Cayman Aimauy in lending the money, in buying the shares, in approving the contingent liabilities, and those who are now good to themselves in trying to prese the burden, or to pass the buck to one Member or to two Members of this House, or even to the four Elected Members of Executive Council. The burden cannot be shifted because these are the people who have done whatever has been done in giving Govermment's money to Cayman Airways. What is even more important, the votes on many ocaasions, I think in the majority of the oceasions, were unanimous votas.

Why is it that no Member has ever brought to thie House a resolution seeking to do oway with Cayman Airways? Is it not because they know that within their heart of hearts Cayman Airways is good for the countrin If it had not been for Cayman Airways we would have no 50 million dollar a year budget to debate.

The history of Cayman Airways is closely linked with the auccess of this country. We have an island country, thres small islands. We now see the Lesser Islands suffering because of the lack of air commenication. This is a reat problem. What do you think would have happened to Grand Cayman, and in faot to the entire Cayman Istands, if there was no jet service at all into the Cayman Islands? This nearly happened Mr. President, in 1977, when we were faced with several thinge. One of them was the negotiation of the Bermuda II Agreement, which our negotiator, an Official Member of Govermment carmied out on our behalf; a negotiation in which the United Kingdom was allowed to trade the rights of the Caribbean. ..

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well, I just want the Honourable Member to realise he is atroying a tittle bit far from the true subjeet matter, and he is aliso taking a little bit longer than he had led me to hope he might, so I hope he will not be too long.

HON. G. HAIC BODDEN:
No, Mr. President, I will not be too long, but I hope I can catoh up with the Third Member from West Bay, who strayed much further than I did. He strayed so far, I have not even caught aight of him yet, but I am meandering my way towarde him. I will out this part of it short. But the point I want to make is that the Govermment was forced into a situation by the Bermuda II Agreement where we had two altermatives, sither acquire the Lacsa shares of Cayman Airways and have our own national aixline, on to get out of the bueiness altogether, and close down the Miami mun. Because the Bermuda II Agreement said that for any British Caribbean conntry to fly into the United States, that country would have to substantially own and effectively controt the airtine which operated into Miomi, or into the United States as a whole. So in the dark days of 1977, we had to go out and purchase the Lacsa shares of Cayman Airways so that the airline could be owned by the Government of the Cayman Islands.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING):
There was one other altemative we coutd have an arriline owned by a private oitizen, by the nationals of the country. We were also faced with the problem of buying a jet, beoause Lacsa could no longer lease the airoraft which we had bought for them. They could no longer lease it to us.

Our money paid for the lease on that aircraft which Lacea ownet, but it come to where they needed it more than we did, and we could no longer have the uee of the aircraft which we had bought for Lacsa. We had to go out, despite the diemat pictune I have showm you, and borrow the money to buy the first BAC 1-11 at a time when the country was running a defioit, at a time when our public debt was $62 \%$ of our revenue, at a time when the semvicing of the debts was taking $11 \%$ of the reverue. This is what this Ialand was faced with in 1977. It is no wonder that when we went to Cayman Brac to tell the Cayman Braokers about the purchase of the airoraft, the Cayman Brackere were very hostile to the Second Eleoted Member from Executive Council and me.

Their hostility was justified because
at that time the Govermment really was not in a position to negotiate a loan to purchase a jet aircraft. Today, the Cayman Brackers thank us for the action which we took, and they are now saying that while in 1977 they were saying Cayman did not need a jet serviae, they are now saying Coyman Brac cannot live without it. But those days are behind us, and I believe that Cayman Aimwas is an essential ingredient in our economy. No Island state can survive for long without a proper air service. If we were to do cavay with Cayman Airways, we would be back to the days when we did not have any air service. We would be back to the days of husking coconuts and painting their shells, which is promised by some of the aspirants. We would be back to thoos days, and I do not think the public in Grand Cayman, Cayman Brac and Little Cayman want this. I think they want to move forward, and I think they want to preaerve the air service so that we are not dependent upon a foreign carrier.

The Government initially went into the airline business because, all of a sudden, B.W.I.A. sald they could no longer run into Cayman because they were new using bigger jete. We do hove the servios, for which we are thankful, of Remiblio Airlines, but any foreign carmier will move whenever the route becomss too unprofitable. In fact the history of the airline bueiness has been that the first routes to be cut are the routes which have the amallest population.

The financial figures of which thia Appropriation Bill is a part, have some amazing recorde which baffle me, to say the least, and I hope they can be explained by the Finanoial secretary, on by someone else, because I notioe under Liabilities there is a aum of $\$ 7,399,782.54$ listed as Equity Participation in Public Institutions. Under Assets the identical figure appeare, show as the shares held at cost for Cayman Airways Limited, Cayman Air Holdings, Cayman Turtle Farm and Caribbean Deve Zopment Bank. So, it is clear that if both of these items were removed, the Balance sheet would not be affected, we could not be any worse off than we are now.

Then, what is even more amazing, if
I can refer to the Appropriation Bill for 1982, the figure under Finance and Development of $\$ 13,098,855.00$ was the Coyman Airways figure for March, 1982, and why that figure, or a part of that figure appars in the 1983 financial figures, certainly baffles me.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): One Member said Cayman Aimuays will be our Waterloo, a statement that is by now overtired and overworked because we heard that story back in 1976 and 1977, and every year right through. Why do they believe that the most important ingredient in our economy will be its Waterloo? Take it out and you will have your Waterloo, because you will have a country which witi go backwards in time. You will have a country in which Goverwment's revenue will drop. You will come back to the days of defietts. You will come back to the days when surpluses were unknown, and as a Member said yesterday, if a surptus, as he said about another matter, if he adw a surptus on the street he would not know it. This is what would happen if we went back to the days of no Cayman Airways.

You know, speaking against Cayman Airways and voting the monies as I axptained earlier, is the same story as speaking against the Narootic Bill and voting for it.

One Member was alarmed at what would happen if all of Goverment contingencies fell due tomorrow. What would happen? I think the audited figures for 1983, of which that Supplementary Bill is a part, show that Government would be able to pay off all of its real debts and have some money left. of course, Government would not be able to pay off the oontingent liabilities, that is the guarantees they have made to the light plant to buy their electricity plant; they would not be able to pay off the loan they have guaranteed for Cayman Airways. But these contingent debts would only fall due if the light plant went out of existence, if Cayman Aimwaye went out of existence, and if the days of gloom whichwe lived through in the dark days of 1972 to 1976 were to come baok. So iee is actually living in a fairytale world, when he imagines that all the bad thinge in the world will be swept together in one little bundle and dumped in our lape.

I do not see this happening. I see
this country with a bigsbright finanoial future. I do not see any gloom on the horizon for this country. Of counse, this depends a lot on the decisions of the people in the forthooming election; whether they will elect people who have visions of glory for Cayman, who have visions of surpluses insteal of deficits, and who have visions of a revenue balance rather than a defioit balance. I see nothing but hope for the people of the Cayman Is lande. They have worked towards this for years. They deserve it, and if I am around, I will try to see that they get it.

Mombers very happily agreed to the supplementary expenditure in 1983. They also happily agreed to supplementary expenditure in 1984 when they approved by unanimous decision that we apend this year $\$ 1,000,000.00$ on improving the airport at Cayman Brac.

In Finance Committee, Members agreed to the purchase of tands this year. We can only do this if we have hope in the country. What are we going to do with an airfteld in Cayman Brac which can accommodate jets, if there are no jets to land on it?

The last item I shall mention is that one Member bemoaned the fact that the Public Accounts Committee has not made any reports to the House and he seemed to hanker after the time when the Leader of the Opposition will be the Chaiman of the Public Accounts Committee, I would only like to say that hers again, he lives in a fairy-tale world, because there are at present no political parties; there are at present no moves towards self-government and towards Independence where we will have a Leader of the Opposition in this House, one finat comment - I would just like to read what was eaid. This is the final comment

FON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): (and I will sit down) about the hope $2 n$ the old days, when we would have such a situation. We had a case riscently when a would-be oandidate talked about removing the Finonoial Seoretary and putting the sixty million dollar budget under an Elected Member. This would be a major etep to Independence, but this thought has been around becouse reading from Lord oxford's statement on the 3rd Febmuary, 1971, when he had a meeting in Bodden Toun, he said that amonget other things, the Lawrences felt that the Attorney-Generat and the Finaneial Secretary ohould have no vote, but should be there merely to advise. I would be happy to read the contente of the entire document if Members so wish. So the idea of having the Leader of the Opposition as the Chairman of the Public Accounts Comittee and of having the Finanotal Secretary ramoved from the Lagislative Assembly, at teast from voting on important matters, is a dream that $I$ hope will never be realised in my time, beccuse I will work to see that prople like the Luwrences are never eleoted to put it in.

MR. PRESTDENT: Does any other Member wish to speak? If no other Member wishes to - the Third Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, my debate on the Supplemantary Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1984, will centre around replying to the pointe raised on Caymon Airways, and I intend to reply to that in a very broad spectrum. In making my presentation, I intend to analyse the 1983 supplementary expenditure as well as to drift into the many areas which we have arifted and I intend to give some factual figures to this House.

I would first like to clarify a point, and I would submit to a ruling even by you on this point seacaue, in my opinion, there connot be expenditure without comesponding revenue, or a loan to baok it up. If I am might in that assumption, then I will opend, God willing, quite a bit of time on my feet here.

Mr. President, $I$ an proud
as suery inmbex of this Lagislature, and every
person in the Istande should be, of the economic transformation which has taken place in this country since 1976. If one would take the time to look at the large supplementary votes and the tronefere of capital and resemes that have taken place since Jomuary of 1977, one would realise that this aountry only began to come into the new world after the Third Elected Member from West Bay and his group were defeated in 1976. If thie man had been such a financiat geniue as he would purport to be, the country, Mr. President, would not have gone through the death pange which it went through be tween 1972 and 1976. They not onty bankrupted the coumtry and the Treasury, they bankrupted the feelinge of the peopte who aepired to do anything. In 1972 to 1976 we had a country of gloom. We had a country of. favounitisms; we had a country of intimidation, and yet they would try to put those on us at this time.

I well nemember it, I lived through it
like the rest of the people in this country did, and I would wish to have some of them refute, or try to refute the thinge that I will enumerate.

In 1972 to 1976, it was a major under-
taking to attempt to even get a house ptan approved through the Planning Department. They talk about the Work Permit situation; that was in a similar condition, unless you looked at the large amount of people from one particular country which they allowed to come into there Istonds.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): So these people should not be throwing their stones while they live in glass houses. They make remarks about the expenditure by this Government in the past eight years of over $\$ 300$ million. Mx. President, a part of that $\$ 300$ milition was revenue earmed in 1983, so I can specifically relate to it. The figure, to clear it up, was not over $\$ 300$ million, but approximately $\$ 283,468.00$, I think. And I con proud that this admintatration has been able to spend that money, and to save some of, that money, because at least, we eaxned it. It was not the deficit spending that went on in the years of the Thind Elected Member and his group.

To olear up a further point on that, Mr. President, I refer to Finance Committee. I would like to clear a point, not to the Members of this House, but to the people of these Ialconds, to let it be fully understood that no money can be spent by any Member of this House unless it is first approved by Finance Committee. Finance Conmittee consists of the twelve Elected Membere of this House, chaired by the Financial Secretary, who only has a vote in case there is a tie. The nearly $\$ 300$ million that we refer to was voted by Finonce Committee, whioh comprised all the Membere of this House except the Officiat ones, as $I$ have said.

From the 9th March, 1977 until the Meeting which dealt with the estimates for 1984 Finance Conmittee met on seventy different occasions, including the times it met in 1983. During that times in perusing the Minutes of the Finance Conmittee, there have only been fifteen objections to expenditure that were voted on by Members of the House.

In 1977, Mr. Craddock Ebanks voted againat the vote of $\$ 74,238$ for the Planning Department 18th January, 1977 - Head 21, Item 5, Miss Annie Bodden voted against the expenditure of $\$ 2,500$. 5th September, 1980, Misa Annie Bodden abstained on a vote of \$416,670 for Cayman Airways. 5th August, 1981, there was a proposal by the then Finanaial Seoretary, Mr. dohnson, to appoint either three full time Assistant Tax Colleotors, or five part time Tax CoLtectors at an expenditure of $\$ 25,000$. The Minutes do not reveal the names of the persons who voted for or against, but it says that it was voted down by a majority of the Members. I aan quite well recall that time, and it was voted down mostly by the Nembers of our group.

9th Juty, 1981-Head 25, Govermment
Information service. the aum of $\$ 41,700$ was voted against by Capt. Charles Kirkconneli, Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell, Miss Annie Bodden, Mr. 3eneon Eboonks and Mr. Norman Bodden; Mr. Craddock Ebanks and Mr. Dalmain Ebanks abstained. This is a very important point for the people of this country to know, Mr. President, because these Members and would-be Members should not be allowed to go around spreading the vicious news that they try to spread.

12th Tanuaxy, 1981 - Head 25, Govermment Information Sevvice the sum of $\$ 220,150$ was voted against by Capt. Charles Kirkconnell, Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell, Mise Annie Bodden, Mr. Benson Ebanks, Mr. Norman Bodden; Mr. Craddock Ebanks abstained. 23rd March, 1982, a vote of $\$ 2$ million for Cayman Airways Limited was voted against by Miss Annie Bodden. 26 th May, 1982, on the gift to the United Kingdom of up to \$1 mitlion, I was not present at the time the vote was taken, but I asked to be recorded that I voted against it. My reason for voting againet it was, at that particular time, the Pines Retirement Home nesdad $\$ 250,000$, and it needed it badly. That was only one of the avenues that needed assistance in this country. Many other areas needed it, and I felt that the money we were sending, we could not afford, and I voiced my apinion; I do it publicly today, and $I$ would do it again tomorrow.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): 1982 (I do not have the date for thie Sub-Head 2, $\$ 4,000$ for expenses on a trip to Washington D.C. seeking support for the turtle farm was voted against by Miss Anmie Bodden and Capt. Charles Kirkaonnelt. 18th August, 1982, a deposit of US $\$ 1.5$ million to Quebecair from monies voted for Cayman Aimways Limited was voted against by Mr. Benson Ebanks and Capt. Charles Kirkconnell. This passed the Finance Committee, but the deposit was nevar used, although it was approved. 18 th August, 1982, guarantee of the Cayman Is Zands Govermment to Quebecair of US $\$ 12.5$ million was voted against by Misa Annie Bodden, Mr. Benson Ebanke, Mr. Noman Bodden and Capt Charles Kirkaonnel2. Again, Mr. President, I would like to point out that although this had the approval of Finance Committee by a majority, the guarantee wae never used.

18th Auguet, 1988, a guarantes to
I.L.F.C. of U.S. \$14.E milition for Cayman Airways was voted againot by Mr. Benson Ebanke, Capt. Charles Kirkoonnelt, Mise Annie Bodden and Mr. Norman Bodden. The guarantees, again, was approved by Finanoe Committee, but not used. 29th August, 1982, a deposit of US\$2 million and a guarantee of US $\$ 14.5 \mathrm{million}$ to Inter-Fimst Bonk for two B-727s for Cayman Airways Limited was voted against by Mr. Benson Ebanks, Mr. Norman Bodden and Mise Annie Bodden. I am bringing these points out, Mr. President, to prove to this. House and to prove to the people of this country how ipresponsible these people can be when they go out and shout about the waste in Government. If there is a waste in Govemment, Mr. President, they are involved in it as much as anyone elee because they voted it. What I have read out here to you and to this House would probably amount totally to not more than about $\$ 3$ million of expenditures that were ever voted against by anyone in the House, and we have taken in a revenue, about $\$ 284$ million nearty.

11th Oetober, 1982, a Zoan of $\$ 45,000$ to the Adventist Church for assistance with the schoot was voted against by Capt. Charles Kirkconneli. 6th July, 1983, \$35,000 for the purchase of a Daimler car for your use was voted against by the Hon. John MoLean; he being in favour of the purchaee of a Cadillac automobile, as $I$ said $I$ was. I did not go on record but $I$ made the statement.

Now, Mr. President, that deals with the $\$ 280$ million odd of reverue, which has come into the coffers of this country through much hard work since the demise of the Third Etected Member's Cabinet in 1976. What I am proving to the House and to the people of this country is that Finance Conmittee has voted the way the money should be spant. We cannot even vire moneysonce it is voted into a Sub-Head, from one sub-Head to the other unless we come back to Finance Committse. So please, I implore these paople not to delude the people of the Cayman Istande any longer. Please be as factual as you aan.

This large amount of money. No, I will deal with that later, that is another point I will raise. This targe comount of money has come into the coffers of the Cayman Islands Goverrment as a result of stability, hard work, and the strength that goes with being determined enough to eee that we are on the right course.

Today, the Cayman Istands no longer have to hide behind the bushes. It is respected in alt quarters. No longer do we as a Govervment or as a people have to go on bended knese begging for aid and sustenance at the master's feet. No longer are we forced to eat pottage or take the morsels that fall from the table after the master has eaten. If I remember

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): correctly, Mr. President, in the years 1972 to 1976 this Colony was grant aided and that should be a bitter word in the face of most people. Even...

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, witl the Member give way?

If the Member willing to give way?
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
No Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
You are not mising on a Point of
Order?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. ERANKS:
Stroxding Order 43(2), sir.

No Sir.
No. Well then, the answer is no.
Well, I reserve the right under

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, he cannot make another speech. He has made enough speeches; now I cm replying to him. I sat dow and took it, he is going to sit down and take it white I speak.

MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: am on my feet and I intend to
you have no might at this time. stay there.
I can see how it touched you though.
Mir. President, even with all the grant aid that was given to this country, (and thank God we did not need any in 1983), with all the grant aid money which was given by the Mother country, and alt the loans that the previous administration took out, they still continually ran in deficite and they Left a bankrupt Govermment to be inherited.

The Coyman Islands are a sound financial and toumiam centre. They has not gotten there ovexnight. Many people have aontributed to it and contributed some hard work, but I am proud to say, you can take the figures of tourism, and you can take your figuree of your financial industry, and I think it proves to the entire world that this mainly has been done in the tast eight years. The great success that has been enjoyed in 1983 and the other years of our administration can speak for itself any place they go.

MR. PRESIDENT: I wonder if this would be a conventent point from the Member's point of view to take our usual afternoon break.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Yes Sir, I am ready to go on till midnights God uitivg.

MR. PRESIDENT: 'WeLL then, before suspending procsedinge, perhaps I could seek Members wishes. The debate on this particular Bilt is going on perhaps rather longer than most of us originally expected, and I wonder whe ther either today or some day later in the week, the House would wish to consider

MR. PRESIDENT (CONT INUING): sitting after half past four in order to try to complete business this week, or whether Members would prefer to continue next week? A number of Members have voiced the view to me that they would like to finish this week.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, in ordar to facilitate some of the Members of the House, I am prepared to speak until midnight; $I$ am warmed up now, and I would like to keep on. So if the Members would agree I would like for us to just go on tonight.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I have no objection to going on till midnight on some othex night, but since we were not given notice that we would be sitting late today, I have commitments.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well. I expect every avening people will have commitments. I have a commitment tomorrow evening and I have a commitment the evening after that although the conmitments are not till half past six or seven. But I am not suggesting that that is a reason for not sitting tomorrow or the day after if Members wish to.

Firstly, would Members prefer to try to finish this week? If that is so and I bee nods alt round, then I think on one or more evenings we may have to sit a bit late. Could we make a start by sitting a bit late this avening, I mean maybe till hatf past five even? That would give us a little bit more time to go through.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS; Mr. Fresident, I have arrangements for commitments for this wening. There is no way that I could break them because there were onmitmente prepared a week or more ago.

MR. PRESIDENT: I could auite understand that if we did stay on, say this evening, some Members might be unab te to remain beyond four thirty. I am in the hands of the House. If a majority of Members think that it is desirable to finish this week, as I understand, and if a majority of Members agree that it is unlikely that we shall finish unless we do stay late on one or more evenings, then $I$ do think it behooves us to consider staying a bit late this evenings even if one or two Members may be unable to manage that.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, suppose we have a
vote by a show of hinde of those can stay after four thirty.

MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: stay titil five thinty.

MR. PRESIDENT:
this evening?
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
thirty, sir?
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
until five, Sir.

Alt right.
Mr. President, I am prepared to

Well, how about till five thirty

Could we compromise till six

Mr. President, I witl not be staying

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, the last time that this House acconmodated the particular Member who is trying to get an extension to hold a Committee Meeting for which he was Chaiman, he did not show up.

```
MR. PRESIDENT: WeLL, let us not...
```

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, this is being vexy
irrelevant. I do not recall exactly what he is talking about, but I witl assure him of one thing, unless I drop dead when I leave the Chamber here to go to the Committee room, I will sure be back to pepper him thia evening.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think let us see whether six o'clock this evening, how many people we can get in favour of that?

Those who would be prepared to stay or would not necessamily be able to stay themsetves, but would agree to a proposition that we do stay till six o'ctock this evening.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, this is the last Meeting of this House for the year, and I feel, Sir, that it should be so conducted that every Member have the opporturity to atterd; whether it takes ati of this week, whether it takes next week along. with it, that every Member wili have the opportunity to hear and debate or answer any question, or make any coments that they might wish. I do not feet, Sir, and I am not going to aqree at this stage that it be broken up into pieces tontil half past five, quarter to six, and six o'olock; some Members not being here, and this and that and the other.
MR. PRESIDENT: Well, I am sure...
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: I think that you in your capacity, Sir, as President of this Ascembly, ought to take into consideration that this Houss continue its proper procedure untit the business of the House is completed, sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Thank you for making your point. I quite wonderstand it. On the other hand, I am really in the hands of the majority of Members, and my sole anxiety is to reach whatever arrangments a majority of Members may prefer.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, I would suggest that we eit this evening until five and tomorrow and Friday until five thirty. I think that would satiefy all Members.

MR. PRESTDENT:
We ll maybe even till five thirity aach day.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
Members.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
MR. PRESTDENT:

Mr. President, again...
I do not think we shall satisfy all

It does not satiefy me.
No, I do not think we are...

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: I have as much right and privilege to argue for my righte and the rights of the people of this country as any cther Member in here. I am saying, Sir, I feel it is unfair to split up the sittings, the hearings in piecemeat and not go on until the House has completed its dutiss and its obligations as laid down.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, if there is going to be this much of an uproar over staying, we will exhaust the time arguing in here before we can get out.

MR. PRESIDENT: That is right.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: : I will suggest then, as I am the speaker, that we alose this session at four thixty and please come back tomorrow and be ready for the whote day.

HON. D.H. FOSTER: : I Buggest we take our break now, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT: Welt, yes, tet us take our break.
Perhaps we can continue discussing it informally during our bredk and maybe come to some accomolation. I witz suspend proceedings for fifteen minutes.

AT 3.36 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED

HOUSE RESUMED AT $4.03 P \cdot M$.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated.
Proceedings are reowned. The
Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Council.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN(CONTINUING) ; Mn Feeitent, before the break I started to speak a bit on tourism and the findncial centre which the Cayman Is lands had become.

There is a lot of fatth being put
in the Cayman Islands; faith in making it one of the largest offshore financial centres which exiets. It makes me wonder why in 1983 there was substantial investment channelled through this country, much, much rebuffing their anower beause the people from abroad had no faith in this country and its leadership. They have it now.

The economy is sound. The country is strong because of good steady leadership, not bending to every whim and fancy that comes along, not waiting for a year or sometimee more to make their decisions white the experts experted it to death.

This is what we had, plus the Rasputin advisors from the outeide which made the decisions for Government and not the Elected Members making the deoisions as they ehould have done to fulfil their duty to the slectorate and to the country.

We have catapaulted the Cayman Islands into a position of strength. I referred a while ago to grant aid. What we obtained may not have been phrased grant aid, but, Mr. President, a rose by any name is still a rose. It might have been better if they had called it grant aid instead of sending us the beautifut prefab buitdings whioh ware supposed to be a gift which we had for schoot rooms at that time.

HON. JAMES M, BODDEN (CONTINUING): I am sure if any country in the world bhould have been ashamed of school buitdings, the Cayman Istands at that point would have rated at the top. These were schoot buildings which the Member responsible for Health, Education and Social Services at that time probably had to get on his knees and have them well padded to beg to get them. There is no doubt that during that time development aid was given to this oountry and soft loans and interest free toans. I was very proud at the time when the delegation came from London and said this country has progressed far enough that we carnot justify the gifts that we have been giving you.

That is correct, Mr. President, beoause our people should live as a people dependent upon themselves and not on hand-outs from some foreign Govermment.

This country at the present time has savings from revenue in the form of reserves and cash balances at least fifteen times the amount that has ever been put into reserves in the history of this country, and if. that is not a success story, well then tell me what is.

1983, tike other years of this
administration has seen a sizeable contribution to capital expenditure Capital expenditure from general revenue in the eight years since 1976 amounts to nearly CI\$ 18 million. The country is much micher by this amount. No longer, Mr. President; do we have to go on bended knees and borrow $\$ 36,000$ to fence the Public Works compound. If we need to spend $\$ 3.6$ million to fence the Public Worke compound we could do it out of cash.

So this is the story that must be told to the people of this country, not the stories that these people would have us believe.

Mr. President, taking 1976 as a base year in comparing 1983 with it, we will find that in 1983 there was a capital expenditure of $\$ 11,267,730$, ox 260 per eent more than was spent in 1976. The contribution from the General Revenue Fund in 1983 was $\$ 10,337,012$ or 625 per cent more than it was in 1976 . I would tike to have these figures disputed if they can.

I am reading, Mr. President, from a chart which has the actual figures up until 1983. 1984 is a projected year in that, and, if I may remind the Member across the hall that in 1989 it is estimated that we will contribute to capital $\$ 12,426,047$.

From revenue surplus in 1983, and other yeare, our public debt stands at the present time at about $\$ 12$ million including the amount that has just been voted for the Tower Building. If we conpare that again to 1976 we find that in 1976 the Government had a debt of $\$ 7.3$ miltions and at that time that amount represented 62 per cent of total revenue, as total revenue that year was $\$ 11.8$ million. At that point they talk about bankruptoys the country was fast approaching it, it was becoming a banana republic because at that point it took over 11 per cent of general revenue to service the long term debts.

Some of them will shout about the nice buildings that were built. The buildings were alt built from borrowed capital on which the repayments had started onty a few ysars back since this administration took over. Yet, these some people are shouting about the guarantee to Cayman Airways. They built buildings but they did not have to worry how to pay for them.

Mr. President, there is one other point that $I$ an very proud about in 1983, and that was that in 1983 tike the other yeare of thts administration, we were able to

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTTNOINH'): decrease taxes, and we have not had to oonsider about implementing an income tax system like the Third Etected Member from West Bay and some of his colleagues tried to do years ago. If income tax had ever been instituted in this country you could have forgotten about the growth that you have today. You would have had no financial centre to boast about, and that will show yau how far and how broad these particular people thought about the good of the Cayman Istands. As I said awhite ago, Mr. President, a rose by any name is still a rose. They may have called it a graduated poll tax and maybe it was a graduated polt tax because by the time they had gotten through with it, everybody would have graduated.

These are some of the things that were implemented and were tried to be implemented by that ajministration that brought the Cayman Islands literally to its knees. Thank God the electorate of this country had enough sense in 1976 to see the hardumiting on the wall as they will in this election, and they will tell these people that they want no more of that type of Govexmment.

We could have, and allow, Zarge
supplementary expenditures in the years from 1976 beoause there has been a surplus amovent of revenue obtained. Now, Mr. President, I would like to refer to Head 2, I will call it, of the amounts which were listed in the Supplementary Bill. Head 2 was Internal and External Affairs. In 1983 we find that the total expenditure in that section was $\$ 9,467,121$. In 1976 it was $\$ 1,527,785$. That is an increase of 520 per cant.

That amount of money could not have been spent in 1983 or the six years previous to that if the Govermment revenue had not been in a healthy oondition.

Head 10 is Pinance and Development. In 1983 we find that that vote was changed in the accounting system and another Sub-Haad appeared. But even with that the increase was stitt 217 per cent in just the one area that was carried forward.

Head 13. Espenditure, was able to increase by 136 per oent. That is Legal Administration.

Head 15 is the Portfolio of Health, Education and Social Services. In 1983 there was an expenditure of $\$ 10,265,296$ or 283 per cent over 1976. In 1976 the expenditure was only $\$ 2,682,797$ and they were lucky they could find that.

But I wish at this point to give a further break-down of that amount. In 1983 we spent $\$ 35,000$ on child aare and protection compared to the mighty amount in 1976 of $\$ 2,685$ or an increase of 1,204 per cent. I really cannot imagine what they could have done with $\$ 2,685$. Poor Relief - it is not good to have to mention this, to know that we still have people in the country who have to be aided by poor relief. but it happens in every country and in 1983 we spent $\$ 100,000$ compared to $\$ 12,368$, or. an increase of 708 per cent.

Now, people may think that I have spent quite a bit of time in apeaking today and that my colleagues have spent quite a bit of time, but Mr. President, I remember one time in this House that I really, along with my colleague from Bodden Town had to spend a long long time. I think that was going back to 1972 or 1973 in the preparation of the budgets in the Finance Cormittes. At that point, I think poor retief was in for $\$ 1,500$ a year, and that is the administration this man was responsible for that has done so much according to him, for the country.

When we called in the officer in question, she said "I do not know how to spend it, it is so little". Yet they would tell you that they are taking care of the entire

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): country, I wish to deal with that in another statistio before I get through.

Support grante took $\$ 95,000$ in 1983. Drug and Alcohot Rehabilitation took $\$ 20,000$. On the medical side of it in 1983 we had an expenditure of $\$ 252,000$ compared to $\$ 66,869$ in 1976 for drugs, or an increase of 277 per cent. In 1983 we spent $\$ 98,000$ for medical supplise compared to $\$ 10,996$ in 1976, or an inorease of 791 per cent.

Scholarship gronts in 1983 took $\$ 350,880$ compared to $\$ 76,417$ in 1976, or an increace of 359 per cent. But that is not the only story to be told on scholarships. At the time this measty amount, wae being spent to try to further the education of our youngstere. Those scholarships onty went to the very, very, very selected few. It had to be somebody's child, or somebody's sister or brother before they were ever considered. That system, thank God, has ahanged. Todoy we are able, despite the constant criticiam, to offer an exceillent school system locally to our chitdren. We are able to offer them exceltent scholarships. We are able to offer them soft loans; those who do not qualify for the scholarships. We are able to offer them technical training where they are even paid a subsidy to attend sohool
to become better educated to seme the commoity. Jet, these people would get on tha street comerre and preach and say that we have not thought about the youngsters of this countxy. If we have not, I wonder why we have gone to such pains to try to do these things. In 1983, in the administration of Health, Education and Social Services, for Education there was an expenditure of $\$ 5,192,901$ or an increase ovar 1976 of 214 per cent. Health Services was an expenditure of $\$ 4,066,499$, or an increase over 1976 of 313 per cent.

Sociat Services. There was an expenditure of $\$ 585,096$ compared to $\$ 42,553$ in 1976, or an increase of 1,274 per cent. Yet we hear that this administration has forgotten the sociat services. Well, if we have forgotten the Social Services why did it take an expenditure of $\$ 585,096$ in 19837

Now I wish to give some further statistics concerming this wonderful 1972 to 1976 administration oompared to 1983.

In 1983, Mr. President, Social
Services accounted for 1.2 per cent of the General Revenue of this country, comparing that to 1976 where it was only 0.1 per cent. If we go further on, we will find the break-dow, and I am sorry that my sheet is missing from my page here, because I would have given the statistios on what I referred to in a previous Meeting of this House as that administration becoming famous for the nicket poor relief candy bor. Because, if I remember correctly, Mr. President, at that point this Govermment, headed by the Third Eleoted Member from West Bay who at that time was in charge of the Portfolio of Health, Education and Social Services, I think we were offering the people on poor relief a seven cent out of every hundred doltars that we took in eandy bare. I think he should be very proud of those candy bans. They should be marketed under a particular name brand.

[^20]
## ADJOURNMENT

## MOVED BY HON. D.H. FOSTER

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion is that this House do now adjourn untit $10 o^{\prime}$ olock tomorrow morming. I am not encouraging any Member to speak to the motion, but I am inviting Members to refleat overnight whether most of them really might wish to stay slightly longer tomorrow evening ox the evening after, and possibly even at the risk of having to recast some of their arrangements or whatever. I am not, as I say, inviting comment on that now, but it is something we might discuss informally tomorrow to see if we can reach an agreement that suite everybody, or if not unanimity, at least a compromise.

So, uniess any Member wishes to speak I witl put the question that this House do now adiourn until 10 o'cloak tomorrow morning.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 4:33 P.M, IHE HOUSE ADSOURNED UNFIL 10:00 A.M. THURSDAY THE GTH SEPTEMBER, 1984.
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## TGURSDAY, $6 T H$ SEPTEMBER, 1984

$10.00 \mathrm{A.M}$.

| MR. PRESIDENT: | Please be seated. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Procesdings are resumed. |
|  | Questions. |

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUITVE COUNCIL RESEONSIBLE FOR HEALTH EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

WO. 53 Have any of the dangerous drugs reported missing or not being supported by prescriptions in a 1975 Audit Report been pecovered.

ANSWER:
The dangerous drugs reported missing on not being supported by prescriptions in the Goverment Auditor General's Report of $318 t$ December, 1975, were as follows:-

The following dangerous druge were loaned to Dootor Skinner in November, 1976, and to date no evidence was eeen of their return. Such transactions are imegular and the authority was not produced.

| (a) 3 mge | - morphine sulphate injection |
| :--- | :--- |
| (b) 2 ampoules | - pethilorphan 100 mg injection |
| (c) 2 ampoules | - pethidine 50 mg injection |

Tosues made as under were not acknowledged by the receiving department.

| Reg. No. | Date | Druge | Department |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 515 | 30/6/76 | 10 ampe morphine gris | Generat Hord |
| 515 | 30/6/76 | 10 amps pethidine inf. 50 mg | General Ward |
| 33 | 7/7/76 | 25 ampe morphine gra | Maternity Ward |

other discrepancies as under were noted.

| Druge | Book Batconoe | Phybical Check | Shortage | Surplus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ditworooodine DF118 | 12 | $\cdots \overline{\text { NIL }}$ | 12 | - |
| Morphine sutp tablets | 660 | 684 | - | 24 |
| Putv. Codine Phosphate | 139 grms | NIL | 139 grvns | - |

Isaues not supported by requisitions or other written authomity were as followe:-

| Date | eluantity | Drug |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $14 / 10 / 76$ | 40 amps | Pethidine tabs. ( 50 mgs ) |
| $22 / 2 / 76$ | 30 amps | Pethilorfan 50 mg |
| $22 / 2 / 76$ | 30 amps | Pethilorfan 100 mg |
| $22 / 2 / 76$ | 60 amps | Pethidene 50 mg |
| $22 / 2 / 76$ | 60 amps | Pethidene 100 mg |
| $28 / 2 / 76$ | 20 amps | Pethidene 100 mg |
| $1 / 3 / 76$ | 10 amps | Pethidene 50 mg |

The record of these drugs were unsystematically maintained. Other drugs which could be considered dangerous, but not treated as such, were:-
(a) Sotivm Phenobarbia labelted with the aaution "Warning - may be habit forming".
(b) Valium.

These have never been recovered.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS: Supptementary, Mr. President. Could the Member say who was responsible at that time for the administration?

MR. PRESTDENT: $\quad$ No, $I$ do not think that is a supplementamy.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I have a supplementary, Sir. I have the Auditor General's Report for 1975 and in that Report on the hospital the onty annexure referred to is appendix $A$. It oertainly does not include all of those drugs which the Member gave as being reported short. So $I$ am wondering if I could have a copy of that report he is reading from to substantiate his reply?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, it is appendix $A$, paragraph 22 and $i t i s$ the first one that is headed Dangerous Drugs. I cm reading from the Minutes here but I am prepared to suppty this to him afterwards. But at this stage I need it.

## MR. PRESIDENT: I think if the Member undertakes to

 supply it afterwards that will....MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: But, Mr. President, the Member is purporting to read from the Auditor General's Report not the Minutes of the House, and I have the Auditor General's Report here and those drugs are not listed on it. I have appendix A referring to paragraph 22 too.

MR. PRESIDENT:
My understanding is there was not an Auditor Genaral in 1975. What Report is it that you have, an External Audit Report or an Intermal Audit Report?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Sir. The one done by the Auditor General of Jomaica.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Was the Member reading from an Internal Audit Report on an Extermal Audit Report or is the...?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, I am taking this from the Minutes where the Report was listed. In fact everything was listed on it which purports to be a copy of....

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: of the Report.

MR. PRESIDENT: Auditor's Report?

You mean the Minutes of the House?
Yes, which purpoxts to be a copy
Res De a copy

Of what Report? The Extermal

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Of the External Report. I agree that there was not an Auditor General but at that stage there was an Extermal Report. The repetition, Mr. Fresident, in the Intermal Report when a further investigation was carried out in 1977....

MR. BENSON O. EAANKS: Mr. Preeident, on a Point of Order, Sir, the question refore to the Auditor General's Report in 1975 not an internal audit in 1977.

MR. PRBSIDENT: No it does not. The question as $I$ understand it refers to a 1975 Audit Reporit. It does not refer to the Auditor General' $\varepsilon$.

MR. BENSON O.EBANKS: No, but not the 1977 Report,
MR. ERESIDENT: No, it is a 1975 Report.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Because he mentioned the late Internal Auditor's Report and I was trying to explain to him. But I am sure he knows that those drugs are missing.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ What pugzled me was that as you read the answer and indeed reading it through now, it deals with dangerous dmuge loaned to $D x$. Skinner in November, 1076 for axmple. Yet it is an Audit Report of December, 1975. Now I do not quite see how a 1975 Report could deal with 1976 loans. It sounds very odd.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Nr. President, that is what happens when questions are plonted for specific purposes.

MR. PRESIDENT: No statement.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: You know, Mr. President, on a Point of Order, if you cannot really control the Third Elected Member for West Bay's mouth this House is going to get to a stage where everyone is going to get up and make remarks. It is no good telling him to sit dow day after day and hour after hour if you do not keep him sitting down. Because to rule him out of order once, with respect Sir, and then half an hour later have to rule him out of order again. It must be getting to a contemptuous stage, you know.

MR. PRESIDENT: If there io no further supplementary?
In view of the fact....Oh, very well.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, could I ask the Member if he has any knowledge as to what might be the eetimated cost of these misaing daugs?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Nr. President, the cost of the dangerous drugs as far as the legal purchase of them would go from auppliers, would probably be smatl. But the street value of, for example, 139 grame of Codeine I expect would be fairly subetantial, sir.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, the question refers
to the Audit Report, 1975. I would like to ask the Member if there was any suggestion in that Report that Government should attempt to recover those drugs, or if there was even the slightest indication of malfeasanoe in the Report? comments that the Auditor made and in it on the fourth line he said - well.I will read it:-
"Dangexous dmugs are stored in a conorete vaulted cabinet built into the main storeroom and is fitted with an outer door..."

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: On a Point of Order, Mr. President, is the Member reading from the Report or from the Minutes of the House?

```
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I am reading from.. . :
```

MR. PRESIDENT: My understanding is that the Member has already explained that he betieves that the Minutes of the House contain a reoord of the Report.

MR. BENGON O. EBANKS: That is not correct, Mr. President, beccuse I have a copy of those Minutes too.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Well, then, Mr. President, why is he asking me these questions because he knows what is down in this section of it. Now do you want me to read it and really let you know what you already know?

MR. BENSON O. ETPANKS: I want you to read from the Audited Report of 31st December, 1975.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: It says:-
"Dangerous drugs are atored in a conorets voulted cabinet built into the main..."

Mr. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, oan he oall my attention to the section he is reading from?

HON: TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Sure. It is paragraph 1 of appendix
"These stoxes and records are the responsibility of the pharmacist. An inepection of the doore..."

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
With respect, Mr. President, appendix A has no paragraph 1.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: You know, Mr. President, if he wants me to reply he has to give me an opportunity. The first thing you learn as a lawyer is that you never ask a question unless you know the answer, and he is going to get an answer now he does not want.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, could I hetp the Member by giving him my copy of the Auditor's Report?

MR. PRESIDENS: $\quad$ I am not sure that the Member will accept.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, if I cver need his hetp it will probably be when he qualifies as a lotyer which he is struggling to do.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: No, I have a supptementary, Mr.
President, and I would like to ask the Member whether using the Auditor General's Report, the Auditor General of Jamaica who was the External Auditor for Cayman at that time, whether he would say that the Report on the pharmacy or personal health eervice at that time is any more derogatory or detrimentat than the Report in the Auditor General's Report for 1983.

MR. PRESIDENT: I to not think that is a supplementary any more than the Second Elected Member for West Bay's first supplementary question...

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I would be delighted if you would allow that question.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Please sit down. I dare say you would be delighted but I cannot allow supplementaries which seem to me to be out of order. I allowed an eartier supplementary of yours because it dealt with recoveries and you were asking about whether an Audit Report had recommended recoveries or aomething of that kind. But now we are straying far, far, from recoveries and the original question dealt with recoveries.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, in alt of my years in this House I have never experienced suoh behaviour among a few Members, and I cannot understand, Sir, why you in your capacity let this continue in this manner, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: Thank you. I will now invite the
First Elected Member for the Lesser Istands to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESFONSIBLE FOR TOURISM AVTATION AND TRADE

NO. 59: Would the Member state why the combined financial statements of Cayman Air Holdings Limited and Cayman Airuays Limited for the year ended 30th June, 1983, have not been tabled?

ANSWER: Finanoial Statements of Cayman Airways Limited and Cayman Air Holdings Limited for the period ending 30th June, 1983, were tabled in the Honourable House on 7th May, 1984, and 15th August, 1984, respectively. Combined Statements have not been produced by the Auditors as there is no requirement for such Statemente.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

CAPT. CHARLES $L_{\text {. KIRKCONNELL: }}$ With respect, Mr. President, supplementary.
Yesterday I sow the Honourable Third Official Member of Executive Council with a combined Statement of both Companies and I wonder why other Members of this House have not been given the combined Statements of Cayman Air Holdings and Cayman Airways?
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, the Member to whom
he refers happens to be the Financial Secretary of this country.

| MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: <br> Mr. Presidents a supplementary, Sir. <br> The Member has stated that combined Statements have not been produced by the Auditors as there is no requirement for such Statements. Is the Member saying that the Board of Dipectors, in other words, have not requested audited Statements of the combined aceounte? |
| :---: |
| HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: I do not have all the Minutes of the respective two Companies, in fact one of them I reatly do not think $I$ have had any Minutes for. So $I$ am not in a position to answer that question. |
| MR. PRESIDENT: <br> If there is no further supplementary I will invite the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands to ask the next question. |
| THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR TOURISM AVIATION AND TRADE |

NO. 60: Will the Member state:-
(a) The oompletion date stipulated in the contract cuarded for the construction of the new airport terminal building at Owen Roberts Airport: and
(b) Whether any bonus payments have been paid or contemplated to be paid under this contract as an inducement for earlier completion?

ANSWER:
(a) The completion date stipulated in the contract awarded for the construction of the new aimport terminal buitding at Owen Roberts Airport is 31st March, 1985.
(b) No bonus payments have been paid nor contemplated to be paid under this contract as an inducement for earlier completion.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. Could the Member state whether the antioipated completion date is now earlier than the 31st March, 1985 and if so what is the anticipated date of completion?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: An easy question to answer, Mr. President. This represents quite an acoomplishonent for the country and for the wity team Govermment. We plan to open it on November 10th.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Supplementary, Mn. President. The Member states that no bonus payments have been made nor are they contemplated. Would the Member state whether because of directives from his Portfolio or any other Government Fortfolio work was speeded up on this progress at the expense of other progranmed projeats for the year?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I am glad to answer that one too. This happens to be a contract which is on reoond and as far as I know the company ooncerned is not handling any

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING) : other Govermment contracts at this time.
in my Portfolio and I do give directives.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. Could the Member then explain the statement made by the Honourable Fourth Elacted Member of Executive Council on Monday which stated that other projected works could not be completed because the efforts of Fublic Works Department were direoted to the airpopt to achieve an earlier aompletion date?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Freaident; it is true that my colleague from Bodden Town, the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council and myself are pretty wetl on the same wave length in most things but I cannot really look into his brain and give the Member the answer for that. Maybe he is capable of doing so. I cannot tell you why he made that statement. I am sure he had good reason for doing so. It may have been that they were trying to accomplish some work in West Bay, I do not know, but the Fublic Works Department has played a part in the construction work on the ground for the new terminal buizding, that is the parking area and things like that. It could have been that Fublic Works decided they wished to do it. Now I do not have anything to do in regards to issuing directives for Public Works. If my colteague would like to answer that as to why he has given the directive I would be happy for him to do so.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Nr. Presidents this may be a little bit irregular but it was an irregular question when the Member asked somebody else to explain my statement. The simpie fact is Public Works had progrommed their part of the construction job of the airport which was mostly the laying of the asphatt and the preparation of the hangars and car parking facilities and so on. This work was programed to be spread out over several monthe but we discovered that the contractors were able to complete the terminal building in a shorter time than had been antioipated, and naturally it then become incumbent upon Fublic Works to go ahead with their work so that both jobs could be complated. There was no reason for Publice works to drag its feet and so delay the completion. And this is the reason why Public Worke speeded up a job which would have taken much longer. In fact in the final anatysis it has been a good thing because we have now had the emergency of the Cayman Brace airport and Public Works will be able to shift some of its emphasis on the work in Cayman Brac just because they have finished the job here. Had they not finished here we could not be moving on to Cayman Brac. So it is a good thing that it has happened and I might says Mr. Presidents that this is in contrast to the dock under the Member's administration that took a much longer time and oost Government so much by the delays.

MTT. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. Preaident, to the Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Council. In his rambling reply to the last supplementary I understood him to say that undoubtedly the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council had a good reason for saying what he said and maybe it was because some work was contemplated in Hest Bay. Would the Member state that a good reason would be to delay work which was scheduled to be completed in West Bay? That is a good reason for shifting the equipment of Public Works to the airport for an earty completion
then?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, he should be proud that the country has such an efficient and competent Public Works Department. Or I wonder if it is because it is showing up the lack of ability when his group had control of Executive Council in public work. But by the way to enlighten the Member just a little bit further, Sir, white I have been sitting here and tistening to some of the questions I think we may speed up the opening of the terminal building to Ootober 16th when the finst Concorde flight into Grand Cayman will arrive.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
A further supplementary, Mr. President. The Member made reference to the administration of which I was a part. Would the Nember care to telt the House had he not obstructed the construction of the terminal at that time what it would have cost the ocuntry?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, that is a drifting question and in my opinion you should have ruled it out of order. but I am glad to answer it. Yes, I objected to the terminal building along with my colleague from Bodden Town in the 1972 to 1976 administration. I am thankful to God in many ways that we did, remembering the monstrosity which was contemplated. It could not have probably been anything else but a monstrosity if it had been handled by that group, but the big point about it, Mr. President is this. At that point the country was in bankruptoy and if we had saddled the Govermment with another large debt, if we could have got it and I am sure that we probably were not in a position to really get that Zoan at that time, but at that point we were spending nearly 12 per cent of recurrent revenue to service the long term debt. If we had gone ahead with that proposat at that time, considering the over expenditure that took place...

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
MR. BEWSON O. EBANKS:
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
of you pleate. Order, order.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: question whteth I asked him.

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:

Mr. President...
Sit down:
That is not an answer to my question.
I cm answering your question.
You are not answering my question.
Witl you please both sit down. Both
Were you rising on a point of order?
Yos, sir, he is not andwering the

No, that is not a point of order.

Mr. President, the Member asked me a question and I attempted to answer that question. If he wishes to interrupt in any way he has the right after I have sat down and answered that question to then pose another question. I am not going to sit down as long as I have the floor from now on.

MR. PRESIDENT:
You have to sit down if there is a point of order raised, not otherwise. I do not oonsider that a point of order therefore you may continue.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, to further answer his question. If the country at that time had been foolish enough to go into further expenditure we would have been to the point where we would have been cormitting, I do not remember the exact amount at this time, but probably. about 18 per cent of recurrent revenue. If I remember comectly at juat about that time; the civit service of this country had to forego a paise because there was insufficient money to pay them. Therefore, Mr. President, it would have been foolish for myself and my colleaque as politicians, to stand in this House and agree to the country being put in a position such as that. But everybody again remembers 1972 to 1976 and I am sure that just our two opposing voices, maybe coupled with a couple of other ones at that time, were not enough to block the vote. So I am sure the reason the vote was blocked is because the Member and the Government at that time were not able to put sufficient padding on their knees to get some bank to be foolish enough to loan then added money.

MR. BENSSON O. EBANKS: Supplementary, Mr. Eresident. My question was not answered and I am asking the Member what was the amount placed in the Bitl before the House in the 1979 to 1975 development progranme for the construction of an airport termiriat. Can he enlighten the House?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mx. President, I do not recall that question being asked in the first question but I would not attempt to stand here this morning and pull out of the recesses of my mind a figure for 1972. That would be like comparing many other thinge. So therefore, Mr. President, I am not in a position to answer that at this time and I do not think it is a relevant question.

MR. PRESTDENT: If you had not risen so quickly I was going to mule that it was not really strictly a relevant question in the sense that... Will you please sit dow. Do not rise again while I am talking.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: ... I beg your pardon, Sir. I thought you had finished.

MR. PRESIDENT:
No. I think we have strayed a long way and it is my fault for being too tenient; although some of the answers may have encouraged straying in the supplementaries. I think we have strayed a long way from what was really proper supplementary questioning in respect of the original answer to the question that was on the Order Paper, arid I think we have really strayed too far. Nou I have not finished yet. We have strayed too far already and I do not propose to allow any further supplementary unless it is very strictly relevant. Now you may rise,Sir.

> MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, this supplementary arises out of the last answer.

MR. PRESIDENT: ....... Yes, but we had strayed too far from the first answer. I have already ruted it out of order. Unless you have another supplementary please sit down.

MR. BENSON O. BBANKS:
But I have a supplementary. The supplementary ts could the Member state what was the first time any record of Govermment having been refused a loan, what was the date on which that was recorded?

MR. PRESIDENT:
This too is far too far from the originat question. I have said I am not going to allow further straying. There are a number more questions on the Onder Paper and I must cease being quite so lenient as I have been so far this moming and during this Neeting and ask Members to be relevant and brief, both in their answers and in their aupplementaries.

Now I will ask the Third Elected Member for George Toum to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 61: Witl the Member state how many persone to date have been granted Caymanian otatue giving their originat nationality?

ANSWER: To date 252 persons have been granted status, alt Commonwealth citizens from the following terpitories:-

| United Kingdom | 93 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Janaica | 111 |
| Bahamas | 1 |
| Trinidad | 2 |
| Belize | 23 |
| Barbadoe | 4 |
| Canada | 14 |
| Australia | 2 |
| Turks Islands | 1 |
| Montserrat | 1 |
|  |  |

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: A supplementary, Mr. President. Could the Member say how many of these 252 were granted by the Boards?

HON. DENNTS H. FOSTER: I could not really be exact about that.
MR. PRESIDENT: Perhaps the Member would undertake
to get and to circulate the information because $I$ can understand the interest in it, but I can also underetand it is not perhape strictly a supplementary and the Member may not therefore have the information at his fingertips.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, I was not expecting a oorrect amount, I just thought approximately, but I will accept the supplying of the figuree.

MR. PRESIDENP:
Well, if the Member can give an approximation, but he may be reluctant to risk misleading the House. I do not know.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: I will not try to mistead them, sir, but I will try to give my best answer. As far as I know, sir, the majoxity of these have been granted by the Board beccuse to the best of my knowledge since 1972 I think the courts hove only made a few grants, not too many. Maybe there have been hatf a dosen or more and there have just been a couple of other instances where the Governor in Council granted them. So I would aay the great majority of these were granted by the Board.

MR. PRESIDENT:
But perhaps the Member could undertake to supply detailed figures in writing later.

HON. DENDIS H. FOSTER: Y Yes Sir. I will accept that.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Shpplementary; Mr. President. I know the question has no date on it but could the Member state what was the commencement date and the closing date of these figures that he hae given us, Sir?

HON. DENNTS H. FOSTER:
Mr. Presidents from the commencement of the Law in 1972; I think Sir.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I am not asking a supplementaxy"but I think perhaps it may help if we olarified for Members in case there is cony combiguity that the court does not grant status. The court merely dealanes whether a person has it or not and thers is no power in the judiciary in this country to grant statue to anybody. They merely dectare what a person already has under the Law.

MT. PRESIDENT:
So that the 252 ought to exclude, that is what I would have thought, declarations by the court beoause the court is not granting it, it is saying somebody has: atways had a right to it. I think the only oases in whioh there would be grante made by anybody other than the Boand would be the, I do not know, two or three, a very small number of cases where grants were made by the Governor in Council. But I would prefer the Member to research it and give you an acourate answer becauee it is a complex point and I would not wish to mistead the House.

Unless there are any further questions I will ask the Third Elected Member for George Town to agk the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOW TO ASK THE BONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MENBER RESFONSIBLE FOR INI'ERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

NO. 62: Would the Member state the number of firearms presently licensed and what steps have been taken to try and eliminate unlicensed firearms?

ANSWER: The number of licensed firearms as of 31st August, 1984, is 808. Whenever there is reason to suspect that someone is in poaseseion of an milicensed firearm the premises :" are searched.

SUPPLEMBNTARY:
MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN, : "Mr. Preeidant, Sir. I shoutd tike to ask the following supplementary question. In the case of any search having been made have they discovered any unticensed guns or firearms whatsoever?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: $\quad$ Mr. Preaident, I cannot really anewer this with any certainty but I am sure on occasions they have been successful and on other occasions they have found nothing.

MR. PRESIDENT:
It is not really my place to answer but
it may be of interest to Members. To my knowledge eomething like six unlioensed firearms have been recovered within the past few weeks.
There was a court oase in respect of two which was reported in the

MR. PRESIDENT (CONT YTUUNG): newophere just a day or ao no and: there were another three or four fotlowing an inctdent in the vicinity of the Harquail Cultural Centre about a fortnight ago. There may have been others of which I am ignorant but I do know of quite a number of recent recoveries.

Untess any Member: has a further. supplementary I will invite the Third Elected Menber for Goonge Town to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE EIRST OFFICIAL MEMMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFATRS

NO. 63: Will the Nember state whether Govermment will consider having bound copies' of all the Ransards of Meetings of the Legislative Assembly placed in the publia libromy?

ANSWER:
Unbound copies of atl the Hansards of the Legistative Assembly since 1967 are in the public library. The possibility of having them bound will be examined.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: . . . Mr. President, a supplementary. Thé question was asked about the public library but I am wondering if the Member could state if any of these have been placed at the High School library?

MR. PRESIDENT: $\cdots$ Again it is not strictly relevant but I would be quite happy if.. .

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: .. Not to my knowledge, sim. I do not know if the Clerk would know. No, no Hansards go to the High School library.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Maybe it is something the Member and the Clerk would like to note as a possible ided for consideration because I can see that there would be perhaps merit in sending copies to the High School tibrary, or to the . High School libraries both in Grand Cayman and Cayman Brac.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: I only felt, Mr. President, that it has to be vary beneficial to the school to have them in the library where the students are studyincs.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Wett perhape the Honourable First Official Member would undertake to look into that in consultatton with the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council and with the Clerk.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Mr. President, Sir, if I may be permitted to maks $a$ statement, perhaps in the nexi year's estimates some allocation could be male to include...

MR. PRESIDENT: I think you ought to turn it into a question; oould some allocation be made in the next year's estimates?

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Well. I will put a question then, Sir. Could rome'altocation be nade in the fortheoming new estimates to cover such $\alpha$ job?

MR. PRESIDENT:
WeZt, I am not sure whether anybody here can conmit the new Assembly but I am sure the Honourable First Official Member would be happy to bear the suggestion in mind.

I think we just have time for the
last question and I will invite the Member for North Side to ask it.

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCTL RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO. 64: Would the Member state the amount of fees outstanding to the hospital for the period 1st January to 31st August, 1984 ?

ANSWER: Hospital records indicate for the period 1st January to 31st August, 1984, that two hundred and thirty-six thousand, eight hundred and fourteen dollarg and seventy three cents ( $\$ 236,814.73$ ) ramain outstanding.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Supplementary, Mr. Preoident. In follouing on the breakdown given to an eartier question could the Memben say what amount of that is due and what amount is owing?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I can onty state that where ignorance is bliss it is fotty to be wise and I am not going to take him up on it. He seems to have calmed down now.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Do not excite him.
If there are no further supplementary questions, that concludes the questions on the Order Paper for today and we may now proceed to Item 2, continuation of the second reading debate on the Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1984. The Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Counctil was speaking, I think.

THE SUPPLEMENTARY APPROPRIATION (1983) BILL, 1984

## CONTINUATION OF SECOND READTNG DEBATE

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President on the closure of the House yesterday evening I had been dealing with the fourth expenditure in 1983 of the Portfolio of Health, Education and Sooial Services. I do not wiah to be repetitious so I will close that part of it hoping that the Third Elected Member for Weet Bay understands the expenditures involved and what it takes to min an efficient service.

I will now proceed to Item 18 on the Supplementary Appropriation list. Item 18 is a small vote of over expenditure for the Portfolio of Agriculture, Lands and Natural Resources, a Portfolio like the other Portfolios in this Govermment which has done adminable work since 1976. We find, Mr. President that in 1983 the Portfolio of Agriculture, Lands and Natural Resources was able to spend $\$ 2,442,127$ compared to $1976 \$ 739,608$, or an inereare of 230 per cent. Mr. President, this would not have been possible if the eoonomy of the Istande had not been as prosperous as it was.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): We should be proud that we are able to present these Supplementary Bills beacuse as long as we are able to do so we know that the country is on sound economic ground.

To refresh the memory of my learned opposition Member the Portfolio of Ngriculture, Lands and Natural Resources for administration in 1983 spent $\$ 356,439$ compared to $\$ 88,378$ in 1976, or an increase of 303 per cent. The Lands and Survey Department had an expenditure of \$114,553 compared to $\$ 105,704$ in 1976. This was an increase of 292 per cent. Mosquito Unit expended in $1983 \$ 1,268,209$ compared to $\$ 482,960$ in 1976, or an increase over this seven years of 156 per cent. The Planning Department expenditure was $\$ 159,915$ compared to $\$ 62,566$ in 1976, or an increase of 156 per cent. Now I know, Mr. President, that as I read these high percentages out and these high figures, that the Member on the other side of the House is beginning to see the wisdom of his folly.

I will proceed to Item 26 in The Supplementary Appropriation Bill of 1983 and that happens to be my Portfolio, the Portfolio of Tourism, Aviation and Trade. The figure, I think, which was asked for there was an infinitesimat amount but it has given me an opportunity to range far and wide into the Portfolio, beoause it is covered in this supptementary expenditure. We find that in 1983 the Portfolio of Tourism, Aviation and Trade spent $\$ 5,183,211$ compared to $\$ 831,107$ in 1976, or an overall inerease over the seven years of 524 per cent. I witt supply a rough breakdown of those figures for the benefit of the House, Mr. President.

Advertising in 1983 saw an expenditure of $\$ 650,000$ compared to $\$ 121,861$ in 1976 , or an increade over the base year of 1976 of 420 per cent. Public relations and publicity saw an expenditure of $\$ 368,852$ compared to $\$ 49,290$ in 1976, or an increase of 648 per eent: Mr. President, I will remind this House that these two expenditures are expenditures which yield a very good returns because it is true with this expenditure we are able to promote tourism in the manner that we have, and bring people with money to our shores to enjoy the hospitality of West Bay. Collateral and photography in 1983 saw an expenditure of $\$ 281,400$ compared to $\$ 70,145$ in 1976, or an increase of 301 per cent. The operating expenses for the overseas offices in 1983 were $\$ 776,094$ compared $\$ 104,389$ in 1976 that glorious year, or 643 per cent increase.

Now just so that my Honourable.
friend is not led astray I will show him that although the Department of Tourism has had those expenditures, the Department of Tourism overall expenditure which inctudes the fire service and so forth was over $\$ 5,000,000$. But in return the Department of Tourism, through acconmodation tax in 1983 we took in approximately $\$ 1,000,000$ compared with $\$ 233,742$ in 1976, or an increase of 328 per cent. Travel and omuise ship tax increased to $\$ 1,200,000$ revenue in 1983 compared to $\$ 169,933$ in 1976, or an overall increase of 606 per cent. Mr. President, revenue reacived from these two sources in the Department of rourism over a seven year period has increased from the gloomy year of 1976 by 445 per cent:

A further breakdown of the expenses reveals that the civit aviation side of my Portfolio spent $\$ 990,828$ in 1983 compared to $\$ 328,638$ in 1976, or an increase of 201 per oent. The fire semice in 1976 was not a part of the Portfolio of Tourism, Aviation and Trade therefore I cannot give you the vital statistics on that as the first vote which appeared for that department was a token vote of $\$ 3,159$ in 1980. It only become an integral part of this Portfolio in 1982 but

HON. JAMBS M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): it. first came on stream in 1981, but not completely. In 1883 the expenditure was $\$ 849,869$. The Department of Tourism had an overall expenditure of $\$ 2,633,304$ in 1983 compared to $\$ 502,469$ in 1976 or an inorease of 424 per cent. Hotel accommodation tax and the cruise ship taw has yielded to the Portfolio approximately 2.25 million dottars of revenue in 1983 and the eapenditure for that department was $\$ 2,633,394$. In other wordes Mr. President, from those two: sources of revenue actually the Portfolio came close to breaking even in regard to revenue against expenditure.

The amount that ocoasioned me to be able to speak on that side of my Portfolio was; Mr. President, the small vote which I was asking for in 1983 as a supplemental of only $\$ 1,690 . ;$ So you can see, Mr. President, and the House can see that the Fire Department which is headed by a notable Caymanian is well orgonised, very efficient and xuns property. He is able to stay within his assigned budget and onty asked in 1983 for $\$ 1,690$. That is not the way the Portfolio ran in 1976.

The next expenditure which is in the 1983 supplementary vote, Mr . President, that I will deal with is listed as No. 29 and it is the Publio Works Department.

In 1983 Communications and Works Fortfolio had an overatt expenditure of $\$ 2,802,631$ compared to $\$ 1,297,959$ in 1976, or an inerease of 116 per cent. That expenditure, Mr. President, is broken doum into the Postal Department having an expenditure in 1983 of $\$ 661,210$ compared to $\$ 288,282$ in 1976, or an increase of 129 pex cent "over the seven year period. Public Works Department in 1983 saw the expenditure of $\$ 1,842,592$ compared to $\$ 665,065$ in 1976, or an increase of 177 per cent.

We find, Mr. Fresident, that in 1983
from customs duty this Govermment took in $\$ 18,700,000$ compared to $\$ 4,564,080$ in 1976 or an increase of 310 per cent. From licenoes we took in $\$ 5,078,000$ compared to $\$ 1,461,087$ in 1976, or an increase of 248 par cent. From fines in 1983 the revenue was $\$ 175,000$ compared to $\$ 50,286$ in 1976,or an increase of 248 per cent. Rent and leases in 1983 saw a reverue of $\$ 300,250$ compared to $\$ 36,615$ in 1976, on an inorease of 720 per cent. Contributions in 1983 were $\$ 3,938,416$ compared to $\$ 803,904$, or an increase of 390 per cent.

The revenue in 1983 continued the rapid inorease which it has done every year since 1977 and we wound up the year with $\$ 49,692,616$ which repreeents a 318 per cent overalt increase in revenue compared to the basic, drastic year of 1976.

To give my fellow Members a further breakdown of this, Mr. President, in 1982 the reverue was $\$ 17,964,279$, or a 303 per cent increase over 1976. In 1981 the revenue was $\$ 42,684,533$, or a 254 per cent increase over 1976 . 1980 the pevenue was $\$ 34,937,636$, or on increase of 194 per cent. 1979 which is a. part of the money whioh was brought forward in reserves to 1983 saw an income of $\$ 22,608,180$ aompared to $\$ 11,887,840$, or a 90 per aent increase. This is the most telling thing, I think, in this whote summation, Mr. President, as it shows that in 1976 when this country was on its knees and its revenue was vonder $\$ 12,000,000$, that actuatily in two yeare time it had inoreased just about double, a fantastic accomptishment for any aountry. But that was what helped us to have the Reserves which we can boast of in the year 1983, Mr. President. So it is quite relevant to what I am apeaking about. These figures do tie in overall with the year 1983 and as other Members have strayed away from the point I am allowed also to stroy in that direction.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): $\$ 13,084,209$ compared to $\$ 11,887,840$ which onty showed a 10 per cent increase, and even that was quite an accomplishment considering the condition this country had got itself into.

In 1983, I spoke yesterday and gave the amount of money overall which had been contributed from the general revenue of the cointry towards capital expenditure. I am holding. in my hand the revised estimates for the year 1983 of expenditure and I witt detail some of the important things that were paid for out of the generat revenue of this country.

There was an expenditure of $\$ 11,267,730$ for capitat. Now here are some of the items that go to make this up. Mr. President. Conmunication equipment saw an expenditure of $\$ 469,000$. Hospital furniture saw an expenditure of $\$ 275,000$. This goes to show that medical treatment continually costs the Govermment money. Mechanical equipment which was purchaeed, which was heavy equipment was $\$ 700,000$. Office equipment $\$ 170,000$. Schoot equipment $\$ 85,000$. Vehictes $\$ 169,000$. Airport development $\$ 2,133,000$. Construction of roads $\$ 1,429,500$. Government staff housing $\$ 163,400$. Govermment offices \$265,000. Harbour and docks development $\$ 75,000$. Medical facilities $\$ 363,580$. Potice buildings $\$ 95,000$. Priaon buildings $\$ 171,000$. Public buildings $\$ 60,000$. Purchase of lands $\$ 250,000$. Schoot buildings $\$ 1,384,250$. Sports centres, playing fields and parks $\$ 159,000$. Water and sewerage development $\$ 136,000$. Construetion of roads $\$ 105,000$. A further expenditure on airport development of $\$ 1,210,000$ which was done out of loan funds. I can atoo go ahead, Mr . President, if my learned cotleague would like me to do so and give the breakdoums of each of those because I have only given the overall Heade.

Mr. President, at this point $I$ would like to touch on the airport development and the money which has been spent there which $I$ have just related was spent in 1983, so therefore it is quite relevant to the Bill which is before this House.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I think if I could intermupt the Honourable Members, since clearly he intends speaking for a further period it might be convenient to break at this point and take our customary break. Let us try to limit it to fifteen minutes because we have a lot of business to get through.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
You did not mean my speech did you; Sir?

No, I meant the.....I witl express
MR. PRESIDENT:
no views. I was not going to suggest as long as fifteen.minutes for the speech but $I$ think if we suspended proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes, not I hope longer.

## HOUSE RESUMED AT 11.51 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:

## Third Eleoted Member of Executive Council

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. Preaident, The Supplementary
Appropriation (1983) Bill, 1984 inctudes the expenditure of money for the purchase of the Cayman Islands Turtle Farm in West Bay. In my opinion this was a good investment for the country. It witl be probably a long time before it will ever show any profit ox return a direat revenue to Government but in the Turtle Farm we are investing in the heritage of this country.
our people in years gone by had been know for the way they excelled on the sea and most of them interally out their teeth in the fishing waters of Nicaragua, Honduras and the banks, fishing for the elusive sea turtle for food as well as to be able to selt abroad and give the famity some comfort. I think that the rearing of turitles in captivity is a good thing. A lot of money has been spent and lost by previous investors in this project but if Govermment had not purchased the Turtle Farm and had allowed it to go into liquidation it would have affeoted the livelihood of aeveral people in the West Bay district. Psople who have worked around turtles alt their life. Most of these people are in their fifties or older and when a person gets that otd it is difficult then to change and do something new. If these peopte had been youngsters you could have put up an argwent that we could have trained them for other work but what the Turtle Farm expenditure represents is what so much Goverrment's expenses and expenditures represent, in that Government has to look at what is for the overall good of the country and the people, not just for the direat revenue that may be involved today or tomorrow. Thie administration has spent quite a bit of money with attorneys and with trips abroad to explore the possibility of getting the ban lifted against turtle products. It has not been an easy task. I personally have been in on some of the negotiations with top personnel in the United States Govermment but my cotleague who is responsible for that Portfolio has really darried the brunt of $i t$.

I am hopeful that in his quest for assistance from abroad, in the very near future something witl be done to assist us. The Turtle Fcrm again is one of our few attractions that, we can offer the tourists who come here and there is a large amount of the tourists viaiting the Islands who visit the turtile farm and take baok mementos to their native land. So the expenditure which this Goverment incurred in 1983 in the purchase of the Turtle Farm was a very wise investment into the future of our country. "It was not an investment, I repeat, that we expect to pay dividends today
or tomorrow.

Now, Mr. President, I come to the part of my speech which deale with that old Caymanian politieal football game, Cayman Aimuxys Limited. It is surprising, Nr. Fresident, att the oriticisma that have been tevelled againet Cayman Airwaye but there are veriy few times when I have heard anyone come up with an idea that oould help Cayman Airways. It is always that someone is trying to tear it down either "you are paying so and 30 , too much money". "So and so got bumped". "You bought this type of tiquor when you should have bought that type of tiquor". "You served a turkey sandwich when you should have served a"turtleburger ${ }^{r}$. It goes on and on and on. "We are bankrupt". "We cannot pay oup bills", and we even have Members asking for the cheque for

HON. JAMES M, BODDEN (CONTINUING): a gmall amount which has been owing to them in the Legislative Absembly. I mean: the story just goes on and on as far as the aritiaiams which an be levelled against Cayman Airways.

Cayman Airways, Nr. President, is the
langest single investment this country has. It is in my opinion one of the most important assets this country has and as I go into my delivery, from time to time I witt speak on the various areae where Cayman Airways hetpe to shore up the economy and well-being of this country. Mostly what we hear from the opposition is that we should disband the airline; we should ground the planes; we should sell the ptanes and we should forget about Cayman Airways. Mr. Fresident, it is going to take me quite a while to expound on all these different areas and to show to the Members of this Honourable House why that is completely ridiculous and is a fallacy.

One thing which would help Cayman Aimays tremendously is if the people of Cayman would realise that it is their airine and that they will support it. By supporting it I mean many different ways of support. Support that we have got in the House here from time to time. Support on the streets to say that this is marl road talk; it is not the truth and they do not believe $i t$. Another area where they can help, Mr. Fresident, is by buying a ticket when they have to go abroad, and buying it direct from Cayman Airways or even through one of the travel agencies. But do not travel on another airline when you have your own national airline. We could probably be in the position that we might break even if we had our people supporting us by riding on the airline. If we compare the $B 727$ which is now about two and a half maybe three yeare old with the planes which the other airlines are using, we will find that in every respect our two planes are far superior to the others. Therefore it isould behoove these people who criticise and try to talk about the company going into bankmptey, to support the nationat flag carrier. If thay did, and we have statistics on that and can prove it, the large anount of Caymanians who still fly with Republic on with Air Jamaica, if they would aupport the airline many times it would mean the difference between breaking even on a flight to the respective points to which we travel or losing maybe $\$ 1,000$ or $\$ 1,500$ a trip.

This is a very vindictive campaign over the years that has been mounted against the survival of Cayman Airuays. It is quite true, Mr. President, that the company has loot a significant amount of money over the years but there are mony, many different reasons for that, and if I oan keep them in this context without getting too involved I will tmy to enumerate most of them.

Cayman Airways, Mr. President, provides many high paid jobs for local people. No longer than yesterday aftermoon I was reviewing the payroll for the past month and I find Caymanians in some areas of responsibitity in the company drawing $\$ 50,000$ and more a year. They decerve it, Mr. President, because they have trained and they have worked and they have become dedicated to the jobs which they have. I am not meaning to cast any aspersions on the arount of eatamy they receive when I mentioned the amounts. I cm merely trying to show this House that it provides a lot of good high paying jobs. It provides jobs, for instance, Mr. Presidents, for the pilots, the co-pilots and the flight engineers. These are. jobs which if they were not provided by the national airline, these men would have no jobs or have no jobe in the field of aviation because it is a known fact that they cannot get

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): employment outside the IsZando in other airtines. Therefore these men who have dedicated their lives to becoming efficient pilots, co-pilots and flight engineers would have to, in the middle of their life, change to other vooations.

One other point on this which we mut
bsar in mind, Mir. Pxesident, is that the youngsters who are coming out of the sohools today, like youngsters in other countries they have aspinations. Surprisingly a lot of them wish to join the aviation field and eventually become captains of airlines. But, Nr. Fresident, it is true as I tell so many of them, that we will never be able to provide the jobs for all of them who wish to learn this particutar craft. If we did not have our national airline we would not be able to encourage any of them to train for this high paying profession because ws would not be able to provide them with the jobs. If our men could not find jobs with Cayman Airways I dm sure they could finit jobs, maybe, in the labour construction side of things on something like that, but stop and think of the large amount of money they would lose and think about the wasted talent.

We have continualty and are still
doing so through Cayman Aimuays, providing a lot of training for the younastere of this country. We betieve that to hove an efficient operation we must have dedicated staff and the best way which we know of to have dedicated ataff is by training and providing the jobs for our own people. Cayman Airways pays to Government a substantlal comount of money in overtime pay for customs. immigration and other personnel. Travel tax, parking and landing fees ave other significant oontributore to Govermment coffers. It spends a lot of money loaally with businesses such as catering and liquor supplies. It serves as an emergency vehiole in case of disaster. It is well known how in the past Cayman Airways has borme the brunt" at one particular time I think we ran eight tripe to Miami in one day, with a half load. By meaning a half load, we went up full and we come back empty, just to do what should have been done in that pointioular case. We had toumiste on the Tslands who were panioking because of the advent of a hurnicane. Cayman Airuays did what Government would have wished it to do have done, it, provided a service at quite a cost to Cayman Airways to make these people happy and take them off the Islands.

There are cases where things could happen here and an emergency condition existed and we needed to get our people off the Islands. We have the aixplanes which can take them. We have the airplanes which can provide passage for medical cases which have to go abroad. So it plays a vexy substantial part in the development of this country.

Cayman Airways carries over 50 per cent of the visitors to our shores. It axrries them speedily, effioiently and safoly.

Another important part that is played by Cayman Airways is that it semees as a promotion tool and is a goodurill ambassador abroad for the Cayman Islands. I for one om very proud when $I$ go to a foreign airport and $I$ see our aixplanes parked there. To think that a country the eize of ours with fow resources oan have such a capable airtine at its disposal, should make any Caymanian feel justifiably proud of being a Caymanian.

I think what Cayman Aimays
represents to the world abroad is really the determination of our people, the determination which over the last 300 years has built this country into what it is today. : It has built it from the arrival of the first recorded settler whose name was Bodden, and I am glad to know that he has so many offeprings in the Cayman

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Istande today and so many offsprings abroad. I think that speaks for the spirit of Cayman and iti is a spirit which I hope we all will uphold and will die with.

Cayman Airuays also serves as a carrier of mail for the Government. It keeps the Islands supplied with foodstuff. Everone knows that with the ? 27 we are able to bring in sometimes up to 10,000 pounds of cargo per flight. The cargo which cannot be accommodated on the 727 is usualty brought in by a chartered DC 6. This is very vital to the lifeblood of the Cayman Islands. If we did not have this type of service our hotels could not operate efficiently. Our tourism would suffer tremendously because the tourists are not going to come here and eat breadfruit and casscuva even if they could get it. They may try it for a one time deal but alt the salads and so forth whioh it takes to run a hotel operation they need it. they want it and it is brought in by Cayman Aixuays. It provides, Mr. President, a good income for those of our people who have gone into the taxi business, the 'U' drive business. Many of these people were seamen like myself. They know what the sting of salt water in their face feele tike. They have been able to come back and invest in the hope of a good future for this country. They have been able to invest their tife savings in the automobiles whioh they then tirm into a taxis. By Cayman Airways bringing in the amount of tourists that we bring in these people are able to earn a very good living. The sane goes for the more fortunate people who have been able to invest in the ' $U$ ' drive business.

Mr. President; I mentioned ruhite ago that there are many reasons why Cayman Airways has auffered losses. We remember that just before we were lucky enough to get rid of the BAC 111 s in a one year period we had, I think it must have been at least a dosen or more engine ingestions. Some of those cost us over $\$ 200,000$. Most of it was not oovered by insurance. We had many breakdowns. But, Mr. President, the reason for one of the biggest losses of Cayman Airways I witl deal with in just a minute. When it became necessary to put Ctyman Airways on ant international tevel and with Caymanian ownership we had to search for atroraft. At that point none of us had had any experience in the airline business or in ooping with airoraft salesmen. We had feasibility studies done by Lioeing Airoraft, Douglas Airoraft and over the years many of those feasibility studies were done. The feasibility studies then pointed out the similar thing that they would do now, that the 727 with its capacity for up to 175 people and with the added cargo space whioh oould be utitised of up to 10, 000 pounds, with its ability to tanker fuel between different pointe, was the ideat aircraft for Cayman Airways. We worked in securing an aiporaft of that type.

Mr. President, one of the Members of Exeoutive Council at that time was adomantly against any aircraft unlees it was a $B A C$ 111. In ordsr to get the money voted to get the airline in operation we conceded to the purchase of the BAC 111 and God knows we paid for it. We do not have to search far to find out what accounts for many of the loseses. By the time we wound up the operation of the BAC 111 we certainty had lost a tremendous amount of money. A lot of the spare parts we cometimes had to pay three and four times the count of money we would have paid for the similar part for an American airaraft. We had to have a substantial amount of spares on hand in order to keep the aircraft operationat. When we finatly succeeded in getting rid of the BACB we were not successfut in getting rid of most of the spares so we took a very heavy loss on theae.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): I could go on and on and recount roughly every one of the tremendous logees which we had. I think that Cayman Aimways is like any other company. I would be foolish to say that there is not aome efficienoy. I would be foolish to say that there is not some carelessness. I would be foolish to aay that everybody works as they should be working. I would be foolish to say that there ie not some wate because you have waste even in your oum home where you can supervise it yoursetf. Therefore, Cayman Airuays has had a multiplicity of reasons why it has lost money, but I.feel that it can be defended. I feel that it is a wise investment and I feet that it is an investment which witl pay. Mr. President, it is like a father with a son. A son is born unto a man and the man keeps paying and paying and paying because you have to rear that child; you have to educate it and you are not rearing and educating that ohitd hoping that
when that child is twenty-one you can then sit back in idleness and tive off his income for the rest of your life. You have fathered that child, raised that child and put it out as a produotive thing into the communty: hoping and hoving pride in the fact that that child will try to excel and carry on for the good of the country and the good of himself. The same analogy nearly can be drawn to Cayman Airways. It is not something that we must expect a lot of dividends for in the form of actual cash dividends returning. It is the overall good that it continues to do for this oountry.

Mr. President, some people have said that we should get rid of Cayman Aimways and invite foreign aarmiers in here. That may sound good to them but I do not think it is the Caymanian spirit for one thing. We in the past have experienced what can happen when you are dependent on a foretgn airaraft or a foreign airtine. We had experiences of it with B. W.I.A. A small country close to us has experienced it recently. The Turks and Caioos Istands do. not have their own nationat airtine. They put their egge in one baaket in the hopes that they would get good and efficient service from Air Floxida. Air Florida went bankrupt and Thurks and Caicos was left without service. Thank Gods although I have got much ariticism for it I had taken steps before to ensure that we had our foot into the door, and today it is paying handsomely with regard to the loads which we are taking to and from the Turks and Caicos Islands.

If we were to depend on a foreign caprier to come into this country we would be giving up at that point ath our rights which we have under Bermuda II. I have quite a discourse to make to this House in regards to Bermuda II as I progress with my speech and to show the part that was played in putting the noose around our necks by our ex-Financial secretary when he went to Washington to meet with the United States Government officials and the United Kingdom Govermment officials, and on behalf of our good friend LACSA we woond up with nothing. We are still fighting that one.

If we did not have our oum nationat aixline we would not be in a position to control the future of the country. We would not have the might to dictate on certain terms which we can do now and we would be giving up a national birthright. I personally feel that there are very fei true blooded Caymanians who would be willing to do that. If I did not have that faith in my people the Caymanians, Mr. President, I would not have had the ability and the strength to take alit the mud alinging that I have taken in regard to Cayman Aimays, but I take it in the full belief that when I have departed this

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): land like most of us will in the next probably fifty years, I will leave something behind that my chitidren and my grandchildren will point out and say "at' Zeast he had the courage to stand to his convictions". I think every Caymanian now and in the future, if he is the Caymanian who I think he is, has that guts in him.

Mr. President, some people would have us believe that the break with LACSA was a very sinister plot with demons lurking in every corner. That is far from the truth. When Mr. Johnson went to washington and joined the tail-end part of the delegation from the United Kingdom which negotiated the Bermuda II with the United States Government, he was told and we were repeatedly told since that that in regards to Cayman Airwaye as it was with LACSA, we had no airline; we did not control it and unless we controlled it we could get no more points of entry into the United States of America and we might even lose Miami. That came about because the British Govermment sacrificed the right of the Caymon Islands people in favour of their rights and routes into Hong Kong which they have now given to the Chinese, and Mr. Johnson sat back with his pleasant smile and said "Iam the Finanoiat Minister and there is nothing more I aln do. If you wont to take it away, take it auxy". That is what we got. We lost every right that we had and we come out with the crumbs which usually fall from the master!'s table.

I hate to puncture this hot air balloon of LACSA but somewhere between now and November 14 th it will be done. Mr. President, LACSA and its management people tried to make bond semants out of the Caymanians and they tried to humiliate us at every turn. If you own a company or you oun anything 60 per cent you should be able to diatate something abaut what is going on but we could not dictate anything. We had a Chairman who wae Chairman in nome only, and the only time he was there as Chairman was when he was taken to Costa Rica to wine and dine and sign the balance sheet at the end of the year. That is what he knew about the operation of the airline. We could not even say to LACSA we are going to hold the meeting in Grand Cayman. We are 60 per cent sharehotders so you must come here. LACSA said. "No you come here" and we went trooping along like little puppy dogs.

I remernber on one oocarion, Mr.
President. when I did not agree to travel to Costa Rica a few of my fellow Executive Council Members went to Costa Rica, ar d my Principal Secretary the late Mr. Wentworth Bodden as the Secretary of the airline went along. When he left I gave him a tape recorder and some tapes and said, "Please be sure and tape everything that happens and bring it back to me'. And like the good, diligent person he was he brought it back. When I took it home that night and played it I was utterly shocked and disgusted and those of my friende and colleagues who are now with me in Executive Council know what took place on the next morning which was a Saturday, when I asked for a special Meeting of Executive Council. I did not think that Caymanians would have sold their birthright down the drain the way we knuckled to LACSA and its management team in Costa Rica. I did not believe that it was humanly possible for such a thing to happen, Mr. Fresident, and I was made out to be really a demon. I could not believe it coutd have happened.

Anyhow, I digressed a bit, Mr. President.
We finally got them to a meeting in Cayman and some of the good news publications which we have and have had, and some of the good stowies that are told on the marl road related many stomies of what I was supposed to have done. I had supposedty thrown an ashtray in the face of the Managing Director of LACSA. I think I had alapped him and

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): I had done this and I had done that. Anyhow, then the whole thing calmed doum we thought we had a deal with LAGSA that we could continue. We agreed on it. We gireed on the press release. Tho days later we got a telegram from LACSA, "No ws are breaking the deal completely with you". This is all docwented; Mr. Fresident. But before we got to that final. point in it, Mr. Fresident, LACSA told us, "We have other uses for the aivcraft. We aan give you three trips a week to Miami, one trip a week to Houston, no cargo planes, no further expansion of your route". Well you tell me what this conntry would have done when its honds were tied in that position. To further humiliate we they then said to us, "We will fly the planes and we will fly them with our crews. All of your crews must come off the ptanes: we cannot employ any of them". Should we have told people like Captain Bothwsil, Captain Thompson at that point and several other ones, "Well. we are sorry but you must go ond dig ditches or do something else, we cannot protect your jobs". Would we have been the type of Legislative Membere which we are supposed to be and the type of Exacutive Members whioh we are supposed to be to stoop to sell out our people in that way? If so, Mr. President, I am guitty and accept full responaitbility for it.

They went cway and they said, "Yes we agree to this and we agree to that". Then they canoelled it and I do not remember exactly how many days notice they gave us for the canoellation, but I think it was something tike 81 days. No 30 days $I$ think it was. We had 30 days on anceeltation and then we hod to put an airline together in 30 dayd So if mistakes were made there are many good reasons why those miatakes wexe made.

Another thing I would like to point out before I get off this aubject, Mr. President, is that if It an correct, and I think $I \mathrm{~cm}_{\mathrm{s}}$. the planes that LACSA were flying at that time had been affered on a soft finance deal from the United: Kingdom to the Cayman Islands Governmert. But yet our people, our Govemment at that point of which the Third Elected Member for West Bay was a Member of Executive Councit; turned down the offere and invited LACSA to take it, and LACSA got it under preferential terms.

ILCSA did not do us the favour that people would like you to believe. LACSA stopped in Grand. Cayman beoause it was an economio necessity for them to stop in Grand Cayman. Flying from Costa Rica to Miami they had a load penalty: They: had to carry aufficient fuet to be able to go to an alternate point and hold for thirty minutes like all of us do in the airitine business, and to do so they probably had to sacrifice 20 to 25 passengers. But they could come from Costa Rica to Grand Cayman with as:many as they wanted. If they did not have a futl toad they oould pick up the load here and carry them on to Miami so they made money. They were not doing anything to reatty help us. They were tooking after themeetves and one of the candidates in this elaction, I understand has been saying how efficient and so forth management of LACSA is. I intend to deal with that further in this submission too, Mr. President, because this all goes baek to 1983 and it was all dealt with on the other side by the Member for Weat Bay.

Another point I wish to raiae. LACSA in the operation of the Cayman Brac ren, was year after year paid a aubsidy by the Cayman IsLands Govermment. No mention has been. made of that. Cayman Airwoye, although it has suffered subatantiat losses on the Cayman Brac man has not been paid any subsidy. I sympathise with the people from Cayman Brac in regards to the semice. I do. There is nothing else I acon do about it at this time but I feel that they should have as good service in Cajanan Brac

HON. JAMES M: BODDEN (CONTINUING): as we have in Grand Cayman becuuse we are alt of one father. We are alt of one people and they all pay taxes as we do. So I do not wish any attack on that point, I an fully in agreement with good semvice for Cayman Brac but I wonted to point out that LACSA was continually paid a subsidy to operate the Cayman Brac mun. Cayman Aimuays has never received any.

When we had the break and finatteed things with fACSA, I bish I could realty have found my chart tast night on alt of these figures and I would have been here until-nest week Friday. But we paid LAESA at that point in losses whioh had been sustained over the previous year, way in excesse of hatf a miltion dotlare, I wish I could remember the exact amount. I think it was about 700,000 but $I$ am going to put the figure at half a million. So please, people, do not tetit me that they are not used to Losedes in the airine business. We have sustained Losegs with LACSA although it was a fairly good deat in some senses every. year except one or tho years in ite full operation, and there is no doubt about it the figures and the audited balance sheete oon bear. it out, Mr. Prosident. LACSA was paid for the pervice which they rendered to theee folande on their jets, over \$14 miltion, so why are they worried because we are paying $\$ 14$ million for two jets of our own now.

You know this to redily a farce. some of the junk which these people go pn about. I hove heard it aaid that LACSA was the greatest frienle that the Cayman Islands even had, the greatest friend as long as we paid the money. You krow, in this life, and I know it quite weili, Mr. President, the funny thing is when money is in jour pocket how many friends you theye, and we were a good friend to LACSA. I have heard about this wonderful management team and I only wish I could keep this debate going untit next waek as I have been promised the latest audited batance sheet of LACBA, so I could show this Aouse and telt the people of this country the. success atciny of LACSA. I understand at the preaent time that LACSA is in debt for mone than \$50 milition. I understand it has zost two of the four 787 s which it had and is about to tose the third one, and their pilots and co-pilots and flight engineers are working for about 40 per oent of what they were paid before. So it seems that they really have an efficient management team. I wondex if the great oupporter of LACSA the mon who sow the angele in Heaven hovering oround the heade of LACSA personnet. It wonder if he witl go on the platform and telt the other story to the people. Hypoomisy, Mr. President, is the worst sin in this world and it is a shome how muoh hypocrisy we are seeing.

I do not gloat over the problems whioh LACSA is having beoause we are having some of them too. Republio is having them and Air Jamaioa is having them and so forth, British Airuays and everyone eloe. The airline business is a tough business and most airlines today are losing substantial amounts of money, but if you curtail the dirline business then you are going to ourtail the sucosss and the prosperity of a country.

Mr. President, I have much more to aay and I will be going on this evening if you would wish to oall for a break at this time, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ Well, $I$ uas waiting until it seamed a convenient moment not that I want to encourage an expectation that you wit go on att evening. Let us suepend proceedings untit 2. 15 p.m. Will that suit Memberen Yes 2.15 p.m.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2.15 P.M.


#### Abstract

Mr. PRESIDENT: Flease be seated. Froceedings are resumed. The Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Council.


HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I witl resume my debate on the 1983 Supplementary Bitl.which is before this House. 1983 was a good year economically for the Cayman Islands and for the Govervment as a whole. It would be nice to know at thia time the complete assets of this Govermment but I am certain that if the tongible assets of the Govermment were valued that they would probably approximate $\$ 200$ to $\$ 250$ million, and 1983 has contributed a lange part of this. Our administration has bought a targe amount of land and the Govermment now owns quite a bit of property. We bought a lot of homes and we have added a lot of buildings to the various conmunities throughout these IsLands. Bodden Town for instance has a civic centre building that would do any town of 50.000 in the United States justice. It is a beautiful buitding, spacious and it adds a lot to the comamity. I only hope as I have said before that it will be used and used wisely. We could not have and could not give to the people of this country these types of amenities if the Government was not a prosperous Government, and the Members of the Opposition instead of tearing down should try to join hand in hand and be thankful that the country is in such good finconcial condition. They should be justly proud that a small country of less than 20,000 has been able to accomplish so much. It is my humble opinion, Mr. President, that there is only one country in the world that its nationats can boast of aocomplishing much more than we can, and that would be the State of Israel and the Jewish people who inhabit it. I do not know whether there is a similar type of blood whioh runs into the veins of a Caynanian as muns into a Jew, but one thing we both have in common is determination, and we have accomplished a tremendous amount. Mr. Fresident, I wish to speak on the various guarantees that Government has given out in the years past. These guarantess, Mr. President, are contingent lidibilities of the Govervment. It is a liability which will only be called on in the event of forfeiture. Muoh has been said about buying the two $B-727 s$ which Cayman Aimuxys flies, and buying on a mortgage. Everyone in this community has become quite conversant as far as mortgages are concerned. Even the Thind Elected Member for West Bay, I an sure; has got quite a lesson in that field. It is like the average person in the Cayman Islands buying a home. You may buy a home for $\$ 50,000$. That does not mean that you have the $\$ 50,000$ of your own to pay for it. It means that you may pay $\$ 10,000$ and you owe $\$ 40 ; 000$ which is in a form of a mortgage which you repay to the lender principal and interest over a period of so many years. It does not mean that you must have, as the Third Elected Member for West Bay would try to let the people of these Islands believe, that money in reserve some place to meet that liability at one time. It means that you pay this mortgage: over a period of years and it is only if you fatt down on the mortgage that it becomes due. If it beoomes due and you are able to pay it off it is then yours and is an asset. If it should happen that we had to pay off the contingent liability in the form of the lease purchase agreement which we have on the two 727 s it would then mean that once that amount is paid off, the planes, subject to the other partioulars of the agreement, would then beerme the property of Coyman Alrways.

HON. JANES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Caymän Airways is a stockholder compony owned by the people of this country. It is owned by the Cayman Istands Government so they would then become the assets of the Caymanion people. It would mean that we would have two planes that on the market today would probably fetch at least \$ S4 million. So what it means, Mr. President, is that the manner in which we are purohasing those planes is the scme manner in which you would purchase a home and we have used the best manner we oould to get a low interest rate. We have done something simitar to what we have tried to do with the housing development scheme. We took advantage of the double dip taxation treaty which gwists between the United Kingdom and the United States of America. If I remember correctly as to peraentages land I have atuays tried to give the Third Eteoted Momber for West Bay a good lesson in percentages), 60 per cent of the loan value of those planes has been put up by Lloyds Bank: of England.: 40 peroent has been put up by Eighty-First Bank of Dallas. By taking advantage of the double dip taxation treaty we have got a very low interest rate compared to the interest rate which prevailed in the open morket at the time we made the agreement on the planes.

We have tried to do the ame thing,
Mr. President, on the Housing Development Corporation, omething of which I personally an very proud and I would hope that all the Members of this Houre, although some of them may have objected to $i t$, but at this time I am sure that they see the wisdom of it.

The Housing Development Corporation was established to assist the lower and middle income people of this country to secure adequate housing. It was never intended and I hope that it will never be intended that the mortgare develoment scheme will extend to those in the higher income brackets. I feel if a person wishes to live in a home that costs $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 200,000$ then if he does not have the money in cash to pay for it, he should pay the higher interest rate which prevaile at the lending institutions. The mortgage development scheme is a acheme by which Govermment has guaranteed \$5 million in bonds. Again this is a contingent liability in the a memenner that we have guaranteed the planes, but yet really I will give the Opposition one benafit and that $i$ s that I have not heard them ariticise that guarantee. It has only been the guarantee for the airplanes and I wonder why because it is a similan type of guarantee. It means that we guarantee to the lending institutions who have purchased bonds that if the money is not available through baing invested in homes for our people and it is aalled upon, that the Government will then pay it off. It would mean that at that point we would have the homes and we would have the money whioh is in the Housing Development Corporation so it would not be a toss:

This has been a very worthwite project
for our people and I personally feel that the lending institutions of this country have not lived up to the obligation which they owe this country. The atmoephere which exists in this country is not an atmosphere whioh has come about by acoident. It has been steadily built on; it has been nourished and the institutions from abroad who have set up branches or their headquarters here are able to take advantage of what exists in that they have to pay no taxes. Therefore to have an atmosphere that is conduaive with building the type of eocisty we are trying to build, it is incumbent that these people assist us hand in hand to build a country for the future. If we do not pay attention to the social $i l l s$ which axist in this country they ifill one day dovour ue.

> I an very pleased that my fellow

Executive Council Member has sean fit to push programmes in the social field and welfare fields and that we have had the money to be able to do it to the point where he oan boast of hia $\$ 100$ candy

HON, JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): bar instead of the seven cents candy bar which the 1376 adninistration made famous.

Housing is an important thing in any cormmity. It is an important thing to any individual. It is one thing in life which any man or woman takes pride inj: Which is to be able to point to a buitding and say, "That is my home. Be it ever so humble it is my home". It is a great feeling and we should be willing to try to give this feeling to every Caymanian so that he can join with us in hetping to build a good viable economy, and a strong country for the future. We will never be able to build this untess everyone in these Islands shares to an extent in the good that comes from these Istands. If everything which is good in this country rests in the hands of a select few and is controtled by a select fow because they may have had the advantares of an education or an inheritance, Mr. Eresident, then this country witl be a country which will not prosper. It is a country which we will have many problems with. Therefore the Housing Development Corporation is something which should have been supported by every Caymanian. It should have been supported by every bank and fincnoial institution in this country. The banks in their varions meetings with myself adid, "You have no problem, you ask for what money you want if you get a Goverment guarantee". This House saw fit, much against my better judgenent to give a guarantee to the banks. You know what we hear now, Mr. President. "I have to contact my Head Office. I have to see what the Director is wearing this morning as to whether he is going to agree. When you get $\$ 2$ mitlion subscribed from the other banks come back and see me". That is the kind of ridiculous tatk we get. But I semve notice to them. I intend to be back here, Mr. President. I am growing a beard now so that it will look like my head, and I intend to be here God wilting untit both of those grey haire trip me down. And I intend to see that that bond issue is adequately subsoribed to in the future.

We have another guaranteo, Mr. President,
which the Members of this House are weil aware of. "It was neceasary in order for expansion to take place with Caribbean Utilities that the Govermment should assist them in a guarantee in the purchase of some new equipment. I cannot truthfully tell you that I was fully in favour of this although I an in favour of anything which means development for this country. But be that as it may, we acnnot all agree: on the same subject at the same time. The oountry did give a guarantee. That guarantee is for $\$ 2$ million. Now $I$ ask the Members of this House that if Caribbean Utilities should go "bottoms up" as the phrase we use and we had to pay off the generator and pay the $\$ 2$ million, what are we going to do with that generator? What are we going to do with that? Are we going to put it on a cat-boat and take it away after we have dug up the foundations of it, and think we con sell it some place? Now that is an asset in my opinior, then you say we should not have guaranteed, but I go along with guaranteeing something which is tangible and can be used and can be sold, and there is a market for it. The same exists for the Housing Development bonds and Cayman Atrways.

In addition to guarantees, Mr. President, Government has made in the tast oight years now, many toans. We have loaned money to the Cayman Brac Power. Company. We have loaned money to just about every school in the Cayman Islands. We have loaned it to the Pines retirement home, the National Council of Social Services and I could go on and on. Most of these loans carry but very little interest. I forgot to mention another siaeable loan and that is the loan which we made and have made several of them now to the Civit Service Credit Union in order to assist the Civil Servanto with adequate housing. This is something, Mr. President, that peopte could not even have conceived the idea of during the years" 1972 to

HON. JAMES $M$. BODDED (CONTINUING): 1976 because the was no money, not even to buitd sometking for Govermment and pay for $i t$, what do you think to help the people. I an sure, Mr. President, that the people of this country wett remember thope days and do not want to go back to an existence like that. I alone will not have to remind them, they are well reminded of it every time they sit down to their tables and they can have a good meal instead of aow belly and corn meal mush. That is what you gave them.

Mr. President, at thie point $I$ would like to come baok and deal with a few things on Cayman Alwwaye. I can never help but wonder how rumours get started in this country and how the marl road gossip can continue. There has been a vicious mumour lately that passengers on Cayman Airways were getting bumped and were unable to fly because of the pasaengers who we have been hardiling for Air Peru. Now, Mr. President, some Members of this Legislative Assembly have tried to belittle Cayman Aimways in every respect that they can. Now when we try to improve the firances of Cayman Aimaxy they come up with something else. Tetl me in all honesty what do these people want? If you are doing. well then there is something wrong. If you are doing badly then there is still something wrong. Where is the ocmmon sense behind this?

Mr. President, I ohecked yesterday with the various managers at our various stations and I have been assured that no ticketed passenger has been bwmed to facilitate a pempian. Now what may have happened is that we have a final check out period of fifteen minutes before the airplane leaves and it could have been that somebody had a reservation and was not there at the fifteen minute time timit; came at ten minutes to the hour and at that point was told that there was not a seat available. That can happen and it will continue to happen, Mr. President, because the airline must have revenue. If it doss not have the revenue then Iget all the whips on my back from these some people that I can stand it. Therefore, if they are not there in time and we have another passenger be he Peruvian, Mongolian, Rusaians whatever he is he is going to fly if he has the monsy to pay for the tioket.

Another point I would bring out on this, Mr. President, to alear up some of the rumours, is that yes those people do fly baok and forth on a ticket that aosts less than if you go to the dirport and buy one yourself. There are many reasons for that. We are giving what is commonly given in the airline industry, a bulk rate because if these people bring in 70 passengers or eighty passengers, they are buying that many tickets and therefore they get a discomt. Besides that. there is something known as pro-rating the ticket in the airline businesb,. It is like a person coming from New York to Cayman. He travela from New York to Micmi on an Eastern ticket. He travels from Miomi to Cayman on an Eastern ticket written by Eastern. It is a ticket that to get our share out of it has to go to the Clearing House in New York and be pro-rated. Therefore on that type of ticket we receive much tess than we would receive if you had just gons to the counter in Miami and bought that ticket. This is something that we have been trying to get the United States Government to agree to increase our share of the pro-rated tickets, but we have not to date been successful with it. By the way, Mr. Preatident, Republice Airlines also carmies some of these people when we are full and they get the same money that we get and they are carried on the same basis.

The airline has had a turbulent and rooky past. I hope it is getting to the point where it may be mush easier: duly and August we had very good paseenger loads. our inoome was very good and for those monthe we are showing a

HON. JANES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING); profit but, Mr. President; that profit con easity be eroded. We are now into the monthe of the year whare we do not get a tot of paseengers to haul. Septentiar and Oatober in the totat airtine industry are known as bad months. They are the North Wester months, and we can only hope that this September and this October will not show us the losses that September and October showsd us in 1983. We have tried to change that by a bunch of new type advertising, new promotions and new packages and we have been guaranteed by the Pemutian atimine that they witt be able to take'at least 1;000 seate in September. So if all of this oomee to pase we should not suffer the great tose in September and October like we did in previous years.

Mr. President, I would like to touch on the losses which Cayman Airways has sustained over the years on the Cayman Brac tun, particutarly in the last financial year since jet service has gone in there and the year before that when we were operating the expensive Avro. As I Baid previousty in my speech I feet that the people of cayman Brac have been very toterant. I feel that they are due the same type of service as the people of Grand Cayman beatuse we are all one people and it is their money which finanees this as well as it is the people in Grand Cayman. But, Mr. President, I oan truthfully say that Cayman Brac again onily emerged as an organ of this Govermment since 1976 and the finanoial records an bear me out on that beaduse since 1976 Caijman Drac has goty a substantial per capita amount moxe than Grand Cayman has got, and it has got that because we are looking overall at the problems thich exist in this Toland and not looking at it only' as being Grand Cayman although there are bittor oritioisms tevelled in that respect. We lost in the year 1993 cm the Cayman Brac operations roughty $\$ 1$ million so theae are the thinge that go to make up the Losses in Cayman Airways" batance sheet. With the new jut service to Cayman Brac, Mr. Preeident, we have repeatedly operated the jet out: of Cayman Brac to Miomi with 35 to 40 passengere and the samte amount coming back. Now anyone who knows anything at all about the airline business knows that you have got to have at least a 65 per cent load factor if you are even thinking about breaking even, so you can readity see the amount of money which thas been lost.

I am very hopeful that aithough we have had these tremandoue Zosses I look on the benefits to Cayman Broc and the spin-off effecte of it to the conmmity and what can be done to promote tourism, and I am hopeful that in the very, very near future the Cayman Brac monvay will be back and it witl be operationat and that we can resume bervice to the Brac. They need it and $I$ think it is incumbent acain on the Govermment that we provide it and do everything that we can to help the Leascr Istands.

Nr. President, I would have tiked to have continued my teaching class to the Third Elected Member for West Bay into naxt weak but I think there are other Members who may want to speak so at this point I thank you, and I thank the Mombers of the House for the attention they have, paid to my contribution. Thank you, Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? The Third Eleeted Member for George. Toum.
MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:
Mr: President, Sir, this money in
this bill for 1983 has tong been spent, and there is no use in saying. any more about it now. All I would like to say, Sir, ia sinee we have got the value we should be satisfied and I do not think, sir, that any of us should make this a long debate on a politital iesue. If the money has gone it has been spent whethor wisely or not wisety.

MISS ANNIE B: BODDEN (CONTENUING): Now one thing, Mr: Presiaent; that I should tike to say is thiss that our first investment in the Turtle Farm which is, now being discussed was the waiving of the: stamp duty: of $\$ 50,000$ as I recall. Instead of them paying us the money, the Govermment I means. we instead took shares, in the oompany so that was really the first investment that we made in it. And I am very sure at that stage we had some opposition but neverthe less the majority prevailed. I do stitl think that the Turtile Farm is an asset. Our very shield showe that we are thirite people. The shield had a turbie on the top of $i t$. We have been seamen from the start. unfortumatety we were, I would say, a manless famity. We had nobody to go to sea but nevertheless we have been a seafaring cormunity for generations and I thought that when we were waiving this stomp duty we were doing our part to assist this venture. I am very proud now to say, Sir, that it is otill in our hands, Although it might have been a sacmifice to buy it, neverthelees it has helped ue with tourism and other things and my only regret is that through some mismanagement I would say, we hove been ccilled upon by the United States not to ship any turtle products to their country, which I hope one of these daye they witl see fit to change.

Mr. President, while I am on my feet, Six, I, if the Lord spares my life and continues my heatth, shati be a candidate in this forthooming election and I feel, Sir, that this apirit of hatred I would say, against Members is not in our best interests. We are here as a group to try to do all we oan for the good of the Cayman Tslands and it does not behoove any of us, including myself of course, to disrupt and make the happenings of the past destroy what we are doing now.

I would eay, Mr. Presicient, without fear of contradiction that everry human being who has ever sat as a Legislator or a tustice in this country has contributed in some way or another to the good of the Cayman Islande. When I was a ohitd, Sir, I heard that there was a man oalled Ames Dias, a coloured man who as they did not even have a building there where they could house officers, that he would go to West Bay and around, colleot the money and atl those who had to be paid would share it. Even those type of people have contributed to the good of our Ie tande and the 1072 to 1976 Government, although itwas not on Executive Councit I feel that they too have done their part. It is not onty since 1976 that we have advanced. We hove been growing all the time and I think it is unfair for all these allegations to be made againat this House of which I have called muself a Membex for 22 years. I am beseeching the Membere of this House to try to live in a more harmonious manner and to see the good in each other.
$I_{\text {, support }}$ this Bitit, Sir, beocuse the
money has already been spent regordless of what we say or do.
Thank you, Sir.
$\frac{M R_{1} \text { PRESIDEN: }}{\text { Istands. } \quad \because \text { First Elected Member for the Eesser }}$
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONDELL: Mn. Fresident, I. Mise, sir, to support
this Supplementayy Appropriation Bill, 1983 which seeks to atlow and confixm supplementary expenditure for the financial year 1983.

The Thurtle Farm was purchased for
$\$ 1,461,267$ and is therefore the biggest expenditure in the supplementary. I fully supported this transaction. I feol that it should be preserjed and developed into a real toumst attraction which would in time earn nevenue for our Istands and also feel that this purchase was welt justified.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): Mr. President, the financial position of our Istands is still good but we are enoding that position fast, and we should not lull ourgelves into a false sense of seourity. We must atop living in the past and face up to the challenges and problems of the prasent.

The Auditor General has sent out a waming aignal and has backed tt up with facts and figures. He has made it abundantly clear that alt is not well. I expected to have heard from some Members ooncern but instead they have brushed this warning aside and have completely ignored $i t$. We hatve unoollectable debts and our liabilities are rising rapidly mainly bencuse of Cayman Airways. I find the Auditor General's comnente on the accounts of the Cayman Istands Government for the year ended 31st December, 1983 to $b e$ in keeping with the figures. A man in the position of the Auditor Generat would not make oomments on the accounts if he was not sure of hie facts and figures.

Mr: Presilent, I have listened carefulty to every Member who has so far debated the Bill. There has been only one Member and that is the Third Elected Member for Weet Bay who has athok with the facts and figures contained in the Auditor General's Report. I do not intend to waste the time of this House to re-state what the Third Elected Member for West Bay has aaid beacuse I aan find nothing new to add to his debate. I have heard one Member atate that as of the 31st July, 1984 there was a surplus of \$4.4.miltion which he added to General Reserves, which ted the House to believe that this would bring ow General Reserves up to $\$ 15.5$ million. Another Member stated that there was a surptus of funds at the same date of ovar $\$ 5$ million. Mr. president, netther of the Members are aorrect. The amount of money which the Goverrment had at 31st duly is money which is needed to complete Govermment's projects for the year 1984. If at the end of the year there ia a suapluts, which I dowbt we witl have, then that amotont will go into the Surplus and Deficit Account.

Another Member said that the revenue sarned by Government since 1976 amounted to $\$ 280$ million. I agree with this figure but it also indicates that all of the revemue was not prudently spent or we would have a eubstantiat Reserve Fund now. Mr. Freaident, we can forget what the Reserves are at the present time and conaentrate on what is causing the erosion of our financial position.

As the Auditor General has pointed out in his Report it is a large deficiency which is accumulating in Cayman Airuays since 1982. The Auditor General has stated quite correctly in his Report that if this Government was called upon to meet the present deficienay of Cayman Aimuas, not only would there be no Reserves but the oountry would go into the red.

Mr. President, I have studied Cayman
Aimays finanoial position and I find that since December, 1977 Cayman Airways has earned over \$102.5 mitlion and the Govermment injected another $\$ 17.5$ million in 1982 bringing the total to the end of August to $\$ 120$ million, and Mr. President, it has alt been apent and the airtine is in debt. Can this be a healthy picture to paint to the public of the Cayman Istands? I say no. Furthemore, ir. Fresident, the Govermment has given a guarantee of yss1a mitlion to underwrite the arrangemente entered into by Cayman Airways for the tease of the two 727 airoraft.

Mr. President, thits is a reat problem and conoern which we need to addrees and controt in a prudent and businesalike manner or it will wreck the economy of the Coyman Islande, and I say this, Mr. President, without fear of any contradiction.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: NO, Sir, I am not imputing that tut what I am saying, Sir, is that he did not tell the stomy as it was. He only totid the part which suited him.

Anynvay, Mr. Prebident, to make a long story short, that was what starited the negotiations to get an aircraft of our oun. That is what started Cayman Airways to go on the market to try to find an aircraft. When the conneation was broken with LACSA, arrangements were made for Alr Florida to take over. They came in and it cost this country, I think in the region of $\$ 1$ or $\$ 1.5$ million, and then this action procipitated an entra effort by the covermment, by the Member to try to find an airaraft of our oim so that we could do ausy with the lease that we had with Air Florida. We sent delegations as far cway as Denmark; Seattle Washington, Califormia, Houston, all over the place, Sir, we had many people tooking for an aircraft which would bis suitable for our mon. On sach occasion, just as one would have thought that we could alose the deat we heard the airoraft had been sold stsewhere and it was no tonger avaitable.

It was at a meeting which was called by the Member. He wad Chairman of Cayman Atrways. I was a Director at the time. We met in the Govamment Administration Buildina. The Directors all were seated and the news acome in that the last airoraft which they thought we could have purchased had been sold as well. At that point, Mr. President, I turned to the Member and said, at the misk of having my head ohopped off,'I an going to repaat something whioh is said in the Bible, "Ihe stone which the builder rejected the same was made the head of the comer". "Why do we not look at a BAC aircraft and see if we can gat one. A BAC is better than nothing at alt'.

With that, Mr, Prosident, the First Elected Member for Crorge Torm, who was then the Managing Director of Caymon Aimuaye, who was also on the Board, tumed to him and sait, "Go in my room and make a phone call to British Merobpace and see if that airoraft is still available". Fe did so and metumed and said, "Yee the aironaft is still available". Mr. ...... I oannot remember his name, come out form British Aeorspace within a coupte of days and that is how we got our BAC 1-11s.

Mr. President, I am veru happy that the blame has been placed on me because at least I stopped the rot inith Ais Ftorida, and untit 1981 when British Catidonian made their report, the most suitable airaraft their evaluation tean found wae the BAC'1-11. Put the Member was obsessed with 727 s and no other airaraft coutd possibly do. Mr. President; the BAC 1-11 aircraft has bsen blaned for the failure of Cayman Airways, but now that we have the 727 aircraft, the financial position is getting even worse and eroding daity.

Mr. President, Cayman Airways was founded to provide eoheduted pasienger and cargo transportation to. from and within the Cayman IsZands. This was its aims and objects. What gives me great concem is the change in policy of Cayman Alrways. We are now ervicing other termitomies and it is at the expense of the taxpayers of these Islands. We have not heard anything ahout a loss but the aircrafte are going there and they are sustaining losses if we could only get the accounts, but we oon never get the acoounts.

Mr. Preaident; if this termitory.
is to maintain its finanoial independence we must have a change of policy in Cayman Airways and exercise striat control and prudent handling of its finances. If this is not changed, Mr. President,

CAPT CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): we witl surely end up on bendec knees seeking money to mun this oountry or use other drastic means to finanoe our expenditure. Mr. President, the time for rhetoric has long passed. We must face the issues and tet the people know the truth.

Mr. President, you can foot aome of the peopte all the time and all of the people some of the time, but you ocmot fool all of the people alt of the time.

Mr. President, that conctudes my contribution and I thank you, sir.

MR. PRESTDENT: I think it is about the time when we customarily take a short break so I will suspend proceedings for axpproximately ten minutes.

HOUSE SUUSPENDED AT 3.22 P.M.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 3. 40 F.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Continuation of the seoond reading debate on The Supplementary Appropmiation (1983) Btil, 19an. Does any other Member wish to speak? If not I witl invite the mover of the motion to exercise his right of repty if he wishes. He may not
wish to, of courge.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, that would be wishfut thinking. Mr. President, I com concerned that the members of the publice who have been listening to this debate; who hove heard it: second hand may not be sure of what the true financiat poeition of the Cayman Islands Government is and I begin by asking you, Sir, to bear with me if I appear that I am strabing a bit far. I assure you it will only be done in the intereste of clarifying the position.

Dealing with the General Reserve. Mr. President. In your first Throne Speech given on the 77 th Mireh, 1982, on page 2 you said, and I quote:

> "Govermment"s total Reserve at the end of 1901
> is now aonfirmed at $\$ 13.4$ million plus $\$ 8.53$.
> million Revenue balonce, or $\$ 27.93$ militon."

Using these figures as a base, Ar. President, the next dectrion which affected the General Reserve position was the decision taken on the 17th Marich and 23xd March, 1982, where the Finance Conmittee agreed to a capital injection of $\$ 14 \mathrm{miltion}$ in Cayman Airnscyse thus reduaing, the General Reecrve and Revenue balance from $\$>1.93$ million to $\$ 7.03$ million. In August, 1982 Finance Committee aqreed to another drow-down of $\$ 2$ million to deal with the Owen Roberts Airport Terminal beacuse under the loan agreement with Caribbean Development Bank Government has to finst pay the bill and then olazim it.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): Mr. President, putting aside the interest earned on these Generat Reserve balances the Revenue balance and General Resemve balance should then be $\$ 5.93$ million. However, Mr. President, the 1982 Annual Accownts ahowed a balance of General Reserve and Revenue of $\$ 10.1$ million Cayman.

Atthough thia Supp Zementaxy Expenditure (1982) Bill initicates that in excess of $\$ 13 \mathrm{million}$ was paid out to Cayman Airways in the form of a loan and equity participation, $\$ 7.5$ miltion in loans and \$6. 5 miltion in equity partioipation. Mr. President, there was an item of $\$ 93,000$ paid to Cayman Airways in 1983 as a result of the decision taken by Finance Committee in 1988 to allow $\$ 14$ million eapital injection

The public debt, Mr. President; let ue now deal with that subject and see if we can trace it through so that the pubtic and all of us witl have the same understanding as to what it really is. In accordance with the 1982 acoounts the public debt was approximately $\$ 8.5$ million. This fiaure was increased by a net of $\$ 600,000$ during 1983 and the accounts of 1983 will show that the public debt at that particular time was $\$ 9.1$ million. This net figure, Mr. Epesident, is the bomowings from Camibhean Development Bank for the owen Roberts Airport terminal building, net of the amount of money which is beind paid annuatly on other loans. So the net position for the year increased the public debt to $\$ 9.1$ million.

The recent decision by the Legislature to borrow $\$ 5.5$ mitlion (United States) for the Tower Building which has, I am told, a market vatue of somewhere between $\$ 7$ and $\$ 7.5$ million according to our official Appraisor of properties, inoreased the public debt by U.S. $\$ 5.5$ million to C.I. $\$ 13.7$ million.

Aditionally, Mr. President, there will be a further draw-down of funds; I am referming to the toan from Caribbean Development Bank which at the moment is 82.3 million which is being claimed from them. That figure, to the test of my knowledge should be $\$ 16$ million, Mr. President. I hive not taken into acoount at this particular time the payments that ware made in 1984. So the $\$ 16$ milition will be reduced by the paymente made during 1984.

Mr. Preaident, moving now to the Balance Sheet of Govermment as of 31 st December, 1983, and in particular dealing with the shares held in Caymain Aimaya, Cayman Air Holdings, Cayman: Turite Farm and Caribbean Develorment Bank, a figure of $\$ 7,399,782.54$ is shown on the asset side as it represents an investment in the oompanies or bank which $I$ have named and as a conservative accownting procedure, it is only a piece of paper so there is an offsetting entry in the liabilities for $\$ 7,399,782.54$.

Mr. Preaident, speaking generally about the Balance Sheet of the Cayman Islands Govermnent as of December, 1983 or any other time, we must emphasise that it is a cash accounting Balance Shest and it deals specificalizy with ourrent liabilities and curvent assets. It does not include property owned by Goverment, for example, in addition to the Balance Sheet figure you could add the buildings which Govermment own and a list of those buildings, Mr. Fresident, is before me and I have the figure for the insured vatue of them which is $\$ 57.8 \mathrm{miltion}$. There is atso much land which is oumed by Govermment, Mr. President, and the figure for that is also not part of the Batance Sheet.

The Balance also does not show the loans recoverable from various organisations which we have lentmoney to. The total guarantees given by Goverment which are contingent liabilities, that is if payment is not made by the

HON. THOMAS C. JETFERSON (CONTINUING): company ox organisation.. Government has to pay. Howeven it does not mean in att cases that the total amount of the contingent liability is due and payable. In some cases it may mean that Govemment may be required to pay the monthly suon due or a number of monthly poyments due untit the company recovers from its difficulty. Or in the extreme case the totat sum guaranteed is payable. Some of these guarantees given, Mr. President; are secured by a charge over the assets for which the loan was granted and in the 1983 accounts we see the guarantees which we are talking about. The. U.S. $\$ 7.4$ million in favour of Inter Fitst Bank of Datls which is really in respeat of and covering the quarterly payments due to that bank by Cayman Aimuays until the lease expires in 1996. The other guarantee is to Caribbean Utilities Company for U.S. $\$ 2.5$ million, a guarantee given to allow that particular company to purchase an additional generator from Mixpless Blackstone in the United Kingdom. The third guarantee stated in the accounts is of a simitar nature. It is for the purchate of a generator by Cayman Brac Power and Light Company from Mirrlese Blaakstone as well.

If we now go quickly, Mr. President, to the financiat position and try in some way to make this all sound simple and easy to understand, as at the 31st July, 1984, which would include the borvowing on the Tower Building which we bought a few months ago at the Cayman Ielands Dollar equivalent of $\$ 4.6$ milition, the surplue would be at the end of July $\$ 5.2: m i l l i o n$. The General Reserve taking the figure from the 1983 accounts is $\$ 11.1$ million and if we add those two figures toyether, Mr. President, we get a total of $\$ 16.3$ million. That was the cash position of the Cayman Islands Government on the 31st day of July, 1984.

Mr. President, as someone once said,
"Facts do not cease to exist because they are ignored". They are there, Mr. President, and $I$ assure every Member who is tistening to me of every member of the Cruman Istands that is the position of:. this Goverpment.

Deating now with Caymen Airways, Mr. Fresident, I would venture a gueoo that every Member of this House supponts our national airline and appreciates the servioes which it provides to these Islande. The finanoial pooition of Cayman Aimuays is not pleasing to all of us and I should preface my comments, Mr. Fresident, by saying that I am no expert in airline operations thut then again, Mr. President, where are those axperte? Eyen those who we may consider experts are having a very difficult time indeed directing airline operations around the world. We can look at Eastexn Airlines. We con take another look at Pon American. We can look at United and American Airlines, Thans-World Aimays, etcetera. Where are the profits of the experts? I am not saying, Mr. Eresident, that Cayman Aimays cannot be run more prudently, that is not the point I am making. I would like to suggest to all of us that let us as someone once saids, "Fut oux shoulder to the wheel"'s and let us see how every Member of this House can influence the prudent financial running of Cayman Airways. I believe that is the onswer, Mr. Eresident.

The shatehotders' deficianay of $\$ 5.9$ million in Cayman Airways, the deficiency is not anything nens, Mr. President, because if we excmine the 1980 acoounts of Cayman Aimway and Cayman Air Holdings we will find that the shareholderg' deficiency tas U.S.\$3. 1 million rising to $\$ 5.9$. million in 1981. While Govermment injected $\$ 14.1$ million in Cayman Airways in 1982 the ahars deficiency was stilt U.S. $\$ 1.7$ mitlion and the only difference between one Batance Sheet and the other is that the $\$ 9.6$ mitivon which was a liability payable to Royal Bank was disaharged and the share aubsoription of

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERS $N$ (CONTTNUING): U.S. $\$ 8.3$ million increased. Mr. President, the share defiotenoy of Cayman Airways and Cayman Air Holdinge eimply means that if you take the shares issued and paid up of $\$ 8,779,912$ less the accumulated lose since the acoounts began when they set up in 1977, th think that in the cormect yeard, of $\$ 14,677,954$ and you subtract the $\$ 14$ million from the $\$ 8$ milition you get the difference $\$ 5,998,048$. It is this figure which the Auditor General is referring to. I have always tried, Mr. president, to deal with known qualities and I would not venture to reply or try to refute what the Auditor General aays about the $\$ 8$ milzion. I know that at the end of June, 1983 this was the position and any person I meet in the street, that ta the same position. It does not ohange. Unoudited acoounts aan ohange, Mr. President, that is why I am not going to venture into it, but I will come back to my originat statement about Cayman Airways.

I have a great deat of neapect for a lot of people connected with Caymon Aimways. I think they have serviced the country extremely wetl and I do not think that any of us will agree that Cayman Airways, ahould not oontinue. Let us, Mr. President, look to putting whatever we think is right wong, and do it unanimousty. Thank you.

I think I said that wrong, Mr. President, let us try to put what we think is unong might.

Mr. PRESIDENT: I did not think you wished to be on permanent record with the other statement. (Laughter).

Well, the motion finally before this House is that a Bill for a Law to allow and confirm certain expenditure during the Financial Yecr 1983 be read a second time.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BTLL GIVEN A SECOND READING
MR. PRESTDENT:
In accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 68 the Bill does not go to Cormittee and the Bill we had dealt with previousty, it seems now days ago, The Supplementary Appropriation (1982) Bill, 1984 does not go to Committee either. They both go to third reading.

The next item on the Order paper is Item 2(2), another Bill if the Clerk will be kind enough to read the Title.

THE COMPANIES (AMENDMENT) (NO. 2) BILL, 1984
FIRST READING
CLERK:
The Companies (Amendment) (No. 2)Bitl, 1989.
MR. PRESIDENT:
A Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to
anend The Companies Law is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down for second reading.

SECOND READING
CLERK:
The Companies (Amendment)(No. 2)BiLL, 198,

[^21]HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): previous sitting, we have come across some cases deating with Exempt oompanies. Under the Companies Law, Mr. Fresident, Exenpt companies have the power to isaue Bearer shares and the conoerm about companies who oun tand and issue Bearer shares and the possibility of losing a great deat of Stomp Duty and Govermient reverue in that way brought us to bring the amendment to the House at a previous eitting. This amendment, itp. Preaident, which is before us today is to give the Fimanoial Secretary oome outhority to deat with an Exempt company which owne tand but has not issued any Betrer sharee and does not intend to Lesile Beaner shares. It is to give the Finonciat Secretary some. authority to altow the company to remain on the books of the Company Regioter beaduse othemvise the Registran is required to strike it off.

That is the reason for this amendment, Mr. President, and I would prefer not to go into detailed caees which we have fourd. The amendment which is put before the Honourable Members is justifiable in all the casea which I have come acrose so far and I feel that it would be wrong of me not to bring foruard this amendment. ' I ask the Honourable. Members' support.
MR: PRESIDENT: The motion before the House is that a Eill for a Low to omend the Comanies Low, Chapter 22 bo given a second reading. The motion is now open for debate,

If no Member wishes to spenk I will
quickly put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED, BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING
MR. PRESIDENT: We aan go on to Iten 2(3) on the Order Faper if the cterk witl read the Titte.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mx. Freeicent, if I could just mise on my feet and thank Memberg for their sutport of the Bill.

THE STAMP DUTY (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1284

## FIRST READING

CLERK:
The Stant Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bill entitled. The Stamp Duty
(Amendment) Bitl, 1984 is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down for second reading.

## SECOND READING

## CLERK:

The Stamp Duty (Amendment) Eill, 1984.
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSOA: -.... Mr. Eresident, I move the second reading of a Bill entitzed a Bill for a Law to anend the Stomp Duty Law, 1973, Low 5 of 1973.

At a previous Meeting, Nr. President, we brought before the House an conendment to the Land Hotding: Company Share Transfer Tax Lax and this cmendment is needed beounso of acoses we have, where a company oums the zand, It is benefioially dumed by, shall wh ady, John Brown tha Mary Tromn and they wish to transfer a parcel of the omount of land that they have, they may hove more than one particular parcet, to their son for naturat love and affection.

This amendment is needed under the Stamp

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTINUING): Duty LCow and it is really a result of the amendment which we put through before. I would give notice, Mr. President, that I intend at Committee stage to do an amendment.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT: . The question before the House is that a. Bill entitled. The Stamp Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984 be given a second reading. The motion is open for debate.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I merely question the 45 per cent hotding in the oompany and $I$ cm wondering why that inimit has been placed. I see that it is not less than 45 per cent, but if the individual oums the tand and his share is tess than 45 per cent in the oompany, providing he is not getting more than the value of those shares for the land I do not see why it should be exclucled.

In other words once the value of the Land and the value of the shares are equal why are we setting a minimum limit? That is basically the query which I have on the Bill, Mr. President.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I think, Mr. President, Six, that it
is unfortunate perhops that the Honourable Third Elected Member for
West Bay did not raise this point on the occasion in July, 1983 when
the amendment was made to the Land Holding Companies Share Transfer
Trax Lav because it is analogous to that. The wording in this Bill
reflects the wording then and if there were to be any change in
Committee stage to the percentage as specified here, we would then:
in due course have to come back and amend the previous Bill again
in onder to put them on parallet. I think though I cannot read the
mind of the Honourable mover in this, I think that basionliy that
there is a minimum deoided ufon because otherwise a person no matter
how minor an interest they hove in the property would seek abatement
and the alministrative work would be aonbiderable.
Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Mamber wish
to sqeak? Untess the mover wishes to exercise his right of reply
I wizl....

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Yes, Mr. President, but I will do it quiokty. This is just to soy thank you to the Members for their support.

MR. PRESIDENT: I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREET. BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING
MR. PRESIDENT:
Item 2(4). The Clerk will read the Title.

THE CAYMANIAN PFOTECTION BILL, 1984
FIRST READING
The Caymanian Protection [Bill, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT:
A Bill entitled a Bill for a Lucw to repeal and replace the Caymantan Protection Law (Revised) is deemed to have been read a first time and is set down for seoond reading. The House will now go into Committee to study the Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984, and dertain other Bills which stand committed to it.

## COMMITTEE THETEON

THE LIQUOR LICENSTNG (AMENDMENT) BTLL, 1984
MR. CHA IFMAN:

Licenaing Law, 1974.
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TTTILE.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that clouse 1 do stand part of the Bill. Uniess any Member wishes to apeak I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMENDMENT OF SECTTION 2 OF LAW 11 OF 1974.
MR. CHATRMAN: $\because$ I should have said at the start and I apologise for failing to do so that I will take it in reepect of this $3 i l l$ and in respect of any other Bille with which we may have time to deal in Comittee today, that it would be, unless Members tell me otherwise, the wish of the House that the Second official Member be as usual empowered to make any necessary comectione of printing errore and the like. I am not even sure whether there are any. I have not spotted any but I expect that there probathy are the odd one or two. Unless any Member wishes to express $x$ contrary view I will take it that that is the wish of the House.

So now the question is that clause 2 do stand part of the Bitt. Does any Member wish to speak? Then I will put the question:

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 3. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 3. CLAUSE 4. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 5. CLAUSE 5. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 6.

MR. CHATRMAN:
The question $i_{s}$ that Clauses 3, 4 and 5 do stand part of the Bill. Untess any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

GUESTION PUT: AGREED. . CLAUSES 3, 4 AND 5 PASSED.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 6. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 7. CLAUSE 7. AMENDMENT OF SECTTON 9.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that Clauses 6 and 7 do stand part of the Bitt. My understanding is that the Honourable First Official Member will be seeking leave to move an amendment of which two days' notioe has not been given, but which I believe Members have in typesaript now. Perhaps under the aixcumetances I could take Clause 6 first and put the question that Clause 6 do stand part of the Bill. Does any Member toish to speak on that? Then $I$ will put the question that Clause 6 stand part of the Bill.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. $\therefore$ CLAUSE 6 PASSED.
MR: CHAIRMAN:
Now I will give the Honourable Firet Official Menber teave to move his amendment. Perhaps you would read it out in case any Member has not got it.

Mr. Chairman, I seek teave of the Chair, Sir, under Standing Order 52(2) to bring this omendment. As you know, Sir, in the second reading of this bill this was the controversial section and $I$ think having thought over it and having been Chairman of the Liquor: Licensing Board for mony years that the best way to get over this impase which we might face on find ourselves facing is to delete. (a) in Clause 7 and replace it by the following new paragraph. Shall I read the whole thing, Sir?
"That Clause 7 of the Bitl be amended by the deletion of paragraph ( $a$ ) thereof and the replacement therefor of the following new paragraph (a)-
(a) by the deletion of the words "and are not within a quarter of a mile's distance of any church, school or civic centre"."

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if the Member. offering the amendment or the Honourable Second Official Member could then throw some light on what the effect of this amendment will be. Because it seems to me that it is going to aause a lot of confusion.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, Siri, if I may respond to that with the permission of the mover of the amendment, as the Law is at the present time Section 9, subsection (1) of the Lctw reads:
"The Boarid shath not grant a licence with reference to any premises unless atiefied that the premises to be liceneed have exits plainty marked and lighted and an adequate fire extinguishing eystem and comply with the tows and reguzations affecting
(a) buizaing
(b) toum plonning
(c) public health
and are situated at a location where they will be of serviee to the pultic and will not cause inoonvenience to the owners or oocupiers of neighbouring property and are not within a quarter of a mile's distance of any church, schoot on aivic centre."

The words at the end "and are not within a quarter of a mite's distance of any church, schoot or aivic centre" were inserted in 1977 in duly by the Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Law then. What the Honourable mover of the amendment at this Committee stage is seeking to do as I understand it is to restore the words of Section 9(1) to what they were originally when the Bill was enacted in 1974 and before the amendment whioh created an absolute prohibition was put in as an addition in 1977.

So the eituation would be if this Committee stage amendment werepassed that the Board shatl not grant a licehce if they are not satiafied that it will not aause any inconvenience to the owners or ocoupiers of neighbouring property, but does enable them to have a discretion if they are so aatisfieds to grant a lioence even though a church, or a school or a divic centre is alousr to the proposed licensed premises than a quarter of a mile.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: - Yes, Mr. Chairman, but ctause 7 of the Bill whioh we are proposing to deal with now, I presume this is what the amendment is being offered to?

MR. CHAIRMAN: : I am not quite sure I understand your point. What io baing suggested is an amendment to clause 7 of the Bill. Clause 7 of the Bitl iteelf amends Section 9 of the prineipal Law. I do not know whether that answers your point. I am not quite bure what you are trying to ask.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: what I am saying is if this
omerdment is carried what then is the groviso in that section then
Eecomes redundant as I see it.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: The amendment proposed by my Honourable coticactue the Firet Official Member seeks to delete from the Bitl the entirety of paragraph (a).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Have you got the written amendment?
If you have, I suggest if you read it. It should be clear.
MR. J. GARSTON SMITH: $\because M r$. Chairman, if I understand it right this amendment by the Honourabte First Official Member woutc detete the proviso that was....

MR. CHATRMAN:
What is quite right. It would delete
the whote of (a) atarting (a) by the deletion and ending "such licence". All of that would come out.
$\frac{\text { MR. J. GARSTON SMTTH: }}{\text { return to the original etate as it was worde I would say it would }}$
MR. CHATRMAN: That is quite right.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
tes but, Mr. Chairman, I am not adtisfied having sat in the Conmittee, that this proposed omendment $i_{s}$ the wish of the Committee or of the House.

Min. CHAIRMAN:
With respect that is what people will
vote on $\operatorname{in}$ a minute.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes,well, can I apeak to the proposed cmendment then?

MP. CHAIRMAN: Of oourse you can, yes.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairmant I tried at ons point to make an explanation on this and say that you know there was some very adroit footwork between the Committee room and the floor of the House. Because what was basicalty agreed by Members in the Committee was discuowed in the debate.

Now my compromise to this action as it stands was to meet the with of what I thought was the majority of Membera, but if this proposed omendment is oarried it seems to me that we are saying that any type of licence inotuding general retail ticences would be able to be issued to premisee notwithetanding the fact that they are within a quarter of a mite of a ohuroh. It is my feeting that the "quarter of a mite" is reasonable in the oase of general netail ticences. My sympathy is with the new type of lisence which has been introducel in the Bill, that is the restaurant wine and been licence and I would

MF. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): respectfulty submit that an amendment which would remove the absolute prohibition against those types of ticences being issued within a puarter of a mile's distance of a church be inserted in this clause.

MF. CHAIRMAN:
Could I interrupt because it is 4.30 p.m., and becouse it is $4.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. the Member witl have, if he wishes it, an opportunity when we continue tomorrow to move an comendment to the omendment. There is nothing against that and I would give you permission to do so and you witl have overnight to draft it if you wioh, to find out whether others will be likely to support you.

MT. BENSON O. EEANKS: I will do that, Mr. Chaimath.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
But it is 4.30 p.m. and therefore the House with reeume in order that the adjourmment may be moved.

HOUSE RESUMED
MR. PRESIDETN:
Flease be seated.

## ADEOURNMENT

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mx. President, I move the adjourmment of this House untri 10.00 a.m. tomorrow morning, Sir.

MR. RRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that this House do now adjoum until 10.00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Unless cony Member wishes to apeak I will put the question.

QUESTION FUT: ' AGREED AT 4.32 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL 10.00 A.M., FRIDAY, 7TH SEPTEMBER, 1984.
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MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Proceedings are resumed but before $I$ invite the appropriate Member to ask the first question I would like to explain two minor points about today's Order Paper to the Houre.

The first is that although we were part of the way through the Conmittee stage on the Liquor Lioensing (Amendment) Bili, 1984 when we adjourned yesterday afterinoon you will find that certain other Bille have been put on the Order Paper. to be taken before we resume the Committee atage on the Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984. In other worde we would be atarting in dealing with Committee stages of the Stamp Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984 and the Companies (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill, 1984, report stages of them and third readinge of those two and of two other Bills. This is because I understand that the Financial Secretary, the Honourable Third Official Member has a commitment to travel overseas on official business leaving, tomorrow, and I thought it would be convenient for him and for the House if Bills for which he had been responsible were completed before his departure.

That is the first point I was going to make. The second was that it had been my intention that on the completion of the third readings of the four Bille I just mentioned we should resume the Committee stage of the Liquor Licensing Bill and then contirue with the report stage and the third reading of that Bill before we ambarked on the Caymanian Protection Bill. Beoause I thought probably it would be more convenient for Members to finish the one BiLL before we embarked on the major task of the other.

The Order Paper, probably because I did not make my proposals clear enough to the staff, does not quite reflect that but subject to the agreement of Members I would intend, when the time came, to do what I originally proposed, that is to continue with the Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984 Committee stage and further stages before we embark on the Protection Bill.

So may I now invite the Third Eleoted Member for George Town to ask the first of today's questions.

## QUESTIONS

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

No. 65: Will the Member state the total amount of stamp duty collected on land transfers from the 1st day of January to the 31st day of August, 1984?

ANSWER: The stamp duty collected from 1st January, 1984, to 31st August, 1984, is CI\$4,096,989.00.

## SUPPLEMENTARTES:

Could the Member state how does this amount compare with the same period tast year?

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Fresident, I am not able to say how it compares with January, 1983 to August, 1983, but I an able to say that the actual collections in 1983 were $\$ 5,899,907.00$.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Supplementary, Mr. President. Could the Member state whether these figures have been audited?

MR. PRESTDENT:
I would have thought that the Member must know the answer to that, that the Audit Report doas not oome out until the end of the year's accounts have boen oompleted.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yet, Mr. President. I could not put the matter straight without making a statement so I bou to your ruling.

MR. PRESIDENT: Unless there is any further
supplementary I will invite the Thind Elected Member for George Town to ask the next question.

THE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR TOURTSM AVIATION AND TRADE

No. 66: Will the Member state what action has been taken to see that the drese code ts observed?

ANSWER: The Department of Tourism in aomjunction with the Government Information Servioe has distributed a apecial pamphlet explaining the dress code. Those pamphlets have been distributed looally and overseas. A supply has also been sent to all cruise ship lines serving the Cayman rstands, to be given to paseengers before coming ashore in Grand Cayman.

MR, PRESIDENT:
Unteas any Member has a supplementary
IT witl invite tne...
SUPPLEMENTARY:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I have a oupplementany, Sir.

Could the Membar state if when he statee that the pamphiets have been distributed locally that that includes Cayman Brac and Little Cayman.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: As far as I am oware, ix. President, the Cayman Islande are made up of three islonde, namely Grand Cayman, Cayman Brac and Little Cayman, and I think they have alt been in the past looked at as being catled "tocalty".

MR. PRESIDENI: Untess there to any further supplementary I will invite the Fipst Elected Member for the Leeser. Islands to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR TOURISM AVIATION AND TRADE

N才. 67: The Auditor General in his Report of 31st December, 1983, stated that Cayman Aimuays Limited has a Shareholders' deficiency, probably in excess of US\$8 million as of the 30th November, 1.983.
Will the Member state what arrangements have been made to meet this deficiency?

ANSWER:
The Auditor General's statement was in respect of the airtine as a whole and not just Cayman Airways Limited. The airline as a whole comprises Cayman Airways Limited and Cayman Air Holdings Limited.
It would not be prudent at this time to release publicly, the many different avenues that could be available to hanale this matter.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:


#### Abstract

MR. BENSSON O. EBANKS: Supplementary, Mr. President. Would the Member state that action is in hand to correct this unsatisfactory poaition?


HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: $\quad$ The airline's oondition is being monitored daity.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Supplementary, Mr. President. Could the Member state whether the financial dituation of Cayman Airways has improved or deterionated since Novembers 1983 ?

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, the answer to that would entait a long debate because it depends which way you look at oertain things in regards to the operation of the airline.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, supplementary, Sir. I would have thought that would have been a simple question to answer inasmuch as he said it is monitored daily.

MR. PRESIDENT: You must ask a question not make a statement. You could rephrase what you are saying to make it into a question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Would the Member state why would it have to entail a debate since he says that the accounts are monitored daily.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Preeident, the airline has had very good load factors in the last few months. The first part of the year the load factors were not that good. We have had problems with charters and so forth. Then you have to take into consideration the payment on the airoraft, the depreciation and averything else so it depends which way you look at it as to how it has improved. I tried to give as much information as I could yesterday and that is about as far as I can go at this point.

## MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, could the Member

 say what the toad factor might be mone or less around this time?MR. PRESIDENT:
I am not truly sure that that arises
from the answers to the question but at the risk of getting myself into the trouble I have got myself and the House into before through being over liberal, if the Member is willing to answer and other Membere are willing not to stray much furthers then $I$ will allow the Member to anower this one.

```
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: To eliminate straying I would
withdraw, Sir.
```

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: I will be glad to answer the question, Mr. President. I spoke in this House yesterday and eaid that we should alt hope that we woutd have a good September and a good

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN. (CONTINUING): October because they are always the lean months of the year in regards to travel. Well, I think we need to get down on our knees and pray a bit because we have a lot of peopte in here that appear to proy a bit. Yesterday on one trip from Miami, Sir, the load factor wae 47 , not 47 per aent but 47 people. On the other one it was a little over 100. So I witl ask the Members to help me with my amithmetic and see if that is a break even position.
MR. PRESIDENT: Before I tempt anybody to go too for
I think we might pass to the next question... The First Elected.
Member for the Lesser Ielands.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE LESSER ISLANDS TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND WORKS

No. 68: Will the Member state what progress has been made regarding the survey and gazetting of the Btuff road on Cayman Brac?

ANSWER: Survey is in progress, but due to the workload in connection with the resurfacing of the airport and the difficult trailing on the Bluff, the sirvey will not be completed until end of the year.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless any Member has a supplementaxy $\bar{I}$ will invite the First Eleoted Member for George Town to ask the next question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMMUNIGATIONS AND WORKS

No. 69 :
Would the Member give a progress report on the water and sewerage project?

ANSWER: The Water Authority has been operational for just over two years. In that time much preparation has been carried out in order to develop public water supply and aanitation in such a way as to be acceptable to the people of these lilands, where the proviaion of these facitities is an unfamiliar concept. Initially the Authority was made aware that the people were suspicious of public systems. It is believed that this attitude is now changing and people are realising the worth and urgent need for a pure, affordable water supply and a system to deal with the disposal of sewage. Both of which the Water Authority has shown can be provided with the right level of investment and at an affordable cost to the user.

It must be reatised that the construction cost of thess systems is high and it is inevitable that for the finst few years, until substantial systems are provided, this cost will require partial subsidy. It has been shown, that in the long term it can be financially viable. The competing priorities of Government expenditure has meant that up untit now water and sanitation has not received the level of investment it warrants. It is now time that the development of this sector receives high priority.
The two years of operation have seen the enactment of the Water Autnority Low; Regulations have been written; a development plan has been proposed; comprehensive ground water quality monitoring programmes in George Toum, East End and Cajman Brac have been established and are on-going.

## AUSWER TO QUESTION NO. 69 (CONTINUING):

A thorough water resouces investigation in the Lower Valley lens area has been completed and that lens is now fully developed, providing a pure and low cost supply of water to the truckers. This development has removed the former misk of the destruation of this resource; it is also meeting its operational costs, gromd water resource monitoming progranones have been intensified and rationatised and are now establiahed auch that proper control of the water resources is possible.
A water resources investigation of the East End lens has conmenced on its south east part, presently this part of the lens is being developed to provide a water supply to a reservoin and treatment works. just north of Old Isaacs. This work will be completed before the end of the year. It is hoped that fimds will be mado available in 1985 to extend this development to a piped distribution to households in East End. The funding for the initial development of the East End lens, although plaoed in the Authority's 1984 estimates, was not approved and it was only after Finonoe Committee approved a supplementary expenditure, this work could go ahead.
I may add (although this is not in the answer on the paper), that Finconce Committee is opproving these supplementary funds, conditioned it on the fuods being made available by viring funds in the Estimates for other projects to the water scheme in East End. I have now been informed that no Department was willing to give um any funds for the East End Scheme, and it is my understanding that the Finonce Committee witl be calling a meeting of Finance Committee to try to determine whether the Finanoe Committee will now make available the money which has been sought for this scheme. Whether we can procesd or not will depend on whether Finonce Committee will put its money where its mouth has been for a long time to develop the water scheme.

## I continue to read -

The Authority in conjwetion with the Public Health Department has now identified and partially quantified the water supply problems in George Town, although predicting demands acourately is difficult. In view of the urgent need for a saje supply of water in many areas of the aapital, the Authomity is cumently investigating and reviewing the practicality of satiofying this need, in the short texm, by the provision of desalted water. This is despite the fact that the least cost water is that provided by ground water development, even though the main resource is as distant as East End.

However, the initial capital cost of developing East End and piping the water to George Town is high, in the oxder of $\$ 15 \mathrm{million}$. Unlese Government is able to sonction this level of investment, then the only solution is to opt for the lessar invertment, but higher cost water provided by desalination. Even if this latter option is selected then the long term goal of developing growd water as the basis of the supply must still be promoted.
Approximate ly one million gallons per day of good quality grown water is available. This quontity will be available forever or for as long as rainfall keeps at its histomical levels. At the present rate of development, it is estimated that this will meet demands wp to the year 2000, after that time the extra demond will be met by water production. The provision of desalinated water in George Town initially witl be a neshuffling of the plan that was initially proposed.

The Authority ontioipates that the investigation and project preparation for the first phase of a distribution to George Town, based on distillation, will be oomplete by next year and subject to approval and finomoing, construction would proceed at the beginning of 1986.

At the same time development of the ground water resources with continue, with the long term plan of piping ground water as far as to George Town and West Bay.

It is planned in 1985 to better quantify the resouroes in Cayman Brac and, should it appear feasible, to construct a small well field and treatment works and reservoir to at least provide an emergency supply of water.

The sewerage for the West Bay Road and the sewage treatment works is procesding behind schedule. Various delays have been caused by the unavailability of staffs the selection of a site for the treatment works, and the absolute laok of data necessary to oarry out the design. The latter two problems have been resolved; Govement has purchased the only suitable site, whioh will be shared with the garbage dwm. The collection of design data has been a mamoth tasks as not only is it necessary to determine existing levels of sewage generation, it is also necessary to predict future development and where that development witi take place. For exconple, the proposed development of the golf course, if it goes ahead as planneds could double the sewage flow of the West Bay Road; the same applies to other proposed developments. A pumped sewerage system can only be over designed to a certain degree. In order to minimise operational problems and cost, it is very important that the basis of the deaign is comect.

The design is now almost complete and it is hoped, providing staffing problems are resolved, that project preparation initl be sufficiently advanced to take to the Caribbean Developmont Bank towards the erd of this year. Earlier discuesions with the Bank were promising and there would appear no problems as far as funding is concerned.

The contract doctonents should be ready for tendering early in 1985 and with the provision that the letting of mechonical and eleotrical contracts do not substantially affect the aivil works, then construstion could commence towards the middle of 1985. Contract duration is anticipated to be two yearrs.

The provision of systems to provide public water supply and sanitation is not a smal2 task; it cannot be achieved without a long texm plan and without an investment oommitment.

For the Authomity to develop efficient $l_{y}$, it neede the acceptance of its development plan and it needs the might level of ataffing. Presently all this work is being carried out by a small staff, with only one engineer and a trainee draughtsman avaitable to work in the West Bay Road sewerage. In 1985 it is proposing a different form of funding project preparation which will altow more ability and greater flexibility without the build up of a large permanent staff.

In addition to capitat developments the Authority is also involved with building development control, water resource licensing, maintenance and operation of existing works and pollution control.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, my information is that in Caymon Brac a survey has been carried out and copy of this can be made available to Members. The few wells have been investigated and together with an earlier report we are almost certain that: there is some ground water in Cayman Brac which can be developed for conmercial use. I must admit that the work in Cayman Brac has not been very expensive so far.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Fresident, a supplementary, sir. on page 2, paragraph 3 it refers to the fact that the Authority placed funds in its estimates for 1984 for the development of the East End lens but it was not approved. Could the Member state at what point these fund were not approved in the estimates? Were they at portfolio level or where, Sir?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Honestly, Mr. President, I do not remember but I know the fund were cut completely from the estimates and I have a feeling that it may have been at Finanee Committee stage, but I am not positive.

MR. PRESIDENT: I think the questioner should be able to find out if. it was at Finance Committee stage.

HON. G. $H \cap I G$ BODDEN: I am informed by the Ilonourable First Elected Member of Executive Council that it was in Finance Conmittee. In fact I remember that very clearty now beause the Nember informs me that it was in my absence. When I came back I disoovered that every request that I had made for my portfolio had been taken from the estimates and this was one of them that I was very annoyed about, and was surprised that Members of Finance Comittee should treat the matter so lightly.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. I wonder if the Member would refresh his memory from the Minutes of that Finance Conmittee and upon so doing, inform this House whether those funds were not withdrawn from the proposal rather than out?

HON. G. HAIC BODDEN:
Mr. President, Members will know that during the Budget session I had been away for about three weeks and I think the Member asking the question is probably being pricked by his conscience now. He should have demanded that these funds be supplied. You see, Mr. President, what happens in Finance Committee is that every Elected Member gets up in the House and says"Government are spending too much money: Govermment must out the budget". But every Member comes with a long shopping list to get something for his district and, of course, things done in the distriet provide potes in the district. When it comes to national projects it is always diffiault to get a commitment for funds. We are beeing it here. When we brought it back to Finance Committee some time ago as mentioned in this report, to get supplemental funds to start the development of the East End lens, what did Members of Finance Committee do? They said'you can have the approval of the $\$ 90,000$ which we were seeking providing the Financial Secretcry an find a way of taking it from some other Department or aome other funds within your estimates". I had to accept the condition impocod by Finance Conmittee. Now today the Financial Secretary witl be holding a Meeting of Finance Committee to report that he was unable to vire the funds, and we are back to square one. I am annoyed that I am not gatting the support of the Third

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTTNUTNG): Elected Member for West Bay to get these funds; I hope today that he will vote them, and that in future whatever he says in his debate on the Budget will be maintained in Finance Conmittee.

MP, BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. Is the Nember suggesting that $I$ as a mere Member of the Legishative Assembly should insist that an amount which was withdrawn from the estimates as $I$ understand it, by the authority of the portfolio, should be kept in the estimates?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
The aimple conswer is yes, but it goes beyond that. Members know that in Finance Conmittee the emphasis is placed on getting things for "my constituency". In the Legislative Assembly we get up and make glorioue speeches about the overath good of the country, the water supply, Cayman Airwaye and so on, but when it comes to actual facte things of national interest are given very low priority by certain, Members, not by all Membere but by a few Members. I would hope that when we go to Finance Committee today I will have the support of the Third Elected Member for West Bay in getting the money which we need to go ahead with this project.

MP. BENSON O. EBANKS:
A further supplementary, Mr. Priseident.
In his answer the Member states that the Authomity needs acceptance of its development plan to proceed. Could the Member enlighten the House who is aupposed to accept this plan. Is it the Government, is it the portfolio, or is it some outside agenoy?

MR.PRESTDENT: $\quad \therefore \quad$ Could you help me by just referring to the page and paragraph where the acceptance point is made becouse it is rather a long answer.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Page 4, Mr. President; <br> "For the Authomity to develop efficiently it needs the acoeptance of its development plan."

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Weil, I think, Mr. President, the answer to that is very clear when one reads the first paragraph of the Report. About the middle of the paragraph it anys:
"Initially the Authority was made aware that the people were suspicious of public systems. It is believed that this attitude is now changing and people are reatising the worth and urgent need for a pure, affordable water supply and a system to deal with the disposal of sewage. Both of which the Water Authority has shown oan be provided with the right level of investment and at an affordable cost to the user."

And then on the final page it is sumed up by saying:
"For the Authority to develop efficiently, it needs the acceptance of its development plan and it needs the might level of staffing."

This simply aaye that we want acceptance from the public, from the Members of the House, from Government as a whole of what is necessary. For example, we had a case when we developed the well field in Lower. Valley. I hope I will have time to finish this answer boaaue it is vary long. When we developed the wett field in Lower Valley we

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): thought we had done a good thing. The Press started out by spreading propaganda which hurt the Water Authority. Sales actually fell in Lower Valley when the Herald was spreading its propaganda that the Pop Shop had stopped using the water when the Pop Shop had never used it; when the Herald was spreading its propaganda that the water was unfit for humon constaption, when all the tests showed that the water was well above the world health level. This type of propaganda actually hurt the revenue of the Water Authority. The revenues fell during that period and this is what has been going on. People were on the outside telling other people "You should not use this and you should not do this. You should not let them do this'. This is what I an talking about when we say that we need acceptance. I would like not only the Third Elected Member for West Bay but other Members to go out on their platforms and aive this portfolio the oredit which it deserves for initiatina a progranme which is of vital importance.

I have seen the Water Reports completed by the Public Health Department on George Town, and the Water Report. completed in East End and those Reports really give cause for alarm. And I think with the Members who have been elected by the public critioising on the sly, I call it, the portfolio for its valiant effort we aan never achieve the public's acceptance. I am looking forward to hearing that when the Third Elected Member for West Bay has his rast political mesting that instead of ariticising me he has a good word for the Water Authority.

HR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. Since the Member obviously does not understand that sentence...
$\frac{\text { HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: }}{\text { Or a question? }}$ Is this a statement, Mr. President, or a question?

MR. PRESIDENT: We will see.
MR. BEHSON O. EBANKS: I an leading up to a question, Mr. President. Since the Member obviously does not understand that statement on page 4 about the acceptance of the Developnent Plan would the Member undertake to go to the Chairman of the Water Authomity after this Meeting and ask him to explain what he means by that?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I do not think that that is a permissible supplementary because it contains a statement, or it starts with a atatement that does not seem to me to be justified.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Could I ask a further supplementary, Mr. Eresident? Would the Member state if the piece of land purchased for the sewerage treatment plant is a piece of tand for which we were told in this Meeting, Government paid $\$ 315,000$ in excess of the valuation placed by the valuator in the Lands Department?

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
The piece of tand purchased for the sewerage scheme $i$ the parcet of land mentioned in the question before the House. The idea that the piece of land cost \$315,000 more than the valuation put by the Lands officer is subject to much speculation. Actuallys the truth about the land is that it was valued by a Real Estate dealer for over half a million dollars which was less than the price paid for the adjacant properties bought by Mrs. Harquil. So it was considered that the price paid for this piece of tand for the sewerage system was a good price.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): It is true that a new Lands Officer said that this piece of land which we bought for $\$ 390,000$ was only worth $\$ 75,000$ but I would like to see anybody go out in central George Town and purchase 30 aores of land for $\$ 75,000$. To my mind it was the height of nonsense to even bring a question like that beocuse everybody knows the value of land. The purchase of the tand was a fair pmice. The tand drea is a total of 30 acres and at a price of $\$ 390,000$ would put it at arownd $\$ 10,000$ per acre, which was a fair price. It is only because the land was purchased from Mr. George Seymour who is a strong supporter of this Government that it has come under critioism.

Furthermore, Mr. President, I would like to add that when the Members approved the purchase in Finance Conmittee they were satisfied with the price and they have only raised it now on the eve of the election.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that I shall not allow further supplementaries about a matter which has already been the subject of a epecific question, and shall end these supplementaries. So unless there is any supplementary on a different aspect of the Member's progress report I shall ask in order that we can complets the questions on the Order Paper, and we have just time to do so, the First Elected Member for George Town to ask the last question.

THE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER FOR GOERGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

## NO. 70 :

Witl the Member state how many Caymanians are presently serving time in Jamaican pxisons for arimes committed in that country?

ANSWER:
I onty have knowledge of one Caymanion presently serving time in a Jamaicon prison for a crime committed there.

## SUPFLEMENTARIES:

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Supptementary, Mr. President. Could the Member state whether Government would consider making an approach to the Jamaican Government with a view to negotiating the prisoner's return to serve his time in the Cayman Islands?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, unfortunately Jamaica
has no legislation whioh provides that the prisoner could be sent back here to aerve his sentence, but if it is the wish of the Legislature we could explore the possibility of such legistation which could be used even in the future.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: : Mr. President, a supplementary, Sir. Has the Member forgotten the undertaking given to this House when the Priaon Law was introduced that auch an agreement would be formalised with Jomaica for the exchange of prisonere? That is Jomaica would take their persons committing arimes in Cayman, and we would take our persons committing orimes in Jamaica. That assurance was given in thia House many years ago.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. Fresident, we do send the Jamaicane convioted here to the Jamaican priaons, Sir, but when a Caymanian is convioted in Jomaica they have no legislation whereby they can send that person to the Cayman Islands.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Perhaps it witl help if I conment that if indeed an assurance was given that we would seek to negotiate with damaica an arrangenent whereby they would send any Caymanians convicted in their courte to aerve prison sentences to these Islands, then we can certainly seek to negotiate such an arrangement now, but we cannot promise that it will be negotiated. It depends upon the agreement of the Jcmaican authomities. Moreover, local legislation would have to be passed too to enable this to be done. If a Caymanian prisonex aame here today who had been sentenced by a Jamaican court to continue serving his term of imprisonment, we could not accept him into the prison because our Law would not provide for him to be so accepted.

Now there would be no problem, if it were the wish of the House, in changing our Law. I just want to make clear that the position is that firstly we should have to get the Jamaicon Govermment to agree to do this, and secondly we should have to change our Law. The possibility of doing both those things can olearly be explored.

HON. DEWNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, the Member has the assurances which he referred to mixed up, Sir. The assurance that was given was that when the prison was completed here we would take back to Cayman all Caymanian prisoners who were sent to Jamaica to serve their sentence, Sir. And we did that.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, a supplementaru. Then the Member is saying that there are no other Caymanian prisoners in Jamaica except the one who was convicted in Jcomaica?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: NO, Mr. President, I am mr t aduing that at att. I am saying that this was a Caymanian who was oonvicted of a crime committed in Jamaica and is serving a sentence in Jamaica.

> MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
> Mr. President, may I ask the Member then how many other Caymanians may be serving time in Jomaioa?

MR. PRESIDENT: Do you mean Caymonians who were convicted here in Cayman?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, that is a different question but as far as I know we have none now.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, supplementary. It is realised that there is only one prisoner involved but this prisoner of course is important to his fomity too, and my supplementary is to ask that we be given the assumanoe that the matter will be further investigated.
$\frac{\text { HON. DENNISH. FOSTER: }}{\text { assurance, Sir. }} \quad$ I think I can give the Member that
MR. PRESIDENT:
By inadvertence we have strayed, it is my fault, beyond 11 o'clock so question time must now end.

BILLS
MR. PRESIDENT:
The House will now go into Committee to study a Bitl entitled The Stamp Duty (Amendment) Bill. 1984 and one other Bizt.

COMMITTEE THEREON
THE STAMP DUTY (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
MR. CHATRMAN:
Please be seated.
The House is now in Committee. A Bill for a Lcaw to amend the Stamp Duty Law, 1973.

CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TTTLE.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Honourable Member wishee to epeak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUTT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED
CLERK: CLAUSE 2. AMENDMENT OF SCHEDULE OF LAW 5 OF 1973.
MR. CHATRMAN:
I think before I invite debate on
this question I would just point out thit Members, I have certainly had and I think alt Members have had copiee of a Notioe of Amendment to Clause 2. I am assuming that the Honourable Thind Official Member has sought or intends to seek my leave in aocoritonce with the proviaions of Standing Order 52(2) to move an cmendment of which two days notice has not been given. I think I am right, we have not had two days notice, but $I$ certainly give leave.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Yes, Mr. Chriman. I would like to move an amendment to Clauee 2:
that paragraph (c) of clause a be amended by the detetion of the words "no charge to duty" in the seoond line thereof, and the substitution therefore of the words "a charge to duty in the sum of seventyfive dollars".

QUESTION PROPOSED: DEBATE ENSUED


#### Abstract

MISS ANNTE H. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, presently what we call a Deed of Gift requiree to be stamped with a twenty doltar etamp or there is a collection fee of twenty dotlare. I personally think that if we increase that thirty dollars more and make it fifty dollars, that would be reasonable. I will agree, sir, that there is a lot of Stomp Duty which has never been collected through the scme wording of "naturat love and affection", which I an sure is not exaotly hou it should have gone. Nevertheless, I feel now that there are a lot of poor people involved in this Deed of Gift business and a fee of fifty dollars in my opinion would be very reasonable. The rich could pay the seventy-five dollars without any question, but personally I feel that fifty dollare is an equitable amount for this.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: $I$ aupport the views expressed by the lady Member and I feel that the comount should be fifty dollare as well instead of esventy-five as the comendment proposes.


CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I concur, Sir. This is what we agreed to, fifty dothars, verbally ond I see seventy-five here in writing. So fifty dollars is what I would recommend.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
HON.JOHN B. MOLEAN:

So say we alt, sir.
Mr. Chairman, subject to what our cotleagus the Honourable Thind Official Member thinks we feel it is all right for fifty dollare, too.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: I am in agreement with the amendment from seventy-five dollare to fifty dollars, Mr. Chairman. I realise of course that on many occasions Stamp Duty is not going to be collected. There are casee where it is adid that a brother is giving a parcel of land to a brother for "natural love and affection" and no money has exchanged hands. My years of dealing with this suggests to me that that statement, although you may have an affadavit, it is not in all cases a hundred per cent true. Thus the reason for placing some charge, some higher charge on the "natural love and affection" seetion.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL.: Mr. Chairman, while this may be true in one on two aases we are dealing on a wholes, and I do not think that it is correct to say that everybody who gives for "natural love and affection is trying to avoid paying what is due to Government.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. Chairman, I was not suggesting that it is everybody ding it.

MR. CHATRMAN: I think we have got to $G$ point where there is a fairly generat feeling that "fifty" should be substituted fon the "seventy-five". I an not quite sure whether the mechanics are going to be that somebody moves an amendment to the Honourable Third Official Member's amendment, or whether he himself seeks leave, which I would gront, to alter his oin amendment. All that neede to happen is that the figure "seventy-five" gets changed to "fifty" I think. Do you want to take responsibility for altering it yourself or would you prefer somebody else to move an amendment?

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Chairman, I would prefer that bomeone else moves the amendment.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I wonder whether the Third Elected Member for George Town who first made the proposal would move it? I would be quite willing to arant permiesion to you to move an. amendment of which notice has not been given if you wiah.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I move an amendment that the cmount of "seventy-five dollars" be reduced to "fifty dollars", Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think what you will have to do is to move an cmendment that the amendment proposed by the Honourable Thixd Official Member be itself amended by deleting the words "seventy-five" and aubstituting therefore the wond "fifty". Subject to the advice of the Honowrable Second Official Member I think that would achieve the purpose, and I think there would probably have to be a aeconder to your motion.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: I second it, Sir,
MR. CHAIRMAN: It is not necessary in Committee the Clexk tells me. So are you content with the amendment to the amendment that $I$, as it were, put into your mouth?

MISS ANNIE $H$. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I propose, Sir, an amendment to the amendment suggested by the Honourable Thind Official Member, that the amount of "seventy-five dotlars" be reduced to "fifty dottare", Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think we witt have to get the wording alightly different because what you have to move is that the amendment proposed by the Honourable Third Official Member be amended by deteting the words "seventy-five" and substituting for them the word "fifty", and if you are content to accept that wording...

## MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:

$I$ am very content to put that in, sir.
Shath I repeat my....
MR. CHAIRMAN: Mo, I do not think you need to repeat it. I think we have got it straight now.

IHON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I an just stightly worried for the future about the manner in which this proposal is being put forward. As I see it in our Standing Orders there is no direct reference to any amendment to an cmendment, and $I$ think what Members are proposing is that the substantive clause be cmended in a different monner. The way I have been used to it, Sir, is if the proposer of an amendment is witling to incorporate a change into his own substantive amendment it is acceptable enough, but we could yet to a stage sometime where great confusion be caused when there would not only be an amendment to an amendment, but an amendment to the amendment of the amendment and so on. I think that I personally would like your guidance as to the policy for future ocasions, not necessarily now.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
But, Mr. Chairman, that is what we do in Committee stages...

MR. CHATRMAN: I think we have amended amendments in the past in Conmittee stage. I do take your point that....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
52, Mr. Chaiman.
It is covered by standing Order
MR. CHATRMAN:
Which part of 52?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
$52(10)$.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Standing Order?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
52. Five two.

27(1) which in fact shows that you attention, sir, has been an amendment.

[^22]HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I think this was my worxy and on the reading of 27 I think an amendment may be cmended onoe.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, this is the point I was going to make. You aan only have a second generation amendment, you aannot go beyoni that so to speak.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Weit; if we have that buitt in saferuard I think we are better off than I thought. I will then wule that an conendment to an amendment is permissible under our Standing Orders; that the motion proposed by the Third Elected Member for George Toum is consequently acceptable, and that motion which is to amend the amendment proposed by the Honourable Third Official Member in a sense that witl have the effect of making the charge to duty fifty dollars rather than seventy-five as he proposed, or no charge as the original Law said.

I will now allow debate on the amendment to the omendment if any Member wishee to speak. If not I will put the question that the amendment of the Honourathe Third official Member be itself amended in the sense proposed by the Third Elected Member for George Town.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT TO AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: We now come to debate the amendment of the Honourable Third Official Member as amended. If any Member wishes to speak he may do so, he or she. If not I will put the question that the conended amendment do stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT AS AMENDED PASGED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: We now come to Clause 2 as amended.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, yesterday in second reading I raised a question on the 45 per cent figure in clause 2(b). I am prepared to allow this to move through without pressing my questioning of that and I will have that thrashed with the Honourable Third and Second Offioial Members afterwardes, at a later date.

MR. CHAIRMAN: In other words you would make representations to them that both this Bill and the other Law to which we....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Unless they can convince me that this is a reasonable figure, sir. I would just like to know how it is arrived at, but I do not intend to pursue it here.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
In that case, inless any other Member wishes to speak I will put the question that the amended clause 2 stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: $\quad$ GREED. CLAUSE 2 AS AMENDED PASSED.
CLERK: THE STAMP DUTY (AMENDMENT) LAW, 1984.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that the Title and Enaoting Clause stand part of the Bill. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE WAS PASSED.

CLERK.
MR. CHAIRMAN. question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.

CLERK:
MR. CHAIRMAN: paxt of the $B 2 t \%$.

## CLAUSE 1 SHORT TITLE

The question is that Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill. Unlese any Member wishes to speak I will put the

CLAUSE 2 AMENDMENT OF SECTION 30 (a).
The question is that Clause 2 do stand

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 3 AMENDMENT OF SECTION 128.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
part of the Bill.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to enquire whether the establishment of our own Court of Appeal is sufficiently advanced that we can with safety make this amendment.


#### Abstract

MR. CHATRMAN: Yes, we can. The part of the Constitution which creates our oun Court of Appeal becone operative from the 1st September. There was a proclamation which was issued I think in late March, I could not give it a precise date, which had the effect of bringing certain parts of the Order in Councit amending our Constitution into effect on the 1st September. Some of the parts dealt with the election arrangments and others dealt with the Court of Appeal. I have appointed four persons, and I shall be announcing this in a day or two, but there is no reason why I should not announce it now to Members of the House, to be Members of our Court of Appeal and their appointments take effect from, in two cases the $18 t$ and in two cases the 2nd September. The four persons are the present President of the Court of Appeat of Jamaica the Honourable Eduard Zacea who will be President of our Court too, and I should like to express gratitude to the Government of Jamaica for agreeing that he and one other person whom I shatl presently nome might serve on our Court. A second Member of our Court will be the present Chief Justice of the Bahamas, and I should like to express similar gratitude to the Government of the Bahomas. He is the Honourable Professor Telford Georges. A third Member will be the Honourable James Kerr who is a Member of the Jamaican Court of Appeal and the fourth Member is Mr. Justice Henry a former Member of the Jamaican Court of Appeal from which he retired a while back. He is, I believe, although I am not absolutely certain of my facts, a Member of one or two other Courts of Appeal, perhaps Belize, possibly Bermuda or the Bahomas but essentially is now living in the United States.


Those are the four persons who witl be the Members of our Court of Appeal. The empectation is, although no precise date has yet been set, that the Court urill come here for ite first session some time in late November

MR. CHAIRMAN (CONTINUING): which is roughly the time when the Jamaica Court of Appeal would have come had it still been our Court of Appeal. So the answer to your question which has enabled me to explain the full position to the House is that yes our Court of Appeal is in being.

Mr. BENSON O. EBANKS: Thank you vexy muchs Sir. If we do not ask we will not know.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Well, I hope I would have remembered to issue a statement to the prese announcing the appointments. They have only just been completed.

That gets us back, with apologies for the diversion, to Clause 3 of the Bill, and $I$ will put the question that it stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 3 PASSED

CLERK:
MR. CHAIRMAN: Enacting Clause stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TTTLE WAS PASSED
MR. CHATRMAN: Before we move out of Committee perhaps I should just say in case it is neoessary to say this again, that I am assuming, or have been assuming when we went through these two Bills that it would be the wish of the Cormittee that any necessary corrections to printing errors and the like should, in accordance with our normat practice, be made with our authority by the Honourable Second Official Member. I am not sure whether there are any but just for an abundance of caution in case there are $I$ would like it recorded that the Committee agreed that he should make them. Is that all might?

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Agreed, Sir.

## HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that before we resume
proceedinge it may be convenient to take our customary morning break so I will suspend proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

HOUSE RESUMED AT $11.53 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$.

$\frac{\text { MR. PRESTDENT: }}{\text { Stamp Duty (Amendment) Bitl, } 1984 .}$| Please be seated. Reports. The |
| :---: |
| REPORTS THEREON |
| THE STAMP DUTY (AMENDMENT) $B T L L, ~ 1984$ |

HON. THOMAS C JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I have to report that $\bar{a} B \bar{Z} \ell$ entithed a $\bar{B} Z V$ for a Law to amend the Stamp Duty Law, 1973, $L a w$ of 1973 , was considered by a Committee of the whole House and passed with the following omendment:-

That paragraph (c) of clause 2 be comended by the deletion of the words "no oharge to duty" in the second line thereof" and the substitution therefore of the words "a charge to duty in the sum of fifty dollars".

MR. PRESIDENT:
third reading.
The Bill is accordingly set down for
I think there has been a typing erron in the Order Paper because Item 2(2) under the heading Reports should clearly be the Companies (Amendment) (No. 2) Bitl, 1984.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I have to report that a Biti entitled a bill for a Law to anend the Companies Law, Chopter 22 was considered by a Committee of the whole House and passed without amendment.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Bill is acoordingly set down for third reading.

The next item is Thind Readings.

## THIRD READINGS

THE SUPRLEMENTARY APPROPRIATION (1982) BILL, 1984
CLERK: THE SUPPLEMENTARY APEROPRIATION (1982) BILL, 1984.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. President, I move that a Bill
entitled the Supplementary Appropriation (1982) Bill, 1981 be given a third reading and passed.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitled The Supplementary Appropriation (1982) Bill, 1984 be given a third reading and passed. I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED
THE SUPPLEMENTARY APPROPRIATION (1983) BTLL, 1984
CLERK:
THE SUPPLEMENTARY APPROPRTATTON (1983)
BILL, 1984.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFEERSON: Mr. President, I move that a Bill entitled The Supplementary Appropmation (1983) Bill, 1984 be given $a$ thind reading and passed.

MR. PRESIDENT: The question is that a Bill entitled The Supplementary Appropriation (1983) Bill. 1984 be given a third reading and passed. If no Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED
THE STAMP DUTY(AMENDMEN') BILL, 1989
CLERK:
THE STAMP DUTY (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I move that a Bill entitled The Stamp Duty (Amendment) Bitt, 1984 be aiven a thinh raading and passed.

MR. PRESIDENT;
The question is that a $\dot{B} i l l$ entitled The Stamp Duty (Amendment) Blil, 1984 be given a third reading and passed. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN 1 THIRD READING AND PASSED
THE COMEANIES (AMENDMENT) (NO. 2) BILL, 1984

CLERK:
THE COMPANIES (AMENDMENT)(NO.2) BILL, 1984.
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I move that a Bill entitiled The Companies (Amendment) (No.2) Bitl, 1984 be given a third reading and passed.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that the Companies (Amendment)(NO.2) Bill, 1984 be given a third reading and passed. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED
MR. PRESIDENT:
I did, I think, explain at the start of this morning's proceedings that I proposed to adjust the order in which items appeared in the Order Paper ao that we could take the Committee stage, which we are already hatf way through, of the Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984 and its further stages before we. embarked on the Caymanian Protection Bill. So I now announce that the House witl go into Conmittee next to study a Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to amend the Liquor Licensing Law.

COMMITTEE THEREON
$\frac{\text { THE LIQUOR LICENSING (AMENDMENT) BILL, } 1984}{\text { CONTTNUED }}$
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Please be seated.
I apologise for pausing a iittle. Membere will recall that we had reached the stage of discussing Clause 7 of the Bill to which, with leave, the Honourable First Official Member had moved an omendment of which I think all Membere have copies, and that subsequently the Third Elected Member for West Bay had indicated that he might propose to move an amendment to the amendment. I have just been reading

MR. CHAIRMAN (ONTINUING): a note which he has had circulated and it seems to me that really it was lese an amendment to the amendment. proposed than a different amendment to the same clause. I am not sure I have made myself wholly clear. It is so worded that instead of seeking to atter the wording of the onendment proposed by the Honourable First Official Member it seeks to amend the clause in the Bill. In other words therefore $I$ do not think I acn regard it, subject to any representations the Member may make in a moment, that I could regard it as an onendment to the Honourable First Official Member's amendment. It would have to be discussed subsequently as an omendment in its oum right, unless it is rephrased.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: If the Honourable Member in the Chair would permit me to give my understanding of it, and that is that once you had finished speaking, Sir, I understood it was the intention of the Honourable First Official Member to withdraw his amendment thus permitting the Honourable Third Elected Member to put it fomared as a substantive amendment in its own right.

MR. CHAIRMAN: But you see as phrased in the thing that is circulated it is expressed to be an amendment to the amendment moved by the Honourable First Official Member.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, tho worinn that is there was done by shall I say the Officers at the Table, but I think we can get over our problem by putting a full stop after cmendment on what I have circulated at the end of the fourth line and deleting all the rest of the preamble up at the top.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me first find out whether the Honourable First Official Member intends to withdraw his amendment because if he did that would clarify the position slightly.

```
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, Standing Order 25(6)
says:
    "Any amendment may be withdrown at the request of the
    mover, by leave of the House, before the question is fully
    put thereon".
```

I seek the leave of the House to withdraw the amendment that I circulated yesterday; Six, in accordanoe with Standing Order 25(6).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, then the firet question before I come back to the Third Elected Member for West Bay, is whether there is any dissent to the Honourable First Official Member's request to withdraw his amendment.

If nobody dissents from that then $I$ do not think $I$ need to put a question on it. His amendment is now withdrown and can be forgotten.

We come next to the Third Elected Member for West Bay and I think it is quite true you wanted to delete in what has been circulated the words "to the amendment moved by the Honourable First Official Member", as it then stands as an anenament in its own right is what it amownts to.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
I think it is fair to say, Sir, that in retation to the Officers at the Table, that they were presumably acting it from the understanding of the House as of yesterday afternoon in so phrasing.

MR. CHATRMAN:
That is quite right. It is perfectly true. I had understood that the Third Elected Mertber for West Bay wanted to move an amendment to the amendment. That is recorded in the Minutes and the Officers at the Table were trying to move an amendment to an amerdment.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chaizman, that is what I wanted to mention. I mean if we ask the Officers at the Table to assist ue it is unfair then to try to blame them beoause it is aigned.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: . Mr. Chaiman, I was not casting any aspergions on the Officers at the Table. I was merely pointing out that the amendment which I had handed in for typing was enlarged by them in keeping with the understanding which they gathered from the procedure yesterday evening. I think they were quite right. I was not casting any aspersions.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think there was some understandable confusion and we need not apportion blame. I do not think anybody is to blame. That is all right. Let us get back to the business then.
$I$ have given you leave in accordance with Standing Order 52(2) to move an comendment. The amendment that you have moved is that which has been oirculated and its effect is to anond Clause 7 of the Bill by deletina the proviso in paragraph or sub-alause, $I$ am not sure what the terminotogy $i s$, (a) of clause 7, and substituting for it a different proviso saying:
"PROVIDED, however, that the aforesaid prohibition on the granting of a licence by reasons of proximity to any church, school or civic centre shatl not apply in respect of $a$ restaurant licence or $a$ wins and beer licence under seetion 10.".

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, it is my amendment could I. speak to the amendment then. . .
$\frac{\text { MR. CHAIRMAN: }}{\text { speak to the amendment and then other Members can speak to it too. }}$
MR. BENSON $O_{\text {, EBANKS: }} \quad$ Mr. C7rairman, had the amendment proposed by the Honourable First Official Member not been withdram or it had been carwied, the effect of that amendment would have meant that any type of lioence could have been granted notwithstanding the fact that the premises sought to be licensed were within a quarter of a mile of any church, school or aivic centre.

The anendment which I am proposing witl limit the granting of licences within a quarter of a mite of a church to a restaurant licence or a wine and beer licence. In fact, alt that my comendment is really doing is deleting that section of the proviso which presently stands in the Bill after the words stection $10^{\circ}$. In other words it deletes that section of the Bill which requires the written permission of the authorities of the churoh, schools or civic centres. I think that if I remember the feelings of Members of the Committee and those of Members who spoke on the Bill, this would meet with majority approval. It certainly is what I am prepared to live with but $I$ am not prepared to live with a situation where a general retail ticence oould be issued within that quarter of a mile. I think that for the time being pending the drafting or redrafting of a comprehensive new Liquor Licensing Law which we have all agreed is needed and will be forthooming, this would serve the country well and would take away the objections

MR. BENSON D. EBANKS (CONTINUING): which I have sensed exist in the conmunity with regard to having to get the permission of the church, school or civic centre authorities.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN.
Mr. Chairman, all we are doing is ptaying a game with the Liquor Law. We are going to wind up with one of the most awkuard pieces of legialation that has ever been on the Statute Books of this country. What is being displayed here, Sir, is pure hypoorisy. I said in introducing this Bill that I did not agree with it and to have put the onus on the churohes and expected that any minister was going to give anyone a letter saying "I have no objection to you getting a liquor ticence next door to my church" is midioulous. We knew it would not happen. No sohoot teacher would do it. Nobody with the social services would do it. What are we trying to play at?

Now we come with another ne, Mr. Chairman, and this says the prohibition would not apply in respect to a restourant licence or $a$ wine and beer licence. Now, Mr. Chaiman, a restaurant licence is a bit of an anomaly because when you come to the meal there is no definition of meal in the Low and how are we going to determine what constitutes a meat? Someone could go into a restaurant or one of these establishments and order a small boul of jello. It oould be a meal. The next one could go and order Norberg pattiess one of them, that woutd be a meal. The next one might get a doughnut. That is considered a meal. Yet that restaupant with that licence would be able to supply any type of mixed drinks that it wanted to supply so the person can sit there with a plate in front of him with a half eaten patty on it and get drunk, and cause as much disturbonce as he woutd hove caused if he was in a generat rotail licensed place.

The same thing applies to the wine and beer licence, Mr. Chairman. I am sure that the gentlemen in this House, the thdy Momber and myself have seen people many times in tife drunk on beer and if you want to see a nasty drunk, that is a nasty dmunk. So what are we trying to do? I am promoting no special interest group but a person who is going into either one of these ventures is investings say $\$ 50,000$. A person who is going into a hotel in an area like this and he may have inherited the land and did not have the money to buy it in another area, has probably invested half a mitition dotlaxs and he is catering more or less to offshore people. I want to make it specifically alear that I have nothing to do with and no way to hetp the establishment in North Side other than what is covered in the Low. I believe in being fair with it. What I cm saying to this House is, where is your conscience? If you can give a licence to somebody in thia area who is going to invest $\$ 50,000$ when you have a man who has invested maybe half a million dollars on more in a hotel which is an entirely different type of trade than the restaurant or beer hatt are you going to say he is not exempt? This is hypoarisy.
into an election. Ithe Members of this House are poing is trying to placate the chung into one too and all we are doing is trying to placate the ohuroh on one hand by saying "I did not do anything to you, I just voted for a restavicant licence, I did not vote for a hotel licence", to try to get some votes. Ar. Chairman, whether we win or lose the elaction we must stand up for what is good for the country and it must be fair. This is not a fair amendment. This is not a fair Bill in totality and as I said in my opening speech the entixe thing should have been withdraun until a comprehensive Bill could be put before the House next year.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I mentioned at other stages in dealing with this Bill the fancy footwork that has been going on with this Bill, and I would like to understand exactly what is going on. This is not a Private Members Bitl. This is a Govervment Bill. What I want to know is whether Government has presented this Bill with its colleotive responsibility and Members have departed from that collective reaponsibility since they have got into this House, or whether they wish...

## MR. CHATRMAN:

Wait, wait.

I am not finished, Mr. Chairman.

No, but I am interrupting you so you
MR. CHAIRMAN: will have to wait for a moment, because you have raised a point which I think does need answering. My understanding is that this is a Bill which was in effect the product of a select Committee, not of the Govermment, The Government Member concerned moved a motion which was passed by the House in May if I remember right, but I am subject to correction on that, to appoint a Select Cormittee to review the Liquor Licensing Lcas. The Select Conmittee went through the Lcu and drafted a Bill. Select Committee certainty appended a Bill amending the Law to its Report. Therefore, although the Goverronent Member responsible brought the Bill to the House it was in effect a Select Committee Bill, not a Govervment Bill. I had already told the Official Members of the Govermment that in my view this would be a free vote as far as they were concerned because it is a matter about which deeply helds sometimes religious, betiefs arise, and Members it seemed to me shoutd be entitled to a free vote.

> MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
> Mr. Chairman, you will recall that when that motion was brought to the House I objected to that type of back door legislation.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I I qoing to take a Point of Order because he is beginning along the lines today which created the bad procedure which arose yesterday.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think we should get back to the speoific clause. I did think it was right to clarify the point as to whether this was a Government Bill on not and to make it clear that people have a free vote, but now I would wish to get back, not to argument about who brought the Bill or said what when, but to diacussion specificalty about the proposed amendment to this particular olause. Anybody who starts to talk about something other than the proposed amendment to this particutar alause will be out of order.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chatman, I would like to clamify a point here which has been raised and I think it is my duty to clarify it. The Member has raised a point about it being a Government Bitl. This came from a Conmittee.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman...
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I have atready said that.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, piease. Would you make
the Member stop intervupting until I have said what I have to say?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: On a Point of Onder, Mr. Chairmazn.
You miled me out of order for carrying on in that direction.

Mis. CHALRMAIT:
If you had not intermpted me I would
have bsen about to have asked the Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Counail to stop for the same reason. I have made the point clear that it was brought by a Select Cormittee with you bringing it on behalf of the Select Conmittee, not as a Govermment measure, and as you have made clear you are by no means in full agreement with it.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I think I am entitled to have my say and I would....

MR. CHAIFMAN: No, I have stopped him and...
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: No, hold it a minute please. You have brought out a point here, sir, which none of my elected aolleagues and myself were cware of until yesterday afternoon you mentioned to me in the Committee room that you had released the three Official Members on a vote of conscience on this Biti. Now if that had been your decision, in dealing with this, I took it from Executive Council to bring here expecting I was bringing it really as a Goverrment Member in respect of the portfolio reporting from the Committee. I was not aware, and you would have put us in a tight apot if we had been depending on the votes of our official Colleagues to get this through. The point is that this was a Biil that the Members all met in Committee on. They agreed; I have the Minutes. In the five Meetings that were held there was nobody recorded as objecting, and this come as a Report from the Committee. Then when it was put on the floor of the House Everybody went cway from what the Comittee's Report was, which is different than what is usually done with a Committee to which a Bili has been referred. I think that should be clarified.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think you are quite might. That is to bays I think that at one stage it had been expected that a concensus had been reached and that the Bill would go through without serious problems. It subsequently becamc alear that there were going to be differences of view and conceivably a lot of debates and discussions and maybe divisions on the Bill. At that stage in accordonce with what I understood to be your views and wishes, I said I thought that it should, as far as the Official Members were conoerned, not be regarded as a Government measure and be a measure in which people could vote according to their oonscience. Because I do think also that it is a matter of conscience in respect of the religious implications of it.

MISS ARNIE H. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, if I may be permitted to say, Sir, that four of these Meetings were held while I was ili. I only attended the last one.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Well.smay we get back to the amendment?
MR. CRADDOCK EBANRS:
Mr. Chairman, through you, Sir, to the Honourabie Second Official Member, by votes would it be possible to withdrow this from the House to some other time, maybe the next two years, three years, five years?

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think the answer to that point,
subject to the Honourable Second Officiat Member's viev is that if you are referming and I take it you must be to the clause concerned, then the answer must be that it would be possible, if the Committee so wished, to delete the particular clause... to the whote Bill, I think Standing Onder 58 is relevant, which reads:-
"The Member in charge of a bill may make a motion for its withdrawat, either before the commencement of Government business or when any stage of the bill is reached in the Order of Business."

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I do not feet that should be done, Sir. I hate to stop in mid stream. If we have brought the Bill certainly we can make the amendments to the sectiona which need to be amended, and go through with the Bill, six.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, that does not mean to say that I am afraid to atand on the dictates of my conscience.

MR. CHATRMAN:
I think that there are two potentiatly
controversial aspects of the matter. One is this particular clause on which Members evidently have strong and differing feelinas. A second is not really directly related to the contents of the Bill but rather to what the Bill does not contain, because the mover of it has made clear that he thinks various other mendments not contained in the Bill ought to be made to the Liquor Licensing Law. It has been generatty acknowledged that the other amendments ane matters which should be left until a comprehensive new law has been written. If therefore we can either delete this clause or find some amendment that a majority can agree tos or pass it in its present form if a majority wished that, then it does seem to me that the Bill ought to be abte to go forvard because the rest of the Bill seems to me to be reasonably unoontroversial.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS; Mr. Chaiman. I want to make one final statement and I witl be finished. It relates to this alause, Six, it relates to my amendment. This conendment to Section 7 of the Bill which refers to section 9 of the principal Law is as far as I am prepared to go. I am not saying that Membere have to accept this; that is their business, but I am not accepting the removal in its totality of that quarter of a mile.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, the Section we are dealing with is very important because it was brought out in the debate that probably nine out of tan liquor establishments are now operating illegally because they are within a quarter of a mile of a church, a civic centre or a schoots and each application for a licence is actually a new ticence. If we are going to leave the clause as it. was in the oniginal Bitl we would have to enforce the low now that it has come to light that most of the liquor establishmente are within a quarter of a mile of a church. So I think the House has an obligation to put this olause right and my feeling on it is that since the ctause is obnoxious and since the olause is desregarded in the enforcement of the law, we should amend the clause by taking the entire restriction from it until such time as we can put in a comprehensive Law that may contain a grandfather alause to take care of the establishments which are now operating illegally. I do not think that in the face of the knowledge we have we oan just shut our eyes and walk away from this clause. So we are forced to either shut down atl the places or amend this clause.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH: Mr. Chaiman, as I took over this amendment before us here today I am more convinced than ever about what I said in my debate which was that every time we mingle with this Law we make it worse. Mr. Chairman, I had intended to support certain eections of this Blill but now I have entirely changed my mind. The defence has come up, Mn. Chairman, that we woutd have to shut down the old restrurante within a quarter of a mile if we left it as it is, but I cannot look at it in that manner. I an not prepared to come back here, Mr. Chairman, and legislate retroactive legislation because these places were there, Mr. Chairman, before this Law even came into effect. As it stands now, Sir, I am not supporting this amendinent.

MF. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, it haa been mis poliay
as a Member of the Liquor Licensing Board to support the majority of people in any place that an application was made for a licence rather than to aupport the applicant. The records aan prove that we have had numerous petitions against applications before the Board and none of those applied to the areas of a ohuroh or civic centre, and I opposed the applicants beoause a number of people were against the ticence. Why ahould I support an applicant against the wishes and desires of one, two or three 7undred people? When the amendment was brought to this House as a distance proximity between a church and a licensed premises it was made abundantly clear that it would not affect existing iveensed premises, for a church might have been built within the proximity of that distance.

I feel, Sir, that when we think in terms of stepping a little further to degrade what ought to be the one and only atone that we would be able to turn to and kneel to, that is the churches. There are only three types of tiquor ticences if you want to talk about soft liquor as a restaurant with beer and wine, and then hard liquor that has move alcohot. But the hotels and other licensed premises are licensed to sell any type of liquor. Probably three quarters of their customers go in and dxink beer and some of them have to be ted out. Some falt down in the premises. Do you feel, Mr. Chairman, that the behaviour would be any better in a restourant ticensed to sell beer and wine? When we lose respect for the church we have lost respect for our parents, for our people, for our country and for God. I am really surprised, Mr. Chairman, that hard backed men cannot come to some deoision and say we will do what is fair to the people, the church, the achools and the countriy.

Mr. Chaiman, I have heard a tot go on in this House for two days when I was calm and quiet except when I spoke to the President of this Assembly. It was said that about nine out of every ten lioensed premiaes are in the proximity of a church and that is a false statement. I have been all over this Island and I say, Sir, without fear of contradiction that there are not nine churches out of every ten which are in the proximity of ticensed premises.

Mr. Chairman, I am not againet any one individual. On principle for this country and its people I am not preparied to support such an amendment that licensed premises are allowed within a quarter of a mile of churches, schools and oivic centres regardless of whether it was Her Majesty setting up premiaes there.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Ar. Chaiman, I would like to advise the Members of this House that since $I$ have been Chairman of the Liquox Litoensing Board I have tried repeatedly to see if we could not tighten up this Law. What we ave talking about here today is an amendment that I proposed several years ago to the House and it was passed. It was not brought by any other Member and it was not the idea of any other Member but myself. The Cormittee which was hald on this - heve is where I ani at a loss to underatand what happens because in a Committee if you do not agree you can submit a minoxity report. No minority report was submitted with the Committee's report. No objections were registered in the Committee's report. Therefore I brought to this House what cams out of the Committee. I did not attend any of the Committee Meetings so I com not responsible for anything, and as I have said before and repeat, it will probably be the only time in my life that anyone will ever'say that Jim Bodden remained neutral because I believe striotly that in life you go down one side of the road or the other. But $I$ am to the point that I think I will have to remain neutral on this Bill and I still feel that the best service that can be rendered to this House and to the people of this country, because it is tearing the House apart I would say quite foolishly at this point, is not to pursue this Bill any further. The Bill should be withdrown regardless of who it hurts and it shoutd be brought back next year or some other time.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I aan assure yous Sir, that it is not going to hurt me whether it is passed or not passed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think at this stage what I woutd prefer to do is to suspend proceedings for lunch which may give us all a chance to consult quietly and see whether some sort of acoommodation can be reached, before a matter about which people have very strong feelings causes anyone to let his feelings overcome him.

I think $I$ can suspend from Committee without resuming in the House can I not? I will suspend procesdings until approximately 2.15 p.m.

COMMITTEE RESUMED AT 2.24 P.M.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Please be seated. Before we resume proceedings in Committee I would tike just to make two points. The first is that $I$ think it was said on a number of occasions this morning by the Honourable Third Official Member or by others that there is a need for a Meeting of the Finance Committee. What I would propose is that we continue with the business of the House until our oustomary aftermoon break at 3.15 p.m. or $3.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. or whatever time turns out to be convenient, that we then take the break and after the break Finance Committee meet. I am assured by the Honourable Third Official Member that the Finance Committee Meeting should not last too long. So if that is oonvenient we will plan accordingly.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, there is going to be another Committee of the House too, the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association Committee, so I do not know if the Member responsible for that is considering carrying on after the Firance Committee Meeting.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: I would hope so, Mr. Chairman. There is just one item whioh would take about five minutes.

MR. CHAIRMAM: Well, hopefully the Fincince Conmittee might be over soon after $4.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and if we break soon after $3.15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. they might be able to meet at $3.45 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and finish by 4.30 p.m. and have the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association Meeting thereafter.

That was the first point and we can plan accordingly if that suits Members. The second point was simply to ask for Members' assistance and co-operation during the remainder of the debate at Committee stage of the Liquor Licensing Bill. I fully understand that it is a Bill about which a number of Members have very strong views and aincere personal convictions of one kind or another but I hope that we can, nevertheless, manage to debate tt without Members beaoming angry with one another, and in particular without Members putting me in the Chair in a position of having to call them to order or otherwise exercise any discipitnary functions of a kind to which I am not accustomed. Because the behaviour of Members in this House is usually so impecoable by comparison with the behaviour of Members in, let us just say in certain other places. So $I$ look to you for your help and support this afternoon.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
I wonden if I may just speak on
the amendment briefly, sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, if I can refresh Members' minde, the omendment under consideration is an amendment to Clause 7 of the Bill and it was proposed by the Third Elected Member for West Bay, and I did rute that future discussion of it should be confined strictly to it. So the Honowrable Second Elected Member of Executive Counci.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
As I mentioned in the second reading, Sir, I think the Legislature must either take off the restriction or let it remain. I am against taking it off wnless Members aan find a solution which witl ensure that the amendment is not going to create a problem to churches which I have seen happen in the past. Then I am really in the position that I will vote against

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): both the amendment which is before the House and the section of the Bill and just let it run as it $i_{8,}, S i r$.


#### Abstract

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I endorse the words of the tast speaker. I am unable to aupport the anendiment that is presently before the Committee and it is my position that the abaolute prohibition of the quarter of a mile diatance that atands under the prinoipal Lou,, Section 9, ehould remain. That is my position. Sir.


MR. CHAIRMAN: Does any other Member wish to speak?
MR. CRADDOCK EEANKS: $\cdots$ Mr. Chairiman, I think I made that point abtudantly aleap when $I$ spoke before the House was surpended and I do not have any changee in my thinking to add, to delete one wond or a line or anything else from it. I expect, sir, to vote as I have stated.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Thind Elected Member for George
Town.
$\frac{\text { MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Chaizman, Six, I expressed my }}{\text { view at the introduotion of this Bill and I still feel the same. }}$ $I$ would auggeat, Sir, that this matter is so complicated that we adjourn this whole matter until the naxt crew come to this House, which woutd be 1885. Thank you, Sir.


#### Abstract

HON. JOHN B. MaCLEAN: Mr. Chairman, I think that we all realiee that there is a problem and the only way to solve a problem is to face tt. I cannot see us as Members of this Honourable Howes shirking our reeponeibility and hoping to pase it on to those who may be back here in the next House. I stated quite clearly, Mr. Chairman, in my debate on this Bill where I stood and I for one, my baok is hand enough to atand by what I aaid then. I in no way would like to see the responsibitity of this seotion placed on the shoulders of the churches, echools or civic centres, but what I would like to see, Mr. Chairman, is that the neceseary steps be taken here to have this matter resolved today, and for each one of us to try to put ourselves together and inatead of getting furious over something lat us think and think properly and ootue the problem. The motion which is before the Houes ia not going to sat soma new preoedents. We have the Cayman Arms, Mr. Chaiman, that is only a stone's throw away from a church but like the Membar for the Leseer Islands pointed out in his contribution, the place ts properly built; it is air-conditioned; it is enolosed and I aannot think of it at any time disturbing the churoh. So why can we not be realistio and face the facts and get this thing over with. We are wasting a lot of time. thank you.


MISS ANNIE B. BODDEX: Mr. Chairman...
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The Second Elected Member for West
Bay cought my eye first.
MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS: Mr. Chairmant, I etond on what I said in the debate. I oannot see us putting the onus of this thing on the church or aivic centres or anybody eloe. We have to face up to it. . We set the Low. Another thing that I will not support is the moving of the quarter of a mile out of this

MR, D. DALMAIN EBANKS (CONTINUING): Bill. Everything etse, Sir, I will go along with even to the drawing up and drafting of a new Bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad \therefore$ The Thind Elected Member for West
Bay. Yes, sorry....
MR. CIADDDCK EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I do not think by this
time that it is any searet on there is any misunderstanding of where I stand on this Bill, but one of the things that bothers me is I stated in my debate that I had objected to the proviso in this Bill. I also asked that my objections be reconded and I hear here today that no objections have been recorded at all in Conmittee stage. I cannot'support the omendment as I have said before and I will be voting sitmetly no. I thank you, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think if I can just put one point on record. The Report of the Seleat Committee did in fact reaord some objections and your objection to Clause 7 in the Bill was there recorded.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
I apprectate that, but I thought
that $I$ understood the mover to say that he did not eee any
objections.
MR. CHATRMAN:
I think aome Member mistakenly, eartier today, did ay that the select Comittee Report had not recorded any objeotions, but in fact it did. The Third Elected Member for George Town.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: $\quad$ Mr. Chaiman, Sirp what I would tike to, have settled in my mind is this. Those places which are within the quarter of a mile of any church, sohool or civic centre, if and when it happens that they have to be treated in a new application, will it be considered that they can no longer hold a licence? I would like to hove that settled in my mind, Sir:: or are we obligated to aamy on with them as they are?

MR. CIAIIRMAN:
My understanding is that the
provistion in question in the present tow which piaces a bar on the gront of tiquor licences to premises within a quarter of a mile of a ohurch, a schoot or a civic centre has been Law for some seven years. I cm not quite sure whether it is seven or five, anyway for a number of years. The Liquor Licensing Board has, rightly or wrongly, taken the view that any premises whioh were licensed before those provisions beome Low could property oontinue to be licensed, and the Boand believed that it was the wish of the Assembly that that should be done. Now I do not think that the Board will change its procedure or its practice because theire may now conosivably be some doubt about what the Law property requires. If, in due course we do get legat advice that it is necessary to amend the Las to enable the Liquor Licensing Board to do what it has been doing without being questioned for some six or seven years, and to do what I understond was the wish of the Legislature, then I am sure an amendment would be introduced. But meanwhile I think the Board would and could and should continue to follow the practice that it has foltowed for several yeare. I hope that anowers yous question.

Lesaer Ialánde.
The First Eleoted Member for the

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I am not trying to evade this issue. I have spoken out my contribution in my debate. I made the point quite clear what I would and would not support. We are elected, sir, as the leaders by our people, and if we are unable to carry out that function we should not be here. We have a problem and I say we must colve it, election or no election, Sir. We mast faoe the issue and find a solution to it.

The amendment that was brought in to take out the quarter of a miles Mr. Chairman, if you removed that the Low would have no teeth in it. I objected for that reason. We put that amendment in in 1977 to streng then the Law therefore I was against removing that section which put some teeth into the Law. The provision as has been amended and is before the House today, I said in the debate that I could live with the first part of it. $I$ could not live with the second. The second part has been deleted. I see no reason why we cannot pass this into Law. I can see no reason why we cannot issue a new ticence that this Bill will create, that is a restaurant ticence and a wine and beer licence. I see no reason for that, Sir. The places will be enclosed and aif-conditioned and I see no reason why we cannot come to a decision here today, and I hope that Members will take on this responsibility and be leaders and not followers.

MR. GARSTON J. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, I am wondering if the Member for the Lesser Istands has that assurance that if he was to permit this kind of licence that all these places would be enolosed and air-conditioned. As far as I am concerned there are many of these plaoes whioh are just open to serve a hamburger and they are asking to have a licence to sell liquor to go along with it. Mr. Chairman, I cannot accept this.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, if I could perhaps assist this Committee by drowing their attention to the words of Section 9 of the main Low which says:-
(1) The Board shall not grant a licence with meppect to any premises unless satisfied..."
and then it goes on until -

> "wontess satiefied that it wilt not cause inconvenience to the owners or ocoqiers of neighbouring property."

If the prohibition on the quarter of a mite were removed (and I am not seeking to go on one side or the other as to whether it should besbut if it were removed, there is still a mandatory obligation on the Board not to grant a licence unless the Board is satisfied that such a licence will not couse inconvenience to the owners on ocoupiers of neighbouring property, undex Section 9 as it is at present.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I think I have been
in this House tong enough and have faced a lot of problems and responsibilities in this House, and I do not intend as long as I an here to ahirk or shrug off my responsibilities. I stand on the ground of my responsibilities and to do what I feel is in the best interests of this country. Govermment itself with alt the experts and advice and knowledgeable people that it has in its service, there comes a time sometimes when Goverrment has to send overseas for an expert to come in to solve a problem. I am not shirking my problem or my responsibility in here either, Sir. For the past six months the press has been feeding the

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: public with every Bill that Government proposed to present, it would be brought to you the people in the pubito to have your input in it, for you to deal with it, for you to have your say in it and to ay what should be done about it. Take this one out into the public too.

I am not going to beat around, Mr. Chairman, and be dragged in to satisfy whims and fanoies of any investor into this country. I helped make the Laws of this country for the last thirty years and I am not going to beat around them and what it spelle out I am going to stick to.

MR. CHA.IRMAN:
I think most Members have probably now had an opportunity to make their... All right the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. $G$. $H A I G$ BODDEN:
Mr. Chaimanh, I would like to ay that I support the amendment before the House by the Third Elected Member for Weat bay as a compromise between what is a very harsh provision and what might result in no action being taken. I feel that it would be couxardly for the House to leave this matter unresolved for the next House. They may not be as competent as we are and I feel that we should take a deoision on it one way or the other. I am not convinced that the Board would be legally correat in going on to issue licences when we were told in the Select Committee by the Legat Draughtsman who acted as Chaiman in the absence of the Attormey-General, that it was his opinion that we could not legally continue to issue licences because the Low contained no grandfather clause to protect the already existing businesses. There is also another anomaly where the ticensed places even if they were within or outside the anbits of the church, civic centres and schoots are being arowded daily by them. For example, we have a case in Bodden Town where the Government has buitt a civic centre quite recently and has put the Easterm Queen in jeopardy of losing its licence. So it is a problem which has to be examined in all its many areas and I believe that Members should take a decision one way or another.

It is quite correot that in the
Selset Committee the Third Elected Member for West Bay and the Honourable Eirst Elected Member of Executive Council and I were not happy with the provision which had come out in the Bill, and I am prepared to support the Third Elected Member for Weat Bay in the omendment that he has made. I would like to say while I am on this subjeot that an earlier statement of mine was misunderstood and I would like to clarify that. I did not say that 90 ter oent of the churches were within a quarter of a mile of the liquor establishments. I said 90 per cent of the liquor establishments were within a quarter of a mile of a church, civic centre or school and this is correct. For example, in Bodden Toum 100 per cent of the liquon establishments are within a quarter of a mile of a church, a oivic centre or a school and this applise to East End and most of the establishnents in West Bay and George Town. It is probably only the Seven Mile Beach where the liquor establishments are not within a quarter of a mile of a church, civic centre or school. It also applies to Cayman, Brac which hae more churohes than it has liquor establishments.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Also, Mr. Chairmon, if a church was built within a quarter of a mile of ticensed premises $I$ could not support that churoh either aftemwards.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, Sir, I would like to know thout a licence which we turned down several years ago because it was within a few feet distance of a church in regard to the quarter of a mile, if we were to grant this now would that applicant have an opportunity to make a new application?

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think if the Law were omended to detate the prohibition on licensed premises within a quarter of a mile of a church, either generally or in raspect of certain kinds of tiquor licences, then the Board would be at liberty to consider new applioations for tiquor licences either generally or in respect of the particular kinds concerned. It would not have to grant them because there would be various other restrictive provisions in the Law but it would be able to grant them if it saw fit.

I do hope that nearly every Member
has had a chance of a say now.
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say one final thing on this. I really cannot understand what we are trying to accomplish with this amendment because if you sell liquor in a place that is licensed as a restaurant and you sell it in a place that is registered as a hotel or that has a general retail licence you are dispensing the same amount of drink with the a ame effect. So I cannot see how the Members oan cover this up in their conscience and vote for this thinking that thay have given a little bit to this one and a little bit to that one and they are in between. You either have to be one way or the other on this and oertainty as I have said before, if a person is going to get drunk he is going to get drunk, and a person who is drunk. on beer is as dmunk as if he was dmunk on whisky. So how can you make your conscience feet better by passing this amendment? This amendments to be very frank about it, is more ridiculous than the one we had before, because the one we had before we knew before we passed that that no minister, no teacher, no social worker was going to be foolish enough to put his signature on a paper allowing a place to open. Which meant that it was entirely a prohibition which instead of it being a prohibition that would have been granted by the Legislative Assembly and by the Liquor Licensing Board, it was a prohibition then being granted by the person. invotved. Now here we come with another one and we say alt right we can make our conscience feel better if we say "you can eat a patty and get drunk with the liquor next door to the churoh, but you cannot go to the other side of it and get dmonk without eating a patty".

What are we trying to do? I am sure we would be looked on as the biggest bunch of hypocrites in this world if we passed this amendment. We only hive two alternatives open to $u s$, Mr. President, and those are to keep the Law as it is today without granting licences to anybody, with a complete prohibition, or take it off. It is another case of where you are either walking on one side of the road or the other. You cannot walk the middle path. I will finish my contribution I think unless someone says something that angers me a bit, in which case I may say something else. Other than that, Sir, we have no alternative before us but one or the other of what I have just suggested, and the best thing that aan be done for this House is what I suggested before the noon break, and that was to withdraw this Bill and bring it back at another time when the Members may not be so afraid.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, as the mover of this amendment I think it might be incumbent on me to try to explain what the effect of this proposed omendment would be. That is that in addition to all of the other considerations which the Board must take into acoount including whether the place will be a nuisance generally to the occupier of any premises, which would mean a house, a church, a school or a civic centre. If this amendment were accepted as proposed it would mean that the Board would not be bound to turn down an application for a restaurant or a beer licence only because it was within a quarter of a mile of a church. Now there $i s, M r$. Chaiman, a difference between a restaurant ticence and a beer and wine ticence, and a general retail licence because in Section 8 of the Bill which we will be coming to next the restaurant licence is defined. It says:-
${ }^{\text {ir }}$ (g)permite the sale, when a meal is ordered at a restourant for consumption there, of intoxicating liquor;
(h)permits the sale,"
that is now the wine and beer licence:
"permits the sate, when a meal is ordered at premises where food is surved for consumption there, of wine and beer."

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I do not want to....
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Well, Mr. Chairman, I have....
MR. CHAIRMAN: I am speaking just for a moment, please. $\bar{I}$ do not want to deny the Member his mighte but the points he is making have been made before and I think are understood. Not all Nembers agree with them. Some Members have put foruard contrary arguments, but I do think that the Member is in danger of becoming repetitious.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
I am going on to make another point
now, Sir.
Well, if you are going to make another
MR. CHAIRMAN: point perhaps you would be kind enough to get on to the other point, because I do think Members really would like to vote on this.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
When I have finished making the point that I want to make now I om going to realty throw the cat in the pigeons.

The point I am making is that
there is a difference, Mr. Chairman. A restaurant is established primarity for the alte of food.

MR. CHATRMAN:
I have asked you not to continue making that point. You have made that point and now you are repeating it.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: You obviously have your mind made up, Nr . Chairman, and are not prepared to listen but $I$ would ask you then to refer to standing Order 72(5) and tell me how you can get around that with this Bill being a Government Bill, or where the Members of the Conmittee are going to mun to hide after you look at that.

I an not sure that I understand your point: Are you arguing that the Bill has to be immediatelysinacted into Law without any dabate or any of the normal readings beccuse the Committee has recommended it?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: No, Sir. What it aaye is:-
"The report or special report together with a copy of the minutes of proceedings of a belect cormittee shall be presented to the House by the chairman or other member of the cormittee acting on his behalf, and shall be recorded in the minutes of proceedings of the House as having been so presented and the chaiman or any member may forthwith and without notice move that the recommendations contained therein be adopted, modified or rejected, and if the motion be seconded and unopposed the Presiding Officer may forthwith and without debate put the question thereon."

MR. CHAIRMAN:
We l2, with respect if I may intermupt you, the recommendation was that a Draft Bill be set down and that was acopted and that was done, and we are now debating the Bitt and therefore 72(5) is exhaustea.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Was there a specific recomendation?
MR. CHAIRMAN: The recomnendation is in the report.
I read from the report of the Select Committee:-
"The Committee reoommended that a Draft Bill to amend the Liquor Licensing Low, 1974, which incorporated all its recommendations and a copy of which is attached hereto, be set down for the Fourth Meeting of the 1984 Session of the Legislative Assembly."

The Select Committee Report was presented by the Honourable Second Official Member and the pecommendation in it was accepted by the House and it is as a result of that that the Bill has been introduced. The Bill having been introduced, no Member is obliged to support it, nor do the provisions of 72 (5) bite in respect of the Bill.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: <br> Was there any minority Report submitted, Mr. Chaixman?

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The Minutes of the Meetings which were attached to the Report make clear that certain Members had had reservations or objections to oertain of the oonclusions. reached.

I think we are wandering off the point quite honestly. I propose now, I think, to put the amendment to the vote because I think that Members have had a sufficient ohance to make their views known. I think we would go on and on.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
put another amendment?
Mr. Chairman, is it too Zate to

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I would sooner dispose of this
amendment first. There could be another comendment Zater beoause after we have voted on this amendment then we are either debating the clause as amended or debating the omiginal clause. There would certainly then be an opportunity to....

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, really in all honesty, what bothers me with this one is that any way the vote is taken on this it has to be wrong, because we are dealing with liquor in one form on another regardless of what we call the licence. "Meal" is not identified in the Law so it can mean anything and I would be bold enough, I was the man who thought up the idea of a "quarter of a mile" years ago and brought the amendment to the House. I am bold enough now in having enough faith that if I want to get back in the House I will get back in it, and it will not affect me. I witl move, with the permission of the House an anendment that we take that section out of the Law completely therefore we will have to argue this no more.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You will have an opportunity to do that later. I think we have got to dispose of this amendment first and then you shail have an opportunity to move that amendment. It is just procedural.

So what we are now about to vote on, or what I am about to ask Members is whether the Committee supports the omendment to Clause 7 of the Bill moved by the Third Elected Member for West Bay. Which if I may explain briefly its meaning so everybody is clear, would have the effect of enabling the Board to grant either a restaurant or a wine and beer licence in respect of premises within a quarter of a mile of a church, school or civio centre, which in respect of new appliaations they are not now able to do.

QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES
MR. CHAIRMAN: I think we need a division. I am not quite ace whether I mentitlel to ask for one but I would feel much more comfortable if there was one, because otherwise I woutd have to dectare a tie.

HON. MICHATL J. BRADLEY: For the purpose of the records I will ask for one, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think a division and then we shall
know.

## DIVISION

## $A Y E S$

Hon. First Official Member
Hon. Scoond official Member
Hon. Third Official Member
Hon. First Elected Member for Executive Council
Hon. Fourth Elected Member for Executive Council
Third Elected Member for West Bay
First Elected Member for Lesser Tslande

## NOES

Hon. Second Elected Member of Executive Council
First Elected Member for West Bay
Second Elected Member for West bay
Firgt Elected Member for George Toun
Third Elected Member for George Town
Second Elected Member for Lesser tslands
Eleoted Member for North Side

MR. CBAIRMAN:
Seven all and one abstention. I shall need to consult with the Honourable Second official Member for my... HON. MICHAEL N. BRADLEY: I suppose it would be unfair to accuse myself having voted for it, sir. I feel that the position generally is that you vote to praserve the status quo, and as $I$ see it the status quo...

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I do have a vote?
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
A casting vote, yes.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
A casting vote; I thowght that was the case. I vote to preserve the status quo which would have to be againet the amendment.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I fear so, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
That is my understanding of the noxmal particamentary practice.

HON. MICHAEL T. BRADLEY:
Unless you feel in conacience you cannot, as it is a free vote, sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, I think that I should be guided by the hallowed practice that governs the conduct of Presidina Officers in Assemblies, and just for the record and so all Members understand it and it is on record, though I have not found the right Standing Order, my understanding is that under these circumstances I am expected to cast a casting vote. Moreover, under these oircumstances parliamentary practice lays dow that a Presiding officer or the Chairman of a Committee shatt cast his vote in such a way as to preserve the status quo. Now what that means in a case like this is that I must vote against the amendment and to preserve the olause which was in the Bill. I therefore so vote and the cmendment is lost.

THE CHAIRMAN PUT HIS CASTING VOTE AGAINST THE AMENDMENT
THE AMENDMENT WAS DEFEATED
MR. CHAIRMAN:
That means that we return to debating the clause as it was originally in the Bill. I think that the Third Eleoted Member of Executive Council had said aarlier that given the opportumity, which he now has, he would wish to move an amendment to that clause. The way is now open for him to do so.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I really acnnot
understand that vote because I cannot see how we wound up seven all unless I heard something wrong.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Welt zet me read it out and be quite aertain that we have not misrecorded anything:-

## AYES

Hon. Firet Official Member
Hon. Second Official Member
Hon. Third Official Nember
Hon. First Elected Member of
Executive Council
Hon. Fourth Elected Member of
Executive Council
Third Elected Member for West Bay
First Elected Member for Lesser relands

NOES
Hon. Second Elected Member of Executive Council
First Eleeted Member for West Bay Second Elected Member for West Bay First Elected Member for George Town
Third Elected Member for George Town
Second Elected Member for Lesser Islands
Etected Member for North Side

7

THE HONOURABLE THIRD ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL ABSTAINED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, if that is correctly recorded and I can promise you the addition is alao correct. it is seven Members each and one abstention.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: I am glad you had to use your vote,
CAFT. CHARLES L. KTiRKCONVELL: Mr. Chairman. With reepect, Sir, I make that seven for, one abstention and six against.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think your arithmetic is wrong beocuse
there are fifteen Members. I promise you I added it with great care becouse I did not want to have to exeroise a casting vote.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: I am sorry, Sir.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
We are going to get you a calcuzator for Christmae Captain Charles.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, if I might say so, sir, the vote is not a bad indication of what might happen later on.

MR. CHALRMAN: I am not quite sure what that is intended to mean but it does seem to me that it is a good indication of the fact that feelings on this matter are desply held and mon quite contrary to any normal voting patterns here.

HON. D.H. FOSTER:
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Elected Member of Erecutive I think that the Honourable Ihird is not quick enough off his feet, very unusually, to get it fomward. I think he would like to move an comendment.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Yes, Sir. This is an unusual thing for a Member moving a Bill, but I will move at this point that Section 7, subsection (a)(1) with the proviso be deleted in its entirety from this Bill. I am not in favour of that going through to put the onus on the ministers.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
So if I could just make certain that we have got it tidied up. Your amendment would have the effect of deleting the whole of paragraph ( $a$ ) of Clause $?$ of the Bitl and presumably, consequentially making paragraph (b) into paragraph (a) and paragraph (c) into paragraph (b).

BON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Yes six. My stand is as it was before. If you are drinking liquor next door to the church, if you bought it from a restaurant on you bought it from a nightclub you are still drinking liquor. Therefore I was not in favour of that amendment and I am certainly not in favour that we should put the onus of making a decision of this sort on the ministers or the teachers or the sooial workers. First of all it would be very undemocratic to do that. I do not think legislation should be drafted in this manner. Therefore $I$ am asking that that be deleted in its entirety and we go back to the status quo of the Low as it stands now on the books. It witl be showing no favouritism and we go back to what is in the Law and we stand to $i t$.

MR. CHALMAAN: In other words to the existing prohibition on new ticensed premises within a quarter of a mite of a church, school or civic centres.

## HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: <br> Yes, Sir.

MR. CHATRMAN: The amendment proposed therefore is that Clause 7 of the Bill should be amended by deleting paragraph ( $a$ ) and by renumbering paragraphs ( $b$ ) and ( $c$ ) as $(a)$ and ( $b$ ). For the record perhaps I should say that I have granted leave in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order $52(2)$ for the amendment to be moved although no notioe of it has been given. Does any Member wish to speak to that amendment? If not I will put the question and perhaps it would be wise to vote again, we man into difficulties even when voting. I will ask the clerk to recond the individual votes. The voting now is whe ther the amendment proposed by the Honourable Thind Eleoted Member of Executive Council should be acoepted, and it would have the effect of deleting the first part of the Clauee and therefore retaining the present prohibition on licensed premises within a quarter of a mile of certain premises.

## DIVISION

AYES
Hon. First Official Member
Hon. Third Official Member
Hon. Second Elected Member of Executive Councit
Hon. Third Elected Member of Executive Counail
First Elected Member for West Bay Second Elected Member for West Bay
Third Elected Member for West Bay
First Elected Member for George Town
Third Elected Member for George Town First Elected Member for Lesser Islands Second Elected Member for Lesser IsZands Elected Member for North Side

## NOES

Hon. Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council

THE HONOURABLE SECOND OFFICIAL MEMBER AND THE HONOURABLE FIRST ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL ABSTAINED.

MR. CBAIRMAN:
By deelve votes to one so $I$ do not have to participate furpied
THE AMENDMENT WAS PASSED
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: That is what I call a good democratic vote. MR. CHAIRMAN: We now have to consider the clause
as amended and untess there is any further debate on it I witl put the question that the Clause as comended do stand part of the Bitl.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 7 AS AMENDED PASSED.
CLERK: $\quad$ CLAUSE 8 AMENDMENT OF SECTITOM 10
CLAUSE 9 AMENDMENT OF SECTION 11
CLAUSE 10 AMENDMENT OF SECTION 12
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Are we not, Mr. Chairman, just being faintly optimistice taking the three together?

MR. CHATRMAN: I am being optimistio. I thought that we had probably dealt with the clause that caused the most difficulty. I may turn out to be wrong.
MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: Except, Mr. Chairman, I do not see why the Member piloting the Bill does not move for the deletion of Section 8 bince the question of the...
MR. CHATRMAN: Sorry, Section 8 or Clause 8?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: : Clause 8.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: $\because$ Mr. Chaiman, there is no reason for me to. That has no connection nearly with Section 7. I think that is what he is trying to do is to tie the two together.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I Itink the Member.
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Just a minute. You asked me to comment. $\bar{I}$ witt comment. What we have done in the ritl is to oreate a restaurant licence and a wine and beer licence which was not in the Law before, and as I understand the intention it is to give these additional licences in areas outside of the quarter of a mite areas from the ohurch. So he is entirety urong. I would not have to move for the rejection of this section, Sir.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Except, Mr. Chairman that he seemed to have had great difficulty with the definition of these two licences in considering the last clause.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
No, Sir.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: In other words, now tet me speak. Mr. Chairman, he seemed as though we were creating a situation where a person could get a reetawrant licence barely to gell a hamburger with drinks. So I do not know why we need these ticences if this

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): is the type of restaurant we are talking about. I think an ordinary retait licence would suit that perron.

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I think that the Member Bhould be able to hear better than he is trying to make and delude the people he can because my statement on that was what was the difference between drinking alcohol next doon to a church whether you had bought it from a reotaumant or you had bought it from a general retail stors. I would not agree with the balm of conscience that some people were trying to put on this. I have no problem in interpreting the difference in the ticence but I did have a problem in interpreting it if you are going to give it next door to a church and take off the prohibition, when you say it is all right as long as you bought it from a restaurant or you bought it from a beer parlour and did not buy it from a nightwozub or a general retail liaence. I an not confused, I will tell you that, sir.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I did not alay the Member was confused and I am not going to push the issue. I just thought that I would suggest to him that he might want to remove it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Wello I am sure that the Member is grateful for the suggestion but he is not taking it up.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Except to say, Sir, that I do not know about the boothing of the consctence on salving of the conscience, but as far as $I$ om concerned the impitant to the conecience on this Bill is in the Report.

HON.JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, the Member should not detude himself any further today. He has been able to get my colleague from the capital oity of Bodden Town to vote with him one time. He does not have to get me to follow.

MR. CHAIRMAN: In that case if there is no further discussion $I$ will put the question that Clauses $B, 9$ and 10 strmd part of the BiLl.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 8, 9 AND 10 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 11. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 13.
CLAUSE 12. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 14. CLAUSE 13. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 16.

MR. CHATRMAN:
The question is that Clauses 11, 12
and 13 do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 11, 12 AND 13 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 14. AMENDMENT OF SECTION 37. CLAUSE 15. AMENDMENT OF SCHEDULE

MR. CHATRMAN:
15 do stand part of the till. The question is that chauses 14 and I witl put that question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 14 AND 15 PASSED.

CLERK:
THE LIQUOR JICENSING (AMENDMENT) LAW, 1984
MR. CBATRMAN:
The question is that the Titite and Enacting Clause do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Member uishes to speak I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TITLE WAS PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: That concludea proceedings in Committes.
The Hoube witl now resume.
HOUSE RESUMED
MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated. I suggest we just take, whioh should only oooupy a fow moments, the Report and third reading stages of this Bill so we can complete it before we take a break.

## REPORT THEREON

## THE LITQUOR LICENSING (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

HON. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I have to report that a Bill for a Law to amend The Liquor Licensing Law, 1974s Law No. 11 of 1974, was considered by this Honourable House section by: section and was agreed to with the exoeption of the deletion of Clause 7(a) (1) in the proviao of the adid Bill, Sir.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESHDENT: }}{\text { third reading. }} \quad$ The Eill is acoordingly set down for
third reading.
THIRD READING

## THE LIQUOR LICENSING (AMENDMENT) BILL , 1984

CLERK:
HON. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I move the third reading of a Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to amend The Liquor Licensing Law, 1974, Lows No. 11 of.1974.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion is that The Liquor Licensing (Amendment) Bill, 1984 be given a third reading and passed. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A TAIRD READING AND PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT: I I $\quad$ explain earlier today to Members
that I would suggest we broke at the point at which we normally take a short afternoon break and that we then adjourned proceedings in order to enable Finance Committee to meet after our break.

Before I call upon the Honourable First
Official Member to move the adjournment in consequence of that may I just thank Honourable Members for the way in which they responded to my plea at the beginning of this afternoon's proceedings to be of assistances to me during what was a quite difficult and strongly felt matter under debate. Thank you very much.

## ADJOURNMENT

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I move the adjourmment of this House whtil $10.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}$, Monday, 10th September, 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that thits House do now adjourn untit 10.00 a.m. on Monday, 10 th September, 1984. Unless any Member wishes to speak $I$ will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED, AT 3.26 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED
UNTIL 10.00 A.M., MONDAY, $10 T H$ SEPTEMBER, 2984.
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If Members will kindly remain. standing we oan proceed with the firet item on the Order Paper, the Administration of an Oath.

ADMINISTRATION OF OATH
OATH OF ALLEGIANCE ADMINISTERED TO MR. LEMUEL HURLSTON BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY


THE CAYMANIAN PROTECTION BILL, 1984
SECOND READTNF
CLERK: THE CAYMANIAN PROTECTTOT RTLL, 196X.
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Fresident, I beg to move the Second Reading of a Bill for a Law to repeal and replace the Caymanian Protection Low (Revised).

Mr. Presidsnt, following the coming into effect in the United Kingdom in January, 1983, of the British Nationatity Aet, 1981, it became necessary for alt Govermments of dependent termitories to look at their looal legislation and to see the necessary and desirable amendments which were ssaentiat to their locat legislation.

Mr. President, although the British Nationality Act did not come into effect until January, 1983 , it was published from Jaruary 1981. Shortly after that a select Committee of the whole Houee was appointed to look at the Caymanian Protection Law. This Lav has been studied by the Setect Committes for a period of nearly two years.

As the Chaimmon reported earlier, there was nc less than about fifteen mestinge. Representations were recaived from indivictuats and onganisations and the general public. These were gone into, each indivicually one at a time. Naturally, Mr. Chairman, it was impossible to make every change which everybody wanted, becouse some were not desirable.

Mr. President, this is a very
important Bill, and I would suggest we go through it olause by olause and make very sure of the amendments that we make. This Bill, Mr. Preaident, is of vital importance to the country and to Caymanions here, and to people coming here to work and to live. I think it should be borne in mind that people who come here and invest after they have proven themelves to be desirable, require aome measure of security. We cannot expect people to come, bring their weath with tham, and give them no security.

I think also, Mr. President, that people who have lived abroad but who are of Caymonian ancestry should be given some consideration. Mr. President, the older Members of this House, anc? the olser Coumanione, will know very wetl, that in the thirties there was acreely orythin' in the Islands for

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER (CONTINUING): amybody scarcely. Those paople with ambition went abroad. They went to damaica, they went to Haiti, they went to Cuba, they went to Niearagua and the Bay Istands. The more fantunate ones with families in the United States migrated there.

I think it is generally thought that most of those peopte that went oway in those days have done fairly well. They have married and probably had children over there. and now that our Islands are prosperous and we do nead expertise here in nearly all fields, any of these people who have that and desire to come back to the home Zand of their parents should be allowed to do so, and to work without any hindrance. I think careful consideration must be aiven to this point because I have seen many, many cases where a Caymanian by parentage returns here and he is told"you have not got status!'

I feet, Mr. Preeident, if these chitdren are Caymanians by parentage, they should automatically have status. However, I am sure these points will be debated at Committee stage. I am not going to protong my address, because I know we have pienty of work ahead of us if we are to consider this Bill properly, but I would like to take the opportunity to ask Members, not oniy to think of themselves and what is here now, but to think about those that might want to return and share what they have gained abroad with us.

I thank you Six.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitled $\dot{\alpha} \dot{B i t l}$ for a Law to repeal and replace the Caymanian Protection Low (Revised) be given a Second Reading.

The motion is now open for debate. Does any Honourable Member wish to speak? First Elected Member for West Bay.

## DEBATR OM SECOHD READING

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH:
Mr. President, once more we meet in this Honourable House to legislate a Law that witl have far reaching effects on the future of this country. Immigration vous, Sirs, and their controle are the centrat part of a country, and guarantee the protection of those who live within a country's. borders.

Mr. President, the Caymanian Protection Law has been amended many times since its inception and mugh controversy hae surpounced this Law and its acministration. Most of the oriticisme, Sir, have been ilt-founded and in most ases have come from arecial interest groups.

Ar. President, we have to realise that for the protection of our people there must be stringent controls of immigration. We are a small country with but little resources, and if we did not have strong legislation on immigration and forcible oontrols, our people's welfare and the economy of these Istands would be easily shattered.

Mr. President, we must make it understood in plain language that the floodgates of these Islanda will not be open.

Mr. President, we readily realise that in some areas we need the experience and expertise of people from abroad, because it is only in the last twenty yeare or ao that our people have been fortunate enough to improve their educational standards tocalty and take advantage of training abroad. For those people, Mr. President, we are willing to open our doors, but we implore you to treat us as equals and not

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH (CONTINUING): they think that their improved oducation makes you our master, but that you will work hand in hand with us to buitd a country of whare we ati con be juetly proud.

Mr. President, greed must not become our master. Nr. President, I am fully in favour of bestowing equality in our laws to the women of our country, as it is my opinion that some of them have suffered hardships in the administration of this Lica in the past. We must all fully realise that the granting of atatus must be discretionary and must not be granted to all who aspire to $i t$. Rather, Mr. President, we must be selective and grant it on participation in our society and at times when it will not upset the economio conditions or the hamony which exists in these Islands today.

We are no different, Mr. Freaident, from other aountries. Every country controts immigration. Do not try to areate ill will and bring us into ill-repute because we are proteotive of our birthright. I am fully aware, sir, of the fact that in the monthe and yeare to come there will be future anendments to this Law, because, Mr. President, no one can formulate a kaw that will please everyone. My onty hope, Sirs is that Almighty God will give us the wisdom in all our deliberations and actions, to act wisely and justly.

Mr. President, I support the Bill.
I thank you very much, Sir.
MR, PRESIDENT:
The Second Elected Member for the Lesser Istands.

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Eresident, the Bill now before this Honourable House, a Bill for a Law to repeal and replace the Caymanian Protection Low (Revised), is a very important Bill.

This Bill has been given most serious consideration by att Members of this Legislature, a Zarge number of aitizens throughout the Islands and various organisations. This is borme in mind by the over forty representations which we have discussed, and many oral presentations.

We are most grateful for all input
Which we have received to enable us to arrive at the Bilt now before us.

Firstly, I would like to thank the Honourable Second Official Member for his able leadership in chairing this Select Committee, and also the Acting Second Official Member who acted in his absence, for their guidance throughout the two year period.

Mr. President, the British Nationality Act, 1981, which came into operation on 1st January, 1983, necessitated certain changes in the Caymantion Protretion Litv, 1079, which governs moetly immigration, gainful occupation licences, and the granting of Caymanion status. The British Nationality Act, 1981, changed our oategory of aitizenship from a Britiah subject or a member of the United Kingdom and Colonies, to British Dapendent Termitories citizens. It also created British oitizens and British overseas citizens.

The principal concerm of Her
Majesty's Govermment is to ensure that everyone who becomes a British Dependent Termitories eitizen through a connection with the Cayman Islands shall be granted a new citizenship and a right of abode in the Cayman Islonds.

The British Govermment has given its assurance that they will afford consular protection to all holders of British Dependent Territomies citizenship in accordance with intermational practices.

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): Mr. President, this Biil aoming on the eve of our General Election witl serve to remind alt of us that we are enjoying free consular service and protection for our people working, studying and travelling abroad, which our Government could not afford to provide for us.

The many other benefite we receive from our mother country are too numerous to mention here.

Mr. President, in my judgement it is time to atop and think aarefully how much we are benefiting and in how many ways due to our close relationship with our mother country. I would like to say to those who may hrve other ideas that they bhould look before they leap, and consider carefully the consequences of advancing our Constitution towards Independence.

The second object of the Bitl is to up date by amendments, additions and detetions to the Coymamian Protection Low, 1972, in order for it to meet the present day neede of our people, and to serve to proteot our mights.

Substantiat amendments have been made and all sectione have been revieved and up dated where necessary.

The Select Committee was assisted in no smatl measure by the community; which offered many construative suggestions which helped to produce the Dill tafree this Honourable Houpe toduy.

Mr. President, in keeping with the policy towards our constituents, my colzeague, the First Eleoted Member for the Lesser islands, and myself held two meetinge at the Aston Rutty civic centre in Cayman Brac on the 16 th and 17th of July. The Draft Discussion Bill was gone through alause by clause, with much comment from our constituents: Minutes were taken of this meeting and a presentation was made to the Chairman, setting forth the suggestions from our people.

I am most thankful to the Select Committee, and I am happy to say that most of the auggestions which we submitted are now part of the Bill.

Mr. President, we spent a considerable
time on interpretations as we wanted to make sure all understood the definitions of each term listed, and in partioular, the curpent interpretation of 'eligible person'. Mr. Eresident, I support the definitions and I hope they will be accepted.

The Caymanian Protection Board, according
to Section 5, will consist of a Chaiman, a Deputy Chairman, and not more than nine other Members, at teast one of whom ahall be resident in Cayman Brac or Little Cayman, who shall be appointed. by and hold office at the pleasure of the Govermor. This, Mr. Preaident, I am very thankful for, as it witl enable the Member who resides in Cayman Brac to have a knowledge of the needs of our commonity, and therefore, when an apptication comes before the Board, his knowledge will be there. It will not have to be asked for or delayed for many meetings as has been done in the past in some instances.

Section 8, sub-section (1) states that the Boand shall reach a decision by a majority of the Merrbers present who are voting at that meeting. The Chaiman or the presiding Member shatl have an orisinat vote and not a second casting vote.

Five Members will make up a quomm of this Board.

All appeals of decisions of an Inmigration Officer must be made within seven days of the communication of the decision. In appeat of a decision of the Board must be made within twenty one days of the communiontion

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL (CONTTNUING): of the decision. Ou* provie considered that to tave this in the Mraft Dismasion pitit would meate hardohips for those residing there; due sometimes to the delay in the post in getting the communication. I am happy to say that a proviso was added which reads:
"Provided that for the pumoses of this Section the decision shall be deemed to have hem ormmonisated to him at the time at which it would have been received in ordinary course of post."

An appeal to Section 10, a decision of an Immigration Officer will be made to the Caymanian Protection Board. An appeal of the decision of the Board will be to the Appeliate Tribunal, the Governor in Council.

Much has been said, Mr. President, in the representations and in the Press concerming the administrative decision that must be given in such cases. After this situation havino
been carefulty explained to than I sunport that we must have an admintstrative decision and not a judicial decision, I an happy that in this Bill it says that at the absolute disoretion of the Board they may give a reason for their decision.

General provisions regarding the possession of Caymanian status.
> "A "person shall, for the purposes of this Law, be deemed to poseess Caymanian status if such person is an eligible person who is under the age of eighteen years for the purposes of this Low, to be deemed to poseses and entoy Caymanian status before and after the attainment of the age of eighteen."

Mr. President, again, I think this
is setting the record where it should have been in the beginning. It is a perion who has enjoyed Caymanian status to the age of eighteen and then finds himself in this conmunity, probabiy the onty, home. that he knows, all his frients are here, to not able to work until he can secure a minfut cocupation ticence. I am happy that. this eituation will no longer exist.

I would aloo like to call to the attention of all persons that any person olaiming to be of Caymanian status by virtue of olaiming to be domiciled or originally resident in the Cayman Islands, may apply to the Crand Court for a declaration to that effect provided it is done before the $31 s t$ December, 1985.

This date should be borne in mind
by all who feet that they are eligible for the deadine is definitely, I repeat, the 31st December, 1985.

Mr. President, in the Draft
Discussion Bill, Section 18, Subsection (3) provides that a pereon over fifty years of age who invested $\$ 500,000$ and resided in the Cayman Ielands for twelve months, was eligible for Caymanian status. This was not supported by one person that I tatkad to in my constituency, and the same here in Grand. cayman. I am very happu that in their wisdom of the Select Commttee, this does not appear in the Bill which we are discussing today, it has been removed.

## I have much sympathy for Caymanians

 married to foreigners who have had many problems over the yedre in aecuring gainful ocoupation licences and also Caymanion statis, This has caused hardships, breaking up of homes and many problems too numerous to mention here today. A provision has now beenCAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL (CONTTNUING): injected into this Bill which says that in conovderinig a person for status, the hardship that may be caused to the spouse and his or her dependants will be given special consideration. Thiss in essende, is giving them sort of a special treatment, and I think this is the way it shoutd be.

Another provision of the Bill is that on the death of a person of Caymanion status, leaving a spouse who does not enjoy Cayman status that they will be allowed to remain resident in the Island provided they make an appliaation to the Chief Immigration officer. At a later stage, if that spouse should remarry, he or she may be allowed to continue to live here. There is not a definite provision that their residency would be terminated if they remarried a pereon not possessing Cayman status.

Mr. Preaident, for many yeare we have not had equality of men and women in the Cayman Islands in regarde to their mights. This has been hard for me to understand why it was ever allowed to exist, but I guess in the wisdom of the makers of the Iows, they had a reason, but I feet in 1984 that that reason does not exist in my mind, and I am happy that this Bill provides equality for men and women.

Much hardship will be eliminated and
I think, a more poxcoful attituds witl be oneafed totoards our fovermment and ite Members by the women who have been affected so eeverety.

I am also satisfied that the quota of people receiving Caymanian status will be astablished by the Governor. I feel that this is fitting and proper as a specified number woutd be difficult to work with. It may split up the number in families and certain circumstances will alter the number, so I feel that this authority must rest with the Governor in Councit.

The Board, in considering an application for a gainful occupation licence of a person whose spouse is Coymanian, or has Cayman etatus shall take particularly into acoount the hardship that mon the cause? to a mouse's and his dependonts if this was refuset. This, Mr. Fresident, is aleo, as I said before, now ending for statue and the same provision is here for a gainful osoupation ticence.

There shatl be fees paid into the Treasury in respect of these iicences, as prescribed, but a proviso has been issued that which reads:

> PPROVIDED that no fee shalt be charged in respect of a licence to the spouse of a person possessing Caymanian status."

> It is my hope that the bond which is now required will also be removed when the regulations are drafted.

The Bill gives an Inrrigration Officer or a Constable the power to take into custody without warrant, any. one suspected of acting or being about to act in contravention of this osction. This, I hope, will help to eliminate the employment of people without gainful occupation ticences, and maybe in tupn siminate the number of overstayers which we have in our conmmity.

I alao would like to call to the attention of the people that it is in contravention of the Law to employ a person that you know does not possess a gainful oocupation licence, and this is also subject to a fine and imprisonment, or both.

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING):Permanent Residence has existed for some time, but this Biti is making some amendments. A visitor to whom permission has been granted to remain in the Is lands for a period up to six monthe, may at any time after the expiration of that six monthe, and before that extenaion has expired, make an application to the Chiaf Immigration Officer in the prescribed form to request to remain in the Islands permanently. This will be acted upon by the Board, and it can either be refused, deferred, or granted.

A provision has been made that a permanent resident may, after residing in the Islands for two years continuously, request that such be endorsed or entitlement varied by the Board on wh to nermit the mon to onaote in goinful occupation of such type, at such places, and for such period and under such conditions as be estabitished by the Board.

This, Mr. President, I am glad to see; particularly in my district it will be of great help to us, for in the past we have had a few perminent residents there who posesss skilis that we did not have available on the Island, but because of endangering their permanent residency, they would not assist the commenty. This provision witt now allow them to give their expertise and be within the Law. This shall be very beneficial I am sure, in Grand Cayman as welt.

Another provision incluse? in this Bill is that a Deportation Order can be issued by the Governor. The Governor may, if he thinks fit, make a Deportation order in respect of any person who has violated the Law. Prior to this comendment, Deportation Onders went through the Courts which were often very time consuming, oumbersome, and very costly. This will, I hope again help to eliminate overetayers.

Another important feature before us in this Bill is the introduction of identification cards. Any person who is issued a gainful occupation licence or is granted permission for permanent residence with a gainful occupation licence to be able to perform gainful occupations wilt be issusd con identification card. This person shall present this identification card to an Immigration Officex, Constable or a Labour Officer whenever required. If it is not in his possession at the time, he has 48 hours in which to produce it. If it is not produced within that time he is in violation of the Law and aan be fined up to \$100, six months imprisonment, or both. This, I think is a step forward. It has been used in most developed countries that I know of, and I feel it will be beneficial here as well. Some people feel it is giving you a number. Well throughout the world, in larger termitories it is a must.

Mr. President, I would like again to thank the Honourable Second Official Member for his leadership. He has put forth many, many hours of hard work during the course of the years that we have discussed this and many other Bills. His assistance to me personalty, the knowtedge I have gained, is priceless, and I cannot thank him enough.

And in conclusion, Mr. President, it is my wish that as we go into Committes, that we shati take much time and give careful consideration to each clause within this Bill, and if there are amendments that need to be made, that we, as Honourable Members of this House, will make them, that will maks the best Bill that is possible to go into a low as a memorial to our term of 1982 to 1984.

In view of what I have sàid, Mr.
President, I support the Bill, and I thank you very much, Sir.

First Elacted Member for George Tow.
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr, President, this Bill before us today, a Bill for a Law to repeal and replace the Caymanian Protection Law (Revised) is the product of a hard and tedious task performed by the Select Conmittee of this Honourable House, with the lamourable Attormey-General ae ite Chairman, and the invaluable contributions made through the input of an alert and watchful priblic.

Numerous orgonisations and individuale have taken a very keen interest in this important piece of legistation, and as ons Member of the Select Committee, I have found their constructive criticisms and suggestions most helpfut, for which I would like to take this opportunity to sincerely thank them.

Mr. President, we are not speaking here today of any short and insignificant piece of legislation with minor amendments, but we are dealing with a Bill for a new Low that must help preserve the Caymanian way of life, a Bill for a Law that must continue to serve the interests of Caymanians for generations to come, and the errors in judgement that we make today, oan in fact prove detrimental tomorrow.

The Caymanian Protection Law has been conended some fourteen times since it come into operation in 1972, 80 it was constantiy under review. Changes, as has been said, had to be mads to bring it in line with the British Nationality Act, 1981, which came into effect in January, 1983, and in any event, it was time to conduct an overall review in order to meet the growing needs and demands of a suiftly changing Caymanian society. Mr. President, whenevsr a country reaches a stage in ite development that it can boast of prosperity and a higher standard of living in the Camibbean, when it is seen as a land of opportiunity surrounded by unfortunate and underprivileged people, it suddenly finds it difficult to stem the tide of outsiders to whom it has become a symbot of hope and a chance for a better way of tife. Ite continued safety and orderly development suddentybeonsar problematic, and its immigration policies must come under closer scrutiny.

The means, therefore, must be found to prevent an influx of undesirables, and to preserve the very vital ingredients that made our country what it is today, is task that damands high priority, and a total dedioation to the cause of all Caymanians, if we are to maintain the peace, happiness and tranquillity we all know and quite often take for granted.

I have a very deep sympathy and understanding
for underprivileged peopte, and while we can offer help whenever and wherever possible, caution must always be exercised so that it doss not come at a high cost to ourselves. To my mind, this is what the Caymanian Protection Low is all about, preservation of the Caymanian way of life.

I know that we cannot prevent changes, changes have come and will continue to come as time goes on, but the necessamy measures have to be taken and upheld to enable us to cope with those ohanges and still preserve our identity. Though this Bitl is still by no mexns perfect, I honestly believe that it san be considered a substantial improvement over the current Law. Much criticism of this Law has not been only in some of the inequities which needed correcting, but much criticism has been aimed at the administration thereof, the administration of the system, as well as certain dinectives and policies of the Board which the public have found disturbing and unsuitable.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONVINUING): I support this Bill., Mr. Fresident. I agree with certain sections, and I disagree with others which I will deal with in addition to certain policies of the Caymanian Protection Board, which as I have said, have caused some dissatisfaction and unrest.

Tuming to the Bill, in section 2 under Interpretation dealing with Appeals Tribunal, appeals on the Caymanian Protection Board's decision will continue to be heard by the Governor in Councit. I betieve that the decision by the Board and the Governor in Council should continue to be adminiatrative and not judicial. There can be no doubt that appeals through the Courts could result in a long drown out, oomplicated and onerous procedure with appeals mounting and going on for years and years. Take a person whose continued presence in the Cayman Islands would prove detrimental to our countmy, an undesirable in the true sense of the words a person whose conduct and character have been substantiated by information reliably supplied by any of the intermationally recognised orgonisations dealing with matters of that nature, but basically cannot be proven in a Court of Law. Our Government must have the means of ridding our conmmity of that type of individwal through the administrative process.

I maxintain that it would be an
embarrasament to any Goverpment if the administrative arm is powertees to deal with cases of similar nature efficiently and sxpeditiousty. Furthermone, should such an individual have substontial fincanciat means, with the help of a good lawyer the appeal in the Counte could go on for an indefinite period, with the individual remaining here in any case for years until his appeal is finally decided. I do not know for a fact, but it is my opinion that many times Government finds itself in a position where it just cannot state reabons for their actions in cases of this nature.

It must be borme in mind that regardless of one's opinion, this type of legialation of which the Britieh Nationality Act is a prime example carmies with it discretionary power which is provided to the body responsible for its administration, and our iawe can do no Less.

Nevertheleas, in this Bill, provision has been made for the Board or the Governor as the case may be, to give a reason in the matter of appeals, that at their absolute discretion, and I believe that this is underetandable and an improvement over the present Low which states that they shall not give any reason at all.

In Section 2, again under Interpretation of the term "eligible person", this establishes to my mind one of the most important ssotions of the Bill, the definition of which is the basis for the general provisions regarding the possession and the granting of Caymanian status; the possession of which actually places everyone in equal standing in this country, bscause it confers oqual rights to the perpon who has it as aright, or who acquires it by grant. This is therefore a very powerful and ali-embracing element of this Bill.

In this Saction, Mr. Preaident, "eligible person" is listed in four oategories. In category (a) any British citizen or a citizan of the Republic of Ireland; in category (b) any British Dependent Temitomies citizen, which is the new category created through the British Nationality Act, 1981; in category (c) any citizen of Australia, Bahamas, Barbadoo, Belize, Canada, Jamaica, New Zealand, Trinidad and Tobago, and in category (d) any United States citizen.

MR. W. NORMAN EODDEN (CONTTNUING): Now, Mr. President, I have no difficulty with the categories of persons listed under Subsection (a) and (b), and in (c) certain countries have been listed instead of all the Commonwealth, which, as far as I can gather would include some 44 countries. I agree with listing only certain countries as has been done in category ( $c$ ), and I believe that the selection of those countries which I have just named out is a good selection. It is my considered opinion that the time has come for Cayman to become more selective of those countries within the Commonweatth whose citizens we would consider for Caymanian status. It is also my view that many Commonweat th countwies have undergone significant changes through the years, and today, many of those countries are Conmunist, strongly leftist, over populated, and povarty stricken, and I do not honestly believe that we should ever make it posaible for citizene of those countries to be able to apply for Caymanian status.

With all the changes that will eventually be brought about when this Bill becomes Law, I think that we will have opened the doors of our small country quite widely enough, bearing in mind the future that must be protected for generations that will rise up and oall this country home.

Mr. President, in Subsection ( 7 ) it states that any United States citizen is eligible to apply for status. I cannot agree that any, underine any, United States citisen should be specifioally singled out for special treatment that would give him the same rights and privilegee as true borm native Caymanians. If not more than from a business and economic point of view, this, to my mind, is not a healthy situation. Bona fide Caymanians should reap the benefits of the local business opportwities in this country without unfair competition from outeiders with larger financial resources, and better business experience thon many caymanians. I have found this eetion much too broad and all-inclueive. Everyone wants the beet of both worlds, but any United States citizen who honestly expects the same rights as Caymaniane, and who loves this country enough, aan take the route of naturalisation to gain those. privileges. It ahould not bs made that easy just through the granting of Caymanian otatus, when at the some time he can retain his United States aitizenship, and many times to the disadvantage of Caymanians.

I would like here to make it abundontly clear that $I$ am by no means anti American, nor anybody else, but I am pro Caymanian, and if the Caymanian Protection Low is to do what it is intended to do, then it should be protecting and aafegruarding Caymanian intereets at all times and in all areas.

We have many good United States citizens in this country who have made, and continue to make very valuable contributions to our community. We are happy to have them, and I believe that we should even do more to attract well off United States retirees to oome and live amongst us in ordar to help build up this oountry in the right way, with the right kind of people. Many have become naturalized Cayman aitizens, and I welcome those who have done this, because they are not seeking the best of both worlds. They have demonstrated a faith in this country, and I feel that others who follow should do the some.

Mr. President, if the idea is to encourage those United States citizens with alose Caymanian connections, whose roots are in the Cayman Istands to stake a claim for Caymanian status, then I agree with that wholeheartedty, but my point is that it should be restricted to that aategory of

MR. W. NORMAN BODDE (CONTINUING): pamsons I have just mentioned, those with Cayman roots, nather than the broad all-inclusive eategory of any United States citizen. In fact, to come to think of it, Mr. president, in dealing with the acquisition of Caymanian status by dissent in Section 18 (3) of this Bill, some other countries other than those already listed as eligible countries in Section 2 should also be included. What about the Caymanian grandfathers and fathers who had to go to other countries in our area to make a living, those countries such as Ronama, Costa Rica, Honduras, Nicaragua, and othere nearby, what about the rights of their children and grandehildren.. should they not also be provided for. This is discriminatory in my opinion to exclude them.

What I an speaking about here is faimess, juatioe, and equatity for all, not just a ohosen or select few, and if we are to open our doors any wider, then it should be to ous very oum people. I will perhaps be told that being an "sligible person" means only that it is possible for that category of persons to apply for Caymanian status, that is only part of the requirement." Wett since this is the case, why categorise and list any specific countries at all, why not just ady, that any oitizen of any foreign country may apply, and providing the other conditions are met, status will be gronted. In Section 5 dealina ot th the composition of the Board,"I am especially pleased to see that provision witl be made for one of the members of the Board to be from Cayman Brac or Littte Cayman. This is only fair and reasonable. and I fully support this change.

White on the subject, I must state that I share the view that all districts should have at least one person from their area on the Board, and that a rotation of Membere system should be adopted and followed. Should this not be possible and practical to provide for in this Bill, then I would submit that it should be provided for through the regulations to this Bill, and since I have no input in regulations, I am mentioning it here.

In Section 14, I am also speoially pleased to see that children of persons of Caymanian status who have spent the majority of their lives in the Cayman Islands, will no longer be placed in the predicament on reaching eightsen yaars of age, when they stond to lose status, and have to apply to the Board. This has oaveed many hardships in thepast and created undue and unfair wneertainties in the lives of many young people, many of whom were actuatly borm in this country, borm here in the Cayman Islands, and only know Cayman as their home.

Subsection 5(a) of section 18
refers for the first time to the spouse of a person who possesses Caymanion status, and for the firet time, provides equality of rights for all Caymanions, men and women alike, as far as treatment of forsign spouses are concermed. Any foreign spouse of a person of Caymanian status, once they ore from an eligible country, and have been resident in the Cayman Islands for three yeara, will be able to apply for Caymanian status under the new Low. Also, the Board in considering such aoplications for Caymanian statue, or for a aainful occupation ticence of the spouse of a person of Caymanion status, must also bear in mind the hardahips that may be caused to the. spouse and his or her dependants if the permit or statue were to be refused.

Additionally, under Section 29, no fee shall be charged in respect of a lainful oocujation $Z_{\text {icence }}$ isbued to the spouse of a person having Caymanian status.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): These are all necessary improvements which I fulty eupport, and which I am sure will please many members of the public who are spectfically affected by this section of the Bill.

In Bection 18, subsection (5) dsaling with marriages of convenience, it states that the spouse of a person who possesees Caymanian status may apply providing that he or she has not lived apart from their spouse for an aggregate period of twelve months out of the past five years imediately preceding their application. In other words, an attempt is being made here to curb, control and discourage marriages of convenience which have been taking place here in the Cayman Islands in the past coupte of years. This is common knowledge, and something has to be done before it gets any further out of control. It is the case where a foreign woman marries a Caymanian man, or now possibty vice versa, and after the ceremony, they head for different homes, and thereafter an arrangement is made where monthly hush money is paid out from one to the other. This is a ridiculous situation, but some people will go to any extreme to circurvent the Lous, and this is also common practice in many countries.

I realize that this section might
be difficult to enforce, but enforcement must be brought about if we are to achieve the desired result.

There have been other amendments and coneequential changes that will be mentioned from different Members apeaking on this. Some have been mentioned before, but I would like to go on to another area, Mr. President.

Cayman has become a very closely knit and deeply intexmingled society, and this Bill touches the lives of more Caymanians directly or indirectly than any other taw $I$ can think of. Its importance can therefore never be overemphasised non i: implications taken lightly. A fair and unbiased approach must atwaye be maintained in the operation of this Law if its objects are to be attained. There can be no doubt that the operation of this Law has at times been controversial. There have been olaims of unfair treatment, allegations of it being used as a vehicle to wage political victimisition. Some have blessed it, and many have cursed it, but for my mind, it remains the single most important and powerfut piece of legialation on the law books of thie land.

Strong public concern has been expressed over the administration of this Law, and eapecially to many policies of the Board. Having served years ago on the Caymanion Protection Board, I know that many times the Board and its Members are severety and unjustly oritioised when they are in fact acting under the directives issued to them by the Governor-in-Council. This brings me, Mr. President, to some of the controversial policies and directivee which though perhape well. intended, have oreated considerable concerm, and much inconvenience to many of our very oum people, the people that this Law io intended to help and protect.

I believe that those directives which set policies of the Board should be published so that the public may be informed, and so that they will not burden the Board with requests which in fact cannot be met because they are contrary to the Board's policios. I would like to mention here, amongst other things, che quota system and the rotzover policy. Mr. President, it must be borne in mind that there are some categories of employment which pome Caymanians cannot fill, and others whiohthey will not fill. This is the situation at the present time, and I know that as time goes on the situation

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): will change, but in dealing with the present, it is an established fact that many Caymanian-owned businesses are heavily dependent on outside labour for a variety of reasons, and white we cannot affrd to awing wide the flood gates, a more realistic and practical quota system should be developed and applied to our genuine needs so that local businesses are not forced to take the risk of hiring illegal labours and then have them and their staff hounded with threats of law suits for violations of the Law.

Additionally, Govermment is losing the revenue from work permit fees because of this. That this situation exists, is acknowledged by people at all levels, and turning a blind eye is not helping the situation one iota. Once the genuine needs in the local labour market have been met, or the work for which a person has been brought in has been completed, then those persons should be returned to their country of origin.

On the other hand, we have those positions which some Caymanians are not yet fully qualified to fill. I have always seen this as a two woy street; a small country produces quatified persons slowty, and we must accept this, and not jump the gun as it were, nor तestroy the bridge that will eventually take Caymanians to the top. That bridge is a vibrant, thriving business conmunity which must continue to be provided with the facilities and means of conducting their business under pleasant, peaceful and stabte conditions, the features which attracted them to our shores in the first place, and the ingredients which helped to make this country what it is today.

However, as has been said many times before by many different people in different places, once a Caymanian is qualified and able to do a job, he or she must be given the opportwity to take their rightful place within their own country, so that resentment and hard feelings are not built up which could become destructive. This must be seen, Sir, more as a mere lip service, a genuine effort must be made by all concerned to reoognize this as a means to continuelpeace and harmony.

I believe that mony of the larger countries, larger companies, sorm, such as Cable and wireless, come of the banks and truet companies have done this and I feet that others should follow, . in recogniaing that this is one method of building a well balariced society that is beneficial to all.

This next brings me to the rollover policy. To my mind, this policy has never ever served any useful purpose. Why should any employer who has a well trusted, tried and honest employee who has served him well be forced to get rid of that good employee, whether it is a domestic or a banker or what have you, and exchange him for another he toes not knows or knows practically nothing about. It is true, as has been said, that the majority onty want security of tenure and many seek status as an alternative to the uncertainty of having their work permits renewed annually. There is nothing that says that, because a person has been here five, or now seven years, he has to be granted status. Ve is only eligible to apply and coutd remain on work permits for years without any further obligation from any board or $l_{\text {aw }}$ in this cointry. This policy, I believe, has only brought hardehip to both Caymanians and non Caymanians alike, and I would like to be reassured that this will be discontinued through regulations, or directives, or what have you, so that the renewal of work permits in future will not be ref'used just on the length of stay only.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): This now brings me, Mr. President, to the employment of non Caymanions by Govermment. Provision has been made in section 23 of the Bill for those persons to be exempt from work permits in respect of their employment to Government, which makes it clear that even though a perron is exempt from a work permit as a Government employee, he or she cannot then go out and work for other individuate or companiee under the premise that they do not in fact require a permits which has apparently been happening in the past. I would like to point out here also that many in the private sector regard the Govermment as one of the chief violators of this Law because of the many instances in the past when an individual or firm apptied to the Board for a work permit and it was turned down for whatever reason.

Then later on that aame individual turnedun on Govermment's pay-roll, evidently appointed as a temporary Civit Semant. This situation has caused a strong feeling amonget the private sector that Government should also be obliged to seek the Board's clearance or approval by filing a fult application with supporting documents before employing non Caymaniane.

Justified or not, it is the opinion
of some of the general public that in cases like these, Govermment is becoming a haven for individuals who cannot pass the test of the Board. While it cannot be expected for Govermment services to be hindered or hampered due to the need of ataff, I feel that there is soms mexit in Governnent following an eatablished procedure of liaison with the Board so that any such cases which might have existed in the paet would be aliminated attogether in the future.

It is also my understanding that provisions will be made through regulations for the remission of work permit fees under certain circumstances. In the oase where an employer finds it necessary to replace an employee who has only served him for a short period of time, the fult work permit fee wili not be charged for the replacement. I would also like to see that provision is made for the conversion of a temporary arinfut ocoupation ticence to a full permit, which would allow employers to have a probationary period. This in many cases, works to the advantage of both the employer and employee.

I am ovare that under the Law, temporary gainful occupation licences were never intended to be used for this purpose. Nevertheless, it is being done, it $i s$ an accepted practice; and it would help to have thie specifically atated that such a procedure is rossible, whether it be through directives or regulations, or whatever.

Mr. Fresident, at Committae stage, I propose to move an amendment to subsection (3) of Section 18 if you witl allow the suspenaion of the necessary Standing C.ters, in an effort to offer the ame privileges to at least the children of Caymanians in some other countries in our area who are being offered the some in countries which have been listed already in Section 2.

As with any other $7 \%$, Mr. President, enforcement must be given top priority if we are to realize the desired resulte, nno I would say here in all fairmess to all. concerned, that to my mind; both the Caymanian Protection Board, and our Immigration Department are heavity overuorked. In 1972, when this Low aame into operation, the population of this country was 11,680, and by 1983 the population had misen to 18,750 , a 60 per cent increase.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN (CONTINUING): Visitors' arrivals in 1972 when this Low came into effect, were 30,646. In 1973, viaitors ampivals were 130,763. All had to be processed in and out. The growth of population all brings with it needs and demands, and these all have to be met in one way or another.

Suggestions have been made, and I tiuet will be takan up to atreamline the operation of these departments administratively, and to give them the needed manpower to effectively administer this Lav on which so much time and effort has been spent, and which is so vital to our continued stability and success.

Mr. Preeident, we still have a good ooontry, and $I$ am proud to be a Caymonian. This pride stems from a sense of seoumity, of belonging to a stable and progressive countmy, but white this is true todoy, complacency is a luacury we still cannot afford. It will therefore take a strong but reasonable Caymanian Protection Law, fairly applied to one and all alike, a Frotection Law which is highly respected and upheld by the people of these ratands, to ensure that the secumity and etability of which we often boast, are protected and maintained.

In conctusion, Mr. President, it is true that no taw can ever be so designed to cover every eventuality, but with a few amendments, a revision of regulations, and changes in certain directives to the Board, I believe that generally apeaking the public will find that when this law is implemented, it will be found to be a substantial improvement over the present Low, and that most of the changes witl be welcomed by the majomity, which is after all, democracy at work.

Thank you, Nr. Fresident.
MR. PRESIDENT: I think this may be a convenient moment to suspend procesdings for our usuat morning break. Before I do so, perhaps I coutd make one point. The First Elected Member for George Town who has just spoken, did mention that provided I grve him lacve, he would propose to move at the Committes stage one amendment to, I think, olause 18 of the Bill. I suspect that when we come to the Committae stage, a number of Members may plan to move amendments. I would propose to be lenient in granting the necessary permission, but I think it would be very convenient for the House, if Members could ensure that amendments they have in mind to move, have att been typed up so that all Mombers have copise of them. I oan othervise see us getting into quite a muddle when the time comes. So, in the interest of avoiding oonfusion I shallbe much more lenient when granting leave to Members who, even though they have not been able. to give the full notios required of Stonding Oxders, have at least had the amendments they proposed typed up, and aan have them distributed at the time they move them.

I would just ask for Members' halp in ensuming that that gets done whenever possible. It may, sometimes, I quite understand, be impossible, because something may come up in the conrese of the discussion of a particular clause when the time comes.

Now I will suapend proceedings
for approximately fiftesn minutes.

## HOUSE RESUMED AT 11.58

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { MR. PRESIDENT: } & \text { Please be seated. } \\ \text { Other Honourabte Member wish to speak? The Third Elected Member }\end{array}$ for George Toum.

MISS ANNIE RULDAH BODDEN: Nr. President, Sir, I had intended to wait untit this aftermoon to aubmit my debate, but since the men seem to be afraid to come back in, I will have to begin.

First and foremost, I should acy, sir, that this Committee which dealt with the Coyman Protsction Low, had a pienic to what we three Members, who are now present in this Chanber, had when we deatt with the first draft of the Cayman Protection Law. Today, the reason why we have, I would say, a very qualified Barmister and taw man in this Chamber, is because of the aspersions which were made to us by the then Chaixuman of that Committes. Whenever we made any suggestion whatsoever, we were told "you psople only know the skinmings of the law, I am here to direot you," and in other words, take what I say. At that stoge when the new elaction was coming up, I applisd to a certain qualified lawyer to join our ranke as one of our Members decided not to continue. I was told "I have not got time", and consequently I oonsulted the Second Elected Member of this House and put our plightbefore him, and asked him to join our forces. Well, he sdidhe could not at that particular stage, but he promised me in 1976 he would take over the reins, and I must say, regardlese if I lose my aeat in this Howee in the coming election, that we have an asset to our Asesmbly in the person of the Second Elected Member to Executive Council. Now, Mr. President, we stmuggled and struggled with this Law. We tried every way possible to make it the Caymanian Protection Law, and since then we have been acoused of making the Caymanian 'Destruction' Law it was not deatruetion, but it certainty was not what it ahould have been. But, nevertheless, we have spent a lot of time in Committee and we have come up with thisbili, which I hope will go into Law, but nevertheless, I am not quite happy about aertain sections.

This matter has been so broadly discussed, I feel I could add very litile to this Bill, but in any case, I quite agree with certain mentions that have been made. I feel that women ahould be protected, and vice versa, if they have husbonds who are foreigners, that each, vice versa, should have the opportwity to have status in the Cayman Istands, if and when they qualify and they are of good character.

Unfortunately, women have been diocriminated against in this Laws and I do not think it is fair. $I$ said God never provided me with a husband, for which I thank Fim. I have no regrets, but I feel those who are married to forsigners as they are termed, or non Caymanians, that they should have privileges enjoyed by either sex, and $I$ wholly agree with it. What I diagree with principally, Sir, is this concession which is made to certain people who are termed "eligible persone".
(a) any British eitizen or oitizen of the Republic of Ireland, I quite agree with. I quite agree with (b) any British Dependant Territories citisen. With (c) I have some questions,
and most of all, I oertainly do not think we should have in our Frotection Law any United Statas aitizens. I do not feet. atthough the great Inited States of America, has been a godsend to us, a godfather, liske Jomaica has been our godmother, but nevertheless, I do not think it would be fain to the business

MISS ANNIE BULDAH BODDEN (CONTINUING): community of these Islands, who have struggled, and I mean struggled very hard to have themselves in the places which they now occupy as businessmen, to have Amsricans, who, I would say have expertise in business, come here, and as it were, take away the businese which Caymanians have fought so hard and have gained where they are now. It would be unfair competition, and I disagree with that.

We have gone a long ways and we have prospered up to a point where $I$ think Caymanians are able to take care of themselves in orrtain ways. Of couree, like has always been thrown ot us, we are on uneducated orowd. Nevertheless, as one former Member of Executive Council aaid publicly in the Chamber, it is a good thing that all of us are not educated because with the common aense which prevaits, we couth mite the world. I am not aaying we could rule the world, but we certainly have ruled the Cayman Islands.

Mr. Preeident, I feel that it is time that we study this Low, and when Conmittee stage comes, we shall have to deal with certain sections, and I am sure that we will find that they should be corrected.

Now, I could go on and on, and on, and talk for a couple of hours, but the aituation has been made olear by other Members, that I will only say, I support the Bill fully, but when it comes to Conmittes stage, I shall have some conments to make.

Thank you, Sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless any other Honourable Member wishes to speak, I shall be obliged to ask the Mover of the motion whether he wishes to exerotse his right of reply.

No Member seems to be seeking to catch my sye, so I will ask the Mover of the motion whether he wishes to exercise his right of reply.

HON.. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, since there is no
other apeaker, Sir....
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I guess if. nobody, Mr. President, is going to get up, I may as weri begin.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Second Etected Member of
Executive Counoil.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, the Caymanian Protection BilZ is the most important piece of local legialation in the Cayman Islands, because it affects the legal status of every person within the Cayman Istonds.

It is important because it not only defines the rights of visitors to the Islimd, but it also defines the mights of you and I who live in the Cayman Islands.

This Law has been studied since the 4th February, 1983, or approximatety one year and seven months, and the Comittee which was comprised of all Members of this Honourable House, held fifteen long, complex Meetings. Most important, the Conmittee hos studied and accepted the recommendations of many of the 43 written comments and the other verbal comments which hat been made on the Bill. These comments were very detailed, comprehensive and welt thought out, and I wish to thank parsonally, all of the persons and organisations who made these comments and recommendations.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): The Bitl has been changed substantialty in many areas as a result of the acceptance of a majority of these representations from the generat public. This Bill therefore represents the wishes of the majority of the people of the Cayman Islands, and it is therefore in accordance with their wishes that this new Bill has been redrafted and brought to the House.

I would especially like to thank the Second official Member and the Acting Attorney-Generat for their Chairmanship and their patience in drafting this aomplex Law. I also would like to thank the First Official Member for his assistance und his recommendations which carried with it the experience of many years of handling this very difficult topic of inmigration.

This Bill is the result not only of the comments over the past year and a half, but it is also a consolidation of some thirteen or more amendments that have been made to the Law over the years.

The process of public comment and scrutiny is one which I personally began some six or seven years when I published the three comprehensive written policies, in ago fuet at that stage the first comprehensive written policies of the Govermment, of any Govermment here for Health, Education and Social Services respectively, and invited the public comment.

Since that time it has been used for other laws including the Education Law, and now has become an accepted and popular proceduxe.

Mr. President, I believe it is the most infallible procedure becouse it allows the public to produce a law which they want, and after alls we are here to renresent the public.

Much has been said by the newspapers of the fact that the Bill should have been published for a longer period. However, the first notification to the public was in February, 1983, and the public's comments were invited in relation to the Lans.

After that, the Committee sat, and on the 9th July, 1984, it produced a Draft Discussion Bill which took into consideration some of our views as well as some of the views of the public, and that, Mr. Fresident, was put out to the public for approximately three weeke, and it was extended by a further week and a half to ensure that all persone made their representations.
some organisations did ask for much Tonger periods axtending up to a further six months, for the consideration of it. However, I beliave that human nature being what it is, no matter how long a period of time was put on the publication of this Bill, there would be some members of the public who would want more time.

Lady and gentlemen, it comes down to the fact of persons and organisations getting their priorities in order. If this Bill is important to a person or organiaation, no one can tell me that they are not prepared to sit down for a few hours and go through it and come up with their comments.

What was interesting was that one of the organiadtions which asked for several months to consider it, did produce a very comprehensine in-derth, helpfut series of representations prior to the 31st July, 1984, so as I mentioned if the Bilt is important to a person, then the period of time granted was definitely a sufficient time, and espeaialily when you realize we had 43 written representations.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): It must be remembered that the allegation of a lack of time was not only orioinated, but was pressed along by the newspapers. 'I do not think $I$ have to mention to Members of this House nor the public that they should be cautious of some things which they read in newspapers, and here I think that two important aspects ohould be borne in mind.

Firstly, it is the busineas of newspapere to keep good headlines, and the Protection Bill has been one of the best hadlines they have had in a tong time.

Secondly, and very importantly, many times the editorials are written by persons who have only been resident in the Cayman Islands for extremely short periode of time, and therefore I think some caution has to be exercised when reading an editoxial on a matter auch as the Caymanion Protection Bill when it is written by a person who has lived all of their life in another country. However good they may be, however good their intentions may be, it is quite different from you and I who have lived all of our lives and seen the good and the bad times. I am not oriticiaing, I am just being logical and factuat.

Mr. President, I shall be attempting to go through as much as I can of the reconmendations made by the Joint Committeas' Report of the Business and Frofesional Women's Club, Caymanian Contractors' Association, Cayman Istards Chamber of Commeroe, Cayman Islands Hotel Association and the Young Caymanian Businessmen's Association, which is set out in the Caymanian Compass of Friday, 31st August, 1984, at page A 11. I do so becaue I regard these Associations as the main and most important representatives of important fielde of the Cayman tstands business community.

Mr. Fresident, to etear up doubts which have been alleged by the press and in lettirs, I would like to make it abundontly clear that in this Honourable House, any Member of this House is entitled to deal with comments and represenations or criticisms made by members of the pubtic on matters whioh are relevant to a matter before this House. It would be, indeed, a bad taking coury of the rights of Members of this House if people were permitted in the press. on the radio, or otherwise to comment on mattere, for exarple the Narcotics Bill or this Caymanian Protection Bill, and Members in this House would have no right to deal with those comments.

Therefore, Mr. Fresident, before
going. into the Joint Conmittee Report I wanted to clear the air in this respect, to let the publio know that $I$ am fully. entitied and fully within my rights and privileges to deal with the oriticisms and recommendations that this Conmittee has made on this Bill, and indeed, Mr. President, I believe that Members of this House and of the publice would like to have in-depth conments from Membere here on matters of such vital importance as this Bill, or the Narcotics Bitl dealt with in the tast session, and especially to comment on this Joint Committees' representations to us. Whenever there is any breach of the Standing Orders in this House, then either you, Mr. President, or a Member has the might to take a point of order and to stop a Member from continuing his debate in that direction, as indeed you have done on occasions. I should like to stress lastly, that on the Narootics Bill and on this Bill, indeed I have remained within the rights and privileges of the Assembly while conmenting on mattere made publicly which relate to those Bills, and on no occasion, nor indeed I hope, never, during the period that I remain in this House would it be said by yous Mr. Fresident, or any Member that there ever has been any attempt by anyone to abuse the rights and privileges of the Lagislative Assembly.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): I would just tike to mention, tastly, Mr. President, that a statesman criticizes but can take ariticism, a politioion many times oriticizes but he connot take criticism back. and for approximately five months when I remained silent, both within the House and pubiicty, and refused to make public conments during the Narcotics Bill, I accepted, or I took a lot of oriticiam during that time. Therefore, Mr. President, I would not like the problic to be misled into believing that $I$ an not entitled to comment on the Joint Committees' Report of the Chamber of Commerce and the other Associations, nor that I am entitled to conment on any other relevant recommendations or oriticisme made outside this Legislative Asaembly on this Bill. I am entitled to deat with these recommendations and oriticisms.

You know, Nr. President, I was a bit reluctant to get up this early this time because when I spoke a fow days ago, we got taunched into a debate of severat days, and having a look at the Third Elected Member for West Bay with his many taw books, I hope that he is not getting ready to do something similar over the next few days. Anyhow, on to the....

MR. PRESIDENT:
I can assure the House I shall interpret the rules of relevance very strictly during this debate.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Thank you, Sir. (Loughter). Mr. Fresident, I will need the House to be a bit patient with me because I an attempting to refer to the recomendations and to my notes, and to two separate Bills, the Discussion Draft, and the final Bill.

Mr. President, one of the most
controversial provisions in this Eill is in Section 2 s which is the definition of "eligible person", which provides as foltows:

> ""eligible person" means -
(a) any British aitizen or a citizen of the Republice of Ireland;
(b) any British Dependent Termitories citizen:
(c) any aitizen of nustralia, Bahamas, Barbados, Belise, Canada, Jamaia, New Zealand or Trinidad and Tobago: and
(d) any United States citizen;"
and that definition, Mr. President, replaces the old definition, not in section 2 of the Law, but in relation to the grant of status which referred to a British subject, and as we know, the British Nationatity Act has redefined the categories of citizens in the Commorweatth, and in accordance with the omandmente that we have put through in the Constitution, it has been necessary to redefine these definitions. There will be persons who, when apeaking after me, will refer to teaving in a definition which includes alt Conmonwealth citizens, and perhaps as the First Elected Member, or the first two Members for George Toum mentioned, to remove United States citisens. It must be remembered by this House and the public that the definition of"eligible person", the distinction created by this section is that a person who is not an "eligible person" would first have to become a British Dependent Territomies citizen under the British Nationality Aet of England, Before that person could acquire Caymanian status. So really, this confers an easier process towards acquiring Caymanion status, but it does not olose the door to other people acquiring Caymanian status. It merely

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): mecms that under the British $\overline{N a t i o n a l i t y ~ A c t ~ a n d ~ t h a t ~ d i s o r e t i o n ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ d e l e g a t e d ~ t o ~ y o u, ~ M r . ~}$ Fresident, in your capacity of Govermor of these Islands by the Seeretary of State, or indeed under the Law. It has now been placed there. So that what would have to happen with persons who are not in this category for purposes of Caymanian status, they would have to aither be naturatised, on they would have to be registered, and I will dalitith the different typee of registration at a later stage. And it would mean swaraing an Oath of Allegionce to Her Majesty the Queen.

The effect as I see it of limiting the citizenship to Australia, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize. Canada, Jomaioa, New Zealand, Irinidad and Tobago, and the United States of America is is that this limits the "eligible person" alause to persons who live in demooratic countries, who have preaerved, and indeed fought wars to preserve th: freedom which we enfoy, and which are cowntries which have alwaye had some similiarity and connection with the Gayman Istands.

In the first countries excluding the United States, those in section (c), these are countries, Mr. President, which are democratio, which are non Conmunist, and which owe allegiance to Her Majasty the queen, but most important, Sir, all of these countries are countries which are similiax to us and have a way of life which is aimitiar to ours.

I would now like to read the list of Conmonwealth countries that still exist, and this is taken, Sir, from schedule 3 of the British Nationality Acts 1981.
"SCHEDULE 3

| Antigua and Barbuda | Mauritius |
| :---: | :---: |
| Australia | Nauru |
| The Bahamas | New Zealand |
| Bang Ladesh | Nigeria |
| Barbados | Papua Hew Guinea |
| Belize | Saint Lucia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Botswana | Saint Vincent and |
| Canada | the Grenadines |
| Republic of Cyprue, | Seycheltes |
| Dominica | Sierra Leone |
| Fiji | Sinatpore |
| The Gambia | Sotomon Istands |
| Chana | Smi Lanka |
| Grenada | swasiland |
| Guyana : . | $\therefore$ Tanzania |
| India | Tonga |
| Jamaica | Trinidad and Tobago |
| кепиа | Tuvalu |
| Kimibati | Uganda |
| Lesotho | Vanurtu |
| Malowi | Western Samoa |
| Malaysia | Zanbria |
| Malta | Zimbabwe" |

Mr. President, what becomes painfully obvious from this list and goes very squarely againet any arguments that would request to put that list back into this Bill is the fact that anyone preferming that list over the United States of America, preferring to frovor Uganda, for example, rather than the United States; put very simply, it could be dealt with like this: A

TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): person requesting that linst rathen that the United States of America, woutid prefer to put a favoured status on, for example, Idi Amin of Uganda, rather than one of the past Presidents of the United States of America. Further, countries such as Ugonda and mony of those listed in the Commonwealth tist I have just read, were, or are Communist, and, Mr. President, anyone who fovours conmmists over democratic countriee definitety neede some examination of his priorities because his priorities would be badly mixed up.

Further, I betieve, and I have heard every Member in this House acknowledge that it would be good for us to bring back from abroad persons with close Caymanian heritage, and Mr. President, the bulk of these are in the countries that we have tisted as etigible countries. So, on the one hand, Mr. President you hove a way of thinking that beoause we have had the Commonwealth over the years, and it has been goods I have nothing against that constitutional system of countries, that we must at this atage continue $i t$.

On the other hand, Mr. President, I think that to work this Bill we must have people who understand the way of life in the Cayman Islands, who have fought to preserve the liberties and the demooratic rights that exist in the Cayman Islands, and people who come from countries where within that demooracy a lot of our Caymanians have gone and have lived, and these countries, including the United States which probably has more Caymanians than any other country, I. think rightly deserve to be in the list of "eligible persons".

MR. PRESIDENT:
If the Member has finished dealing with a partioular point and it is convenient to him, we oould break. It is convenient? Yes. In that case I auggest that we suspend proceedings for lunch and resume at 2.15.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 2.16 P.M.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated.
Proceedings are resumed. The Honourable Second Elected Member of Exeoutive Conncit.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. President, before the break for lunch I was dealing with the important point of who is an "sligible person", and I pointed out that we have endeavoured in this definition to inctude countries which are demooratic, which underetand our way of tife; and to exelude countries which are commonist and away from the sort of life that we are used to living here.

I know the other two Members speaking did mention certain reservations on United States citizene, but I have mentioned my point in relation to that in that they are within the geographic region. A very large amount of Caymaniane are in the United States, and our hope of ultimately attracting back to the Cayman Islands these persons, I believe is very worth while putting them into this definition.

In Section 5 we have included that one Member of the Board shall be a resident in Cayman Brac or Little Cayman and this will entrench our Lesser Islands representation.

Under Section 6 the Board can be given power to appoint Committees which can deal with speoialised parts of the Board's work such as Trade and Business Licences, and routine work, and, Mr. President, this is now the position. The joint committee that I mentioned, I would refer to, mentioned that under Section 5 was felt that there should be a rotation system for Members on the Board. My view of this, and the view I believe generally held, is that if you have good Members on the Board, why change them? If problems do arise, then Members of this House have to answer to the public every four years, and in any event, Nr. President, there must be a substantial cmount of continuity with the inmigration policy in any country.

The request of the joint cormittee under Section 6 has now been complied with and the Board aan appoint Conmittees, as I mentioned earler, to deal with routine work of the Board such as Trade and Business Licences which are nor controvergiat.

Mr. President, perhaps even more amusing, I understand that it is rumoured that some of the aspiring new politicians have a different fomula for appointing the Board, and it goes something like this. A Chairmanship of the Caymanian Protection Board will presumably at such stage as these persons may be able to make the appointment, go to the person who contributes the vargest to the campaign fund, and those with a lesser contribution would just be Members of the Board. I understand that it is a similiar rumoured thing for the Central planning Authority. So, Mr. Fresident, amusingly, the ideas for appointment of the Frotection Board are varied. I know that one of the Members of the joint committee, I betieve it was the Chamber of Commerce, requested that they should have a might to appoint, I believe, three Members to the Board. I would just say this, Mr. Presidents, the Board is geographically spread now, and it also has persons in diverse oocupations, and in fact the Chamber of Cormerce has the targest stice, I betieve, on the Board of any other organisation, so in practice $I$ would say that the Covernor-in-Counoil in appointing Members to the Board has had cogniaance of the fact that ocoupation as well as district-wise the Members should be spread.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): As I mentioned earlier, if one have a good manager of a succeseful bueiness, one does not rotate him every four years. In fact the First Elected Member for George Toum put the case very alearly against rotation under the mile which he referred to as the rollover mile under inmigration. Mr. President, it is like saying that if something works, then you should attempt to fix it. That, I believe is a mistake, and you nomally.
find that if something works one should leave it. That is why, Mr. President, with the stability that this country has which has been gained by the Members of this House over the last eight years, is working now, and we are the best people to continue it. Moving on, Mr. President, in lettere as welt as aet out in this joint oommittee report, there are different views relating to appeals to the courts and appeals to statutory triburales, and this is what $I$ will deal with now, as it is probably the next most controversial point.

In a letter in the Caymanian Compass on 7th July, 1984, on page 4, Mr. Pierson mentioned that there should be appeals to the court on to an appeals tribunal established to deal with appeals. I find that somewhat strange, and not in ine with his earlier way of thinking when there was an imputation that we should take power away from the Civit Service and put it in the hands of politicians, (and I am here referring to the matter of removing, say the Financial Secretary, a Civil Servant, and replacing him with an eleated politician of this House). So, we have the reverse trend now of taking power oway from the politiciane and putting it into the courts. At least, Mr. President, with this suggestion, there is no need to advance the Constitution. The Caymanian Protection Low can be dealt fully within the
Constitution of the Cayman Islands with the understanding as we all know, Mr. President, that the United Kingdom is always very cware, and always likes to look very carefully and cautiousty at matters such as the nationality rights.

We have extended the period of time under Section 11 for appeals to 21 days and beyond, if good cause can be shown, and this is in line with the laws that now exist in relation to extension of time.

Section 12, as I mentioned earlier,
has been commented on by nearly every person who made representations on the Bill. These representations have ranged from the specific bodies wanting perhaps to appoint some Members as I mentioned earlier,
or having Members appointed from within their body, to having appeats dealt with by the courts on separate tribunats. I would now like to give Honourable Members my views of the Law as it now is, and the different propositions.

The joint conmittee of the Business and Professional Women's Club, the Cayman Contractors Association, the Cayman Ielands Chamber of Commerce, the Cayman Istands Hotel Association and the Young Caymanian Businessmen Association, which, Mr. President, I will continue to refer to as the joint committee, mentioned under Section 2, where they dealt with this on the Appellate Tribunal, which they fett it was not proper for appeats to go to the Executive Council and winich should go to an appeals tribunat.

Before going into the merits of
each case, I would like to point out to this Honourable House the position in the United Kingdom under the Britiah Nationality Act. Mr. President, in Seetion 44 of the British Nationality Acts subsection 2 we find this. I am now going to read from the British Act:

## HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONT INUING)

> "(2) The Searetary of State, a Governor on a LieutenantGoverwor, as the case may be, shatl not be required to assign any reason for the grant or refusat of any applioation under this Act the decision on which ia at his discretion; and the decision of the Searetary of State or a Governor or Lieutenant-Governor on any auch appitioation shall not be subject to appeal to, on review in, any court."

Therefove, Mr. President, the Eritish Nationality Act of England provides that there is no appeal from a "diecretionary deciaion of the Secretary of State or you in a Colony as Govermor, on matterg: unden the British Nationality Aot; and that there is no appeal from it. iso put very simply, in England when it comes to nationality under this Act there is no appeal., there are. no reasons given, and that is it.

Further, Mr. President, and here I am reading from Immigration Law and Fractice by MacDonald. Thene is no right of appeal in the United Kingdom againet a decision to deport for reasons of national security or other political reasons. I stress that because a lot has been made of the fact of a political body dealing with this matter, and alao very surprisingly, there is no appeal against the refusal of the Department of Employment to isoue a Work Permit or approve a training scheme; and there is:no appeal againat the refueal to extend what they call "packing up time".

Having aaid that, Mr. President, I
believe that there is good cause why there are no appeals from an executive body to a judioial body; and I witl show as I go on how we have had a serious confusion of the eeparation of powers doctrine.
$I$ will deal first with appeals to the court. Firetly, I believe that appeale to the court would be physically impossible to manage as the Board would have to write detailed legal reasons for all decisions on appeals. Further, I belisve that it would probably take at least two judgee and a special inmigration court sitting five days a week for the fult year to hear all appeals. We muet remember, for example, that in the first contested case under the Caymanian Proteotion Law that was heand in oourt on the word"domicile", in which I appeared as Aoting Attorney-General in 1972, the then Jidge of the Grand Courts Mr . Justioe Moodys heard legal arguments that took several days on the word"domicile"alone. The first deoision' under this Law produced a legal interpretation by consent of the then Legal Draughtsman, Mr. Donaldson, before the then Mr. Justice Horsfall, some months prior. This stated that all persons who were in the Cayman Islands and "domiciled at common law rather than under the 1982, Britiah Subjects Inmigration Restriotion Law, had Caymanian status as a might. It was a legal decision made by the courts; properly made by the courts; but it was one which was highily impraotical as it opened the door to many thousands of persons, some of Whom had only been in the Cayman Istands for a few minutes.

This is also the problem that one has with the oourt in that its decisions are decisions in the law, and it has no overpiding duty towards the policy and good goverrment of the country.

Further still against having appeals to the court is that an appeal to the Grand Court can go to the Court of Appeal and thereafter to the Erivy Counoil in England, taking perhaps three to five years to reach a conclusion. What do you
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HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): do with the appellant during that period? Does he remain in the Cayman Is Lands and oarry on his business, however detrimental it may be to the public during that period? Undoubtedly, Mr. President, with immigration, deaisions must be given within a reasonable period.

An independent tribunal - several of the arguments relating to the court also relate to the tribwat. The most important one is that its decisions, that is the decisions of the tribmal, will be on the Low and will not be government policy. Therefore its decisions could well be logical and impraetial although legal. For example, I mentioned earlier that the courte ruled that any pexson who was domiciled at common low inmediately prior to the 27th March, 1972, became a person having Caymanion atatus as a might. Mr. President, that is a hormifying thought, but I point out the decision was legal, and while it was not in the interest of this country the duty of a court is to carry out the law. Undoubtedly that decision would never have been given by the Executive Cownoit, because it was a deoision that could have mant total muination to the Caumion Iolonds at that time.

I would now like to deal with the doctrine of separation of powers. Mr. President, much has been said in the past four years about the doctrine of separation of pavers; and histomioally it ame into the politioal arena when there was a joint monifesto by Mr. George Smith, Mr. Iinford Fiexeon and muelf, and to be frank about it, $I$ tat in the doctrine of separation of powers, because it is a good one, but up until that stage there had been no talk about it. But it has now been confused and I would like to just state what the or thodox authorship on this regards as the three different meanings and show you how it would aupport appeals nemaining where they now are. This is ooming from Wade and Fhilitipe Constitutional and Administrative Low and the author has this to say about it:-

As the strong aontrast between the United States and France shows, the dootrine of separation of powers has a variety of meonings; thus the concept of separation may mean at least three different things:
"(a) that the same person should not form part of more than one of the three orgons of Government, e.g. that Ministers should not sit in Parliament;
(b) that one organ of Government should not control or interfere with the exeroise of its fluction by another organ, e.g. that the Judioiavy should be independent of the Executive or that Ministers should not be responsible to Parlioment;
(c) that one organ of Govermment should not exercise the ftonctions of another, e.g. that Ministers should not have Legislative powers."

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONYTNUING): The alassification of the powers of Goverment into tegislative, executive and judicial powere involves mony conceptual difficulties, for ecaimle, no sharp boundrary oan be drown between judicial and administrative finctions on between legislation administration, but in a system of Government based on law it remains important to distinguish in constitutional structuxe and process between the primary functions of law making, law executing and law adjudicating. If these distinctions ane abondoned, the ooncept of low itself can scarcely survive.

So, basicalty, what the doctrine of separation of powers means is that one axm of Govermment should not interfere in the work of conother.

So, therefore, Mr. Preaident, to have the courts attempting to deal with appeals, and to exercise executive functions, would, in my opinion, clearly be a breach of this doctrine of separation of powers.

There is aleo a situation, Mr. President, in which the Legislature con invest both the Exeoutive, the Judioiary and the Legislature itself with remedies for exactly the same situation; and that while it does not exist wder the Caymanian Proteation Law, an example of this is found in our Confidential ke lationships Law where the Executive is vested with pover to disalose; the court is vested with power to disolose; each or both can exarcise that power simultaneousty or altermatively, and it stays within the doctrine of separation of powers. So, in this case $I$ believe that to take and put oppeals from an exsoutive body, cross it into the courts, if one is looking at the pure doctrine of separation of powers, it would be a breach of it. But does the court not have any power in relation to immigration matters? Of course the court does. There is alwose what is known as the prerogative writ. In this instance it would be the certionami and the mandamus which have been used in two instances where the Lew is not followed. While, Mr. President, I do not want to go into depth on the principles of natural justice, the courts atways have an overriding power to see that the Executive and the Legialativa if it is exexcising powers such as a subsidiaxy type of power, is dealt with in accordance with the Low.

So if the Appeale Tribunal, the Exscutive Councit or the Board deate with a matter in which the courts may exerciae the prerogatory writ of certionari or mondamue, then in that instonoe the court does have certain rights. But I must point out the difference between the prerogative writ and actually having the court as an Appeals Tribwal, is that if the court is dealing with appeale, then it moy well have to exercise an executive function. However, if it is merely seeing that the body is oomplying with the Low, in this case the Protection Board, that is a different thing. There is a difference between exerciaing the functions and beeing that the Law is complied with.

Mr. President, in the final analysis, inmigration in every country is dealt with by the Executive, or in independent countries by the Cabinet Minister responsible for nationality, as in the Umited Kingdom. The reason is very sinply this. The Eascutive Council is made up of elected representatives of the poople, and every four years they must conswer to the people. An independent tribunat, or the court, or a judge does not have to fawe the electorate when it makes mistakes, or when it produces a decision which is totally against the interests of the majomity of

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): COUMCNions. We do, Mr. Preaident. Therefore, this is the reason why in the United Kingdom there is in fact no appeal from the decision of the Home Seoretary in discretioncry, matters, neither to the court nor to the Cabinets which is the equivalent of our Executive Council.

The important policy in a country must be its inmigration policy, and the decision must reat with the Eleated Membere who must answer to the public for the good government of the country. To try to put immigration policy with appeals in a tribunal or a court is, I believe, to badty mix the Executive and the Judiciat functions; and thus breach the doctrine of separation of powers. In fact, Mr. President", in the United Kingdom, even though there are limited appeale on limited matters, there are inatances in which quite $a$ few of them, I think, about four on five, in which they will not even let the appellant come within the country itself.

There have been some representations that every person who wished to be heard in person must be heard by the Board or Executive Council. This once again is impractical, and I believe that provided that a person has a right to make written representation, then the discretion should be left in the Board and the Executive Council as to hearing a person orally.

For example, Nr. President, if there were 400 appeals in each year and all required to be heard orally or by an attorney, which means it would be much tonger; then either the Boand or the Executive Council would have to sit every doy of the year just to deal with the hearing of those appeals. But, if both bodies are given a right to allow oral evidences oral witnesses before them then, Mr. President, if it is needed, this can be dones and, Mr. President, we know that if this went on that the Board would just get much further behind than it now is and further dolay applications before it.

Mr. Arthur Hunter attacked this Bill in a meeting that he had, but I am just wondering, Mr. President, why during the seven years or so that he sat as Chairman of the Board that he perhaps did not recommend that appeals go to a tribunal, or that he did not personatly hear persons coming before him and give the reasons for the refusal that the Board made. It, I betieve, can be shared with the First Elected Member for George Tow who was also on that Boardg and at times Chairman, that the Board whils it has been highty criticised, Mr. President, it will always be oriticised if this House made a Law with 1,000 different provisions then it would stitl be criticised, but for the purpose that it serves I believe it has worked well under the present Chairmanship and the past Chairmanship, inctuding the early days when I know it was much more difficult when the First Elected Member for George Toun was on it.

So, Mr. Preaident, referring to the publio meeting, if a person is no longer on the Board, I feel he should have a duty not to unnecessarity oriticise it, if some eight or ten years back when that person was in the driving seat on that Board, we did not get these recommendations coming out at that time. It is a bit of sour grapes at this stage.

Specifically, in relation to giving specifio reasons for every refusat that the Board must make, we muet bear in mind that there are times, for example, when the reason is that a person is involved in organised crime and we have, for example, a report from Interpol, or the FBI, or Scotland Yard, and it is just not possible to publish that report. I see nothing wrong, however, with giving general reasons, for example, that it is against the interests of the public in that there are too many businesses or, that it is in the retail trade which is already well serviced; and therefore that the licence should be

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): refused, or that there are too many persons already working in the category for a Caymanian and where a Caymanian could be displaced.

Mr. President, the joint Committee referped to Section 14, subsection $1(f)$ where they wanted to delete the power of the Executive Council to grant Caymanian status. Well, I would like to put abundantly clear that there have only been four instances in which the Executive Council, I understand, has exercised its power. It has been exercised for two ex-Governors, one ex-Chief Justice and an ex-Attorney-Generat; and the reasoning why this pover is put there is very simply this. These are people who in the past have had to deal with decisions at the most senior level; and it is not really proper, I think, that we should have a Governor or a Judge or Attormey-General having to go before, say the Protection Board, but members of the public have tried to make this into another political footbali by tming to mistead the public into betieving that this is a power that Executive Council uses every day. Since 1972, it has been used four times; the four times it has been, used I think it was correctly used, and it is a residual power which I believe should remain where it is. There are certain stages of humitiation beyond which, I believe, to keep the judiaiary, the legal side or the governorehip whatever independent; then this power should be used in those limited instances.

I am reminded, sir, it has been used for two judges, not one, and $I$ apotogize for that. In any event, $M$. President, it is rapely used, but I believe it should remain.

Finding up on Section 14 relating to acknowledgement of statue, I once again wish to remind this Honourable House of Section 44 of the British Nationality not of 1981, which provides that there is no appeat from a discretionary decision of the Secretary of State and that no reasons need be given for the deciaion. It is absolute there; it is made by one person onty and at least in the Cayman Islands there is a right of appeal to the Exeoutive Counciz.

Seotion 14, subsection (2) of the Bill
provides that a child of a person who has acquired Caymanian statue under the age of eighteen years can retain that status after the age of eighteen years provided that he does not lose it under Section 21 (1) (e) (iii), by not having been ordinarily resident in the Islands for seven years prior to his attaining the age of eighteen years. Members of this House know that this eection was dealt with before the Appeat Court in the case, I think of the Collins petition, Sir, when the court at that stage miled that this was a minority clause under the 1972, Law and had been under the 1962, Immigration British Subjects Lawsand under previous Lawe which had a similiar section, and it gave a status of a parent; in this instanes it would have been of the parent who himself or herself had Caymanian status to the child until the child was eighteen. Well, by legialation now, Sir, what we are doing is to state that when the chitd reaches seventeen, he will know with eertainty one of two things; either if he has not been ordinarily resident for a period of seven years, before the age of eighteen, then he should at that stage apply one year before he reaches eighteen to get his grant of Caymanian status. But, if he would have then been here for six years ordinarily resident and would continue to be for another year, then he will automatically have Caymanian status beyond his minority. So in effect it reverses that decision on this Bill,but, Mr. President, there are doubts and I do not really believe it affects any of the previous Laws such as the 1962 Law. However, I feel that it is a fair section; if children have been in Cayman for long periods of time and their parents continue to have status and remain here, then the children should

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): not have to apply for a grant as was the position under the minority clause.

What I would like to do, Mr. Fresidents is to mention the position under the previous 1963. Low. That Law was Chapter 67, the Immigration Restriction British Subjects Law, and came into force on the 18t March, 1962, and it had what was then calied Belonger status which is now Caymanian status under the 1972, Law; and Section 2, subsection (2) said:
"Fow the purposes of this Law a British subject shall be deemed to belong to the Islands if he -
(a) was borm in the Islonds or of parente who at the time of his birth were domiciled or ordinarily resident in the Istands: or
(b) is domiciled in the Istands; or
then it goes on to ordinary residents, and under Section 35 there was a section similiar to the one that was removed in here that residents for purposes of the Law had to be without restriction in certain inetances.

So, the belief that in the past anyone who was borm outside of the Cayman Islands of a Caymanian parent that the parent need not be domiciled or ordinarily reaident here, is not correct. There had to be at the time of birth which is the relevant, time, a parent who was domiciled or ordinarily resident in the Islands, and that was the same I understand, Mr. President, under the 1934, Law. The reason I am mentioning this is that there is a belief that this Bill has taken away righte of those persons and indeed it cannot, because there is the saving alause in this and it has been in every other one, and the rights under the 1962, or under the 1934 , Low depending on the age of the chitd have been preserved.

Mr. President, Seetion 15 was one section that I think nearly all of the persons who commented on it, and indeed the joint Committee said shoutd be removed. Well, this has been removed. Very briefty, what it did was to give the right to United States citizens who may not have been Emitish subjects at the time of birth of a child, Caymanian status, if at the time of birth the conditions in it were fulfilled. So, what it really did was to remove the restriction of having to be a British subject. Section 16 relates to domicile and the court's determination of it. The joint Cormittee in their report stated that it has been proved that the meaning of this word is extremely difficult to define, and a state of mind of where a person thinks he calls home; therefore this word should not be used under this Bill. Their recommendation was "domicile" should be deleted entirety and replaced with "resident", which would maan the applicant must have bsen living within these Islands for the period or periods as specified in the Bill.

Mr. Preaident, I would like to just read briefly a misconoeption that has arisen in relation to this word. As I mentioned some time earlier, when I was Acting AttorneyGeneral in 1972, I did the first contested case on this word, and I would like to read from Stroud's Judicial Dictionary, Volume II and it says this:
"Aa to change of domicile, a 1930 case, dectarations of intention are rightly regarded as determining the question of a change of domicile, but they must be examined by consideming the person to whom, the

## HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING);

"purposes for which and the circumstances in which they are made, and they must further be fortified and carried into effect by conduct and action consistent with the declared expression."

So you do not just stand up one good day, enter a country and say I am domiciled, and that is it. One actually has to show through conduct that one has made that place his domicile, his permanent home, and in fact, Mr. President, if the Bill had been as simple as it is conmonly thought where one merely makes a declaration then these Islands would really have been in serious trouble; and that is why in 1972, I contested that first case whioh happily, after many, many years, was finally settled and was saved through a savinge clause, was one of the main reasons which put, I think, sense into what could have been a very detrimental legal decision. So what I would mention is that I take the point that the joint Conmittee has mades but I do not believe that you aan find any nationality law that does not carry the word "domicile". It may carry "domicile" along with other definitions such as "ordinarily resident" or "resident", but I do point out to them that the word "resident" heres if you take pure rebidency and you miss a day out of it, then you have broken it and it is wholly different from ordinary residence.

The joint Committee referved to Section 18, several subsections of that. What I think the public and Members here know as was stated somewhat earlier in a question to the Honourable First Officiat Member, there have only been the grant of 252 Caymanian statuses since 1972 so it is not a matter of the Caymanian Protection Board, or indeed the Executive Council fixing very large quotas. It has been selective, and I do not believe that that is either too much or too little for over that period.

I would like to now refer to Section 18, subsection (4)once again, and to point out that, (I am sorry, this now becomes under the new Bill Section 18(3), and it says:
"Any person who is an eligible person of not less than sighteen years of ace, one of whose parents or grandparents was borm in the Islands and who thas been ordinarily resident in the Istands for the period of three years immediately preceding hie application may apply to the Board for the grant of Caymanian status."

That section, Mr. President, $i$ one that I believe will assist persons who have Caymanian status both of parents and grandparents to acquire status after the three year period, and this avoid the seven year period. I think it is good. I think it will attract back to Cayman persons who have roots here; who have their parentage here. I would however like to point out that it is discretionary. Alt that happens is that it reduces the period of ordinary residence from seven years to three years for the application of status.

Section 18, subsection (5) has beer one that much has been said on. It was mentioned in, I know, the Chamber of Commerce comments, and in fact it has now got about equality of the spouses, and it says this.

## (5) Any eligible person -

(a) who is the spouse of a person who possesses Caymanian status:
(b) who is not living apart from their spouse under a decree of a competent court or under a deed of separation;
(a) who has not lived apart from his spouse for an aggregate period of twetve monthe out of the five years inmediately preceding the application:
(d) who has been ordinamily resident in the Islande for a period of three yeare imnediately preceding his application; and
(e) who has not in any country been convicted of an offence for which a sentence of imprisonment of or exceeding twelve months has been passed other than for non-payment of a fine unless -

> (i) such conviction has been quashed on appeat or has been the subject of a free pardon?
(ii) the act or omission giving rise to such convictin would not be an offence if done or omitted in the Ialands in similar ciroumstances; or
(iii) the conviction is one which, in the interests of justice, the Board directe to be ignored for the purposes of this section,
may apply to the Board for the grant of Caymanian status."
Mr. President, what that does and it has been in the draft Bill sinee $9 t h$ July, is therefore to make both husband and wife equal. However, what I would like to mention, because this has been ueed up to a feu days ago, I heard it in a public mesting, that one of the things that would be done was this equality of rights and I would like to point out very clearly that since the discussion draft was published on the $9 t h$ July this year, that section has always been in there and it really is not very truthful or fair for anyone to get up and promise they are going to do what has already been done.

So for the first time we have, as has the British Nationality Act, removed the distinction between husband and wife. I should point out, however, that historically this lack of equality arose, because under most nationality taws there has to be one pereon, and one person only that domicile and nationality will follow, and in international law that has always been the husband. Because you would get situations, and we may well get them arising under this, in which the husband, for example, is from say Jamaica, the wife is from the United States and the chitd is born, say in Honduras; and then you have perhaps three jurisdictions plue one of them or both of them would have to get status here and one get a confliot of laws. : Well $I$ assume in due oourse that that would sort itself out, but traditionally status and nationality has fottowed one person only and that nomally has been tho lecrl hear of the household.

HON. "TRUAA M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr, Fresident," in subsection (7) of Section 78 it presempes the right to olaim status if the ingredients of the previous subsection that I mentioned exist inmediately prior to the death of the spouse, and $I$ think once again that this is fair, and it does give continuity.

> Mr. President, another controversial
clause was Section 18(8), paragraph (c) which stated that in calculating the period of time the two years immediately preceding the application for status, during which a person has been resident, that that person should not have any reatrictions during that period. Well, quite rightly that has been removed, and I notice the joint Committee did not raise that in its new recomnendation. But I do point out it was the equivatent of what I think was Section 37 of the 1962 Immigration Restriation Law and it has been preserved, even though I think for twelve monthe in the British Nationality Act in relation to, I think, naturalisation. But, quite rightly it could have been a problem under our Law and it has been removed.

So put simply the removal of this means that a person who is on a work permit can now acquire Caymanian status by grant and would not be preeluded, as he would have had restrictions on his permit prior to this section, which was never law, it was only in the draft, being removea. The considerations that the Board
should take into aocount are found in Section 18, subsection (8) and I would like to just read these quickly, It says:
(8) "The Board shall, in deciding whether or not to grant Caymanion status have regard to the following:-
(a) the economic situation of these Istands and the due protection of persons engaged or likely to be engaged in gainful ocoupation;
(b) the health, character and previous conduct of the applicant, and where applicable, of any member of his family;
(c) any advantage which the continued residence of the applicant or his famity may afford to these Ialands:
(d) that the applicant is or would upon the grant to him of Caymanian status become domiciled in the Cayman Islands.
(e) the hardship that may be caused to a spouse and his dependents;: and
(f) that the grant of the application is not contrary and is conducive to the public interest,
and may if aatisfied with respect to the foregoing considerations make a grant of Caymanian statue in favour of the appicant:

PROVIDED that, in the case of a married applicant living apart from her husband whose domicile is, by reason of her marital status, beyond her own control, paragraph (d) shall not apply as a bar to the grant to her of Caymanian status."

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): But, Mr. President, that is an instance where domicile has had to be reversed from the traditional ooncept that the domicile of a wife foltows a husband. We have reversed it by lous,because this is the sort of problem that can sometimes arise where different people have different domiciles. one is left with a minority child in between, who cannot legally determine his own status.

The important addition to this from the otd Law, Mr. President, haia been that under (a) we have not just said the soonomic situation and the due protection of persons already engaged; we said engaged or likely to be engaged; and this I think is important, even though I know the Board considered the future labour market when granting status. In (b) one of the considerations now we have included is the health of the applicant.

Pexhaps the most important section and one that was pushed by the two Elected Members from the Lesser Islands is the hardship that may be caused to a spouse and his dependents, and in this, Mr. President, what it will now do is to focus the consideration of the Board specifically on the effect of not granting a work permit to a spouse who is married. to a person with Caymanian status. What we really have is now putting into taw what has been policy, I believe sinoe 1972, in that where a husband or a wife is not Caymanian, and the spouse io Caymanian; then the Board will have regard to a favoured aituation towards that apouse when dealing with the grant of Caymanian status. I think we have also included it under what used to be the old Section 27 in relation to work permite; and I must say I agree with the two Eleoted Members from the Leseer Islands who were the ones who pushed that. It was also raised, while I do not believe by the joint Committee, I know individually this was raised by persons who made written commente on the Law.

What I would like to have seen here, Mr. President, was something move to give the Board teeth in relation to conveniences of marriage. Incidentally, when the White Paper, I think, came out in the United Kingdom on the Inmigration Act, the section relating to marriages of convenience had in a clause which stated, or a recormendation I should say, which stated that a marriage by which the persons were not togethersbecause there had apparently been a legal marriage by telephone, would not suffice under the low. Weil, when it reached the British Nationality Act a lot of that went by the way, but I betieve that this has been an avenue of abuse in the past, and as svery other Member here has said, I believe that the Board has to be really oautious and reatly careful when now using the section that permits them to grant status to the non-Caymanian spouse of a caymanian spouse.

MR. PRESTDENT: I wonder if this would be a convenient moment for the Member to...

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Yes, Sir.
MR. PRRSIDENT:
Let us in that aase suspend procsedings
for approximately fifteen minutes.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 3.5O P.M.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. President, most important to the operation of this Law is the keeping of proper statistics. I have always believed that to make a decision without having all of the relevant facts and statistics for consideration is negligence. Therefore, $I$ believe that it is crucial to the operation of this Law that proper statistics be maintained, and be maintained accurately and kept up to date, and given to the relevant persons.

Mr. President, I believe that now that the Immigration Department has a computer and all the staff that have been asked for within reason; that this should cease to be a problem, but 1 must admit it has been a problem in the past.

Tuming to the joint Cormittee and theix recommendations, they refer to section 21 (1) (f) and as they mention, that hae been deleted. I should mention, sir, that when the joint Committee published its recommendations these related to the discussion draft and not really this new Bill, therefore it is a bit oonfusing and a bit difficult really to be able to marry up the two Bills as well as their comments.

In Section 23 they said"that the time has oome for Govermment to be treated in exactly the same way as the private sector with regard to the issue of gainfut oocupation licences, with the exception that auch reinful occupation licences would be free of cost. Laws of these Istands need to apply equally to the public and private sectore. There have been mony cases where an application of a gainfut occupation licence was not granted or renewed, and the some person has subsequently amployed by Government."

Mr. President, I agree with the fact as the First Elected Member. for George Toun stated that there are many instances when people who are rejected by the Boand are employed in Government; and that I feet, Sir, must stop. However, I would just like to point out that it is not constitutionally posibible to put the Civil Service enployment under the Caymanian Protection Board, and Section 7 subsection (1), paragraph (c) (v) of our Constitution provides that:
"The appointment on contract and appointment to act in any office of any person to any public office, auspension, termination of employment, diamiasal or retirement of any pubtic officer, or taking of disciplinary action in respect of such an officer,"
and it goes on; can be dealt with only by your Excellency. Therefore, while I have symppthy to a certain extent with what has been referred to here and I believe that we should get a much closer liaison between the Public Service Commission and the Boaxd as to persons who are not suitable for one or the other; becouse I believe it goes both ways. I think people also leave Government and they get gainful occupation licences, but our duty here is to uphotd the Constitution and as I mentioned earlier, we should never even think of advancing this, whether it is to put more political power in the hands of politicians, as for example trying to remove our Financial Secretary, or for any other reason. Unfortimately I think the joint Conmittee will agree with me; there is not a lot that can be done in that respect.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): I believe, as I mentioned earlier, that there has been some erosion of this Law by persons being enployed in Govermment when they have been refused a licence by the Board, and, Mr. President, it really does not make good sense for us to have tight quotas for numbers of persons being employed in the private seator if Government too does not itself have tight quotas, and to liaise with the Protection Board.

There has been a recommendation by the joint Committee to alter subseotion (c) of Section 23 and this basically states:
"This Part shall have no application to -
(c) members of the crew of any veseet engaged in their duties as such:"
and here the definition of "vessel" includes aircraft, hoveraraft and the like. Well, it is not quite as simple as that, Mr. President, and I would like to just read briefly from MacDonald on Immigration Low and Practice where he states:
> "Members of the crews of ships and aircraft are in fact subject to more vigorous control than other groups of inmigration. Their admission unless they are resident in the United Kingdom is alvays temponary, usualiy dependent on the turn around time of their ship or airaraft!"

and in the footnote there is a reference saying that the exemptions arises corry, further down there is an exemption for crews on planes and ships internationally on flights or voyages.

> "Exemptions arioe from the application under the United Kingdom Inmigration Law where the national standards and practices whioh have been adopted in order to expedite international travel and to prevent unnecessary delays owing to inmigration procedures. Under the 1958 , Seafarers National Identity Document Convention, parties to the Convention are obliged to admit the holder of a seaman's card for temporary shore leave to enable him to join a ahip or to transfer to another."

Indeed in International Conventions of Merchant Shipping by Sing I found the Convention and in Article 6 it said:

> "Eaoh member shall permit the entry into a territory for which this Convention is enforoed of a seafarer holding a valid seafarer's identity docwment when entry is requested for temporary shore leave while the ship is in port.".

Well, I am not certain whether the United Kingdom is a party to the Convention, but it obviousty follows the Convention procedures there.

The joint Committee have requested that in Section 27(c) which relates to the considerations that the Boand must look at when granting licences, it says:

> "(c) the availability of the semices of persons already resident in the Island."
and they have asked to alter that to "the avaitability of the services of Caymanians or persons of Caymanian status". Well, I take their point there, but I believe that it should be twofold. It should be

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): the avaitabitity of semvices of Caymanians and persone of Caymanian status first; secondly, the availability of persons already resident; then lastly the availability in relation to persons from abroad.

They had mentioned that Section 30 . subseotion (2) which retates to the offence for not having a gainfut ocoupation licence and being employed. They said the subsection would tend to encourage unscrupulous employers to turm a blind eye to shortfalls in a prospective employee meeting the legal requirements.

Well, I would like to mention here that the proviso to that elause says this:

> "PROVIDED that it shatl be a good defence for a person charged with an offence under this subsection to prove that he had mads reasonable enquiries to determine whether such employment was in contravention of any of the foregoing provisions of this Part, and had no reasomable arounds for believing, and did not in fact believe, that such employment was in contravention of such provisions."

This was in the older Law and what it does do ie to provide that there must be the onus of proof on a person to have reasonable grounds to believe that a person is in possession of a gainfut ocoupation ticence. For example, suppose a person asked someone in the Immigration Department, "does Mr. X have a licence"; they said nos they employed him, then I think that this defence coutd be raised; but I point out it can only be raised in very timited instances and it must be read with subsegtion (3) which says, and I an reading here now:

> "For the purpose of this section a person carrying on or employed in any profession, trade, busines or other avooation in or with relation to the Cayman islands shall be deemed to do so for gain or reward until the oontrary is proved."

So the person has to show that he had reasonable grounds for believing and did in fact believe that the person had a work permit. So I think that their fears are probably satisfied by the reversal of onua of proof there.

Mr. President, they did mention in
Section 36. (d) that there should be proof of prostitution, not just a belief, even though reasonable, and I think this relates to prohibited inmigrants. I would just merely say that in courts there are circumstances around a person's way of tife that can be looked at and I think that to actually go to the stage of having to show actual proof of something like this oould be difficult. For example, in say divorce cases, there you can look at circunstances and you do not have to have strict proof ae required in oriminal taw. In any event, I do not think it has ever been used and $I$ will just move on.

In Section 39 the joint Committee
has mentioned that principles should be specified for the temporary entry of persons. Well they did not mention which principles there so $I$ will not really conment any further on that.

Mr. Fresident, the discussion draft Law provided for status of up to 20 persons in one calendar year. It was the feeling, I believe, of just about the whote Committee that the quota should be aet by the Executive Council and gazetted.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): granted a gainful oocupation licence within a limited field or limited profession. Or it can be for a limited period. So, under this section a person could be limited to, for example, being an attorney only, and they could be limited to that for perhaps six monthe or a year, or whatever pemiod the Board sow fit: However, it does provide a half-way house between Caymanian status and permanent residence itself which in the past has been mainly a retires's type of permanent residence.

It should be noted that this section witl give a form of security to a person who may not qualify for Caymanian status in that for such period that the Board deems fit he will be entitied to engage in some occupation as the Board decides. In the past, objections by the Caymanians to the granting of Caymanian status has been that oneea person is granted status and, for example, is an attorney, he then branches out into many other businesses which are already highly serviced by Caymanians, for example, the retail trade and thus eauses hardehip. Under this section a person will be limited to the type of work that he is ourrently then engaged in, for example, as I mentioned earlier an attorney under this section would be given permanent residence with the right to practice as an attorney, but not, for excmule to go into the retait trade.

Further, this will not give a person a right to sit in the Legistative Assembly under the amended constitutional provisions for qualifying to the leqistature, for whatever importance that may be.
$M r_{\text {. Fresident, }}$ I see this as an
advantage to Caymanians in that it is not full Caymanian status with an unlimited right to work and carry on business in any area at the discretion of the person who has just acquired status, and it is an advantage to non-Caymanians in that they can have the tenure of security within the field or profession that they wish to practice in.

So I see it, Mr. President, as being a long sought after solution. Foreigners, non-Caymanians oomplained we hear, for many years that they could not get Caymanian status. The objection many times from Caymanians has been that once granted status, then a person goes and competes in the highly competitive local industries, local areas of work. What this does is on the one hand give protection to Caymanians, becaure you know for certainty that if you have a person who is badly needed, I would say a specialist in a medical area, then you could safely grant permanent residence with a right to work as a specialist, which is probably all that doctor would want, giving him permanence of tenure, of security; on the other hand, Caymanians would be assured that tomorrou he would not open up, for example, a pharmacy, or get into the area of some general practice. So, it seoms to me deapite what the joint Committee feele that if this is administered right, it could make Caymanians a lot happier; it could make non-Caymanians a lot happier. And I honestly believe that if non-Caymanians are given more security of tenure; that we will have a more hamonious society, and it will be more industrious; and provided that we have the built in protection to ensure that the areas where Caymanians are employed are not aaturated by non-Caymanians; then I believe Caymanians will atso be a lot happier.

The First Elected Member for George
Toum mentioned about rollover. This would take these people out of a rollover period on a limited basis, or it could be on a permanent basis, as the Board decides, but it would ease the pressure that now exists for granting move Caymonian status than we have granted in the past. I should mention there as I

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONYINUING): mentioned earliex, there has only been 252 granted status in the past twe Ive or thirteen years or something like that.

Mr. Preaident, I notice that it is getting near to that time. I am wondering whether $i t$ may be possible that the House may wish to extend the sitting this afternoon. I an getting near to the end, sir, but I could not finish in the two minutes that are left.

MR. PRESIDENT: Severch Members have spoken to me suggesting that we might extend for a short period this evening.

I know of two complications which will affect the timing of Meetings tomorrow and, if we are still meeting then as' I think we may bes on Wedneeday, both of which may give us less time either tomorrow and/or Wednesday than we would have otherinise expected. So, I think that there perhaps is merit in continuing for a little longer this evening, perhaps until 5 o'clock. We could then at the conolusion of the proceedings, have a private word about the times at which we might sit tomorrow and/or Wednesday.

So, with the leave of the House, I would plan to continue proceedings today until 5 o'clock.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. President, I think that the House in a brief Committee on Friday evening had requested, and I think it has been approved, that the Commissioner of Police would meet with Members at $4.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. after the adjournment for $a$ short discussion.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that is quite true and he has been invitec to come. That is why I was not suggesting we went on beyond 5 o'clock. I would hope that at 5 o'clock the Members could meet with him. I think probably if we can get a message to him he witl not mind waiting 30 minutes, which would give the Member opeaking a chance to finish, and if any other Member had a brief speech it would give them a chance to speak. So, let us do that if we may.

Would the Honourable Second Elected Member of Exeoutive Council like to continue?

```
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Soxry, Sir, are we suspending Standing Orders then for this purpose?
```

MR. PRESIDENT: No, but we are not yet at 4.30.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, it would be convenient rather than my btarting and your stopping me in a minute, if we could just take that motion now.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Well if you would like to move that Standing Onders in acoordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82, that is Standing Order 10(2) be suevended in suoh a way that the aljourmment, or the moment of interruption be at 5 o'clock rather than 4.30.

SUSPENSION OF' STANDING ORDER 10(2)
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I move under the provisions of Standing Order 82 that Standing Order 10(2) be suspended to permit the House to carry on business until 5 o'clock, and then to be interrupted, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT.
Does any Member wish to speak? In
that case I will put the question.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 10(2) SUSPENDED.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. President, the joint Conmittee has referred to some other sections. They pointed out what is a clerical error in Section 64(4), and in Section 70 the recommendation they made in relation to giving identification cards more quickly has now been replaced by the issue of the aard immediately upon the granting of the permit.

Their assumption in Section 71,subseotion* (3) uaxe that any regulation made by the Governor under the Law would be gasetted, however the Law is not speaific. Well under the Interpretation Low, I an sure I quess, under your royal instructione all regulations have to be gazetted. And they pointed out in Section 78 that the reference should not have been to Section 15, if that wat deleted. They are quite right. That uas another erron and it should have been originally section 14.

Mr. President, I have endeavoured, somewhat tediously, to deal as far as I can with the joint Conmittee Report. I have had naturally some difficultu because not always were references, theirs' and the two taws which I have been using easy to marry up, but I would hope that this can show to them and to the public that this Committee has taken its time: has looked very carefully at all comments, and indeed has included in this Bili many, many of the conments which have been made to us.

In conclusion, Mr. President, the Caymonian Protection Bill being the most important piece of local legistation, has been exposed and studied over the last nineteen months by, the Committee has met on many occasions and has invited comments from the public. We have received, as I mentioned, over forty written commente and the Soteot Committee has heard orat representations and arguments from several groups.

I feel confident, Sir, that this Bill represents the wishes of the majority of the people of the Cayman Islands. However. it is a complex Law. There is nothing simple about Nationality Laws, and sometimes this complexity can oreate problems as I mentioned with the definition of "domicile" earlier.

The Bitl is drafted to protect Caymanians in employment and trade in the Cayman Istands. On the other hand it has to be fair towards non-Caymanians who come to our shores, and who are necessary within our society.

I betieve, or I cm certain that this Bill is very much in line with English and other democratio. Commonwealth Colonies and it preserves the neceesamy built-in checks to ensure that immigration is dealt with in an efficient and fair way.

However, in the final analysi Mr. President, neither Members of this House or the Bocred are going to ever please everybody. That is impossible but this House can rest ascured that it has gone to extremes to see that the public have had their input in this Bill; that we have considered every detail: we have heard everyone who has wanted to be heard, and this Bill is now in accordance with the majority of the wishes of the people of the Cayman Islands, and the majority of thic Legislative Assembly.
$I$ am certain that given a much longer period of time, that nothing much move constmutive would have been achieveds and that after the seventeen or nineteen months in the study of this Bill, it is the duty of thie House to clear up the anomalies and discrepanoies that sxist in the Caymanian Protection Law and pass this Bill into Law.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): I should mention, Mr. President, $I$ have atterpted, white in a complex mannex perthaps at times, becauee it is a complex Bill, to debate this Bill in such a way that hope. fully there will be a more clear understanding of many of the problems that unfortunately politics has shrouded, and either blown out of proportion, or turned into a meaning which the Law was never meant it to be.

Therefore, lastly, Mr. President, having taken this Bill to the public; having considered it over a long period; having listencd to the representations of the people here in these Ialands. I believe that this House has done its duty to the public and to Members here in the production of thia Law. And I hope that the working of this in the future will be such that we witl have a much happier Caymanian public; a much happier nonCaymanian public; and that we will have a much more harmonious and certain fusion of the different nationalities and persons within these Islands.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? If not I shall call upon the Mover to exercise his right of repty, and I shall not for a second time allow anybody who gets up after he does, to speak. So if another Member does wish to speak. I do implore him to catch my eye now.

The Third Eleoted Member for West Bay.
MR, BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I had hoped not to have to speak this evening, Sir, because I believe I will only be able to touch the periphery of what I intend to say; but rather than to lose the opportunity to speak I will start now.

Mr. Fresident, as previous Members have said, this, House must record its gratitude to members of the public who have made representation to the Committee from time to time. I can assure those members that their representations were considered; Not in all instances were they accepted but I trust that members of the publice and this House will accept that in the drafting of such a Bill Members have to draw on their experience of the operation of the Bills, or the Law in the past; and probably even before the inception of the present Caymanian Protection Law.

As an example of what I cm stating, Mr. President, I had the honour to introduce this Bill to the Legistature in 1971, when the first Caymanian Protection Law was introduced. As Members are aware, the Local Companies Control Law was an integral part of the package of legislation that was passed at that time. In other words, the Caymanian Protection Low dealt with the individual; the Local Companies Control Law dealt with companies, because without having such a Law it would hove been possible to circumvent the intention of the Caymanian Protection Law by the formation of a compeny. To show how fluid, Ar. President, and changing times are, and have been, I would like to quote a few linee of what I said in the winding up of the debate on the Local Companies Control Law on the 27 th September, 1971, and it was this:
"Banks and Trust Companies have been specifically excluded and the reason for this should be quite
obvioue. No one in our wildest dream sees 60
per cent Caymanian control of a bank in our
lifetime. This is obvious why this has been
left out, but the Bill seeke as I said to
guarantee Caymanians, partioularly our next generation, that something will be left for them to take up where the present generation leaves off."

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): As is well known, Mr. Pxesident, I was proved very wrong on those words because in leas than three years Cayman Ilationat Bank and Trust Company was chartered. If I might with modesty say so, I become the Chaiman of that bank at the inception.

I assure you that the words which I said in 1971, were firmly held and believed. So, when we are dealing with the Caymanian Protection Law, we are dealing with a very fluid situation, one in which we are going to have to make continuous anendments based on the conditions at the time, because as we have said, the objeot of the Law is to preserve the rights of Caymanians while at the same time giving a sense of security, a feeling of well-being, and a feeling of being wanted and being weloomed amonget us to those who are permitted to come and work amongst us.

Mr. President, ae has been aatd this
Bill. is a very complex piece of legislation, and I personally feel that in some instances the urgency which this Bill demanded was not given to it in the early atages. What I mean is the Committee was formed in early 1981, I believe it was or 1982. really did not get down to business early on this Bill. I believe that a lot of our own nauging doubts could have possibly been settled had we started that committee work earlier. I realise we had other pressing things, Mr. President, but to me there is nothing more pressing than thia piece of legislation.

Now, Mr. President, I have heard the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council on several occasions talking about the intexpretation which was given to "domicile"in the old Caymanian Protection Law. While I do not have the old Caymanian Protection Law before me, nor do I have the Minutes of the Committee which otudied that Law before me, I do have the copy of the Minutes of the 27 th September, 1971 when this Bill was given its second reading; and the question of"domicile" was a question which raieed a lot of concern at that time. Now where the definition of "domicile"got changed I am unable to say, beause I know that the Bill has been amended from time to time on many occasions, but $I$ would like to read from these Minutes what I said on that ocoasion and which was read from the Bill before the House on the question of "domicite".

One Member questioned or auggested that"domicile"should be defined. It is defined. "Domicile"is defined at page $B$, and this is the definition in italics, $M r$. President:

> "Domicile"and ite derivatives have the neconing ordinarily applied to that expression at common Iaw;"
and that is almost word for word what"domicile" $i_{s}$ defined as in this Bill. This Bill says:

> ""domioile" and ite derivatives have the meanings ordinarily applied to those axpressions at common law:"

So I cm not going to argue as to whether that definition was ohanged in the 1972 Law, but what I can argue, Mr. Preoident, is that no blame can be attached to the droughtaman or the Attorney-General of the day, if our definition today is the right and accepted definition.

> I am not saying that pressure was not brought to bear in the Committee and it might have been changed there, or whether it was changed subsequently, because I do not have those Minutes before me, nor clo I have the Law to determine

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): at what stage it was changed. But I can say without fear of succeseful aontradiction, that that was the definition in the original Bill on the 27 th day of September, 1971.

Now, Mr. President, I an going to get
into an area that I believe is going to take me a considerable time, but since it is broken up into aubsections I am oning to try to deal with the subsections so, that when time for intermuption comes, maybe I could be intermupted at an appropriate point.

Thia, $M$. President, $i s$ the definition of "eligible person" in Section 2 of the Bill:
"(a) any British citizen or a aitizen of the Republic of Ireland;"

I have no problems with that, Mr. President, nor do I have any problem with:
"(b) any British Dependent Termitomies Citiaens:"
Now, Mr. President, I do have a problem with subsection (c) which says:
"(c) any citisen of Austratia, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Canada, Jamaica, New Zealing or Trinidad and Tobago;"

The Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council referred this moming to seeing me with some law books. Mr. President, they are not law books. One is a Whittaker's Almanac 1984, and the other is a Year Book of the Commonwealth 1984. Mr. Preeident, in referring to these books as I progress and other publications or leaflets within the book, and also by reference to the British Nationality Act, 1981, and to the proposed Bill before us, $T$ intend to prove that the selection of certain countries from the Commonweath is an exercise in futility.

The Member I know stated that persons would follow him who would say differently than he did on that section, and that those persons would be supporiting Idi Amin instead of a former President of the United States, and all of this, and that the Comonwealth included Communist countries and so on. But, Mr. Preaident, I am not one who believes that oonmuniem is a virus or a bug that comes in on your coat sleeve or your shirt sleeve, and as far as that goes, Mr. President, there is a registered communist party in the United kingdom. Any evening especially Sunday evening, or afternoon, you may go to Hyde Fark cormer and hear the communist party expounding their betiefs and theories, and as a British aitizen any member of that communist party would have the right of eligibility under this section. The same is true of the United States.

Mr. President, one should also remember that in 1976, I believe it was, the then formous Mr. Jinmy Hoffer oane to thite country in contravention of our Immigration Laws and was housed at a prominent development in this area, and it was not until some people got bold enough to publiciae his presence that the Govermment knew he was here and sow that he was shipped off.

Furthermore, Mr. President, the Commonwealth has no monopoty on nuts. We have had a few attempts at revolution and one was successfut I think in the Conmonwealth Caribbean, for example, and that is the extent of it. But, Mr. President, how many Presidents of the United

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): States and Senators have lost their lives by assassination. So there is nothing magicat about being an American oitizen. Nor is there anything detrimental or essentially derogatory in being a Conmonwealth citizen. Mr. President, the origin of one's birth should never be the determining factor of what that person rises to in life or becomes. The value of the individual must be based on that individual's worth and not the place of his birth.

> As I adid, Mr. President, I woutd go through the 1981 Act and show, for exampie, that most of the Commonwealth countries, or citizens of most of the Commonwealth countries which the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council refermed to, have certain residual rights in certain circumstances tasting up to five years after the commencement of the Law, for them to become British citizens. In some instanoes the Secretary of State has the right to extend that five year period up to eight years. So that there is no question, but that those ditiaens of those countries oan qualify as British citizens or British Dependent Territories citisen in some instances, and thereby qualify as eligible persons.

Take the case of the American aitisen, Mr. President. The Conmonvealth aitiaens who would be barred as eligible persons under this Clause, once they became an American citiaen would be eligible under subsection (b) which makes an American citisen eligible, and that American citisenship, Ar. President, would make eligible all of those persons from Cuba who travelled on what is now know as the Mariel boat lift, if the present amendment before Congress goes into how. It would give over 2 million Mexicans American citizenship." It would give hundreds of thousands of Haitian boat peoples, and people from Asia, who have been taken into the United States and given oitisenship as refugess; all of those people would qualify as American citizens under subsection (d) once they became American citisens.

So as I go on, Mr. President, I will show that subseotion (c) in particular only beaomes an irritant. We are members of the Commonwealth. We belong to certain Commonwealth onganieations, and we enjoy certain Conmonweatth privileges by our connection to the United Kingdom.

At this point, Mr. President, it might be well if I read a very short pamphlet "Know Your Comnonwealth History ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ :
"In the last century, British rule extended over a quarter of the world's population including every Continent. Independence came first to countries aettled mainly by people from Europe, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and South Africa. In 1931 the Statute of Wertminster formerly defined the relationship of these countries to each other and to Britain as self governing, equat, united by allegiance to the Crown, and freely associated as members of the British Commonwealth of Nations.

From 1947 onwards as other countries have gained their independence atmost all have chosen to join the Free Assooiation of Countries that is now known aimply as the Commonvealth. At present there are 49 members and they still constitute about a quarter of the world's population.

Membership. On becoming independent, a country may apply to become a member of the Commonwealth

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING):
and this is accorded if alt the existing member countries agree. A oountry that ohooses to leave the Commorwealth as only South Africa and Pakistan have done, notifies the others through the Conmonweatth Secretary General,

Queen Elizabeth. Queen Elizabeth is Head of the Conmorwealth and Head of State of seventeen of ite membere, In these countries other than Britain, the Queen is represented by a Govemor General Five Commonweatth countries are monarehiee with their own sovereigns and twenty six are republics. All member nations freety recognise the Queen's personal role as the symbol of Conmomvealth unity.

The Commonvealth Secretariat..."
MR. PRESIDENT:
We have actually passed the moment when I woutd normally intermpt you. If there is only a short amount further to read I wili let you complete reading it. If there is quite a lot 1 think perhaps we had better interpropt.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Mr. President, I will be going on reading. You have caught me at the end of a paragraph so it would be a convenient place to intermpt.

ADJOURNMENT
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I move the adjournment of this House until 10 o'olook tomorrow morning, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: The motion is that this House do now adjoum untit 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 5.02 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED
UNTIL 10.00 A.M., TUESDAY, 11 Ti
SEPTEMBER, 1984.
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SFCOND READING DEBATF
MR. BETVSON O. ERANKS:
Mr President, when we broke yesterday avening I waie tading from a panphtet 'tonow Your Conmonvealth" and I $b_{6 g}$ to continue that this movining. The next haading on the pamphiet is "The Commonusalth Secretariat." The Commonweatth Secretariat was astablishsd in 1965 as a oentrant body for omsultation and cooveration betrower. Comononsalth countries and is finanoed by alt. mamber countries. The Secretary+General is Shredath Ramphall, formerly Fonaion Minister of Guydna, From its Headowartars at Morlborough House, London, the Seoretariat promotes oopperation in financial and trade matters in sconomio develoment, in ectuoations and the flav of information in leaal, medtcal and sotentrific affairs and in youth activittes.

Mos, Mr. President, the faot that
the Secretary-General is from Guyand is of eianificance. The aentleman is a. ilstinguished lawyer. I happen to have mat him vemonal. lu, ant he is a gentleman in att respects; and we have, of courees, erctuded Gumma in our definition of pliaithle people.

To continue with the nombhtet. Mr.
President: "Commomweath Conferences:" Commonweal th Conferences of Ministers ant officiale are held freouently in differsent baith of the world. Fvery two years or do the Heads of Govemment mest to Aiscuss World probleme ond the work of the Commonwealth. Recent mestings have been in Ottrawa 1973, at Kingston, Jamaica 1975, in London 1971, and: Lusaka 1979, in Melboums, Australta 1981 and in New Delhi 7993. Periodio Mastings of Ministers of Finance, of EAsication, of Health and of Lov maintain a tradition of working together. Commonweatth ministers reach their decisions not by vote but by consensus.

Mr. President, $I$ was pleased to hear on the radio yesterday svaning that later this month on sarly nisit month, whatever it is, our Finanoial semetray witl be attending the Commonweat th Finance Minietsers' Conference in Canada as a port of the U.K. Delegation. Such exposure aan only broaden the vision and knowledge of our officiale of Govermment.
lege of attending, as a part of the Mr. President, I also had the priviof the U.K. Delegation, one of the CommonWeolth Etuoation Minitsters' Conferences and also a Health Ministers' Conference. I beliseve, Mr. President, that is mone then the Second Flected Member of Exsoutive Cowncit can claim, and maybs had he taken advantage of these ooportumitles we would not be now recsiving invitations to progrese raporte on development of the Sporting Complex.

The $^{\text {The }}$ next headtng on the pamphtet is
"Coonsration." Almost thres hundred orgonisations promote the collective interests of the Commorveatth. Some too hundred of these are non-govermmental, bringing together parlfamentarians, edueationalists, lawyers and many othar profsestonal groups, scientists, fournatists, soscialists

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): in Goonomic cooperation and deve top. ment, and simply citiaens. Cooperation in education is particularly olose. Many thousands of scholome hove held awarde in each other's countries, under Commonsealth Sohemos.
Whthin the regions of the Commorwealth, highty trained spscialists work together to ensure that ertemolve and scarce facilities are futly used. The Commonvealth Foundation foeters links hetween professional people in member countries. It encourages the formation of associations, supnomts onferences ond helps peonte to develop their professionat skitls through visits to other countries. The annuat income of approximately one miltion four hundred thousand pounds is contributed by the forty-two Commonveal th Governments who nane members of the Foindation.

The next haading is "Economic
Development." Eoonomic Development is a maior objective of the Commomweatth, most of whose citisens live in dire poverty. About $70 \%$ of the bi-taterat aid given by Britain, Canadx, Austratia and New Zealand aoes to other Commonveath cowtmies. The Comionvealth Secretamiat administers the Comonwealth Frond for technical cooperation, to which all member countries subscribs on a voliontary basis. The anticipated expenditure for 2983-2984 is $510_{1} 9$ vittioni the then meorides
advice expertise and training facilities to help memher countries with their development plane. It is a wou of ohaming the finonoial and ?uman resounces of all Conmonisealth countries. About half of its exrerts in developing countries are themselves from other developing contriss.

Mr. President, the Coymom Ialands
have benefited from thie scheme. I remember one teacher being esnt to New lealand on ons of these soholarships, and the expertise gained was invaluable to the Bohool System, or the Education Systems upon her return. In fact upon her return she was taken out of the schoolroom and put into the Eduoation Offloe.
"The Commonwealth and The World." Most next heading, Mr. President, is aotively support the most mer countries belong to, and of the mertroshin. Alt of the membership. Almost all Conmonvealth countrise also belong to coonomle and potitical groupinge within their oum regions of the wortd, and all Heads of Govervment welcome Britain's membership of the Euronean Foonomic Community with which most Commonvealth commeries hive svecial. ecomomic ilnke. The Conmonweatth. Institute in London repposents the -owote Commonvealth by exhibitions, the irits and through its library. There are epecial faoitities for schoot parties and programmes for older students.

I recall, Mr. President, that on
my visit to London I visited the Commonwealth Institute and theme was a display from the Cayman Islands there. At one time it was amalt, and I ramamber monies being voted to upgrade it, but it is a good shouplace. Now whether that has been removed from the Commonvealth Institute and placed in the office of the representative in London, I am unable to say, becaupe my visit was pxior to the establishment of that office. I have said this, Mr. President, merely to show that we are a part of the Conmonwalth, whether we like it or not. I think it is a good thing, Mr. Pmesident. Section 37 of the British Nationality Act 1981, makes it alear who are Conmonwanlth oitizens, and it is a short passage and I would like to quote from the 1981 British Nationaltty Act, Section 37: "Every person who (a) undei uins Act is a British oitisen, a British Dependent Territomy citizen, a British Overseas oitisen or a British subject, or under any enactment for the time being in force in any country mentioned in Sohechile 3 is a citizen of that countmy and shat have the status of the Conmorvsealth citizen. ${ }^{\text {" }}$

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. President, I con warm-blooded about our connections with the United Kingdom and the Conmonvealth. It gave me a special feeting of pride when Datey Thompson won the Decathlon at the recent olympics for Great Britain. Thompson, Mr. President, is the son of a Soottish mother and I betieve a Nigerion frither. He is the product of what we would know in Caymam as a "reform school".
Mr. Fresidant, he did Great Britain prout, and $T$ would think, wett there is no doubt, I was going to say that he could alaim Commomeat th oith senship through his father, but the fact that he represented Great Pattain at the Olympics aleo Bhove that he is a Bmitish oitisen which makes him a Commonwealth citizen.

Nov, Mr. President, we coutd cone nearer home and acy that Thommon represents almost identically the late Coll Smith of Jomaica of aricketing fame. He wos a bimilar person, but he went ons not only to do घamaica proud, but the whole West Indies. Now, Mr. President, my blood was no less warm when Sebasticm Coe ond Ovett, who as true-hom Mnglishmen, won their faces or competitione at the olymidice, I am doing this. Mr. President. marety to show that there is a oommon bond within the Commonvealth.

To come nearer homs, Mr. Prestident, cus was rad in this pamphlet: there are regional organisations iothin Commonwealth groupings, such as the Camibbean area, which work together for the common good of those countries. Mr. President, the fact that the Commonwealth is a conglomeration of ail pracess oreeds, religions and even potitical beliefs, the activities of the Commonweath show that there is tolerance and no one forces his view on the other. Mr. Preatdent, we are memberg of the Univarsity of the West Indies. When the Lrw Facuity was being. established there, together with the two Law Schools, one in dcmaica and one in Barbados, there was a protocol satablished or attached to the establishment of that Faculty which recuired that member ootentrias would undertake that after a span of, I think it was five years: Mr. Preaident, thi new practising lauryers would be admitted to the countmis. except they were graduates of the Law School.

Our economy is a
pocutiar one, Mr. Fresident, highly dependent on legal, teahnical Knoulsdge it was a physical imposisibility for the Cayman Istands to consicer producing sufficient tranjers of our aon to meet our demands in the foreseeable future on even to hope that the Camibbean anen would produce the louyers in the specialist fields that we reauired. Therefore we were unable to sign that Frotool, and we were permitted, Mr. President, to become a part, to subsoribe to, the Las Faculty at the Inivarelty, but beacuse we wouln not sign the Protocol regarifing the non-admiseion of taryers not trained at the Sohools. we could not aecept that part of the Protocol. The reat of the Caribbean cormtries, members of the Universitu. understood our position elearly, and neoepted our representations. Therefore we bscame a party to the Law Faculty but not necessarity the Law Schools. Mr. Preeident, even though we did not beaome a party to the Law schoots, they have accepted some of our students who have gone through the Lcw Foculty into those Schoote. The nest of them have gone to the Unitad Kingdom to complete thair training after getting their Degres.

There is the regionat Trade Aseocian tion alled CARICOM, of which, Mr. President, we could not become a mamber. 'He are an importing country, not an exportine country, and the members of the Caribbean accepted this with the greatest of understonding. But that dose not prectude, Mr. President, them sending us informatim or aven inviting us as observers to some of their mestinas ao that

MR. BENSON O. FBANKS (CONTINUING): we may underatond some of their problems which they are going through and so direct our affare not to fall into the acme trap.

We come next, Mr. President, to the reqional development agency known as the Caribhean Devetooment Rank. We are a member of the Caribbean Development Bank. It finances many development proiects in this istand, and Mr . president, I am saying alt of this to say, which I will some on to later, ohout this eliaibitity clause and exclufing, picteing out rarticulariy in our am area, certain named countmies to be eligible heoouse it con onlu serve as on immitme and not any practical purmoses.

The Camibbean Towzement 7mt, "r. Persident, has become the agency through which the United Kinadom, Conada, the United Stotes are more and more ohannelling azt of their development aid to the region. There wos a time when we went direct to the Uniter Kingtom and necotiated loans. The United Kingrom made it otear at one point that the regional bank was goina to become more and more the recipient of total aid packages for the Caribbean to be distributed through them. But not only, Mr. President, has that bank ovened our doors to development funds from the United Kingdom and Canada and the United States, which we could not have othernise received, but it has opensd our doors to funds from fopon, cermany and many of the truropean Economic Community countries who contribute soft develonment funds to that bank. I doubt, Mr. Fresident, that without the funde which were reaeived from oountries tike Jaman and Germany, that the Camibbean Devalopmant Rank would have been able to be so generous in their loans to the Cayman Istands. After all, they operate on a commercial hasis, like any other bank, and cannot tend what they do not have.

Mr. Freaident, if we seek to isolate ourselves from the rest of the coribbean as I said it will only become on irritant.

Mr. President, I am not unawore, Sir, that I may be the onty member in this fouse to take this position, but this is not the first time I hove stood alone. I have mi convtetions, and once I live with mu conviations I sleen well at nioht. And with uour permission. Mr. President, as it is relevant to what I am dealing with, I would like to ao beck to the year 1967. At that time we had a philosophy in this island that we were enmething different, that we could develon our tourism industm as the Couman Islands apart from the rest of the carmbhecm. We were not making a veru success fut fob of it, "Mr. President, although on the sty every brochure you pioked up ptaced the Cayman Islants firmlu in the Camibbern. That was the first sentence on the brochure, but yet they would an on to try to say "We are in the Caribbean, but we are not really a part of it". Then came the problems in Trinidad, one thousand miles away, and the aancellations began to flins in. I think that opened some peoples eyes but not wide enough. You will recall. Mr. President, that earlier this year when the invasion or intervention in Grenada took place. thouands or at least a thousand miles owny from us, that we had conceillations. So it is folty for any human being to tru to nortroy that our destiny is not tied with the rest of the Caribbean. What we should try to do, $M r$. Prasident, is to, as near as possible without comoromising our principles and ambitions and aspirations, to keen at least a watching brief on these regional institutions, and hopefulty maybe we com influence the Caribbean towards our way of thinking, and then we witt all have a happy area in which to live, becouse, make no mistake about it, if Trminidac fourteen hundred miles cuky sheeseses, Cauman has to cough.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUTNG): To aet back to 2967, Mr. President, we hosted a conference, the first comference hoster in this country, of the Commonveat Parlirmentrry Association, the Regional Conference. On the Apendo was a subject "Regioncl. Cooperation in the Develooment of Tourism', and it had to dos Mr. President, with what I reaf in this pamphlet about marimising scarce resources.

As $T$ said at that time there was a philosonhy prevolent in this aountry that we were different - we could develon on our own, an' Mr. Prestident, $I$ wos तelecoter bu the Cayman Islands Pranch to spent on that tonic. T wos not the Theacer. The Leader had fifteen minutes - I had ten, and in mi research for that conference $I$ discovared what I hive iust isgoribet, this nrevalence of this theom that we oould develop outsine of the Camihhean shere of things.

I visited a specific office in this town, Mn. president, me I sai. 'Why is not the caymon Istands a member of Camibbean Travel Association?" The answer that I received was: "I advised the Government aoainst it, after alt we have very little in common with the rest of the "mibbsan." I pulter. three brochures from the holder on the person's leak and I sain: "Reat the first sentence for me." The first thing was "The Couman Islinds situated in the westerm Caribbean", and I said: "What are you selling?" "What area are you selting?" He had to armit he was selling the Caribbean area. I alaid then, Mr. Prestident, that like it or not, I was going to come out for the Coymon Islonds foinina the Caribbean Travel Association. I did not know that the then Presinent of that Assocition was a member at the Conference, and when the warning buszar was sounded to indicate that I had a minute to go, that aentteman rose in his seat and he said to the Presidina Officer: Mr. Chairman, if I do not even get a chance to spen, otaase vermit this gentlemon to finish his contribution, as it is the first sense that I have heard the whole of this Conference.

Now, Mr. Presifent. $T$ am not saying this to boast, or to say that I om the holfer of aty. of the knowtedae in this countru or in this areer, but, Mr. Dresident the thing that made me ashamer of mi whole effort was when a few months later I attended a CTA meeting with our Direntor of Tourism in Ponce, Peurto Rico, and in a trarge gatherino the President of the Association said to me. "Benson, $T$ never told unu how the Crymon totands bearme 4 member of CTA." $T$ anid: "No: $I$ to not knmi un to todau thet we are. T thought we were here as observers." He said. "No, you remember the speech you made in the Commmueatt Fortiamentriy Conference - in Fovermment Rouse kitchen thet night the decision was made that the Cayman Islande would become a member of the Caribbeon Travel Association." Mr. President, I fett like $T$ was going to go through the floor.

But the story of Caribbeon Travel. Association from there on is well known Mr. Presitent, hecruse our Direator of Toumism went on to lead that Orgonisation for a longer period than any other individual in the Caribbern, so it brings me back. Mr. Fresident, to what I was saying, that instead of tming to adopt this attitude that we are different, we should try to gat our foot in the door and influence these brdies where we can, without surpendaring our own ambitions and integmity. This we hove successfultu dons in the case of CTA, and of course you v noro, "保. President, we even have the Caribbean Researon Council in Parbados which helve, $I$ believe, the Cajman Istands, and probahly peceives some usefut hints from us as well.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINOING): Fut hat we ontimued our direction in 1967, we would not have posstbly been the prosperous country we ans today.

FOM. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I did not want to intermupt him, but now he has stopner, I wonter if he could get baok to the relevint debate on the Carmanian Protection Law.

MP. PRESTIDFNT:
I think he is wonking arount to it.
MR. RFNGON O. EBANKS:
Ar. Presirent, t thon the Member Aoss not tike to hern mespeak, but he milt hove to endure it or leave the Chomber. Now, Mp. President, before I ast on to the cmur of the matter of this F7iaibilitu clause, I wont to mention two other thinge that $I$ forgot yeatemiau, when I was dealina with the unomoum asnects of some of the countries that we har mate eligithe under this midaitititu Clause, and one of which we can do nothina about. fromkty. becouse of our ties to the Mother Comatrm. The two oramisations that I wrnt to mention are the Klu ktua kton in the Inited states, inder Amemiorn Citizen, they are etiaible. Members of that klm mould he eligible to oome in here and get statua, Mr. presitient, so woutd members of the IRA from Northerm Ireland - the Irigh Renublican Amm, This organisation that olaimed responsibilitu for the agsassinetion of ford Mounthatten, So, Mr. President, Members need not come cruing to me and seeking my sumpathy, to make on ass of myself thy exotuling other Commorwalth countmies on the grounds that Idi Amin kitled a couple of thousand neople or whatever, beonuse all of thot arorument agcinst the Commonwealth. the other eligible nationalities, are in the final onalusis really to a large extent, irrelevant, as I witl show.

But before I teave the eligible
countries, I must give an exampla of what could happen with giving status to an Anerican citiaen. The First Elected Member from Genrge Tom touched on this, and I want to elaborate on this, because it might have been lost since he just pointedly referrect to it. That is the economic consequences to this country of aiving an American oitizen stetus. At the moment, nfr. Presidsnt, if an American seeks to come and invest or work in the Caymon Islonds, he, if tre wonte to invest, would have, through a oompany, to nomatity register under the local Commies Control Lw. He would hove no other might to get a licence for thet compony to do Business in this countmy. That would he his surest way. There are other provisions which $J$ witl shon that he will use, if he qete status. If qiven status, Mr. President, I am only going to take one excmie, let us assume that the Amemicin citisen in auestion coms a contractors' business in the stntee. It would no tomger be necessary for him once he had status, "pr. Presitent, to come and inoomomate localty. What he could do is to come com with the ne cessary documents of incorporation, and reerister his oommany as a foreign company doing business in the Cayman Islands. There would he no impediment on his working in the country, now that he has strtus. 'Pe could undermbid every, looal contractor, tose money on the jobs, urite off his losess rqainst his income tax that he would have naid in the United States, reduoe his bracket and still be ahead. Thia, Mr. President, is a fact that could happen with any tyoe of husiness in Cayman. As I said, Mr. President, the lnited States has baen good to the Couman. Intande; so have other countries in their small wou.

MP. BENSON O. EBANYS (CONTINUTNG): Mr. President. when a Commimitan goes to the United States, we ony our dues. There is nothino like a froe breokfast anywhere. Mr. Presidents, the difference between the Commonnealth and all of the other countries that I nomed are Commonweath countries. The difference between the Commonvealth and the United States is that all Commonvealth countries, with the exception of the twn which I have mentioned. which have with drown, at least recoonise Fier Majesty the Queen as the Heal of the Comonwealth. and most of them have Her Majesty as their Sovereign. So there is a common bond, and in acase lembers do not know it, Mr. President, when ministers are aworm into office in independent countries that are not Republics, the oath of office which they take is identical to the onth of office which wa take in this Legistature or which any of our rovemmentat. officiats take. that is the Oath of Alleqience to Her Maienty the Oueen RTisebeth TI.

To continue on the Amerioan aspect, Mr. Presicent. I to not have anything agoingt Americons. thithout their oopital this cowntru woutd not hmpe levetoned. Put if theu are not mrepored to oome the whork permit route, then theu atorutt be prepared to go the naturalization poute. Theu cannot emeot to hrve the best of both worlds. "e have had to stm here in somow, kity mosaui. toen to helo brine this countmi to where it is, and we hove nombere etse to go. If they are desirous of hirina Coymmion status. Mr. Presifent, let them first beoome a citizen of this comprm. Then the wouth not he able to compete unforimty with Cnymanions. Then woult not have the knowtecige that they can pay their Socinl secumitu wite they are here with status, carying the Caymanian businessman ifow, and therefore kno, that he has a retirement income. He woult not he able to know that he has his Medicare or Medi-aid, on whatever they call it, to fall back on, when this little country will. have to subsidise our noor for their medical facilities.

Therefore, Mr. Preaitent. T om going to fight this clause in the committee stage, with everm bit of atronoth that I have.

To pevert to the question of the
Commonwalth. If we look at the Sohe hute of countries, the denendent termitoriss section, we woutd find, Mr. Presiflant, that the nom state or country (whatever), St. Chmistopher and nevis is mentioned, oo that the citizens of that country would the heen eligibte as a denendent termitomy citisen, hut now that that country thos aone indenendent since the nassing of thie Lom, and wouth now alide over into the Commonveal.th Countries' section, we have fount it onvenient not to name them as etiarithe persons.

Th other words. if we had amented
thins Law to coincide with the coming into effect of The Pmitish Notionality Act, 1081, citisens of St. Chmistomper and Mevie. ond if that term hoagles anyhodu's mind, Mr. Presifent, that is the countmy onmmonty Fnown as St. Kitts mo Nevis, whioh went inderendent lost yeor.

Put, Mr. Presinent I have said alt $I$ trive said to show that this question of selectivity. encluding the Uniter States, I am not aoing to aive around on that." Mr. Dresident, they have to oome out. Rut Sub-paragram he (a) (b) and (a) cout, eartu lrave heen covered by comomweat th comtries or certaintu in (o)we should oertainty have satd Commonweath oitisens. Rut, Mr. Tresident, this auestion of eligibitity is peally as I axid, on ipritont, because to heoome eligible does not mean thot a nersm witi aet status. 17 L this saus is that al\%. things being equal, that is, if they howe been successful in obtaining a Work Termit or whatever to live here for seven years out of ten, ond

NR. BRNSON O. FBANKS (CONTTNIING). their character and alt the rest of it meets the criteria, they may apply for status. It does not say theu have to get status. It saus they are eliainta to app 7 y , and my argument is, Mr. President, if we have effectively controlled those persons who will come here to work by our work permit stibulations in the Law, we have effectively controlled those versons who aan ohtrin. status by making it onlu a right to cpplu, and then it has to be aiven by grant.

Whiy should we seek to irmitate our fellow Cormonwealth countries by singling out a few, and making them eligible to the exclusion of the rest. If this Lad is working as it should, no undesirable person should be able to come here and get gainful occupation in the first place, hecause his character
must be investiqated. Now if that person has been allowed to come and work in this country for seven out of ten years, Mr. Presdent, to qualify to apply for status and we have not found out that that person is an undesirable, then it is something wrong with our intelligenoe system, or maybe it is a possihility. becouse $I$ have my suspicions about one person who is in this country now, it may be that the person was brought in to do a specific job, and he is not in the private sector either. Mr. President, or at least the funds that pay come from Govermment. I am saying without fear of successful contradiction, that this singling out of aertain Commonwealth countries is no more than an imitant which we should not. have to face when we go to Conmonwealth Conferences

Now, Mr. President, $I$ hope I have made my position clear on that. "Eligible Person" seation, and I am sauing that $I$ hold theief for no narticular nationality in the Commonwealth. but I think I have demonstrated auite clearly, Mr. President, that we have not weeded out all of the undeairable and radical and conmunistic elements by just naming these fow countriee. That is mu argument, Mr. President. If something serves no purpose, why put it in as an impitant?

You know, Mr. President, I made this statement yesterday, that I feel that this comnittee should have gotten down to work much earlier than we did, and the more I read The "Rritish Nationality Law, the more I reatise that this statement was true, becouse Mr . President, do you know, and I am sure you do, it is just that nobody else has thought it fit to hring it out, but do you know, Mr. President, that there is provision in this Law, even after a person has renounced his British citizenship or British Dependent Territory citisenship, to oome baok and claim that. It says nothing about "no stigible person ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ - all he has to prove is anoestry, and connection with the Territory aan go all the way back to his grandfather. That is, after renouncing $i t$. The Secretary of State can then re-instate him. Mr. President, almost every requirement and section of this Law, the Secretary of State has the right to waive most of the requirements, if not ail. He can extend the time from one year up to eight, under which people would have the right to alaim their rights, and we can out anything we like in this Caymanian protection Lau, and we will not be able to overpilis thosa provisions which are in the British Nationality Act which refer to us is a "British Dependent Territory Citizen". I do not see mything in the Britigh Nationality Act ahout Americans getting British nationality, unZess they go through the Naturalisation Route.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): Now, Mr. President, to aet on to the Bitl: Before I get in to the Bill. though. I should make another general statement, because since $T$ om qoing to onty pick out a few Sections of the Rill, people miaht believe that I support all the reet. $I$ want to say, quite candidly, Mp. Fresident, that I aqreed to the rringing of this Fill to this House. I witl suport its passage with certain comendments which I hope will he made in the committee stage, but. Mr. PresiAlent, I am convinced that we are goina to have to amend this Law very soon after it becomes Laus, and in fact I am not sure that we might not have to conend it before it heoomes Laun. So I want to make it clear that in sunporting this Bill I am doing it only hecause I realise that the present Caumanian Protection Law hod to have oertain changes consequent upon the coming into force of the Rmitish Nationality Act, 1991, and because. Mr. President, mightly or wrongly, the present functioning of the Caymanian Poard is conceived as being not in the best interests of Caymanians.

There are charges of notitical
viotimisation, economic victimisation and all sorts of victimisation going on in the Board. There is even, Mr. President, acousations that because of premature influence of members of Executive Council, that when an appeal is made, it is made from Caesar unto Caesar. Now, Mr. President, whether those charges are true or false $I$ an not saying. I am saying that is the way the workings of the Law are conceived in the minds of the public, and I an cognizant that we have to do something about it. what I do know, Mr. President, that oontrary to this Lnw, and I hetieve that the Roard has stopped it now, too, but I remember shortly after 1979, when applicants for Caymanian atatus had to put their piature in the paper, and they had to bwear an Dath of Allegience to Her Majesty the Queen before they were given Caymanian atatus. Mr. President, that Oath of Allegience is redundant, it is unnacessam, and aqain is an irritant in the case of persons who were Fritish Subjects, who were the only people who qualified under our old Low. That is the whole reason why we have to change the Laus hecause the meamina of British Sufject has been changed in the British Nationality Act.

I know, Mr. President, some peoole
,ho considered it an insult after serving in Her Majesty's Forees for years, after heing a true-borm Rritish subjeet, theu were asked to swenr an Oath of Allegience to Her Majesty the Queen and they forwent their status for that reason, I also know, Mr. President, that ridiculous questions. like, "What do you think of the present Covermment" were put to candidates, for status. A person's politieal belief as far as one govermment to another in this termitory should have nothing to do with whether he gets status or not. 'What does it matter who a person votes for in a General Eleation? So, rightly or wrongly, Mr. Presi dent, the workings of the present Cayman Protection Board have been discredited and we have to do something to bring credibility back to this most important piece of legislation on our hooks.

Now, Mr. Preaidsnt, I will deal with a few sections in the Bill in which changes have been made, or maybe where representation has been made, and we have not been able to cater to those areas of representation. There are still other areas of representation. Mr. Presidents, which I will be fighting to have acknowledged in committee stage, but I can move on auite quickly and possibly the first thing I should deal with is the question of the conduct of appeals of the Board.

MR. BFNSOA O. EBANKS (CONTINIUING): Mr, Prestident, I acree that these appeats should he administrative, and I think other members have aiven ample reason why this should he so. The decision, Mr. Dresident. is one that should he ahle to the finalised outoklu, and the provision in the British Hationalitw hat 1981 is an executive Recision. The situation may amise whers, if we hat appeals straight to the Court, that the person could be really undesimable in the strictest sense of the rord, and if appeats were pending it would mean that that person would either be in the country or at least have to maintain connections with the country so that he oould come in to have his appeals heard.

I co not think that is in the best intercsts of this country. What must be made plain, Mr. President, is the fact that not because this is an administrateve decision, that that gives anyone licence to do anything contrary to low. contrary to natural justice nor can anyone use it as a cloak to hide behind to to skulcuggery.

Mr. President the Second Elected Member of Executive Councit, the learned lanyer, read a seation of the British Mationality Act 1981 dealing with that yesterday, but I hope you will forgive me, Sir, if I read the whote of the Seation. I think he onlu read the section which proved the point he was arguing, which he is entitled to do, and of course which is his custom, but I believe that when I am arguing a point I should put the whole tmuth and nothing Tut the truth, before this House and those persons who are in the antlem I hope will cam the message outside.

It is Section 44, which deals with dentsions involving sxercise of discretion. This is how the whote braqraph reads. It has three suh-paraqraphs. The first one saus: Any disoretion vested hy or under this Act in the Secretary of State, a Govermor or a Lieutenant-fovemor, shall be exercised without menard to the race. colour or reliaion of any person who mau be affected by its exencise."
"Two, the Seoretary of State, a Governor or a Lieutenant-fovernor as the aase may he. shall not be required to assign any reason for the grant on refusal of any anplication under this Act. The decision on which is, at his discretion, and the decision of the Secretary of State on a Governor or a LieutenantGovernor on any such application shall not he subject to appeal on review in any Court. ' But, Sub-section three goes on to say: "Nothing in this section affects the jurisdiction of any Court to entertain proceedings of any description concerning the rights of any person under any provisions of this Act." And that is all important, Mr. President, because that is the umbrella of protection which the oitizen has againet what I calted misguided on skulduggery decisions. The citizen on the applicant can question his rights in a court of Law if they have been abused.

So that is what that whole section says, Mr. President, and I want people to know that although this is an executive deotsion, if it is abused, the person's mights can be reviewed by a Court.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDFM:
Mr. President, I would tike to interupt here under Section 34, because eartier......

[^23]MR, PRESIDEENT:
 Point of Onder or whether it is something separate. Is it stanfing Opfer 34 (a), (b) or (c)?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I I Im going to take two pointe. One
is a Point of Order, Pr. President, and the second one is a Point of Explanation.

MR. PRESIDENT: Let ue have The Point of Order.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: He has referred, under itanding Order $35(4)$ s to my telling an untruth, and that is imputing an improper motive.

MR. PRESIDENT: I did not quite understand him to say that. He said he alucas spoke the truth and the thole truth, and $I$ wondered whether to stop him and ask him to make slear that he was not inplying that other Members told untruths.

TOM. TRLMAAM M. RODDEN:
Mr. President, earlier hefore that he did refer to not telling the truth. and that $I$ am taking om a Point of Onder.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ If he did impute to any other Member
MR, BENSON O. EBANKS. I can clear up the matter, sir. $I$
did not say the Member was telling an untruth. I scid the Member had read one Section of the Laws which bore out the point whioh he was trying to makes, and that is his right. But it is my right in making mu point to put the whole seotion of the Law before the House, so that the whole truth can be known.

MR. PRESIDENT:
As long as the Honermate Member witi make clear that he was not saying or implying that any other Member had told an untruth, that I think witl be satisfactory. If the Member witl give me that assurance, I shatl be satisfied.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I did not saly the Member had totd an untruth - I said he hadn"t told all the truth.

MR. PRESIDENT: I am askina you for an assumance that you did not say or imply that any Member totd an untruth.
MR. BFHSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, the tapes will boar
that out. You have my assurance.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESTDFNT }}{\text { of Order }}$
Thank you. That answere the point

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN. Mr. President, what he is attempting
to say: now is that I have not told the whole truth, which is an imputation that I com not telling the whole truth.

Mi PRFSIDEATM: out of onder for it is. I do not think I will call that and for it is very difficult for anyhody to tell the whole t.ruth about anything. We are going to be here lona enoughs anywuy, and if we all try to tell the whole truth about everything we say, we shall be here for ever.

HON. TRUAAMM. BODDEN: I will acoept now that he has with-
Arawn that. Sir.
MR. PRESIDEAT: $I$ think that is fair. I think you wanted to raise two points and the second was not a Point of Order. If you wish to reserve a might under stomting Orden 3n(?) you are entitled to do so.

MTR. BENSON O. ERANKS: Mr. Chairman, with respect, you have to bee if I am prepared to aive wxy first, Sir, because he said he was intervupting on a Point of Omler and I sat dom and now if he is interrupting to make an erplanation I will aive him the floor.

MR. PRFSTDFNT.
That is fairs enough, then. but before ho takes the floor it miqht he convenient if we atl taio a ghort break. It is a customamp time to do so, ant I will suspend proceedinas for movroximately fifteen minutes.

HOUSN SUSPPMDFD

## HOOKF RFSUMED

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be sacted.
Proceedings are pesumed.

## THE CAYMANIAN PROTECTION BILL, 1984

## SECOND READTWH DEBATE

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think the Third Elected Member for West Bay had agreed to give way to the Second Elected Member of Executive Council, under Stonding Order 34 (1) (b) I think.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, the Third Etected Member from West Bay stated that I had not dealt with the whote tmuth as I had not read this section and therfore had not stated that the Courts do have certain rights to intervene and I would tite to correct that and point out that I did say that the Courts figue a might to the prevogative umits of CERTIORART \& MANDAMUS and this relates to mights which would have been presemed under standind Order AA( 3 ). However, Tie is not correct by saying that refexence ..........

MR. RENSOM O. EEAMKS . Mr. President, I am interrupting on a Point of Order now. This Section of the stonding order 34 that is afrometevant to sub-raragraph (h) to tho las nart of sub-acotion (\%) sez to otroncthen hir former oosition by new aroument.

MP. PRESIDFNT: $I$ do not think he has so far done so. I shalt listen oarefulty and if ho starts doing that I with ask him to stop.

FOM. TRUMAN M. RODDEN: Mr. Presicent, he Tas really stater that Tisaid that uaina this Section 44 (2) of the Fnalish Act thrt the Courte were excluded from dealing with matters. I am pointino out, I did sau in ratation to Snction 11 (9) - "anpenls arnnot be carmie" on". T did mention the prepoartive writs which presumathy he does not undepstand but thelf are what soction 11 (3) preserves, and twould iust like to make it alear that I did nefer to Court proceedinas in ralation to mahts as against disoretions.

MR. FRESIDFNT:
The Third Flected Member for west
 hint that you have been speaking for too tong.

Mr. BEMSON O. EEANKS:
Mr. President. I will endecrour to wse the atondard miarophone which is a bit of inconvenience but I am sure I will be able to make my points with it Sir. As regards the upplanation given by the last Member, Six, I am coomisont that he went trito that long explanation about the prerogative mights under
$\qquad$
Mr. PRESIDENT: The Second Official Member first oaught my eye. If the Second Offictal Member was rising on a Point of Ordor he has the floor.

HON. MICHAFL J. RRADLEY: It is ma understanding that inaEmuoh
an our standing Orders are silent upon a matter and reoutw further aremplification that the procedure of the House of Commons of the United Kingdom is relevant and lonling at Erstine Mny's Darliamentary Proctice 20th Edition at Page 357, it sars "dehate moy not rise upon the explanation. I think the Honourahte the Third Fteated Memher for west sau is purporting to do so:

WON. TRUMAN M. BODDFN. Mr. Presiflent, while he is truing
MP. BENSON O. ERAMKS: He has no riaht to sDeat...........
SON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
AR. BENSON O. ERANKS:
No, on a Point of Order
roder he If he is interruptina on a point of going to give way.

MR. PRESTIDENT:
He has announced it. so you must qive
FOM. TRUMAN M. BODDFN:
$I$ would just like to mention that
Treferred to prerogative writs, not prerogative rights. I am just trying to help him in that respect. They are two different things. is the rights of the Queen, the other is the mights of the Citizen.
NR. BENSON O. FBANKS, $\quad$ Mr. President, when I need the hetp
of the Member, I witl ask for it. He is tryina to help himself. I
think, Mr. President, I had reached the stage where I was aoing through the Pill and mentioning some of the Clouses which had either heen wnended or not amended, and giving my reasons why I support or disagres with those
clauses.

MR. BENSOM O. ERANKS (COMTTMUING): I had reached Sections 11, 19. and 13, dealing with Appeats. Mr. President, next I would like to deal with Section 14, sub-section 2 (c) which must be read in conjunation with Section 21, sub-section 1, further sub-section (o) Mr. President, and then (iii) under that. Fection 21 deats with tha loss of Caymanian status and the specific noint in auestion is the loss of Caymanian status under the aqe of 18 , Zosing it on the age of 7 lomtess the person had heen ordinarily resident in the istands for a neriod of seven years inmediately before the age of 19 years.

Noow, M/r. President, this is a sub-
stantial change to the present Inow. It was automatic' that a penson Iost Camanian status at the age of 18 , and Mr. President, I might mention that that uks an amendment madn to the tow after it come into effect in 1972. The section तi.d not work well, mp. Presitent, nor wae it desirabte, and in this law wo have sought to ensune that ohitdren aiven Coymanian status or who osouired it by birth, will not lose it at age 18 unless the time at which they achieved the status was a period of seven years inmediatety before he reaches the age of 1 ? yuars. I would point out. Mr. President, that in other provisions of the Lav, absence from the islands for the purpose of erbuation, medicat treatment or "force majeure" does not constitute non-residence.

It might be well, Mr. Presifent, if I explain why this Section is put in. If this Lat, Mr. President. is going to berve the purpose for which it is intended, and that is to bring about a haxmonious relationship between pereons of Caymanion birth and persons who acquire status by grant, or right, now under this Litw by birth again, then we ensure, Mr. President, that nothind is done to deter that harmony from being promoted from the bottom up. what I am saying is, Mr. President, it is to the youth of this country that I think we have to look to really establish the aenuine integration of persons in our communtty. In many instances these children have no other home. They have no other friendebut Caymanion friende. They attend the same schoole, and they know no differsnoe or are not. coonizont of the different status or situations which might enist between parents. This ie where the metting pot and the mixing howt for our socisty come into play. Mr. President. Having erfucated these shilduen, given thems in many instonces, free mediont ait, if we oausen those ohildren to lose stritus automaticallu at the are of 18 , we would the doing $a$ disservice to the country.

On the other hand, Mr. President, with the qrant of status to parents, status normally atso follows for children under the age of 18 years. He have to he vem careful that in doing this we do not create a broad hand and a large number of persons who would come under this clause who in fact do not falt into this oategory of the maing boul that I talked about.

In other words, if then were to attain status at age 18 or 17 and had not been resident in this country and in fact it could be stressed further, that they could oome in after they were $20,22,23,24$, and still enjoy status, but for this provison that we have put in to say that they must have heen resident for a pariod of seven years. So what this fection does. Mr. Preeident. is to preserve the might of children when they are genuinely part of Caymanian society and they do not have to worry ahout loaing atatus at age 19. I think that this will oo a long woy to giving secumity and sense of purpose to those children who would normally. under the present law, lose their status at age 1 A.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS (CONTTMOTNG). The next Section that needs some explanation, Mr, President, is Section 16 where we have aought to limit the date after which persons shall not be oble to apoly to the Grand Court for a Declaration that they have status hy virtue of their heing domiciled in the country. Then the lau toas introducer in 1971 or became Law in March 1972, this provision was necessam, Mr. Frebident, hecause we felt we had to preserve the right of those persons who hat come to the island under the then existing lians which aave them the miaht of "Relonger Statue" after having been domiciled for a while. The nrovision within the old Law was that those persons would appit to the Court for a deciaion as to whether they were domiciled or not. Mr. Presitent this brings us back to the whote question of domicile, and to show that the then Legislators and Araughtemen realised the teohnicalities involved in determining domicite, this whs one of the few areas that was not left to the discretion or determination of the Roaxr. it was felt that this was so teohmical a matter, that the appliontion should be made to the Court, for a Declaration as to whether they were domiciled or not.

In this Rilt before us, it is" proposed that a cut-off for such Declaration be put at the 31at December 1085. The reason for that is, Mr. President that if there is not a cut-off date, we could hove large numbers of people out there applying to the Court at any given time, saying that my status was preaerved by the Grandfather clause, and that I have a right to go to the Court for a Declaration". In other words we are soying that we have been generous, but if you have not exeroised that prerogative and right by the 31st December 1085 , then that right falls away.

Now, Mr. President, after, having read more carefully the British Nationatity Act of 1nR1, I am not sure that that cut-off date is in fact in keeping with the spirit of that Low. I an not referming to a out-off date. What I am referring to ta the date which we have chosen, and it might he that when further consideration is given to this, that date might have to be changed to be hrought in concert with the Pritish Nationality Act. But, neverthetess, the intention is the same, that there will be a finite date after which this might will cease. Now, Nr. President, we ao on to Gection 18, sub-seotion 3, and this is where I think it was the First Flected Member from George Toim in this contribution pointer out that he felt an ineauity existed and J must support that suhmission. Mr. President, beeause it is prefaced by "any person who is an eligithle person", and then it goes on to say "one of whose parents or araniparents was hom. in these istiands, etc, etc. "

I believe, Mr. President, that somehow we must acoomodate in this Law those offspring of Caymanians who had to go to countries of necessity to make a livetihood, and which countmies ane not named in this eligible clause of the Bill, or "eligible person" is not ineluded, because, Mr. President, Cayman was not always as it is today. I oan remember when it was a pretty dismal place. I have had the fortune or misfortune as one would care to take it, of visiting some countries where Caymanians went to in the early days, that is, I have visited those comtries reoentiy. I am unable in my wildest imagination to think what wout have caused them to teave this country to go to those countries, to settle and raise a family. But, Mr. President, it must have been dire economic necessity. I have a feeling, Mr. President, it probably was not even aash incentive that carmied them. It must hrve been that they could provide more by cultivating the land to ensure that their ohildren did not starve, because even in the recesses of mif minds I pannot remember Grond Couman being as backuard as those countries are toda.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUING): But, be that as it may, Mr. President, the persons who went there were persons belonging to the soit of this country. Their offspming should have equal rights as any other Derson who is elaiming benefits under this Lan by reason of parentage, lineage and ancestry. There were atso other barriers, " $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{r}}$. President, which prevented poople from going to certain sountries but $I$ do not think it is in the best interest of this countmy, at this time, to paise those isaues. I will only say that I think that in att faimess we have to find a way of aceommodating and treatind eoualty those persons who are alaiming the might to status or to live here by reason of ancestry. We cannot say that only those who were fortunate to get to the Imiter States or to get to ITamrica. I do not knon if we have any in Austratia and Mew Zealand, but I know that we have dersons etsewhere that must he qiven consideration.

As I pointed out earlv yesterrluy in ny debate, Mr. President, that a midiculous situation exists if this Bill stays as it is, we wili deny those peonle the might to come back to the land of their forefathers. But all they need to do is to migrate from the country where they ares become a United States citiaen, and then they could come, and then they would qualify. There has to be something wrong with this, Mr. President. Inless it is the intention that that route would be used to bring in the Mifia. That is the onty derogatory onqantsation I could think ohout that I did not mention earlier. There are others too that I am sure I forgot. In the Wronk Permit Seation, Mr.
President, I personally feel that if the Board sticks to the povisions of this Bill, then Caymanian interests uitl he proteoted. Mr. President, another area that gave some concern and to winich an explanation was sought to be given yesterday, was in Section 23 , 3 ub-section (c) that is that the reauivement of a Gainfui ocoupation Licence of bersons of non-Caymanian status would have no apptioation to members of the crew of any vessel engaged in their duties as such.

The explanation given, on that yesterday, Mr. Fresident, again in relation to the submission that was made to the committee, was only partly oorreot. I believe that the concerm of the committee or the organisation making that summissions was not the exemption under this Clause of, tet us soy;' the erew of Republic Airlines, or any other non-Caymanian airline; performing their duties while on our soil. or over our air spoce. Nor was it of vessete in our port. The concern which members expressed was that they folt that this provision should not ertend to such in extent that it relieved persons operating companies oumed in the Cauman Islands, on even companies operating within the Cauman Istands. from a work Permit. This, Mr. President, as I understand it. has been the ruling which has been given on this Section.

When I get into conmittee stages I intend to make further submissions on this Seation, because I am in sympathy with the view which has been expressed by the organisation that made that submission. Their concern $i_{s}$ that a ship-owning oompany or an aimeraft-owning compony which are both considered vessels under the interpretation of this Low, should not be permitted to enploy persons of non-Caymenian status without having to go the Work Pexmit route. I support that vien.

I am auare, Mr. President, that
there are some International Regulations governing this soction and that partioularly in the case of shipping, our oum taw might be sitent on it, and it might not be until ine revise our Ship's Registration Law that we can finally get this thing under oontrot.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): The reason for the emtonation ibhroh was given yesterday, is because nomatly the arew of a ship has to have at least a large percentage of the country of its romintration. In order to facilitate international trade and good relationshipe then the signatory countries would have to permit, as the Member pointed out yesterday, shore leave, etc. for the crews of those shivs. In othor words, if a dapanese ship went to the United Kingdom or vise versas the areu would not have to, remain on board the ahip merely because the ship was of Jatomese or Pritish registry or the crew was of Japanese or British registry. This was to alleviate the situation which would broadly apeaking, put the crew in the situation of being prisonews on board their shid in a port. This is governed by Internationat Lems. but we all know, for exammle. in the case of a ship of British Reaistm, that the officers of that ship must be Pritish officers. This is what the committee is getting at. Theu want to ensure, that is, the committee that submitted the point to the Committee of the House for our consideration.. this is what they are getting at. Theu want to ensure that this proviso here is not heing abused to the extent where caumanians ane losing employment opoortunities to persoms of other nationalities on aireraft: ships or businesses that are Caymanian ormed and registered. We will have to deat with that in Committee Stage, Mr. President.
MR. PRECIDFNT:
I think if the Membex Thas come to an end of that point, I witl ask the Firat Official Member io move the adiournment, I think we did agres that it miaht be moved at ahout 12.25.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS: Thank you st President.
HOM, D. H. FOSTRR: Mr. President, I move the adjournment of this Flouse untic 2.00 p.m. tomorrow afternoon.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion is that this House do a flourm untit 2.00 p.m. tomorrow Wednesday, 12 th September. Unless any Honourable Member wishes to speak, I witl put the question.

OUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 12: 15 P.M. THE IOUSFF ADIOURNED UNTIL 2:OO D.M, WEDNESDAY, 127 M SFPTFMBER, 1984.
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## MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated.
Just before we start I should oonfess to the House that I had probably myself misunderstood something that was said to me because I believed that the question that I see is down in today's Order Paper was being held over until tomorrow on the grounde we were past question time. I now see the Onder Paper, talks about suspending Standing Orders but subject to the oonvenienoe of the House I see no reason to suspend them rutil tomomrow's Onder Paper if that is all mght. I think Members would agree to that. So in that case we can move on to the second item on today!s Order Paper which is the continuation of the second reading debate on the Caymanian Protection Bill. But before I invite the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay to continue with his speech, perhaps it would be appropriate for me to draw to the attention of the House the fact that the dissolution is due at the beginning of next week in preparation for the elections which as all of you know, have been eet for the 14th November, and that we have therefore to complete our business this week wntess any Member wishes to sit on Sunday. It might therefore be helpful if we discussed informally during the tea break this aftermon the question of whether most Members would wish to sit slightly late, either this evening, tomorrow evening, or Friday evening, depending on how bueinese progresees.

I just leave Members to reflect on that for the moment and we will be seeking their advice in the tea break and their cooperation meanwhile in ensuring that the proceedings do not become so prolonged that we mun into the difficultiss that we are unable to pass the Bill before the dissotution overtakes ue.

The Third Eleated Member for West
Bay.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. President, when the House rose yesterday I had completed my submisetion on Section 23, subsection. (c) of the Bill and continuing, I would like to deal with Section 27 in general texins and say that I feel that if the requirements there are aaried out, then the interests of Caymanians should be protected. That is the section dealing with the oonsideration of applications iby the Boand.

I would like to make speciat referenoe
to oubsection (2) of that section, Mn. President, where it reads:
"Any general directions given under subseotion (1) may be published in the Gazette."
$I$ believe that some conoern has been expressed at the use of the word "may" instead of "shall" and I would submit that in most instances I would expect to bee the dinections published, but that I: do support the use of the word "mayi inasmuch as from time to time there may be some very delicate and even confidential directions to be given.

I would tike to point out that these are general direations and not speoific directions. In other wonds, the Ereoutive Council or the Governor-in-Councit cannot give directives to the Board in relation to a specific case or matter being dealt with by the Board. These are general directives for the guidanoe of the Board in its deliberations generalty, and not dealing with cony matter specifically.

MR. BENSSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUING): Mr. Fresident, I am going to try to move on as quickly as I can. Other Members have dealt with other sections of the Bill and $I$ an, as $I$ said in my opening remarks, I would be touching on only those areas that I felt strongly about or that $I$ thought $I$ should in fact oomment on.

Section 44 deale with the loss of pernanent residence. I believe that there was a submission, or put another ways Mr. President, that when the disoussion draft Bill was published, subsection (a) of this section said that a person would lose their permanent reoidence if they were absent from the Istand for a period of six months. This has now bsen ohanged to one year and I believe that this would meet the ciroumstances and wishes of this section.

I believe too, Mr. President, that thers was some concern empressed regarding who would pay for persons deported on landed illegatly or refused permission to land in the country. I think that Section 53 makes it otear that in the case of persons landing unlaufully or in respect of whom permisaion to land is refused, it would rest with the carmier who brought the person to the Island, and that Section 64 states who would pay for the repatriation of a deported person. Subsection (3) of Section 64 says that:

> The Governor may apply any money or property of a person against whom a deportation order has been made, in payment of the whole or any part of the expenaes of or incidental to the journey from the Islands and of the maintenanoe wntil departure, of suoh person and his dependents (if any)."

And of course subsection (4) goes on to say:
"Except so far as they are defrayed under subseotion (3) any such expenses shall be payable out of public funds."

In practice, Mr. President, as I wenderstand it, persons aoming on a work permit or whatever have to sither put up a oash bond or some other form of tangible seourity to meet the oost of repatriation to theip country of origin or oitisenship. I do not think that the public purse would be called on to pay too much of this. But if the person is considered sufficiently undesirable to warrant deportation, then maybe if there are not funds available from the individual it is a good expenditure for Govermment to rid the reland of such a non-deeirable person at publite expense.

Mr. President, I arave your indulgence, Sir, to revert if I may to the question of 'eligible penaons'which I dealt with at length yesterday and partioularly the Commorwealth, and epecifioally with regional institutions or onganisations one of which I purposely omitted at the time, and maybe if I am permitted to say a few words on this at this time it might atall some much more lengthy discuseions at a later date. I am referring epeaiftcally, Mr. President, why I did not refer to it yesterday was beoause I had the envelope in my brief-case and I did not want to stop to dig it out. But I want to refer specifically, Mr. President, to that regional institution of the Caribbean Excominations Council for which I have oome in for so much stiok, and the orgonisation itself has oome in for quite a bit of stick.

Mr. President, I do not intend to go into the merits or demerits of what was done at any partioular time on this. I merely wont to put to rest the queetion of the

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUING): shall I say acceptance and winting of this examination, because it has been stated, Mr. President, and we were a member of this organisation and withdrew from it, that this excmination is not recognised outside of the Caribbean. I want to put that question to rest once and for all.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I am not sure that this is really an appropriate moment to do so beoause I cannot see the relevanos of that examination to a Law dealing with Caymanian status. I was tiberal earlier when you were arguing the case for allowing all members of the Commonwealth to be eligible persons and most of the argument earlier did aeem to be addressed to that point. But unless you can persuade me that an explanation of the virtues or meohanics of a particular exam are relevant in the same way I shall have to stop you and ask you to make public whatever material it is you wish to make public in some other form.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
As I said, Mr. President; I am not going to get into any argument over the subject. I am going to deal with it in the same way as I dealt with Caribbean Development Bank and the rest of it to show that it is an institution that receives international aid and the rest of $i t$, and that it has intermational recognition. I think this is relevant in light of the faot that I am sure that this is one of the instances in which we can show that the Commonwealth Caribbean is relevant in totality.

MR. PRESIDENT;
Wett as long as the Member bears in mind what I have said and I shall stop him if I think he is straying too far.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: In particular, Mr. President, I wish to read from a letter dated the 19th January, 1984, addressed to me from the Vice Chancellor of the Univergity of the West Indies who happens to be the Chairman of Caribbean Examinations Council. I had enquired of the progress of this Council and $I$ will not read att of it, Mr. President, because much of what I will read, if I would tell Members, I will read his lotter but much of the other information which is available can be found in the July, 1982 issue of the Caribbean Chronicle which is a publication of the West India Committee. I would like to read just one naragraph.
"Probably the most important indication of the achievement of the Coumil was the clear indication given by the United States of America of the high regard in which it holds the Council and its work by the grant of US $\$ 2.8$ million which Caribbean Examination Council received from United States
id for a four year project extending over the period 1979 to 1983. You should note that this grant was received by Caribbean Examination Council at a time whan the United States was in the process of phasing out grants for educational purposes in the region. The funde were used to support programmes for the development of teachers' expertise and curriculum implemantation, measurement and evaluation and materials production. Ten subject areas benefited under this scheme. These were English, Mathematics, Georgraphy, Caribbean History, Social Studies, Spanish, Principles of Business, Office Procedure, Agricultural Science, Integrated Science. At the end of the project

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING):
United States Aid conduoted an evaluation exercise under the direction of thited States educators. We were heartened to receive a most favourable repart from the evaluation team on the work done by Caribbean Examination Council during the life of the project. Regarding your query on the Caribbean History syllabus the content and coverage required of candidates is the same as that for G.C.E'. O'Level. Both syllabuses cover the period from the coming of Columbus up to 1962."

Internationat recognition, Mr. Ercsident.
"United Kingdom, the joint Matriculation Boaxd which server the University of Manchester, Liverpool, Leeds, Sheffietd and Birmingham accept generat proficiency grades I and II as equivalent to G.C.E. O'Level. Individual consideration will also be given to basic proficiency grade 1 , Seottish Universities Council on entrance. London University Entrance Requirements Committee... "
MR. PRESIDENT:
I think the point has been made but I really do not think this is too relevant to the grants of status you know. And unless you can start to tie it in to that I must stop you. I can quite understand that you want to put on recond your views about this exam but I really do not think that a debate on the Protection Law is the right place to do so.

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS: Mr. President, with respect I am sure that this was one of the ideas in the back of Members' minds when they were talking about restrioting certain Caribbean territories because they were setting these axams, and generally within the Conmonwealth. I think this is relevant to establishing that within the Conmonwealth there is a diversity of opinion but yst no-one forces their will on the other, but that the Caribbean is not ...

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I am going to take a Point of Order now, Sir. Firstly relevancy under Standing Order 36, and secondly he is trying to anticipate what may have been in a person's mind and there is only one, I am afraid, who can really do that, Sir, and he is not the one.

MR. PRESTDENT:
The Point of Order regarding relevancy was one I was already taking, really I think. I can see the Member's argument that one of the considerations which may have been in people's minds when they decided to exclude certain Commonwealth countries from the "eligible person" oategory may have been the type of examinations, but as the Honourable Second Elected Member for Executive Council said I think you are getting at what was in people's minds. In any case let us leave it like this that provided you finish this particular bit of your apeeeh very quickly I wizl not stop you again. But it must not continue for $Z$ long becouse I cm increasingly doubtfut about its relevances and I shatl soon be persuaded it is irrelevant.

[^24]MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, except for one matter which is of parionount importance I will just name, the Universities and the countries then that accept it. But the Member himself objecting introduced the question of education into the debate.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: on:

## Well, continue.

Mr. Fresident, I think I. have started
"Scottish Universities Councit on Entrance, London Iniveraity Entrance Requirements Committee to date accept generat proficiency grade $I$ only, currently reviewing general proficiency grade IT. Cambridge Univerraity is reviewing its matriculation requirements but will be guided meantime by practice of other English Boands.

Canada, Arcadia University which is in Nova Scotia, McGill University, Wilfred Laurier University, University of British Columbia, University of Victoria, University of Toronto, University of Regina, University of Lethbridge and the Aesociation of Community Colleges all accept the equivalency to o'Levet of this examination at grade I and II in some instances."

But what is very important, Mr. President, is the remarks on the United States situation:
"The National Council for the evaluation of foreign etudent credentials in the United States of America has issued the following statement to admission officers:
"When admission to higher education for Caribbean students both in Caribbean and American institutiore is based on an accumulation of single subject passes in public examinations at the secondary school level, the following are subjecte that should be counted together towards admission eligibility. Each subject on a Caribbean Examinations Eduoation Certificate at general proficiency with grade I or II and in the case of integrated saience and agricultural soience...'

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I would like to take a Point of Order again, Sir. I know you have certain discretion but really how long do we have....

MR. PRESIDENT: If you are on the point of relevancy I witt stop the Member when I think fit. I have taken your point and I have made it myself and he witl not go on much longer.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I would not like him to uee my referring to atams as the point for using this beeause I said we had plenty of children with thein excoms who can go out in the tabour force. I do not see how this is relevant at all.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS:
Mr. President, I was dealing with
the question of the equivalency in the United States of America

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTMWING): and they are rated one to one except in the case, that is in the general proficiency grade whether it is I or II, integrated science and agriouttural solenoe, each to count as two subjecte.

Enough said, Mr. President. I witl handle the rest of it appropriately at the appropriate time and $I$ would hope, Mr. President, if this question is attempted to be raised by any other Member the some restriations of relevanoy will be placed upon it.

MR. PRESIDENT: Which will give another Member a chance to talk at some length.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
I think this might have defused a very lengthy discussion.

Mr. President, I can understand Members not wanting certain thinge to go in the records but I have achieved my objective, Sir.

The Caymanian Protection Law as I said earlier is, in my opinion, the most singte important piece of legislation on our Statute Books and unlese we aan in this Law defuee some of the resentment which exists in the public as regards the protection of the righte of Caymanians then we have not done our jobs and we are allowing a monster to lurk out there which is going to devour all of us. So I would hope that in Conmittee stage, Mr. President, good sense will prevail and that where reasonable amendments are put forward they will be carefully studied and hopefully implemented.

There was just one section of the Bill, Mr. President, which I glossed over in turning my leaves and I know I have a note on it. So I will find it, that is section 43, Mr. President, the section which is new; the section which deals with the granting by the Board of a work permit to a person on permanent residence. The point has been made I think that this witt be used sparingly. There are ncoasions on which there are permanent residents in the Island who could perform certain specialist iasks. . It is just conmon sense if those people are wizling to do the tasks to give them the permit rather than havina to bring in another famity, couple or individual to perform the tasks.

There is just one thing, Mr. Preaident, that I think may have been overtooked and I would drow attention to it now so that when we get to Committee stage it will have had some consideration.

We have put this granting of the work permit under Section 27, 28 and 29 which deals with showing relevancy of the need and the payment of fees, and the rest of $i t$, and we have said in relation to Section 28, . that the Boand shall give consideration to the provisions of Section 28 (1). I believe it should be 28(1) and they should also add 28(5) otherwise, Mr. President, the Board will not have power to revoke that licence once granted. For the benefit of the Honourabte Second Official Member, Mr. President, I am reading pubeection (2) of Section 43.

> "In granting such permission, the Board shatt give consideration to the provisions of section. 27 (1),"

This section which deale with hoving to show that nobody is able, witling and so on. the to caymanian is not able, willing, qualified and avaitable to do the job:

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTNUING): Section 28 saye what the Board can do. In Section 28 (1) it merely deals with the refusal and so on but it does not deal with revocation. Section 28 (5) deale with the ability of the Board:
"from time to time to extend, revoke, vary or modify the terms of any liaence,"
and $I$ think if we are to cover this provision property in Section 43, subsection (2) we will have to say Section 28 (1) and (5), because, Mr. President, we would not want a person to have a licence that could not be revoked if it was being breached and that would be the effect of what would happen unless we put in subsection (5) of Section 28.

To sum up, Mr. President, as I said yesterday I support this Bill going forward beaduse there are changes that have been brought upon us by the coming into effect of the British Nationality Aot of 1981 and we have to make provisions for those in our Low. I am not entirely happy with alt that is in this Law but on the other hand as I saids rightly or urongty, the old Iaw, or at Least the administration of the old Law has been discredited to the extent that I feel that it is incumbent on this House to do something to allay and quell the fears of people in the community.

Closely allied to this Bill. Mr. President, is the question of a labourer and a labour officer and if I may be forgiven for referring to statements which I made in the Throne Speech earlier this year regarding promises.

MR. PRESIDENT: I really do not think this is relevant.
You should make these points in a separate place at a separate time.

this'; what I am saying is that the House has not had the Labour Bill oome forward and $I$ am saying that this...

MR. PRESIDENT: That has already been reported to the House by a Select Committee and it really is not relevant to the second reading debate on the principles of this Bill.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: Could I say, Mr. President, that I feel that it will be the responsibility of a new House to introduce early Zegislation to involve the labour officer more alosely with the workinge of this Law.

MR. PRESIDENTY:

## Yes, that is fair.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: You see if you try long enough, Mr.
President, you wili get your point across, as this is something that $I$ have felt for a very long time.

I an not going to use the time of
the House for very much longer, Mr. President. I just want to implore Members and to say to Members if they have not sensed the disadtiafaction on the outside about the labour market, that they should listen more carefully and let us see that this Law does what it is intended to do and that is protect the rights and welfare of Caymanians of all walks of life.

Thank you, Mr. Fresident.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Honourable Fourth Elected Member
of Executive Council.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, the Bill before the House had a long gestation periods a period in which many people and many organisations had their input. Most of the comments received by the Select Committe were comments of substance. The Committee endeavoured to put into the Bill many of the suggestions made, in fact some people actually appeared before the Committee and further explained the points they had made in their written conmenioations.

Untike the Narcotics Agreement most of the commente on this Bill by the public were fairs most of the conments were reasonable. It is regrettable that a few of the lightweight politioians on the outside used this Bill, or attempted to use it for their oum political aime. For example, we had one comment made on it that a certain person wants to be elected so that he can bring about equality of women under the Caymanian Protection Law, when that person has read the draft and knows fult well that the equality of women under the Caymanian Protection Law will be a fait accompli long before nomination day, and that it is not correct to say that when he is elected he will do this. But it shows the gutent to which other people, or some people will go for the hope of becoming Members of the House.

I an going to deal with that question of equatity of women when I come to the section dealing with it.

The Bill hae brought out to us the question of who is really a Caymanian. We have in this country some expatriates that are more Caymanian than some Caymanians, or as Sparrow said in his song, "more English than the English", because I believe a foreign person that has come to these shores and found a good hume here can be just as loyat as a person who has been born here with a non-patmiotic spirit. Because some of the people who come to these shores and hove made it big here were nothing in their own tand. If you have a doctor or a lawyer or an accountant in New York or London, he is just another etatistic, but take him up from there like a little plant from a bed of nursling plants and transplant him with good vegetating moul. into a new land and the metamorphosis that he undergoes produces a new plant which blooms and prospers beyond atl imagination. And we see this happen every day. People who in their oum country were not listed in any aivil list unless it was the list of the poor have come here and by the benevolent lows of our country, and by the good climate areated by our Government, have spread out like oak tress across the land. And these people who left a country which offered them nothing but penury, and harsh laws; those from Europe coming from a land torn by war, they have teft nothing behind and so Cayman to them 2s their reai home because they never had it so good before. We see this magic being played out before our eyes every day and this is why I have a certain conpassion for those people who have come now to a land flowing with milk and honey; a land of surpluses: a land of reserves and a land which has regard for the constitutional right of its aitizens

As we watch the panonama of
inmigration into this Island we see before us a sight which must make the indigenous people very proud beoause we are able to offer a haven of rest and eeourity; a tax haven where the earnings can belong to the worker, and we must certainly feel proud.

However, Cayman is a small country and we cannot acoept all those who would come. We must therefore have a strict Immigration Law to say not only who aan work here but who can continue to remain here. If we had many mitlions of acres of land in which to house these people we could become a paradise for atl of the lonety people and all of the destitute people in the world. But we are asmall group of islands,

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): with onty a tittle over a hundred equare mites of tand and we have to guard this property jeatously. We have to be harsh at times and say the time has come for you to go. We have had people who have come here and who have enjoyed the fat of the land, whose wives and children have become frolicsome and have become prosperous, but on the other hand we have had those people who have come here and from the minute they start to put down their roote have tried to destroy this country: have tried to stir up hate amongst the inhabitants of these Islands and have tried through their vicious gossip through the cotums in the newspaper which they control to set one person against the other.

Often when these people are put on the plane and told not to come back we have some of the less patriotio people standing up and bemoaning the fact that these people have gone.

So inmigration is not an easy task for
the legislators; it is not an easy task for the Department of Immigration; it is not an easu task for those who sit on the Caumanian Protection Board, but it is a task which has to be shouldered with great responsibility if we are to continue to not only stay afloat but to continue to prosper. This is the reason why this responsible Legislative Assembly has taken the time and effort to produce the Bill which is before the House, whence come any of our Laws inctuding the Immigration Law. Our Lawe stem from the Members of the Legislative Ascembly and these Members are representative of the thoughts and aspirations of the public who elected them, and it is our responsibility to legislate taws.

One of the unfair criticisms of this Bill has been that we attempted to mush it through the House when all Members know the Bill has been in some stage of development for more than a year. Even now there ane some who say we should not pase this Bill on the eve of a General Election; we should wait intil after the elections when we can sit down minus some of the controversy and deal with it. I do not subsoribe to that view. I oan only speak for myself but I have been elected over three terms with a resounding majority by the people of my constituency and they expect that. I will deal with this Law and with any other Low and with any other mattex without fear of ariticism or the sharp words of the preas or any who may want to condern my actions. It is our responsibility to deal with this Law. Lat us not be like the weak kneed goverment of 1976 who faited to put fombind the controversial Development Plan because they were afraid of the reaction of the public at the polls. I say we should put forward this Bill and tet the pubtic tell us in November whether they agree with what we have put in or not. If they tell me they do not agree, we can amend it but we should do what we have been elected to do. We may make mistakes in the process but let us not make the biggest mistake of doing nothing. Let us not make the big mistake of doing nothing. Let us do what seemeth unto ue right and I think that future generations will call ue bleesed.

The inmigration and emigration of people into and out of the Cayman Islands has become of significant importance over recent years. We have aeen in the last ten years that the population of these Islands has grown, the growth rate has been in excess of 5 per cent compared with the annuat growth rate of 2 per cent in some of the other developed and developing countries. And this growth has not been caused by any substantial change in the birth rates and the mortality rates of the people of these Islands. Most of that growth has oome by immigration. It is very difficult, as I mentioned earlier to deal with an Immigration Icaw because in matters of immigration nearly every person in the cayman Islands weare two

HON, G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTTNUING): hats. People will say we have too many Jamaicans; we have too many Honduraniane: we have too many English people; we hove too many Americars, and those scome people who say that are the ones who are crying the loulest to be given their domestic servants, their masons, their carpenters, their bankers, their lauyers and their accountants, The very people who condern the influx of foreign people into Cayman are the people that are down on their knees praying every night that the Caymanian Protection Boaxd will grant their permit. It reminds me, sir, of some time ago when a belligerent taxi driver came to me and said "we have too many taxi drivers, Govermment should limit them." I said, "yes, we will start by taking your tioence." He said, "no I did not mean me, I meant the other people." This is the dilenma of inmigration. People are saying there are too many comaicans yet every construction firm wants his carpenter: every construction firm wants his mason and you could go might down the line.

So we have a ditemma and the respons-
ibility of Goverment is to try to balance these two ovaricious groups; one like the old ladu who said "I want what I want when I want it", and her husband said "You will get what I got when I got it." So Government is in the middle trying to keep out the foreign people looking for work and trying to satisfy the appetites of our economy which is demanding more labour every day. When I hear people sitting in judgement against the Caymanian Protection Board I have to say what hypocrites they are in the Cayman Islande. When I hear a person trying to get elected now condemning the actions of the Caymanian Protection Board when he served for many years as the Chairman of that Board and found nothing wrong with the Iaw. When I hear the Third Elected Member for West Bay get up and say appeals should not go to Exeoutive Counoil, we should have a tribunal because we are appealing from Caesar unto Caesar. Have we forgotton those words...

MR. PRESTDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESTDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR* PRESIDENT:
way or not?

Are you riaing on a Point of Order?
No Sir.
Rising under what standing Order then?
13 or 34. $34(b)$, sir.
You mean 34(1)(b).
Yee Sir.
Does the Member speaking adree to give

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
me, Sir. I supported the appeats going to Executive Councit said was that rightly on wrongly impression that Eneutive Coun conceived the public had the of the Boand and under those circumstonces an input into the decisions Caesar unto Caesar.

MR. PRESIDENT:
said, yes.

That is my recollection of what you

But I support the appeal going to

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Thank you.
He certainty gave , would influence the Board the Boar he made some remark about Caesar appealing to Caesar.

You know his problem is that he is no Zonger Caesar and when I say that his problem is that he is no longer Caesar I mean that when the Caymanian Protection Law was put into effeot in 1971 he was the arohitect of that Bill; he was the Member who piloted that Bill through the House and it was that Bill that set in motion the process whereby Enecutive Council appoints the Members to the Protection Board, and appeals from the Protsction Board go back to Executive Council. So if there is a aituation where Caesar can appeat unto Caesar it was created by the Third Elected Member for West Bay and other Members who were Members of the House when this Bill was put into operation. Because this, Govermment in its eight years of existenos has never changed the process by which Members are appointed to the Frotection Board or by which appeals from the Protection Board go into operation.

Let me prove my point since it is difficult for him to reoollect, and $I$ will do so by reading...

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I am aqain wising, Sir. I thought I had made my explanation perfectly clear and that you had accepted that the explanation' I had given was what I had said. $I$ think the Member is now trying to debate. what $I$ said and is therefore out of order.

MR. PRESIDENT: I cannot see that he is out of order in debating what you had said. He would be out of order if he were putting into your mouth words which you had not said. But I do not think at the moment he is doing that. If he does do that in my view, I will stop him.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, could $I$ refer you to the intervention of the Honourable Second Official Member yesterday, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: Oh come come, what may not be debated is a Point of Explanation. But you cannot stop the Member from continuing to debate what you have said in your main speech by rising on a Point of Explanation. That really would not be proper.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, you are too polite, Sir, to tell him that you can atop him from interfering with the regular process of this House by having the Sergeant, where is he, take, this Membsr outside until we are finished if he continues to intermupt.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, I have a right to interiupt whenever I an doing so under the Standing Orders of this House and I will oontinue to do so.

MR. PRESIDENT:
You have a right, yes that is quite right.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, he has the right to intermupt. Standing Onders do not give him the might to become a nuisance to the House.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. President, before I was eo viciously intermpted I had been dealing with the fact that the 1971 Protection Law established the Calmanian Frotection Board and that throughout the years we have not changed that process and we do not seek to change it.

Section 5 of the 1971 Law reads:
"There is hereby established a Board called the Caymanian Protection Board consisting af a Chairman, Deputy Chairman and seven other members who shall be appointed by and hold office at the pleasure of the Governor."
which means the Govermor-in-Council, and Section 5 of the Bitl before the House reads in almost identical words the same way as Section 5 of the 1971 Law with a couple of exceptions which I will mention. Section 5 of the Bill before the House reads:

> "There is hereby established a Board called the Caymanian Protection Board consisting of a Chairman, Deputy Chairman and not more than nine other membere, at least one of whom shall be resident in Cayman Brac or Little Cayman, who shall be appointed by and hold office at the pleasure of the Governor."

The only difference in the 1971 Law and the Bill before the House is that the 1971 Law provided for seven members on the Board and the Bill before the House provides for not more than nine other members. The new Law also stipulates that one of the members shatl atways be a resident in Cayman Brac or Little Cayman. so if there is some abuse in the process which has existed between 1971 and 1984, that abuse was written in the Law by the Third Elected Member for West Bay and his other colleagues at the time of the passage of the Law. I do not believe this is abuse. I do not believe that the members of the Caymanian Proteotion Boand should be appointed by anyone elae except the Executive Council.

I com not going to take up the time of the House to read this, but the appeal sections of both Laws carry the same words, also the same identical words that appeals from the Caymanian Protection Board shall be heard by the Executive Council. Here again there is no better body to hear the appeale. Now it is true that in the comments dealt with by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council yesterday he mentioned that some people had preferred an independent tribunal which would have no Civit Servants on it, to deal with these appeals. This would be a slap in the face of the Camannian
public if we were to let these important appeals be heard by an independent tribunal which is not answerable to anybody at any time.

The Members of Executive Councit have undergone the most rigorous test available to any group of people. Do become a Member of Executive Cownit, an Etected Member, one must first win at the General Election, something that some of the sour grapes on the outside have never been able to do. We must first get the confidence of the majority of the people in our constituency and then having become Members of the House, Elected Members of Executive Council must undergo another vigourous examination in which they must get the majority of Members' consent. Members like the Third Member for Wert Bay must agree by a majority vote that the four

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Elected Members can be Membere of the Exeoutive Council. So there is no better body to hecr appeals from the Caymanian Protection Board than an Executive Council which has been duly elected by the majority of the poople of the Cayman Islands and by a majority of the Members of the Legislative Assembly. A tribunal would not have Members on it who had become Members because of such a severe test. The tribunal would have to be appointed under the Law either by the Executive Council, by the Legislative Assembly on perhaps by the Governor acting in his discretion. But the members of the tribunat would not be answerable to the electorate which would seek to remove them if they did not perform their functions well.

Do you want to take an intermuption?
MR. PRESIDENP:
I was hoping you would finish dealing with this particular point soon but if it is convenient to you to break now let us suspend proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

AT 3.17 P.M. THE HOUSE SUSPENDED

HOUSE RESUMED AT 3.43 P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be aeated. Proceedings are resumed. The Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. G. $\operatorname{BAIG}$ BODDEN: One final point, Mr. President, on this. It had been appealed before the court because apparently there is distrust of appeals being handled fairly by the Board, but this would have proved a disaster if the Conmittee had adopted this reasoning. For a long time it would be subjeot to further appeale to the Appeal Court and maybe even the Privy Council. It would have been costly to hire lawyers and pay court fees and in my opinion what would even be much worse is that we would be mixing the executive branch of Govermment with the judicial branch and so would have brought about an integration of the different arms of Goverrment rather than the separation of powers whteh is 80 desirable.

The most controversial section in
the tow is in the definition of "eligible person". Who should be allowed to easily receive Caymanian atatus. Many authorities were called upon to substantiate the claim that alt Commonwealth citizens should be entitied to receive quite easily, Camanian status and I would like to spend some time on this sectron because it is very important.

The Third Elected Member for West Bay tried to invoke Section 26 of the British Nationality Act, 1981 as one of the reasons for the entitlement of eligibitity for Comnomsealth aitizens but he could not have been further from the mark. Because that gection as it reads says:
> "Any person who was a citizen of the United Ktngäom and Colonies inmediatety before commencement and who does not at commencement beoome either a British citizen on a British Dependent Territories citizen shall at oommencement become a British Overseas citizen."


#### Abstract

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): To my mind this does not in a small place like Cayman give Conmonweatth aitizens the riaht to Caymanian status, in that the section is restrictive indeed and only operates at commencement of their Law. Had he chosen to read the next section, Section 27 dealing with the registration of minors he would have seen that that seotion is still even more restrictive and that ssetion has to be read with section 9 which sets out the ways in which a minor can be registered, the restrictive ways. If you look at Seotion 9 you will see...


MR. BENSON O. EBANRS:
Member would give way, Sir?

Mr. President, I wonder if the

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
decide if he wishes to give way.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: No, Mr. President. I have become disgusted with this Member. I mean, he is behaving like a child. ALI I have said is that he would...
MM. PRESIDENT:

MR. BENSOON O. EDANKS: under 34(2).

That is all right...
Then I reserve my right, Mr. President,

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
All I have said is that he invoked Section 26. I am now dealing with Seotion 27 which he never mentioned. You read Section 26 word for word. The Third Elected Member for West Bay read that Section word for word. I am not dealing with Section 26 I am dealing with Section 27 which is used for the registration of minors, and it says in 2 :

> "A person born in a foreign country within five years after commencement shall be entitled, on an application for his registration as a British citizen made within the period of twelve months from the date of the birth, to be registered as such a aitizen if -"
(a) the requiremente enecified in Soction 2 are fulfilled in the case of that person's father, and (b) had that person been born before commencement and become a citizen of the United Kingdom and Colonies by virtue of Section 5 of the 1948 Act. This aivee citizenship by disosnt as a result of the registration of his birth at a United Kingdom Consulate under paragraph ( $b$ ) of the proviso to Section 5(1) of that Act. He would inmediately before commencement have had the right of abode in the United Kingdom by virtue of Section 2(1)(b) of the Immigration Act, 1971 as then in force, which deals with conneotion with the United Kingdom through parents ox grandparents.

So it is not possibte under either 26 or 27 for many people at large to get British citizenship and neither should it be possible under our Law for the mitlions of people that make up the Commonwealth to have an easy access to Caymanian status.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The other arguments brought in which to my mind were not relevant at all, were eo far removed from the easence of eligibitity that yous sir, had to stop the Member from his wandering into these desert lands.

He brought in the Caribbean Development Bank as an organisation of which we were a part and of our connection with the Commonwealth, of our oonnection with the C.P.A. and the read a long article there on the Commontwealth Secretariat, and I could go on and on. He even stumbled one time upon the Caribbean Eramination Council which he envisioned had been in the minds of the Members when they made theix deliberations on this Sections and I am not surprised, Sir, that he mentioned this because he was very much involved in the Caribbern exminations and it would have been a disaster if these examinations had been adopted in the Cayman Istands. It is my belief that we would not now, Sir, be discussing the...

MR. PRESTDENT: I stopped him on the Examination Board so you must not go on discussing the merits of the exams any more that I allowed him to.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: NO, I am just saying, Sir, that we would not now be discussing this Immigration Bill if we had not removed ourselves from the ambit of this Caribbean examination which the Member has used to support his argument on the eligibility of peoples of the Conmonwealth to receive favoured treatment under this partioular definition. And since I have been limited to a short time I will try very quickly to go through my reasons for saying that there is nothing in the Caribbean swaminations to enforce his argument that Conmonwealth people should be eligible beeause we were once a party to the Caribbean Examination Councit.

> If I can refer to just one item
which appeared in the Daity Gleaner in 1977 under the heading "Public Affairs", the headline is:
"All is not well with Camibbean examinations."
and the reason is given:
"Critioism so far about the syllabuses is that they have been approached with the view that Caribbean students are some strange, peculian breed of people and that everything that has been taught up to now because of an influence of the metropolition countries, has been imperiatistic and Colonialist inspired. Hence the syllabuses for produaing the new Caribbean man, the parents being the old doum-trodden Crribbean man and womans should be a new beginning and departure."

And it goes on to say that no-one should fail the exam because no doubt failure has had such a frustrating and lasting effeet. And these are some of the reasons why we should never call upon the Caribbean excminations to influence our thinking on this Bill. Beause the Caribbean examinations were intended to treat the United States and other free enterprise countries as villains and the Communists were to be glorified. The syllabus included the teaching of black power and colonialism, the plantocracies and revolution. These were the subjects that our ohildren were to be indoctrinated with had we continued in this exam and I do not think that examination can be used

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): to support an argument that we must belong to that type of organisation, or must let in people with the ideologies which have been devoured by the people who had brought this into play.

To continue to deal with the question
of eligibility. This question was contained in the otd Law. Under the old Law all British subjects were eligible for Caumanian otatus providing they met certain other requirements, but ali British subjects were eligible. However, the United Kingdom itself whioh had once in the 1948 Immigration Aot of Britain brought in the term British subject found that it could not live with it and the United Kingdon is a large country compared to Cayman. So in writing their new Act in 1981 they had to take the term British subject and break it down into British Dependent Termitories citizen, British aitizen, and British overseas citizen. And we, Sir, found that we too had to put these restrictions and this is the reason for the amendment to our Constitution.

We amended Section 18 of the
Constitution by replacing it with what $I$ will now read:

> "One of the ornditions for being an Elected Member of the Houge is that he possesses Caymanian status and is either a British citizen or a British Dependent Territories citizen of the age of 21 years or over."

This change was made in February, 1984, laid before Parliament 16th February, 1984, coming into operation on 12th Maxichs 1984, and thexe were no objections from the public on the limitations being put on Membership of the Legistative Assembly. In fact there was a lot of praise and commendation that the Legislators together with the United. Kingdom Government had seen fit to rule out, to exclude from Membership in the Legislative Assembly all people having Commonweatth citiagnship with the exclusion of the Dependent Territomies citizens and the British citisens. So we Limited membership to a amall class of people in the Constitution rather than leaving, as Members have said here, the floodugates open to all the world and all the people from Uganda and the people from other countrise,which people have been so glowingly represented here as entitled to Caymunian status despite their communist beliefs and the beliefs and foreign idealogies of their leaders; where Idi Amin has been made to look like the archangel and President Reagan and President Carter like villaine.

The definition of "eligible person" in the old Law only knew one word and that was British subject. Well, that is a term that has disappeared from the 1981 Inmigration Law in the United Kingdom and so in writing this new Immigration Lcw which is before the House in vayman we can no longer say all British subjeots are eligible. We have to do what the united Kingdom has done, allow into Caumanian status peonle of a limited class so that we may not get into the problems that the United Kingdom found itaelf and which was forced to make this fundomental change in the British Nationality Act. And for the purpose of the Bill before us we have said that "eligible persons"s that is those people who can easily get Caymanian status once they meet other requirements, will be only (a) British aitizens or oitizens of the Repubtic of Ireland, (b) any British Dependent Tervitariee citisen, (o) citisens of certain countries which we have named, Austratia, the Bahama, Barbados, Belize, Canada, Jamaioa, New Zealand, Trinidad and Tobago and (d) any United States citizen.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): So what has happened in this Low which replaces our 1971 Low which was revised in 1977, where the old Low allowed any British subjeet we now allow British citizens and citizens of the Republic of Ireland, British Dependent Territories citizens and citizens of the countries which we have named, plus United States citisens.

Now this section does not prevent other people from getting Caymanian status. If anyone wants the people of Uganda, if they have a personat fmiend in Uganda and they want that person to get Commanion status there is a way for that person to get Caymanian status. A 7.2 that nerson has to to is to become a citizen of a Dependent Territory: become a citizen of the United Yingतom, or of the Republic of Ireland: beaome a United States citizen; become a citizen of Australia, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Canada, Jamaica, New Zealand, Trinidad and Tobago. So they are not excluded from getting Camamian stathe. They aon heoome eligible persons just the same as other people not being Bmitish subjects have in the past got Camanian status undet the old 7an. The first xequirement which they must meet ia that before they can become a person of Chumanian status they 'sould hwe to get aitisenship of one of these countries and would also have to owe allegiance either to the Gueen or to the Heads of one of these countries. In other words, whatever allegiance these people may have to a Communist ruler would have to be renounced and they would have to, at least in practioe, swear that they now have allegiance to a country within the free enterprise system. Even setting aside all of this Commonvealth citizens of other countmis not mentioned here who are living in Cayman, and who now are eligible under the old Law still have the right to get Caymerian status. This is preserved by the grandfather clause. At the end of the Bill the penultimate Section 78, subeection (2) says:
"Nothing in this Law affects the rights of any person with respect to Caymanian statue existing prior to the date on which this Law comes into operation."

So if you have within the Cayman Islands anyone from Guyana or anyone from any Conmonwealth country not mentioned in this definition, who on today's date or even later still on the date that this Bill comes into operation, that person has a right reserved under this Law to be an"eligible person" because the Section reads:
'Nothing in this Law affects the rights of any person with respect to Caumanian status existing prior to the date on which this Law comee into operation".

One Member, the Thivd Elected Member for West Bay, referred to subsection (d) of the definition of "eligible person" as being an irritant. Subsection (d) "any United States citizen", and by his manoevring I understand he considers subsection (c) as also an irritant.

Those two last Sections preclude some Commonwealth countmies from eligibility with the exception of the saving clauge in Section 78(2) and also give to United States citizens a right whioh they did not enjoy before under the old Law.

HON. G. HATG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Acoording to my notes the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay did say in speaking against the United States that it was an immitant to have that appear in the Biil. What can one find irritating about the United States as far as Cayman is concerned when it is the United States which has kept us from atarvation over the yeare. It is the United States which provided jobs and oitizenship to many Caymanians from the days of the sailing schooners. It is the United States which in the early $1950 s$ opened up ite arms to us and allowed Caymanian men to have free access into the United States so that they could be employed by National Bulk Carmiers. It is the United States which has given to us an inmigration ooncession not given to any other cotatry in the world. As far as I know this is the only country in the world where there is not a United States Consul, where a person can simply go up to the Govermment offices and get a Waiver which allows him to travel into the United States for one trip. So this is an immigration concession which was given to this Island in a time of real hardship. What had happened was the door had been opened up for Caymanian seamen to work on foreign ships. However, when a ship aame into port the orew member was needed immediately, and our Caymanian seamen had to go to Jamaica to get a visa so that they could come back here and travel to the United States. By this time the ship would have gone the United States Government considered the situation and allowed the Governor to grant a Waiver for travel purposes so that any Caymanian could enter the United States for one trip.

That concession still exists and is being used daily and if we have to offer Caymanion status to any country outside of the Commonwealth, on even within the Commonwealth, $I$ would think our conceseions could go to no better country than the United States. Because white we are mindful of the protection and the hetp of the British Goverrment it is a fact that because of the proximity of the United States it is to the United States that we have to look for our food and our building materialss and certain other aids which we may need such as medical aid. The United Kingdom, although willing to supp ly these benefits to us, is separated by many thousands of miles and is not able to serve this country on a daily basis.

So when a Member gets up and argues in favour of a country like Uganda and people like deposed Idi Amin getting eligibility under our Low and at the come time deories the eligibitity of United States oitizens, I would think it is time for him to examine his thinking because he is certainty on the wrong track. (Pause).
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENI: }}{\text { Bpeaking? }} \quad$ Has the Honourable Member fintished
HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
Not yet, Sir. Just one minute. Mr. President, there is so much to speak about in this Bill that atthough I promised you to finish this evening I may find it a bit difficult. I promised in my introduction to go back to a new clause which has been added to the Bill. which gives sex equality under the Caymanian Proteotion Law, wereby the husband and the chitdren of a Caymanian woman witl have the same rights extended to the wife and chitdren of Caymarian men. This is a drean come true for me because I think it was in the year 1975 that my colleague from Bodden Toum and I brought a motion to the House seeking to do this very thing. At the time the motion was denied by the Executive Council and its extension cord. We had the support of a few Members. The

HON. G. BAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): argument given at that time was that in the United Kingdom the woman folzowed the nationality of her husband and the children followed the nationality of the father, and so we could not atlow foreign men to come here and marry Caymanion women and be eligible because of marriage to status. However, word must have gone to the United Kingdom of the foresight of our Legislators, that $i_{s}$ the minority Members at the time for very shortly afteriards the United Kingdom changed its Inmigration Low extending to United Kingdom women the some privilege which the Third Elected Member for West Bay and his colleanues had denied our Caymanian women. We were ably supported in that motion by the lady Member ands I think, the Member for North Side and one or two other Members of the House at the time but we lost the motion and our Law remained the same.

Anyway this is history and today I am glad to see that this Bill confers equality in immigration matters to the women of the Cayman Islands so that their huabands and children can enjoy in law the same priviteges as those of the Caymanian male. This Bill has gone even much further in that one of the new provisions of this Brill makes it possible for the husband of a Caymanian womon to work without paying for a work permit fee. So this is a revolutionamy idea. It is, I dare say, an idea that would never have been countenanced by the 1976 Government of which the Third Elected Member for West Bay formed such a formidable part.

One of the new provisions of this Bill is the requirement that a person on a work permit shall have to carry an identification card. This is a usefut provision and will help in the administration of the Law so that the officers administering the Low and the people involved with the employment will be able to eee at a glance whether a person has the right to work in the Cayman Islands. As said by the Second Elected Member for Cayman Brac this system is used in many other forward looking developed countries and has worked well, but it atso gives to the worker a sense of security beacuse it stamps upon him the symbol of adoption whereby he aan show to the world if he chooses to take the card from the wallet in hie back pocket that he has a right to work in the Cayman Islands. I often see people showing off their Green Cards when they acquire them in the United States. It saya that I am somebody, that I belonc somewhere, that I have a right to be employed in the United States I trust that the inmigrant workers in this country will have the same sense of pride when they put that little card in their poeket and they will know that the country appreoiates their presence.

I believe that jobs must be given to looal people when they are qualified but like the United States and like any other developed or developing country most of these countries have been built by immigrant labour. We would not have enjoyed the construction boom which brought prosperity to these Islande if it had not been for the Jomaican carpenter and mason. We would not have enjoyed the banking boom if it had not been for acoountants and laurjers and bankerg from other countries, and we would not have today the high standard of tiving which we enjoy if it had not been for the second pay cheok in the family; where the wife is able to ao out and work and to leave the Jamaican maid at home with her child. So we need to be gratefut to these workers but we also at the same time need to examine the attitude of some of the institutions here that have for many years refused to train Caymanians and to promote them to executive positions, and this is the job of the Proteation Board under this Bili to make certain that

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): white we grant permits where necessary, that we will also encourage the banks to train and to promote local people to executive positions. I have sitting here the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council who was well qualified to take over Barclays Bank had they seen fit to entrust it to his leadership and I could nome countless other examples of Caymanians who had the ability and were never given the opportunity. They were held down in low alerical poaitions. On the other hand we have Caymanians who have not done their part. People who have not spent the time to do their banking exams. People who have not spent the time to do their training. People who really in a sense cannot get the promotions because they have failed to qualify themelves. And as I said earlier this is a two way street where Govermment has to batance the immigrant labour against the desires for it and where here Caymanians have to get the training if they expect to be promoted.


#### Abstract

MR. PRESIDENT: I think the Honourable Member has anticipated me. I was about to interrupt him and to invite the Honourable First Official Member to move the suapension of Standing Order 10(2) because my understanding is that Members of the House would like to continue a little later this evening.


## SUSPENGION OF STANDING ORDER 10(2)

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I move the suspension of Standing Order 10(2) to enable the House to continue to sit until 5.45 this evening, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: The motion before the Flouse is that Standing Order 10(2) shatt be suspended in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 82 in order to enable the proceedings to continue until approximately 5.45 this evening. Does any Member wish to speak? If not I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. STANDING ORDER 10(2) SUSPENDED.
MR. PRESTDENT:
The Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Councti may continue.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: One new provision in this Bitl which wit be acoeptabie to the public is the provision that allows a child under certain circumstances to retain at age eighteen the Caymanian status which he had acquired in his. minor years.

Under the old Law a child on reaching
the age of eighteen lost his Caymanian status and had to apply for it afresh. In the Bill before the House the child if he has had seven years residence witl not loce the status. The reason for the seven years residence is so that child would have had some time here. We do not want a person coming in bay at aye seventeen and six months and getting Caymanian status under the parents and continuing. So this is a novet provision and a good one.

The Bill retains a provision whereby a person aan make application to the Grand Court if he olaims that he has Caymanian status and if he has Caymanian status by virtue of claiming to be domicited or ordincrily resident in the Cayman Istands a person can apply to the Grand Court for a dectaration to that effect.

The Board itself has the power to grant Caymanian status and it is my expectation that people in this situation would apply to the Board and perhaps only if the

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTTNUING): Board should refuse then the person can apply to the court for a declaration as to whether the person has Caymanian status or not. This provision witl expire on the 31st December, next year and it reads:
"PROVIDED that no person may after the 31st day of December, 1985, apply to the Grand Court for a dectaration in respect of domicile."

Thers has been some question of Section 23(c). This question was raised first to my knowledge by the conments which came to the Committee from a number of organian ations, and the fact that the members of a crew of any vessel would not be subject to a gainful ocoupation licence if these people were of non-Caymanian status. I betieve that Section should remain as it is because it would be vary difficult to hire a orew member to serve on a ship if you had to wait several weeks to get a work permit. It would also be difficult to employ orew members for an aircraft if you had to wait until the application for the work permit had been processed. So on these grounds it would appear that it is not practical for this Section to be ohanged. Everyone knows that when a ship comes into port the ship only wants to stay as long as it needs to put out its aargo and be on its way, and if the vessel should need to hire some crew I would think they would have to do so within that time. If the Section is applied to an airoraft they would want the crew to come aboand as soon as the passengers had disembarked from the airaraft. So that while this section is open to some abuee as it stands now I do not see any way of arranging the Section so that the permit could be granted within the short time that an aircraft would be on the ground or a vessel in port.

Now I could understand if a compony was buying $a$ new ship and had two monthe to select the crew that the applications could be prooessed. It is the some situation Government finde itself in with workers on the dook. Some days we need more men some days we need less, and when a ship arrives and we are not able to find local people to work we have to pick up in a hurry immigrants, and we have to get in touch with the Immigration Officer and get very quickly a temporary permit to allow these people to work. Pertaps they will work for two days and you witl never see them again. So there are some situations where the ideal is not possible and we oan only hope that the Department of Immigration will be able to monitor these situations so that they will not be abused.

In the old Law a person having
received permanent residence could lose it by six months absence from the Teland. The new Bill will increase that time to twelve months and I think this is very necessary, because we do have permanent residente on the Island who tike to spend most of their time abroad and only live in Cayman for the three or four months of the winter, and I think one year is a reasonable time to allow them to be absent without losing their permanent residence. The question of "domicile" has been raised and it was ably deatt with by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council who hopefully aleared up some of the misconceptions about $i t$, and he destroyed the myth that "domicile" is simply the state of mind of the person and made it clear that not only must a person say that he wants to be domiciled in Cayman, he must take some actions which will heip to fortify his atate of mind. In other worde, althought he did not elaborate on it I believe, suoh actione

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): would be the giving up of the home abroad or maybe starting a life here in futi, a permanent residence, with all close family relations here with him, so that one will know that the person not only says he is living here but is in fact living here and making this hie permanent home. The definition of "domicile" seems to have been questioned by one Member but if one looks at the old Law, the 1971 Law, one will see that the definition in that Law is very similar originally to that in the present Law. Mlso in the revised Law the term "domicite" was enlarged upon by putting in a clause on making an addition to it which keeps it out of Section 16. So I cannot see where the confusion is. In the old Law the definition read:

> "domicile" and its derivatives has the meaning ordinarity applied to that expresaion at oommon taw".

As I mentioned that was changed in the revised Law, not in the definition but in the Savings section at the end of the Law, and here again in the new Bill the term "domicile" now is defined to read:
> ""domioile" and ite derivatives have the meanings ordinarity applied to those expressions at common Zow."

Albo in Section 78(1) at the end of
the Bill we read:
"Nothing in Section 14 or in the definition of "domicile" contained in section 2 confers any right or privilege upon any person which such person would not have possessed at the coming into operation of this Law. ${ }^{\text {in }}$
and subsection (2) reads:
"Nothing in this Law affects the rights of any person with respect to Caymanian statue existing prior to the date on which this Law comes into operation. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

So that if he had his Caymanian status because of alaiming that he was domiciled that is not affected by the new Bill.

I promised to deal with the composition of the Board because I think there is no Board in the Cayman Islands which has worked harder than the Caymanian Protection Board. There is no Board that has received so much criticism and I think we should render unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's even if we are not Caesar.

The Boand under the new Bill will have a Chairman, a Deputy Chairman and nine other members, at least one of whom shall be resident in Cayman Erac or Little Cayman. It has been suggested that we exclude Civil Servants from this Board. I do not agree that Civil servants should not be on the Board. I thought Civil Servants were a good elase of people. However, in actual praotice in the existing Board there are not any Civit Servants on the Board with the exception of the Chief Immigration Officer who really has no vote or no say on the Boand except his close relationship with inmigration

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONIINUING): matters. But to put in the Law that we exclude Civil Servants would be an insult to the public service of this country and I could never agree with it.

I like the provision that a resident of Cayman Brac or Little Cayman can be a member and it has been the practice of the Govermment to appoint members to the Board who come from different geographical areas of the Istand; to appoint people with wids experience from different ocoupational groups so that we can have wide knowledge to drow from.

The appointment is at the pleasure of the Governor whioh means that a person oan remain for a short time or a long time as the circumstances require. Many times we hear a criticism about the Chaiman of the Board, and the Chairman of the Board has only one vote on the Board. In the new Law he will have an original vote but not a second or casting vote. It uad suggested in one of the corments which came into the Committee that a person interested in any matter which aome before the Board should leave the room and not enter into the discussion. The Bill in Section 8(5) says that any person who has a personal or pecuniary interest must disclose this fact to the Board and shall not take part in the consideration or discussion of or vote on any question with respect thereto. So if a work permit should come up for a company of which a member of the Board is also a member that member of the Board must disclose that fact inmediately. He cannot enter into the discussion, and he cannot vote. I do not see the reason for sending him back home on that day

One criticism of the Bitl was that we should remove the Section which gives the Governor the power to grant Caymanian status to any person being an "eligible person". It has been pointed out that this Section has been used sparingly and that since 1971 onty three or four such grants have been made. This is a provision that should remain. If I remember rightly a former Governor of the Cayman Islands, Mr. Thomas Russelt was granted Caymanian status on the eve of his departure from the Cayman Islands. He probably will never use it to come and live here. He probably will never come back to work here, but I belisve a person like this who spent many years here and who was in a high official capacity should be given such a grant. We have had many instances: we know that the United States Govermment gave sir winston Churchill honorary oitisenship in the United States and when he went over to accept it, he said in his acceptance speech that it was one of the proudest moments of his life. So if there is an outstanding person who merits the recognition of a country I think it is fair to give that person a special gift of caymanian status, and bestow upon him the honour which he truly deserves.

Dow much has been made of Section 18 which deals with acquisition of Caymanian status by grant, and partioularly subsection (3) which reads:
${ }^{\text {ri Any }}$ person who is an eligible person of not less than eighteen years of age, one of whose parents or grandparents was borm in the Islands and who has been ordinarity resident in the Islands for the period of three years immediately preceding his application may apply to the Board for the grant of Caymanian status. "

There are some people who would widen this Seotion taking out of it the requirement to be ordinarily resident in the Islands for

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): three years or taking out the requinement where the parent or grandparent had been ordinarily resident for three years preceding the application; if we did this we would widen this Section to where many multitudes could take advantage of it.

We have in the Bill the provision
where a spouse of a person who possesses Caymanian status can apply for the status if the person has resided here for three years inmediatetu preceding his application. This is a good provision in that the husband or the wife of a Caymanian will know that there is some hope in the future for receiving Caymanian atatus if the person is an "eligible person". Thite I am on this matter I may as wett deal with the provision whereby the spouse can tose status acquired in this matter if there is a dissolution of the marriage within a certain period. This is very necessary to avoid the type of marriage mentioned by the Member for George Toum when he said that'when they were pronounced man and wife they marched off to different homes." So white a person who is married to a Caymanian can get Caymanian status, the person can also lose it if the marriage does not continue for a number of years.

In Section 18(8) we set out in the Bill many of the guidelines which the Boand would have to axamine in granting Caymanian status. These guidetines may wett have been contained in directives under the Law but I believe it is better to have them entrenched in the Law so that they will not be subject to change to suit individual cases.

In (a) of these conditions we read that the Board ahall have regard to:

> "the economio situation of these Islands and the due protection of persons engaged or likety to be engaged in gainfut ocoupation."

So that the Board before granting status must consider whether we have an ample supply of the type of expertise which this individual can bring to these Islands, oubsection (b):

> "the health, character and previous conduct of the applicant, and where applicable, of any member of his famity."

This is very important. We have seen in the past certain people who have been granted Caymanian status and these people now are people who we would be glad to get rid of, but we are saddled with them and perhaps it ie because there has not been a olose enough examination of these people at the time of the conference of Caymanian status.

The Board must consider any advantage
which the continued residence of the applicant or his fomily may afford to these Islands. We know that the United States beame very sucoessful because they encouraged the brain drain from other countries. They welcomed to their shores scientists and people with specialised knowledge because these people could enthance the quality of life in the country.

Also in these requirements the Board must consider whether the appiicant would becone domiciled in the Cayman Islands at the time of the grant. We do not want to give Caymanian status to somebody who is about to leave or who would leave if the going gete rough treve. We want to give Coymanian status to the people who not only internd to be dontioiled here but take some aations to show that they have in fact boome domicited.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The Board must congider the hardship that may be caused to a spouse and his dependents and this is an unusual clause because in it you may have to overlook something that would ordinarily prevent a person from getting. status. For example you might have a mans. say married to a Caymanion with a number of small children and there may be some reason why the man as a person should not qualify for status. But using this Seotion, in very exoeptional circumstonces the Board may consider the hardship that would come upon the wife and children if the husband was denied status and this is a clause or a part of the Bill that could be open to abuse. I think it is necessary that it should be there, and that the grant of the application is not contrary and is condueive to the public interest.

We have some people here whose presence is not conducive to the wetfare of this country. We have some people who flit from country to country. We have some who have wound up on our shores who have worked for every newspaper in the Camibbean; have been kicked from pillar to post and washed up on our shores, and it is this type of person that section $17(8)(f)$ of these requirements is aimed at. The person whose continued presence in thia country would not be conducive to the public interest, and I say not only should these people not be given statue they ahould be sent off, and probably made prohibited immigrants. A feature of this Bitl which I like is the provision which gives some aecurity to the widow or widower of a Caymanian spouse.If, say a Caymanian man should marry a foreign woman and die shortly after the marmiage that surviving widow will have a right to remain in the Cayman Istands. This had not been so in the 1971 Law. Perhaps it was simply an oversight but now it will be oorrected and if one thould become a widow or widower of a Caymanian spouse, or rather of a deceased Caymanian, that person can apply to the Chief Inmigration Officer and shall be granted permiseion to reside permanently in the Istands. However, if that person should Zater be married to a person not possessing Caymanian status such permission may be terminated by the Board, though the survivor shall be given permanent residence and that permanent residence may be terminated if thore is a subeequent marriage to a non-Caymanian.

I have dealt in part with the ways in which people aan use Caymanian status and as other Members have commented on this $I$ witl not go into any great detail.

One of the...
MR. PRESIDENT:
If I could interrupt the Member for a moment. I am told that the tape is about to mun out and I am sure the Member would not wiah his remarks to go unrecorded. So if he would like to pause for about two minutes, as soon as the Sergeant-at-Arms comes back we can resume.

The Member may safety resume.
HON. G. $\operatorname{HALG}$ BODDEN:
Mr. Preaident, there ie no part of this Bill or no function of the Caymanian Protection Bnard which has been more ariticised than that function of granting gainful ocoupation licences to people of non-Caymanion status.

Section 23 makes provision for some people in very limited cases to be exempt from work permits and one class of people exempted from work permits is the alass known as the Civil servant; persons employed by the Govermment of the Cayman Jelinda in respect of their emptoyment. One group of people who commented on this Section said the time has come for Govermment to be treated in exactly the eame way as the private sector with

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): regard to the issue of gainfut occupation licences, with the exception that such gainfut occupation licences would be free of cost. This is like many other comments I have heard from time to time. I have heard that we must get rid of the Commissioner of Police and we must do this and do that which is contrary to the Constitution. The hiring of Civil Servants is a matter under our Constitution for the Governor. It cannot be delegated to the Elected Members of Executive Council. It cannot be relegcted to. the Caymamion Protection Board. The Govermor together with the Fublic Service Commiseion must decide on the hiring and firing of all public servants with the exception of a few people at the top of the service when you witt also need the concurrence of the Secretary of State. So to answer this criticism it is simply that we cannot delegate to the Caymanian Protection Board the job of hiring public servants any more than you aan give the opposition on the outside of this House the authority to fire the Conmissioner of Police.

We would need a constitutional change if we were going to put the hiring and firing and granting of work permits in the hands of the Caymanian Proteation "oard. This would be controry to the Conetitution.

The criticism goes on to say that once they make the selection we could waive the fee, ani I do not see the reasoning behind the oritioism because why should a Civit Servant have to go through the Caymanian Protection Board, then go through the Publio Service Commission: go through the Personnel office of Govermment before that person is abte to work in the service. In fact this would pose a real difficulty. For example we have a deadline for hixing teachers at the conmencement of the school term and these teachers are normally interviewed abroad and then they would have to send down here and get a work permit and go through all the channels. This is impossible and it was one of the suggestions which the Select Committee could not adopt.

Section 37 makes provision for a stop tist in which would be recorded the names of people known to be undesirable or people prohibited from entering. This stop list already exists and we trust that with the repetition in this Bill more attention will be paid to $i t$.

Section 43 contains a novel provision
in that a person who has been living here as a permanent resident can be allowed to get a work permit after he has resided for a continuous period of two years. Members were divided on this Section. Some Members felt that we should never give a work permit to a permanent resident. Some folt that it would be a means of opening up the work permit to a clase of people who are fortunate enough to get permanent residence in the first place.

The Member for the Lesaer Istands
supported this Section and as he had correotly pointed out in the Committee, in a place like Cayman Brac you should have people with specialised knowledge who could be useful to the community if hired on a limited basis. There are two sides to this story. If we look at it from the point of the permanent resident himaelf, he may have come here with all good intentions of remaining as a permanent resident but his situation in life may have changed. Most of these people come to us on fixed a fixe income and many times they find that the income is eroded by inflation, by a loss of income due to bad investments; it might even be by severe medical problems, and this Saction onty makes provision for the Board to grant a gainful oocupation licence of such type and at such place, for such period and under such other oonditions

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): as may be specified by the Board.

The oritics of this Section correctly pointed out that a permanent resident if he got a work permits. could afterwards go into competition with local people but this applies to anyone who receives Caymanian status or who receives a work permit, or even being a local person you can go into competition with other people. In fact every time you do a job you are in competition with someone else and there is nothing better for the free enterprise system than competition. However the Board is given in Section 43(1) the authority to limit, to condition these special work permits in such a manner that the permanent resident would not be able to abuse the privilege which is given under Section 43(1). Of course, without saying it, if the Board grants a work permit the Board has the right to revoke that work permit if the work permit is abused.

It would have been difficult for the Legislative Assembly to have found a more controversial bill to deal with at thie time. It would have been difficult to set out on a course of correcting anomalies which existed in this Law from its very inception. However, I believe that one of the most important areas in a small island conmunity is the area of inmigration. This has been coneidered in our Constitution and the guide-lines perhaps for delegation of responsibilities have not so far given responsibility for inmigration in the hande of Elected Members. And while the Governor retains the Portfolio that deals with inmigration we as the elected politicians do not really have the final say. What we do have a say in is what goes into the Low and this ia why I said at the beginning that the steps which we have taken in putting formard this Bill shows the type of responsible Government that now prevails.

We have taken on a task here which if it should backfire could well mean the downfall of the present Members of the House. However, as this draft Bill has been fully accepted by the public we know that we will probably find oupselves unapposed in November because the only way the opposition Members on the outside oan oontinue to campaign is for them to go out and start writing new manifestos. Because most of them have canpaigned on doing what this Bill has done. So the Bill before the House is an indication of how alosely we look at the feelings of the publio and how near we are to the pulse of the nation. Some Members may not agree with every section of this Bill but I believe when the final section has passed through the Committee and when the third reading of this Bill becomes an accepted fact, that all Members can feel proud that they were a part of a Govermment which had the courage and the faith in an etection year to put formard a Bill ao vital to the interests of the country; a Bill that is bold in many respects; a Bill that contains so many new provisions; a Bill which has aleared up many of the things which people have complained of over the years. Members can feel proud that this Bill is a product of their on making. Perhaps Members dit not reatise $t_{\text {his }}$ when they approved in 1983 the setting up of the Select Committee which made possible the study of this Bill and which saw the Bitt develop over a period of many months, and which if we do not take too long with the remainder of the debate and if we do not take too long in the Committee stage might become a Law before the dissolution of this House.

Very little has been said on one of the most important aspects of this Bill and although we are running near to the agreed upon time for adjourment $I$ would like to mention the Deportation Sections.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): The Department of Immigration have found it very difftoult over the tast few years in rounding up people who should be deported. They have found it very difficult to get rid of overstayers and in fact as far as I can remember the courts had a power of deportation which power, with the exoeption of the Drug law was very difficult to administer. Applications had to be made to the court. The applicatione had to be heard. The person had a long time to reply and sometimes it was very difficult to get rid of people even when it was decided they needed to go. This Bill in Sections 58 to 69, and I do not intend, Sir, at this late hour to deal with each Section in its entirety although I feel constrained to do so. These Sections streamline the process of deportation and gives to the Governor the power to make deportation orders. It puts a duty upon the offender to comply with the deportation order. There is a penalty for harbouring a deportee. There is provision for the arpest of persons contravening a deportation order and there is provision for proceedings to be sanctioned by the Attorney-General.

These provisions mean that the Law with regard to overetayers and with regand to iillegal inmigrants, and with regard to people who have contravened our Laws can now be taken care of in a epeedy manner.

Mr. President, I promised that I would conclude my debate in time for you to close today's sitting at the stipulated time of $5.45 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. It is now fast approaching that time and while I do not expect to overmun that time I trust that you will permit me to make a few conoluding remarks on this vital aubject.

We were faced in this country at the close of 1976, with a severe immigration problem in which construction was at a lower ebb and in which there were many unemployed people, and amongst those unemployed were many people who were here on a work permits and amonget those unemployed were people who were unemployed because somebody had been given a work permit and was doing the job which would have been vacant for local people. We have a situation now where the inmigration authorities have the authority to police the work sites. With the help of the computers they should be able to keep a alose tab on overstayers.

What we are gearing for is if a situation should ever arise in the future as it did arise in the past, that we witl have the machinery to deal with the problems that could be created if we ever had a situation as bad as the one I just referred to.

The Department of Immigration will be happy with this Law. Amongst those persons who attended the fifteen meetings of the Select Committee was our Chief Immigration Officer. He, together with the Honourable First Official Member who is responsible for immigration had their input into this Bill. The Committee listened to the problems of administration which the Department enoounters day by day and the Committee endeavoured to put into this Bill the provisions which the Inmigration Authorities believe will help them in the emooth operation of this Law. The administration of the Law will not be casy. The passage of this Bill will not be an end to our problems.

I believe that the rate of development which we foresee in the future will not allow our inmigration problems to subside. They will not beoome any less but we should have the ability to cope with these problems.

I see, Mr. President, that you are looking at your watch and I regretfully, Sir, will conctude this debate which I must say I enjoyed participating in, and which I trust will be a milestone in the history of these Islands.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I had reserved the might under Stonding Order 34(2).

MR. PRESIDENT:
That is quite right, but I think we have finished proceedings for this evening and I am going to move the adjournment, becouse I would have to give any other Member a right to speak if any other Member wanted to, and the mover a right of reply. Now, it may be that nobody wants to speak and the Honourable First Official Member does not want to exeroise his right of reply, but doing all that could potentially continue us for quite some time. I will only hope that tomorrow we are able to get on with the Conmittee stage quickly. I note that you have reserved your position and therefore the second reading debate will remain on the Order Paper for conatusion.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Thank you, Sir.

## ADTOURNMENT

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I move the adjournnent of this House until 10.00 a.m. tomorrow morning, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion before the House is that this House do now adjourn until 10.00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Unless any Member wishes to speak I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
AT 5. 47 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED UNTIL $10.00 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Mo}_{0}$ THURSDAY, $13 T \mathrm{H}$ SEPTEMBER, 1984.

PRESENT WBRE:-
HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR, MR G PETER LLOYD, CMG, CVO - PRESTDENT
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MR. PRESIDENT: Please be beated. Proasadings are reauned. Item 1. Presentation of Papers. The Aetima Thlad Official Member.

## PRESENTATION OF REPORTIS

HOM. LBMUEL HURLSTON: Mr. Preatident, in accorcance with the provterone of Standing Onder 18(1) I beg to lay on the tahle of this Honourabie House a Special Report by the Aucitior General dated 10th September, 1984. pureuont to the provisione of Section 9(3) of the Audit Law.

MR. PPESIDENT: So ordeved.
for West Ray.
QUESTIONS
THE THIRD FLECTED MEMPER FOR WEST DAY TO ASK THF POVOIRABLE ACTING THIRD OFPICIAL MEMBER RESPONEIRLF FOR FJNANCT AND D FVELOPMEMT

NO. 71: Woutd the Memher state:-
(a) To whom the contract for the suonty of furniture and fittings for the ner almort teminal has been curarded and the amount of the said contract:
(b) Thether the contract mas awarce? to the lowest bidder and if not, why not.
(c) The names of the beneficiat ounems of the company to whom the contract has been awarded and Whether the successful tenderer meets the ariteria set out in the invitation to bid?

ANSYER:
(a) The oontract for the sumply of furniture and fittings for the new airport terminal has been. audarded to Office Supolies and Stationers Ltd. The anount of said contract is ats $240,255.00$;
(b) The oontract was autarded to the lowest aualified bidier. The towest binder did not conform to all the apectifeations of the tender focument and the bid itself uas presented late, duming the opening of the other bick:
(c) The shareholfers of the commany are:-

Haico Ltd.
Areh \& fodfreu Construction tid. Traderrinde Ine.

Fes, the successful tenderer meets the oriteria set out in the invitation to bid.

SUFPLEMENTARIES:
MRP. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Supplementary, Mr. President. The
invitation to bid said:-
"The Govermment of the Cayman Islande invites applications from interior design contractors interested in biditing for the supply and inetallation of furniture, fittings and equipment for the ahove project, etc,, etc."

Would the Member state whether Office Supplies and Stationere Limited are in the business of interior design oontraotors?

HON. EEMHEL HURLSTON:
Mr. President, to the best of my
knowtedge they are. MR. BENSON O: EBANKS: A further supp lementary, Mr. President. to Chalmerg Gibbe Martin Joseph Partnership and there is in my possession a. letter from that company. it.

MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
to abk the qupplementary.
MR. PRESTDENT:
it if you were eleverer.
MR. BENSON O. ERANKS:
regard did the late specifications in the tender docunent.

MR. PRESTDKNT:
That is fair enough, yes.
HON. LEMURL HURLSTON: opening oenemony of the bids shows that whereas the bids closed at twelve noon on 27th July and the opening cerremonu commenced at 12.10 p.m. on the same doy, the bid from the company which did not qualify did not meet all of the svecifications. That particular bid was presented at $12.20 \mathrm{p.m}$. during the opening ceremony. The same company did not at that time submit a completion fate for the aupply and installation of the goods. This was later submitted at the request of the consultants in a separate letter.

The aame company made no provisions
for the five per cent retention fee required by the tender document. Moreover, Mr. President, the warranti owimitted by the aame aompany was for six monthe instead of twelts monthe as apecified in the tender document. Again, this matter had to be resolved afterwards.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS: A eupplementary, Mr. President. Would the Member state if the lowest tender received, that is the Company which he is saying did not meet all of the specifications; if the amount of that tender was for \$234,868.40?

HON. LEMUEL HURLSTON:
Mr. President, that is correct.
MR. PRESIDFNT:
The Third Elected Member for reorge Toum.

MISS ANDIE HULDAH BODDEN: Kr. President, sir, if I may be permitted to äk a supplementary quiestion, I would äk the same Member were any of the shareholders of the Company as stated involvad in the ersation of the terminal presently?

HON. LEMUEL GURLSTON: Mr. President, I think it is a known fact, Sir, that Arch and Godfrey Construction Limited are the main oontractors doing the new airport terminal butlding.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS: Mr: President, a further supplementary, Str. My infownation is that....

MR. PRESIDENT: No statements - turn it around
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Almight, Mr. President, let me put. it this way. Can the Member accontt for the fact that in the letter from Chalmere Gibbs Martin Joseph Partnership to the lowset bidder, it atated that the tenders were open at $12.10 \mathrm{p.m}$. and that, in fact, the lowest tenderer is included in the report of the resulte of the tendering and that no mention was made to them that they did not qualify: in faot they were wished success.

MR. PRESIDENT: I do not think that the Member oan be held reaponaible for what a private firm said in some letter to another private fitm, and therefore $I$ do not think that he ain be expected to answer that question.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Except, Mr. President, that in the answer he did use the exact time which was used here and....

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ He is not responsible if some privats fixm in some Zatter which maybe he has not even seen gets the facte urong. He has given the facts as known to him, and he has given them to the House.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Aut, Mr. President, Sir, with reapect this letter comes from the firm to whom the tenders were to be submitted, so they were Government's agents in this respect.' It is not that I an just pulting any private oompany out of a hat. They were Goverment's agents in this regard and the question I am asking is, if the tenden was not in time for consideration, how oan it be inoluded amona the tenders which are quoted as being those passed on to the Public Tenders Committee for consideration? I think that is a fair question.

MR. PRESTDENT: Ie the letter which you are quoting one which was addressed to the Public Tenders Committee?

MR. BENSON O. BBANKS: No, Sir, but it is from the parson, the covernment agent, in whose presence the tendare were openg.

MR. PRESIDENT: I have underetood that, but I really do not think that the Member, subjeat to any advice I get from the Second Offioial Member, that the Member can be held responsible for a letter from a private firm sven though the tenders might have been addresaed to that private firm, which letter was sent to a private individual. If it had bsen a letter from that firm to the Tender Board, then it would seem to me to be a different matter.
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HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLFY: Mr. President, Six, I think that Stonding Order 22(1)(viii) is retevant which says:-


"A question shatl not be asked -
(viii) asking whether statements of private individuals are accurate."

MR. PRESIDENT:
That is what $I$ would regard it as, yes.
The fact that the tenders were routed through a particular firm does not in my view make the Member of Govemment responsible for everything stated by that firm.

MR. BENSON O. EAANKS: Mr. President; I am not seeking to make the Member responsible. I am seeking to get information from. the Member. Mr. Fresident....

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Member hare already given the information on the point. You witl simply have to drow, the inference that in his view the firm was mistaken:

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Could $\dot{I}$ then ask the Member, where did he glean the information about the tate tendering of the 'lowest tenderer.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Yes, you may ask that.
HON. HEMUEL HURLSTON:
Mr. President, I thought that I had indicated that the records of the opening ceremony'did show this. The comaultonts appointed by Govermment reported the facts to the Public Tenders Conmittee, and what I said eartier was information shown in that Report.

MR. PRESTDENT:
the Fublic Tenders Committee?
HON. LEMUEL HURLSTON: This was shown, Mr. President, in the Report of the consultants to the Public Tenders Committee.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: A further supptementary, Mr. President. The Member in his answer stated that the towest tenderer did not qualify. because of certain other reasons. For exommte, I think he gave the retention time and the date for supplying the aoods. Could I enquire from him whether it was recommended by the Govermment's : agent which I named, that this bid not be considered beckuee it was reaeived late, or whether the decision to disallow it because it was ten minutes late was taken by the Public Tenders Committee?

HON. $\because L E M J E L$ HURLSTON;
Mr. Prosident, I do not feet obliged
to ainswer that question because the Govermment is not bourd to accept the recomnendations of its consultants. It may do so, but it is not bouñd to do so.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: .. Mr. Prestdent, a supplementaru. Coutd the Member state whether in this aase the Govermment followed the recommendation of its consuitants, or the pubtic. Tenders Cominittee felt that they should go against the advice of its consultants.

HON. LEMUEL FURLSTON:
Mr. President, the Rublic Tenders
Committee went against the advice of the consultants in this instance.

MR. PRESIDENT: I am going to give another Member a chance. The Finet Member for West Bay caught my eys geveral times, I do not know whether he atill wants to.

MR. J. GARSTON SMIMH: Fes. Mr. Pyesident, a supplementary question. I wonder whether the Member could tell this Bouse who. was the company which was the lowest bidder?

HON. LEMUEL HORLSTON: Ar. Preazdent, the omponty which bid the Lowest figure was Island Interiors.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Town.
The Thind Eleoted Member for George

MISS ANVTE RULDAR BODDEN: Mr. Preeident, Six, I would like to ask a supplementary question. I would like to ask, Sir, how many other biddere were there apart from the one which was chosen.

HON. LEMUEL FURLSTON: Nr. President, there wene fow bide meostved in total, so there would have been three arditionat bids.

MR. PRESIDENT: The Third ELeoted Member for West Ray:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, I think I got my
anstier in the last supplementayy when the Member said that....
MR. PRESIDENT: If you have not got another supplementary then that is alright. I cm sowny. I thought I had stopped wout saviler, and so I was giving you your ohonce now. If there is no further supplementary then that finishes question time.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, would you allow one
further eupplementary, a inttle one, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT: I gave you a chance a moment ago.
Alwight, take it now then.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Would the Momber say whether the
iteme quoted on by the susoessful tenderer are the specific itens Zisted in the Tenden Document?

MR. PRESTDENT: $\quad$ I did not quite catoh that. Woutd the Member atate whether the items....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Did the successful bidder, whether the itame inctuded in that bid were the identical iteme mentioned in the Tender Dooument? If you would attow me, Mr. President, I can atoplain what I an getting at.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Honestly, I do not think that this realty ambes out of the answer. If you have another partioulan. question.

MR. BENHSON O. EBAANKS:
But, Mr. President, this is att
inportant, because we have to know whether we are comparing apples and apples, on apples and peaches.

BON. G. HAIG BODDEN: M. President, on a point of order, he has asked a question. Why doses he not altow the Member to. ansuen the questian?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I am deciding whether the question is in order at the moment. Your question really is whethen the successful tender was a valid tender in terme of meeting the specifications laid down. Is that what you mean?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir, as regards the manufacturer of the particular item, the quality of the item and the deocription in all respects. If you sould allow me one minute I can axplain. In nomal tender documentof one is reguired....

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Wr. Presesdent, I an now going to take a point of order. . He is not entitled to make a statement. Now you have bent backwards for this Member of the House. You have closed out; you have let him get up again and really if you are going to let himmake a atatement I stand very firm on that, Sir. You do not let the rest of us do it. I do not know why you let him oonsistently go on making atatemente.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ If endeavour to stop him when he makes, statements which $I$ consider out of onder. At the moment $I$ am trying to underatand his question in onder to determine whether it is a valid question.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: . With respent, six, you have the power to stop, not to try to stop, and you know as he knows that he is not entitled to make statements.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad Y e s$, what I was trying to eaplain wus that if I think that he is making a statement which is out of order, I will stop him, not meraly try to btop him, but atop him;

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: He cannot make any statement with reppect." Any statement which is made is out of order. He must ask alquestion or a supplementary question.

MR. PRESIDENT: No, do not get up yet please, What he can do, in my view, is to explain to me what his question is, so that $I$ oon datexmine whether it is a question which is in order or out of onder. I was initially not sure that I understood his question. I think I have understood it sufficiently now to rule. that it is in order, and I do not think he needs to explain it any further. He.is really anquixing whether the successful tender was valid in texms of meeting the oriteria taid down, and that, I think, is a fair question because part of the answer has said that another tenderer' wak disqualified.

HON. TRUMAN M, BODDEN: That is fair enough if a question is involved. What fam taking is basicdily statements.

MR. PRESIDENT:
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
MR. PRESIDENT:
You can now wait for-the answer.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
down. Thank you.

I have understood your point.
If he asked $a$ question that is atright.
No, No, you have got your question.

Ercept, Mr. President....
No, please sit dow. Please sit

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL:Mr. President....
MR. PRESIDENT: No, I am sormy. I have called on the Third Official Member and unless you are rising on a point of order, he must be allowed to answer the question before you aan ask one. So, the Third Officiat Member to answer the supplementary question.

HON. LEMUEL HURLSTON: Mr. President, in answering that supplementary question, sir, could I please direct the attention of this Honourable House to the last sentence in the original answer, which reade:-
"Yes, the suceesful tenderer meets the ariteria set
out in the invitation to bid."
The bupplementary question was endeavouming to determine whether the complete list of specifications, that is, every nut and bolt and colour has been conformed to in avery single respect. Mr. President, I do not have that information, and I would not be able to give that answer, sir.

MR. PRESTDENT: $\quad$ The Member for North Side.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mx. President, a minute ago you olosed question trme but becouse the Member who posed the question to the House felt that he ahould have a further input, you accepted his application, six. I feet, Sir, that you were uniustified to do that after olosing: to bend to his whims and fancies, sir.

MR. PRESIDEMT:
Weit, $t$ think you are rising on a point of order, but I do not think that unleas you wish to move a substantive motion on the point; that it ahoild be pursued. I have forgotten the precise Standing Order. I think I will now ctose question time. I think Members have had a fair go at this. So, we with proceed to Item 3 on today's Order Prper', Government Business - Bills.

## BILLE

THE CAYMAMIAN PROTECTION BTLL. 1981
CONIINUATION OF SECOMD READING DEBATE:
MR. PRESIDENT:
The second Reading debate on the Caymonian Protection Bill., 1984. Before I invite the First Official Member, or enquire whether the First Official Member wishes to exercise his might of reply, is there uny other Member who has not yet spoken, who wishos to speak? I know that the Third Elected Member for West Bay has a reserved point of explanation but perhaps we will deal with that first. I would just remind the Member before any other Member does so, that the terma of Standing Order 34(2) apply, that is to say, no new matters may be introduced, and the Member may not seek to strengthen his former position by new argument. It is solely to explain a point that arose at the time at which he sought permission to interrupt during the speech of the Honourable Fourth Flected Member of Executive Councit. signs and speaking without his microphone, and making faces. I mean, really, this is a Legislative Assembly and we have to try to conduct it in such a manner that it does not appear to be a publice meeting or something.

MR. PRESTDENT:
I am sure that $I$ shatt be grateful for the assistance of alt Members in conducting the proceedings of the House with appropriate decomom.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS: Mr. Preerdent, I will not Meply to that gentleman, Sir. Yesterday I rose under Standing Order $34(1)(b)$ the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Fxecutive Council did not give way. I indicated my wish then to utilise 34(2). The Member said that in my contribution I had used section 86 of the Arittsh Hationality Act to substantiate Comnonwealth Citizenship. I merely wish to atate that that was incorreot. One of the few notes which I have on my paper is Commonwatth Citizens, section 37. My copy of the British Nationality Law hae no mark by seotion 26, which in fact deals with British Overseas Citiaenship. However, there is a very broad mark by section 37 which deats with Conmonweatth Citizenship. I read section 3 ' which reads:-
"Evexy person who:
(a) under this Aot lis a British Citizen, $\alpha$ Bmitiah Dependent Iermitomies Citizen, a'Bmitioh ioveroeas Citiaen or a British subject, or under thy enactment for the time being in fores in any country mentioned in achedule 3 is a citizon of that oountry and shall have the statuo of a. Commonwealth Citizen".

That was my contribution. Thank you,
sir.
Doss any other Honourable Member wish
MR. PRESTDENT: to speak? The Elected Nember for North side.

MR. CRADDOCK EERANKS: Mr. Presidemt, we have a BitL before the House and it reads, Sir, a Lam to repeal and replace the Caymariian Protection Laus. It would appear to me, Mr. President, that it should read The Caymanion status Protection Lais, not the Coymanion Proteation Law, beacuse if we read this Bill we find, as far as I am concerned, nothing as to the Caymanian. Everything pertains to Caymanian status, Caymanian status, Caymanian status. Mx. President, during Torld War II the Cayman Islands come into the limelight. The men from these Tslande who served in the Merchant Marine, in the Navy, in the Air Force or in any of Her Maisaty's Foroes were reoognised as having mam qualities.

At the end of the warr it was soon. realised that shipping companies began to appty to this Govexmment for secomen to join their ehipping fleets. That further enlightened the world about this little rock founded on the sars, the Cayman Islands.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): Mr. President, the whole intention of thie Protection Bitl, when it was brought to the House in the early 1970!s was to protect Caymanians, or it was supposed to protect Caymanians. I will say here, Mh, Pressident, that in many instances the Caymanians have not been protected. "If what the tins is intended to do is not being carmed out in ite full entirety, then it serves but one purpose; and that is to help destroy this country.

There wewe sections in the proposed Bill in the early 1970's which I did not agree with. It reached a stage in a partioular area that a feu other Members ineluding the lady Member, and myself argued over it for three and a half days. I am hard headed somet imes too, Mr. Preeident, but the hard headed Attorney General could not be moved.

When they tatked about domicile, it was a state of mind. That still existe today. T belitese that ia what is wrong with most of us, the state of mind. Mr. Prasident, I sat in on every Committee Meeting, dealing with this new proposed Bill. - I made my contributions and obicotions, and I agreed that it be brought to this House to be finatised and heoome a law. It seems, Mr. President, that there will still be things remainina in this new Bill which is to become low which I still will not be able to agree with in principle, becruse I feel, sir, that over a long period of time if not over a short neriod of time it wilt not prove beneficial to this country and the people.

We are aurare, sir, that in the last ten or fifteen years this coumtry reached a stage of boom and development: of job opportunities which I aqree, sir, that were more than the local work force could take care off. Undoubtedly it was only fair that there would be peopte oaning from outaide seeking jobs for which they should be considered. It made it all the more abundantly elear that the Caymanian Protection Law should have been bettex carried out than it has been.
$I$ an not going to attempt, Mr. President, at this time, to go down section by section. I witl make certain references because I am anxious to get into the Conmittee Stage, which I suppose we may have to do. What we did in the otd vestry: one time was to attempt to olose out the business before the proroguing of the Fouse. We had to work until five minutes to twelve one night. So it may be that we may have to work until five minutes to twelve one night this week to finish it, sir, after it goes into Committee Stage.

We have a geetion in it, Mr.
President, which spelts out eliqibilitu. If that section remains as it is, Sir, or that word, then we miaht as welt start packing un, Sir. We do not have anywhere to go, but we might fust as welt start packing up. There tre multitudes of people who will find the way around to become eligible to get Coymanion status. A country this size has no factories which would be expanding and developing to provide work for the inhabitants: we do not have them, sir.

Our two main avenues of employment are tourism and banking. You do not take the grass puiller and put him into either one of these places. He or she mast have certain qualifications. There will be people. Sir, who will olaim Caymanian status. I know you say it has to go before the Board for Caymanian status to be granted, but according to the answer. given a few days ago on how many had received Caymanian atatus two hundred and fifty-one, welt, that was two hundred and fifty-one too many.

MR. CRADDOCK ERANKS (CONTINUTNG): Mr. President, evemy individual who gets Caymanian status unt more or lese deprive a local person of a job, Our education sustem has inproved so much over the last few years that we have our school leavers coming out with their passes which enable them to aet a joh. Some, dosirous to further their education by goina to colleges and universities witl come back to play their part in the munning of the country in which they were born and raised.

Mr. President, every individual who gains Caymanian status is entitled to averything which the country providss, and they have never put anything in the country, many of them. They came here and did a job and stayed here tong enough, and through applioation and some tapping on the shoulder they were able to get Caymanian statua. When ons comes in here and works, he or ohe is paid for the job. which they are doing, so they are not contributing to the country by helping to build it. They are contributing to themselves what the country is doing for them. They are able to come here and get a job and earn salamies which many of them never samed in their own countries.

Ohu schoote, our hospitale, witl be burdened with more chitdren and patients. There is one areas Mr. President, that I see which does not look as if it will be run by Caymorians in the next hundred wears. That is in the teaching profession. Caymanions seem to have little or no interest in that field, so that means we will for a long, long time continue to bring in people from overseas as teachers. When Mr. Jones comes in as a teacher, he brinç his wife and three chizdren. Then there you go. Four more people beeidee himeelf are added to the facilitiee of Govermment, eduertion, health and other benefite, this and that.

We had a number of teachers who aane
in for the new school year. I suppose, Mr. President, that most of the mate teachers had their wrives with thems and these wives are probably already in an office job whioh some Caymanian should and could be in. How will the country survive? How will Caymanians survive if they are not aiven priority? Priority I said, Mr. Preaident; not a second or third chanoe.

We have the list of territories
from where people are eligible to make applioation for status, Do you feel that it would be fair to this country if Govermment put out an application for fifty people, fifty applicants to fill fifty posts in different areas in this country, but it said. "Only West Bayers can apply; Bodden Towners cannot apply". I guess that it is a Euszte, Sir. We have North and South America. It has begn the Caymonian heritage to travel all the seas and one hundred years ayo, Mr. President, there was only the sea and soil for our livelinocd. Many of the Caymanimens went to Nicarapua and South American areas to the turtle grounda. I believe many of those got to know the natives and were entioed to live and work in those South American territories with the hope that they would be able to do hetter for their families. The same applied to the North American continent. Cmmanians went there hoping to do better for their fromilies. We have a thousand, and I am using this purely as a figure, sir; we have a thousand people in the united States who would be hill f Caymanicm or three quarters Caymanian or wholly Caumanian and we have a hundred in the South Americon termitories.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTMNIING): Mr. President, is it not fair that these South Americans of Caymanian descent; first, second or third generation should have the same rights and mrivileges as the North American?

Many families have benefited and made their living from South America; Honduras, Retize and atl the territories. They came back home and they settled doum like many did when they went to the north, but there were some who did not. They reared their families and I feet, Sir, that what is good for one is good for the other. The united States the been marvellous, it has been wonderful to this countru and I hold up my hand to it, sir, but I hold iop my other frand to my poople in other termitomes who have the right to aome back here if they want, sir. They should be treated equatly.

It never wrs a choice of mine. I sailed in and out of the United States for five years, but it was never a choice of mine that $I$ uantect aitizenship of a mesidence in the United States. I have seen a tot of aountries in my seaman days but I have not found one which compares with the Cayman Istands, and that is why $I$ ame back here and settled doum, Sir.

Mr. President, $T$ am not saying, Sir, now do not misundexstand me; I am not saying that we do not need people from the outside world, from overseas, to continue to oome here, and further invest, further carry on business, further help to develop the country. Mr. Preaident, it is those people who do it for their interest first, while they are here. They are not-here for the Caymanian.

We have areas of business here, Ar.
President, in these Istands, which have been here for many years. If one were to carry out an investigation in some of those areas of business, one would find more foreigners of diffensnt nationalities than you would find Caymanians. I freet, Mr. President, that any investor in any country, as well as this one. should have the mights and privileges to see that his money is well spent; see that his business is watl run; see that his business is uett taken dare of; see that he gets the returns from his husiness. I feet, Mr. President, that after a period of years, if that oompany can amploy ten people, twenty-five people, fifty people, forty-eight out of the fifty ought to be Caymanian. Then we can say, that we are protecting the Coymanian and encournaing the investor to be here, because theu must hetp to train their employees to fill the posts which are neoessary to be filled.

It might not be known to you, Mr.
President, but it is a knowm fact that areas of business will bring in somebody to mun the particular area in the business and they have to be first, if you want to use the horso word for it, "broken in" by the Caymanian. Yet he was brought in to do the job, but the Caymanion has to show him how to do it. That is not protecting the Caymanian, Mr. President. That is using the Caymanian for the convenienoe of somebody else. $I$ have noticed applications going out asking for a specific post to be filled and wo know that the requirements put in those appitioations cannot most of the time be met by the locals. Still it will be used and when somebody oomes in from outside to fill that position, three quarters of those requirements are not needed.

These are some of the things which the Protection Board should be looking into and seeing that the Low is carried out to its fullest extent. I know, Mr. President, that they are saying, the prospective candidates for my oonstituency, that I am too old, but I would like to hope that ant of them will live to have the integrity and the backbone and what I have stood for in this country; to tive to see my age. I am hopina, Mr. President, with the help of cod to have some mone years.

Wh. CRADDOCK EBANKS (COMTTNUING): I have aiven att the meat of my ynuth for this country. I always stood for the Coymanian our oum hom people. I am going to drow to your attention, stir, to two things which che happening to this country, that have left a bad taste. A resident hom in trinidad come heve for a few yenrs, when the milk and honey started flowing, and staxted to get into it, then he was Tonoured by Her. Majesty the Queen. Caymanians who had sweatod and toited, carmied smoke cans to where they worked to help build this country, they were forgotten. Somebody who hat come and put in two or three years and whote a good magazine with a lot of filth in it, was sntitled to be honoured thy the Queen. The Caymanion who gave his alt had to wait

MTR. PRFGIDENT:
I think I must remind the Ronourable Menher of Stonding Order 35(6) and ask him to bear it in mind.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS: I have atways, in my thitu-two yarra as a representative of this comtry, behaved muself. sir, and I intend to do that, but if I cannot speak for what I feel is fair, to this country and its inhabitante in heres. Sir, I will he guided by mour rulinas but I can take it on the outside and put it across. I micht be rougher than that, sir. There was another one, an American aitizen, that was honoured by the Oueen when other perpie were entitter? to it.

HOLV. D. H. FOSTER:
I think I rust remind the Member
कhmit Standing drder $35(6)$ that Fer Majesty's nome must not he used
MA. PRFSTDENT.
That is the Order to which I have fust drawn the Member's attention - perhaps he was not aware of its contents. that Her Majesty's name must not be used to influence the House, and it is perfectly tme that the Honourable Member can speak outsicie. as he just mentioned, on this subject, but he must not continue here, otherwise I would have to mule him out of order.
19. CRADDOCK EBANKS:

Thank you, Mr. Prestident, but I made the point that I wanted, and I have every respect for the honour of the ereen. That is what I am trying to point out to this House, Mr. president, that we, the pople, Caumanians - leave me out of this if wou want,' I have already spent my daye, and any bank can get atong without what they have in there for me, because it does not take more thrn Ifev seconds to count it up. I have given my whole life, the whote of $i t$, the best of $i t$, for this oountry and its people. I intends sir to stand by that, until whatever measure makes me leave this sent, sir. We have had young peoples, striving to qualify for pooitions, in the muning of their countm, but re to how far, sir, we moy get, no one knows. We should be aetting more cuatified Caymanians than we are getting, since government is orepared do put any student leaving sohoot than can qualify for a Universitu or a college. through to the full extent of their desires in the ohoice of a career.

Until this is done, Mr. Presidents
ne will have to wake up, and not be lured by a good paying ioh or one thousond dollares to put off going to college. It takes three to five uears to be qualified, to take the stand they ought to take in the ountry. So many avenuss are open for people to be aranted Caymanion status and this witl destray the eoonomy of this nountry. It with destroy many

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUTIVG):
small tusinesses, because when one has millions to throw cround," direatly or indirectly, to get what he wants, and to get where he wants, then the small mon with his five thousand connot ompete.
$I$ feel, Mri. President, that we
should think in terms of giving people desirous of coming to this oountry: give them residence with certain scope of gmptoument. other thon that. this country will suffer sooner or later. You con telt. me, Mr. President, that I do not have any faith. I have seen enough to make ons think ahout his faith and what an go wrong. There is a section in this Bill that pertains to shipe and employment.

We hove an air service, a nationat atirline, which is considered a "ship" in that category. It is amasing, Mr . Preaident, to see the number of yound Caymanions who hove launched out into this areas for the enjoyment of saying. I suppose that i' $I$ can $\alpha$ pilot, I an fly. "Nevertheless, Sin, they are doing a job. It is Cayman Aimays, the national airline, it is owned th the poenle of the Coyman Istands. Now I agree, Mr. President one hundred per eent, being in the air is not like being on the ground. When something goes wrong in the air you connot go out on the wing and start fixing it. We must be qualified to have the reaponsibility of hundreds of peopte s tives. I do not feel that any Caymanian has the intention of taking over the operation of such, and not be qualified. What I am trying to say, Nr. president, is that we must continue to use qualified pilots from the outside, but it has been brought to light that they are seeking Coxmanion status. When any pilots are granted Caymanian status, that is their freedom to work if they want to work, live where they want to tive. You vill atways have two, three on four joks held by people with Caymanian status; so that a uouna chat who aspives to this, and hones to gret into that position, might never get there. He aets tiped of wating, he is bored, and throws up the idea of time and money and turns to some other venture.

Let me reiterote, Mr. President. Wo one outside of this country should hold a position or a joh in this oountry that a Caymanian oan do. If the Protection Board were to ao into it today and do what ought to he done, there would be a number who would be told "Your emploument with cease in twe weeks time, beacuase there is a Caymonian to fill it." It should be done, Sir. Until that is तone, Sir, Caumanians will not be getting their rightful place; not getting the place that they are qualified to. do, and can do. Nou like any other country, there are a doaen people around, and all the money in this world would not get them to work, We are not talking about those, because every Tom, Dick and Harry has to put his hand in his pooket and give him ten cents when he is hungrit. We are talking about the people who work, and can work.

In the banking institutions in this
country, I wonder how many Caymanitans there are? I know I hove seen a couple of piecee in the paper how one or two have been given a year or two of training. It should have been dozens of Caymanians fully qualifed for positions in those briks, that foreirmers are still holding, unless the Govermment gets down and puts their cards on the tahle. That is what you must do for our people, sir.

Mr. President, if you so desire,
then I will yield for a break.

Do you intent speakina for sme

Welt, for a white tonger, sir.
YR. PRRGSIDPMT:
Wetl. in that case, ues, I hove heon ementing that you might he nearing the end and I was going to give you on opportunity to finish without anu intermution, but if you are speaking for some considerahte further time, then I om sure Members would prefer to take a break now. In that oase, let us suspent proceedings for approximately fifteen minutes.

HOUSE SUSPENDFD AT 11.17 A.M.
HOUISF RESUMED AT 11.41 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Nember for North Side.
Mir. CRADDOCK EBAMKS:

Please be seated.
Proceedings are resumed. The
thict for some time, Govermment has been netting some renresentation from sooubes, and $I$ an aure, Sir, that there are eertain amendments to that ama of the Pill, but I have aluaye emoressed my feelinge, sir. If I married a woman in any area of the world, it ought to he my miaht and nrivilege to bxina hex to my place of birth and residence and to he a part of my life in that country where $I$ was horm and ratised, and vice verso. I do not think it is forip to tell her. "Vou omnot co that, you are not entitled to this." If a man or woman marries and oannot take his spouses to his country, then I do not feel thint is farin to human mights, human feelinas and human desires.
so I do atmit, siri, that some mencment to improve that area, Mr. President, of marridae of convenienne. I do not know, Mr, President, if there is any way in the world that you oan controi it, because in this oowntry, by ou' own people, I have seen toc much of it in the last couple of years. There ane "emales who come into this oowntry and they sonn aet on to somebody, and it is not long before they ane married.

The men do not take long to find out that the women are not the types of persons then should be marminf - but stitl they will marry them, and then there is a separation. It atill gives the women the right to stay here, peecuse theu are married.

How we an controt it? I do not know, but I feel that bome stringent measures should be laid dom, becouse the ctass of people that are seeking this type of marmiage, witt not do this country any qood. If they get married, one goes out of the back door, me out of the side door, and do not see ench other aacin; then it is not good. Any other meaning you want to put on it, sir. it is not cood.

I do not see why some of our Marmiage Officers would attempt to mamy such peonle who become a burden to this country. They atart bringing in their children -- they said they Aif not have any, but you still fint thom comina in. The schools are overorowned, thit she is married now and bringing in a sir year otd chitd

MR. CRADDOCK ERANKS (CONTINUING): on a five year old childs and then where else do we go? Are we beina protected? We amnot legislate for or against morals, Sir, we can onty emress our feelings and desires. There is one Tig item in the Bill work nermits - how long they should operate and who should get a work permit and who should not, how lond it should last, that again, Mr. Rresident, has been abused in more than one way. It annears that, and this is the responsinitity of the Boand, one applicant oan get as many permits granted, and as often, against another applicant, who has to shed teare and crawl on their knees hefore they an give consideration to that applicant for one permit.

It a hould be on an orderty basis, if someone really needs someone to work for them, reqariless of the area. More or less it is in the comon labourer area I believe, and comestin area, where the apnlicants should be treated alike. There have been domestic work permits granted for peonle, they have put in their time. The applicant would ask for a renewal. "No, you cannot get it menened." At a later date they mare a re-application for another and then they have to wait months and months, with all kinds of encuses, before theu can get one. I do not feel, Mr. President, that it should be opened up freely to every application. The prover investigation should be carried out, to see to what extent this anplication needs to be fitted and the requirements and alt the rest of $i t$, and them it should he dealt with without fear or favour.

It is a known fact, Sir, that Government enployees do not need a work permit. It is also a fact, Mr. President, that there are other areas that Govermment ments the requirements set down by Lau. Alt the Government vehieles go through, the Traffic Department for inspection and approval to be fit for the road.

Goverment buitdinas are supposed to meet the reauirements by Lat of inspection before they can be passed for habitation and use. If these people employed bu covermment were under a work permit issued by the Board, then the Board would probablu be able to reach further hack to their backaround as to what they are like. I feet, Sir, that it voutd heth the applicant, the emptover, rovermment, and everubody concerned.

So these are some of the things, sir, where I do not feel there should be a diviaion. If we ane alt one peonle, for one cause, for one purpose, tet us go att the amme way. Do not make divisions. Do not make seoarations.

It seems that Govemment haa heen,
many times, a refuge for peonte who, in other areas, nere discuatified from working for them, and covermment has taken them on and used them. If they are not good enough in one area, they should not he used in Govemment service. It is bad enough for the private sector to disoard somebody, and somebody else in the private sector to vink them up, but I do not think it should apply to Sovermment. You do have people who lose their job in one area, and then Government takes them un.

As to timina the length of the work
permit, I suppose that can be controlted ty regutations, if you do not want it in the Law. Lauyers, for example, will have to be coming to this country to work for many years. Well, it tooks like they should have a reasonable length type of work permit, rather than six months, or tweive months or eighteen months. If he, the taryer, does not want to feel at ease with the work permit, well, he can find another country
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11. CRADDOCK EBANKS (COVTINUING); for employment. Wo bhould lay dom our mules and requlations as to what is required. and any montiant who feels that he connot tive under those, he does not hove to atay here. I feel it must be the hiagest business in this country to div. beoruse if they mite a letter for uou or for somehody not to be cursing you aqain. it is probahty two hundred dollare or two hundred mut iffty dollars. When they ao into Court for a fer hours, it is hurIneds of dollars, for their client to fork out. Thet is an ared of fastanomina millionatres. If you do not have the monsu, then they cannot pernesent you. There is no arace or leniency handed out with it. $\Lambda l t$ of these areas should be looked into.

We hat a veru benoficial. imout
from the oublio pertaining to this Bilh. thite it was exppessed in A fou cases that there was not enough time, well., if you to not want to do somethina, you do not have enough time. Mhen you uant to to some.. thing. you urit find enough time.
so it was welt accepter ty the
Committee. We gave consideration to as manu areas as ung possinte and reasonable - some of these Members themselves (of the Conmittee) hat taken a few out when the pill was sent out because, for arqument sake. Mn. President, the one vertaining to ffinou were fifty years old and spent one year here and invested half a mitition dotlars. you would get Caymonian status. When I oame aoross that, I tinink my jumped. It rould be only opening the gate to macality, neople would he flocking in to invest five hundred thousand dollars because they were fifty years of age. Anyway, it was readity taken out of the draft.

So on many thinge the publio was
oble to give their views. They did raise many outstanding points, so I would hope, Mr. President, that when this Riliz hecomos Law it would srtisfy a majority of the peopie in these Istands. It will not satisfy alt, but I am saying the majomity, because it might not satisfy me either, sir, Mat anyway I will have to live with it, same as curmbory else.

We have the Inmiaration Department Wiah is one department, one arm of Government. $t^{\text {h }}$ at has a load of rosponsibility to this country to monitor the type of peonle comina and mina, as for as possinte. I must say, Mr. President, I to not helieve thetir task is an easu one.

I betieve, six. with respect and onfingnce in that debrrtment, that they have heen doing a remarkahle tob, but I suppose areas could be imoroved. They are using a computer now. We will atl have computers soon to tell us whon to get up and when to lie down. Everything is on computer now. Fo the oount out on the computer tell.s them how many overstayers thene are, hut acn they reed information into the oomputer to tell where to find them? If $t^{\prime}$ bey could do that, it might not he difficult to find those in hiding. You have people dodaing this. and you have people who have heen here a tong time. years and years, and apt auay with it. There should be some form of icentification when they are giving out work permits at Immigration, a picture of the awlicant and the date of expirys and if the peopte to not come in on that day of expiry, the Department of Immigration ahould know who to look for .

So Mr. President with the thou sands of people who are pouring into this country monthly. I realise it is not an easy task. The Department of Immigration has to sift out the good from the had, coming into this oountm. I hove, Mr. President, that after this Protection Pill has become Iaw, that the Devariment

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): of Immigration wizl be strengthened and with be given new rurdetines to work from, Six, so that we are not flooded with overstayers wantina an extension:of time, aiving the Department extra trouble and work to keep up with alt of this. Mr. Presiclent, I wonder at this stage. if the Department of Education knows how many chitdren are going to the Govermment schoote, High Schoot, Middte Sehoot and Secondary achools, aimply because they are free. It is easy. Children are just stipping into school and nobody knows whose ohild it is,.or where it came from, but the child is in school. I an not saying that the ohild should not have the opportunity of getting an education. I do not mean that, Sir, but the might procedure ought to be undertaken. We cannot, sir, reach beyond where we can reach. We cannot have children going to school without limits. The more chitdren, the more teachers and the more aoconmodation we need. This falls on the country and its rescurces.
I. Ir. Preaident, would like to see something achieved in this area, so that chitdren aoing to school meet the requirements which need to be met. Parents, quardians, relatives or friends getting the children into schoot should be. looked into. In my opinion, sir, there is much abuse in this area. It goes right back to people aettino Cammonion status! As soon as they get Caymanian status they bring in thetr friend's three year old child. Nobody tokes any notice of it. "It starts' in the kindergarten and goos mitht up through sehoot. Somebody's ohild from some other country hetonaing to some friend or retative. is being brought in to get the benefits of this country and contributing nothing.

Mr. President, if you wonted to find out how many people would want to stay here and otaim Caymanian status, go back to thirty years aqo when you made your smoke pot inside the door before you opened it to stop the mosquitoes from mothering you. Then you would find out how manu people would want to come here, ond how many would want to stivy here. It is a pity, Mr. President, that we could not use our army at conventent times. Our army was the mosquitoes and there was no favour or respect for anybody.

What I am sayino now, Mr. President, is that it was during those hard trying cireumstonces and difficult days when the foundotions of this country were laid. The Caymanians were travelling all the seas of the world, meeting every nationality in the world so that they found out about the cayman Islands:: That trend has taken us to where we are tolday. One ser onptain from these Islands told the Immigration in Houston once that if we had had a chonce to get an education, we would have run the wortd.

When the Panamr Canal was opened the captain in charge of that was a Caymanian. The first coloured mon to navigate a ship across the Atlantio was a Caymonian. The first man in histomy known to steer a ship out of New York Harbour in the dark hours of the night, which happened in the boot-teacing days, was a Caymaniom. He went into a Govermment Devartment where he saw a picture on the wall which he wanted. He said nothing and watked back out.

So, Mr. President, it is not that the ability and quatity is lacking in Caymanians. I do ntmit, Mr. President, that in these Zast fou years, parents are eager to pass on to their ohil.dren in many ways what they shout not; but they did not have the opportumity to get theae thinits. Now they are Zavishing these things on their chitdren who say, "I do not have to work, because Mams is in a big job and Daddy has a big job, and that $i s$ it".

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINDINO): Neverthelass it is the right and privilege of everuone to enjoy what this country provides. We cannot grant that, Sir, or we oannot give our people that opportwity if there are no strong rules and regulations laid down to carry out the Protection taw. This Lnw is for the protection of Coymanians and not to build a nation with people with Caymanian status. The way it eeems to read now ts thot there jare no Coumanians. Everythina is Caumanian status. The person who is supposed to be a Caumantian is looked upon as having Caymanion status. If you to not have that you do not have anything else. That should not be so narmonly looked at, Mr. Dresident. The born Caymanian who weathered the storms and built this miace step by step over two, three or five years, he is the pemson I am talking about, sir, and his offopring, and their offspritho and their offarping.

We had only one faotory, here, Mr.
President, and that is laid to rest. That was the straw rope industry. When that was our cheoking or bank acoount we sold the romes to our neighbours in famation, but now synthetic, ptastic and all the other things oame in and killed it. So we do not have another faotory.

Our cood neighbour Morth America, for which I hold the highest respect, when ve endecvoured to try, (somebody up in Westminster ordered it, sir), to have a turtle breeding farm, the first of its kind in the world, on lond, they have deprived us of that just as it wis getting off the ground. The envirommentaliste came down and said that we had to ston this.. So there it was; all of that investment down the drain. We atitl live in hope but, Mr. President, you do not expect a crying chitd of three days to be satisfied and get something to eat.

We have been doing a lot of begging and pleading for the last three luears over this. It was one of the best investments, $I$ betieve,that come about here. It meant meat and food products for the country and for export, Thus att the other things; the tourist attraction. So we have been deprived by the country which we so uphold, and, Mr. President, I uphold it. I am glad our relationship is as alose as it is, and $I$ trust that it will continue to get oloser. They should rerliae, howners, that even in this one asnect theu are hurting us. Peonte are out of a job. What are we going to fo ahout this, Mr. President, to get all we want? It is not possibte, I ouess. When we say we are coing to hetp someborl we cught to be helped too. I am just coming back to the noint, Sir, which I made at the beginning. Every Caymonion who has a metationship to this countwy by his father, his mother, his grandmother, or whoever it may be arid it can be proved, Sirs, reaardless of what asea of the alobe he or she is residirg in ond wants to come in, they should be. examined, tooked after and considered as far as possibie. I am not saying open the gates, but every consideration which is good for one area ought to extend to the other area. If my father or arandfather was down in Columhia and winted to come out here, and $m y$ mother was in the United States, why should not my grandfather have the same mights to come back to this country as my mother or granamother?

Let $u s$ be honest, $M r$. President, to our own people and to oursetves. When the Fritish Nationality Act came into effect it inctuded the Cumman Istands and they expected. certain things to be oaxried out under that Act. Again, Mr. President, we connot deprive our people in any way ronariless of what the British Nationatity Act states. Any Caymmian who went to our mother country to schonl, oollege, or university had a deadline. When that was up they had to return home. What is aood for one, Mr. President, should be good for alt.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONIINUING): I would hove, Mr. President, that during the Committee stage we will go into a lot of areas. Arguments witl be raised on $i t$. Amentments will be proposed and I do trust, Mr. Prosident, that we will be able to reach reasonable and sensible understandings and agreaments as Members of this House. When it is all completed we will feel somewhat relieved with the hones that the Caymamian, the man, the woman, the boy and the girt who is bom and raised here witl be the beneficiary of this country and not hotder of Caymanian status. It is proposed by the Conmittee deating with this that Government will set a quota. This is the first time, Mr. President, that I am making such a request, but I. wonder if covermment would let me sit in on Executive Council that dry.

Mr. Preaident, it is not with hatred and hard feeling or envy that I do not wont to see people come to this country. I want to see peonte continue to come here and enjoy our country. If they vant to do business they should enjoy it in the best way and invest in the interests of the country and its people as well. Because there are, Mr. President, areas and $I$ do not know when $I$ will not be here, and many of us will not be here when thosejobs are filted by Caymaniane. However, the Caymanian ought to have the onvortumity to continue Zonking in that dinection.

I would like to see a Caymanian where you are sittina, Sir. Not that I moan any chance in the Constitution. I do not mean that. If Caymanians could hriw this country to where it is today then the Caymanians con fill uo positions too, sir. I have not had the chonce. Many more have not hat the chance, but with the type of achooling and educational opportunities which are now facing the young peopte in this country, they ought to aspire to the highest leval in thie country.

I hope in another fifteen years, three quarters of the lauyers working here in this oomtm wizt have to Zeave because we hava enough Caymanicns to do the job. Mr. President, I believe I have anid chough to express my feetinas in principle, and I am prepared, six, to put in whatover time is necessary white we are in Committee stage to try to iron out the ups and doums and come to a smooth sailing at the end of the dav. I ao not mean finish today, I do not mean that, Sir. Thank you very much, Mr. President.

MR. PRESIDENT: . . I thint the Second mteoted Member for
Weat Bay is seeking to oatch my eye. Would he prefer to speak before lunch, or would ho prefer that we take the tuncheon break first?

MR. D. DALMAIN EBANTS: You coutd take the luneheon break fürst, Sir.

MR. PRESIDFNT: In that case, perhops it would
be conventent to Members if we renssemble stightiy eirlier, at 2.00 p.m. Would that suit Members? Well, I will suppend proceedings until approximately $2,00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

HOUSE RFSUMED AT 2.O1 P.M.


Mir. DALMAIN D. EBANKS: I guarontee I will not be so long $m$ contribution is going to be short and brief. This Bitt before us six, is a very significant one. It controls the immigration of people into our Islanda and that, Sir, is something that we have to be very carefut with and watch very closely.

The old Caymanian Protection Law was formed in 1971 and was brought into effect, if my memory serves me might, -n 1972.

ALthough it might not have heen the best of lons, it atill semod a purpose, sir. It gave the then Honnurmhle House something to work with and it has kept in tine the influs of neoole coming into these Islands.

Although a lot of oriticism has been tevelted at it, some of it well warmented, but, sir, when the low was mipst fomed, thinas were not then as they aro now. The overload of lior? that has been blaced on the nou Trotection Pourd. sir, is more than t"rey aan handle.

> I feel, sirs that the mmendments that hows been heared into this Pill. such as equal rights for hushonds $\mathrm{m}^{\boldsymbol{N}}$ mives of Caymanian and foreion spouses, are a grent step in inhroviria it. Also with the children of age (when they beome eighteen theu are Granted their Coumanion status) it mperars was what wics hurtina our Istands and causing a lot of omplaints from the public.

We had a lot of innut from onponitions on the outside, sir, and we are very thankful for it. I know soms of them were of great help. I feel that when this Bill is finished, rir, we will have a good Bill to work from.

There is one thing I feel, sirs
that as time goes by the workload is still going to be areater. I feel that if the Protection Board is put into two sections, Sir, although there are sub-comittees now, the reports from those committees hrue to come back to the Board. I feel that if it had two parts - one rart of the Board working atriatly on permits, illegat entries, overm stayers and the other part on the business section and the ammercial. part. it would work more amoothly.

With that, Sir, I aive this Pill mu blossing and when we go into Committee Strage I hope we reatify it quickly, However, there is something else I wish to speak on, Sir.

Yesterday the Third Flecter Mambor for Weat Ray spoke strongly, in fact he said he was going to fight with a17. his strength, in aiving alt Comonwemth oountmes a chance to get Gummian status.

Wow I hone this House, six, thes not go with that heoruse. sire, some of those countriea ams communist cand we do not need that in these Ial.ands. We have enouah of our probloms already, much less getting mixed up with a oonmunist narty.
M. DALMAIN D. EBANKS (CONTIIULVG): I knon that the ane countries still in the Commonwalth. I know, sir. as he said, theu even have oomminist parties in the Inited Kingdom. I aqrese with that, hut there is one thing w did not tell this Fouse and I an tellina the Fouse that those onmmist porties are what hrouaht the Inited kinndom to her knees. Those oommunist proties are what hove broken the power or the had brom of the onoe areat. miahty country or nation known as Great Fritain.

Untri 2 Torld War II, Sir, Creat Pritain was the ruling powor of the world. The whote world tooked to Freat Pritain for leadership. No iittle stuvis countries in those daus sould net up and start wars. whethen you were nert of the Commonneal.th or not and aet awry with it. She closed it doum.

After the war sir, the Fartern
block got into it. the Pussians; and they started to rush this oommunist disease, as he called it.

These sme countries then left the pover, broke the power with Great Britain and this is what has hrought her to her knees that today she is only looked upon as prohably the third party in the world.

I do not know what the atleaience to the Queen means to them, but I know this, Sir, that he also mentioned that he had met some of the Members from some of the countries some time coo and thay were gentlemen. Well, I was at a oonferenoe November just gone in London and there were $a$ lot of Members from those oountries. They ave not gentlemen. They are more like hogs; and 'Iistening to them, talking with them and going out to the puhs with them, they do not pespect the Hueen, the United Kingdom or anybodu. This is one of the reasons why tofluy I sony that this House should not support that amendment.

To think, siv, that he would mention a man like Amin up against the United States of Amemica, or Rearan - Amin was a oriminal to his om people. Sin. I just connot see this thinkinh. $T$ do not know whether it is me who is euckon on who. tt is tike smina me should qo over next door and invite castro to oome over here and be stiathle for Camanian status.

I hote this Fonoumhte kouse poys striet attention to what I am sayina, dir, becine thet is a dangerous move.

He speoks, tike the Indians sau, with a forked tonque because if he olaims he firg Cayman and its welfare at heart. how oan anyone say that tney want those countries to have a part of Cayman. There is no way that thert can unrk, sir.

I do not know what the Memher was tming to put across. I know he is batting hard, sir, hut I hope to God that he is bowled for a duck.
I thank yous sir.

MR. PRESIDFWI:
Unless any Honourable Member wishes
to speaks I will invite the First Official Member, or ask him whether The vishes to exercise his Right of Reply.

HOY. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Yes, Mr. President, but I promise
Wou I witi be bmief, heocuse I think it is time we aot into committee on this मill, and I do not cherish the tdea of being in here on Soturelay. There are a few comments and observations I would like to make. The first is that much has been said on rection 2 on the definition of "aligible persen".

WON. DEWNIS A. FOSTEP (COWTINUING): I think every sneaker had something to say on that. When we get into Cormittee Stage, Mr, president, I think we oan try and iron this out as hest as posaible. I would like to sow that although a person might he eligible, it does not mean that theu howe to be aranted status, Sir. The fact is that we have had mony eligithle people who have applied for status, and we have not apanted status to chubody for the last two years. so $I$ think it is not as searious as one $i_{s}$ $t-k i n g$ it, hecause as I sxid, being etigible is one thina, mut beino aranted status is another. When we get to Committes Stage, Sir, I think we cm imon that out.

There is another section, Sir, that $T$ to not tike, and $T$ would tike to soe it cmended. That is Section 8 (4) where the Chairmon has an oriainat vote and not a aastina wote. I woul. mofer to see that the other way - Just have a casting vote hecause. Mr. Frosident. you could net into a situation where ne mas used his original vote and it is a tiresome thing, and he has no casting vote, but if the fust hac the casting vote alone he could, in the event of a tie, use this casting vote. I would like Members to think over that, and when we aet into Committee Stage, we could see whether we could amond it or not. Nows Mr. President, I feel that I should defend the Protection Poard. The Member from North Side hit them protty hard, there, and I should like to say this that the Board has a very responsible job, a ver, hant job, many many functions to per. form. I have seen as many as six hundred applioations for various things before the Board at one time, and I think they have done a protty good iob considering the pressures that thely receive.

As regards training which the Nember mentioned, the Board has heen very harsh on this, Sir. They have called in Managers of the main Companies, of the Banks and Trust Componies an, virtually demonded that they do this and they are doing it, Sir, but we arnnot empeot that our young Caymanians will go right into the topnotoh posts without experience. The people who are hotding those posts now. sir, it has taken them thirty or forty years to got there, cnd it is going to take our young Caymanions, if they witl stick it out, just as long to aet professionally qualified. Sir.

The Roard is under onstant nresaure, at. arount, and I think with the support that they are given they hove done a pretty goot job, alt in att, sir, As, far as neopte beina employed bu, rovermment, non-Coymanians, we have a sustem where each department must fill out a form and sent it to the Immigration Department. It is not as complicated as the averace application is, but at least at alt times Immigration knows who is enmployed by Government.

The Member from North site said, rir, that Caymanians were being used for oonvenience. Mr. Fresident, Sir. who do they have to btame for that?

The only ones that I know who are heing used for convenience are those who are fronting for veople, and they obviously get something out of it otherwise they would not do it, Sir. Any Coymanian who is stupid enough to be used as a convenience by a non-Caymanian, that is his oum fault, Sir. Mr. Preaident, I think every person who comes here to work, has to be invited here by a Company or Govermment, or comes under some sort of terms and conditions. ${ }_{I}$ feet that from the time that person enters here, heise making a contritution in one why or the other to this country. Mr. President, fust irrior to 1968, Sir, sport was virtually nit in this countrm. I think

FON. DENWIS A. FOSTER (COMTIMUING): It is these peopls who have come here from various terintomes and contries who have built sport in this country to what it is now, and I feel that these people are invited I mean they have come here to work for a specific aompany or for covernment or for whoever it ie, and they play their part as far as I am conoerned. If they stay here for seven years or more, and have no intention of leaving, and never cause amy problsm I would see absolutely no hurt or haxm dons in giving them status if they intend to make this theix home for ever.

I think Mr. President, that it is the aim and object of every Company tn this country to use the Caymanians wherever they ant ube them, for one simple reason - it is cheaper. They do not have to find housing, they do not have to pay passages baok to another country, and they feel more at ease as to their advontage, and I think we have done well in persuading them in their training programese. But, Mr. President, they suffer the same as Govermment does. As soon as they train some of our people, they belisve the arass is areener on the other side and they leave. Our oum Coumanions do it to their oun Crumanians who ane in business. As soon as they tearn the joh, they leave their employer and go and open a businegs similar to what they have been trained in.

I am in a position, Mr. President, where I get pleas from people who need help, and many Caymonian employers with businesses have totd me that they cannot have confilonces in their own Caymanians. They tell me that they have hat bous who have lasted two weeks. Some are hired on Monday morming and then they do not coms the next morning. This must be frustrating for anyone with a husiness to mut up with that sort of person, and if they can get a prson who is dependable and prompt and will do the job property, it is to the Coymanians' advantage.

Mr. Preaident, the Member from North
Side said that persons granted status will only help to destroy the country. I disagree with that. Mr. President - if we grant the might people status it will help to build the oountry up and to make the country more stable and to put some new blood into it, to hetp us to comtinue to be on top.

Mr. President: I would at this time, ask Membere of the House, when we go into Committee shortly, to think seriously and straight and let us try to oome up with good answers to the few sections that we have to amend. Mr. President, it has been a long sossion. We have plenty of work to go back into our offices to do, and I solicit the cooperation of Members in the Committee Stage of this Bill, and I would like to thank them for supporting the Bitl, thronl them for attending the long houre that they have put in. and we will have an improve. ment on what we had before.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that the Bitit antitiod A Bitl for a Low to Repeat and Replace the Camanian Protection Iow (Revised) be read a Second Time.

QUESTIOM PUT. AGREFD. BRLL GIVEN A SECOND RFADING.

The House will now go into oomittee to study that Bill, but before we move into committes it may be hetpful to Members if I simply say, in case any of them have not heard this already, that the legal draughtsman has been invited to attend during the Committee. Stage of this Bill, and that he is available to aseist any Member, Govermment or other, who may wish to draft an amendment if a Member wishes to seek assistance. It is clearly up to Members to decide if they wish to seek his advioe, guidance or assistance or not.

## ROUSE ITN COMMITTEE

MR. CHATRMAN.
Please be seated.
Before we start to go through the Bitl, perhops $I$ could just ask whether the Committee agrees to the usurit arrangement unter which we authorise the Second Official Member to correct any printing arrows or other obvious mistakes which may have been made when the pitl was printing so that it is not necessary to drow attention to one of these when we come to one clause by clause.

HON. MICFARL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. Chairman, sir, I certainty would be grateful if not onty that authomtu were given but just in case there may be any Committee stage amendments which entril consequential changes by means of cross-referencing or re-numherina of sections, if the House in Committee felt sn minded that they could gront authority for that otherwise, because it might save a oonsidarable amount of time in the House, as otheriniae I might have to be constantly having to ask them to re-commit various sections which relate to others merely for the purpose of getting a correction which followe from an amenament.


#### Abstract

MR. CBATRMMN: Well. perhaps I could put those two propositions to the Committee simultaneously - the Second Official Member be authorised to make corrections to printing errors and the tike as usuat, but also authorised to make any consequential amendments which may arise from any Conmittee Stage amendments proposed by Members and acospted by the Committee. Perhaps I could put that formally, so that we have it on record. Unless any Member. wishes to speak on the point, I witl put that question.


QUESTION PUT. AGREED.
MR, CHAIRMAN:
It will now be recorded in the Minutes that the Second official Member has been empowered to do these tiwo thinge. That said, perhaps next I could sau, that even though this is a very long Bill. I think that, ex ibject to the wishes of the Committee. I will ask the Clerk to go through it, alause by clause, one at a time, instead of in batches because I know how much attention Members will wish to give to it. and I think perhaps it will be more convenient if we do it in that wou, aven if it moy take slightly longer.

MP. CHATRMAN:
Finxily, may I say, that whan we aome. to any clause. a Memher has an amendment to moves and has arranged, as I Atd ask earlier, for typed conies of that mendment to be made availcable, then the Member shoutd just draw my attention to the fact that there is a typed copy of the particular amendment he is proposing or intending to propose. bo that we can make certain that everybody has it and it uill be easier for Manbers to follow. I should porhaps say, that so far, I have received typed copies of amendments which Members heve in mind to propose to clauses 2,28 and 43 - it may be that there are others under prepamation and under way.

HON. MICHAEL J. RRADLEF: Perhaps it mioht gave time, eventuallys if you indioated from the Chair, sir, whether you would be witling, under 52 (sub-order 2 of Standing Onders) to give a general leave of the Chair to amendments for which no notice has been given, othervise each member will in turn at each stage have to ask for that.
MR. CHALRMAN: I think that is a very helpful
suggestion, and perhaps I can say now, and we can put it on record now, that
under the circumstances of this particular Bill I would pmosose to qive
leave in accordance with the provisions to ony Uember who sought to propose
an ameniment. I think we established the other day that it is in omer to
move an mendment to an amendments: but that it is not in order to move an
amendment to an omendment to an anendment. That is my. meoolleetion that
that is the princiole on which I would nropose to act when aranting leave
for amenoments.
Unless any other Wember has a genemat
point to bring to my notice, $I$ think we could now make a start, an a Bili
for a Law to Reveal and Replace the Caymanian Protection Law (Revised) and
I will ask the Clerk to start.

$$
\text { THE CAYMAMIAN PROTECTION PIIL, } 1989
$$

CLTRK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE AND COMMENCEMEXTT.
MP. CHAIRMAN: The question is that olause 1 do stand part of the Bill. Unless, any Member unishes to speak, I will put the question.

OUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSFD.
CTRPK. CLALIGE 2. INTPRRAETATTOM.
UR CHAITMAN. In resnect of clause ? I have receiver
mom the Thrind Eleoted Memtor for West Ray notice of im intancor amendment to the definition of eliqible derson.: Pave alt members a copy of that oxposed amendment? Is there any Member who does not have a cony of that proposed amendment? In that case, nerhaps I could ask the Thivd Flected Mambsr formalty to move it. The Third Elected Member for West Bay ....., I rom not sure whether the Thind Flected Member for west Pay henrd me: I' know he wa preoccupied.
MTP. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I was just trying to place the amentonents that are being circulated, in the order of the olcuses which are being sought to be omended. Accepting that leave has been aranted to the Chair, Mr. Chairmon, I bea to move the amentment which has been circulated to the definition of eligible person. The pronosal is to delate the present definition and to substitute therefor ieligihle person

MT. BFNSON O. FBAMMS (CONTINUING) means (a) any Rxitish citizen or a eitisen of the Tepublic of Treland: (b) any Britist Dependent Termi.. tories Citiaen: (c) any Conmonwealth citisen." The effect of this amendment. Mr. Chairman. would he to delete in section (c) the nomed conntries of the Commomeent th and include all Cormomealth. and to delete any thited stotes oitisen in tita entirety. Mr. Chrirmom. I minht actl. Memhers' attention to the faot that the neletion of Inited atctes ditizen with not affect those persons of Intited states citianship with oonnections to the Cauman Islands. provisina that the mmentment that is monosed by the First Flected Memher of Ceoras Tmm to alause 18 sub-section 3 is supported.

MP. CPATPMAM:
Does any Memker wish to speak on thi. amentment? on a tinu ooint I think that the amentment itsetf neets adiusting to put in a second set of inverted commos before the norr "eliatible" and a set of inverted commas after the word "person".

HON. G. HATG BODDEN: Mr. Charymon. I was going to say that as the original definition is in four ports, I think we should take a separate vote on ( $a$ ), ( $b$ ), ( $b$ ) and ( $d$ ), beocuso there might he some Members who agree with a port of the omenthent and thore nuy be some who do not.

MR. W. MORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. Chatiman, I support that viev Just exvressed by the Member because that is the position that $I$ an in. I support (a), (b) and (c) But not (d).

MR. BENSON O. FRANKS:
In that oase, Mp. Chatrman...........
MR. CHAIPMAN:
What would have to hampen, is thot omehody would have to move on amenoment to an, ameniment. I do not think it is oossibte for me, oubject to any quidance $I$ an civen on stanting orrers, to permit, votes to be toleen hit by bit on a promoed ameniment. But if another Member .. I sneak suhiect to mu mithanee which othor Membere mau rive me, hut that is my present obinion. I do tries the noint that is marte and $I$ can autite see the cwowntare of tming to anlit it, but I think the way of doing that would be for somehody to move an omendment to on amenoment which mioht have the effect.

HON. MICHAFL J RRADI,FY.
I think, Mx, तhaivmen. Str, with
respect, that the probtem is not insoturble in that wo ann vote on this amendment and if the new wroposed definition is out in, it beoomes port $o^{*}$ the substantive olause and a oimote amendment to add a (d) could be put in at that stage. Alternatively if the amendment fatits, we can move a subsequent amendment to the subotantive definition in relation to (c).
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman. I think it is simmter than that. We vote on this, it is either gotng to "up" or "doun", and if the motion fails we qo ahead and vote on the substantive motion. The anendnent has been badly put - that ts the problem.

MR. CHATRMAN.
Wett, not necessamity - it may be that different Members have different thoughts on the omerdment in mind. Some Members would tike to change mall (a) of the alouse as printed to "any Comporvealth aitisen" anc to omit Uritec. States citizens. Others

## -27-

MR. CHATRMAN (COMTTNUING).
miaht like simply to chonge alause (0) but to teave United States citisens in. I think, nerhops, the Second Officiat Member's suggestion is another way of proceedina - in other words, that we should vote on this one in the knowledge that even if we acocpt this one, Members who want United States citizens to he ineluded, supposing that this one were to be nocepterts Members who want lnited States citizens included could then pronose a further anendment which would restore United States citisens. I think that was the Second official. Member's suggestion. The altermative is for somebodu to move an mendment to this amendment which incomorates Initer? states citizens in this amendment.

HON. MICHAEL $y_{0}$ RRADLEY:
There is iust me thought that has ocourred to me in relation to the nonosed amendment, six. . That is, without giving an opinion on it. to drave the attention of Members, that whereas to lose Pritish aitiaenshin, Irish aitiaenshio or Amitrish nebendent Tempitories citizenship, takes a definite act on the nurt of the nerson holfing it, that through no fault of his onm if a person is merely defined here as a Cmmonwecth citiaen, using that term insteat of a number of tisted Termitomes, that at soma time in the futhere tha nolitiaiane in that other country may decide for some motive to leme the Commomvent th. and havina left the Commonsentth, that person who rowviously had been eligitile. and being eliaihle fod heen aronted atatus. would then lose it through no foult of his own. It is just a thought, for Members - I an not trying to complicote the issue.

MR. CHLIRMAM: Because he has beoma an inetiaiblo
nerson.
HON. MICHAEL T. BRADLFY:
Yes. I did not raise this in Committep,
Mr. Charman, Sire, because at that time there was, at Committee stage, no indication that the feeting of the majomity of Members then was to use the term Commonwealth aitizen as such.

MR. RENSON O, ERANKS: Of course the some would anply by naming the cowtries. If they withdren from the Commonueat they would also lose eligibility.

MR. CHATRXAM.
No. they woutd not.
MP. BENSON O. ERANKS:
If it was the intention to gine it
to them because then were in the Commonvent.th
MP. CHATRMAN-
Welt, as the Tow is now worder,
supnostina that the veroion of the clause in the apeen printed ritz nomt throuah and supposing at a later date Mustralia left the Commonvealth, it would not have the effect of meanina that either m Austration oftisen who had already been granted status, or ony future Austration oitizen who apolied for status, was inetiainte, beause the elfaitility in the Law is not expressed to he as a reeult of Austratia's memherghin of the Commonwerlith. The Second Official Member's point is that if you ohange it to "ony Commorwealth oitizen' then it does tie it to the country heing .....

MT. RENSON O. EBANKS
I do accept that Mr. Chairman but that is merely an aoodemic exeroise. Only two countries have left the Conmonweath in its lifetime, sir ...........
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MR. CHAIRMAN:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: $\quad$ I am not wormied about that.
$\frac{\text { HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: }}{\text { draw the implication to Members. }}$ I felt that it was my duty to
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think the question I would like to try to resolve is whether any Member who supports the change from the printed version of paragraph (c) to the amended version as proposed by the Third Eitected Member for West Bay, but who wishes to retain vamagraph (d), "any Imited States citizen", of the nrinted version, whether any auch Member would wish to move th amendment to the Third Elected Memher for West Ray's motiom, which wouth give us an opportunity of voting on both amendments one after another.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONMELL:Mr. Chairman, I would like to aee the tem "any thited States aitizen" removed from the list of aligible persone as the Third Elected Member for Mest Ray said this would be coversd under section 18(3), a motion whioh is to be moved or has been moved by the Fixst Elected Momber for Georgo Town. This will take care of neople who have a connection and roots in the Cayman Istands. This oxiainally was the idea, Sir, why we inetuded United States citisens, to give the people of Caymanion ancestry and wots the privilege of coming back here. I would 7ike to move that in the original Bill, Clause 2(d), "any United States aitiaen" be removed and the balance of the clause to remain as is.

MR. CHATRMAN:
Are you wantina to amend the Third
Elected Member for West Bay's amendment, or axe you realty apeaking in support of his amendment?

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL:Nr. Chaixman, I am not supporting the amendment made by the Thind Elected Member for West Ray, but what I an proposing is that we support....

MR. CHATRMAN:
In other words, you are aarseing with "Inited of $h 2 s$ amendment. You are agreeing with the deletion of "United States citizen", but you are not arpeeing with the other nart. (c) is the extension to alt Commonwealth citizens of the parapraph (c) which provided for certain specified citigens.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL:That is corvect, Sir.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
$I$ see. So it is the other way arount.
$\frac{M R .}{}$. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, it would eeem to me that on amendment to my amendment would meet that. All that the Member would have to do is to move an amendment to eection (c) of my amendment reinstating the Clauses in the omiqinal Bill and that would meet....

MR. CHATRMAN:
Then we could vote on that. That is what $I$ was going to suggest. That is the effect of what you were axying, now that I have understood it, that you would like to amend the motton put by....

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I was iust going to say, sir, that perhaps $\bar{Y}$ could aseist the First Elected Momber for the Lepser Istands, as I understand that he wishes to move on mendment to the amendment, that paragraph (o) of the definition of "etigibte persons" be deloted, and the worde "any citizen of Australix, Bahomas, Barbalos, Belise, Ganala, Jamaica, New Zealand or Trinidad and tobago" be substituted therefor.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNBLL:That is correct.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. CHAIRMAN:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. CHAIRMAN:

That is in my amendment.
Io that an amendnent to your amenbonent?
Yes, Sir.
Well, then, let us take it in that order. I am somy that Members have not got a circulated typed copy of what we are about to vote on. However, let me explain it so that Membere are sure what we ars votina on. The first vote, unless any Member wishes to speak further on the point, will be either to or for; either in favour of or against an amendment proposed by the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islande to the circulated amendment proposed by the Third Elected Member for West Bay. Fithout reading out the full terms of $i t$, the effect would be to terve the provision or the definition of"eligible person" so that it covers onty"eitisens of Austrazia, Bahomas, Barbados, Belize, Canada, Jomaica, New zealand or Trinidad and Tobaoo", not "any Commonveatth citizen", but to delete "any United States oitizen". We shatt oome back to the Third Elected Member for West Bay's proposition Zater.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
I would like to sneak on that amendment to the amendment. What, as I understand it, the effect is, is that the substantive Bitl would remain as it is, but you would remove "any United States oitizen". Subeection (d) woutd disappear.

MR. CHATRMAN:
quite might.
HON. TRUMAN M. B DDEN:
I am totally against this. The majomity accepted this in the Committee, and as I do not want to get into the merits of $i t$, but both sides have argued for and against this, I hope Members would support this Clause as it is. That is, that they would vote againet the conendment to the amendment. They would vote against the amendment, and they would leave this section as it is and vote for this section on the third vote. It is onmplex now, Sir, because what would have been very simple would have been to take a vote on this and let the Firat Elacted Member for the Lesser Islands move that paraqraph (d) be removed. Then it would have been undergtood better. I would. like to go over that. My view is that we vote against the amendment to the amendment. We vote against the amendment, and we leave this section as it is by voting for the substantive section when the time ames.

MR. BEINSON O. FRANKS: Mr. Chaixman, I would juet like to point out, Sir, that it would appear to me, from what happened on onother eartier bill in this Meeting, that what Members did in the Committee had little bearing on what they did in the House. So Members should be guided by theirs consciences, and whother they have since changed their. minds or not on this seotion, I have put forward my amendment and I think when I und apeaking on the Second Reading I said that it was possible that I would be standing alone on this. However, my shouldexs are broad enough to take it. The Firgt Elected Member for the Lesser Is lande has proposed on anendment to my amensment, which as I understand it from the contribution of Members in the Second Roading, meets the feeting of a majority of Members in the House. I do not think it is a question of voting a against, against, against. It is a question which I think every Member understands, that they are asked to vote on, and it is a question of a Member voting his conscience.

> Mr. Chairman, may I sust.....

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Coutd I fuat make one point. I was going to say that I take the point which was made by the Honourable Seoond Elected Member of Krecutive Council that it might have been simpler for Members to understand if I had done it the other way and voted on your amendment simply first, and then let the First Electad Member for the Lesser Islande propose an amendment of his oum.. If on reflection the First Elected Member for the Lesoer Is lands adrees with me, he might like to withdrcw his cmendment on the understanding that once we had voted on the comendment proposed by the Third Elected Mamber for West Bay, he would then have an opportunity to move an comendment of his own. I think that might be simpler for Members. I think it is quite true.

CAPT, CHARLES L. KIRKCONDELL: Yes, Mr. Chaiman, I agree and I withdmon.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
So, in that case wo are back simply to voting on the amendment pronosed by the Thim Ftected Member for West Bay and circulater to Members. Well, let me alli for ayes and noes first and let me see how we ao.

QUESTION PUT:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. CHA IRMAN:

AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE $\%$ DEFTATED.
I think you heard correctly, sir.
Now, I undertook that the.....

CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRYCONNELL:Mr. Chairman, I woult like to move an amenanent that we detete subpararaph (d) in "eligible person", "any thited States citizen" be deleted from the Bizl.

MR. CHATRMAN: I imagine that the Conmittee would give the Second Official Member leave to make the tidying-ur operation of shifting the word "and" from the end of aubparagrap" (c) to the and of subparagraph (b). I think we would teave that kind of thing to him would we not? so the amendment proposed by the First Elected Momber for the Lessex Tstands is that subparagmph (d) which reads, "any United Statee citizen" should be deleted from the definition of "eligible person". Does any Member wish to apeak to that?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
I do, Sir. I would just like to point out that if you think that the anewer to this is by putting American citizens in clause 18(3) you are not comeet. If you remove this from this definition it is aoina to affect every pince where you have used the words "eligitile person". It could affeat children: it could affect apouses; it could affeot widowers: it could affect widows, and each place where the words "eligible person" has been put is aoing to be affected. So I would not like you to be under the impression that to put that into Ctause $7 B(3)$, which relates to reduction of period in relation to chitcren atone, is the only place that "eliaible person" is affecter. It is throughout the whole of this Pill if it is removed. Really, I do not think that, at this stage, if it is nemoved from heres, you may well have to look at every other clause moving drom, and decide whether you are going to put it into the other Clauses which it relates to.

MISS ANNIE BULDAH BODDEN:
Nr. Chairman, if I coutd have some exptanation, sir, as to why we should have to amond "etigible person" all the way mound.
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MR, CHATPMAA ${ }^{n 7}$ -
$I$ think that the point whion the Member is making the Second official Member may $\mathfrak{z a y}$ sis that if you onoe delete the nrovision which makes a United states citiaen an elininte nerson. then in a variety of Clauses. of which Clause 18, subsection 3 is onty one, you have made it impossible for United States citizens to be aronted strous. The fact is, as I understand it. again, sulipet to correction, they are not eligible to be granted etatus no:1. Are they? Snme may have status as of might, hy birth, but a United States citizen, if he now whishes to be aranted Caymanian status first has to be noturalised. If United States citizen vere deleted from the definition of elinithe person, that would, as I understand $i t$, restore the position as it exists today. Is that correct?

AON. D.A. FOSTER: I mot not quite so sure, Sir, beccuse.
I do not think there is a movision in this. If an American gets naturalised,
then he gets status -I do not think that provision is here. nrovision is here.

MR. CHATPMAN
If an American is natumitiseds sumpos-
 naturatisen. he would then be a Pritish Denendent Termitomies oritizen, and eliaible for status, and I am sune we would foltoto the mroctice which is fotlowed today. "In other words. hefore toreeing to his natumitisation we would seek to estathtish that if noturatised. he would he manted statue.

MP. FRNSOMI O. FRANKS: Mr. Chaimman. I think that Members imderstand futhy that memoving 'Amemom oitizent from this seotion witl affect those $C$ lauses that hrpe "etrigible person itnetuded in t.t. In faot that has been the, whote exerefee, to pemove an American aitisen as an "eligible person'mhere the American citizen is being riven preferentlal treatment to the oitizen of any other ocuntry.
MR. CHAIRMAN
It is a combex nieoe of teqistation Whion I do not pretend I fulty understand nsiself, and I do not want Members to vote on something without fully understanding what the imolications of theirs votes ars and it was for that recron that I was luoping that the lauyers amongst us would tell us whether the outline that $I$ gave of the effert, as I believe it would hes of the mendment. wos cormeat or not. Perhaps I could ask the second Officiat Member and then the seeond elteoted Member.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. Chatiminn, Sir.s as Choxirman of the Select Conmittee I endeavoured, wherever possible, earlier on, in oonsiflemation of the definition ofiefiarinte person!, whenever we met ft anatin later on through the Low and the Bitz; when we consinered it, to Arow Mempers' attention to what the implications of the words'eliginle pereon' in that context were. and I think that. if we malke a chonge to the present definition of "elifibite person', then we wit have to when we come to each mention of"eligible nereon' stop and nouse and think w to we what etiothte nensmitas it is redefined there, or in we need ony consequenticat ohanop? Ono, without exmesoind a wiew for or arainst, comes to minct in ctause 17 ( $b$ ) whexe tom ocus "in anu oase whero a nerson is on or after the Aay in which this Lrm comes into oneration. bom outiside these istands then in anu suot oroe he shath mosess Cmman status if ath of the following contitions ane fulfitled."the an eligible persom. one of his porents ot hirth nopsoss otatus ond hot? his parents domiciled in these rstands".

I can see a possinititu of a nerson who had status from here, going to the United stotes, ar some other place. and of acquiring cittsenship - that annd possinth follow that the ohild then could not have gtatus by descent. I hove not thought it

HON. MICFAEL J. RRADLEY,
out futty. siry, hut what I am truind to det clear in Members' minds is, if we redefine it nom. I think we have to stop when we see the words'eliaithle person' later ons as a traffic light. and make sure that we wont what is now under the new Aefinition. I think that is what the Fon. Second Flected Member of Executive Councit

MR. CPAITMAN. Could I just ast hefnre unu finish, and hefore I ack the second Filected Member of Frocutive Council to dompent too An I wiaht in smying that at present a lnited states citixen annot be aranted status hecause the present Law timits arants of status to Rritish Subjects?

HOH. MICHAEL J. RRADLEY: Yes, Sir.
HON. TRTMAN M. RODDEN:
I arree with what the Honourable Attorney Generat has said but $I$ will give you one of the ditemmas you get when you touch a section which is not nronerth thought out. The First E' lected Memher for George Toum has moved that amendment, and inmeriately the person would have received status under 18 (3) he would have lost it under 21 (a). That is the sont of nonsense that we could get, unless every clause where it says leligible persorl is looked at, and looked at in depth, because you are changing the whole concept of the Lnw at this stage. It has been drofted in one wow, and you are chand. ing it att around, nou,

Quite frankly, I would not like to have the mess on my shoulders to try to sit down in this Conmittee and literally re-draft evem section with 'eligible nergont as $I$ see it. I am iust giving you one, and the courts onuld not, in anu way, where you have a conflict tike that, try to come up with onmmon sense if the Leaislature created something which is not senses and that is why $I$ think we shoutd let it remain as it is, If not it is literally a special Conmittee's work racin to get hack and rewdraft it. and I would like to point out here and now, if they remove United States citizen. I to not unat the load on ry shoulders to have aught everu section. I auess it will have to lie scruarely on the seoond Offieial Memher's shoulders, which is aoing to be a very heavy load. Sir : it is a rewrafting mrocess.

CAPT CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL:
Mr. Chairman: what we are trying to do here is to the United states citisen with a connection with the Caymon Istands. This is what we are trming to give all those United States aitizens who have a connection $\qquad$
MT. CHATRMAN.
If they wish to give up their United States aitizenship before they get status?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
MR. CFATAMAN: Well, in that case, $t$ think the effect of what you are doina is not going to be what you surnose, because I think that if you delete United states citisen here and if amebody with Cauman connections, who is at present a United states citizen, and whom you would like to have status, wronts it, then that persion is doind to have to aive up his citizanshi力 in omer to hecome etiaible

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS: Nows Mx. Chairman. the nronoset is to delete eliaible person in 18 (3). I agree with the Secom official Thmber that we will have, wherever we see eligible nerson, treat it as a stop light and look at that section, but. ...........

IT. OYATRMAN: Yes, I see what you mean, yes, that
is quite true.
PA. DENSON O. ERANYS: Fizght, and Mr. Chairmon, with wespect. Sin I want to make ne noint clear. You mentioned about referming to the lawyers in here. Being a lawyer is not o auntifiaction for sitting in this Asaemhly. and white $I$ refor to specialitios. T om not going to hend my right to mo orinion to coubody if I have to he oonvinoed.". arainst my will.

Min CIATRMAM. Dease, iust let me make it olear. $\bar{I}$ ms an I hoped for the assistance of the House, asking the tawers prosant to explain the leqal effect of Noing somethina.

Whether the thing concermed toas decimoble or undesimable is obvinustu left to ench Memher's judnement, out it does seem to me that the lawpers amonast us are more likelu than othere to he able to tell us what the leale effect of a nartioular arrondment is. and it did seem to me. in a very oomplex matter of this kints. we would have been very umpise not to ask the lawers to explain to us before we took a vote, what would be the consequences of our pote. It was not intended that they should have any more influence than anybodu elae over the way you voted. That is for Membere to decide thamselvas.

IOM. MICRAEL J. BRADLRY: If I may add, sir, thet I thought I ino carefut when $\bar{I}$ was interiecting and oomenting then to ady that $I$ giving an opinion for on aqcinst the merits of the amendment or the rmosed anondments, and I would consider that it would not be unon me as a lawyer here to comment on policy of that matter. I was merely endeavouring to prevent the House possinly gettina into difficulties Zater on.

MT. $4 . \operatorname{MORMAN~BODDEN:~} \quad$ expressed my onncerm about the
sation in the Debate on this Bills and it was, if the way this section hoo iust been extlained to me unfer 18 (3) United States aitigens with Calymaion oonnections would he required to aive up their United Ftrates citizenshim hefore they onuld aet Caymanion status

MF. CHAIPMAN:
I am. sorry, I misled you there, so Tht me make it auite alear. If you delete the phrose "who is an eliqible mronn" from section 18 (3) then in pesnect of the classes of persons dolte with his that section. it ceoses to be a requirement that the popsons concerned should give up their United Staies oitiaonship before they ree granted statues but as the Second Official Member and the Second Fitected Member of Frecutive Council have quite mightly pointert out. it would be necessary if we did this to look very carefully at every

MTM CFATPMAN (CONTIMUING).
seotion of the faw in which the wome atinibte nerson'or shmzar words appent to cheok what the effects were if we had onee deleted the mpovision that makes United States citisens titioble bersons heocuse othemrige we might couse entless onntusion and to thincs that we had not intenter to do.

MR. WORMAD W. BODDEN: I understand that. and thet onuth pe cuite true with any other section thet was tomered with. I imaine.

MT. BENGON $O_{0}$ ERANKS: For exomple, the instonce given by the Sconc Elected Member of Feoutive Council could easily be covered by vutting a proviso to 21 (a) saying that those under 18 (3) would not be affected by that section.
$C \subset P$, MARRY S. KIRKCONNELL. I eannot support this amendment. Six. I hove given it semous consideration and the circumstances which we wish to aorpect would not he corrected if it was simoly with Coymarian connections. I refor particularly to a Coymanion maxmina a l'nited States aitisen, that if we remone United States citizen from being an aliaible person, they could not get statue, and what we are really trying to correct would not be ahle to be done unless lnited states citizens were left in the definition of'eliqible Derson', that is the way I feet.

BOM. MICHAFI, J. BRADLEY: That is onrreat sir.
HOY TPIMAN M. NODNEN: That is what Cantain Mahry tas said, Nit if $y$ u leave in Amemion oitizen here they to mot howe to do it and further with ahildren.

CAPT. CHARLPS L. KIPKCOMNFLL. Mr. Chxixman. I aan see you are in hot water here, iri. I would like to withdraw the last omendment that I made to the Bilt, because I do not wish to worgen the position. I mould like to assist ant make it easier for our people who are in the United States to come back to our Islands.

HOV. D. H. FOSY施: $\quad$ Mr. Chairman, maty I make a suaqestion Sir. Put the atcuse to vote as it is in the Paper.

MD CILATRMAN.
Well, if I once mut it to the vote
10. CHAIRMAN (CONMINUIIG): . as it is in the Paner then nomed can seek to amend $i t$, and I just want to make certain that Members whe wish to propose amendments hrve a right to do so. but if the first Flocted Member of the Lesser Islands has withdram his conencment nou, we cres left with no proposed anendment to the olcuse.

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS $\quad$ Mr. Charimon. is it impossible for $\overline{m s}$ to move another omendment to this Clause?

```
MR. CHATBMAN:
mondment.
Wh, you are entitled to move another
```

MR. PRMGON O. TRANKS.
Yes, cir, well. $I$ muld tike to move an amonment that Clause ? (b). that the definition of elizibte nerson in Clruse he deleted and substituted thevefor "eliathte norson merns anu Pritish citizen or a oitigen of the Mepublio of Tretand (h) any Pritish Denentent Texmitomies aitizen (c) any citiaen of Australio, Rohmass, Barbanoes Belize. Conadr, Jomaiod, mow ZenZant or Trinidad and Tohato ..........

MR. CHAIRMAN.
MT. PENSON O. ERANKS:
M品. CHAIRMAM: I am sormy. I fust worted to knhw what your amendment was. Reatly you are movinc precisety the same mendment that the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islonds" moved .. it is deletion of little paragraph. (d). I just wint to be quite sure there was not anything more than that to the amondment you are moving. Wett, then, I think we have discussed that one for some time, let us now vote, untess any other Member wishes to sneak, let us now vote on that amendment. The amendment in effeot being that pararmonh (d) of the definition of eligible person' be deleter.

HOiV. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Chairmrn. I anss goiny to ady that $r$ do not think that amendment is properly before the House, beocuse the Fithst Flected Member from Comman Proce withdrew it and when it was rut by the Third Filected Member from lest Bay aarlitir on. it uat yoted doum........

MP. CAAIRMAM. No, that was a different motion, that The mut eartier on, and it was voted dom.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN Yes, but it did contrin the detetion त" impter States citisens, so that papt has been votar" on in a hiager mendment.

MT CHATRMAN.
No. $J$ do not accent that. heocuse in the eartier discussion we rareed that some peonle might thant to support one nart of an omendment, but not another part, and that we would take it as two separate votes, and I think that is what we ought to do, in fact.

IIESTION PIT: AYES AND NOES. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSR 1 DEFEATED.

MR. CHATRMAY: Unless any Member has a further amendment to propose to that Clause, I would like now to put the Clause i.t.self to the committee.

QTESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 2 PASSED.
MR. CFAIRMAN unamented:

Clause 2 stande part of the Rill -

CHERK: CLAUSE 3 - COMFLICT WITH OTHFR LAWS.
Mr. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 3 तo OUPGTION PIT: AGREED. CLAUGE 3 PASSED.

MR. CHATRMAN: PerhaOE I should iust say that although I satd I would ask the Clerk to read the olawse one hy one and shatl. do so, I witl go through them fairly auicklu. If any Member arve notice of an anentonent I wilt stov, otherwise would any Member please make a noise and oatch my attention promotly if he wornts to move an amendment of which I have not had notice to a clause.

CLARK. CLAUSE 4 - SAVINGS OF OTHER LAPA.
MF. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 4 do stond part of the Bill.

QUFSTTON PUT: AGRFED. CLAUSE 4 PASSFD.
CLERK: CLAUSE 5 - ESTABLISHMENT OF THF BOARD.
MR. CHATPMAN: The auestion is that Clause 5 do stand part of the Bill.

QURSTION PUT: AGRFED. CLAUSE 5 PASSED.
CLERK. CLAUSE 6 - FUTNCTIONS OF TYF BOARD.
MP. CHAIRMAN: $\quad$ The question is that Clause 6 do
OUTSTION PUT: ACRFFD. CLAUSE 6 PASSED.
CLEPR: CLAUSE 7 - APPOINTMFNT OF MMMIGRATION OFFTCERS.


OTHKTHOM PUT. AGREED. CLAUSF 7 PASSFD.
CLFTY: CLATISFR - MFPTMMS OF THFR ROARD.
Mip. CBAIRMAM: The question is that Clause is do


MR. AENSON O. EBANRS:
That is the majomity opinion in this
case, anyway.
MR. CRAIRMAN: The omendment proposed by the First Offreval Member is that the relevant part of paragraph 4 of Clause 8 should be re-worded to read "shatl have no original but only a aasting vote". I do not know whether the Second Eleoted Yember of Executive Counait winted to move an amendment to that.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDFN: Not really, sir, I just point out the prinetple widertying the whote Componies Law - I have no strong feelings and to be frank it is the First official Memher and I witl suppont it.

MK. CHALPMAN: Untese any other Member wishes to speak, I witl put the queation.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 8 PASSED.
MR. CHALRMAN:
I will nou put the Clause as amended
to the Committee.
QUFSTTON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 8 PASSED.
MR. CHALRMAN:
the Butt.
The clause as amended stands part of

CLERK: CLAUSE 9 - REMMNERATION OF THF BOARD.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clauee 9 do stand part of the Bilt.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 9 PASSFD.
CLERK: CLADISE 10 - APPEAL FROM DECISIONE OF AN IMMTCRATION OFPICER.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question to that Clauee 10 do
stand part of the Bill.
QUFSTION PUT: AGREED. . CLAUSF 10 PASSED.
CLRRR: CLAUSE 11- APPEALS FROM THE DECISIONS OF TFFF BOARD.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
stand part of the Bill.
QUESTION PUT: AGREFD. CLAUSE 11 PASSBD.
CLERK: CLAOSE 12 - CONDUCT OF APPEALS.
MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad$ The question is that clowe 12 do
stand part of the BiLL.
OUESTTION PUT: AGREED.
CLAUSE 12 PASSED.
CLRRK: CLAUSE 13 - DECISIONS TO BE ADMINISTRATIVE.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that Clause 13 do
stand part of the Bill.
חUFSTION PUT: AGREED.
CLAUSE 13 PASSED.
CLERRS: CLAUSE 14 - GENERAL PROVISIONS REGAKDIMG ACOUISITION OF CAYMANIAN STATVS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 14 do
stand part of the Bill.
MP. BENSON O. ERANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I wruld just point out, Sir, that this question of eliaible person mpears atl the way up in here, ond white I am not prepared on this short notice to offer any. anendment to it, I an totally against this American citiren heing an eligible person for Caymanian statue.

HON. MICEAFL J. BRADLEY; Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, Six, if it Foutd help Members, even though we have left it unatered, if theu wish to think about this as we go along, the leqal draughtsman has very kindty listed for me the sections in which the words"eligible person" appear, and I will make that listing available to any Member if he wished.

| $\frac{\text { Mi. BENSON O. EBANFS: }}{\text { have one then? }}$ | If you gave me that copy, would you |
| :---: | :---: |
| FON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: underetand. | No, but we have a photocopier, I |
| $\frac{\text { MPR. BFNSON O. EBANKS: }}{\text { outated, Sir. }}$ | I woutd suggest that a copy be oir- |

MIT. CHAIRMAN: Well, I am sure we will get a copy circulated now - yes. Meanwhite the question is that clause 14 do stand part of the Bitl.

HON. MTCHAEL J. BRADLEY: In which the words "eligible persor" moear in both subsections.

HOH. TRUMAM M. BODDEN: I am wondering, whether the Second official Member would like to spend a Saturday in here? That is a joke.

MR. CHATRMAN: It does aeem to me that we have voted on the definition of"eligible pexror", that Members consciousty decided they wanted to let it stand, and that unless I am given notice of an amendment to a particutar section, Members intented the consequences of their earlier vote. The Third Elected Member for Weet Bry poted for an anendmant which would have deleted American citisens - it is understandable that he should, in consequence, have reservations or whatever you like, not to agree fully with the provisions of Clouse " 14 (1) as they will now take effect, but I do not think that means that we have to denate it in full.

MR. PENSON O. EBANKS:
No, Mr. Chairman, it would be much more difficult to exclude the American now that he hrs been left in the definition than it would have been to ..........

[^25] support eartier when Members realised the consecuences ..........

```
MP. BENSON O. ERANKS:
    Tes, but Mr. Chatiman, I have a feel-
ing that Members were baffled by a bit of whatever. Anyway
MR. CHAIRMAN: I do not think you can be Fude about
other Members.
MR. BENSON O, RBANKS: No, I am not being rude, Mr. Chairman.
MR. CHAIRMAN: . Well, if you sa,y theu are easity
brffled or Bomething - that may mean that you think that they ..........
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
All I am saying is that there will be
a time when I oan have my say on this one.
HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: . The House has voted on the definition
of eligibte person. The Member has his leseon on democracy which he
mparently needs.
Mr. CHATRMAN: Well, leaving aside who may require
Zessons in what, let me put the vote on thits Clause.
MR. BENSON O. ERANKS: Thank you very muoh, Mr. Chaimman.
OUESTION PUT: AGREED. GLAJSE 14 PASSFD.
CTIERK: CLAUSE 15 - NO RFGTRICTION ON ACOUISITTON FTC. OF STATUS BY WOMAN.
MF. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 15 do
strend paxt af the Bill.
OUFSTION PUP: AGREBD. CLAUSF I5 PASSED.
CTERK: CLAUSE 16 - APPLICATION TO THE GPAND COIFRT.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clouse 16 do
gtand part of the Bill.
QUFSTION PUT: AGRFED. CLAUSE 16 PASSED.
CIRRK: CLAUSE 17 - ACQUISITTON OF CAYMANIAN STATUS BY BIRTTH.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that clause 17 do
stand part of the Bill.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
CLAUSE 17 PASSED.
MK. CHAIRMAN: : I have been given notice of an amend-
ment that may be moved to Clause 18. I am not sure whether as a result of
the decision not to proceed with an earlier amendment, that this one will
still stand, but since we have renched the time that we customarily take
a short break, I propose at this stage to euspend proceedinge for approri-
mately fifteen mimutes if that suits Members.
```

HOUSE RESTMED AT 4:05 P.M.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Please be aeated.
Croceedings are resumed. The
Caymanian Protection Bill - we had reached Clase I8.
CLERK: CLAUSE 18 - ACQUISITION OF CAYMANIAN STATUIS RY GRANT.

MR. CHATFMAN:
Cenrge Town.

Yes, the First Flected Member for

MR. NORMAN W. RODDEN:
I beg to move an amendment to sub section (3) of Clause 18, by the detetion of "who is an etigithe person" in the firet tine and the deletion of the whrds "or grandparents" as they opear in the second line thereof.

MT. CHATRMAN:
Do you want to speak to the amond-
ment
Yes, Mr. Chaimkan, this subsection Me. NORMAN W. BODDEN: Yes, Mr. Chaimate then read: Any person of not less than sighteen years of age, one of whose parents was borm in the Istands and who has been ordinaxily resichent in the Islands for the period of three yeans inmediately preceding his application may apply to the Board for the grant of Caymanian status".

Mr. Chairman, I have moved this amendment because I feet that there are cther countries where we have Caymanians with roots in this country and since we have eapanded the number of countries in clause 2 under the interpretation of "eligible person's I would tike to know that those Caymanians in other countries will have the same facility of applying for Caymmian status. It would te, in fact, by saying any person in truth and in fact, axpanding the number of countries which would generatise that any pereon from amu oountry could apply. But as I have had other aeotions explained, by persons dealing with other sections of this mill, this would onty be making them eligible to apply, and it would not be an entittement. On the other hand, we would be reduoing those persons who aan olaim this by descent, by saying one of whose parents, rather than by extending it to arandparents, and I woutd ask support for this, sir.

MR. CHATRMAN:
Does and other Member wish to apeak
to this amendment?
HON. TRUMAN M. RODDEN:
Mr. Chaiman, as I see the amendment,
The Member 26 seeking to make the acquisition of status by any pereon, be that person out of Russia, Cuba or whatever communist coumtries or any oowntry, to be eligible for status within the Islands once they ahowed a three year period. I think the vote having been taken arrilier on who ia an eligible person, I do not think we shoutd remove it here at this stage, but I believe that most Members who spoke, were not that much in favour of an open door. Agreed, it ie limited: he is limiting it in one way \% that is he is raking out grandparentes, but he is making it completely wide open from the point of view of who becomes eligible, and I would think that it may not necessamily be deeirable to put even a more special status than has ever existed to this extent, on really this wide a clase of persons, that he is seeking to do here. I

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): mean it would completely open eligibility for this class of person. I will be fronk, I will not support it. I think it is too wide.

On the one hand he went against the United States of America, but this now would be the Inited States of America and everybody else. I just want to make it clear that the removal of thie would include what he was trying to exclude earliex. In other words he wanted to take American citizens out, and the Third Elected Member for West Bay wanted to widen it to Commonweatth citizens. This now does everything that they have been originally fighting for.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chatman, except that this refers to persons of one of whose parents were born in the Istands. This is what every Member, or most Members, on speaking on the Bill said they supported; which was that there be no speciat treatment of people whose parents happened to have gone to the United States, as opposed to those persons whose parents went to other countries. I think the Member is drowing a red herring becouse I do not know of anybody who is in Russia whose parents were born in the Cayman Islands. Furthermore, Mr. Chaiman, the person has to be resident here for three years. That means the person would have had to have come $i n$, as $I$ see it, under some other clause in the Bill, and you know how many people are in a position, that is people borm of Caymanian parentage, to come and sit down in the Cayman Islands and wait for three years to opply. They must have been here on work permits or something of the sort.

Now I do not know if the Member has in mind to employ anybody who would fall into a category that he is talking about, but I cannot see anything wrong with this comendment. The only thing I would say, Mr. Chairman, is that I would have been prepared to have left in "grandparent", but I on not going to pueh for that since I understand that the majority of Members aupport the amendment as presented by the Firet Elected Member for George Town. I think we are doing this knowing full well what we are doing and it is our wish to do so.

Now, I am sure, Mr. Chairman, that the Member will now oall attention to Clause $21(a)$ where he would lose it if he is not an eligible person, but we can easily cover this by saying that this shatl have no application to persons granted status under clause 18(3). I am sure that it is not beyond the competency of the Honourable Second Official Member and the legat droughtsmon to come up with on amendment to meet that position.

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN:
MR. CHATPMAN: please?

Mr. Chairman ........

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN:
Could you speak into the miorophone,

Excuse me, I an not very meohanically
Mr. Chairmon. I would have thought than if it extended to "grandparents". Thit save a lesser group parente" should be eliminated. It should be onty what he has proposed - that it strictly would be "parents" alone and not "grandparents", beccuse "grandparents" could stretch ever so far and bring in many more people thon if it were just the parente. I
$I$ endorse that that is in my
opinion quite correct.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDET: Mr. Chaixman, I witl be very brief, Sir. Ny question to the Committee is very simply this. Do you want to give Caymonian statue to a person who owes allegiance to Caetro, or to Krushchev, on to any other country other than neighbouring and friendly countries as we hove set out in "eligible person"? In the event of war, for example, they pue allegiance to the nationality to which they belong and this would, in my opinion, create a difficult situation if the United Kingdom went to war with Argentina. For example, we had an Argentinian chitd here with Caymanian status. What is he? Is he an enemy? Whose side is he on? I think it is going to areate bad complexities in that respect.

MISS ANNIE HULDAH BODDEN: If war broke out and we had a foreign citizen here, we should do what they did with Dagon at the outbreak of World War II.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: . Mr. Chairman, Sir, I would say that the Member took a bad aralogy. If England and Angentina ijent to war, the Argantinian in Cayman would do the same thing that the Argentinion did in the Falkland Islands - run. So he would hide. We would not have any trouble with him, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The First Elected Member for the Lesser Islonds.

CAFT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL.: Mr. Chairman, the amendment before the House on this Clause, Sir, I fully support. It is something that we have been trying to do. That is, people with roots here we want to encourage, and it, is restricted. One of the parents must be borm here. The Member proposing it has taken out "grandparents", whioh would hove widened his amendments and this is precisely what atl of us here have been trying to do - to extend to our fellow Caymanions abroad, who have had to leave here for economic reasons in the past, the privilege of coming baok here to work amongst us and to help us buitd up the Istands.

I can see this amendment, Sir, as a fair one. We have given it to linited states' ditisens, and certainty anyone who was born here with definite roots. We cannot exalude these people, regardless of whether they have parents in cuba. Perhaps, Sir, people who have tived:under conmmism might do ws some good if they were to oome back here, becouse they know what communism is all about and oan tell people here the bad effects of it.
$I$ support thic amendment, Sir.
HON. DENNIS H: FOSTER:
Ab fox as I cin concerned, Sir, it does not even go far enough, beeause let there be no mistake about its this child is the son or doughter of a father who was borm here. That ohild is Coymanian by parentage, and you are only tetting him here that he may apply for status. You are not even giving it to him - you are telling him he may apply. You are just giving him that privilege to appty, and that is after three years.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairmon, "what I am just wondering is whether it is not better, following on what the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islonde has mentioned, to leave in "grandparents", but try to restrict it to countries where most Caymanions are.

FON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): me, because I do not believe that a person, take for example in Cuba, who has spent the last thirty odd yeare under the commanist regime, can oome out of it and think differently that easily, or that auickly, or at all. One on two as you noint out may come out and assist in some way, but I think we run a real serious danger if we have permle who have lived all their tives, and know nothing else but a communist way of life. I mean we know how difficult it is to change from our way of tife in a democratic country to another way of tife in another democratic oountry.

You oon imagine the ahock that one gets onming from a conmunist country into a democratic country. If it must go through wide, then the limitation to narents $T$ think ahould stay in. If, on the other hand, we could try to restrict it to countries where Caymanions really are, and exclude the other ones and narrow it that way, maybe leave it to grandparents.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I think we are being unreasonable, as it were, when you have one chith who has one parent horm here, in one country, and it is attemoting to deny that child of the privilege of coming back to the roots of his naxent, and mating it reasonably easy for the same situation to exist from some other termitom.

I said this mornind, Sir, and I stitt
say, it should he equally given consideration. Fairness and ,justice is the measure that we should use. Maybe many of them wouldnot attenmt to come back or want to come back, but if they wanten to come back, they shoutd be granted the opportwity and mrivilege to oome back. I cannot see why it should be a brarier between two parents from two different countries - all from the same soit.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I maintain that it is diacriminatory to exclude the children of Caymmians regordtess of what country they are from. I do not know why such a play is being made on communtsm, because I think everybody knows, the matowity of pemte in this countm, exactly where each one atonds But I feel that, regardless of what anybody's orinion might be, there are other oountries other than those listed in Clause 2 of this Bill, where there are Caymanians, and if there is a ohild of a person born in the Couman Islands, I boet : should be entitled to apply. I inderstand that other clauses that have been applied in this bill, have been axplained by certrin Members" that entitiement and etigibitity are two different things: snd I feal that those persons whom $I$ have put, or mate it possible through this mondment, should be entitled to apply.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH:
Mr. Chairmm, in my debate I made it abundantly ctear that I did not want to see the flood gates of these tolands thrown wide open, but I think in certain cases, we really need to look at this thing. I agree with the First Official. Member when he said a white ago - in any case these people are eligible to amoly, but it Does not mean that they will get Caymanian status.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
I wonder if I oculd just mention one other thirt; the thing that wormes me is that someone comes into this. country for three years. Agreed, they are only elinible, but they oone into a country for three yercre and you do not get to know them. I do not mind leaving in the three year period, where we know the countriee from where they come, but let me ask you this: if you want to let in

FON TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTNVITNG): these children, from let us say Cuba, because let us face it, there are a lot of Caymanians in Cuba who were bom in Cuba and who know nothing lut the way of life there.

I believe that a longer neriod would at least give us an opportunity, heoruse remember you get nothing on these people. There is no police reocrd. You literally get the people out of there with whatever the oommunist countries feel like giving them. So $I$ would say, if you wish to widen this, then why not Zeave three years for the eligible persone and extend the nemiod so that at least you could have checked on people coming from other countries, for examite from cuba? I think that would at least give a nerioct to look at the nerson.

NT. BENSON O. FBANKS:
Mr. Choirmmen, I thouiht that at
Fhis contribution the Memher was acing to tell us the countries where Caymmians were, beccuse I think that before that, that was the sugrestion he was going to make - to list the countmies whore Caymantians existed. Arypary, I want to deal with what he iust said, sin.

Let us take the example of Cuba. The majomity of Caymanians who are in Cuba are in the Iste of Pines whion is an island off the mainland of Cuba. Those parents livinh in the IsLe of Pines, who were born in the Cayman Islands, were in close contact with Caymanians up until the time that Castro took over, when Cayman stoped its trade with cuba; but those peonle have a very similar way of Life to the Cayman Islands.

For exantile, Mr. Chairman, those peaple who came back from Cuba in the late 1960 's and earty 1970 's have all made very valuable oontributions to this country's devetorment. Each of thems almost without exception, brought some skill with them. For exmmite, without them, today, the Public Works would probnbly stop still.: They are technicians, and so on of no main order.

I am not afraid, once these peonte are proceeaed to the best of our ability by the Aritish Consut or British Ambassador or whoever would be represonting us in Havana -I have no fear of those people.

As the Member for the Leeser Islands said, maybe that is our best asaurance that communism will never take root in the Cayman Islande. I. have heard some of them, for example, point sut that one of the first signs of a totalitamian or commurist state is when the covermment beains to try to manare news and controt the media. Those are the useful things that maybe they arn tell their fellow Caymaninns when these signs anpear on the hnrizon.
$T$ have no rroblem with those neonle, Mr. Chaixman - in fact some of them have come home to visit and I have tatked with them, and they have told me of their woee in Cuba and the rele of fines and how they would like to tet out of it. If we am do something to help those neople, Mr. Chairman, I am all for it. I do not believe that those are the people we have to worry ahout, wrecking this country. It is peonte who try to moninulate the media, manage the news, and thinas like that - those are the poople we have to watch.

HON. TRUMAM M. BODDEN: I am very hanpy that I do not have friends such as he mentioned, but on the constructive side $f$ am wonidering, Sir, if, in 18 (1), which has the seven year neriod, we coutd not tut nersons who are not eligible persons and who are of one parent from here, in that clouse, so that at least we would have a period of wait rnd

HON. TRIMAAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): see in relation to peraons ooming from countries from where we have no record.

Members here nemember Mariet and Zook at the probleme that were launched in that respect. So, if the House wns mindfub of that, I think and I am atways neepared to compromise, of if I am aonvinced to change my mind, but $I$ would be a lot happier if a person is coming from the United Kinqdom or the United States or wherever we have listed here, at least waited for a period of time until they could be property checkert.
M. CHA IRMAN:

It is actually after hnle past four. and $I$ should have stopped already, but I thought we might be abrut to get a vote on this particular chause. I am not so sure we are aoing to becauae $I$ think there may be a seoont amendment proposed. It may take further trime to debate it and sort out.

Mr. BENSON O. EAANKS: I mrve that the question nolv be mut, str.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, $I$ woutd just like to say that if there is going to be an amentment to the amendment I would wish for my amendment be dealt with ant have the Member put his own amendmant through.
M. CHAIFMAN: $\quad$ I was thinkina he vould be mpoposina zemarate amendment. We are now after the time when I should have stomped proceedings. It is plain we are not going to finish tonight.

CAPF. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Could we not have a half an hour ontra this aftermoon?

Hit BENSON O. EBANKS: At Zeast, Sir, yes, so that we will
De cure to finish tomorrow.
Wh. CHATFMAN: Welt, if that would buit Members. I think, tor, that the Seond Eleoted Member of Exeoutive Counoit may to going to ask, as I would need to ask mpelf, whother we might be able to start tomomow a littile later than uswat, because we both, and nerhaps sone other Members, have a commitment tomorrow morning, so that it might be as well to go on for wnother half an hour or so tonioht, if that suits Membere.

Min. RENSON O. EAANKS: I sumport the goint on this afternoon, Mr. Chairman, but I do not aupoort the delay tomorrow morning. I moutd sugqeat that you out the Seconc Official Member in the Chair, heocuse $t$ to not know about my other Memhers, hut I would he rronared to come at ten.

ION. MICHARL I. RRADLEY: The Secon Official Member cannot sit in the Charr as long as the first Official Member is still arounc.
$\frac{\text { Afr. RENGON O. EBANKS: }}{\text { I } I \text { meant the First official Member - }}$
M. CRADDOCK EBANKS:

Mr. Chairman, I might agree to go to five, but not any tater, beacuse I am supposed to have a meeting tonight, cnt I must be home,

Wett, tet us start by trying to 90 om tili five tomight, and then later we aan settle whether to start a little hit late tomorrow morning.

HON. DFNNIS H. FOSTFP: In which case I mrive ausnension of Ctrating Orders, 10 (2) to altow us to oarry on until five oretoek this eveming.

Mn. CHATPMAN: Does any Memther wish to bneak
w that Motion?
MTR. BENSON O. ERANKS:
Onty to ask the Member if he woutd trefer to move the euspension of stanting. Orders without mentiontind a orocific time for alosure, beocuse we micht be within five minutes of reaching a deciston

Mr. CHATRMAN:
Weit, I have tisoretion on the Stand-
ing Orders anynay if we are very near to finishing something, to finish it, so that is all might, but I think five o'olock woutd meet mot Mem7ers' wishes. I will put the questiom.

WUFSTION PUT: AGREFD. STANDING ORDER 10(2) SUSPENDED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think as we did last time, it woul. be best to take the amendments one at a time. I do see that the amendment you have in mind might affect this amondment, but tet us just see if we get this one through or not. I would like to put the question whether the amendment pronoesd by the First Eleated Memher for Georqe Tom ahould be accepted.

QIESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 18 PASSED.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDFN: In that cass, Mr. Chairman, it vealty makes redundant my movinu an menment to section 18. (1).

MR. CHATRMAN:
What you would have to th is mond
the dinended 18 (3) and amend 18 (1) simithoneousty, and see if you ooutd get support for that.

ITON. TRTMAN M. BODDEN:
We2l, let me tru thrit. I I move that
boction $18(1)$ be anendert by ineerting after the word "age", between the wond "cge" and "and" in the geoond line, the words "or one of whose prrente was torm in the Istande"..........

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I do not want to intervunt you, but will jou not have to detete the words "who is an etigible nerson"?

ION, TRUMAM M. BODDEN: $\quad$ MO, I said "or". WeZt, it couth mathe best go in after "nenson", between "person" mit "of not leas than" so it woutd read: "Any person who is' an etigible nergon on one of whose parents was borm in the Is lands", then it woutd have to say "and is of". So I would be inserting after "pereon" and "of" in the first line the words "on one of whose parents was bom in the Islanls" and "is", anc then I witl need to move one to 18 (3).

HON. MTCHAEF. J. BRADLEY: correctly.

Sorry, Six, I have not got that one

MP. CHAIRMAN:
18 (1) - you insert after the word Tipersont, "or one of whose parents was born in the Totavis".
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: The section would then read "ary. person who is an eligible person on one of whose parents tide borm in the Fatands and is .........

MR. CHAIRMAN: I do not think you need the "and is".
HON. TRIMAN M. RODDEN: AlZ miaht, leave that out, that is ñ probtem.

Mr. CHAIRMAN:
And then in 18 (3) ..............
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
In 18 (3) in the fingt tine inserting after the wort "perbon" - the words "who is an eligible verson" sn 18 (3)

- that nart of 18 (3) wnuld go hadk the same.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I realty do not foltow
the logto of this amendment.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: No, you wait untiz you have a ohance to goneak - I have not spoken to tit yet. We have not even mut it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think if the Memher wishes to speak to his onendment, he has first go: - that is fatr.

MF. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir, I am witling to dive him
that ohance.

HON. TRIMAN M. BODDEN:
You are witling to give it to me' I an entrted to $2 t$.

MR. CHAITMAN: Order, order.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
What this very simply toos is that
it would allow the three-year nomind or a chtitd of a parent who was hom in the Istande of an eligible person, but if the nergon was not an eligible person then they would have to snend the seven-year neriod. the reason is simply this. They would aohisve the same thing, but at teast there would be a veriod of time dturing which thev could he aspeseod before they would beoome eliaible for status.

The reasming is, simnty, that $x$ know we are going to have a lot of apnlications from places like Cuha and every Member in here knows that there is no way of getting reoords Police reoomde, medical recorde on anything else. The Aritish Consul thete is not gven a fulZ Bmbassy in Havanx - no, it is a full Fmhassy, I am sorry - the British Embassu, in snite of the foct that it with do as much ae it eon, has its limitations, because there just are not the eort of reeords that you find in the free countriss. And I would hate to see that perhaps after three yeara we aront status to someone, and we find out that we may not have granted status if we had united seven years, because they may have been, for exmmies invotved in verv sertous

HON. TRUMAN M: BODDEN (CONTINUING): organised crime in that country, and there is no woy of finding out, or altermatively they may be subject to other defects. We saw what came out in Mariel, and the United States is still trying to solve the problems that come from there. And, you know, I think that it is only reasonable if we must bring in people from countries which do not have recorde (if the Third Elected Member for West Bay does not turn his microphone on), that we at least give a period to assess persons. And, remembers, all of the preferred status, relating to permits and those sort of matters, would still be with the person, but before he is finally given status, of which you cannot really do much after it is gronted, we would have a period to assess him.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
could I just ask, so that I aan understand it myself - and it may be helpfut to Members too - whether I an right in believing that in practical terms, the effect of the amendment that you are now suggesting would be to mean that those people of Caymanion ancestry who had a panent born here, who had themselves probably been born and certainly living in the United States or Jomaicas would be able to apply for a grant of status after living here for three years; but those who are in similar circumstances, except that they had been probably born and certainly living in Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica on Cuba (and I am naming countries where Caymanions most frequently went as $I$ underetand it) would have to wait seven years? That is the effeat of what you are suggesting.

HON. TRLMAN M. BODDEN: Yes, Sir.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir, except that I think you forgot Russia - he has been noming that.

## MR: CHAIRMAN: <br> I was naming the places to which

 Caymanions, as I understand it, commonly went.MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yee, but I believe the Member believes there are some in Russia too, Sir.

HON. TRUMANV M. BODDEN: I am not going to argue with him today - I am just going to let him keep talking.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Nr. Chairman, I an glad that he brought up the Mariel Boat Lift, because that was the midioulous situation that I pointed out yesterday. If some of these people we are talking about in clause 3, as amended, happened to have been amongst that Mariel Boat Lift and got United States citisenship, they are then eligible and oan get it in three years. But, the infortunate ones who did not take the Mariel Boat lift route would have to wait seven years. You see, Mr. Chairman, what I ........

MR. CHATRMAN:
I take your point.
MR. BENSON O. EPANKS:
There is a bit of manoeuvering, and I cm not supporting that amendment. I do not know about anybody else.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The First Elected Member for the
Lesser Islonds.

## CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, The camentment pro-

 posed has inust made the thing more unclear. One section, subsections (1), (2), (3) and (4) of section 18, deals with four different types of persone.The finst one is any person, meaning anyone applying from any country - a period of seven years must be an eligible person, but the seven year reriod is mplioable in that instonce. Whereas in the second one it deale with status for an adopted child, and then subsection (3) which has just been amended deals with people who are horn here and one of whose parents wis born here.

There are three different thinge, Sir. I do not see how we oan mix three with one. What the Member - I think what he is trying to do is to extend the period of time when we witl have a chance to assess the person whose priente were borm here. Surely a simmler solution would be to extend the three year pemiod to a longer period, and anend that: This is what I think he is trying to do - rather than to mix the two, auhsections (1) ant (3), together.

MR. CHATRMAN:
$J$ think I have tried to make elear
that what he is truing to do is to distincuish hetween those persons of Cayminian ancestry who have in reoent years been resident in, and associated with, on the one hand United States and IGamion, and on the other Cuba, Nicaradua, Henduras and Costa Rica - to exclude Ruseia ant to take only the rlaces with which subatontial numbers of Caumanians have heen assocated.

I think that is what the Member intencled, and I think the amendment that he pronosed does have the effect of giving effect to that sort of intention. Whether that is an intention that you surport and welcome, or whether you do not think it is a good idea, is quite another matter.

MF. BENSON O. EBANKS: No, Mr. Chairman, you see, hecause, as far as I know, mombers of the Klu Klux Klam in America are not identified either. That is a clandestine orqanisation and if you aot a report on them from the Inited States, on even on the Mafia, there would not be a report on that unless they were really active and had been eaught.
similarly if they were ooming from
the United Kingdom on Northern Treland and had been members of the IRA, that again is a secret organisation and only some members who have oared to dectare their hand are known. In fact, just reoently there were Americans in Ireland supporting the IRA quite openty. So, Mr. Chairman, this is only a red herring. I think the Members know what they have done, and are prepared to live with it.

HON, MRUMAN M. RODDFN: : Mr. Chairman, let me fuet say:
there must be even to that Membor, some distinction hetween a country which has the majority or nimety-nime ner cent of its citizens, say as commanists or as Klu Klux Klan, or whatever he keens refemming to, and one in whtch it is a very minute parit.

You get, I would expeet, comminists
in a very minority situation, or sociatists, in a democratio oountry you rom even get one op two democrats in a sociatist or a conmmist country. What I am drawing the distinction to is comtries of which the majority population is certain - or if we got a hundred apoticants form

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): say Cuha, we may find one democratice person amongst them, but the majority would be out of a conmunist regime, and I am drawing the distination of what the majority population is.

I know that there are minomities as we ann see, from even within the House and without, even, but the majomity population in the United Kingdom are not conmunists. The majority of the nopulation of the United States and of Jamaica ant the other countries are not cummuists. That is the noint I m making.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I cannot support that TMendment, Sir, because it is my opinion that a person an he assessed in the three years and $I$ see no reason why it needs to be increased to find out what kind of pereon he is, when he tas quite a migid procedure to ao through before he can even become resident here for one year.

MR. CAATRMAN: I think we have prohahty had a fair go at discubsing this, and I would like to put the question, if I may. I think all Members undergtand what it is - it is the aneniment which was moved by the Second Elected Member of Executive Council and it is in two parts, but we take it both semarctely and as it hanas together, an it amends Clause 18 (1) and (3) of the Bill.

OIFSTION PUT: AYES AND NOFS. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 18 DFFEATED.


#### Abstract

Mk. CHALRMAN: In that case, I hope that it may now he possithe for me to put clause 18 as amenced; that is the amendment that has already been carried and it was an mentment to Clause 18, subsection (3) pronosed by the First Blected Memher for Gecme Town. I woutd put the motion that Clause 18 as omended do stant nart of the Fill. Unless any Member wishes to speak further I will mut that question.


OUTSTION PUT: AGREED.
CLAUSE 18 AS AMENDED PASSED.
CLERK: CLAJJSE 19 - PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD IN CONSIDFRING APPLICATIONS FOR A GRANT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that clause 19 do
atond part of the Bill.
QUESTTON PUT: AGREED. CLALIGF 19 PASSHD.
CIERK: CLAUSE 20 - EVIDENCE OF CAYMANTAN STATUS by GRANT.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 20 to
stand part of the Bill.
OUFTSTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 20 PASSED.
GLERR: CLAUSE 21- LOSS OF CAYMANIAN STATUS.

[^26]MP. BENSON O. BPANKS:
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Official Memberncms I coult just ask the Second on ameriment to amendment to Clause 18 (3).

BON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Writhout having fuliy considered it, I think that we do need an mendment beoauee as 18 (3) stands now, a nerson can be granted status without that person being an eligible person - but under 21 (1) (a), a person toses status when he ceases to be an eligible person. There could be an argwent for saying that 21 (1) (a) wuld only bite where you were eligible, and then cease to be it, but I think it would prevent omfusion if there were a clarification put in by a short omendment to 21 (1) (a).

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Are you in a position yet to propose an amernment - or would you prefer time to look at it more acrefully and considex, bsacuse if you would, subject to the views and the wishes of the House, we could go on to Clouses 22 and 23 - and ac back to Clause 21 tomorrow, which might be safer.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I might fuat say that there are times like these that a gond lauyer is wery imortant to the House.

MR. CHATRMAN: . I quite aqree.
ME. RENSON O. EBANKS: I agree with that too, Mr. Chairman, that is why I can aee that a good one gets in on the 14 th Novemher.

## (LAUGHTER)

MR. CHAREMAN:
Perhaps it might be wrisest, as we have got a few more mirutes and coutd get on a bit further, to proceed with Clause 22 and to come back to Clause 21 in the morming, aiving the Second Official Momber stightly Longer and giving him a chance to oirculate to the House a typed version of the amendment he proposes. I think I will do that, if I may.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY; I would, Sir, just like to get Braughting instructions in the aense - to inderstand that it is the wish of the Committee that 21 (a) atand as it is except for such minimum neeessary amendmente to provile that people who get status under 18. (3) still keep it no matter what they are.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mractly.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Perhaps I coutd put the question that the Committee agrees with claue 21, sulject to that eort of amendment beoruse it would be pointless for the Seoond Official Memher to Anought tho amencment and then find that the Comittee did not like clause 21 anyway. So I will just put the question that Clause 21 is agreed by the Committee, suhpeat to the ...........

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Six, if we turn the page there is just one amendment which is just slightly more than typoaraphical, and that is 21 (1) (e) the first word, in (iii) should be "he" insted of "who".

MR. CHATRMAN: Well, then, I will ask the House Whether they will agree in princinte. I con not outting the question that the Clouse stand part of the Bill yet, that Clause 21 (1) should be amented by the correction to eub-paragraph (e) (iii), whtoh has just been mentioned by the Second official Memher, and also by the kind of amendment to subsection (1) pararraph (a) whioh he outtined. If that is agreed, then the necessary amenoment coutt be brought to the Committee in the morning.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chaiman, Sir, perhaps if Members would tike not to be detayed further - that apart from having two lowyers in the House, we also have the advantage of a legat draughteman with us todays who is fomilating ..........

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: There are three Zonyers - Miss Annie.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I was apeaking on this aide of the
House, of couree, not on the far Benches, Sir. The legal druughteman has foxmulated an amenament to 21 (a) which is in the nature of a proviso.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Well, I think if we could have it typed and distributed in the morning it really would be easier. Let me finish what $I$ was doing. Members do agree in principle that that is what they would like done to the Clauee.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
CLAUSE 21 DEFERRED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
So we will take the clause in the morning, if we could get the proviso typet so that Members can see it. Mearuhile we can go on with one or two more clauses.

CLERK: CLAUSE 22-POWERS OF COURT.
MR. CHATRMAN:
The question is that clause 22 do
stand part of the fill.
QUESTION PUT: AGREFD. $\quad$ CLAUSE 22 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 23 - PEREONS EXEMPTED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that ...........
MR. BENSON O. ERANKS:
You could leave that for dehate, Sir, and you woutd recatt that in the Second Reading I catted attention to the fact that in 23 (c), "members of the orew of any vessel engaged in their duties as auch", representation had been made on this point and I was in sympathy with it. Of oourse, I acknowlerged that research woult have to he made into our Merchant Shinping Law, or whatever we have. But the point at issue, Mr. Chairnan, is not that the cyew of a ship armot aarry on their work as the orew of a shio when it is in port,

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTTMUTNGK for example, the point at issue is not that a eailor should not be able to go down on a plonk and paint the side of a ship when it is in port, nor is it the point that members of the arew of an airoraft should not be able to do their normal duties while on the grownd here for a turn-around .........

| think I shalit have to intermut |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| the Member.' I was a bit too sanguine. I thought we could do a fow |  |
| move Clauses, but clearly there is going to have to be disaussion |  |
| of Clause 23 and I cannot reasonably presums that we will finish |  |
| in a 0 |  |

MR. BEINSON O. EBANKS:
Could I just ask the Honourable Second Official Member, and maybe the legal draughtsman, if it is possible to do some research on it before we come in the morining?

## MR. CHATRMAN:

Pexhops they could have a private word with you after we adjourm in a minute's time. They may be able to axplain what the position is to you. I think we probably resume in the House before we adjourn, do we not?

The House will resune.

## HOUSE RESUMED

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, oould I enquire why it is that only the Members on that side get protection from the suon, Sir?

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: $\quad:$ Because the Membere on that aide are too bright.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
You aan change aides.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
I do not mean the Govermment side, you know.

Please be seated.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I would like to ask that the suspenstion, rather than being an adjourvonent until ten o'olock, be until ten-thirty or about eleven? I would apk the Honourable First Official Member if he may be minded. to put that, beocuse there are several Members, and I think yourself, who have on engagement interrupted for other matters where Mambers had to go, both sooial as wetl as bueiness.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I will certainly invite the Honourable Firat official Member to put that motion, but of course if a majomity of the Membere favour the normal time, they will have an opportimity to say oo and to vote acoordingly.

## ADJOURNMENT:

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I move the adjourwnent of the Honourable House until eleven o'clock tomoxrow morning, Sir.

MR. BKNSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, if the Honourable Firet Official Member is available to go in the Chair, I would suggest that the adjoumnent be moved until ten o'olook. Of couree, if we do not have a quorum to go on, well then, that is a different matter. But, $I$ do not think that the matter for which the Honourable House is being sought to be deloyed is a matter of publio importonoe to the extend that the business of the House shoutd be disrupted.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think that my suggestion is that a number of Members feel like that. It oun oome to a vote and we aan decide. I would wish to be guided by the wishes of the fouse, but I will first put the question that this House do adjoum until eleven o'alook tomorrow morning?

QUESTION FUIS: AGREED. AT 5:04 P, M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED
UNTIL 11:00 A.M., FRIDAY, I 4 TM
SEPTEMBER, 1984.
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### 11.11 A.M.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated. Proceedinge are reatoned. Presentation of Papers and Reports. The acting Third Official Menber.

## PRESENTATION OF REFORTS

## FINANCE COMMITTEE

## HON. LEMUEL HURLSTON:

Mr. President, under Standing Orders I beg to lay on the table of this Honourable House Reporte of the Stonding Finance Committee Neetings held on the 7th Septerber, 1984 and the 10th September, 1984.

MR. PRESIDENT: So ordered.
HON. LEMUEL HURLSTON:
Mr. President, on the 7th September, 1984 the Standing Finance Committee met, considered and approved supplementary expenditures totalling CI\$671,096 covering the fotlowing items of expenditure:

1. $\operatorname{HEAD} 7$ - FOLICE DEPARTMENT

SUB-EBAD $6-\quad$ UTILITTIES
.CI\$3, 500
(Additionat funds to purohtee water for Potice
Heqdquartere and Sub-Stations)
SUB-HEAD 12 - VEHICLE HIRE AND MAINTENANCE
(Additional funds to meet conmitments in relation
to police vehicles, expenses not budgeted for in
the 1984 Budget). Amovnt approved....................CI $\$ 85,000$
2. $\operatorname{HEAD} 10$ - FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

SUB-HEAD 19-- LOANS
(Funds to cover unsecured loans to provide
assistance to the following private schools:-
(a) Triple $C$ School - over a 10 year period with interest at 72 per eent, repayment
commencing in September, 1985).
(b) Our Lady of Perpetwat Help P......................CI\$100,000
sohool -
at 7 per ant period of 10 years with interest
at $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum, repayment commeneing in September, 1985)
(c) Edmer schoot .............................................. $\$ 125,000$
(c) Edmer School - over a 10 year period with interest at 7 per cent per annum, repayment commencing in September, 1985)..........................CI\$60,000
3. HEAD 14 - HEALTH, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATTON SUB-HEAD ${ }^{8}$ - MEDICAL CASES
(Finds to cover on unusual overseas medical
expense).
. . . . . . . . . . . ....................
$\frac{\text { SUB }}{\text { (FindeAD }}$ - - aseiat the CazTS GRANTS
Bonds to abezat the Cayman Islande Amateur Boxing Assooiation Ltd. Once the aseociation hase raised $\$ 40,000$ on its own Government will match on a dotiar for dollar basis funds to the extent of
.CI\$75,600
$\frac{\text { HEAD } 15 \text { - EDUCATION DEPARTMENT }}{\text { SUB-HEAD } 1-}$
(Funds to cover salaries of five teachers
for the Middle and High Schoots)........
SUB-READ 14 - BOOKS AND EQUIPMENI
TFunds to cover books and equipment for
the Middle and Hirh Schools due to increased enrolment)

CI\$23,000
5. HEAD 18 - AGRICULITURE, LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES

SUD-KEAD ? * ATMMINISTRATION
(Funds to cover fees and expenses for the
Cayman Turtle Faxm oonsultancy and to complete the project).
.CI\$59,436
6. HEAD 25-TOURISM

SUB-AEAD 7 - LOCAL PROMOTION:
(Finds to complete the roofing of three uncompleted chops at the Hell project in West Bay).................CI\$10,000
7. IEAD 41 - LOCAL CONSTRUCTION


At the Standing Finunce Committee Meeting held on 10th Septomber, 1984, Mr. President, a avo of \$43,000 was approved in respect of Head 7, Police Department, Sub-Head 1, Personal Emoluments, these funds being in reppect of two replacements and five adilitional specialist officens being recruited in the Polioe Department.

Thank you, sir.
MR. PRESIDENT:
In acoordance with the proviaions of Stonding Order 67, paragraph (4) the House is deemed to have agreed to the motion.

Before we resume the Committee stage consideration of the Bitl to repeat and replace the Caymanian Protection Law I have one announcement to make.

A apecial report from the Auditor
General was laid yesterday. As Members will have noted it dealt with the answer given last week by the Honourable Third officiat Member to a parliamentary question. That Member is at present avay. He unfortunately therefore has no opportunity to olarify the position and will have none before the dissolution. The Acting Financial Secretaxy should not be expected to do so: insteal. Nevertheless a few conments are desirable.

Firat, had I considered that some parte of the answer threw doubt on the Auditor Generat "s integrity I should have ruled them out of order, but in m, present view this was neither the intention nor the effect of anything said. Criticism rather centred on the fact that most figures were estimates and necessarily imprecise or, in one case as has been acknowledged, incorrect.

What shoulit have, however, been addect was that the Auditor General had himeelf drown attention to their

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): inprecision and that he has a statutory duty to ascertain whether in his opinion essentiat reoords are sufficient to safeguard the controt of revenue, a duty which cannot always be discharged without estimating revemue.

Second, the Audit Law provides that
the Auditor General may at any time if it appears to him to be desirable, tranamit a special Report on any matter incidental to his powers and duties under this Law. Such a Report should therefore be limited to matters of the kind specified. It has been represented to me that parts of the Report laid yesterday went beyond such matters and were ultra vires as a result.

Whitst I had taken the view that
Section $9(3)$ of the Audit Law required that the Report be 2aid, I shall be considering what action may be desirable to safeguard against the inclusion of any inappropmate material in future.

Item 2 on the Order Paper, Bille.
The House will rearme in committee.

## GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

BILLS

## COMMITTEE THEREON

## THE CAYMANIAN PROTECTION BILL, 1984

MR. CHAIRMAN:
At the time of the adiourmment yesterday we had, according to my recorde, begun to diacuse clause 23 but had left clause 21 over for disousaion today. Subject to the Conmittee's wishes it may be convenient to go 3aok, we had not got very far with Claube 23. Int ua ro back firet to Soction 21 so we do not forget it, deal with that ani then move to Clause 23 and continue through. So unless any Member wishes to suggest otherwise I propose now to return to Clause 21. I will ask the Clerk to read it in the usual way.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 21- LOSS OF CAYMANIAN STATUS.


#### Abstract

MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 21 stand part of the Bilt and hefore I put that question I think att Members should by now have received a copy of a Cormittee Stage amendment to the Clause which the Third Elected Member for Weat Bay proposes to move. So $I$ will invite him to move it.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, assuming that your permiseion has been given for the amendment I propose that the following amendment be moved to Clause 21(a) of the Biil. That is that Clause 21 (a) of the Bill be conended by substituting a coton for the semi-ooton at the end and by adding the following proviso:


"PROVIDED that this paragraph does not apply to a person to whom status has been granted under section 18(3);".

The effect of this, Mr. Chairman, would be to preserve the rights of persons granted Caymanian status under 18(3) as amended yesterday, who but for this amendment would tose status under Section 21(a).

MR. CHAIRMAN:
If I sould just add I think alt the time you reatly mean Clause $21(1)(a)$ because there is a (1) (a) and $a(3)(a)$.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Sir, 21(1)(a). That is the way the amendment reads. If $I$ am not saying (i) (a) I (m sorry.

MR. CHAIRMAN
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: amendnent reads, Sir.

There is a(3) (a) as welt and....

MR. CHAIRMAN: Does any Honourabte Member wish to speak to that amendment? If not I wizl put the question that the Clause be amended as moved by the Third Elected Member for West Bay.
(̧UESTION PUI': AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: If I may be permitted, sir, just to mention a small typographicat error seeing it is an amenoment not the substantive text. It shoult, I think, be a semi-coton at the end inctead of a full stop because wo are inserting it.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I accepted that as a tuporraphical error which you would correct.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Fine. I will now unless any Member wishes to speak to the clause as amended put the question that the Clause as amended do stand jart of the Bill.
qUESTION PUT: AGREED. GLAUSE 21 AS AMENDED PASERED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Clause 22 has been dealt with already.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 23 - PERSONS EXEMPTED.

MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause e3 do stand part of the Bill and I recollect the Third Elected Member for West Bay had begun to speak on the Clause yesterday.

MR. BENSON O. EXANKS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, an amenament to $23(c)$ is with the table and it is being airculated.

MR. CHATRMAN: You are quite right. I have had it.
I am borry.
Mr. BENSON O. EBANKS: However, Mr. Chaiman, after having this typed, out of an abundance of caution I have thought it. advisable to add something to the typed version of the amendment. Because there seems to be a arey area as to whether The British Merchant Shipping Lcw or any other Law would come into affect, and they would have any retevanee. So for the avoidance of doubt and out of an abundance of oation I would like to add the following words to the printed version which has been circulatel and that is, "except such axemptions".

MR. CHAIRMAN: Could you tell me where it is added?
Is it added at the end?
MR. IBENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Six, "except such exemptions which $m_{a y}$ be relevant under any other Lua or laws enforced in the Istands".

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Perhaps I could read out the onendment as I understand it now to be so that all Members are ctear. The proposal is that Clause 23 (c) be amiended by substituting a aolon for the semi-colon after' the word 'such' and adding:
"PROVIDED that for the avoidance of doubt it is to be understood that this exemption does not apply to the hiring or reomitment of crew on a permanent basis of vessets belonging to persons having Caymanian atatus or to Caymanian registered ompanies trading within or from within the Islands exvept euch exemptions which may be relevant under any othex Law or Laws enforced in the Istands."

I wonder whether the Member would permit me to invite the Honourable Second Official Member to say whether, provided that the House uknted and favoured an omendment of this kind, that wording is going to be satisfactory or whether we are going to be perhave in oonftict with some international convention. Or whether the wording is such that it may not be clear whether there is a conflict with British Law. Whether there is some purely legal problems?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Well, Mr. Chairman, that is the reason for this ematit addition at the end....

MR. CHATRMAN:
That I have understood but I am really.... I would like to find out whether the addition serves its purpose rightly.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: SOrmy, I, Mr. Chairman, was going to ask the Honourable Thind Elected Mamber for Weat Bay whether in the formulation of the vexbal addition to his comenament he had the assistance of the Honouralle First and Second Official Members' teehnical advisors; on whether this is a thought in which there has been no consultation?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: It is purety mine, Mr. Chairman, so if he would like to have a word with the.... In fact, Mr. Chairman, I discussed the first part of the amendment, the typenritten part, with the legat draughteman this morning. But when I sat here it struck me that out of an abundance of caution $I$ should adat this.

HON. MTCHAEL J. BRADLEF: This, Nr. Chairman, Sir, with reopect was the type of gituation $I$ hope to avoid and that is wony I had the semices available of the draughtsman. Could we perhaps go ahead and recommit this when we have had time to think about it?

MR: CHATRMAN:
I think that would be wiser because....
MR. BENSON O. EDANKS:
that would he fine with me, sit.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN.
I would just like to ask for a couple of otamifications on this before it goes into the drafting stage. Do you understand that when this goes through every ship and every plane or anything that is either owned by a person having Caymanian statue or it is a Caymanian registered company, whatever is meant there, trading from within the Istande, everybody who is on that has to have a work permit. So that unless you are falting within these categomies here, in other wordo it is exempting from the exemption. So if it is owned by a Caymanian then the exemption

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (COWTINITNG): does not apply. In other words you have got to get work permits on every ship and every vessel unless you aan bring it under being owned by a person having Caymanian status or if it is a oompany. You are exempted from hoving work permits. This Section here as I see it is esempting all except Caymanian companies from having permits. It is not exempting them from the exemption as such so if it is a Caymanion compony it would not have to have permits on if it is a person of Caymanion status they would not have to have permite. Otherwise anybody outside that class has to have work permits on any vessel coming within the Istande.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
of the conendment at alt. The oriendmen wous is not the effect in ane amendent would read in its antirety, in other words the (e) which is there would remain:
"Members of the crew of any vessel engaged in their
duties as such:"
That gives exemption to arews of vessels carrying on their normal work while in our port or on the ground at the airport or whatever. I have gone on now to bay that the intention of the lifu was never to put the recruitment of orew of Caymanian owned vessets or companies registered here outside of the ambit of the Caymanian Protection Law and that is what this amendment is seeking. The Member should understand that the Caymanian Protection Law exempts persons of Caymonian status from having to get a work permit so any orers member who is a person of Caymanitin status could be hired without a work permit.

HON: MICHAEL J. DRADLEY:
aec it Mr. Chaixman, if I may I think as I see it that the words "for avoidance of doubt" are very usefully put in here because if this amenament goes through it will mean, for example, if I have a ship, if I oum a ship as a person not having status, that I do not need work permite for mu crew. Whereas if the Third Elected Member for Weat Bay say owned the Queen Anne's Revenge, he would need work permite for his expatriate staff. I to not think that is what he intends.

## MR. CIIAIIRMAN:

gensible..... Please let me a 1 think that perhape it would be ensible....... lease let me ppeak. I think it would be sensible to defer consileration of this Clause in order that the time of alt Members should not be taken up in an attempt to get the wording of the amendment such as will acourately refleat the mover's intention. Ancl it would be wiser to ask him to consult duining a break with the Honourable Second Official Member and, or with the tegal draughtsman so that they are clear what his intentions are and what axcatly he wishes the Law to achieve, and they can then put it into appropriate phraseotogy agreed with him und he aan then bring an amendment which will in fact legally achieve the oijjectives he has in mind. I think if we go on discuseing it now we witl take up everybody's time, quite a lot of it without neaesarily getting a satiafactory result.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
hetp the Honourable Second Excopt, Mr. Chairman, that it would if I would make it clean afficial Member and the legal traughtsman

MR. CHATRMAN:
for two minutes and make it welt if you would like to break off
for two minutes and make it alear, please do.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: No, and the Members, Mr. Chairman, because the point raised by the last Member is irrelevant. We have no control over a foreign flag ship. We can onty deal with ahips over which we have control so a foreign flag stip is not in consideration.

MR. CHATRMAN:
What you wish to achieve as I understand it is that locally qumed vessels should not be exempt unless in partioular cases there is some international requirement for exemption. It is more or lees that anyway and I dare aay that the draughtaman will be able to prepare something, but I would really sooner proceed provided the Committee agrees, to the next Clause leaving you to diecues with them a wording which is going to be aatisfactory to both and bring it back to the Committee.

HON. G. BAIG BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, while I agree with what you have baid I have not had an opportunity to get in a word becauee the Member moving the amendment has kept the line busy.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I promise you an opportunity to get in a word when we aome back to the ctause.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Alt right beoause I am against it in principle. It woutd mean that if the Kirk Exprese hired a orew member in Jamaioa and aame here, Captain Charles or whoever was in charge of the ehip would howe to go out and get a work permit. That person woutd not be allowed to work in our ports on that ship and this is nonsense.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I would like just to make it olear that nothing I have been saying has been intended either to imply that when the amendment is put into the necessary form $I$ am supposing it will necessorily go through, atill less to inhtibit discuesion of it once it hae been formulated. It simply seems to me that to disouss an omendment which may be urongly worded is going to be a waste of the Comittee's time and will lead to confueion.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I I agree with you, Mr. Chairman, but I would venture to say it will not have to be changed much.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think let us move perhape to the next
ctause. We have many clauses to go.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Exeept, Sir, that if we are going to
Do that may I please reserve my right if I think later on that we need to stop to get this oleared up first, because it may interact on other provisions Zater on. There is a danger in teaning something undecided and happily passing other clauses which we do not thow if this would have con effect on.

MR. CHAIRMAN.
But surely there must be or danger with any comendment that it is going to reflect not only on future Clauses but on past Clauses, and all the Clauses which we have. already passed just as all the Clauses yet to come might be affected by an amendment. However, let us try and go oheal as best we aan and we will ask you to bear in mind if we do oome to a Glause which might be affected, the need to come book to it zater.

MR: CHAIRMAN:
stand part of the Dill. The question is that Clause 24 do stand part of the Dill. I will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 24 PASSED.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 25 - APPLICATION FOR LICENCE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: stand part of the Bill. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 25 PASSED.

## CLERK:. CLAUSE 26 - THE FORM OF THE APPLTCATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that clouse 26 do stand part of the BiLL.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 26 PASSED.
GLERK: CLAUSE 27 - CONSIDERATION OF THE APRLICATION BY THE BOARD.
MF. CHAIRMAN:
stand part of the Bill.
The question is that Clause 27 do

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: $\quad \mathrm{Mr}$. Chaixman, there was a reference I think to $\overline{27}(1)(a)$ by the joint Committee and I think what they were referring to there is the availability of persone of Caymanian status and the availability of persons resident. I wondered what the festing of the House was on this because I....

MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad$ I think the point made was that if there wats somebody who had permanent residence it might be good to give him preference over bringing in another person.

HON. TRUMAN M. EODDEN: That is right. I do not know whether the House feels that it is something which is more appropriately deait with through directives or regulations or whether a bit of alteration to this could bring out that purpose. Because I think that is what, at least as far as I feel, should be the hierarehy of it and would be persons with status first, persons resident next, and others.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chaiman, I think that if directives are isuled in accordance with the requirements of (a) to (i) of this Section or in the spimit of that, all bases should be covered, Sir. I do not see where we have any problem with this section at alt.

I believe that the point which, I
think it was the Young Businessmen's Association made was that, for example, if there was a trust officer employed in the Islands. whose wife was a qualified lawyer that it woutd be better to give the wife of that trust officer a permit to practice as a tawyer rather than hoving to go and recrutt another lauyer who probably would bring his wife who was qualified as a nurse. That was what the Yount Businesemen's Association was talking about, and I believe that there have been instances of this type of thing that have browght this to their attention, sir.

MPR. CHAIPMAN:
I think it is probably quite true that under the present wording it would be perfectly possible for the Board to take account of the availability of anybody, not just Caymanians, who was resident and it would be perfeetly poosible to

MR. CHATMMAN (CONFINUING): give directions inviting them to do so, so I think that probably does meet the wishes of the...

BON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: $I$ think now they carry it out anyhow, but since it was raised I thought I would raise it, Sir.
MR. CHATRMAM: Thank you, May I then put the question that Clause 27 etand part of the Bill.

QUESTTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 27 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 28 - BOARD MAY REFUSE OR GRANT APPLICFTTION SUBJECT TO CONDITHONS.

MR. CHAIRMAV: The question is that Clcuse 28 stand part of the Bizl.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, this is where I believe in the mesting with the delegation from the Chamber of. Commerce the Committee agreed to cover certain of their objections under neneral direatives. One of the objections, for exarple, was that presently an application for a work permit would possibly be refused without. stating any reason and they were left to wonder whether in fact the Board felt that they did not need the employee, or whether it was a probler. witin the nationality of the employee, or with the oharacter of the proopective enployee. I think the Conmittee agreed that under directives it would be suggested to the Board that where the reason for yejusal was not that they felt that the need had not been shown for the eriployee, that they would say, "Irhis apptication is refused but aubmit another name or another nationality", and they accepted that. So I think that we have no problem on that point once we etick with our undertaking to do the directives accordingly.
MR. CHAIPMAM.
Subject to the views of the Honourable Second Official Member I do not think that the House can bind a future Govemon-in-Councit to issue such directions unless the Law reflects the Houre's wishes. I an sure that any future fovernor-inCouncit liould iake note of the wrishes expressed by this House although those wishes were not given the force of lav but unless you amend the Law....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I am anare of the legat position, Mr. Chaimarl, and I would not auggest that any amendment be put to the Licu. I was just putting the spirit of this section before the House.

MR. CHATRMAN: Intess any Member wishes to speak further I will put the question that Clause 28 stand part of the Dill.
OIIESTION FUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 28 PASSED.

## CLERK: CLAUSE 29 - LICGNCE FEES.

[^27]HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTTNUING): it again. However the fees are dealt with wnder the regulations and I am wondering whether that may not be the more appropriate place to deal with it.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think that is where it would have to be dealt with, but....

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I just raised it because....
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I have just been speaking to the Acting Honourable Third Official Member. I think abatement and waiver can be dealt with under regulations, because if we prescribe them we can prescribe them in a partioulow manner and I feet that the Financial Seoretary will give due note to the wishes of the House.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: That was my understanding, Nr. Chaiman, that there would be a remisaion of fees whioh I mentioned in the debate, and in the Select Conmittee I was made to understand that this would be taken aare of in the regulations.
$\frac{\text { MR. CHATRMAN: }}{\text { stances? }} \quad \therefore \quad$ An abatement under speaified oirorm-
stances?
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: That is correct, sir.
MR. CHATRMAN: So I think that deals with the point.
In that case I con put the question that Clause 29 stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 29 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 30 - OFFENCE TO ENGAGE IN GAINFUL OCCUPATION TO EMPLOY PERSONS IN CONTRAVENTION OF PART IV.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 30 do stand part of the Bill. Does any Honowrable Member wish to speak? $I$ will put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 30 PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Before we move to Part V, I do not know whether the Honourable Second Officiat Member would prefer that we went back to Clause 23 and tidied it up, and whether if so he has a verrsion which could be show to the Third Elected Member for Weat Day.Or is it better to leave this open....

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: My understanding was, Mr. Chairman, Sir, that the legat droughtaman was in the process of having typed a re-worded amendment for the approval of the Honourable Thixd Elected Member for West Bay. I do not know whether the Honourable Third Elected Member for Weat Bay has seen an amended version yet. No? It is still being typed then.

MR. CHATRMAN:
All right let us proceed then to
Clause 31.
CLERK: CLAUSE 31 - APPLICATION OF OTHER LAWS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: part of the Bitz.

QUESTITON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 31 PASSED.

CLERK;
CLAUSE 32 - GENERAL PROHIBITION EROM ENTRY INTO THE
ISLANDS WITHOUT SPECIFIC PERMISSION OF IMMIGRATION OFTICER.
MR. CHATMMAN:. The question is that Clause 32 stond part of the Ditl.

QUESTTON PUT: . AGREED. CLAUSE 32 TASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 33 - CERTAIN PERSONS DEEMED NOT TO HAVE ENTERED THE ISLANDS.

HON. MICHAEL J. ARADLEY: "This, Mr. Chairman, I think bringe me back to my original point that I think we would need to know the wording of what the proposed amendment is and whether it has been carried before we coneider this because this Clause referg to crewe and passengers in transit in a vessel.

MR. CAAIRMAN: If the Committee agrees $I$ witl then leave over Ctause 33 to be dealt with after we have further discussed Clause 23 and we can go on to Clause 34 now.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I accept that, Mr. Chaiman, but I do not think the amendment affects this.

MP. CHATRMAN: I think if the Honourable Second Official Members is of the opiniom that it would be unwise to proceed with this the Committee may prefer to accept his view.

CLERK: CLAUSE 34 - DUTY TO PRODUCE PASSPORT', ETTC.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 34 do stand part of the Bil2.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 34 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 35 - PROHIBITED TMMIGRANTS NOT ALLOWED TO ENTER.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 35 stond
part of the Ditl.
QUESTION PUT:
MR. CHAIRMAN: Oh, I am sorpy. Have I....
MR. DENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chaiman, yes Sir, this is the Seation now which would have prevented, but for an oversight, $M x$. Jinmy Hoffor from coming here in 1975 or 7976 , whenaver it was he came.
$\frac{\text { HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: }}{\text { in } 1975 ?} \quad$ My only conment is who tet him in in 1975?

MR. CHATRMAN: Neither of those asides appears to affect the subject of the clause.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: What I woutd tike to know, Mr. Chairman,

MR. CHATRUAN: What we should alt like to know is
whether either Member wishes to amend the clause concerned? Since

MR. CHAIRMAN (CONTINUING): neither appears to $I$ think I will go ahead and put the question that Clause 35 stand part of the Bilt. QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 35 FASSED. CLERK: CLAUSE 37....

MR. CHATRMAN: That was Clause 35 we did was it? Have I gone wrong? on my notes we have just done clause 35 and we are now on Clause 36.

CLERK: CLAUSE 36 - PROHIBITED IMMIGRANTS.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question ie that Clause 36 stand part of the Bizl.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 36 PASSED.
CLERK: CLLAUSE 37 - ESTADLISHMENT OF STOP LIST.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 37 stand part of the Bitl.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. GLAUSE 37 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 38 - GOVERNOR MAY ISSUE ENTRY PERMIT
MR. CHATRMAN:
part of the Bill.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 38 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 39 - ENTRY BY PERSONS OTHER THAN PERSONS OF CAYMANIAN STATUS OR EXEMPTED PERSONS.

MR., CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 39 stand part of the Bill. I witl put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 39 RASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 40 . REQUIREMENTS TO BE SATISFIED BY VISITORS.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 40 do stand part of the Bilz.

QUESTTON PUT: AGREED, : CLAUSE 40 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 41 - SAFEGUARDS REGARDING PERMISSION TO LAND, ETC.
MR. CHATRMAN: The question is that Clause 41 stand
QUESTTION PUT: AGREED. CLAI/SE 41 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 42 - GRANT OF PERMISSION TO REMATN IEERMANENILY IN THE ISLANDS AND TEMPORARY LICENGES FOR GAINFUL OCCUPATION.

MR. CHATRMAN: I have seen copies of notices of two separate proposed anendments to clause 42.

MR. NORMAN BODDEN:
MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN: One is to Clause 42(1) and is to be moved by the Honourable First official Mernber and the other is to Clause $42(2)(i i)$ to be moved by the Firgt Elected Member for George Town.

MR. W. NORMAN DODDEN: That is correet, Mr. Chatrman.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I do not think they are related are they? I think they deal with quite separate issues.

HON. MICHAEL $\pi_{0}$ BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, I seem to have Zost my proposed amendment to 42 (1). Perhaps the table could suppty me with it.

MR. BENSON O.EDANKS:
Mr. Chairman, if there is an amendment standing in the nome of the Honourable second Official Member, I have not got that either. I see one from the Honourable Eirst Official Member.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think I said the Honoumabte finst Official Member. I certainly intended to ady that it was the Honourable Firet Officiat Member who was to move the omendment. The Honourable Second Official Mamber simply wanted a copy of it. Am I might? Ferhape the Honourable Second Offioial Member can advise me, in believing that these two amendments are not interrelated. They deat with separate issues and therefore we can take them one at a time, and it may be convenient to take first the one dealing with 28 (1) and then the one dealing with 42(2). There may be other Members who have amendments of which I have not had notice but let us deal with these two finst.

So could $I$ start by inviting the Honourable First Official Member to move the amendment of which he has given notice.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Yee Sir, 42(1) Sir. The paper has been circulated but to make it a little olearex it is just substituting "person" for "visitor" and then taking out "uncter section 39 " and ineerting "to land, remain or reside in the Islands". That is the effect of the written amendment which was circulated.

MB. CHATRMAN:
Could you erplain its purpose.
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Yes Sir. How it is nou, it would onty apply to a visitor, and in consultation with the chief Immigration Officer it was decided it would be better to broaden it which would then make it much easier for administrative purposes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: In other woxds there mas bs some people to whom it is wished to grant permission to remain permanently who are not visitors, but who are here on some other basis, and ss the Bitl is now worded it is not possible to give them permission. However if the Bill were amended as you propose it would be possible.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I think in faimess to Members I should say that $I$ had not adverted my mind to this and $I$ do not think Members of the Seleat Conmitt had either, I support the Honourable First official Member because as it is presently worded without amendment, it would oreate difficulties in

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONRTMUING): transforming your status from work pexmit to permanent residents and thereafter to enter into employment. I do not think it in any way changes the intention of the Select Committee's reconmendation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Does any Member wieh to spoak on that amendment? In that case I will put the question that Clause 42(1) be amended as proposed by the Honourable First officiat Member.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
May I now invite the Firgt Eleoted Member for George Town to move the amendment of which he has given notiae.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I beg to move the following amendment. That clause $42(2)(i i)$ be amended by the* deletion of the words "not exceeding sixty days" as they appear in the sixth line.
$\frac{\text { MR. CHATRMAN: }}{\text { to explain? }} \quad \because \quad \because$ Do you wish to speak to that motion
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Yes, Mr. Chairman. This amendment would make provision for the conversion of a temporary work permit to a futl permit which is not only desirable, but at times necessary. You have a oase, for example, where a person under aubsection (1) of that some section could be granted a temporary permit for say thirety days and the employer might want to extend it, and as I see it this would be a means of decomplishing this with the permission of the Board. I would ask Members to support it. I know that it has been said before that it was never intended for the temporary work permits to be used in this manner but, neverthelaes, it is being done and I feel that provision should be made for this in the Law to avoid problems in the future.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I understand what the Member is doing but $I$ an wondering if he is going about this in the proper way. This Section dealing with temporary licenges doee not require by Law full applications. Pcople oome in on vemy short notice and they are given a permit maybe without hoving alt the relevant references, police elearances or whatever. I cm wondering if we amend the regulations by which the fee, it is a smatl fee here anyhow, fifty or a hundred dothare, but by which they could apply for a permanent licence and if necessary get that fee deducted or something. I would object to a long permit being granted for somebody who is not olecred.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
No, this is not what $I$ an actually intending to put in there but I understand the procedure of how a temporary work pernit is granted. I imagine it would be the responsibility of the Board to see that the proper documentation was presented for any extension beyond the thirty days.

## HON. MICHAEL $J$. BRADLEY:

Is the worry of the First Elected Member for George Town, Mr. Chairman, that there is a restriction in total of sixty daye for a temporary work permit and it should be longer? I can aee that it would be unitesirable if an amployer was to use the device of a continuous series of temporary work

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): permits to circumvent the need ever for the person's suitability to be investigated and approved by the Board. Would his objection be met if the sixty days total Timit was extended to 180 days or twetve mon the, or is it the whote basis of procedure which is used by the Board at present which he is worried about?

MF. W. NORMAN BODDEN: It is the means really of converting a temporary into a full work permit for a year or whatever time as the Board may think fit, as the last line in that poracraph states. Now I have asked for assistance in Irafting this through the hetp of the legat draughteman and this was the omendment wioh oame out. Any other means which you might find of acomplishing or suggesting how this might be accomplished I am willing to go along with.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Your intention is that somenody should be able to convert from a temporary work pexmit to a proper permanent work permit not that you should go on for ever on a temporary work permit?

MY. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Iy no means, six.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Because I think that the amondment you
have proposed would have somebaty on a temporary work permit for ever and ever. If that ie not your intention I think....

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: No; it is to give an employer the means of reapplying to the Board to extend a temporary into a full permit if he wants to keep the services of the employee.

MR. CHATRMAN: Is he not able to do that nots? or is it that he does not have long enough becouse the Boarri takes a long time to deal with the application?

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Exactly, Sir. Normality the procedure is that the person shoutd be out of the Istands when he ape ties for a work permit but if the person was here on a tentromary work permit and the Board felt aftor processing alt the necersary documents that they would extend it, then the Board coutd do so.

MR, CHATRMAN:
Not to extenal it but to onvert it
into a permanent permit.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Wett, Mr. Cheirman, I think the question of whether it is temporary or permanent is a play on words because, in this sense, Mr. Chairman not in the sense of granting by the Chief Immigration officer, there is nothing auch as a permanent work. permit. They are att limited for a time so that it would be processed in the normal way on a renewal at a given time.

MR. CHAIMMAN:
Yes, but $I$ think with respect that
the term "temporary work permit" is a term of art used in this Law for a partioutar olate of permit in respect of which different fees are payable and different requirements are imposed. If you could have what in this Law is called a temporary work permit for an indefinite pemiod then you might pay a different fee from the fee which you pay for a twelve month or two year work permit, and you might have different requirements as to the investigation of the individual.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: In fact, Mr. Chairman, to have a continual extension of a temporary licence invotves a greater fee over a period of a'year, say in the case of a domestic. I have been through this, Mr. Chairman, so I can tell you. In fact it has been ueed to raise revenue in some instances. Where a permit would have cost $\$ 100$ or $\$ 750$ it has cost you $\$ 400$ or $\$ 450$ over the year.


#### Abstract

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, Sir, without expressing an opinion on it mijeglf coutd I drow the attention of Members to what I consider to be the chief difference between a temporary gainful ocoupation lieence and a normal one; and that is that the granting, as I see it, of a temporary gainful ocoupation ticence is in the full control of the Ohief Immisration officer and does not have to go before the Board in fult. Now if you are going to oive the Chief Immigration Officer power to grant temporary ticences for unlimited periods of time, if that is the wish of the House let is be the wish of the House, all I would like to to tis draw their attention to what they are doing.


MR. CHATEMAN:
I do not think so, because if you read it the extensions hrve to be made by the Board.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I am sorry, but what I was getting at was that the criteria of the extending of it, the same forms do not have to be filled in, Sir, do they?

MR. CHATRMAN:
What I wondered was is there anything either in the present Low or in this Bill as drafted that prohibite or intibits a person who has a temporary gainfut oocupation licence from applying for and being granted what I am calling a permanent one, a longer term one. Or is it perfectly possible for somebody who is on a thirty day or sixty lay permit to apply for and be gronted a longer term permit?

HON. DEWNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I could not get a chance to apeak before, Six. We do do tt now, Sir, but we discourage it because if you have a quota of fifty and it is used and a temporary is given you can see the difficulty oon you not, Sir, in converting that into a one year permit.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You mean a temporami ticence does
not count against the quota?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, if I may just auggest what I think would be a solution to the problem. I think we are. going about it the wrong uxy. I think if the person gets a permanent work permit and then if they leave earliex you get to apply the batance of the fee towards the next permit, we hrwe aohieved. firstly the person is oheoked. I mean this is a person who you are going to want permanently now, not fust somebody who as f feet should be dealt with temporarity only. We check the person. If the person does not work out which is nimmally why you get, and I think the Member was suggesting, a temporary licence, then the employer has not lost the fee. But what we are doing now is to bring in a person, not cheok him, give him a temporary licence and thon either go through a second application for a permanent licence which he way not get if the quota is filled. The Honourable First Official Member is quite right. So I think if we did it the other way around

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): the Onty thing which the Caymanian loses is the work permit fee and reatly if they have a permanent licence, they know they have the space in the quota. Then if the person does not work out and they get rid of him they get a rebate on their fee against the next employee. If it works out then the person is in there permanentibs but I believe that we have to be careful using the temporary licence for when we intend it to be permanent.

MF. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Maybe, Mr. Chairman, part of this problem goes back to the directives or procedures and poticies of the Board. I understand the procedure. What happens with a temporary tiecnce is that a person comes in and is granted thirty days by the Chief Immigration Officer. If this employee is satisfactory the employee can file an applioation for an extension up to sixty clays'with the Board, but if they want to extend it beyond those sizty days the employee has to leave the Is lands, I think another application has to be filed and the employer could wait for another six to mine months before he is informed of the results of that application. This is what I am trying to avoid. If there is some manns of accomptishing this by directives or requlations, well I would go along with that, but this is a problem which has been brought to my attention.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Hn. Chairman, that is why we got in trouble some years ago, sir. We had about 2,000 on temporary permits and we had a termible jot to straighten it up.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
That is what I was going to mention, Sir, because either we are going to regard what is de facto, a permanent permit as a permanent permit. Secause if we try to regard them as temporary outside the quota this is precisely what would happen and peopte really abuse it. The other thing they used to do was they would keep people on this rather than pay the $\$ 750$ or the $\$ 2,000$. They would keep them in a company, say a pubtishing company for four monthe, get rid of them and they never applicd for the permanent one. That way they got out of raying very heavy fees which otherwise would have arisen.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I would just like permiesion to reat this with the deletion of the words which I have asked for, and it says:
"A temporary licence granted under the preceding paragraph ohall be for such period not exceeding thirty louss as the Chief Inmigration officer may think fit but may be extended by the Boand on application made in the presoribed mannex and on payment of the prescribed fee for such further period as the Bocred may think fit."

This is how that would read with deletion of "not exceeding sixty days" and this to my mind would achieve what I was trying to achieve, and that is my vicu. If Mombers have other views, I aecept that, but that is my position on its, Six.

M M CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I wae thinking in terme that timited permits for thirty or sixty days on whatever would more or less apply to people requested by Governnent or fixms doing business in the Istands to come in to look into something, people of expertise who were needed, not to be filed as a whole string of all types of people ooming in and

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): making appliaation for a thirty day permit. I do not see why that is necessary. I thought it said it should apply to wherever is necesadry for advice, aid, help and expertise, dealing and working whether it is for Govermment or the private sector. Recently I think the Public Horks Department had one or two people from the factory to rebuild the mix plant at the Mublio Works Department. That is somebody with knowtedge, and expertiee to do a particular job, and I would think, Sir, that that is nearly as far as this sort of gronting of work permits or licences should $g o$.

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Chatiman, I think the Member for North Side has put the question in its true perspective becuse there are two types of gainfut ocoupation ticences intended to filt two entirely different purposes. The termporary permit as he has said is to cover mostly emergenoies. The regular permit is to filt a long term need and there ahould bo no provision for converting a temporary licence into a regutar one because it would be abused as it has been in the past. We should keep them separate.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIPKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I concur with what the two Members speaking before me have said. A temporamy liaence, Sir, is usually issued if you have or need aomeone for a specific job and this the Chief Immigration Officer can give you. He can give you thirty days and if the job cannot be completed within the thirty days then you take that applioation to the Board and say, "I am sorry, we have not been able to complete the work within thirty days. I need this gentlemen or lady, whover it may be, for a longer period". Then the Board witl considex the application at the expixation of the thirty days and axtend it, if required, for another sixty days. As has previously been mentioned by the Honourabte First Official Member I have knowledge of the prottems which Govermment ran into with about 2.000 people in these Islande on temporary permits before, and if you remove this"sixty days" as is requested, we are going to find oursetves baok in the same mess. People will be using temporary permits and will keep the people working here all the time, and there witl be no control.

MR. J. GARSTON SMITH:
Mr. Chaixman, I cannot see anything wrong with the provisions made in this Eill, sir. As other Members have already said you get a permit, a temporary permit for thirty days and then you can go back and get it extended for another sixty days. Mr. Chairman, in my opinion that is very good beoause many times before the sixty days are up or the thirty days are up you have already made up your mind that you do not want that person anymore. I think this is provided here as a triat mun and I think the employer benefits just ac much from this clause as anybody else.
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Cnairman, oan I just say to the
First Eleoted Member for George Tow that I see what he is driving
at but it is yoing to cause us administrative problems and other
problems, and if he would aqree with us and with Iraw this comendment
I think we would fare a lot better.
Mi. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Wetl. I have no option I suppose.

It has been aired thoroughty and I guess you could put it to a vote.

MR. BENSON O. ELANKS: Mr. Chairman, I had to teave the Chamber for a while and I wis onty coming in when the Honourable First Official Member was saying something about at some period I think it was over 2,000 people were on temporary work permits. Mr. Chairman, I wonder if he could tell us at what portod that occurped?

HON. DENWIS H. FOSTER: "Mr. Chairmang: we are disouseing a Bitis. Sir. We need to get on with this. This is something....

Mis. J. GARSTON SMITH: $\quad \therefore$ Mr. Chaiman, I think we are getting
back to question time.
MR. CHATRMAN:
Yes, I think I shall put the question
I reatiy do not see that is....
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: But I could inform the House, Mr. Chairman, because you remember I totd you that I had experienced it. It was around 1978 or 1979.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 12(2)(ii)
be amended by the deletion of the words "not exoeeding sixty days". That conendment was moved by the First Eleoted Member for Geonge Toum. I with put the question that that amenament be passed.

QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES. AMENDMETY TO CLAUSE 4?(2)(ii) DEFEATED.
MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:
sn. Chatiman, I did not vote on that because $\bar{I}$ know personally that it has been done in the past.

MR. CHATRMAN: $\because$ The question I would now put unlese there is any further amendment to that Clause is that Clauss 42 as amended, beoause if you will remember we have alreaty carried one anendment which the Honourabte First Dfficial Member proposed, should stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 42 AS AMENDED PABSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 43 - PERMANENT RESIDENT PERMTTHED TO HAVE GAINFUL OCCUPATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Befone I go further it aaye that I have received notice of two proposale for amendmente to clause 43. One of the proposals to be moved by the Third Elected Member for West Bay is an omendment to Clause 43 (2) and the other is an amendment to Clause $43(3)$. Again I think they deat with quite separate points.

CAPM. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, they deat with the some point, Sir. I was just going to bring that to your attantion. It deals with the....

MR: CAAIMMAN:
Can I just read it more carefully.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELIL: Both of us are Baying that if the licence holder abuses the licence then it is to be revoked. The Board witt have the power to revoke it. It is entirety left, sir, to the...I think the amendment by the Thimd Elected Menller for West Bay is a much neater omendment than the one which I put forward and whichever the Honowrable Second official Member thinks is most appropriate, the other should withdrow. I wil. witherpow if mine is considered inappropriate:

MR. CHATRMAN:
If the advisors concur in the opinion
that the effect of the two amendmente would be similar or identical chat if....

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Trying to achieve the same thing, Sir.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
I do not think with respeot, Sir, that.... They are paritilly achieving the same thing if both were paseed, but there is one, in my mind, significant difference. In the proposed amendment to $43(2)$ you are adding in that the Board shatl give consideration to the provisions of Section $2(5)$, and 2 (5 (5) does a number of things which say that the Board may from time to time revoke the terme of a licence. But then it goes on to ady, "provided that no licence shatl be extended for any period exceeding three years at a time". Now to my mind that means that in granting a person with permanent residence permission to engage in gainful ocoupation, that the Board in doing wo would have to give amsideration to the prohibition on granting a normat gainful ocoupation licence for more than three years at a time and would be, I feel, bound to fotlow the same procedure in rogard to the length of time in which permission for a gainful ooolpation licence was granted to a person having permanent rebidence.

The other point, Sir, is that in granting the permiseion the Board gives consideration to the provisions of $28(5)$ but there is no speeific port in that proposad amenoment expressly for them to revoke, and I think that with respeot to the modesty of the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands, that his also would be necessary for that specific purpose.

Mir. UFNSON O. EBANKS: With respect, Mr. Chaiman, the second power in subsection (5) is the power to revoke, "extent, revoke".

MiR. CHATRMAN:
But if when you are oonsideming granting
a licence you have to bear in mind that you might be able to revoke it, you are not in consequence able to revoke it unless you are given the power to revoke it. That would be my interpretation anyway. HON, MICHAEL U. BRADLEY: If $43(2)$ were to be amended by adding a few extra words, six, which would say:
"In granting auch permission, the Boart shall give consideration to the provisions of section 27(1), 28(1) and (5) and 29 and shall have all povers that they have in respect of a grant under this section as they have under 20(5)".

In other, words the revoking power. That would cover it.
MR. BENSON O. EEANVSS:
Mr. Chaixman, the amendment is intended to put the person permanently reaident in the Islands on the same footing as any other work permit hotder and 27 oovers the thinge which the Board must take into oonsideration when granting the liasice. 28(1) gives the Board the power of refusal of the tioence. and as I see it 28(5) says:
"The Board may from time to time extend, revoke, vary or modify the terms of any tioence, provided that no licence shall be extended for any fericd exceeding three years at a time."

Then 29 inctudes the payment. of the fee.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, the purpose of this amendment is to put the licensee with permanent pesidence on att fours with a non-pexmanent resident as regards a work permit. This $i_{s}$ the intention of this amendment and I feel that it is met. Maybe if you want to extend it and include about the fine and so on, but I would have thought that would have extended to anybody.

HON, MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
If I may come in again, Sir. In relation to the proposed amendment by the Third Eleated Member for West Bay it gives the Board a requirement to give consideration to the provisions of all these Sections. It does not say that it gives the Board the same power as under 28(5) and furthermore there is a difference between the Third Elected Member for West Bay's proposal as regards revocation and the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands in that the power under $28(5)$ ia discretionary on the Board. Inder the proposed amendment to 43(3) it is mandatory upon the bioard so to revoke.

Could I also crraw Members' attention to the Clause next appearing for consideration, 44(o) in that it saye there and this is why I want to draw it to the attention of Members now, that the Eoard shatl deelare that any person has aeased to enjoy permission to remain permanently in the Islands in any of the following circumstances:
"(c) if having been granted permisasion to remain permanently in the Islands and engage in a gainfut occupation as specified in the grant of permanent residence, he engages in the tolands in any gainful ocoupation other than that so specified on in breach of any terms of such permission;"

So there is a provision which we are coming to in the next clause which has the effect of making it mandatory for the Board subsequent to conviction to revoke the permanent residence. Whether Members wish to extend it now in this present clause to make it mandatory to revoke the gainful ocoupation ae welt....

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS; Mr: Chaixman, it wili be noted that the amendment has only added "and subsection (5)" to what is already in the $\operatorname{litl}$ and I see the point which is Deing made by the Honourable Second Official Member whioh witt give consideration. Supposing that the intention of the Bill was to put the permanent resident on all fours with anyone else as regards work permits, assuming that this Section of the Bill was so worded that the Board was obliged to act in accordance with those Sections pertaining to a work permit. I now see that they are not obliged to act in accondanoe uith thoes so I would beg parnission, Mr. Chaiman, to change the wording of this Section to say that the Board shatl aot in acoordance with those Sections, because that is what the intention is. It is not intended to areate a special oreature. The only intention here is to permit a person with rermanent resiAence in cortain circumstances to get a work permit, but the intention is to always ensure that in its actions the Board has to take into consideration the interests of Caymanians or persons of Caymanian status. It is not to put them anywhere out in their field with becond cousin status. At least that is not my understanding nor what I support, that second cousin status situation.


#### Abstract

MR. CHATRMAN: I was simply going to say my understanding is that the intention, I witl not say the original amendment or the present amendment, of the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay is to achieve rather more than was apparently the intention of the First Elected Member for the Lesser Talanda. The First Elected Member for the Lesser Tslands, if I have understood his amendment correctly, merely wanted to ensure that anyone who did work which he did not have permission to do should have his work permit revoked. The Third Elected Member for West Bay wante to do that but he also wants to ensure that no permanent resident should be granted a licence for more than three years at a time, whereas the Bitt as drafted would, on $m y$ understanding, have enabled the Board if it so wished to grant such people lioences for Zonger periods.


MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman.
HON. TRUMAN M. DODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I asked first. I think $\bar{I}$ am now understanding these amendments. The amendment put by the Third Elected Nember for West Bay when interpreting this Bill, the Board, and 43(2) says:
"In granting such permission, the Board ehall give consideration to the provisione of Section 27(1), 20(1) and 29."

Now it seems to me noneeneical that on the granting of the licence you have to consider the vaxiations. So there are two time fators. What the Section would mean as it is put now is that when you are granting permiseion, and onty when you are granting permiseion, you aan consider revocation. So on the legal interpretation there is a complete conflict. I think that what the Member means is that having granted permission there should be a power to revoke. So I do not think that the way this is written achieves anything because the Board when granting cannot consider revoking othemise it woutd not grant.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, but....

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: No, let me finish. I have listened very carefully. The anendment which the First Elected Member for the Lesser Tslands has put up to me makes sense beoause what he is stating there is that if you rovoke the permonent residence you should also revoke the gainful occupation licence. Now I think what the Honourable Second Official Momber, the t-tomeu-(tenerat has pointed out is that if under $44(c)$ having been oranted pormisaton so as to get beyond the atage of the Thind Elected Member for Weat Bay's cmendment. If having been granted permisaion to remain permanently in the Islands, the person engages in a gatinfut ocoupation licence other than that specified, then the Board shat dectare that the permanent residence is revoked.

Now I can aee that to put it beyond a doubt as I see it, you get permanent residence with a right to work as a condition. So if you revoked the permoment residence naturally the conditions which go around it would go, int if the First Eilected Member for the Lesser Ialands has doubts there then he would properly have achicvod what he is aetting out to achieve in his amendment. Quite frarkly $I$ agree, I mean if you revoke the permanent residence you shout have to revoke the work permit and then if the person wishes to apply, he would have to apply just for temporary residence with a work Permit. Dut I think

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTTNUING): that what is being proposed by the Third Elected Member for West Bay really does not achieve, at least not anything that I can support, because it just oannot go into that Bection. You cannot consider granting and revoking at the same time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I quite understand that the Third Elected Member for West Bay will want to get his word in. Perhaps he will allow me a word first, because my word is to the effect that the tape is about to run out and I am oure he will not want to speak unrecorded. Moreover it does seem to me sensible to invite him during the Zunch break to have a word with the Honourable Second Official Member and, or with the legal draughtsman to satisfy himself that he gets his amendment into a wording which witl achieve his objectives. I know he thinks he has done thie but we have not got it in writing now. As I understand it it has been ohanged. I think it would be helpful to Members to have it in uriting and to have an agreement between our legat advisore and the Member ooncerned that in their view the amendment is aonieving hia intentions.
 sure of finishing at a reasonable hour?

## COMMITTEE RESUMED AT 2.11 P.M.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
There were two Clauees both of which we had debated a bit. One was chause 23 and the other was clause 43 , in reapect of each of which we agreed that an attempt should be made to draft an amendment which refleoted the intention of the mover and was in the opinion of the Honourable Second official Member and the legal draughtaman worded in such a way to aohieve its intention. I am not sure whether in either or both acses, Clauses 23 or 43 , this has now been aceomplisted and if so whether a further amendment has been typed and is ready for circulation to Members.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I think in the case of $23(c)$ it has been typed and oirculated. I am satisfied with the legal draughtsman's version. It covers very well my desire.

MR. CHATRMAN: So perhaps to ensure that all Members are debating the came amendment you would be kind enough to read out the omendment. First did we have an comendment proposed for 23 before?
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MR. CHAIRMAN: I am just checking whether we had an amendment for 23 proposed before and whether we need to withlraw it, or whether we never got that far.

HON. MTCHAEL I. BRADLEY: I think the Third Elected Member for West Bay in fact proposed this previousty circulated anentment. Technically, I think he would nced to withdraw it and substitute this.

MR. DENSON 0. ESANKS: Yes, Sir, I am withdrawing my omiginat version and substituting therefore the version preprored by the legat draughtaman which is now being circulated.

MR. CHATRMAN: If you would like to just read it out and then Members can aatisfy themselves that they have got the right one.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS: Yes, Sir, the one which is being
airoulated now is:
"That clause 23(c) be anended by substituting a colon for the eemi-colon after the word "such" and adding the following proviso:-

PROVIDED that for the avoidance of doubt it is to be understood that subject to the provieions of any other Law or Convention enforced in the Islands this exemption does not apply to the hiring on recruitment of crew on a permanent basis of vessels troting within or from within the Islands."

That, Mr. Chairman is the cmendment which is before the House and if Members have that I would like to speak to the amendment.

MR. BENSON O. EDANKS:
Mr. Chaimacn, the whote of subsection (c) of Section 83 Woutd now then read:
"This part shall have no application to members of the crew of any vessel engaged in their duties as such:

PROVIDED that for the avoidance of doubt it is to be underetood that subject to the provisions of any other LKow or Convention enforod in the Ielands this exmption does not apply to the hitring or reomuitment of crew on a permanent basto of vessels trading within or from within the Fistande:"

Mr. Chairman, in this Clouse "vessel" inctudes aircraft, hoveraraft and boats generally.

The Honourable Second Official
Member made reference to a boat which I have an oumership in and which operates between the Islands and $I$ would like to say that my interpretation of this section has aiways been that it did not permit me to hire non-Caymanion orew on a permanent basis, or even on a temponary basis without a work permit. On each oocarion that I have had a foreign national working on that boat I applied for, paid and maceived a work permit. That has atways been my understanding. Of course that boat is not an internationalty documented vessel:: It is registered in the Cayman Islands and that is atl. It hae a register but no other form of documentation. There is not even a form of articles so it is not aubject to intermational Convention or Law as faw as I am concerned.

Frankly I wonder since I have heard the interpretation put to this Clause if that is not how some other operators of similar craft in Cayman, that is dive boat operatore' aln have 47 people employed and only one caymanian in the group. I an wondering if this is how they are getting around it.

What this amendment seeks, itr. Chairman, is to put shipping companies, as for as posailite, on the same basis as any other business or industry in the Islands. If international Liaw or international Conventions exalude them from certain things that other endeavours would not be oovered by, well then this axemption would not apply, but in so far as their exemption from a work pexmit does wot ardee under the provisions of any Zaw or Convention enforoed in the Islande there is no reason why those operators should not come under the same umbrelta as ail other businesses operating in the Islands, ds $I$ see it. We are a seaforing country, Mr. Chaimran, and if we have Caymanians willing, able and quatified to sail a ship whtoh is operating within or from within these folands there is no reason why the Caymanians should not be protected, their interests should not be protected the same as we are protecting every other Caymanian. That is all that this amendment seeks to do. It is not sending, or we are not going out into wnknown watere. It is for that simple reason that we have exoluded the provisions of Eaws or Conventions because none of us seem to be sufficiently cognisant of the rights and privilegee which those Conventions or Laws would convey. So we have said that saving any exemption which could be alaimed under

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS (CONTINUING): those Lawe or Conventions, then a pessel would be treated in the same way as any other bueiness. To me, Mr. Chairman, it is a straightforward thing and we are only trying to protect the clase of person who would be, as I said, employed on an aircraft, vessel or hovercraft as the definition states, in the same fashton that we are attempting to protect the righte and intereste of other Caymanians.

MR. CHATRMAN:
Thank you. Does any other Member wiah to speak to this amendment? The First Elected Member for the Lasser Istande.

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I would tike to ask the Honourable Second Officolat Member if he would relate this amandinent to say Thompson Shipping Company who have ships registered in the Cayman Iolands with forelgn cres. I would tika him to relate what this provision would do to them and secondly what would it do in our case where we have the Kirk Express.

EON. MICLAEL J. BRADLEY: Would the Chair like me to peply to that before the Honourable Second Bleoted Member of Executive Council?

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNBLL: The Honourable Second Offiaiat Member. I Cm BOTYy, Sir.

## MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad \therefore \quad I$ think he probably meant tixat.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Ny interpretation, six, and I would say that I am speaking without aus oonsideration aid researeh to this $i_{s}$ firetly that on the Bill before us Clause 23, paragraph (a) which is exactly the same as the Luw previously enforoed, is a blanket, earemption for all crew members of any vessel engaged in their duties as such imespective of whether or not the vessel is registered here, is operating here or is operating out of here; or whether the vessel is an intermational going vesesl or a domestio one. Wnether or not there have been interpretations in the past which howe led members of the public voluntarily to pay for gainful ocoupation licencee is not for me to determine. I am aume they were adviaed in that nespect. It may not have been the intention of the previous Legislatore to make. it as wide an exemption as that; and that is a matter which has now come to $u s$ for consideration.

In relation to the Committee Stage amenament, it specifically says that the exemption shati not appty to the hiring on reoruitment of crea. I would prefer to see emptoyment of orew rather than hiring or reomitment but that is not a matter at the moment. It applies to the himing and meamuitmant of crew on a permanent basis of vessels trading within or from within the Islands.

If the two examplos mentioned by the First Elected Member for the Leeser Islande are vaseela trading from within the ralands, and from my own subjective knowledge of them I think that they are, then any orew hired or rearuited by them on a permanent basis who were not of Caymanian status would require gainful occupation lioenose. Please bear in mind that I am not a court of low having duly considered it lut I would think that that has a reasonable expeotation of being supported as an interpretation.

MR. CHATRMAN:
May I just ask one quastion for
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MR. CHAIRMAN (CONPINUING): to be intermetated as meaning that if it become necessary to hire a crew member perthare in a foreion port to bring the vessel baok here for that iourmey, that that would be perfectly permissible and there would be no breaoh of the Law?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Y Yes.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONVELL: But on reaching the Cayman Istands he would have to get a work permit?

HON. MICHAFL J. BRADLEY: : I think it would be a matter of consideration of each circumstance and the partioutar facts of the case when the employment ceased to be tenporary and become permanent. I would consider that temporaxy would be for a stop gap measure to enable a certain thing to be done; to bring a ship back because a crew member fell ill or for some particular circumstance suoh as that as onposed to a permanent basis, which would be somebody who is signed on either for a fixed period of time which is of considerable tength, or until further notice.

CAPT CAARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: : Mr. Chairman, through you, sir. On a ship you sign artiotes of agreement with the Master. These articles of agreement mon for a year. If during the couise of that year, suppose one month after you have taken out your artiales, you go to a foreign port, say Haiti and find you are shoirt of a man and you have to ship a mon. You then go to Miami and come back through here. What would be required then? Would we have to advertise and to through two weeks like the ordinary people? If we want someone to work in our offtos we have to advertise in the local press for two weeks. We have to put in on application. We have to wait. Is this what it means, sir?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
I would think so. I an thinking about the problem which the Honourable Member obviousty envisages here and wondered whether instead of "trading within or from within the Islands" could usefully be substituted by putting "vessels trading solely or prineipally within the Istands", which would cover the case of ships such as the qusen Anne's Revenge, and would exempt certain types of shins which are doing international tradina. However, I have no idea in relation to the two particular exomples raised by the Honourable Member, in ships, whether they would be considered as trading principattu: I think they might well be considered as trading principally within the Islands.

## MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:

"Within the Islands" I would interpret as either coastal around one of the Islands, but certainly not further than between the three talands. That is what is envisaged by "within the Islands". And "from within the Islands" would mean if this was the principat port of overation. Mr. Chairman, mu understanding of the case oited woutd be that if the ship needed a member of the crew beccuse of some occurrenee or whatever in a forsign port; that would not be recruting permanentty. I would not envisage the Board since they could give what is called a temporam, if necessam, when the boat reached Cayman I would not envisage them hotding the ship or detaying the ship motil such time as all of the requirements of the work permit procecture were oamied through. I would see the ship being allowed to operate with that temporary member of the crew until guch time as the work permit procedure was completed; if at the end of that period it could he proved to the Board that no Caymanian was willing, able or qualified and ready to do the job, then they

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUTNG): could make that individual a permanent member of the crew or upgrade the member who they might have got from somewhere else. However, I see no impeliment to this amendment as regards the operation of a vessel. meaning ship or aironaft.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: About three Members have aaught my eye at different points. I will take them in turm on the assumption that they all do still want to speak. The first to do so was the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Coumcit.

HON. G. HATC BODDEN:
One of my questions, Mr. Chairman, was answered by the Honourable Second Official Member because you put the question to him, but I would like to eay that it is impractical for this amendment to work because of the special circumstances which are realised with a ship or airoraft which trades intermationally. Let ue say for argument's sake that the United States had a similar provision. It wouki mean that when Cayman Aiwway goes to Micmi all the atewardesses wouth have to have a work permit to work in the inited States, and it would also"mean if this goes in that every tourist ship as far as $I$ am concerned is trading with these tslands. When that ship comes into port the cooks would not be able to cook, the ongineers would not be able to start the engines, and it would be madness to have something like this in the Law. I think the arew members of ships and aircraft should be exempt from work permits.

## MR. CHARIRMAN: <br> Toum also braught my eye.

MISS ANNIE H. HODDEN: Mr. Chaitmar, sir, as I see this Law
it would take more than the Lowogiven to interpret it oorrectly. I ocnnot see the reason why we should involve it any more by baying that ships' craws must have work permits. I think that is very unreasonable. Now if it was anything where the Caymanians were being deprived of jobs, we should apply it to the epeoific airline, vessel or whatever; but we do not want to make this any more oomplioated. In my opinion this is vary unneceseary.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Member for North Side caught my eye too. I do not know whether he still wishes to speak.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Nr. Chaixmans; I have had quite a few years as a seaman; and on all the ships which I sailed, there was always a mixtiure of nationalities. In all of my knowledge and experience I never came across anything like a request of this kind. If you had to have work permits under different circumstances, different countries, and the different ports that you go in, then I carnot see how any ship would be able to continue in operation if you had alt these complicateit measures for them to meet. so I do not see the reason for it because as has been said, with shipe or planes going into the United States or aoming from the United States to here, going to Jamaica, going to Haiti wherever they might be going, how would this ever whrk out to be satisfaatory? So I do not see any good that'will come out of it, Sir. It will only involve more problems and prove expensive to some people. I hope you will be able to find some other solution than the one proposed now, sir.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, this ia not a practical amendment, Sir:. Let us stop wasting time and pit it to a vote.

MR. CHATRMAN: of Executive Council.

The Honourable Second Elected Member

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: The only thing, Mr. Chaiman, that I see on this Seotion on areas where we have had representation to the Conmittes has been from the pilots of Cayman Airways in relation to the employing ofron-Caymanians as pilots. I have given it some considerable thought and I believe that that type of policy must originate in Cayman Aimuays. I think the duty of Cayman Airways is to employ Caymanions if they are available. The fact that they are exempted from the Public Service Commisaion and the Proteotion Board I think should not affect the policy of this Government, which has atuxys been that Caymanians should be enployed when they are available, and I would emteavour to see that that is done.

However, as I tooked at this Gaction and started to $u$ mite, as the axemptions and the exemptions to the exemptions went on it really was getting into a horrifying scene and I would hate to get us confused as some people had been on the earlier amendments. I say the earlier omendments so that no-one thinks I an referring to this omendment. I believe that that problem which is the only one which worries me should be corrected intermally by the Goverrment itself, and I do not support the amendment as it is. I see it as a reat problem.

MR. CBAIRMAN: I Ithe we have had a fairly thorough disouseion of the amendment and I will give the mover one finat word. It is normal that movers of motions have the last word. Let him do 30.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Mr. Chairman, thank you very mich, Sir. As far as I am ocnocrned, Sirs, the whote question of work permits could be tooked on as an annoyance and a humbug and expense to people, but that is the way that we control and protect the interests of Caymanians, As far as I am concerned this is what this amendment seeks to do. I cm convinced that it is workable. I am convinoed that it is fair and just. If Members feel it is a wasta of time, Mi. Chairman, you can put it to the vote. I know where my vote will be.

MR. CHALRMAN:
Well I do propose to put it to the vote. I think that is the proper thing to do.

## QUESTION PUT:

AYES ADD ROES. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 23(c) DEFEATED.

MR. CHATRMAN:
MR. BENSON O. EDANKS:
Mir. CHATRMAN: me perhaps to raise this, but neverthelese at the risk of straying beyond my proper terms of reference $I$ will do so. The only comment that I would make is that the Honourable Second Official Member did Incus to the attention of the Committee a point which I regardad as being of some importance and from which $I$ have not heard anybody dissent. That was that perhaps inadvertently the present Iaw which the Bill before. Us refleote, in his view almost certainly had the effect of exempting from a requirement to obtain work permits anyone

MR. CHAIRMAN (COMTINUING): who works on any sort of vessel, anyone connected with a dive boat, anyone connected with a pleasure boat, anyone connected with a charter fishing boat, and I do not believe that Membere of this Committee wish an exemption that wide. If I am right, it having now come to notice when it was not realised before, tt may be that Members will want to find out whether in his wisdom the Honourable Second officiai Member can draft something. which is not open to the objections to which most Members thought the comendment proposed by the Third Elected Member for Weat Bay was open, but which, nevertheless, does achieve what if I am right would be the objectives of most Members of the Committee. That is, to ensure that what I call purely locat boats like dive boats and charter, fishing boats do not benefit from an exemption of this kind. Now it is not for me to move an comendment....

MT. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I would like to speak to that, Sir, but I would first like to refer to the old Law to see if the exemption in the otd Law reade identicalty to this exemption.

## MT: CHATRMAN:

I witl invite the Honourable First
Official Member because it is essentially his Bill and I thought he had something to say.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: I would rather wait and take a second tater.

M隹. CHATRMAN. Oh, the Honourable Second Officiat
Member then.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I am not able, Sirs to go back at thie moment in time further than the 1077 revision of the Caymanian Frotaction Law, but in that revision the wording is under Section 23:

This Part. shall have no application to -
(c) members of the orew of any vessel engaged in their dutiee as such:"
which is identicat wording to the wording in the Bill before this House. I understand that the table has got a copy someshere which I have seen of the originat 1071 Bill as first drafted. If the Third E'teoted Member for West Ray has it in front of him maybe he can look at the wording of that Section 23(c) there. I think the wording propably is identical.

MR. CHAIRMAN: $\quad$ With the greatest respect to the Third Etected Member for West Bay I am not sure it matters too much whether (interruption) if I may finish please, whether the 1977 or 1071 Law said this or that. The fact of the matter is that what we are discussing is a particular ctause. in the present Bitl which our legat 'advisor. the Honourable Second Official Member has told us of the effect which in his view that particular paragraph will hove if enacted. If that effect is one whioh the Committee does not intend then perhape we should proceed with an conendment to it.

MR. BENSON O: EBANKS:
MR. CFAIRMAN.
chance I am going to give someboly else a
chance. The Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
Yes Sir. If a problem did arise on
this and I am reluctant to try towihing the Sectione of the Iaw at extremely short notice because I find they atways get the lawyers in problems and they get the non-lauyers completely wound up to where we get enomous probtime. However, $t$ would say this. If a person comes to the Cayman Istande and he is coming as a visitor, his passport is stconped; that itise a condition that he shall not be gainfully employed. Now I think that condition, while the Law may not require a work permit, if a dive operation was found and the spirit of it was that they should have permits, then perhaps through Immigration it could be dealt with.

Secondly, if it was a grant of a looal company's control licenoe they can condition it that they shatt amploy people approved by the Board or aomething. I would be a little bit reluctant to try to touch this so quickly unless this Conmittee regarided it as an emergency, and if it has been working all right up to now I do not see for the next few months when we have no Legistature how a lot of damage could artis.. Because most of the companies have a local companies control ticence which can be conditioned, as well as Immigration could be conditioned, six.

MR. CHAIRMAN:

## The Honourable Second Officiat Member.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: If I may say, Sir, I have had an opportunity to consiltt with one of our advisors here anc. I am informed that administratively it has atways been the practice to request the type of businesses which are operating solely within the Islonds here even on vessels, to obtain wark permits, and I think if it may I should say two things.

Fixst of all it is potentially dangerous to rush the drafting of bomething which may be extremeliy complicated to spelt out acourately on poper, and eceondly I think that what the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Conncit mal have said is probabty the reason why it has never become a preasing anxiety before. Nomely, that if a person is landed on the Istande, and that is why I advised Members that I would be happy if clawse 33 were left until we had oonsidered this one. Clause 33 says certain persons deemed not to have entered the Jislands but if a person has landed and has entered the Islands he is stomped in as a visitor, that he does not engage in gainful occupation. That is a condition whether on not he is required to have a work permit. So therefore if he does engage in gainful ocoupation he would be in violation of his inmigration tanding rights. I think that unless the Committee folt otherwiee, now that we have been made very conscious of the problem, that it may be appropriate for you as Preatdent, Sir, of Executive Council, once a new session starts to consider whether we need to go further in this one rather than rush an mendment now.

I think that if the problem has not caused orisis before now because of administrative policy or becouse we were not cuatre of the full imptications as I have advised upon them, there might be no great harm done if the situation is that people landing on landed as visitors can be controlted that way. But I am subject to what other Members think.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
No, I think possibly I have raised an unnecessary hare for which I apotogise, but I was just nervous that something might have gone through by inadvertence which the Committee had not intended. In view of the advice which we have been given I do not think that that is a great danger, and as has been said it could be reatified.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. Chairman, I was referring to the 1071 Laus, Siry, and I think you said that you did not sae the relevance. Wall the relevarce of that is that I am one of the few Members in here who was involved in the drafting of the 1071 Lcaw and its passage through the House and I know what the intention was.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
is here. It is Section....

## What was the wording?

The wording is identicat to what it

MR. CHATRMAN:
reflect the intention of the With respect if the wording does no interpret it they will do something which the House has not intended which was preoisely the reason why I raised this hare. I am sorry for raiaing it in view of the advice given but, nevertheiess, you must understand that the courts in interpreting the Low subject to advice, can onty pay attention to the wording of the Lia itself. If it is badly worded they will not do what you intend them to do.

MR. BENSON O, EBANKS:
Yes, but Mr. Chairman, that is the apeofic point. The Honourable Seoond Official Member aatid that remember he was not a court of law interpreting it with due consideration. I am not going to argue any more on this excapt to say that this was put in, this drafting was done by a gentleman who had retired as an Appeats Court judge of the court of Jamaica and he did not use phrases which had not been tested and tried in court.
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
this before we move on, sir. I would just like to ady something on of 23 was intended, that exemption my opinion that subsection (c) intended to cover crew on in transit vessels or atroraft and what has developed is that there are crew members who are coming here, residing in the Tslands and either going on ships or airoraft. Since this situation has developed I an wondering whether a provision could not be put in there to the effoct that provided that thooe members of the crew of any vessel do not reaide in the Cuyman Iatands.
$\frac{\text { MR. CHATRMAN: }}{\text { or not? }}$
Are you in fact proposing an amenament
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
yee, I would propose an amendment, Sir.
MR: CHATRMAN:
you hove just given?
Would be in the wording that
MF. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
That is comect. That subsection (o)
of 23 be comended to read following the word "such":
"PROVIDED that those members of the orew of any vesset do not reside in the Cayman Islands;"
I am sure that the Honourasla second Official Member can give me some assistance in putting that in the proper form if need be.

$$
\frac{\text { MR. CRAIRMAN: }}{\text { that? }} \quad \therefore \quad \text { Does any Member wish to epeak to }
$$

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Yes, Mr. Chairman. I do not think
that we can altow that amenament either because what would happen

HON. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): to the orew of say an airoraft if it were necessary for Republic Airlines to overnight here. Would this prohibit the ores from residing here for the night?
$I$ do not think we can get into this. My opinion, Sir, is that we voted on this Clause, or the amendment. Why do we not just move on.

MR.. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
I would like my amendment to be dealt with, Str.

MR. BENSON O. EEANKS: Mr. Chaimnan, I would just like to concur with the interpretation put to this clause by the Firgt Eleoted Member for George Toum. This is a provision to deal with the temporary visit of a ship into our ports. I made this elear earlier in my debate, and I want to reinforce it with him. This is why it was put in and this is the interpretation that has always been given to it, and as I said I am resting on the legat adviae which was given at the time and untit that opinion is upset in a court of low I am happy to stick with it.

MR. CHATRMAN: Does any other Member wieh to apeak
to the comendment?
HON. G. EAIG BODDEN: Onty, Sir, I would tike to know which opinion he is talking about. I am afraid he has lost me.

MR. CHAIRMAN: He is agreeing, I think, with the
Firet Eleoted Member for George Toum's amendment. I am not sure whether it is the Honourable Second Elected Member for Executive Counoit, yea.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I need a little bit of time to think; Sir. Just let me see if I follow what the Member: was saying. What he wants to do is to let a person who is a crew member who pexmanently resides in Cayman but not of Caymanion statue have a gainfut ocoupation licence. Correct?

MR. CHATRMAN: I have forgotten the precise wording because $\bar{I}$, to my shame did not umite it dow.
Mi. W. NORMAN BODDEN: The wording was, Sir:
"PROVIDED that those members of the crew of any
vessel do not reside in the Cayman Islands:"
Then in that case this exemption would apply.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Subject to the Honourable Second Officiat Member's views I would think it would have to be "ordinamily reside". Because if you just say"do not reside" it would be very clifficult to detexmine....

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
You could say "not ondinarity resident".
MR. CHAIRMAN:
On "not ondinamily pesident", something

MR. V. NORMAN BODDEN:
"PROVIDED that those members of the crew of any vessel are not ordinarily resident in the Cayman Istands";

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I wonder whether the Honourable Second Official Member or anybody else can tell us whether that kind $c$ f amendment would have unexpected side effects. I think the mover has made olear what he intends it to achieve, but might it do all sorts of other things which he did not intend?

HON. MICHAEL J. DRADLEY: It is hard, Mr. Chairman, Sir, to think up alt the permutations at short notice. What it certainly would not cover if we are adverting to prarticular examples, it would not cover Cayman Airways employing a crew who live in Miami and work on a permanent basis.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
No, but I think he was not at that btage eo much, as I understood what he said, concerned with that. He was concerned with stopping people who lived here and took advantage of living here, and who are not Caymanians, from working here without work permite.

MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: It is directed to persons who come here and reside in the Caymar Islands and are exempt from the work permit under 23 (c) because they sign on as a orew member of whether it is an aireraft or vessel or pleasure exaft or whatever.

MR. CHATRMAN: Could you put it, just. staff of Repuibite Airtines who come and live here or would that never happen?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: What you could have, Sir, is
a person resident here employed by an internationat ahipping company whose vessel comes here. Technically in those" oircunstances that company would require that person to have a work permit becauee it is a general non exemption for anybody resident here. So if any vessez or orew has a person who is resident here in their emptoyment as the crew of a ship, once they came within our jurisdiction they would be committing an offence by having him working.

It may be far fetched, tht you did ask me.

SON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman. non-Caymanian arew members of Cayman Arrways aan only live one place or the other, Miami or here, and I am afraid most of them do reside here when they are not fiying.

If something is working well, six,
leave it alone. Do not try to fix it.
MISS ANNIE $H$. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, that is exactly what $\bar{I}$ wais going to say. If we have some Law whioh is working well, why interfere with it. I am very sure, Sir, that before dune, 1265, we will see that this Law will have to be amended and in the meantime if we find the Law is not working we can put in all these amall amendments then. I think that we are wasting time now and should get on with the bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Let us put the amendment to the vote.
QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 23(c) DEFEATED.
MR. CHATRMAN: In that case let me put the queetion
that Clause 23, which has not in the event after all this been conended, stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: • AGREED. CLAUSE 23 PASSED.

That takes us, I think, to clause 43 and before we broke for lunch the question of whether......

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: SOrry, Sir, could we nemhaps recommit Clause 33 and dispose of it which was the one which I wanted to see where. ........

CLERK: CLAUSE 37 - CRRTAIN PERSONS DFEMED NOT TO IAAVE ENTETED THE ISLANDS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clause 3.3 do stand part of the Bill. Unless ant Member uishes to speak I witl put that question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUISE 33 PASSED.


HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: My inderstanding, Mr. Chairman, Sir, was that the Third Elected Member for West Bay in consultation with mis Legal draughtsman did prepare a revamped proposed amendment. However, since they are both outaide the Chamber at this moment it is hard for me to know exactly what they have done because I was shown a cony of $i t$, hut.....

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Wett if he is not here to move it, that is his lot. In any aase the First Flected Member for the Lesser Islands did give wntice of an amendment which we did determine was conceivably separate and which seemed less controversial. I do not know whether he would wish us to proceed with that before we go any further?

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, what I said before, Sir, if the amendment which the Thind Elected Member for Weat Bay wos proposing to the House, covered my amendment, that is that it would revoke the ticence of a person who had abused the orivilege of gainful occupation licence. If his amendment had the same effect, I would withdras my amendment.

MR. CHAIRMAN: $I$ have understood that thit the Third Elected Member for Wset Bay is not here. Untess somebody else is going to move his amendment, and nobody seems to know how he wants it worded so nobody is likely to be able to, I am offering you the opportunity before I put the clause unamended to the vote, to move your amendment.

FIDN. MICHAFL I. BRADLEY: I would see, Mr. Chairman, sir, no great harm in moving the amendment because it is mandatory whereas the powers to revoke under other Sections of this Bill are discmetionam. $I$ think there would be no ham, in fact if the First Electer Member for the Lesser Islands amendment were moved, it would put it beyond doubt.

MR. CHATRMAN: I think so.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: In that case, Mr. Chairman, I would tike to move that Clause 43, oubeection (3) be conended by the deletion of the full stop at the end thereof and the addition of the words "and the pexmiseion under subsection (1) to engage in gainful ocoupation shall forthwith be revoked by the Boand.".

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think Members know of the amendment.
Does any Member wish to apeak to it? If not I witl put the question. Does any Member wish to apeak to it? If not I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE A3(3) FASSED.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, where are we at this point, Sirt, because $I$ was with the legal draughtaman.

MR. CHATRMAN:
We are on Clause 43 and the amendment of which the First Elected Member for the Lesser Ialands had given notice earlier has been moved and corried, and I am now asking whether any Member has a further amendment. I did ask earlier whether the amendment that I believe you were preparing in the Zunch break had been done and nobody had a copy of it anyway.

MR. BENSON O. EBANLS:
We2l, I think that the legal draughtsman and I have reached agreement, Sir. I do not know what the Honourable Second Official Member will have to say. He is having it typed at the moment.

MR. CHATRMAN: Do you have a copy of it or not?
MF. BENSON O. EBANKS:
No, Sir, I left it with him.
MR. CHATRMAN: I wonder whether, even without being able to read it to us yet, you can tall us what its purpose is?

MR. DENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Six, I can basically tell you what it ts. It would have the effect of cmending the Clause to read something like this.
"In granting such permission, the board shall give consideration to the provisions of section 27(1), 28(1),"

29 is delisted because 20 in fact reatly has no application to this Section of the Law at all. That has come to our notice in looking at the proposed anendment. It would then go on to say:
> "and shall act according to the principles set down in Section 28(5)".

That is basicalty how the amendment would affect the Section. MR. CHAIRMAN: So that the principle effect of it bearing in mind that the clause has atready been onended in such a way, that is subsection (3) of the Clause, as to provide that it shall be mandatory for the Board to revoke the licence of anyone who engages in gainfut occupation.

MR. BENSON O. ELANKS: That would not in any way detract from the omendment which I am proposing, Sir.

MR. CHATRMAN: No, I was going to say that the principal effeat of your conendment would in consequence, $I$ think, be that the Board would not be able to license somebody who had permonent reeidence to engage in gainful ocoupation for a tonger period than three years. That is to say, not for a longer period than they can licence other people for.


#### Abstract

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, that would be part of the effeat, but that is not the principal reasoning behind the comendment. The prinotpat reasoning behind the amerkment is to put as near as possible a pexson on permanent residence seeking a gainfut ocoupation licence on all fours with anyone else seeking a gainfui ocoupation licenoe. In other words, Mr. Chaiman, this Section oame about because it was felt that in special cirowstances a person with permanent reaidenca might possese aome skitl whioh was absent on the Islands, and particular reference was made to the Lesser Istande of Cayman Brae and Little Cayman. But we, the Committee, did not want to put the permanent reaident in any prefexired position to any other permit holder. In other words, Mr. Chairman, if the permanent reoident is holding a work permit for which à Caymanian qualifies and beoome available to do then, bluntly sperking, the permanent resident must make way for the Caymanion. This is the whole purpose of this Law and we must bear in mini, Mr. Chairman, that the permanent resident is noxmally a person who has had to establish that he is a person of independent means and: has sufficient income to support himself and his dependents during his stay in the Islands.


So the ahould be more restriated than the other work permit holder if I have anything to say about it.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The ILause is still being typed out
I wonler whether it woutd be best under the otroumstances if we try to go ahead with thauses 44 and beyond and come back to Chause 43 when we have the amendment before us. I think that io the wisest thing to do. We witl aek the Honourable Socond official Membor to stop us if we come to a llaues that in his view might bs affected by the proposed amendment to clause 43. I raaliee that is quite diffioult, but still.

CLERK: CLAUSE 44 - LOSS OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE.

MR. CHATMMAN:
part of the Bitl.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
$\bar{I}$ have not been given notice Ferhaps I could just mention one thing. remaining thirty or the Committeety or so clauses. It might conceivably be the wish of to expedite matters. to expedite matters. So far I have done it one at a time because we were going to be coming to clauses which were controversial. Members witl obviously stitl have a chanoe to move amendments or to discuss points if they want but it would expedite matters a bit if we took the Clauses a few at a time.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
time.
I think we could do three clauses at a
ME. CHATRMAN:
$I$ think we will do that.

```
CLERK: CLAUSE 45 - DETENITON OF FERSONS WHO HAVE BEEN REFUSED
PERMISSION TO LAND.
    CLAUSE 46: - DUTY OF LOCAE AGENT OF VESSEL TO GIVE NOTICE
OF ARRIVAL
    CLAUSE 47 - CONTROL OF LANDING FROM VESSELS.
```

MR., CHATRMAN: $\quad$ The question is that Clauses 45, 46 and 47 stand part of the Bill. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLADSES 15,46 AND 47 PASSED.

CLERK: | CLAUSE $48-$ INWARD PASSENGER AND CREW MANIFESTS. |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| CLAUSE 49 | $=$ OUTWARD PASSENGER AND CREW MANTFESTS. |
| CLAUSE 50 | $=$ GOVERNMENT VESSELS. |

Mir. CHAIRMAN: : The question is that Clauses 48,49 and 50 do stand port of the Bitz.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: In the case of Clause 50, Govermment here refers to the Government of the Cayman Is tands; Do we have any sea-going vessels, Sir, which this would apply to?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: "Vessel" as the Honourable Member has already pointed out, includes airoraft.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: I Iid not know the Goverument owned either one of them.

MR. CHAIRMAN: But it mitght.
M.. CRADDOCK EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, he must have forgotten about all the gumboate, coast-guands, potice boats and launches and all the vessels which Goverrment have.. He went to sleep somewhere down the road.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: They do not have any masters who would qualify under this Section.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 48, 49 AND 50 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 51 - DUTTES OF THE CHIEF TMMICRATION OFFICER AND
OF ARRIVING PASSENGERS.
CLAUSE 52 - DISEMBARKATION AND EMDARKATION CARDS.
CLAUSE 53 - DUTY WITH RESPECT TO REMOVAL OF PERSONS
LANDING UNLAWFULLY OR IN RESPECT OF WHOM PERMISSION TO LAND IS REFUSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question. is that Clauses 51, 52 and 53 do stand part of the Bill. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT, AGREED. CLAUSES 51, 52 AND 53 PASSED,
CLERK: CLAUSE 54 - REGISTER TO BE KEPT AND PARTICULARS FURNISHED
ZY HOTEL KEEPERS AND OTHERS.
CLAUSE 55 - REGISTER OF PERSONS OF NON-CAYMANIAN STATUS. CLAUSE 56 - OFFENCES RELATTNG TO TLLEGAL LANDING AND
FOKERS OF ARREST.
MR. CHAIRMAN: 56 The question is that Clauses 54, 55
and 56 atand part of the Bill.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, I am wondering if in the register, to cover the observations of some Members in the second reading about prostitution, if the reaister should not include whether or not the people are married if a couple is entering the hotel.

MR. CHATRMAN:
Unless there is an amendment proposed
I shall put the question.
QUESTION PUT. AGREED. CLAUISES 54, 55 AND 56 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 57 - RE-ENTRY PERMITS. CLAUSE 58 - NON-APPLICATION OF PART VI AS RESPECTS PERSONS POSSESSING CAYMANIAN STATUS.

CLAUSE 59 - REPORT PRELIMINARY TO DEFOITATION ORDER.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The question is that clauses 57, 58
and 59 stand part of the Bill. I will put the quastion.
QUESTION PUT. AGREED. CLAUSES 57, 58 AND 59 THSSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 60 - POWER OF GOVERNOR TO MAKE DEFORTATION ORDER. CLAUSE 61 - FORM OF DEPORTATION ORDER, POWER OF REVOCATION, VARIATION, ETC.

CLAUSE 62 - SERVICE OF DEPORTATION ORDER AND PONER TO DETAIN DEPORTEES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is that ilauses 60, 61 and 62 atand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT. AGREED. CLAUSES 60, 61 AND 62 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 63 - DUTY TO COMPLY WITH DEPORTATION ORDER.
CLAUSE 64 - DUTY TO AFFORD TRANGPORTATION OF DEPORTEE TO PLACE OUTSIDE ISLANDS. CLAUSE 65 - HARDOURING DEPORTEE.

MR. CHATRMAR:
The question $i$ e that Clausea 63,64 and 65 stand part of the Bill. I will put the question:

QUESTION PUT. AGREED. CLAUSES 63, 64 AND 65 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 66 - ARREST OF PERSONS CONTRAVENING, ETC., PART VT. CLAUSE 67 - EVIDENCE IN PROCEEDINGS TAKEN UNDER PART VI. CLAUSE 68 - TRANSITICDAL PROVISIOMS.

MT. CHAIRMAN: The question is that Clauses 66, 67 and 68 stand part of the Bill. I will put the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 66, 67 AND 68 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 69 - PROCEEDINGS TO BE SANCTIONED BY ATTORNEY-GENERAL, CLAUSE 70 - TDENTTETCATTON CARDS. CLAUSE 71 - REGULATIONS.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. Chairman, Sir, in the tast line of Clause 70, sub-otause 1, the word "issue" in fact should read "have issued". In some way it got from the passive to the active tense. While I am acying that, Sir, could I apologise for not mentioning it earlier, but I have just been reminded by this. This error was drowm to my attention by a member of the public who with, I think

BON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): eleven other persons had made representatione to the Select Committee, but who were not listed in the list of the forty odd persons. I said to the person that $I$ would at Committee Stage bring to the attention of the House that there was an omission in the list of persons who had made representations, and that was in the name of Mrs. Sybil MoLaughlin and I think eleven others. I said $I$ would do that in faimess to her so that it is on public record and that it was omitted from the Committee Minutes. It was she who reminded me of this error.

MR. CHATRMAN: Thank you. I think we can regard that as a printing error atthough it is a rather more substantiat one.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I believe if we are going to abate fees then in the Regutations Section, probabiy in 71(2)(c) maybe we should out of an abundance of caution put in something there about abatement of fees.

MR. CHAITMAN:
Would the Honourable Second Official
Member like to comment?
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I agree, Sir, and perhaps immediately before the semi-colon at the end add the words "and the oircunstances under which such fees may be abated on waived".

MR. CHATRMAN:
It $i_{B}$ therefore now proposed that Clause 71, sub-clause (2), paragraph (c) should be omended by the insertion at the end of that paragraph of the words "and the oircumstances under which suoh fees may be abated or waived". Does any Honourable Member wish to speak to that amendment? If not I will put the question that that amendment to clause 71 be made.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 71 PASSED.
MR. CHATRMAN: I will put the further question that Ctauses 69, 70 and 71 as amended stand part of the Bill.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 69, 70 AND 71 AS AMENDED PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 72 - POWER TO PUT QUESTIONS AND REOUIRE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMEHTS.

CLAUSE 73 - OBSTRUCTION OF PERSONS ACTING IN EXECUTION OF
LAW.
CLAUSE 74 - OFFENCES RELATTNG TO FALSE STATEMENTS, USE OF FALSE DOCUMENTS, ETC.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: I think, Mr. Chairman, Sir, if I may in Clause 72 also suggest that it might be useful in 72(1)(b) specifically to liat "identification card".

MR. CHATRMAN: Am I right in supposing that you are proposing that that paragraph be anended by inoluding the words ", identifioation card" after "permit"?

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Yee, Sir.
MR. CHATRMAN:
proposed amendment?
Does any Member wish to speak to that

MR. BENSON O. ERANKS:
I am just trying to find the Section of the Bill where the identification card is mentioned. Is that att that..... identification aard"? Because it could be any kind of identification cant. Is this not what you were referping to?

MR. CHATMYAAT:
Perhaps it would be better. "Gainful
ocorpation....."?
HON. MICBAEL J. BRADLEY:
Yes.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Thank you very much: I will assume that the Honourable Second official Member has himself expanded the anendment whioh he proposed. Does any Member wish to speak to that amendment? In that case I witl put the question that Clause 72, sub-clcuse (1), panagraph (b) be amended by the addition of a comma and then the worde "gainfut occupation identification acrel" after the word "permit" in the seoond line of the clause.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 72 PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: I will now put the further auestion that Clauses 72 as amended, 73 and 74 stand part of the bitl.

OUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSES 72 AS AMENDED, 73 ADD 74 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 75 - PUNISHMENT FOR OFFENCES AGAINST LAW. $\quad$ CLAUSE 76 - OFFENCES AGAINST LAW BY CORPORATIONS, LIABILTTY
OF OFFICERS, ETC.
CLAUSE 77 - EVIDENCE IN PROCEEDINGS TAKEN UNDER OR IN
CONNECTION WITH LAW.
CAPT, CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chaixman, Clause 76, sirs wite I have not put in an amendment $I$ would like consideration to be given to Section 76 where they have "secretary or other officer" ae being peopte who would commit an offence. I do notrsee, sir, that they should be inctuded. It should be the managing dimeotor, manager and directors.

MR. CHATRMAN: I have been advised that the tape is about to rwo out. I had been hoping that within thirty aeconds or so we would have finished all the Clauses apart from the ones which we have to go back on. It seoms to me now possible that there may be a bit of discussion about clause 76 so I think perhaps rather than embark on that discussion, because it is ateo time for our customary break, I would suspend proceedings for mproximately fifteen minutes.

I would, perhaps if I may, just remind Members that I did circulate to them a brief note about thie Bill a day or two ago whioh was further to a private discusaion we had earlier, asking if we could have a further word about it. It might be convenient to do so immediately after the ahort break now. I do not think it will take more than a few minutes. I hope it will not. So poseibly after we have had a oup of tea or whatever we could meet very briefly in the Committee Room.

## MR. CHAIRMAN:

Please be seated.
I now have a oopy and I hope all Members have copies of the amentment in its revised form which the Third Eleoted Member for West Bay proposes to move to Clause 43(2). Perhaps I could invite him to move it and to read it out. I think you have explained it already, but just as a formality if you could move it.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Yes, Mr. Chairman, the amendment is that Clause 43 of the Bill be anended by the deletion of eection "29" and subgtituting therefor:
"(2) In granting euch permission, the Board shall give oonsideration to the provisions of aection 27(1) and 28(1) and shall aot in acoordance with the principles set out in section 28(5)."

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Thank you very much.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Coutd I explain why I changed the wording, or why the wording has been changed?

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Well I think you did explain while we were waiting for this. I think Members have had the benefit, unless any other Member is speaking and raises queries which you need to answer.

```
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
```

May I speak?
MR. CHATRMAN: $\therefore \because \quad \because Y$ Yes of course.
HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: As I Bee $i t$, Sir, the amendiment whioh the First Elected Member for the Leseer Islands has put and which has been paseed, as I see it it aatisfies the query whioh has been raised. I would prefer to stay with that comendment. I think it is good and what really is being done here now is something which I am not prepared to support even thought it is now property drafted. It is really going to, I think, fairly serioualy alter the clause and it is going to oneate a lot of problems which we really do not need and I would just vote against it. I do not see why he would now like to charge spouses as well and get back to this whole decision of equality and inequality betwen husband and wife. I think we should leave it as it is in which case....

MR. CHAIRMAN:
$I$ do not think it will affect sроивев.

Well we had Section 29 in there, HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: right? Section 29 related to fees and those fees were exempted in relation to spouses. Either we are going to have to exempt them in this Bill on we are going to have to exempt them in the regulations. What I am really saying is it is now drafted. I would like to see that the principle in Section 29 is brought back in. I appreciate the way the drafting was perhaps not cormeet in Section 43(2) because it is not a oonsideration, and $I \mathrm{am}$ just prepared to leave it, but to deal with the exemption then, in the regulations for it. I appreciate, Sir, it is not

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): a consideration and that it would have to be a aubstantive clause; but $I$ would not like to give the impresstion that feeling here was that spouses should be chorged fees.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chairman, yout see now, Sir, why I wanted to
speak to the amendwent, because it is obvious that the Member who just apoke does not understand the camendment wo be frank with you my firet attempt at ahanging my original amendment was prarely to substitute "ehatl give consideration to the provisions of". I merely auggeeted substituting "shall be in accordanöe with those Sections", but when one looks clearly at the way the Bill is drafted, one will see that Section 27 and 28 deal with the issue of gainful ocoupation Iicences under Section 25 which is Part IV of the EitL. The Section which we are dealing with is. Part. V of the Bill so this is why I had to do the proper changes which were done here. Now taking out section ng has nothing to do with equality of spouses and so on becauee again that refers to the permite given under Section 25 . . The right to charge a fee for the permanent resident would be covered by the section in the general provioions to prescribe fees and I think we went on to say "and also the circumetances under which such fees shatl be abated or waived". So that is where the fees in respect of the permanent resident would come. Clause $28(5)$, whitc I could not say "shatl be in accordance with the aection", I had to aay it was in accordanoe with the principles of the Section, and the principles of that Section state:
"The Board may from time to time extend, revoke, vary or modify the terms of any licence, provided that no ticence shalt be extended for any period exceeding three years at a time."

Tharefore, Mr. Chaiman, we are as $I$ see it putting as near as poosible the permanent rasialent who gets a work permit on all fours with anyone who would get it under Part IV of the Bitit.

MR. CHAIRMAN:<br>Bo olearty.

Thank you for explaining the position
If I may now put the queetion to the vote. The question is that the amendment proposed by the Thind Elected Member for West Bay to Clause 43, subsection (2) be made.

QUESTION PUT: . AIES AND NOES.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
I find this very difficult to judge, may I call again.

QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES.
Mr. BENSON O. EBANKS: Could we have a division, sir.
$\frac{\text { MR. CHAIRMAN: }}{\text { but I would be happier with a divieion because I really was not } \text {. }}$ aure.

DIVISION

## AYES

Mr. D. Dalmain Ebanks
Mr. Benson O. Ebanks
Mr. W. Norman Bodden Capt. Charles L. Kirkconnelt

## NOES

Hon. Truman M. Bodden Hon. G. Aaig Bodden Mr. J. Garston Smith Miss Annie $H$. Bodden Mr. Craddock Ebanke
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$\qquad$

Hon. Dennis H. Foster, Hon. Michael J. Bradley, Hon. Lemuel Hurleton and Hon. John B. McLean abstained.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I declare that the amendment is $\frac{M R .}{\text { defeated by five votes to four with four abstentions. }}$

AMENDMENT TO CLAUSE 43(2) DEFEATED.
MR. CAATRMAN: I will now put Clouse 43 as conended, and the amendment is the amondment made earlier and pasaed after it had been moved by the Firet Elected Member for the Lesser Ielands, to the vote.

QUESTTON PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 43 AS AMENDED PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
We aan revert to Clauses 75, 76 and 77 which I think the cterk had read out. I understond that the Finst Eleoted Member for the Lesser Islande does not after all intend to pureue the question of an amendment to Clause 76?

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONDELL: That is correct, Sir.
MR. CHATRMAN:
In which case I will put the question that Clauses 75; 76 and 77 atand part of the Bill. I am 80 sormy.

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, with regard to Section 75, I am wondeming if the six monthe with the amount of the fine, and the twelve monthe, is not too lenient, Sir. I would think that the six months should be made into twelve months, or one year if you want to call it that, and the twelve monthe to twice that amount, two years or twenty-four monthe whichever is the most legal and lowful way to put it into the Bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Does the Honourable Member wish to move an amendment or eimpty to canvas opinion and allow other Members to express their opinion before an amendment is moved?

MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I would like to get through you the opinion of the Honourable Second Official Member as to whether the correction is in order or not.

HON, MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
If I may, Mr. Chairman, Sir, retrace the history of this Clauee. My memory without references to my notes is that between the Discussion Draft and its present form the Select Committee decided to increase the cmount of the fine, which was the time when the Acting Honourable Second Official

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): Member was Chairing the Seteat Committee. It had been my normal practice in penalty clausee in other legislation to try and guide the Fouse into a systematic oorrelation between fine and imprisonment and my own personat indications in a situation like this is that sa, 000 and a year and $\$ 4,000$ and two years would be more in acoordance with the normal balance than $\$ 2,000$ and six monthe. However, it may be that Members at the time had a reason for increasing the fine and not the imprisonment.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Does any othex Honourabie Member wish to comment? In that case unless the Thind Elected Member for George Town wishes to move an comendment I shall have to put the question.
MISS ANNIE H. BODDEN: Well, I am quite prepared to withdraw
So the question is that Clauses 75,
76 and 77 stand part of the Bitt:
QUESTION PUT: AGREED: CLAUSES 75, 76 AND ?7 PASSED.
CLERK: CLAUSE 78 - SAVINGS.
CLAUSE 79 - REPEAL.


HOUSE RESUMET)

MR. PRESTDENT:
1984.

Please be seated.
Report. The Caymanian Proteotion Eill, THE CAYMANIAN PROTECTION BILL, 1984

REPORT THEREON
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I beg to report that a Bill for a Law to repeal and replace the Caymanian Protection Law (Revieed) was exomined by a Committee of the whole House and passed with anendments to Clauses 8, 18, $27,42,43,71$ and 72.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Bill is acoordingly set down for thind reading, Order Faper, Item 2(3).

# MR. CHATRMAN: <br> The question is that a Bitl <br> entitled a Bill for a Law to repeal and replace The Caymanian Protection Law (Revised), as amended, be given a third reading and passed. 

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. BILL GIVEN A THIRD READING AND PASSED.

## TRIBUTES TO THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR NORTH STDE

HON, DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. President, before making the adjournment, Sir, with your permiseion, we learnt this moming that this would be the last time the Honourable Member for North Side would be sitting in this Chamber as an Elected Member, and therefore I think it would be in order, Sir, for me on behatf of ue here on the Official side to say to him what a pleasure it was for us to be working with him over the years. I did not have the pleasure of working with him for thirey-two yeare, sir., but it has been quite a few years, and although we have not seen eye to eye at all times he was a Member who I always respected. Only when I could do no better, Sir, would I really try to touch him a little bit on the wrong side. Nevertheless that is alt part of life, Sir, and I think the country is indebted to him for thirty-two years of service in this Assembly. I would like to take this opportunity to express our best wishes to him in his retirement. I really think he is doing the right thing, Sir, beoause after all there comes a stage in alt our lives when you want to do what you want to do when you want to do and how you want to do it.
$I$ aan quite agree with the Member relaxing in that nice atmosphere he has in North side and enjoying the best of his retirement, and we wish him just this

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: I wonder if I may just say something first. I know this is normally said on the adjourment....

MR. CHATRMAN:
I was going to aay, we have not actually moved the adjournnent. I thought that the Honourabte First Officiat Member was going to move the adjourmmert and that witl give everybody a proper orportunity to speak, and I think a number of Members may like to speak. Perhaps the Honourable Fingt Official Member would move the adjourment.

## AD. $\% O U R N M E N T$

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: of this House sine die.

## MR. CHAIRMAN:

Perhaps I will give the Honourable
Second Elected Member of Execut ve Councit the benefit of the doubt. One or two Members eaught my eye.

HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: Mr. President, I too would like to join in paying tribute to Mr. Craddock. I think I am entitled to call him that despite the Standing Orders at this stafe.

He has for thirty-two years given very unselfishly of his time and his all to his country. I have learnt a lot from him. I think he has justly held the position of father of this House and perhaps father within the Islands. As Mr. Foster said, it has been a pleasure working with him. I wish him a happy retirement. I am certain, Sir, that having spent thirty-two yeare in politics he definitely has a crown up above, beaquee that length of time is extremely trying, extremely long and you have to really have the tolerance and patience and love for your country and your people to spend that length of time.

I wish for him and his wife and fomity everything that is good and I am sure that Members here will continue to draw on his advice despite the fact that he may not to within this Chamber. In ending I would tike to eay to the other Members here that it has been very nice working with them and despite the fights at times we have had a healthy if somewhat vociferous House at times. I would wish for them a clean campaign and everything that is good in the future.

MR. FRESIDENT: I think the Etected Member for Nowth side. MR. CRADDOCK EBADKS: I would just give way to any other end.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Thirt Elected Nember for George
Town. $\frac{\text { MISS ANIIE H. BODDEN: }}{\text { associated With Mr. Ebanke, our Representative for North Side for }}$ the laet twenty-two years and I have found him to be very unselfish in ail his work in the Assembly.

When we first met, I wn speaking of mysetf here, in the old Town Eatl, if you got a drop of water to arink you were tucky and he endured ali that. He had to come all the way from North Side sometimes in rain and bad weather, but he was never absent. He was always there to perform his work to the best of his abiltty.

I personally am very sormy that he is leaving. I suppose that I would be classed in the some category, otd age, and in oita age people are no good. Wetl i will here state that I would really like, and I hope that the substitute who witt be elacted for the Distriot of North Side will be a mon of the catibre of Mr. Ebianks. I personalty, sir, am much otder than Mr. Craddock and it has been stated to me, in fact some of those who are now canuitaates have sent delegations to my house asking me to please step down. Now I said this, I would not step down for my mother and sister beacuse it is the little pleaoure which I get eerving this cowtry. I have served for twenty-two years and I om not the least bit achomed of my record. I have tried to do all that I could for all the people that $I$ oould and as long as $I$ could, and if it is God's witi to have me come back to this House I shalt continue to do the scome as I have been doing, and that is serve the people without any thought of gain for Annie muldah Bodden.

MF. CHAIRMAN:
The First Elected Member for the Leessr
Is Zande.
CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I too would like to join in wishing Mr. Cradiock from North Side alt that is good to him and his famity in the future. I hive worked with him since 1976, and I have found him to be straightforward in atl the dealings which I have had with him. I think he has represented his constituency well. I was on Executive Council 1976 to 1980, and when it came down to North Side and the roads and the needs of North Side, Mr. Craddock was atways there with these needs, and he did not leave me alone until he got what he wonted. I would say that it was a pleasure to have worked with him in that capacity. It has been a pleasure to work with im here on this side of the House. I shall miss him and I know that he has done his vary best for his country and I think that North Side will long remember him for the many yoare of sarvice which he has given to his constituency and people.

Mr. Fresident, being an Elected Member is a very thankless job and to put up for thirty yedirs with all the hurle of abuse and all of the coousations, he has been in my books a man of Jobe's patience. I think he has run his race weit and he can leave here feeling satisfied that he hos done a fob for his comtry and his people, and all of"us can look up to him, and we care grateful to hrve had a man of Mr. Craddock's catibre in this Assembty for so tong.

I thank you, sir.
MR. PRESTDENNT:
The Honourable Fourth Electoai Member of
Executive Councit.
MR. G. BAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, everyone knows that I am a youngster in potitics, and I recalt some of my first introductions to politics. Two oceasions-come to mind. One was, I betieve, in the '70s when the Member for North Side atoxmed into the Toun Hazl which had been barred up with plywood beause the Government had been trying to pass Laws which were considered at the time unjust, and aespite the police armed with gune and the warship stonding offshore he tore dow the plywood in front of the President of the Assembly and started throwing it outsite. That Meeting was not held behind barred doors as had been antionnted, but was hetd in the opon and Mr . Craddock was reaponsizle.

Another oceasion which oomes to mind was in earty 1972, when the President of the Assembly refused to swear in Mr. Jim Bodden who hud been elected in a by-etection in sontravention of the Constitution, and Mr. Croddock was one of those Members with the courage to watk out of the Asaembly on that day. . The Meeting was held at the Town Hall in West Bay.

So therg are two of the memorable ocoasions on which I attended the Legislative Assembly prior to becoming a Member. Also, kr. Craddook witl be remembered for the hard line which he took against drugs, alcohol and the yellow paint on the roads. Now that he has chosen to retire he anruies on like the poet liobert frost when he said he could sum up life in three words, "It goes on". Last night when he stepped down like the prophet Elijah he aast his mantle on to Elishogh and I can only trust that the Elishah whom he has chosen will be as noble a person as the old man the prophet Elijah.

Finally I would like to say I trust.
that in his retirement he witl still continue the same aound beliefo which he had and witl continue to support the some party line which brought him to fame.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The First Elected Member for West Eay
frivet caught my eye.
Mir. J. GARSTION SMITH: $\quad$ Mr. President, so many goot thinge have
been baid about my good friend Mr: Craddock that it hardly leaves anything much for me to say. But I must say that in hearing this morning about his retirement, his resignation, it really was a surprise to me. There is an old saying, "the best of friends must part at some time". Now I do not mean that we witt not be seeing each other but we surely will miss Mr. Craddock here in this Legislative Assembly. I fust want to endorse what moot of the Members have said. I feet that he has represented the people of North Side in a very able way. Although in his eariter days he did not hane the opportunity, nor did he have the money to get some of the things which he has aot for North Side in the last eight years lee has always plugged for his district, and for this I aimire him.

I just want to join Members in wishing for him and his family a happy retirement.
$I$ thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Third Elected Member for West Bay.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, it has been my pleapure to work on both sides of the House with the Member for Norith Side, and as other Members have said we have not always seen eye to eye but I have never ever had reason to doubt the integrity or aincerity of purpose of the Member. I know that some Members hape said they will nemember Mr. Craddock, if I may be permitted to oall him Bo , for his outspoken and vociferous representation but I hirve memories of other sides of the Member ae welt. Because, Mr. Frosidents auite a bit of what was achieved by the Member was done behind alosed doors as well, and if I might, Mr. President, since wht I an specifically going to refer to was a joint effort, if I may invoke the nome of a former Member of this House. That $i_{s}$ to say that When the Membex for North Side and the inmediate past Member for East End worked behind the soenes to get roads from Bodden Toum to East End and North Side, to get electrieity into those distmiots, much of it was done by negotiation behind olosed doows. I know that very often achievements of this nature are lost stogh of in the more wisible signs of a black topped road in front of one's home or a atreet light in front of one's home, Dut if it had not been through the efforts of those Members to get the road from Bodden Toum in the first place, and the eleotricity, thore would have been very tittle aatisfaction in having a black topped road in front of one's house in Noxth Side. Or it would have been impossible to put the street lights.

So, Mr. Ppesident, there is only one thing that worries me about $M x$. Craddock's retixement and that is if my oaloulations are correct it is possibile that if $I$ am weturned at the polts on November 14th, I might then become the father of the House. I do not reatly feel that olt, not that I cm saying. Mr. Craddook is old; but it is not a title which I witt wear with that much listinetion, and I can give Mr. Cradiock one asburonce and that is that I will neven treak his record of tength of serviee in this House if I can help it.

In closing, Mr. President, I would tike to wish the Member a long and happy Life of privcoy and retirement and to wish fox him and his fomity all that is best in the future. Thank you.

To other Members, Mr. Prestident,
may I say that I would be a hypoorite if I wished alt of them

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUING): success at the poile but, nevertheless, I wish them an enjoyable and troubte free campaign. Thank you.

Mir. RRESIDENT:
The First Elected Member for George
Toun.
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN: : Mr. President, I would just like to asociate myelf with all the kind remarks which have been passed on to the Member for North Side, Mi. Craddock Ebanks, and I think it is fitting and good that the occasion does arise in someone's life when they can reoeive good coments and good remarks and the bouquets from their colleagues that they so rightfully deserve white they are still ative.

I have known Mr. Craddock for most of my life and I have been more olosety associated with him in the last four years, and I would tike to say that anybody who has served this country for the number of years that he has, it has had to be a sacmificial life for both himand his fomily. I can only wish hir and his famity all the best and a good retirement which he so rightfully deserves.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Honourable First Elected Member
of Executive Council.

## HON. JOHN B* MoLEAN: <br> Mr. President, I must agree with the

 Tast speaker. It is not often uou hear such nice remarks about somebody white they are stitl ative. It is atway in an obituary that you hear such remarks. However, Mr. President, I must say that this aftermoon if not one word was said on behalf of Mr. craddock, with his track record it would not make a difference. I think if every one of us after serving our country for so many years coutd look back with pride the way that Mr. Craddook can, we could justly say to ourselves, we have served our comtry.I will not, like other Members said, say to Mr. Craddock that I wish him a happy retirement because, Mr. President, I am not looking formard to Mr. Oradstock being retired. He is already a politician and I think that when it gets into your biood for that long, it is imposeible for you to retire. So what I am looking formard to, sir, is to work even oloser with Mr. Ebanks in getting good advias. Maybe he witl not be otiting in the Chamber but $I$ an hoping that if I am re-eleated to this Chamber, that I with be able to took up at him, and if I need his advice $I$ oan go up there and talk with him. so I do not think it is fair to say a happy retirement because I stitl have great expectations from the Member:

To other Members, Mr. President, I would also like to aay $I$ hope and trust that they will be faced with a clean compaign and I have no doubt in my mind that what I am going to say is hypooritical, as the previous apoaker fezt. I am going to say, Mr. President, that I hope ana truet that as many Members as poseible in this group witl be returned. I shink that our Govermment could have been much worge than it was i lthough it is a known fast that sometimes certain Members have become a bit, I would say on the other side, but you, Mr. President, had to rule them out of order. I still feel that in some way some sort of contribution has been made to their sountry. So I am not going to be that hypocritical as to wish anybody faiture in the upooming eleotion. I am wishing all well. To speak further, Mr. President, I am hoping that if we are returned here, that

HON. JOHN .1. MOLEAN (CONTINUING): this past session, this past term will have been a lesson for one and all of $u$, and that we will put owr heads together and endecvour to mun this country even better than we have do " in the past.

Thank you Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Spoond Elected Member for West Bay.
MR. D. DALMAIN EBANKS:
M. President, I too want to pay
tribute to Mr. Craddock. It was a shock to me this moming when I heard the news, but then thinking about it, thinking about the time that he has put in here. thirty-two years, I think he is doing the right thing. So I want to wish him and his fomity all the beet in his retirement.

The thing about it, Sir, is that this is not the first time that Mr. Craddock and myself have. Deen fighting for our country, sir. We were naval veterans in World War II. That is when I got to know Mr. Craddock and from then I know he was a stioker, Sir, and a fighter, and I know that he witl go down fighting. I pray that whoever fills his seat from North Side will represent that district as well as Mr. Cradiock did.

Thank you, Sir.
MR. PIESSIDENT:
The Honourable Aoting Third Official
Nember.
HON. LEMUEL RURLSTON: Mr. President, I rise to speak on behalf of the substantive Honourable Third Official Member who is currently travelling outside the tarmitory.

Earlier this week when I was sworn in as a: temporary Member of this Honourable House, little did I know that I would have bsen speaking as much as this. But I too, Sir, on behalf of the Honourable Financial Secretarij would like to associate myself with the accolades which have been well deservedty laid befone the Honourable Member for North Side on this ocoasion. As has been suggested, retirement is just another type of astivity. It is nothing to be dreaded and it is nothing to mourn about. In fact most people tend to colebrate it. On this occasion I feel that we aan confidently celebrate with the Honourable Member for North Side because if anyone has batted well and has oovered alt basee at the same time, he has surely done so.

Speaking personatly, Mr. President, I have known Mr. Craddock from when I was a little boy and since joining the Civit Service sixteen years ago I have groum to know him even better. One of his sons is currently the Chisf Engineer with Goverrment and he is about my age. The family of this Honourable Member can be justly proud to see him having served so well, bow out nobly at this stage.

I too, Sir, would like to say that I wiah for him on behalf of the Honourable Financial Secretary, as well as myself, good health and a long hard working retirement.

MR. FRESIDENP:
The Honourable Second Official Nember.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY:
Mr. Eresident, sir, I have said it before in this House that lauyers always like to have the last word, but I think that it is appropriate on this occasion that the $P$-ther of the House, after I have spoken, should indeed have the last word.

Mr. President, Sir, he is called the Father of the House and he is called it, I think tustly. In the short two years that I have had the pleasure out of the thirty-two

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY (CONTINUING): that he has been in this House, of working with him, and $I$ think the description Father of the House is appropriate because a father is God-fearings, he is fairs he is strict; he is not afraid to speak his mind or say his pieces he is looked up to with respect by the rest of the family; he has gut experience. There is an old saying, Mn. President, Sir, that when a boy is twenty he thinks how little does his father know and when he is thirty he thinks how much his fother has learnt in the last ten years. What I mean, Mr. President,' Sir, is that we alt have learmt and gained from Mr. Craddock's experience. In Committee in particular I have looked to him as my left hand man. In the community at large, as a person new to this Chamber and relatively new to these Istands I know that he is universatiy Zooked upon with respect and with affection.

I personally thank him for all the help that he has given to me in Select Committee and in this House and I will say, Mr. President, Sirs, that at least in my mind 5 ahatl never forget him, if for no other redson that every time I see the Traffic Department painting their yetlow line $I$ will think of Mr. Craddock.

To the rest of Membere I will. say
that it has been a genuine pleasure working in this House with them. I have had the experience of working in other Legislative Asaemblies of Dependent Termitories, but I think that here, Mr. Prosident, that when oriticism is made in this House by Members it has been constructive and not deatructive oriticism. It is sate of the mother of Parlicments that the House of Conmons is the leet alub in the world. I woutd say that Membership of this House with the feeling of fedlowship; of working together for the good of the country; of being abte to taik and argue together muna it a fair seoond.

To all the Members who aro standing again I wish them a good fight and I look forward to meeting them aither inside or outeide this Innuse.

To Mr. Craddock, may he continue years of work for the good of his country.
MR. PRESIDENT: Before I allow the Member for North Fide to eatch my eye $\bar{I}$ would just like to hove the opportunity to eay a brief word myself. Before I add my voice to those of all of you who have paid tribute to his long service may I make just one or two other remarks.

I have been with you, presiding over your deliberations for tess than three years, not indeed for the whole life of the present Assemblys but, nevertheless, even during that comparatively short period it has been the greatest of pleasures to get to know all of you and to find how muoh support and assistonce $I$ was invariably given in the perfomance of the duties you had thrust upon me. It shall still use my best endeavours when a new Assembly is elected, to persuade them of the virtues of appointing their own Speaker. I was unsuooessful in persuading you. I may be unsucoessful aqain, and if $I$ am unsuccesefut again I may not be altogether disappointed because it has given me, as I say, the pleasure of getting to know ati of you the more closely and of working with you.

From time to time during the period I have been here there have, onty infrequentity, been occasions when some Members have felt that others were trying their patiences, abusing their privileges or otherwise misbehaving, and there have sometimes been stightly strained scenes. But I am thankful to say that not only have I never had to exeraise

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): the kind of disciplinary oontrol which Speakers of the House of Commons and indeed of a number of other Commonwealth Asaemblies are obliged to exeroise, but I have never even had to use this instmoment here. That is a tribute to the responsibility with which all your debates have been conducted and to the underlying good humour and good relationthipe which have always prevailed ononget Members aven when argumenta have tended to become the slightest bit heated.

During the last thres years, the period I have been here, we have celebrated the 150 th Anniversary of Parliconentary Goverment in the termitory which was a aignificant occarion. We have weloomed a visiting Conmonwealth Partiomentary Association group from the United Kingdom and we have witnessed the retirement of a clerk who had sarved the Assembly for something like twenty-five years, I believe. Tributes were paid to her service at the time of her retirement but it is appropriate to say again now, at this time when the Diseolution is about to taks place, how gratefut we were to her, to her staff and to her atucasasor for all the semices they performed and for the way in which they erabled us to conduct our business emoothly.
$I$ should like to feet that $I$ could property eay how glad I would be to see alt, or all but the Member for North Side whose retirement has been announced, all of you back. here in two or three monthe times: but as you know I am not atlowed to take eides and I must not votce opinions about who might or might not, or ahould not be elected. Perhaps I can property, nevertheless, say that I wish you a clean, a satiefactory, a peacefut oampaign and that I look forward to welcoming back any of you who do returin.

In concturion I have lonig betieved that atthough perhape these Islands have the tightest confidentiality legislation known, yet nevertheless it was imposaible to keep a secret here. I was astonished therefore to lecim this morming how carefully guarded the secret of the Eleoted Nember for North Side had been. It was, to me at least, a totat surprise when I read the morning paper. Even though it was a surpmise I oan fuity understant that the Honourable Member felt, and felt with justice that he had served his country for a sufficient time to be fully deserving of and to merit retirement now. I should like to thank him for all he has done over those many years, and in particutar for his unfailing support to me during the past three years when I have hod the pteasure of knowing him. I ahouid like to odd with the Honourable First Elected Member of Executive Cowneit that I hope and confidently expeot to see him back tiatening to future debates, enjoying the atmosphare of this House, mixing with former cotleagues and continuing the good feltowship we have always known with him.

The very best of success ant happiness
and long life to the Member.
MF. CRADDOCF EBANKS:
Mr. Iresident, and Honourable Members of this House. Maybe I contemplated for this time in my life. Maybe I did not. I have asked myeelf the question more than once why did I get it in my head to become an Elected Member to represent this country and its peopte. Probably if I had known or had had any knowledge that there would be a continuation of bats across the head from the pubtic, maybe I would not have bothered.

Nevertheless, I ar glad I hove weathered atl of those atormy days with no hard feelings nor remonse to anyone in this country. I made myself availatle for one reason, for one purpose and one purpose onty, if I coutd be of any value to this country and its people.

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): I have had the honour and the privilege of working with many Members in this House, and the previous Houses over the past years, and I feet, Mr. Fresident, that however small my contribution might have been in the beginning, I continued to feel that $I$ could be of help to this country. I am glad that I have seen it grow from rags and mosquitos to televisions and new motor cars and beautiful homes and a good clean, stable Government. However little that I may have contributed to this country I am proud that these goals have been achieved.

It has been my pleasure and honour to work with every Member who came and went from the issembly. I have got a lot of comfort, a lot of satisfaction, a lot of ioy and a lot of help from all Members. I am wondering if the Attorney-Genaral, the Honourable Second Officiat Member thinke because I am leaving the eeat I will not be knooking at his door sometimes. I will.

Mr. Eresident, if it had been for silver and gold, or monetary gain I would not have been here here untit this 14 th day of September, 1994.

Mr. President, to many this morning, yes it was a aurprise, and the reason it was a surprise I can truthfully say that I was not pressured from anywhere, from any place, from any people, or from any aroup. Neither was I threatened. Neither did I request or ask the public if I should quit. I have a reasonably smait family, a wife and two sons and we have lived and worked together. Four yeare ago my family indicated to me by talking it over, that they felt it was somewhat getting time and I should think of myself and give them some time. Nevertheless we solved it and I was permitted to accept another term if I was elected, but they have neminded me over the months. The boys would say, "Daddy it is time". The family decided this and I did not feel that I would have been fair to my constituente and the people who stool by me and gave me their votes all over the years if thoy hal been told by anyone else other than the Member who they had weeted to represent them. So that is the reason why it was kept i:ny from the public. I did not do that with disreopect to the Members of this Honourable House, or the President, or some people may feel that it was disrespect to the team which we are aocused of veing. It was simply that I felt that my constituents should be told firet and that is what $I$ did, and now I can apotonise and explain. why it was delayed from this Honourable House.

Mr. President, I owe thanks and gratitude to the majority of the people in this country from end to end. They have given my their support in the good times and in the bad times. They have told me aser and over; they have aalled me at all hours of the night, "Craddook we can depend on you, we aan count on you and we are expecting you to remain as one of our leaders in this country". To the populace $I$ extend my thanks and the deepest of appreciation for their help and guidance that they have given me through the years. I am hopeful, Mr. President, and Honourable Members, whoever may take up this chair will remember. that I did what I felt was best for this country, and the same individual will do no less.

Govermor Pussett when he was teaving, or right after he left, I think, I got a few lines from him which I cherieh and his atosing words were, "Cradtock what has stuck to me since being Governor and you an Eleoted Momber in my time of office, no Member in that Assembly could bulldoze you against the dictates of your mind".

Mr. President, as I said, it has been an honour to work with the Members, the Zady Member who is here, one of the tongest now, and other Members. I do appreciate our working

MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS (CONTINUING): together, all the help which I have received from each one. I will leave this Assembly as an Elected Member this evening and I will come back. I will do some listening. But it is the one time of my life, the time $I$ have gerved in the Assembly representing the people of this country witl be one of the tongest memories which I will look back on and entioy. It witl be just as if I were reading a book which I do not have in my hands. There are many ocoasions, there were rough days and there were smooth days, but I went along with all of them, and in the light of thats Mr. Preaident, I do thank each Member this evening for their kind remarke. I will miss their association, our getting together, but I still have the same deep respect and honour and I witi visit from time to time and have a cup of ooffee with them.

I do trust that each one of the other aleven Elected Members will re-ocoupy their seats regardless of who may ocoupy mine. I wish then for the continuation of a good Government, a clean Govermment, and the blessinge of Our Heaventy Father to rest and abide in and on the deliberations of this Honourable House and Govemment of the Cayman Iatande.

Mr. Fresident, I thank you.
MR. BEASON O. EEANKS:
Mx. Fresident, aunder Standing Order 31, Sirs which pexmits a Member to speak more than once on a motion with the approvat of the House, I saak the approval of the Howse to say a word or two to you, sir, and the staff of the Assembiy.
MI. PRESIDENT:

I an not aure if Standing ordar 31 doee quite what you aay but I do not think that any Member would wish to objest to your saying just a word of thanks to the etaff of the House, and I think that that woutd be a kindly thing to do.
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Mr. President, as a Member who has probably intermupted the seoond greatest number of times; I an not sure whether the Honourable second Elected Member of Fxeoutive Council or the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Exentive Councit hoids the first position, I would just like to say that woen though I might not have atways agreed with your judgements or your milings, I alwxye accepted them and I am sure they were dome with the best of intentions, and I muat say that I have enjoyed the three years that you haves sat as President of this House. I took forward to working with you in the future. To the clerk, the Serquant-at-Arms and the rest of the staff of the Assembly, I would like to thank them for their co-operation, help and assistance in every und not to forget Mias Mary who has provided the sustenance for $u$ oven the many days we have been here. I woutd like to inciude in this word of thonks our clerk who retixed not too tong ago and whom I worked with for many years.

Thank you very much, Mr, Tpesident, and thank the Members for atlowing me to say those fet words.
MR. CRADDOCK EBANKS:
Mr. President, when I extendod my thanke to the Membere of this House, that inctuded the thoze otaff as Members even thowgh they are not elected, including the lady wook who has fet us so well without any qualme.

MiP. PRESTDENT:
the question, whioh was that $I$ think tit remains for he to put QUESTION PUT: AGREED.
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TUESDAY; 2OTH NOVEMBER, 1984
$10.00 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$.

MR. PRESIDENY: $\quad$ The Assembly is in Session. I bhat ask the Rev. Edgar Ogston to say prayew.

## PRAYERS

REV. EDGAR OGSTON: $\quad$ Let us pray.
King David once said, "the God of Israel apoke, the rack of Iaroal said to me"; "When one rules over mon in righteounness, when he mulee in the foar of God, he is tike the light of morning at anuribe on a aloudlese morning, like the briqhtnees after the rain that bringe the grass from the earth".

Oh Lord our God, if ever we
needed Your wisdom and Your guidance, it is now as the Legislative Assambly begins a new Session, stonding upon the threshold of a new beginning for these lelande, and yet fraught with so many dangerous opportunities. We pray that पou will blese the twelve men and women chosen by the people of these Islande, for You alone know them and the secret recesses of their hearte, their needs, their motives, their hopes and thair fearg: Lord Jeeus, we pray that You would put Youp arme around them to give them otrength and etate clearly to them, to give them irisdan greater thon their own. May they atl hear Yotar voice and seek Your guidiance.

Espectaity this day we pray for the election of the Executive Council; that You would guide this Assembly to the four whom You have ohosen.

We pray for the queen and alz the members of the Royal Family. Hetp us to appreciate the tremendous respondibilities which they carry and grant them etrength to bear these reaponsibilities with integrity. We pray too for the Govermor, the Queen's representative here; that You would anoint him with wisdom from on high for the task laid upon him.

Lastly, we pray that the epizit of reoonciliation would prevail in these Islands and that all people would unite in You to oreate a just and righteous booiety here. Through Jeeus Chriet our Lord, who taught us to pray the family prayer:-

Our Father, who art in Heaven, Hallowed be Thy Name, Thy Kingadom aome, Thy witt De done on earth as it is in Heaven. Give us this day our daily bread: And forgive us our trespasees, as we forgive them that trespaes aqainet us. And lead ue not into temptation; but detiver us from evil: For Thine is the Kingdom, the powar and the glory; for ever and ever. Amen.
MR. PRESITDENT:
Pleare be beated.
$I$ ohatl ask the clerk to read the proolanation siamonting thio Seseton of the Aesembly.
CLERK READS PROCLAMATION: "PROCLAMATION NO. 7 BY HIS EXCELLENCY GEORGE PETER LLLOYD, COMpanion of the Most Distinguished Order of St. Michael and St. George, Commander of the Victorion Order, Govarnor of the Cayman Islands.
WHEREAS, by subsection (1) of Section
46 of Schedule 2 of the Cayman Istands (Constitution) Order, 1972, it is provided that the sessions of the Legislative Aesembty shall be held at suoh time and place as the Governor may from time to time by Proctamation appoint:

NOW THEREFORE, under and by virtue of the powers veeted in me by the aforesaid Order, I, GEORGE PETER LLOYD, Companion of the Most Distinguished Order of St. Michare $Z$ and St. George, Commander of the Viotorian Order, Governor of the Cayman Islands, DO HEREBY PROCLATM AND MAKE KNOWN THAT. A SESSION OF THE LEGISLATTVE ASSEMBLY OF THE CAYMAN ISLANDS shall be hold at the Legislative Assembly. Building in George Town, Island of Grand Cayman, at 10.00 a.m. on Thesday the 20 th November, One Thousand Nine Hurdred and Efghty Four.

> Given under my hand and the Public seal of the Cayman Islands at George Toum on the Island of Grand Cayman this Nineteenth day of October in the year of our Lond One Thousand Nine Hundred and EightyFour in the Thirty-Third Year of the reign of Her Majesty queen ELizabeth II.

GOD SAVE THE QUEEN'.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

thank you,
We proceed next to the swearing in of Members. I shall be grateful if all Nembere and members of the publito will stand.

OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS were adminietered by the Clerk and were subscribed and affirmed to as follows:-

OFFICIAL MEMBERS


SECOND ELECTORAL DISTRICT, GEORGE TOWN

| WILLLIAM NORMAN BODDEN | OATH OF ALLEGIANCE |
| :--- | :--- |
| LINFORD ATNSWORTH PIERSON | OATH OF ALLEGIANCE |
| VASSEL GODFREY JOHNSON | OATH OF ALLEGIANCE |


| TRIRD ELECTQRAL DISTRICT, | LESSER ISLANDS |
| :---: | :---: |
| MABRY SALISBURY KIRKCONNELL | OATH OF ALLEGIANCE |
| CHARLES LEONARD KTRKCONNELL | OATH OF ALLEGIANCE |


| FOURTH ELECTOLLAL DISTRICT, BODDEN TOWN |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| JAMES MANOAH BODDEN | AFETRMATTON |
| GEORGE HATG BODDEN | OATH OF ALLEGIANCE |

FIFTH ELECTORAL DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE
DENISON EZZARD MILLER ' OATH OF ALLEGIANCE
SIXTH ELECTORAL DISTRICT, EAST END
JOHN BONWELL McLEAN
OATH OF ALLEGIANCE
MR. PRESTDENT:
Pleare be seated.

## ELECTYON OF FOUR MEMBERS TO THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL (STANDING

 ORDER 5)MR. PRESIDENT:
Item 4 on today's Order Paper is the election of four Members to Executive Counciz. The procedure followed is governed by Section $5(b)$ of the Constitution and by Standing Onder No. 5 of the Standing Orders of this House. I propose if there is no objeotion from the floor, to appoint the Honourable First and Third official Members to be scrutineers to assist the clerk with her duties.
$I$ cm now going to calt for nominations to Executive Cownil by voiae, and there needs to be a proposer and a seoonder for each nomination.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { BENSON OBADIAH EBANKS } & - \text { MOVED BY MR. W. MeKEEVA BUSH } \\
& - \text { SECONDED BY MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETYP }
\end{aligned}
$$

MR. PRESIDIENT:
Thank you. Mr. Benson Ebanks moved by ....if I maiy $I$ will use names for simpliaity for the moment. Oux normal praetice is to refer to Members by the names of their constituenoies, but it may be helpful just for this partioulon proceeding to use nomes. Moved by Mr. McKeeva Bush, seconded by ims. Daphne orvett.

WILLIAM VORMAN BODDEN - MOVED BY. MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETY

- SECONDED BY MR. LINFORD A. PIBRSON

MR. PRESTDENT:
Mr. Noman Bodden moved. . . a moment please. I with catt the next Member when I have just read this one out. Nr. William Noxman Bodden moved by Mro, Daphne Orrett, seconded by Mr. Linfond Fierson, and it was Capt. Mabriy Kirkoonnelt tho next cought my eye.

CAARLES LEONARD KIRKCONNELL-MOVED BY CAPT. MAPRY S. KIRKCONNELL:
-SECONDED BY MR: W. NORMAN BODDEN
MT. PRESIDETW:
Capt. Charlee Kirkconnell moved by
Capt. Mabry Kipkconnell, seconded by Mr. Norman Bodden. Mr. Ezzard Miller caught my eye.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Vassel Johnson moved by Mr: Ezzard Mitler, and seconded by Capt. Chartes Kirkconnell. Is there any further nomination? I wish to give Members full time. In that case, if my arithmetic is right, there is in faot no need for an eleation. I will oome to a point of detail in a moment and I aan declare the four Members who have been nominated, Mr. Benson Ebanks, Mr.. Norman Bodden, Capt. Charles Kirkeonnell and Mr. Vasset' Johnson to have been elected as Members of Executive Cowncil without, in faet, a need for a vote as therewere four places and four nominations. That is provided that all those four gentlemen aceept nomination and before deotaring them elected, I witl ask them in turn whether they do accept.
nomination?
MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
nomination?
MR. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
MR. PRESIDENT:
accept nomination?

Mr. Benson Ebanks, do you accept

Mr. President, I accept the nomination.
Mr. Norman Bodden, do you acoept

Mr. Fresident, I accept nomination.
Capt. Charles KirkconneZ2. do you

CAFT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I accept the nomination.

MR. PRESIDENE:
nomination?
MR. VASSEL G. JOHNSON:
MR. PRESIDENT: Ho In that case, I dectare the four Members conoerned to have been duly elected as Members of Exccutive Council; and if I may I should like to congratulate them upon their election. The point of detail I mentioned earlier is that the Standing Orders of the House require that the four Members of Executive Conncil should be duly placed in order as First, Seoond, Third and Fourth Elected Members of Executive Council; and the procedure presoribed is that the one who the the most votes becomes First, Second, Third and so on. Since we have not in fact been required to vote to choose them I think it uilt be neassary to have a ballot for the specific purpose of settling the order in which they are placed; which is to be. First, which is to be Second, which is to be Third. Indeed I think it will probabty be necessary to have three separate ballots, for Pirst, for Second, for Third and then, the Fourth will follow automatically.

So I witl ask the clerk and her staff to prepare the necessary ballot papers and meanwhile I will suspend proceedings for ten minutes white this is done. Executive Council have been put down on the ballot papers in the order in which they were nominated; the first to be nominated, the second to be nominated and so on. The ballot papers are headed Election for First Elected Member of Executive Cownil, and those ballot papers will be distributed firat. They will contain the nomes of all of the four Members concerned and those voting should put a crosa against one nome only, the name of the person whom you vote for as First Eleoted Member of Executive Cowncil. If a paper has two oroses on it, or if a paper is signed it witl have to be regarded as a apoilt paper.

The Sergeant-at-Arms will take round the ballot box to show it to Members so that they know that it is empty. He wrill distribute penoils and the ballot forms and then that ballot will take place. After that ballot, and assuming that it has produced a First Elected Member, the some prooedure will be foltowed in seteoting a Second and then subsequently a Thind. But the second time the papere come round, if we have managed to select a First Elegted Member the first time, the ballot papers will aay Election for the Second Elected Member and the nama of the Member who hae already been eleoted ae Firet will be deleted. I hope that is olear. Then the same procedure will follow for the Third Eleoted Member.

Have I made that clear or are there queetions which any Member would like to ask about the mechanics of the procedure?

I am inviting the Honourable First and the rhind Officiat Members to asaist the Clerk as scrutineers as I said I intended doing had there been an election for Membership of Eteoutive Council.

BALLOT' PAPERS WERE DISTRIBUTED BY THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS
BALLOT PAPERS WERE COLLECTED BY THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS IN THE BALLOT BOX. THE CLERK CALLED THE COUNT.

## FIRST COUNT

## NAMES

BEWSON OBADIAB EBANKS WILLIAM VORMAN BODDEN CHARLES LEONARD KIRKCONNELL VASSEL GODEREY JOBNSON

## TOTAL VOTES

MR. BENSON OBADIAH EBANKS is First Elected Member.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: on that. On the tally I made it eleven votes. Maybe 1 am wrong and I did not hear correctly, but.....

Welt I witl ask them to re-count, but
as reported to me it is:-
BENSON OBADIAH EBANKS
WILLIAM NORMAN BODDEN
CRARLES LEONARD KIRKCONNELL
VASSEL GODFREY JOHNSON

| 3 VOTES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 VOTE |  |
| 2 VOTES |  |
| 2 VOTES | 4 SPOILT PAPERS |

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): Perhaps the scrutineers and the Clerk will check that that is correct.

CLERK: That is correct.
DECLARATION OF FTRST ELECTED MEMBER TO EXECUTIVE COUNCIL
MR. PRESIDENT: I therefore dectare that MR. BENSON OBADIAH EBANKS is the Honourable First Elected Member of Executive Council.

I shall now ask that on the ballot papers for the Honourabte Second Elected Member of Executive Cowncit Mr: Benson Ebank's name be arossed out so that the other three names will remain, and Members will be invited to vote for one of the other three in the scone way, in respect of the Second Elected Member.

BALLOT FOR SECOND PLACE IN EXECUTTVE COUNCIL
BALLOT PAPERS WERE DISTRTBUTED BY THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS.
BALLOT PAPERS WERE COLLECTED BY THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS TM THE BALLOT BOX.
THE CLERK CALLED THE COUNT.
NOISE FROM GALLERY
MR. PRESTDENT:
Quiet please.
NAMES
WILLITAM NORMAN BODDEN
CHARLES LEONARD RIRKCONNELL
VASSEL GODFREY JOHNSON

TOTAL VOTES

| WILLITAM NORMAN BODDEN | 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CHARLES LEONARD KTRKCONNELL | 2 |  |
| VASSEL GODEREY JOHNSON | 2 |  |

DECLARATION OF SECOND ELECTED MEMBER TO EXECUTTVE COUNCIL
MR. PRESIDENT: $\therefore$ I declare that MR. WILLIAM NORMAN
BODDEN is eleoted as Honourable Second Eleoted Member of Exacutive Council.

The Sergeant-at-Arms will next
distribute papers headed Election for Thind Eteoted Member of Executive Councit and the names of both Nr. Benson Ebanks and Mr. Noxman Bodden will have been deleted from the ballot papere before they are distributed.

BALLOT FOR THIRD AND FOURTH PLACES IN EXECUTTVE COUNCIL
BALLOT PAPERS WERE DISTRIBUTED BY THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS.
BALLOT PAPERS WERE COLLECTED BY THE SERGEANT-AT ARMS IN THE BALLOT BOX.
THE CLERK CALLED THE COUNT.

> NAMES

CHARLES LEONARD KIRKCONNELL
VASSEL GODFREY JOHNSON

## TOTAL VOTES

6
3 SPOILT BALLOT PAPERS

DECLARATION OF THIRD AND EOURTH MEMBERS TO EXECUTIVE COUNCIL
MR. PRESIDENT:- I declare that CAPT. CHARLES: LEONARD
KIRKCONWELL and MR. VASSEL GODFREY JOHNSON have been alected respectively as the Third and Fourth Nembers of Executive Counoil and I shall invite the Elected Members of Executive Council to take their seats now.

ELECTED EXECUTIVE COUNCILLORS TAKE THETR SEATS ON THE GOVERNMENT BENCH.
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { proceedings at this time for approximately twenty minutes. }}$ I shall again susend

HOUSE SUSPENDED AT 11.12 A.M.

HOUSE RESUMED AT 11.37 A.M.

```
MR. PRESIDENT:
Please be seated.
Item 5. Govermment Business, (a)
```

Fublic Accounts Committee - Government Motion No. 1.
GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 1 - PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE
HON. THOMAS C.JEFFERSON: Mr. Iresident, I beg to formally move Government Motion No. 1 to establish a Fublice Accounts Committee. I will read the motion:-
"BE IT RESOLVED that this Legislative Asaembly appoints a Standing Public Aocounts Committes with the following terms of reference.

For the examination of the accounts showing the appropriation of the sums granted by the House to mest the public expenditure and of such other accounts taid before the Committee as the House may think fit:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that five (5) Elected Members be appointed to the Committec with the above terms of reference and the term of office being the life of the House. The quom shalt be three members including the Chairman who shatl be nominated by the Pnesiding Officer of the Legislative Assembly or otherwise by the Conmittes from cmong one of its members."

MR. PRESIDENT:
The motion is open for debate if anybody wishes to debate $i t$, and also for nominations of the five Members. The Elected Member for North Side first caught my eye.
MR. D. EZZARD MILLER: $\quad$ Mr. President, I wiah to nominate
Mr. Linford Pierson.
HON. BENSON O. EBANKS: $\therefore$ I beg to second that nomination,

MR. PRESTDENT:
Mr. Linford Pierson is nominated by
the Elected Member for Nonth Stde and seconded by the Honourable Firet Elected Member of Executive Council. The Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Mr. President, I would like to nominate Mr. Eszard Miller.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON:
I beg to second the nomination.
MR. PRESIDENPT:
The Eleoted Member for North Side is nominated by the Honourable Second Eleated Member of Executive Council and seconded by the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council. Let me just write that down. The next to catch my eye was the Second Elected Member for West Bay.

```
MR. W. McKEEVVA BUSH:
    Mr. President, I beg to nominate
Mr. John McLean.
HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: \therefore I beg to second the nomination, Mr.
President.
```

MR. PRES IDENT:
Mr. John McLean is nominated by the Second Elected Member for West Bay and seconded by the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council. The Honourable Third Elected Membex of Executive Cowncil caught my aye next.
$\frac{\text { HON. CAPT. CHARLES L. KInKCONNELL: Mr. President, I would like to }}{\text { nominate Capt. Mabry Kirkconneti. }}$
HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. President, I beg to nominate Mr. Havg Bodden.

MR. PRESIDENT: $I$ am waiting for a seconder actually for Capt. Mabry Kirkeonnell first, if I may. The Second Elected Member for George Town, are you beconding Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell's nomination? Thank you very much.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Mr. President, I beg to second the nomination of Capt. Mabry Kirkeonnell.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Yes, Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell nominated by, I think it was the Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Councit and seconded by the Second Elected Member for George Toun. Then the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council caught my eye.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: I beg to nominate Mr. Haig Bodden, Mr. Eresident.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS: nomination.<br>Mr. President, I beg to second that

MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Haig Bodden is nominated by the Homourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Councit and seconded by the Honourable Second Eleoted Member of Executive Council. That is five nominatione so far. I am not saying the nominations are closed, I am just keeping track for Members. The Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: nominate Mrs. Daphone orrett.

Mr. President, I would like to

MR. W. MOKEEVA BUSH:
Mr. Fresident, I beg to second the nomination.

MR. PRESIDENT: Nrs. Daphne Orrett is nominated by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Cowncit and suconded by the Second Elected Member for Weet Bay.

Are there any other nominations?
If I may, I will ask those nominated
in turn whether they are prepared to serve on the Committee. We have six nominees and the Comittee has five Members.
to serve as a Member?
Mr. Iinford Pierson are you willing

MR. LTNFORD A, PIERSON:
Yes, ir. Eresident.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Ezzard Miller?
MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
Mr. President, I accept the nomination.
MF. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Tohn MoLean?
MR. TOHN B. MCLEAN:
$I$ will serve, Mr. President.
MR. ERESIDENY:
Capt. Mabry Kirkeonnell?
CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Freeident, I witl berve.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Haig Bodden?
Mr. President, I acoept the nomination.
And Nrs. Daphne Orrett?
$M r$. Eresident, I accept the nomination.
MR. PRESIDENT:
In that case, since we have ein nominese for five places it will be necessary to ballot. What I propoae to do, if I may; provided there is no objection from the Floor, is to continue with the various other Motions for the appointment of Members of other Committees and then do any necessar balloting, as it were simuttaneousty at the end. Because I think that that witl help to shorten the procedure without being in any way unfair, and it may indeed give Members a clearer idea of who may be up to serve on which Committees before any voting is done.
$\frac{\text { MR. JOHN B. MoLEAN: }}{\text { it quittle easier. }}$
Mr. President, perhaps I could make I will stand down.

MF. FRESIDENT:
Naturally, if you really wish to
stand down I muet aooept that, but I would have hoped that you might have been able to serve. I think it is valuable for $a$ Public Accounts Committee to have a number of Members of con Opposition, (if we can eall it the opposition) serving on it. However I will be guided by your wishes.
MTR. JOHN B. MOLEAN:
Opposition, but I just tried to speed up the procedure in the Bouse.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Ferhaps what we aan do is go through the other Committees first, and then when we ace how many people have been put down for which Committees I can ask again whether any Membexs wish to withdraw.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. President, I just wish to point out that the Motion has not been passed by the House as yet.

MR. PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I am grateful for that reminder. I reat have probably forgotten. I had been trying to sort out the nominations first, and the procedure.

Unless any Member wishes to speak further on the matter I will now put the queetion that the Govermment Motion No. 1 be pasced.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 1 FASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT: Official Member.

Government Motion No. 2. The First

GOVERNMENT MOTION WO. 2 - STANDING BUSINESS COMMITTEE
HON, DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I beg to formally move Government Motion No. 2 which stands in my name and reads as follows:-
"BE IT' RESOLVED that this Legislative Assembly appoints a Standing Business Committee with the following terms of reference -
(a) To decide and inform the Clerk on Tuesday of each week the order in which private Members motions will be debated on each Thureday.
(b) To decide and to inform the cterk two clear days before each question Day the ouestions to be put down for repty on the Order Paper.
(c) To provide a ready means of consultation between Members of the Assembly who are not Members of the Executive Councit, and the Leader of Government business, clerk.or. Presiding Officer.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Standing Eusiness Conmittee be comprised of the first Official Member as Chaiman and four other Members.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the quorrm witt be eet at 3 Members to inctude the Chairman and the Conmittee shatl meet each Monday during aittings of the House and as satred by the Chairman or at the request of the Clerk."


[^28]HON, CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: I beg to eecond it, sir.
MR, PRESIDENT: Capt. Mabry Kirkconnelt is proposed
by the Ronowable Seoond Elected Member of Executive Councit and seconded by the Honourable. Third Elected Member of Executive Councit. The Second Elected Member for George Town.


MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH:
MR. PRESTDENP:
concerned, the First Elected Member for the Lesser Islands, the Member for North Side and the Second and Third Etected Membere for West Bay to be Members of the Standing Business Committee.

We move next to Goverrment Motion No. 3 ,
the Standing House Committee.
Oh, have we adrried that Motion? I am
so sorry. Thank you so much. I forgot that we had not passed the Motion itself. We have appointed the Members without passing the : Motion. Thank you for reminding me. Does any Member wish to speak to Govermment Motion No. 2 about the appointment of the Standing [Business Conmittee? If not I will put the question that the Motion be carried.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO: 2 PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT:
with the Stonding House Committee.
GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 3 - STANDTNG HOUSE COMMITTEE
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTEER:
Mr . President, I beg to move Goverwment Motion No. 3 standing in my name which is the Standing House Committee, which reads as follows:-
"BE IT RESOLVED that the Legistative Assembly appoints a Standing House Conmittee with the following texme of reference.

To make recommendations to the fssembly
(a) for matters affecting the working conditions, comfort of facilities for Members during sittings of the Aesembly;
(b) for any matters affecting the working conditions, comfort or facilities for the staff of the Assembly;
(c) for the operation and maintenance of the librapy of the Assembily and the provision of research facilities;
(d) for the maintenance, upkeep, furnishing and equipment of the Legislative Assembly Buitding.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Standing Committee should be composed of five elected Members, one of whom witl be elected by the Members as Chairman and one as Deputy Chairman.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the quomm shatl be three Members to inctude the Chairman or Deputy Chairmon and that the Committee should meet at least once per meeting of the Assembty."

MR. PRESTDENT:
Which has just been read out Government Motion No. 3, the text of and for nominations. The Hony the mover 2.8 now open for debat Executive Council.

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. Linford Piergon.

Mr. Preaident, I wish to nominate

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
$I$ second it.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Linford Pierson is nominated by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Councit and geconded by the Member for North Side. The Honourable First Elected Member of Exeoutive Council wad the first to catch my eye.

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS: (Mr. Preaident, I beg to nominate Mr. NoKeeva Bush.

MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETTT:
Mr. President, I beg to second that nomination.

Mr. McKeeva Bush is nominated by the MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. McKeeva Bush is, nominated by the Honourable Fixst Elected Member of Exeoutive Council and seconded by the Third Elected Member for West Bay. ... The next to catch my eye was the First Eleated Member for the Lesser Islands.

CAPY. MABRY S. KIKCONNELL: Mr. Fresident, I beg to nominate Mr. Ezzand Militer:

MR. W. McKEEVA BUSE: Mr. Pxesident, I second the nomination.
MR. PRESTDENT:
Mr. Eazard Millex is nominated by the First Eltected Member for the Lesser Islande and seoonded by the Second Eleoted Momber for West Bay. The Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Cownil.

| HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. President, I beg to nominate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mr's. Daphtne Oryett. |  |
| HON. DENSON O. EDANKS: | Mr. President, I seoond that nomination |
| MR. PRESIDENT: Mrs. Daphne Orpett is nominated by |  |
| the Honourable second Eleoted Member of Exeoutive Councti and |  |
| seconded by the Honown | -ot Elected Member of Executive Council. |
| The Honourable Fourth | Member of Exeoutive Council. |

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON:
Mr. President, I beg to nominate त्रapt. Mabry KirkoonneVt.

MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
Me. President, I beg to second that nomination.

MR. PRESIDENT: Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell is nominated by the Bonourable Fourth Eleoted Member of Exeoutive Council and seoonded by the Honourable First Elected Member of Exeoutive Council. Are thare any further nominations?

In that case I will declare the nominations closed, and subject to their confirmation that they are all willing to aerve, all five Members will become Members of the Standing House Committee. I will ask them in turn to confirm their witingness to serve on that Committee.
H. Atriord Pierson?

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON:
Mr. Fresident, I accept the nomination.

MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. W. MCKEEVA BUSH:
MR. PRESTDENT:
MR. D. EZZZARD MILLER:
MR. PRESIDENT:
MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETTT:
MR. FRESIDENT:
CAPY. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL:

Mr. Mokeeva Bush?
Mr. Freaident, I accept the nomination.
Mr. Ezacrd Mitler?
Mr. Fresident, I accept the nomination.
Mrs. Daphne Orvett?
Mr. President, I accept the nomination.
Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell?
Mr. President, $I$ accept the nomination.
MR. PRESIDENT:
In that case, subject to the Motion itself being passed I declare that the five Members concerned have been duly appointed Members of the Standing House Committee. Provided that the Motion is carried it urill be for those Members to choose their own Chairman and Deputy Chairman.

Does any Member wish to speak any further to the Motion? If not I will put the question that the Motion be carried.

QUESTION FUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 3 PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT: We pass now to Item $5(d)$, Govermment Motion No. 4, Membership of the Liquor Licensing Boards.

GOVERNMENT MOTITON NO. 4 -
LIQUOR LICENSING BOARDS OF GRAND CAYMAN AND THE LESSER ISLANDS
HON. DENWIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Preeident, I beg to move Government Motion No. 4 standing in my nome, the Membership of the Liquor Licensing Boards of Grand Cayman and the Lesser Istands:-
"WHEREAS it is now expedient for appointments to be made for the Liquor Litcensing Boards of Gnand Cayman and the Lesser Istands

BE IT RESOLVED that a Deputy Chairman and two (2) other Members be nominated by the Legistative Assembly for the LIQUOR LICENSING BOARD OF GRAND CAYMAN and two (2) Members for the LIQUOR LICENSING BOARD OF THE LESSER ISLANDS."

MR. PRESTDENT:
Before opening the Motion for dobate and for nominations may I firstiy enquire, and it is eomething; $I$ am sormy to ady I forgot to enquire earlier, whether the appointments would be nade for a specific period; ox whether they are made until fupther notice? It does not say in the Motion, and I am afraid that I have forgotten.

HON. DENNIS A. FOSTER: $\quad$ Mr. Eresident, I think the Law says
MR. PRESIDENT:
So we could take it that this would
be an appointment for a year unless the Law itself was changed in the meantime. While the Honourable Second Official Member is just checking that I witl make one further point, which is to remind Membere that although the Deputy Chairman and two other

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTINUING): Mambers of the Grand Cayman Liquor Lioensing Board and two Members of the Lesser Islands Liquor Licensing Board are nominated by the Legislative Assembly, there is no statutory requirement that the nominees should themeelves be Members of the Aseembly. With the Committees which we were dealing with a few moments ago such as the Publice Accounts Committee; and. House Committes and Business Committee, Members of those Conmitteses had necessarily to be Members of the House. With the Liquor Licensing Board that is not so. It has been, I think, the practice hitherto to appoint Members of the House, but it is not a statutory requirement.

HON, DENNTS H. FOSTER: I confirm, Sir, it is annually.
$\frac{\text { MR. FRESTDENT: }}{\text { talking about. }}$
So it is an annual appointment we are
I now open Govermment Motion No. 4 for debate and for nominations; and remember the nominations would be for two separate Boards.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Fresident, Sir, perhaps in the interests of olarity it might be helpful if the first separate post which has to be elected separately of Deputy Chairman were taken for the Board for Grand Caymar.


MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Linford Pierson is nominated as Deputy Chairman, that must be of the Grand Cayman Board because we do not have a...... by the Honourable First Elected Member of Erecutive Councit and seconded by the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Councit. The Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Council was the next to aatch my bye.

HON. CAPT, CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President, I would tike to nominate Mr. Trevor Foster for the Lesser Ietande.

MR. FRESIDENT:
The Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council was the nert to oatoh my eye.

HON. W. TORMAN BODDEN: Mr. President, I would like to second that nomination.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Mr. Trevor Foster is nominated by the Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Councit and seconded by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Cownoil, and he is nominated for Membership of the Lesser Islands Board. May I just ask the proposer whether Mr. Foeter is known to have agreed to eerve?

HON. CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Yes, Mr. President, he has agreed.

## MR. PRESIDENT:

of Executive Councit.

Thank you.
The Honourable Fourth Elected Member

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON:
Mr. President, I beh to nominate Mr. Jermis Miller to Be a Member of the Liquor Licensing Board of Grand Cayman.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I am trying to give everybody a fair go. The Second Elected Member of George Town.

MR. LINPORD A. PIERSON: Mr. President, I beg to second the nomination of Mr. Jerris Miller.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. Jerris Miller is nominated by the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Councit and seconded by the Second Elected Member for George Town for Membership of the Grand Cayman Liquor Licensing Board. Perhaps I could just ask his proposer whether Mr. Jerris Millex is known to have agreed to serve?

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON:
MR. YRESIDENT:
of Executive Councit.

Yes, Mr. President, he has arpeed.
Thank you.
The Honourable Second Elected Member

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, I would like to nominate Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell to serve as a Member of the Liquor Licensing Board of the Lesser Islands.
$\frac{\text { HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: }}{\text { Mr. President. }} \quad$ I beg to eeond that nomination,
MR. PRESIDENT: Capt. Mabry Kirkeonnell is proposed and seconded for Membership of the Lesser Islands Liquor Licensing Board.

The Second Elected Member for West
Bay oought my eye, I think.
Mr. W. MCKEEVA BUSH: Mr. Preeident, I beg to nominate Mr. Mitchell Welds for the Liquor Licensing Board of Grani Cayman.
$\frac{\text { Mi. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { Mitoheli Welds? }} \quad I$ an afraid I did not oatoh the name.

Mir. W. McKEEVA BUSH:

MF. W. MOKEEVA BUSH:
MR. PRESTDENT:
Mi. W. MCKEEVA BUSH:

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS:
nomination.
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. PRESIDENT:
Mr. PRESIDENT: Mr, Mitchett Welds is proposed and May I ask the proposer of the Grand Cayman Liquor Licensing Board.

Mr. Mitchell Welds.
Is it spelt Welda?
Yes.
Mr. President, I beg to second that May I ask the proposer whether Mr. Welds has agreed to serve?

## MR. PRESIDENT:

Thank you.
Are there any other nominations? Perhaps before declaring the nominations closed I should just ask the Second Elected Member for George Town and the First Elected Member for the Lesser Istands whether they axe prepared to serve respectively as Deputy Chairman of the Grand Cayman Liquow Licensing Board and a Member of the Lesser Is Zands Liquor Licensing Board. The Second Elected Member for George Town?

MR. LINFORD A. FIERSON: I accept the nomination, Mr. President:
MR. PRESTDENT:
Lesser Istande?
The Fixst Eleoted Member for the

CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL:
I aceept the nomination, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT: In that case, if I am right in thinking there are no further nominations, $I$ declare the nominations closed, and subject to the Motion itself being carried I declare that Mr. Linford Piereon has been nominated to become Deputy Chairman, and Mr. Jerris Miller and Mr. Mitchell Welde have been nominatied to become Members of the Liquor Licensing Board of Grand Cayman. Capt. Mabry Kirkconnell and Mr. Trevor Foster have been nominated to beoome Members of the Liquor Licensing Board of the Lesser Islande.

Does anybody wish to speak further to the Motion? If not I will put the queetion that the Motion be pased.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. : GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 4 PASSED.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The next item on the Order Paper is Government Motion No. 5, the Cinematographic Authority. The Honourable First Official Member.

## GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 5 - CINEMATOGRAPHIC AUTHORITY

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. President, I beg to move Government Motion No. 5 standing in my nome, the Cinematographic Authority:-
"WHEREAS under Section 2 of the Cinematograph Low, Cap. 18 provision is made for the establishment of an Authomity consisting of the Governor, three Elected Members of the Legislative hesembly and one member nominated arnually by the Govemor to carmy out the etipulations of the above-dited Law and Rules made thereunder.

BE IT RESOLVED that three (3) Elected Members be appointed by the Legistative Assemily to the Cinematographic Authority for a period of one year as from the 20th November, 1984."

MR. PRESIDENT: Govexmment Motion No. 5, as just read out by the Honourable First Official Member is now open for debate and for nominations, but before calling on any Member to: speak perhaps $I$ should just make olear in case there is any doubt, that on this occasion the three Members whom we have to nominate have to be Eleoted Members of the Assembly. It is different from the Liquor Licensing Board with whioh we have just dealt. So I can only accept the nominations of Eiected

Mir. PRESIDENT (CONIINUTNG): Members of the Assembly.
So many people are trying to oatch my eye. The Second Elected Member for George Town because he is nearest.

| MizZer. | t, I nominate Mr. Eztard |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mr. PRESIDENT: The Honourable Fourth Elected Member |  |
| HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: <br> Mr. Presiderit, I beg to second that |  |
| MR. PRESTIDENT: | You are seconding the nomination? |
| HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: | Somy, the nomination, Sir |
| $\qquad$ Mr. Eszard Miller is proposed by the Second Elected Member for George Toum, and seconded by the Honourabte |  |
| Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council. <br> of Executive Council. <br> The Honounable Second Elected Member |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| PRESIDENT: | $r$ |
| MRS. DAPANE L. ORRETM: that nomination. | Mr. President, I would tike to becond |
| IDENT: Mn. MaKeeva Bush is nominated by the |  |
| Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Counoit and seconded |  |
| of Executive Councit. The Honourable Third Eleoted Member |  |

HON. CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELE: Mr. President, I woutd like to nominate Mr. Linfond Pierson.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON:
nomination.
Mr. President, I beg to second that
Mit. PRESTDENT:
the Honowable Thitd Elected Mr. Linford Piersom is nominated by by the Honourable Llected Member of Executive Couneit and seconded解

Are there any other nominations?
Defore deolaring nominations olosed may I just ask the three Members concerned whether they are witling to be nominated.

The Member for North Side?
MP. D. EZZARD MILLER: Mr. President, I acoept the nomination.
MTR. PRESIDENT:
ME. W. MOKEEVA BUSH:
MR: PRESIDENT:
MR, LITNFORD A, PIERSON:
The Second Electad Member for Weet Bay?
Mr. Fresident. I accept the nomination.
The Second Elected Member for George Toum?
Mr. President, I acoept the nomination.

Thank you.
In that case, subject to the Motion itself being carried I declare that the three Members who are nominated have been duly nominated to become Members of the Cinematographic Authority for the aoming year.

Does any Member wish to apeak to the Motion?. If not I will put the question that Govermnent Motion No: 5 be passed.
QUESTION FUT: AGREED. GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 5 PASSED.
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, may I have a division on this?

MT. PRESIDENT: On the question of whether this Motion should be cammed?

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\because \quad \therefore Y e s, ~ M r$. President, because the first nominee is the Manager of the only cinema on the Ioland and we have eeen too much nepotism in this....

MR. PRESIDEN: Axe you nominating somebody else?
MR. G. HATG BODDEN: I am not nominating anybody else, Six, I onty want to ptace my objection on record.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Very well, we con have a vote if that is what io being asked for, on the Motion.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
Mr. Fresident, on a point of order, sir, I am not the Manager of the cinema. I resigned that post over a year ago. (Loughter).

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, if this is the case I apologiae but $I$ would like to point out that on the Board previous to this his brother was nominated and accepted, and this is nepotism as far as I am concerned. (Laughter).

MR. PRESIDENT:
Order. In that case the request for the divieton has presumably been withdrawn and I deolare the Motion carried, and the three Membere duly nominated.

The one point which has not yet been resolved is the question of the Membership of the thiblic Accounts Committee where there were five Members to be chosen and aix nominations were made. Alt the remaining Committees, the neceseary appointmente have been made.

Going back to the Public Acoounts
Committes, perhaps befone contemplating a ballot about it, I can again enquire of the individuat Members in twrn whether they still wieh to accept nomination.

Mr. Linford Pierson?
M1R. LINPORD A. PIERSON:
Mr. President, I accept the nomination,
Mr. Ezzard Miller?
Mr. Preaident, I acoept the nomination.
Mr. John McLean?
Mr. President, I accept the nomination.

MR. PRESIDENT:
CAPT. MABRY S. KTRKCONNELE:
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. G. HATG BODDEN:

Capt: Mabry Kirkconnelt?
Mr. President, I aocept the nomination.
Mr. Haig Bodden?
Mr. Fresident, beccuse of the obvious.
imbatance in the Membership, I regret that I am compelled to deeline the nomination.

MR. PRESIDENT: It is clearly your right to dectine if you whin, but were you to stand and to be successful., I would have thought that the Committee would have been that much better balanoed. (Iaughter). Silence. You definitely wish to withdraw do you?

MR. G. BAIG BODDEN:
MR. PRESIDENT: Mre. Daphne Orrett?
MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETT:
Mr. President, I accept the nomination.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\operatorname{In}$ that aase, my understanding is that in the end there are five Members nominated, and since five have to be appointed no election is necessary. I am not sure whether we carried that Motion or not. Yes, we did I think.

BON. D. H. FOSTER:
MR. PRESIDENT:
We carried the Motion.
Yes, in acoordance with the terms of Mr. Linford Pierson to be Chairman of that Committee.

That conctudes the business on the Onlex Paper. I witl invite the Honourable First Official Member to move the adjourmment.

## ADJOURNMENT

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: of this House aine die.

Mr. President, I move the adfoumment convenient to Membere if I explain that what I have in mird is to suggest, some time I hope within the next two or three weeks, firstly the dates on which this House might next meet, which would be, I think probably, a Budget Meeting and a Throne Speech sometime in tate February: Also hopefuliy, I will try to suggeet dates for atl of the Meetings likely to be hatd duming 1965, to enable Member's to make plane about travel or other sorte of engagemente and the like. It may not be posaible for me to auggest all the 1905 Meeting lates; and of course when I suggest Meeting dates atl I can euggest is the beginning of each particular Meeting. Whether the Meeting lasts two daye, or two weeks or Zonger is Zargety in the hands of Membere themselves, depending on how tong debates continue. I thought it might be helpful to know that I hope to be able to send proposats to Membare some time within the next two or three wakk, and to give all Members an opportunity of comenting if partioular proposals may cause some serious inconvenience, and if they want to enquipe if it mizht be possible to make slight adjustments.

The motion now then is that the House
do adjoux sine die. Does any. Honourable Member wish to speak?
The Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council.

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN.
Mr. President, before the adjoumment of this Honounable House is oalled, I would like to take this opportunity to sincerely thank the people of Georige Tow for retuming me to this Honourable House as their representative, and especiatly with suoh an overwhelming majority at the polls. I also thank my colleaguee for electing me today to a place on our Executive:Conncit. I feel that this is because of the confidence whith they have in me and this confidence is deeply appreciated.

To all the people of Grand Cayman, of Cayman Brac and Little Cayman I say that I am happy to represent att of my people. I promise to put service above self and I will strive at all times to do my beet for the continuing progress and development of our country, this oountry for which we alt hold so mich pride and tove.

The prayers of many God-fearing citisens have gone into our recent Genemal Election and I would humbly ask that they continue to remember and hold up those of us who must help to guide these Istands over the next four years. It is true, Sir, that the resulte of the demooratic process will always please some people and displease others; but may its true spirit always prevail in our land. I for one am thankful today that we atill live in a country whare the power of the ballot and the procese of honest and fair elections can place in action the wishes of the majority of the people. The public must be most highly conmended for the mature and responsible manner which has prevailed throughout our General Election, a most valuable asset not possessed by many countries today. I would like to take this opportunity to thank Mr. Kearney Gomez, Miss Marjorie Piercy and all of those who contributed to the machinery of our elections and so capably operated ithis machinery.

I accert, sir, my place in our Govermment today with humility and a deep sense of responsibitity in serving all of my people equally and fairly regardless of colour, ctase, oread on political affiliation. It is my determination to uphold and contribute to the kigh principles of Govemment in these Islands. I am looking forward to working with you, Mr. President, and all Members of this Honourable House for the benefit and weltbsing of all of our people all of the time.

The campaign is over. The Election is past, the chatlenge is ahead, and with malice towarde no-one, we must join our hands, hearts and minds in building a better Caymen Islonde for the benefit, peace and happiness of all who live here.

Thank you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Sorry if I pouse for a moment. I with get these constituencies and the rest famitiar soon. The Second Elected Member for George Town.

MR. LINFORD A. FIERSON:
Mr. President, even though I have atready pubticty thanked my supporters and friends for their confidence in electing me to represent them, I would none-theless again take this opportunity to say thank you for your strong support over the past years, months and weeks and for making today poseible for me. Perhaps the most important activity of today's proceedings was the swearing in ceremony as it estabtishes my position as a Member of our Legislature.

To those of my supporters and others who have expressed their wish to see me elected to Executive Cowncil, I would say to you that my most important eleotion took place on November 14th, and I want you to know that the 1830 campaign started today. I promise my futl support

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON (CONTINUING): to the Exeoutive Council and want them to know that I am willing to aesist them whenever catlod. upon.

This Eleotion was perhaps the most important event in the history of the Cayman Islands. Our country ie now at a stage where more than ever before very serious conoideration wilt have to be given to the future of these Islands. A word of warning to us ail: 1908 is not tbat far away. Four years of one's political life can pass audy very quickly indeed. If we do not work in the best interests of the people they have the power to remove us. I already know of a number of potential candidates for 190e. Under our present Constitution four of our twelve Members only can be elected to Exeoutive Cotncil. However, twelve of us were eleoted to represent the people of the Cayman Islands and I hope and tmust that we witl ati have a very comoperative, sympathetic and under standing Executive Council to help the other eight Members Pendex to their constituente the best representation possible.
liet us all work together for the good of our betoved Istes, Cayman. Thank you, Mr. Fresident.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Honourable First Elected Member of Executive Councit.

HON. DENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. President, $I$ take this opportunity to again thank my constituents for the confidenoe which they have reposed in me and my fellow Members of the Legialature for electing me to this high office toduy.

I am hoobly gratefut to all of them for the opporturity to be of arrioce to my country and to my people. I am keenly aware of the cavesome responsibility which goes with this honour. To those who did not see fit to support me, I want to ady that I reatise that my responsititity is to all of the people of these Ielande and to all of the people of West Bay in partioular. I invite all Caymanians to seek $m y$ assistance whenever they think that I may be able to be of some aseistance to them.

With the hetp of almighty God I witl never betray the trust placed in me and I pledge my beet efforts to discharge my responsibilities without fear and without favour on malice to anyone. I trust that the work of this Asembly will be the most productive ever and that the people of this cowntry will respond to the oall to also re-dedicate themselves to all that is good and honourable, so that together we witl move ever forward and ever upwarde.

Thank you, Mr. President.
MR, PRESIDENT:
The Honourable Thin Elected Member
of Executive Council.
HON. CAPT. CHARLES L.KIRKCONNELL:Mr. President, I would like to thank God for anewering the prayers of our people. I krow he was in control of the Election and may we never forget him. Without God we oan do nothing.

I also want to express my gratitude to the people of Cayman Brae and Little Cayman for their support on November 14th, and to my wife and fomily for their patience, understanding, support and love. To the Nembers of the newiy eleated Legislative Assembly, I would like to thank them for the confidence they have placed in me by eleeting me to Excoutive Council. I give them my assuranoe that I will work olosety with them for the betterment of our people. I promise to geme my oountry faithfully, honestly and with humility. In turn; I.

# HON.CAPT.CHARLES L.KIRKCONNELL: ask for your prayere, patience and understanding. <br> Finally I would like to reassure all <br> those living and working, here that $I$ will do my utmost to protect their rights and will alwaye treat them fairity. <br> Thank you, Mr. President. <br> MF. PRESIDENT: <br> The First Eleoted Member for Bodden <br> Town. 

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Prestdent, I would tike on behalf of my colleague and myself to thank the people of Bodten Town who have continued to show their faith in our representation. $I$ would. also like, at this point, to thank my oolleagues of the Unity Tean: who tost their seats in this Election. The democratic process has spoken and we must atl tive by that; "but $I$ would remind this House that our lose is not their victory but our victory, and one battie. Tha been lost but not the war. I can promise them the stiffest opposition in the next four years, by God's hetp, that ever they have had.
'Caymanians, Mr. Fresident, nevex cease to amaze me and I guess that it has been one more lesson taught to me in many different ways. But I am assured, Mr. President, that the shadow of myseitf and mi colleagues of the Unity Tean uritl atways stand tall in the "history of the Cayman Islands and I doubt that it witt ever be surpassed. We attended as best we could to all areas of the sooicty and this oountry, at the Election time, anjoyed the best conditions that it has ever had in its history. We have heord the different play with worde and with figures, but in the words of the Honourable present Fittonciat Secretamy of the country we alt Know that the cointiry has targe surpluses and large reserves and a smatl debt in relation to the reserves and the surplus, and the majority of this wais put into the ooffers of this country in the zaet eight years. Decause it is on record that the country, if we. talk about edging to the brink of bankmuptey, was in that coridition in 1970.

I would remind the people of this
House and of this cowntry that this country went through a very trying period in the years of 1972 to 1975 , and it oannot be blamed on any world reobssion, but on the activities which took place in this country during that period. I would remind the Members of this House to please not follow that path which they fotlowed. during those years, which took this countriy to the brink of disaster, and if it is followed again with their able supporters on the outside, in a similar manner to which it was in 1972 to 1976, it witl again bring this country to the brink of diacster.

It will be very interesting for the
people of this country to observe how the promises which were made in thie Etsction can be kept, and believe me, Mr. Preetdent, we on thit side of the House, or I should bay we of the Unity teom witl surely be watching them as to how they keep them.

I pledge to you and the peopte of
the Cayman Islands my continued support, my continued effort. but most of all, by God's help, I promise very, very strong opposition. Thank you.

[^29]MRS. DAPENE L. ORRETT: Thank you, Mn. Preeident. Last Thursday morning on the 15th November, I was privileged to share with our Weet Bay oonetituents an overwhetming feeling of joy, relief, hope, bwoyancy and viatory. The feeling was and still is sheer ecstasy. Together we scorred a victory, not just for West Bay but for all of Cayman, and I thank God from whom all blessings flow. The axemplaxy and unbiased manner in whieh Mr. Kearney Gomea, Mr. Orrett Connor and alt the others who assiated in the electomal process, is deeply appreeiated. I am indeed honoured and deeply grateful for the confidence which our people have placed in me. I know the best is being expeated from me and I am determined not to discppoint our people.

I am both desirous of, and committed to excellence; Both by example end precept, both inside and outside these walle I cm conmitted to excellence. Ny filiting this position is not just a job: it is a calling and for this reason I am oommitted to excellence. For God deserves my best, our peopte deserve my best everywhere, but especially in Govervment. A aloppy, undisciplined effort offered to the God who is Master of the Universe and who is the Author of Truth is not merely unfortunate, it is an offence. Our people must be offered a Government with more thon just high technical qualities. Ultimately a complete Govermment must not only provide knowhedge of how to do something, but whether to do it and for whom.

I hope to be a paint of a covemment which enables us to make moral judgements and thus be able to choose with a high degres of suecess, what is genuinsly good, true and becutifut. My decision to serve our people as a Member of the Legislative Assembly was not born of a desire for prastige, nor of a quest for power, for these two must pass. I hope to prove avaitable and approachable, and to prove a trusted friend who seeks to bring to fruition the promises made to our people during our reoent eleotion campaign. I did not promise in our campaign, nor do I promise you now that there will always be smooth saiting, but I do solemnly promise that I ahall endeavour at alt times to do my part in steering our ship of etate on the right course.

The prayers of our people played a vital role duning our recent elections and I thank you from the bottom of my heart. Your prayers during our texm of Government are no less needed. Fellow Caymanians, I feel good about our new Government. Let us lift up our heads, rejoice, for good things are ahead.

Thank you, Mr. President.

MR. PRESTDENT:
of Executive Council. for affording us the opportwnity of addressing this Honourable House on the ooaasion of our firet appearance in this Chamber. I must also take the opportunity to extend to the people of these Talande, and especially to my supporters here in George Town, my sincere thanka for the confidence they have reposed in me by giving me one of the coveted twelve seats in this Legislative Assembly. I would also like to thank my colleagues of this Chamber for electing me to this position in Executive Council.
$M_{r}$. President, my presence here today is to me my return to an otd home where I lived until a short time ago, for seventeen years. I would perhaps, Nr. Freaident, think. subjeot to correction, that I might have served for a oontinuous period longer than any other Member in this present House.

HON. "VASSEL G. JOBNSON (CONTIVUING): Mr. President, Thursday moming the 157 h Navember, 1984 , made it quite clear to me that my return here whe a popular ohoice by the people of George Town who elected me to this Legislative Assembly, and with a mandate. The jubilant mood, Mr. Fresident, of these Ielarids whitoh greeted the early houre of the morming of the 15th November, when, the election results were known, has given me inspixation and otrength, enoouragement and a determination to eneure that these Islands continue in that apirit throughout our foir, yeare in offices, and indeed many other four years thereafter.

Mr. President, we have taken over the people's Govermment at a point I can very welt desoribe as the crossroads in the history of these Islands. A point, Mr. President, where we, the new Members of this Legislative Aesembly are left with a great task, a great task of reshaping the future of our homezand. We can only pray for God's guidanoe, thr. Presidant, and His wisdom, to lead us on the right courge, remembering always, Mr. President, that nothing is politically right which is morally wrong.

Arr. President, I have already given a statement of iny intentions from the platform, through the radio; and in the prese so it only leaves me now to give to you and to this Honourable House, and to the people of the Cayman Istands my reaseurance of those intentions.

Mr. President, as there may not be another Meeting of this Honourable House before the end of the year, may I be presimptious and take this opportunity to give you, Mr. President, and Mrs. Lizoyd and your family, the Honourable Members of this Legisiative Assembly and their families, and alt the lovely people of these Istonds, my sincere good wishes for the coming festive searon.

Thank you very much, Sir.

## MR. PRESSIDENT: <br> Islande.

The Fixret Eleoted Member for the Legabr

CAPT. MABRY S. KTRKCONNELL: Mr. President, may I take this opporitinity and for the opportwonity to speak to the Honourable Members here today. Firetty, I would like to thank my constituents from Cayman Brac and Little Cayman for the support I received in the General Election held on November 14th. I deeply uppreciate all who supported me and I ask ath of those who did not aupport me to unite behind me and my colleague capt. Charles as we strive for a better Govermment. for the Cayman Islande.

I would like to congratulate the superviscr of Elvetions and all of his staff for the able way in whioh the Elebtion uks ociried out. I would particulorly like to make refsrence to our District Commisaioner, Mi. Ryan who was the returning offioer for the third eleotoral distriot, for the wonderful and exoellent marner in which the Election was carried out. We are deeply grateful for all his aid there.

I would like to say to all my ootleagues who have been elected to the Exeoutive Cownil that I congratulate sach of you, and I pledge to you my fult and complete support. It is very gratifying to me, and I am sure to the people of Cayman Brac and Iitt le "Cayman that we once again have one of our representatives as a Member of Exacutive Council. We need that due to our geographical location. Ws appreariate what has been done in the past years, but we feel that we need one of our oum and we are very grateful that that once again exists.

To the former Members of the House, the Zong serving Members such as our "mother" of the Howse, Miss Annie Huldah Bodden, I would like to take this opportumity to pay my great

CAPT. MABRY. S. KIRKCONNELL (CONTINUING): nespeots to her for her long years of able gemitee here in this Honourable House. She will always be remembered by me and. I thalt atways be most gratefut for the number of years that ahe has served us, and alt the advice and guidance which she has given us duming my short pexiod of four years which I have served here in the House prior to this term.

Mr. President, I would like ateo at this time to appeal to att Honourable Members of this Assembly that we unite together to lead the Cayman Islands on the bast course that we know how. Let us forget the Election; let us forget the past, nealising that that is history, and we will be making history as we aerve the people for the next fou? years.

So I would like in conclueion to thank
all.my constituents, thank atl the Membere present today, and again appeal to the Honouroble Members of this House; let us all unite and make the Cayman Islands the best cowntry poserble.

Thionk you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Nember for North Side.
MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
Mr. President, I would like to take thia opportionity to thank the people of North Side for their support, and I will endeavour to serve them and the people of these Islands to the best of my ability, and to at all times keep the people of these Istanle involved in Governnent.

I very deepty appreciate the opporturity and the confidence of the people of North Side to sexve them. I intend to deliver to the people of this country the commitment I have made, with honour, hwmility and dignity. I look fomward to working with atl Members of this Honourable House for the benefit of the Cryman Islands. Thank you, Mr. Eresident.

MR. PRESIDENT:
UnZess any other Member wishes to speak?
The Elected Member for East End.
MR. JOHN B. McLEAN: Mr. President, this witl be the second time since nomination day that I have had an opportunity to thank the people of my constituency, but it is my feeling, Sir, that if I stood here and thanked them a thousand times it would be in order. Beacuse on nomination day they displayed a special faith and trust in me which I intend to stand here and to defend to the hilt.

Today, Mr. Preeident, besides thanks to my people, I would also like to thank the Clerk of this Legislative hesembly and her able staff. I would also like to thank the Honourable Officiat Members of Government with whom I have worked quite olosety during the last four years, and last but by far not least, $I$ would like to thank my Pmincipal Secretary, Mr. Kearney Gomes and the able staff of the Portfolio which I was charged with for the last four years.

Let me say, Mr. President, that standing on this side of the House, or sitting on this side of the House as it may be termeds: will degrade me in no way. This is where-I started. $I$ am by: no meane power hungmy. I served for the tast four years on Executive Council and I have a track record whereby I can look any member of these Istands in the face. However, Mr. President, let me say I will not stand by and see anyone try in any way to demoltsh or to pull dow something which has been built up for the benefit of this country and its people. I have been supported by my people on nomination day and I have also been supported by every person in the Cayman Istande. I an a Caymanian, Mr. President, who will at all times defend Cayminians.

MR. IOHN B. MoLEAN (COWTINUTNG): Today, Mr. President, II an hopeful that each Member in here wilt realies that foun years ie a vary short time, and that we witt knook heads together and try to continue what has been built up for the benefit of the peopterofrthis country. We are at a point today, Sir, where anything can happen. We have a good thing going but. it can easily be destroyed.. Let us always be mindful of this and at all times keep our people in mind.

I priay today, Mr. President, that Almighty God will continue to guide us and that each one here will make their best endeavoure for this country. I thank you.
MR. G. BAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, I would like to thank the people of Bodden Town who have shown their confldence in me over the last twelve years, having aleated the First Eleated Member for Bodden Town and myself with a nesownding margin. I would like to thank our supporters who worked hard and honestly to bring about the inevitable pesulte of the Bodden Town election.

I would also like to oongratulate the four new Eleoted Members of Executive Conncit and I look forward to supporting measures which I believe are good for the country, to finding the flawe in any incompetence they may show and actually burying up any policies which they may present, whioh I feet are not in the interests of the country.

I would tike to put tö reet a mumour
which has been staxted by the opposition in Bodden Town that I may resign my seat. On the morning after the eleetions I was very touohed when I went to West Bay because some of our people were sad, but when they auw me they came to life and they aaid, "We have not lost everything, we still have other representatives. We still have Haig and "Jim". This has been a way of life with us, particularly during the past eight yeare on Executive Covncil. We did not go out to do progranmes in Bodden Toum simply to get elected. We did what we feit was good and in the interests of the country, whether it was Weat Bay or Cayman Brac and North Side.

I do not have the impish perspicacity of the late Sir Winston Churchills but at age seventy-one after having won Wortd War II and saved the wortd, he was thrown out of office, and he oaid, if I oan quote his words that:
"The Conservative victory is the greatest disaster that has smitten England in ite long and ohequered history.:"
I will not use the same prognositiation which he used, but $I$ will say that $I$ will remain in this Chamber because I think it is neosseary, and I wilt quote his words again when he aaid, (atthough he coutd have resigned and painted, and did whatever he wanted), he said:
"I feet now, however, that the situation is so serious and what may have to oome so grave, that I am resotved to go forward carrying the flag as long as I have the. necessary strength and energy, and have your confidence."
So for those who may hope to take those Bodden Town seats I would say again in the words of Churchill:
"We shatt fight on the beaches and in the landing fietds. We shall never surrender."

On the night of the election $I$ said to the eleotomate in Bodden Town, "Now that the campaign is over
Mi. G. $\operatorname{HAIG}$ BODDEN (CONTINUING): I hope the hate witl be put aside". I was startied this morning to read a shocking letter by a Leader of one of our churohes, Mra. Mary Wooduard, a letter of hate againet the two Bodden Town Members, and this is sad and I do hope that her minister will take her and kneel down (Noise from gatlery)....

MR. PRESIDENT:
Quist in the public gallery please:
MR. G. HATC BODDEN:
$\cdots$ at the footstool of God, and pray
for her soul because she certainily needs it.
Finally I would say two things. When Sir Winston during the dark days of the war heare that he might be in danger if he attended in Afrioa, he wrote to one of his comrades; he sent a mesaage saying; "Read John 14(1)", which says:
"Let not your heart be troubled: ye believe in God;
believe also in me,"
And finally I would say to those new Members and to the new Executive Councit what the prophet feremiah said:

> "Let not he that putteth on his armour boast as he that teketh it off."

MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH:
Mr. Preaident, it is with deep gratitude and humble apprectation that I rise to say thank you to the people of ny district for the confidence which they have reposed in me. Sir, it is not so mudh the political victory that matters, but the opportunity which that victomy affords for building the country in accordance with the directions which our people wish us to pursue. During the time ahead it is most important for us that the country shows discipline and good sense in the national interests.

We, Six, in this Houre at this time, represent an anxious cowntry in a troubled world. Our constituents look to us for leadership and conmitment. We serve a hard tasknaster, our covntry. The urgency of its needs must always be given dominance over other considerations.

There has bean mugh said, Mr. President, about 1972 to 1976, in the past election, but, Sir, the eleotion $i_{s}$ fought. The people have chosen and dignity has miled the day.

Mr. Preaident, the four votes I cast for the foum Eleated Members of Executive Council were not taken lightly, Sir. I voted for them because I believed that they are honest men of integrity, and I believe that they have worked for their busineeses, and worked for their families, most impontantly, and I believe, sir, that they can do a good job, a job winioh is well needed at this time in the Cayman Istands. So at this time in our history we cannot afford to be divided. The athatlenge to be faced is too great, the cost of failure too high for everyone. We must all recognise that we have one obligation and that is to work for the promotion and interests of this country, and for inproving the lot of att those of us who have decided to make the Caymun Istands our home, those of us who were born here and those of us who were brought here tawfulty.

Finalty, Mr. Freaident, today being the people's day I beg to give notice that at the next sitting of this Honourable House I wizl be bringing a motion, the sffect of which witl be to revoke and cancel Private Members' Motion No. 5, conmonly known as the Stowers Motion, passed by the Assembly on $7 s t$ December, 1983.

MR. W. MoKEETA BUSH (CONTINUING): May Almighty God so guide ue each and every one.

I thank you very much.
MR. PRESTDENY:
The motion before the House is that this House do now adjourm sine die. Before asking Members to vote on it, may I thank them for the help that they have given me in ensuring that today's proceedings went so smoothty, and were corducted with dignity. I look forward to the oontinuing assistance of Members duming the life of this House. Sometimes people aan say in the excitement of the moment, things better left unsaid. Sometimes in the excitement of a moment two Members may rise at ince, eaoh determined to outface the other, and sometimes on these ocoasions it $i_{s}$ the culkard, potentializy embarmasing task of the President to try and keep proper order. But I look forward to receiving from alt of you, as I received from Members of the tast House, your help, tolerance and understanding when I try to perform that task as impartially as I oan.

Meanwhile, may I thank the Membera who offered to me, to my family, and incteed to all of $u s$, good wishes for the coming Christmas season, and may I reciprocate those, wishing to each and every one of you and to all of your families a very happy Christmas and a very prosperous New Year. motion.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. AT 1.12 P.M. THE HOUSE ADJOURNED SINE DIE.
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MR. PRESIDENT:
The Assembly is in session. Ts shall invite the Rev. Edgar Ogeton to say prayera.
REV. EDGAR OGSTION:
Lord our God, we nemember Your promise are there in the $i$ are theine in the midst of them: We olaim that promise this morning and pray that each one of us may be aware of Your presence, for You know our nede and how inadequate we feel ourselves to be th the presence of world and social problems and the ohallenges of this hour. Send Your divine help down upon us o Lord that we might be better than we axe, wiser than we know and stronger than we dream. In this prayer, Father, we bring before You the Members of the Legistative Assembly - men and women who feel the weight of reaponsibility and the need of divine gutdanos; men and women who often are sorety tempted and who need the oupport of Your grace. Make clear to them what are the right thinge to do at this time and give them courage to do the right in Your sight through Christ our Lord.

We pray for the queen and the members of the Royal. Fomily and we aleo proy, for His Excellency the Governor. Grant them wisdom and discermment for the tasks You lay upon their shoulders and may they set an example of honesty and integrity to thits world.

Lastly Father we pray for the peoples of these Telands that they would ure and not abuse the opportunities You place in their hande as these Islande develop. In the hour of prosperity may they not forget you the Giver. Jesus Chirist our Lord who taught us to pray the Family Prayer:

Our Father, who art in Heaven, Hallowed be Thy Name, Thy Kingdom come, Thy witl be done on earth as it is in Heaven. Give us this day our daily bread: And forgive us our trespasses, as we forgive those tho trespase against us: And lead us not into temptation; but deliver us from evil: For Thine is the Kingdom, the power and the glory, for ever and ever. Amen.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Please be aeated.
Presentation of Papere and Reports.
The Honourable Thind Offieial Member.

## PRESENTATION OF REPORTS

## FINANCE COMMTTREE

HON. THOMAS C. JEFEERSON:
Mr. President, I beg to tay on the table of this Eonoura3Ze House the Finance Committee Report for 22nd November, 1984 and for 29th November, 1984.
MR. PRESIDENT:
So ondered.
HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON: Mr. Fresident, the Meeting of 2 ind November, 1984 approved a totat sum of $\$ 50,000$. These furds were provided for retirsment of the Commiseioner of Police, $\$ 30,000$ for aalary which would take him to the end of his contract in August, 1985, and $\$ 20,000$ for gratuity which would cover his totat contractual period.

HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON (CONTTNUTVG): The Meeting of 29th November, $\bar{M}$. President, approved a total sum of $\$ 2,462,000$ for Cayman Aimwys Limited. These funds were approved, Mr. President, to meet firstly, the quarterly paymente which were due on 18t December, 1984, and on advice from the Managing Director of Cayman Airways thene was insufficient oash held by the airline to meet this payment. Secondly, Mr. President, we, this Honourable House and Finance Cormittee guaranteed these quarterly payments to Inter First Eink of Daltis and therefone there was no other altermative but to apprave the funds to meet this quarterly payment. Additionally, Nr. President, on secamination of the Acoounts Payable of Cayman Airways, it was found that some preseing needs have to be addressed, mainly in dealing with a major portion, Nr. President, of the oldest bills due in the Acootonto Payable.

Therefore, Mr. Preaident, of this totat sum of $\$ 2,462,000$ we have honoured to date the quarterly poyment of $\$ 636,675$, and a sum paid to Teraco for fuel of $\$ 400,000$.

MR. PRESTDENT: In accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 67(4) the House is deemed to have agreed to the motion.

House Committee Reports, the Second
Eleoted Member for West Bay.

## HOUSE COMMITTEE

MR. W. MOKEEVA BUSH:
Mr. President, I beg to lay on the tabte of this Honourable House, Houes. Committee Reports for 27th and 29th November, 1904.
MM. PRESIDENT:

So ordered.
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Preaident, quat on a point of order I would say that the Members have an opportwetty to debate this Report. It is attogetiner infferent from the Financial Report and I woutd call your attention, Sir, to Standing Ordex:72(s) which deals with Select Comittee Retorte, and al a $^{2}$ to $77(2)$ which saus that Reports of Standing Committees of the House ean be. treated in the some way as Select Compittee Reports. . . Ie a com opposing the contents of this Report. I would like the opportunity to debate it.

MR. ERESIDEWT: M M M Merstinding has aludys been that it is open to Members to speak when Reports of the House Conmittee, or the Buoiness Cormittee are Zaid. I' do not think that any Member has ever availed himself, or at least not in my recollection of the opportwity to do so, but you are entitled to do so ond if you wish to speak to the Report that is in order.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Wn. President, firstiof all I do
not agree with the seating ammaments recomenended in this Report. My colleague from Bodiden Town and I witt be bringing over the nest four years many motions, upon which we will have to aonsult continuously. It would be inconvenient and uncomfortable for us if we were separated, so I do not intend to sit at the end of this table, but rather sit in the centre where $I$ an in close proximity to the other Member. Also I would say that it is my firm belief that the Commithe went beyond its terms of reference in auggesting the aeating arrangements. The texms of reference as set out for that Committee simply give them the cuthority to

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): provide for the oomfort of the Members and not for specifice seating arrangements.

Furthermore, our Standing orders are sitent on it and the Standing Orders say that when our Standing Orders are eilent we mat then use the practice in the House of Commons. I would tike to refer you to Erskine Mays on seating arrangements, and Erskine Mays says that in the House of Conmons no speciat seats are assigned to the Members. Therefore I feel that we have a right to eit wherever we want to sit.

The seoond reason for rejeoting this Report is that the Report has made many recommendations for the spending of money, for the extravagunt waste of money"and these recommendations cannot be acoepted by this Hoube. If the department concerned with the operation of the Legislative Absembly ahoutd feet that there is a necessity for any expenditure I wout hope that the Clerk, who is the head of that department witt make her submisesion to the Finarce Committee when the Budget is being prepared.

I speaifically mention, Sir, the purchase of a word prodeseor. I do not deny that such a machine is necessary, but it is my understanding that there is a word processor at the Govermment Afministration Building which is not used to tits fult capacity, and perhaps the work of the Legis lative Asosmbly could be processed on this machine thereby saving Goverrment some money. I also refer to the reoommendation in the Report for the purchase of $\alpha$ shredder and I know that there is one available at Govermment offiees, and perhaps this could be used. I believe that we should investigate whether it is feasible to use this before we purchase such a piece of equipment:

Then, there is also a recomendation in the Report that we put in a soundproof box for Radio Cayman and I see in the Report recommenlations for even sprucing up the steps of the Legislative Assembly. While I know that the Hotuse Committes has the reaponsibility for taking care of the building, I would not like to eee the House Committee adopt the attitude that they must spend Govermment money merely to justify their existonce, and I certainzy will oppose reoomentations such as the ones contained in the Report to the House. I trust that such reconmendations witt come through the proper channels from the head of the department and will not be used to justify the exiatence of the House Committee, nor to perpetuats its existence, I certainly feet strongly. I feel that it is wrong for the House to start off, when the campaign pledges have been to controt the waste and the spending in Covernment, at this first Meeting, which it is my understanding has been oatled especially for the purpose of presenting the businese which is before the House....
Mi. PRESIDENT:

If I may intermpt the Honourable Member. I an consoious of the fact that if we debate this matter for too long there will be no time left for questions. I would think the Member has made his point and would suggest therefore that he reet it at that in order that other Members may have an. opportunity to ask questions. Even the Member himself, I think, has a question down today.

I would rule that the points which were dealt with by the Conmittee, including the seating of Members, does in my view fall within the Committee's terms of reference. I think that the Committee is empowered to deat with anything touching on the convenience and comfort of Members and that is a point, therefore, which they can properly deal with. On the other hond I accept that if the Member who was iust speaking wishes to sit where he is seated now, I am aure that arrangements can be

MR. PRESIDENT (CONTTNUING): made for him to continue to do so:
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Yee, Mr. President, I agree that I shoutd etop the debate, but I must reiterate that the terms of reference which I have before me do not specifically authorise....

MR. PRESIDENT:
With respeot, I have made a ruling on that. The Member may not agree with the muling. If he wishes to move a specific motion to chatlenge my ruling he is entitled to do so, but not otherwise, and my ruling is that it is within the terms of reference that they are entitled to make reconmendations about seating, but that if the Second Elected Member for Bodden Town wishes to be seated where he now is, I am quite certain that arrangments can be made aecordingly.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Yes, Mr. Eresident, once I am allowed to remain where I am, that is fine.

MR. PRESIDENT:
$I$ do not think that that will cause any probtem. As to the other points, my understanding from the Reports of the Committee is that the matters with oome up with the estimates in the ordinary way, and therefore they will come before Finince Conmittee. It wat merely the House Committee expressing views about what might be done and I am sure that the clerk when preparing her estimates will toke those views into account. It wili then be for Finance Committee to determine whether funds should be provided.

So wolless any other Member wishes to speck, I would prefer that we gave Members an opportunity to ask their questions. In that case it is ondered that the House Committee be noted.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Fresident, I rexlly did not intend to speak on this point but I could not let the matter rest the way you ruled on it. In the absence of any miles on parilionentary procedure we atways go to Erskine May, and Erskine Miy is ailent on that point and I feel that you have made a very unfair miting in the House this morning with reacrads to the presentation of this Paper, Sirs:

Mí. FRESIDENT: With respect, it has been the custom of this House ever aince I have been here, and so far as I know, before that to allocate seats to Members unlike the House of Commone where anybody sits anywhere, although a nomber of them do by custom sit in particular places. But here there has been an absolutety fixed practice where the Official Members eit, where the Elected Members of Executive Councit sit and where eaoh of the other Members sit. Therefore I have regarded it as being the practice of this Houe to allocate seato, and having regard to that, I have negarded it as being perfectly proper for the House Committee, which is oharged with the task of looking to comonget other thinus the oomfort and convenience of Members, to make recommendations about where each Member might sit. Now if the House wishes to change the arrangement which has hitherto been made, and to cease to have fixed seats for particular Members, that is a matter which the House oan oertainly deeide to do

I think we will pase on to questions now, though. No, I beg your pardon, we will pass on to the Business Cormittee Report. We have not had that yet.

## BUSLNESS COMMTTEE

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTXR: $\quad$ M. Prestdent, I beg to lay on the table of thie Bonowrable House the Business Comitatee Report of the Meeting held on 11th Deaember, 1904,

MR. PRESIDENT: $\left.\quad \begin{array}{l}\text { So ordered. } \\ \text { Questions. The Eleated Member for }\end{array}\right] \quad$ Side
North Stice.

## QUESTTONS

THE ELECTED MEMBER FOR HORTH SIDE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEXBER RESPONSTBLE FOR INYERNAL AND EXTERNAL AFFATRS

NO. 72: Woutd the Member state why the swearing-in ceremony, which was broadoast live on Radio Cayman, was not repeated?

ANSWER: After wide publicity and with the Governor's approval, the awearing-in ceremony was broadeast live and it was not thought necessary to repeat it.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Unless there is a supplementary I will invite the Sboond Elected Member for Bodden Town to ask the next question.

THE SECOND ELECTED MBMBER FOR BODDEN TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE THIRD OFPICIAL. MEMBER RESFONSIBLE FOR FINANCE AND DEVELOPMEN?

NO. 73: Would the Member state:-
(a) What was Govermment's surpius revenue on $318 t$ October and 30th November, 1984; and
(b) What were the General Reserves on 31st Ootober, and 10.th December, 1984?

ANSWER: (a) The ourptus revenue on 31st October, 1984, was \$891, 510 and on 30th November, 1884 a defitoit poeition of $\$ 884,473$. The revenue through 30th November, 1984, does not inolude the budgeted sum to be taken from Reserves of $\$ 1.5$ million.
(b) The General Resemes on 31at October, 1984, stood at $\$ 10,032,844$ and on 10th December, 1984, was $\$ 9,123,162$.

## SUPPLENENTARIES:

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: : Supplementary, Mr. Fresident, Is it coxreot what I am hearing that a surplue on the 31st October, 1984, of \$891, 510 has completely disappeared by the 30th November and we are in a deficit position this year of over $\$ 884,000$. to that correat?

MR. PRESTDENT:
That is what has been stated already, so I do not think really that is a supplementary. You have been given the anewer. If you are asking the Member to confirm the figures, that he has not read them out wrong or something, I will let him confirm it, but it is not peally $\alpha$ supplementary.

That is correct, Mr...President.
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Preetaent; $a$ supplementazy. Wizt
the Member say if Executive Council has approved thees answers?
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad \therefore$ That tis"not an altowable supplementary, I am afraid.

MR. G. $H A I G$ BODDEN:
Cain I aok, Mr. President, if they have knowledge of the grave situation that enists?
MR. PRESTDENT: $\cdots$ No, becouse catiting it a grave situation is your terminology. It does not aries from the answer. You: can say "of the situation", but hot "grave éituation", if you wish.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\because \because \quad A t i l$ might, Nr. President, It will move on to unother supptementary. "Witl the Member confirm that the Reserves which existed on the $318 t$ october haive now been reduced by more than \$1 miliion up to the $30 t h$ Novemben?

MR. PRESIDENT:
If you read the answer you will find that he carnot because the answer is that they have been reduced by lese than $\$ 1$ million, at least on the printed figures which I have in front of me. From $\$ 10,032,00$ to $\$ 9,123,000$ whioh I mike. just over $\$ 900,000$; much loss than $\$ 1$ million.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Yes Sir, you are cormeet with that but $\bar{I}$ had made an error in taking it dow in writing. The anower does say, and this a supplementary, sir, witt the Member donfirm that the Reaerves which stood on 31et Oetober, 1984, at $\$ 10,032$, 644 have now been reduced on 10th December to $\$ 9,123,162$ ?

MR. PRESIDENT: With respect, if a Member gives an answer and gives figures, you cannot aontinue as supplementaries asking if he can confirm exactly what he has said. You can ask questions which ariee ont of it, and it was a perifectly fair question a monent ago although you dropped it as a supplementary, to say is the Goverment aware of the situation.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Yes, Mr. President, you are perfectty oorrect but I hope that you bear with my state of shock at these figures.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Eteoted Member for North Side.
MR. D. EZZARD MILLER: A supplementary, Mr. President. Would the Member state whether it is a fact that thie reduotion in Reserves was used to pay bills owed by Goverrment prior to the 1 th November; 1984?

HON. THOMAS C. IEFFERSON:
Mr: President, the reduction in the Genexal Reserves was a result of the explanation I gave on the approval given by Finance Conmittee on $29 t h$ November, 7984 , dealing with Gayman Airuays. The reduetion is the total awn paid to Texaco, $\$ 400,000(J . S:)$, and Inter-Finst Bink $\$ 636,673$. When converted to Cayman Islande dollars that ts the rieduction from the 31 st . Ootober, 1984, figrere, ooupled with the position, Mri president, those fronds are eaming intexest. So it id the net of the two.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad$ Mr. President, Can I ask tomising out of that as an explanation, if it is the practice of Govermment to just take out the Keserves to pay current bitla? Or is it the praotice to wait and see if these bills aan be paid before this hastij detion is taken?

MR. W. MCKEEVA BUSH:
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:

Maybe we would have had no Cayman Aixways.
Maybe that is what you all wanted.

MR. PRESIDENS: $\therefore$ order, order. onty supplementary questrons acn be permitted. I am prepared to admit the supplenentary question which was to the effect, is it the practice to take money from Reserves rather than.......

HON THOMAS C, JEFFERSON: Mr. PMesident, I think it would depend on the positlon of the Government at the partioular time that the application on the need arises. If you have a situation where you have ourptus revenue, then you would take the funds from surplus revenue. If you have an urgent matter and there is no surplus revenue, where is Govermment going to take the funds from other than taking them from General Reservee. I think that is the position, Mr. Preaident.

MR. PRESIDENT: In : Unless there is any further.
Bupplementary, will invite the Second Elected Member for George
Town to ask the next question.
TEE SECON ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FIRST OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIDLE FOR INTERNAL AND INTERNAL AFFA:TRS
NO. 74: Would the Member state the number of applications for Caymanian statue which are -
(a) now pending; and
(b) provide an aging analyeis of same?

ANSHER: (a) There are 308 (three hundred and sight) applications now pending.
(b) By year of applioation the breakdown is as follows:197.6

1 1977 - 14 197818
197928
1980 . 22
$1981 \ldots 25$
$1982 \quad 76$
$1983 \quad \therefore 88$
$1984: 3$
308
SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Supplementary, Mr. President. Would the Membar atate what plans, if ary, are being formilated to deal as expeditiousty as poseible with these statis applications?:

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, no plans as yet have been formilated.' We are juet a very, very new Govermment with plenty of other priorities to get done before this, but it will be attended to in due course.

MR. IINFORD A, PIERSON: $\because$ Mr. President, a further aupplementary. Would the Member state whether the Caymanian Erotection Law, 1984, Low 24 of 1984, is yet. in pperation, and if not, how soon oan we expect it to come into operation?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:. Mr. President, it is not in operation yet, Sir, and I cannot really say when it will come into effect. It is left to the Government to tecide that.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
'Mr. Presidents may I ask the Member if he will give the House an undertaking that all of these applications witl be processed, and whether the person is admitted to status or not, the person will be notified and that they witl be processed as quickly as possible?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I cannot give an undertaking, six, it is a poliay matter which the Govermment will in due course make. Without them having made it I aanot. give an undertaking.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:<br>Nr. Preaident, may I ask if the Member witit take up with Executive Council the matter of whether they will deal with these; clear them all off; let those who can get status know, and those who will not be given it know?

MR. PRESIDENT: With respect, I think that you should get one point straight. It is not for Executive Council to deal with them. Exeoutive Council's responaibility is limited to setting a quota. It is for the Caymanian Protection Board to deal with the individual applications, but I think you aan properly ask the Honourable Member whether he will urge Etecutive Council to enable the Protection Board to deat with them quickly, and if your supptementary can be varied in that respect, it will be otuite in order.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Yea, Mr. Preaident, tell the Member yes to that one. I have every intention of trying to do so, Sir.

MR. PRESIDENT: Unless there is any further supplementary I will invite the Seaond Elected Member for George Toum to ask the next queation.

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FTRST ELECTED MLMAER DF EXECUTIVF COUFCIL RESPONSIBLF FOR FEALTH EDUCATIOV AND SOCIAL SERVICES

NO. 75: | Would the Member state what, if any, plans arie being |
| :--- |
| made to introduce teqislation in the near future to. |
| provide for a aontributory pension scheme for enployees. |
| in the private sector and those not already covered in |
| the Government service? |

ANSWER: | The introduction of enabling legislation of the kind |
| :--- |
| referred to in the question will depend upo the |
| outcome of a. feasibility study which Government will |
| consider undertaking in the near future. |

MR. EINFORD A. PIERSON:
Supplementary; Mr. Preoident. Would the Member state if he has any time frome for condueting this feasibility study?

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS: NO, Mr. President, nowe other than as aoon as is homanly possibte.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: A further dupplementary; Mr. President. We are ali aware of the human constrainte, but would the Member state whether he would be recoptive to a soheme debigned to provide for retirement benefits to employees of Goverment not now covered by a pension scheme, and for employees in the private sector?

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think really the Member has atready. said that the answer to that is going to depend on the outcome of a feasibility study. I think it is clearly implied that the Member is receptive to the idea, but he has got to find out, and will find out as soon as is humanly possible whether it is a feasible idea. So"I think really you have had the answer to your supplementary.

UnZess there is any further supplementary,
I will invite the aome Member, the Second Etected Member for Gedrge Town to ask the next question.

THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HONOURABLE FOURTH ELECTET MEMBER OE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR DEVELOPMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

NO. 76: Would the Member briefty state the present policies and criteria of the Housing Development Corporation with regards to approving Zoan apptioations?

ANSWER: Due to the short time I have been in the Fortfotio and with other pressing and urgent matters to deat with, it was not possible to look into the operation of the Housing Development Corporation. However, the information requested will be forwarded to the Member as soon as possible.

BUPFLEMENTARTES:
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Supplementary. Would the Member state whether he hae made himself aware of all of the policy guidetinee which were set down in regard to the handling of loan applications?

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. Presiclent, I have atready said that I have not yet had time to took into the affaira of the Housing Development Corporation.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, the guiclelines which were set down probably do not cover more than one printed page and with such an important subject I would have thought that the Member would have mode himself ausare of what....

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Member may ask a supplementary,
but not make a speech, so turn it quickty into a supplementary and you will be alt right.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, I think we should be givent a little latitude in explaining something. I am trying to exptain and ask, him why such an important subjeot, and if you woutd wait, I would be able to explain it. Why with such an important subject, when it covers auch a small space, has he not made himself ausare of the:policies yet?:

MR. PRESIDENT: I really think that he has answered that already: He has said that he has not yet had time.: Now you may criticise him for not having had time but I do not think that there is anything more that he can say in answer to your supplementamp that he has not said already:

Mir. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Fresident, I was atways under the impression that the Member responsible would be anawering the question and not you.

MR. PRESIDENT: $\therefore \quad \therefore$ You are quite right lut I am ruling whether the question is in order or not.

Is there anty further supplementary?
In that case I will ask the Second Elected Member for West Bay to abk the next quesition.
THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR TOURISM AVIATION AND TRADE

No. 77: Would the Member state whether Cayman Aimaye Limited has a watchman in addition to Government's airport security?

ANSWER: A person was engaged as a night-watchman for Cayman Airways during the period 27 th october, 1984, to 14th November, 1984. However, with due respect, I must point out that this line of questioning is related to the day-to-day management of the company for which I an not directly responsibie.

## SUPPLEMENTARIES:

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, can the Member say whether thie extra security whioh he has mentioned in his reply was because of the recent elections as it happened during that period?

HON. W. MORMAN BODDEN: Mr. President, I cannot state the reason for the employment of the night-watohman, Sir.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Can I $a s k$, Mr. Preaident, if the night-watehman was hired apecifically to wateh the aircraft, or was the watchman hired to watch some other area of the operation?

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. President, the duties of the night-watchnan were not specified to me by the management of Cayman Airwaya so I carnot say whether he was mployed to specifically wateh the aircraft, on whether he had other responsibilities at night.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, can the Member tell $\overline{u s}$ why the job of this watahnom came to an end on the night of the elections?


#### Abstract

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: I ean only make an asaumption there, Mr. President. I dssume that mandgement decided that it was no longer neceseary to emptoy him as a night-watchman and therefore


 terminated his employment.MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Can I ask if it was the manager who hired the uatohman on 27th Ootober?

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mr. President, I have pointed out in my repty that I do not consider the day-to-day management of the company as my divect responsibility; but I would asaume that the management or the manager of the company should have been the person responsible for employing him, or he should have at least bean aware that he was employed.

MR. G. RAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, can I ask if the
watchman's job was terminated because of the inefficiency of the
watchman, or because of the disappearance of the need for having a watehman?

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
Wo reason was given to me by managenent as to why the employment was terminated... I think that must have been the deaision rightly taken by management.
MR. PRESIDENT: Untess there is any fupther
Gupplementary I witl invite the Second Eleced Member"for West Bay
to ask the next question standing in his nome.
THE SECOND ELECTED MEMBER FOR WEST BAY TO ASK THE HONOURABLE SECOND
ELECTED MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL RESPONSIBLE FOR TOURISM AVIATION
AND TRADE

## ND. 78 Would the Member state:-

(a) Whether any cash or cash value advances have been made at ary time by Cayman Airways Limited or Cayman Air Holdings Limited to any of its Dinectors, including the Chairmonor staff; and
(b) whether a chaxge account in the nome of any member of the Board of Directors, inctuding the Chairman, was written off the books of Cayman Airways Limited prion to the elections?

ANSWER: (a) Acoonding to information supplied by the Director of Finconce of Cayman Airways, cash aivances totalling $U S \$ 6,500.00$ were made to the former Chairman for travel expenses. No advances have been made by Cayman Air Holdinge Limited.
(b) According to the Director of Finance of Cauman Airways, to charge account in the nome of any members of the roard of Directors, ox its Chairman, was uritten off the books of Cayman Airwayg Limited prior to the General Etections.

SUPPLEMENTARIES:
MR. D. EZZAARD MILLER:
Supplementary, Mr. Fresident. Could the Member state if this $\$ 0,500$ has been recovered?

HON. W. TORMAR BODDEN: Mra President, the answer to that supplementaryi is that the amount is still outstanding acoording to the Director of Finance of Cayman Airways.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER: A further aupplementary, Ir. President. Could the Member state if any action is being taken to recover the sum of money?

MR. W. MORMAIV BODDEN:
14. President, I have been assured
by the Director of Finance that steps will be taken to attenmt to have these advances accounted for in the nomal manner.

MR.LINFORD A.EIERSON: Mr.President, could the Member state whether these are advances to be refunded or whether the accounting of expenses are to be made?

HON. W. NORMAR BODDEN: : Mr.Fresident, it is my understanding from the Director of Einance that the account for expenses incurred has to be made.

MR. W. McKEEVA BUSH: . Supplementary to section (b) of the question, Mr. President. Would the Member state whether this answer includes the Miami Office of CAL as well?

HON. W. NORMALI BODDEN: Mr. President, I cannot state that, Sir, I do not have that information and I do not think it was inctuded in section ( $B$ ) of the answer.

MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH. Nr. President, I wonder if the Nember would give an undertaking to have it in uriting?

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: I can do that, Mr. President, I will have it investigated and clarified, and $T$ can give it to the Member requesting it, in miting.

MR. JAMES M.BODDEN: . Mr. President, can I make a point of explanation, Sir?

MR.PRESIDENT: $\quad Y e s, I$ think you are entitited to do that.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: I I Think we have an unwarranted attack here at my integrity and my Chairmanship of the Board of Cayman Airways. In view of this I should be entitled or given permisaion to apeak in my behalf.

I can assume the Members of this House and the public at large that I have been paid no salary as everyone knows, by Cayman Airways. I have stoten notining from Cayman Airways. I have worked like hell for Cayman Airways during the years it was under my Chaimanship. I have done everything within my power to keep it afloat on in the air and there will be no necessity to take any aotion to recover the money, becouse these could have been justifiable expenses in most cases if I had wanted to do it. But I will repay the money, because the Members of this House witl soon find out that in travelling on Goverrment business with the allowanee that is given, to meet the people one has to meet with, one can never do it in the proper style.

In regards to the Miami office as
well as the local office, I can issure the world at targe that

MR.JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): no account of mine has pver been: written off the books of Cayman Airuays:

> MR. PRESTDENT:
> Unless there is any other supplementary, we can pass on to the next Item.

## GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

## GOVERNMENT MOTION NO. 6 <br> BROADCASTING OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEEDINGS

$\frac{\text { HON.D.H.FOSTER: }}{\text { Govermment Motion No. } 6 \text { standing in my name which reads as follows - }}$
In accordanae with the immuities, powers and privileges conferred upon the LegisLative Assembly of the Cayman Istands by the Cayman Istands Constitution Order., 1972, Legislative Assembly Inmunities, Power and Frivileges Luw (Revised) and all other written laws BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that Radio Cayman being a broadaating station operated from within the Cayman Islands by the Government of the Caymon Islands is hereby authorised to broudoast recordings of the proceedinge of the Legislative Assembly as are hereinafter named: -

1. Question Time.
2. Debate on Second Readinge of all Bills set down for the sitting., commencing on Wednesday the 22th December, 1984
3. Debate, if any, on Govemment Motions.

## MR. PRESIDENP:

Govermment Motion No.6, the teast of which has just been read out by the Firet Official Member, $i$ is now open for debate.

MR. D.EZZARD MILLER: Wr. President, on a point of alamity, Str, is there any particular reason why the Committee stage of bille $i_{s}$ not broadoxst on Radio Cayman? If there is not, could I ask that that be included in the broadcast ac well?

[^30]MR. G. BAIG PODDEN (CONTINIING): matters, hut I believe it would. be asking too much of the Radto station to proancast the oommittee stages of biths. I do not think the puhtio would realtu be abte to follow the broatcast. I aertainty will bring, later on next year, a motion wherehy we can amend Standing ordens and amend the Leaislative Assembly (Immunities, Poners and Priviteqes) Lon, Pevised, oo as to altons the Radio Station to broadeast the nroceedings of the House without having to go through the procedure which we must now follow. Ohe standing Orders are sitent as well as our Legislation Asmemblu (Immmities, Powers and Privileges) Law, Revised is sitent, and beowuse the Defamation tow is atso sitent on this matter of radio hroafoasting, I think the time has come when we must amend these Laws, and our Standing Orders for regular broadeasting bu the ratio of matters of importance that happen in the House. The Ratio station shoute he aiven the some inmunitu from prosecution whioh the Memfers enfor in the Chamber. I would aertainlu not like to see us get into hroartcasting the conmittee stages.

MR. PRESIDENT: Does any other Honourable Member wish to speat? Does the mover wish to exercise his right of renty?

HON. D. H. FOSTER:
Yes, sir. I tend to agree with the last speaker, Sir, on the matter of broadcasting the committee stage of bills. I think this would be vem korina and very diffioutt. we do have a limited amount of time on Radio Cayman. In other words, When these meetings are broadeast, it is taking paid time from some other person. I further agree that we stould hring forward amondments to the present leaisiation so that we do not have to do this at every sitting.

If I had had more time I would have tried to have had thia present motion cover a perion of at least a yeax. Nevertheless, Sir, I think omendments with he coming formard in the future in order that motions at each meeting will he done oway with.

Mr. PRESIDENT: I with put the question that Covernment Motion Number 6 be passed. Witl thoee in fovour please say, Aye, those aqainst No,. The Ayes have it.

GOVFRNMENT MOTTON NO. 1 PASSED.
MP. PRESIDENT:
Perhros if I may be permitted a comment, $I$ would wholeheartedly endonse the proposot. which I would think, in a sense, is a matter for the whole House, rather than simply for the Government; that we should facilitate the broadeasting of proceedings on a permanent basia so that we do not have to pass a motion at each meeting. I am atways terrified that we shatl forget to pass one and may be the broadcast witl so on. Then we shath make a lot of trouble for ourselves after.

So, it we onn make some standina arrongement, either by amending the Iegistative Assembly (Immanities Powers and Privileges) tow, Revised or otherwise, that commends itself to the House, I think this mould be excellent. It may be that the Business Committee of the House (becauee as I said, I regard this really as a matter for the House rather than for the Government to decide) can look into possible modalities, such as means of achieving an objective, which I sense would comman"rather generat support. in the House.

I think perhaps before we move to the next Item - Bitls - this may be a convenient moment for our usuat. moming adjoumment, and I will therefore suspend proceedings for" anoroximately fifteen minutes.

AT 11. 35 A. M. THE HOUSE RESUMED

MR. PRESIDENT:<br>Please be seated.<br>Proceedings ape resumed. Itemt 4 (b) Bille, (i) The Development and Flanning (Amendment) Bill.

## THE DEVELOFMENT AND PLANNING (AMENDMEAT) BILL, 1984

FIRST READING
CLERK: A Bitl for a Law to amend the Development and Planning (Amendment) Law (Revised).

MR. PRESIDENT:
A Bitl entitted a Bill for a low to amend the Development and Planning (Amendment) Bill, 1984 is deemed to have been read a First time and is set down for Second Reading.

SECOND READING
CLERK: A Bill for a Lap to omend the Devetopment and Planning tios (Revised).

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Nr. Preetdent, I beg to move, sir, the Second Reading of a Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to amend the Development and Flanning Law (Revised).

Mr. President, the objeot of this Bill
as stated in the Memorandum of objects and Reasons is to amend the Development and Planning Law (Revised) by repealing eection 35 (a) which presently provides for the payment of a fee of four per contion in respeot of final approval of planning permission for a oondominizm'Rnown as strat title soheme.

Mr. President, this provision in the Law was introduced in March; 1981. At the time of the introduction there were protests by developers and investors who were conserned by the fact that Government had started to impose a tax on areas of economic development here and that thie 4 per oent tax on condominiums would undoubtedly disaourage development in that partioutar area.

The avidence of this, Mr. President, was felt even befome the Bill became Low, beoaube proposats to buitd condominitome at the time were either delayed or withdrawn eventually.

Nr. President, rince the 29th Aprit, 1981, there have been a few proposats for condominium developntent comounting to eomething like $\$ 15$ miliion. These projeots would have paid to Goverminent a total of $\$ 600,000$ fee, but to date it was. noted, Nr. President, that only $\$ 160,000$ had been paid. It is an indication of the reluctancy in the developers to meet this tan; some of those cases are on coppeal; somp of them have been withdraum: some of them have been delayed and the whote development of condominiums seems to be in a state of chaos.

Mr. President, this year the

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON (CONTINUING): oonstruction industry has been losing ground, contraxy to what some people might have said. There was a good bit of unemployment, even from the earlier part of the year. The problem is that after the aondominium development ran ashore, developers turned to office accommodation and over the last three yeare many office buildinge sprang up in George Town, in addition to what was there before.
.But that state of development, Mr.
President, has now reached saturation point where there is a surptus of accommodation on the market and therefore the construction: industry will have to find a new direction.

We, the Govermment, have been inviting a better hotel into the Istands from ten years ago. Mr. President, hotels are like airtines, unless their particutar traffice rises to a aextain level they are not interested and so with all the plea and with alt the encouragement that Govemment has givens we have yet to attract a first elase hotel. In the meantime the condominizons have been working quite wetl.

As far as I am concerned, Mr. President, there is nothing wrong with a condominium. You find them in every country of the world. There are many toumists and travellers who like the condominiums because of the privacy. in them and in my own view, if you are not going to attract the type of hotels we wish to have then we might as well encourage condominitus, beoause unemployment in the constrution sector is quite a frightening, thing in these Istands if it occurs. It is something we would not like to see and it is our duty, as far as it is pogathlas in the encouragement of the right type of development to ensure that jobs are provided for the unemployed.

Many people haveisaid that they do not like condominiums. It is said that they ane filling up the Seven Mile Beach and it it said that condominizme do not provide employment like the hotels. Mr. President, Fae development goes on, it will be found that hotels and other development will continue improving. If someone wants to buitd a hotel on the Seven Mile Beach any time in the future, I foresee them buying out one of the condominium complexes and bulldozing it to bivlld their hotel.

But apart from that, Mr. President, the Seven Mile Beach sea-side of the road is not the only place where. hotels can be built in that area; in fact there are proposals just now in the pipe-tine for fairly large hotels to be'built on the other side of the Seven Mile Beach area, intand. So the exture for filiting up the Seven Mile Beach with condominime is not disastrous; of course everybody has theith own opinion.

As far as the employment aopeots of it are concerned the hotels do employ more people than the condominiume, but the pondominiums have a lot of benefits as well. The minute the land is bought for the condominium both Govermment and the realty receive benefits. When the condominium is built, again the Government and others banefit. There is the maintenonce, the staffing, the Government tax which comes from the tourist accommodation tax, and then later comes the sale and re-sale of that wit whioh brings more money into Govervment coffers. The condominium, too, Mr. President, is usuatly owned by weatthy people. If it is locked up for a month, or two, the owners are not going to cry over it, but if business in a hotel falle; then serious problems will arise within that indurtry. So that is basically the difference between the two.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON (CONTINUING): Furthermore, condominiums do not danage the environment of any particular area wherever they are built, because they are beautiful and attractive buildings. I would say that they enhance the beauty of the country.

Mr. President, this Bill is being brought not becaues of any political redeon, it is brought purely as an endeavour to improve the economy in the constmation sector and in the lelands generally; by encouraging developeng to come forward and implement their plane. There are quite a number of them - I have seen a vexy impreserive development which is now in the pipe-line. There are bankers and other people coming into the country today to look at the finanoing. This has already received planning approval. It $i_{s}$ a project that will perhaps cost in the vicinity of $\$ 100 \mathrm{million}$ and the developers are very optimietic about it.

There are others who, because of the tar, did not feel like proceeding with the devetorment. These people would now be eneouraged to move on with the jobs th create jobs and to improve the economy generalty.

I know that this Honourable House might look at the bit of revenue whioh this provicion has brought into the Treasury, but as I said in my opening address; out of the $\$ 600,000$ which was supposed to have been paid only $\$ 160,000$ were collected. The rest of it is outetanding, some of it not collectable, some of it on appeal. But it is the opinion, Mr . President, that the total benefit which will be deivived from the release of this restriotion. taxing condominiume, will largely, by a good margin, offset the reveniue which it woutd produce.

My judgement about this, over the past four years, has not changed, Mr. President, because I have constantly over that period heard bjections against this particular form of tax. So it is the view that what is being presented here is economicatly to the benefit of the country. For that reason; Mr. President, I do recommend the Bill to this Honourable House.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill entitled a BilZ for a Low to amend the Development and Planning Law (Revised) be given a Seaond Reading. The motion is open for debate.

The Seoond Eleeted Member, for George Toum.

## DEBATE ON SECOND READING

MR. LINFORD A. FIERSON:
Wh. Fresident; I personally view eection that should never have been entained in Law 9 of 1981 as an amendment that should never have been enacted. It is my ovinion that this amendment did more harm to the economy of this country that any good
we derived from it.

I personally complained bitterly when this amendnent Law was introduced, mainly because it was, in my opinion, a bad Law and one which was difficult to implement. Eepecially in the absence of the necessary and appropriate Regulations.

As the then Chatrman of the Central Planning Authority perhaps I $h \sim d$, and $h r v e, ~ q$ krenor internot in this mending bizt than most of us here today. However, sadly ath of my complaints appeared to have fallen on deaf ears.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON (CONTINUING): Section 35(a)(1) of this Law, that is Law 28 of 1971 stated that for each wit in the scheme of development a fee of an amount of 4 per centum of the estimated cost of the building was to be paid as fixed by the Authority. The Central Planning Authority was unable to get all developers to agree to paying the 4 per cent of the cost of the condominiums plus there was always a problem in deciding on a value agreeable to both the developere and the Authority. This, of course, is highlighted today by the remarks made by the Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council in tive presentation of the Bill.

He mentioned a figure of $\$ 600,000$ which should have been oollected on a value of $\$ 15$ million develorment. of course, this figure fell by approximately seventy-three per cent, or $\$ 440,000$, as records show that only $\$ 160,000$ were collected.

I would also comment on the remarks made by the Honourable Fourth Elected Member of Executive Council on the necessity to re-locats some of the business in our tourist related industry. I could not agree more that consideration shoutd now be given to re-looating in other areas of this cotantry. This is a responeibility, as I see it, of the Govermment. We should not allow investors to come here and totally dietate to us where they witl looate their businesses. It is the resporisibility of this Govermment to recommend to investors where these industries should be located. We must alao bear in mind the benefits which derive to alt Caymaniane and not only the people who live in Weat Bay and George Town.

Section $35(b)$ (c) of the same Low vested in the Govermor in Executive Council the power to reach a compromiae by accepting a wit the value of which was aupposed to be not less than the aggregate value of ten of such fees, or, in other words, 4 per oent of the value of ten condominitums. Here again, Mr. President, this was very, vexy difficult to implement and more
difficult to monitor.
the rate of cost of square feet shows always a difficulty in deciding dwellings and more so to decide on a finat balue applied to these

Mr. President, I see the repealing of section $35(a)$ of Low 28 of 1971 as a very wise move indeed. As the saying goes 'better late than never'. This Law has caused a lot of domage to our economy and has resulted in a number of potential. deveZopers shying away from the Cayman Istands, partioularty Grand Cayman.

It is not my intention today, sir, to prolong my debate on this, because my position is pretty well known, as I have aired it on several occasions. I am aware also that there are other speakers who would wiah to speak on thie matter.
$I$ do agree with the Member presenting this Bill that section 35 ahould be repealed and I give this camendment Bill, 1984, my full support. Thank you, Mr. President.

## MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:

Why?
Mr. Fresident; I think after this
Session of the Legistature the entire country will be asking themselves Why? I find myself wondering today - Why?

Why such a proposal at this time
when we are faced with a lot of pressing problems? Why should we come in this House and repert a Liw whioh has benefitted the country and will benefit the country?

I will point out in my argunent
as I go along how ridioulous some of the statements made in the presentation of this bill have been.

Mr. Preaident, when something is working you do not fix it. We have, acoording to some of the Members of this House, a law of broken toys - why do not we fix some of the rest of them?

If this was something that could not have been of benefit to the people of this country, Is wauld have thought this morning that in the presentation of thits bill that much more information and datatits would have been forthooming to explain why and answer to the peiple of this country why, and partioularly at this time.

Are we doing this to assist a special interest group? Are we getting the Bermuda Triangte in operation? The Member in presenting this bilil said thit the bankers were here on the Istand - I wonder whether they were the Bermuda bankers who came in on Cayman Airways tast night?

We welcome investment, we welcome devalopment, that is the life-blood of this country. But I cannot agree with any. Member of this House that this Law has been detrimentel. There have been some speotal interest groups who have spoken about this Law from the time it was put on the statute books and I am welt aware of who they are and who they were. I remember my oolleagues and myself at that time having to take some time to try to explain to one of the Rasputins of the present administration the reason for this bitl, and I thought at that point after he had given his blessing, that that prreticular person aoula agree to the bill, that he would still be in favour of $i t$.

Mr. Preaident, we are there
as Lexistators to proteat not onty the investors, and not onty the special interest groupa, but we are here to present the welfare of all Caymanians, particularly the less fortunate. As I am seeing it, we are not thinking one bit of that.

The Member who presented this bill is well aware that before the administination of 19.76 to 1984, that condominium projects on this Istand received duty exemption under the Hotels Aid Law when they never should have received it. It was something that we had to ptug. Are we trying to go back to those days, Mr. Preeident, or arc vele going to be fairminded people and treat our people with the respect and the decenay that they descrve?

Let us look at the ridicutous part of this angument. The tow deats with a four percenturn assessment, and the finished cost, that is, a oost of buititing materials and labour to oomplete the finished product. Most condominizoms in this country are buitt in the range of a cost

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): of atout One Hundred Thousand Dotlares, with the exception of the elaborate Five Hundred Thousand Dollar condominiums that are being buitt in a dertain area on the Seven Mile Beach.

Mn. President, if a man san afford to buitd or a man can afford to buy a Five Hurdred Thousand Dollar condominium to live in tuatury on the Seven mile Beach he can certainly afford to pxy into the Treatoury of this oountry a four percentum assesement or roughty Twenty Thoueand Dotlare U.S. That is not going to deter anyone from investing in this country.

What really deters investors is when the countim loses the stability, when people get afthatd to invest, that is when we worry. Not at this point.

Four percentron on the average finished product of condominiums in this oonntry would represent dbout Four Thousand Dollare US. Now would you believe that a man, an investor, who could come to this cowntry and afford to probably pay at least $\$ 1$ Million. outright for the purchase of the land on which he is going te build these condominium, apartments, and probably spend another $\$ 10 \mathrm{Mitlion} \therefore$ buitdings that Four Thoueand Dotzars a unit additionats to the Government, is going to deter him and run him away from this country? The argument is too ridiculous to even put up.

Mr. President, the average
lawiyer in this country in preparing a 2-page deal to transfer ownership of a condominium witt charge Two Thousand U.S. Dollars to do so. Now if the investor toes not run from this country beeause of the Two. Thousand Dollare that he has to pay the towyer to prepare a simple deed of transfer, do you Delieve he is going to run becaube he is paying Four Thousand Dotlare into the Treasury of this country? If he is, he is not thinking too much cubout the welfare of this country either.

Mr. President, I have a strong belvef that any inncetor, whether he is in Grand cayman or wherever he is if he is going to enjoy the fruits of the country he should put something back into that oountry. Particularty if it is to help the lese fortunate: That is what this law uas designed to do.

Again I have to keep asking myself - why? Why are we changing it? Woult it not have been better today for the Govermment of the day to come to this Assembty thie mornirig and say - we find but wo do not need another Five Hurdred Thousand Dozzare, Bo we are going to take off the duty on flod-stuff to aid the poon pacple of this country? Or acme wo with eome other scheme that would hetp the man on the streets, "not oome up with a scheme here that ann oniy help a limited number of peopte.

I am not in favour of thie, I stand striatly against it and I hope the people of the Caymon lelands will stand behind me and mid colleague. I hope that the Members of the Assembly witl look at this in the fight way and not repeal this Law.

The Member in presenting the Eitl spoke about the difficulty in the cottection of this. Mr. President, how ridiculous can we get. If we have six Hundred Thousand Dothars owing to us under this Low, why have we not

MR. JAMES $M$. BDDDEN (CONTINUING): collected it, why do we not colleat it? If the poor man on the streat owe $\$ 10$ for garbage fee you are going to take him to court to get it, so there are all Kinds of ways under the laws to deal with the collection of revenue. Why are you letting this money go out of the window? Is it to protect some special interest group again?

Something is urong when we get this type of law presented to the House. Besides that., Mr. President, there is no difficulty in the cotlection of this money and there should be none of it outstanding, beoquse the Law says that the fee must be paid into Goverrment's Treasury before the final ocoupancy certificate can be obtained. So how have we allowed people to transfer these condominitms? How have we allower people to live in them? Is it another flawnting of the tow or what is taking place?

It is specificully covered in this Low that we are about to repeat. You know why we are repealing $i t$ ? Bearuse the law did say that the people could build houses, that the tess fortunate people could then Juy from Goverment. That is the main reason we are repealing this Law today. Beaause we have already heard in this House this morning the manner in which housing is going to be treated by this administration. When the Member who is directly charged with the portfolio has tolul us after being in office for a month he has not been abte to read a one page direotive. So we know how it is going to be treatea.

Mr. President, this Law in no way disconaged development. What has happened is that miny developers sow the opportanity to make a lot of money in the condominivm market and the markst became satiamated. It to onty now that we are geting to the point where the older condominiums which have been on the market for a year or two yexrs are being sotd, and it is getting to the point where investors may now consider building agin. Why do we not teave this. Luw, on the books and try to get that additional revenue which the aountry witi need. If it is not needed for onything slse, put it in the Houring Development Fund and help the poor peopte of this country.

If investorg are wary of
investing here, it is not because of this. Low and this smali tax. You might as well tell me that seven and a half stamp duty tax is deterring them. I am sure we will hear that in the next session, that we should take that off because somebody ia probabty coming up to buy another big pisce of tand or comething from somebody else. This is not what we want. People did not delay or withdraw their applioations to develop. We have had $G$ fair amount of development going on. Development has reached a satuanation point in the condominium market. It has gradurity worked itself out of that satuaration. People will return to the aondominitm mxpket and that is why I feel that it is imperative that we do not repeal this law at this time.

There was talk about $a$ good bit of unemployment. Well, if we cre experiencing problems which I seriously doubt, anyone who is willing to work in the Cayman Islands aan find emploument. The majority of those who are not working will not work, regardless of what you do. If gou were to offer to give them the job of asaisting the Good Wred in Hecven to write down the names of the sinners, they would not work at it. These people are not going to work. But. if we are

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTIVUING): genuinety intereated about these people why do not we go to the Imnigration as I have preadhed for a long, long time, this side of the Hovee and that side "of the House and get the mese aleaned up? ost the people out of this country who are not here on work permits and so forth. Then there would be sufficient jobs may be for our own local people, if they would work. We do not have to do this to bring condominiam development into the Cayman Tolande. We have had condominiun devetopment - we will continue to have it ae long as we maintain the stability of these Istands. If we destroy it; no, we will not have it.

We must also remomber that in the development businese it is well known that it goes in aycles. You witl have a spurt of housing development for may be two years. Not in this country, but in other countries. You will have a spurt of office development for a couple of yeare, then you will have the condominivm development for a couple of years; then you will have the hotel development for a couple of years. Usually in the development business everything dues not mesh and ron together. So we have office developments not, we probabluy have more than enowgh. Now are you going to tell me that at the next sitting of the House you are going to come back arid say now we must take off alt the duty on office development so that we can get some more developed? What kind of manner are we tininking about in running this country?

Hotel. development is not something that can be easily enticed into a country. Many things have to be looked at. For a person to aome in and build a hotel of any magnitude in the Cayman Islands, you are looking at an initial investment of twenty-five to thirty million dollars before he ever starte to earm a penny back. That money is usually loaned to him at a very high interest rate. You can rest aseured that any developer who comes to build a hotel here is not investing more than twenty to twenty-five per centum of his own money. The reat of it he is borrowing; He is leveraging on it, and he has to pay a high intereat factor.

Then we have in Cayman, let ue
face it, high labour costs, and thare are many other factore to be considered. Ueually you would be looking at a small group to put that much money together; that is why we do not huye more hotels than we have.

People have talked about the
Sheraton group; they have talked about the Hylton group and hotels of that kind. They are not the people who are going to come in here to build - it is going to be the simple investor. and they are onty sitting in the wings hoping to scrape off ten or fifteen per oent of the gross in order to run the hotel. and give it their nome. That is alt they do - they do not come $u p$ with the finances, they do not come up with the money. So we must not kid ourselves. Hotel development will come to this country - I hope it will soon come - Sut whaneveri it comas it with come at a time when it is needed, at the time when the number of hotel rooms that we have in the Ioland are being over-flowed and when we have the conpetent airline service that can cope with it. That is why I have always stretohed my neek out, that is why I have always stood up for cayman Airways, because you are not going to have a touriem business if you do you do not hive a a viazte national airline.

So if you were to tell us
of some of the other plans, Bomething else that will help the

MR. UAMES M. BODDEN ( CONTINUING): country but for God!a sake what is in place that is helping the country do not tear it down.' Thank you.

## MR PRESIDENT: : <br> Member wiah to apeak? The Member for North Side.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
Mr. President, I give my full support to this bill because I bolieve the removal of this fee of four percention of the estinated cost of a condo unit as fixed by the Planning Authority and not as the First Eleated Member of Bodden Toum has auggested and the final cost of a condominivo, has servad no useful purpose in this country during its existence since the 15 th of April.s 1981. I do believe, however, and the figures as presented by the Honoumble Fourth Eleeted Member of Executive Council have show thet this Law, paseed on the 15th of April. 1981, has eerved to lming the condo industry to a grinding halt. If this Eill, when it was introduced and passed into Law in Aprit, 1981 was intended to provide a fund from which low-cost housing oould be buitt to halp the less fortunite, Nr. President, it has failed miserably and it should be removed from the books.

I question how any one can say that this low has served its purpose when on Fifteen Million Dallars of investment as intimated by the Honourable Fourth Elected Nember of Executive Council: in moving this bitl, the immediate past Govempnent of this country should have collected a figure of Six hundred thousand dollars, and they only managed to colleat One Hundred and Sixty Thousand Dotlars or twenty-six percentum of that, then I say the Law has been ineffective.

I also wonder, Mr. Fresident, if the reoords of the Authority will show the amount not colleoted, as outstanding revenue for this Govermment, or if the fees have been waived, or postponed, on suspended, as provided for under eection $2(b)$ ( $a$ ) of the Iaw which atates "The Governor may, in any particular case, postpone or suspend to a date prior to the obtaining of the certifiocate of fitneas of fitness for oooupancy, in whole or part the payment of fee.".

Since it has been intimated. that the removal of thie bitl is going to make life so hared for the tess fortunate in this country, because this tremendous conount of funde has not been collected to help low-oost housing or the devetopers have not seen fit to buitd a large number of homes to house these people, in tieu of this 4 percentron cash fee, I also wonder, Ar. President, if the records witl show whether the funde which were ootilected, that is one Hundred and Sixty Thousand Dollare were deposited to general revonue or if it did in foet go to the Housing Corporation.

Since it is ctear from the facte that the Law has not achieved its intended purpose, I therefore have no reservations about supporting this proposed amendment to remove it. I atso express the hope that removal of this restriations an wneacssary addition to the cost of buitding a condo, witl stimblate the induetry and therefore the eoonomy, in partioutar the eonstruction industry. However, Mr. Fresident, I wonder if at some later stage, we could not inctude a Clause in this cmandment to. encourrage these condo units to bo buitt

MR, D. EZZARD MILLER (CONTINUING): in the ectaterin end of the Istand, that is, away from the 7 -mile beach, so as to preserve what is left in that area for "large hotels. This incentive could, I believe, be simitar to what the hotels enjoy under the Hotels Aid Law, wieh allows certain ooncessions to wotels being built in these areas.

I an not in favour of placing restrictione to development on Seven Mile Boach, hut I batieve an incentive package could achieve the same objective white peserving the market forees of privato and free enterrrise.

I therefore support the bill for a Law to amend the Development Law (Revised) and Gippess the sincere hope that it witl stimulate the condo industry and thereby the economy of the Cayman Islande.

Thank you, sin:
MF. RRESTDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? The Third Elected Member for West Bay.

MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETT: Tharnk you, Mr. President. Mr. Preaident, the bill before us here for a Law to Amend the Development and Planning Law, I would like to say here and now that I have studied thio and I also oupport it.

To bear this out, I would like to
mention a few reasons here why I do. Number 1, developers are retuctant to start any business or develorment beciuse this fee which is required to he paid up front presents hardshipe, having to be paid before the oondos are actually sold out.

I have also been told that at Zeast one permanent local contractor has had to forego a major portion of his profit and even a portion of his, I guess, wages until such time as the condos were completeity sotd out, in order to facilitate the development going forwara.

A slow down in development tends to adversely affect all areas of our economio development, but in partioular this stow down affects the construetion worker and the hotel or apartment employees. Rather than disoourage an orderty and necessary development trend, we must do all possible to encourage th e developer, because it is not only he whe suffors but many of oun people find themselves in dire finanoial strese and atrain and the hotel or apartment workers are found in the same unhealthy situation.

Condos are required and necessary
but I wish to inject here that a first class hotel is, at this time, in my ovinion, even more necessary. The main reason being that a much wider cross-section of the working community witl be found to be able to eecure employment in many different depurtmente, xailising of course that in a condominium complex those who are employed are mainly those who fall within the departmental housekeeping, and launidy, one: or two poeitions suoh as gardener or watchman or mainterance and of course, management.

With the hotel, however, there are nuny roles to be filled, ouch as housekeeping, kitohen, dining, reception, belt-boys, laundry, reservations, telephone operators, etc. all necessary in the tourist-related industry:
of courve, the nesds for condos will continue and must not be over-looked for many retirees and others wish to obtain and own their own accomodations here on the Iskande. However, sinee this particular seetion of construction miust contimue, it would seem to me that the time might

MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETT (CONTINUING): now be ripe for a consideration to be given to some sort of aid simitar to, if not the same as the Hotels: Aid Law to be made available to the aondominiwn developer. If only for sab, two years, simply to provide a shot in the arm for our flatexing development industry here.

This waving of, or reduction in oustons duty to be paid by the condo developer would not, and I repeat, not be intended for the Tong-term but as stated earlier merely to provide a shot in the arm for the construction industry at this particular time.

We must do all within our power to encouarge orderty and attractive development, and $I$ would tike to emphasise that term ovtertly and attractive development within our Istands.: But I must point out here, Mr. President, that there have boen some condo complexwe built which are unattractive, but in some cases, some cre left unfinished and the surrounding are: left in a stopey and ittmaintained oondition.

The bill for a Law to Amend the Develozment and Ptanining Law seeka to religue the developer of paying that fea up, front, or alternatively, they may opt to buitd a dwelling unit, the cost of acnstruction of which is agreed between the applioant and the Governong and is not left in the total amount of such fee.

A question which comes to mind here, Mr. President, is since that partioulax tm. has been on our books, have any such buitdings been constructed and disposed to perieone of Caymanitu status, under any schome for the housing of such person?

> I do not wish to take the time of this Bonourable House simply for the sake of urgument, but after studying the case put forward by the Honourabte First Elected Member of Executive Councit I feel that the remoral of this four peroentwo fee witl do more good in encourxging development. in our country, which. is sorely needed at the present time. Thank you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Member wish to speak? If not, I will invite the mover ....

MR. G. $H A I G$ BODDEA: $\because$ Mr.presidont. I had hoped that jou would have taken the noon adjournment. .....

MR.PRESIDENT:
If I may intermupt you, I would be quite happy to take the adjournment now. I thought perhaps no other Member wished to speak, in which case the mover could have wound up and we could have finishad the Seoond Reading before lunch, but if you intend to spoak, and there may be another speaker too. I do not think we are going to finish the Second Reading before lunch, so perhaps if would be more convenient for Members to break now.

So perhape I may suggest that we suspend proceedinge untiz 2.15 - will that suit Members 2.75?

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$| Please be seated. |
| :--- |
| Pruceedinge are resimed. The Second |

Reading of the Development and Planning (Amendment) Bill. The Seoont
Eleted Member for Bodden Toum.

MR. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. Presidenty'I was really surprised to know that a special Meeting of the House had been calied to deal with the two Bills which are on the Agenda.

I am aurprised because the bill bem fore the House is neither urgent, important or neoessary. I can sympathise with the new Govermment in their efforts to do something which they hope will impove the revenues of Goverments, the economy and to better the performance of the past administration whose record, I believe, will remain unbeatable.

So it is a trivial for which this Bizt has been brought. . It has been brought hastily and in fact so hastily that Member presenting the Bill, nor the Members supporting the Bill, could put forward any statistios to justify the action which they now take.

I do not want to go lxack into history but I know of a previous Government who repealed certain taxes, who reduced certain taxes, but the e reductions were all in an area which could be passed on to what is called 'the small man'.

Here we huve a Bill, which if repealed, will pass, on a saving to a special interest group, will pass on a saving to a veryi emall part of the population, will pass on a saving to developers primarity, and nino out of ten of these developers ane not local people. So the Bill before the House, if it should pass, will be a Bill where the benefite witl go to people who do not need those benefita.

In support of the Bill, I heard Members say that it is necessary to repeal the four peroentum tax on the condominiums beoxuee when it was imposed in 1981 it hurt the eoonomys and in fact the exaot words used were "that the 1981 Bill hurt the economy". So I am not surprised that the Members who made this etatement did not try to prove the atatement by giving the House any statistics and white I would not go as far as to say that the statement cannot be proven, because the statement is untrue, I must tell the House that the statement is a terminologioat inematitude. I witl tell the House that the economy of this Island was not hurt in 1981 and I witl prove by statistics that the economy, sinoe 1981, has not been hurt, but has been hetped. This $I$ witt do by using the atidited figures of the Goverronent.

But before I do thie, I adnot hetp but say that the same Development and Plinning Law, which we are amending tuday, did help the eoonomy of this country. But it did not hurt it in 1981, it hurt it in 1975 and here again I will not maks a witd statement, but witl qute from the Budget Address of our former Financial Seoretary during that period. I will just quote two small paragraphs.

One of them is, and I quote -
"Revenue colleation in 1976 totilled Bleven Million Six fifty-two thousand five twenty-one Dolzar s or Tho Milizion, five hundred and seventy-thousand dollars more than onllected in 1975.". But, listen to this - "White revenue from Customs duty had dealined again in 1976 other areas of revenue had improved.".

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN (GONTINUING): So that the Customs revenue was doun in 1976 as it had been down in 1975 over 1974 and averyknowe that when CuBtoms revenue fatla, it falla simply Because of a lull in the donatruetion induetry. So custome revenue went down in that period because construction had slowed, because of the impending Development Plan.

The second paragniph I will read from this Budget Spesch is on conatruction, and I quote "Construction activity in 1976 deolined considerably with planning permit values dowm to Sir Million Three Hundred and Twenty-three thousand Dollars from Fiftecn Million Six Hurdred and Seventy-five thousand in 1975.". $\therefore$ So alearly construetion was down in that period and down in that period beoause of an impanding amendment to our Development and. Planning taw which would have introduced the Development Plan which never aame about.

In 1981 there is no evidence at all that Govermment revenue from construction fell and this can be borne out by a look at the audited figures of the Govern-: ment over that period.

In 1980 Goverment's revenue, acoording to the audited acoounte, wai Thirty-four Nillicn Nine Fundred and Thirty-Seven Thouband Six Hunared and ThirtySix dollars. When this bill, which is to be revealed, was introduced in March of 1981 Government's revenue rose that year. from Thirty-Four Million Dollars to Forty-two Million Dollars. The exact figures that the revenue collected in 1981 was FortyTwo Million Six Hundred and Eighty-Four. Thousand Five Hundred and Thirty-Three Dollare.

The revenues were up again in 1982 to Forty-Seven Milition Nine Humired and Ninety-Seven Thousand and Twelve Dothans and the revenue is up again in 1983 to Forty-Eight Million, Sia Hundred and Ninety Thousand One hundred and Thirty-One Dollare, The reverue for 1984 is up again, the estimatee are Fifty-Five Million Three Himdred and Fifty. Thousand and One Doltars, and probably we will make that estimate.

So from 1976 untit 1984 we had a percentage increase of 365.6 peroent so that during the period when the four percentum tas on the condominitme existed the eoonomy of this ooumtry was not hurt because the revenues continued to mise.

I will go even a littlie bit further than that, Six. If we look at the reserve funds of Govermment we will see that they increased.

In 1980 the reserve stood at
Six Million Nine Hundred and Seveny-one Thousand Dothars and during the period that this Bill has been on the books, the reserve, according to the Financial Secretam, reached the Ten Million Dollaxe mark. So I could go on and on with expenditwes, such as capital Expenditure, out of local revenue, to show that during the period of the existence of this bill the economy did not suffer, but proapered.

So the wild statements that this country's economy was hurt by the introduction of this Law in 1981, or whenever it come abkut, are totally unfounded. In fact we know that there has been a steadiy olimb of Government's reverue from Thixteen Million Dollars in 1976 to over Fifty Million Dollare this jear.

MR. G. HAIG BODDE (CONTIDUTNG): So when Membere get up and say that this Bill cauped a lot of damage to the economy, let them tell the House what domaged it daused. It is not enough to say like the Berald adys "it hurt the economy". Let them tell in dotlars and cente how it hurt the country and they are umable to do it, because it did not happen.

I hear about developars
flying away, where are the facts? Where are the statistics? Where are the figunes to brok up these statemente?

We know what happened in the condominiton market. . We know that because of the lucrative market for eondominiums the developers went to town and they over buitt as the First Elected Member for Bodden Toum said this moming. The market had been satwirated and all development, particularly development dealing with real eotate, whether it was hotels, housee, condominivons or just the promchase of land, those developments go in oycle. You have the price rising and rising intil it reaches a plateau whate it Levels off. Then the prices decline after oo many years and the cyole starts again. This is what has happened in the condominium market.

If you do not $b$ eiteve theoe
words, I would ask you just to look at toduy's newepaper and see what is happening. Ore real estate developer alone lists a mumber of condominiuns thit are on the market for aale, and if you look in the newspaper you will see the Villise of the gatteon have a two-bedroom unit for aale for Two Hundred and Fifteen Thousand Dottare (US). Gtan. Creek has a one-bedroom unit for sale for Ninety Thousand Dollare; Fhom Point has a two-bedroom unit for sale for: One humbed arid twenty-Nine Thousand Dothara; another twobedroom unit for eate for Four Hundred and Eiftywix Thousand dothare; Berch Bay has a 2-bedrom urit for sale for One hiondred and Forty-Nine Thousand us Dottars. These condominiums are all on aale by just one neat estate cgent; and undoubtedly other real estate agents could list as many $\because$ units for sale. So there is no shortage here now for condomintume. If aomoliody wants to buitd a condominitom he must compete at the market price.

Dolphin Point is also for sale and we know that there are other condominiums that are selling on the West Bay beach for some Thres Ihundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars up to Five hundred Thousand Dollars. So they are only giving relief to the rich to become michen. by removing this tax as an ineentive for pxople to build more oondominiums in an àlready satioxnated market.

Furthermore, if, you remove this tax you wuld be putting the existing developers at a disadrantage becure the new developer would Be abte to underprice his condominiume beoause he does not pay this fowe peroentum tax whiah other developers have paid.

Now some say that Government only collected One Hundred and Sixty Thousand Dollars from this tax, that may be so - I have not checked the figures on it. But they did coltect some money and apparent$l_{y}$ from the figuras given more money is owing and it is the duty of Government if this money is owing to oo out and colleot it. You do not olose down the Eospital besause you ounnot collect the hospital fees, it is Govermont's business to go out and try to collect those hospital fees

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): You do not do away with the tax, you do not do away with the fee becaue you sannat colleot it and we cannot do auay with the fees on these condominiume oimply because samebody has not been able to enforce the legrislation. I believe the legiolation can be enforced and what we are doing here today is offering relief to the rich. I would hope that this Bill will be withdroum from this House and other bills brought which will give relief to local people, as we did when we were elected in 1976. The first thing we did was to reduce the import duty on automobiles from thixty-three and one third percention to twenty-seven and one half pereention.

We embarked upon a programpe where every year at avery Budget Session sinee 1976 we have removed the import duties from foodatuffs, but if this new Goverrment is going to take it off condominiume, then they will have to put it baisk on and this is what I am afraid of.

I heard nonsense in this Chamber this morning about wemployment, but I did not hear anybody give a eingle aategory in which the people were unemployed. I did not hear them give any statistios of how many people are unemploye?. I did not hear them give any reasons why they are unemployed, and the only recison put forward was put forward by the First Elected Member for Bodden Toum when he suggested that there are people that are unemployed and will always be unemployed because they are unemployable, they will not work. We will always have a amall peroentage of people like this but I belisve that any Caymanian Dorth his ealt, living here, oan go out in todalo economy, in today's market place and in today's work force and find a job which he is capable of doing and for which he with Be paid.
why the oondominizon market elow I have dealt with one roason we just had enough rooms, we had enjugh orndominium rooms, Deckuse you oanrot continue to build unless there are people who will rent these condominiums. It is a matter of supply and demand. The developers had buitt the conlominivom market to where they had expanded the supply more than' equal to the demand and the simple atory of economics is that when your suppty exoeede your demand, the supplier cannot put more items on the market.

The alow-down has come because of over-building and perhape to a lesaer degree, because of greed. Some of theee condominiums that are sold for Three Hundred and Fifty Thousand Doltars could probabty be sold for hatf the price.

So we must feed the greed of these developers by removing the tax. I do not doubt that tha tax will be removed beoause whether the public knowe it on not the public elected a party to run their Government, a party that is united and the votea will go the party uxy.

The Bill before the House comes as a surprise; this meeting today comes as a surprise. I. was expecting that the Goverrment had an ace up its sleeve. I cannot honestly believe that a Speaial Meeting of the House has been called simply to take off the tax, to give a apecial interest group, unless there are pople out there wating to buitd condominiums of which we have not been told.
$\mathrm{Mr} \cdot$ Preaident, I am alt for
stimulation of the economy and I am all for programmes that

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): witl increase the revenie of Goverment. I have been a part of a Goverrment for eight years where we have suooessfulty, year after year, increased Government's revenue while giving relief to the local people on items of duty and I oannot honestly support this amendnent. I would hope that since the movers of the Bill had no statistices to prove that their case was right, that now that we have preesnted some figures to show that they are wrong, they will have the oourage to withdraw it.

## MR.PRESIDENT: West Bay <br> The Second Elected Menber for

解. W. MoKEEVA BUSH:
Mr. Preaident, the Bilt before
the House beeks to remove an mendment to the Devetopment and Plarming Law which was paseed in 1981. That amendment put a tax upon ando developers and the reasons given at the time were to get money for housing or hove the developer. buitd a home. for looals in need.

Sir, I om vexy consolous of the need for a long-term low-interest funds to be made available to Caymanians who are in need of housing. In fact, it was an isaue I camapaigned on in the 1980 eleotions and also in the recent eleations in which $I$ was just elected to office.

Even though, Mr. Prefident, Zooking back at some of the debates on that $B 2$ il in 1981, even though the Firat ELected Member from my district in the House then supponted the Luw, they had told me in the campaign of 1980 we had no housing problems in the Cauman Is lands. But, Mr. Preseident, I knew I was right then and still I am right today, for there are hundreds of people in this country who are tiving. in sub-standard and overnorowied conditions. Howover, the omendment to the law which the present lizl is seeking to remove was and is not the answer as has been the experience during the life of the law.

The very firet mistake was Goverrment's idea that Goverrment aould make a plan and the investor would buitd a home at cost, whatever that cost meant. Then Caymaniane would buy the home. That was the firet mistake because as a tradition in this country we Caymaians want to buitd owe own homes.

But I must pose the question,
Mr. President who was going to get the homea? Wae the reat poor Caymanion, who is in dire need of a proper home and probatiy could afford a mortgage for Twenty Thousand Dollars, get it? Would such a person have gotten this mortgage or get this home or woutd potitios dictate, as has been usual. and someone who could afford to pay the rate for a mortgage at one of the Local banksoget a Fifty Thousand Dollars or Eighty Thousand doltar home. This is what politics, Mr. Presitlent, had come to in this country, in my opinion. You scratoh my buck and I serateh yours.

Mr. President, in theip humiedness to pase the law there wis no organisational machinery set up with which the Iaw needed to operate. There were no guide-lines; there was no determination of who was to get the homes, and since the machinery was not set up with which to administer the Law, then the purpose for whioh the Law was supposed to have been made in the first ptace, did not evo:, e.

MR. W. MCKEEVA BUSH (CONTINUTNG): catering to these investors and ure bending backuarde to have them come to their shorag. We have to make our package more interesting and give them more inoentives.

It is a known fact when we go abroad and tell people we are from the Cayman Islands they aik "Where is that?" So we have to make sure that every single dollar we spend on advertisements for tourism is spent well.

There has been talk of big hotele, but it seems, Mr. President, the big hotels aree not interested in cayman and may be this is due to bad experience. throughout the Caribbean. Situations like political turmoil, poor performance by staff, taxation, high crime rates and the fears of nationalisation are sume of the adverse effects whioh have hooked the big hotels in other areas.
ralking about nationalisation, Mr. President, carn you imagine what would have hatpened in the oountry if the threat and I oall it a threat in a memorandom to the Membere of the previous Government by the Third Eleated Member of Exccutive Council then had been carried out concerning the nationalisation of CUC.
 order, what is this Menber really speaking about? ts he speaking about the Bilt... that is not relevint at all...
MR.PRESIDENT: $\quad I \mathrm{~cm}$ inctined to agree......
$\frac{\text { MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: }}{\text { order as you were doing this morning to Members on my side? }}$
MP. PRESTDENT:
I am inctinet to agree. I
had been intending perhaps at the end of this debate, to draw attention to the fact that partly becausc a number of maiden . speeches are being made duxing it, I have beeni mather more. liberal than perhaps the Standing Orders reality require me to be in ailowing Members to stray from what I would regard as atridtly relevant. Since it has been brought formally to my attention now, I must call to the notice of the Member spenking the fact that reallzy he should address his argioments in his speech to the Bill now before the Howes and not stray quite as widely as he has been doing.

I cin understand that some of the earlier speakers have themselves $(I$ am not talking about maiden apeeches now) strayed a little, but I think he has strayell a great deat further.

MR. W. MCKEEVA BUSH:
Mr. President, I bow to yutur ruling, Sir, but this morming I heard Mambers who have been in this Assembly for twelve years asking for tatitude and I only ask that you give me the same, Mr. Preardent.
development and we wers talking about tourist related devalop-
ments and hotels were brought into the dobate this mollo ments and hotels were brought into the debate this moming. I am only now giving some of the reasons why hotele have failed in other Caribbean oountriss, saying that we do not want that situation herie. But, Mr. President, there is a acying that goes "Whan you throw a rook in a pig peen, he that reatly

MR. W.McKEEVA BUSH (CONTYNUING): Govermment, it seemed, then atarted asking for eash.

We heard quite a bit about houses being needed. Mr. Fresident, even though one developer offered to build homes, his submission was rejected and at that point I said it was nothing less than taxation on the investor. The sad thing was the money was not used. for housing but went somewhere elae.

Not only that, but it also seeme some investor paid the tax and then again some did not pay and I have to wonder too at this point why this was so, but I guess thit again went according to who represented who.

The previous Government spent some Three Hundred Million Dollare - why could not some of this, one Million Dotlars, Two Million Dollars or Three Million Dollars, over the eight years been spent on houring? It seems the Government had the idea that-outeiders must tike up the great slack where the Government failed. Why did they continue to waste away public funde in useless areas suoh as the News Bureau?

This attitudo, Mr. Preeident, is a bad one. We make lowe which might seek to help our people and all that really happens is that, in the final anatysis, our people are really not helped. They are the orles to suffer.

We, as the old saying goes, can take a horse to the well, but we cannot make him drink and when the Goverrment finds itself with money in their haride it must make the move to help our people; they elected us to seme them not the foreign investor.

Mr. President, tourist-retated development is a conoern todly beouuse tourism is a majox industry in this country and it is a vital ingredient to our economy and if we are going to try and encourage tourism, how then are we going to make lows that witl favour some investon and penalise others who have stuck it out here through the good and the bad times, und ure trying to make our Ielands a touriet or a worth-while tourist destination. We are going to chase away that kind of investor and we are going to find out in the end that we have no tourism.

This, Mr. Preoident, in my humbie opinion, is what has been going on and one of the reasons why our economy was on the down-ward trend and became stagnant.

To say the very laast, the general manner in which the previous adninistration conducted its business, is one of the reasons the coonomy looked so bad over the last few years. When the outside world, ur. President, looke on thie little country, which is supposed to be a taxhaven, which purports to be a good, safa flace to invest, and then they see gituations where certain investors buy a condominitu and then cannot get their title, but have to go through the rigmarole of the courts, what do they think? What else can they think but that the Cayman Istands is not the place to invest? This is what has been going on.

I feel our Goverrment has a job to do and that is to restore the confidence of the foreign investor in this country once more. We have to make them feel safe to come here. We have to offer them inoentives. There are, Mr. President, too many little Islande in the West Indies

MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH (CONTINUING): squals is the one who got hit. '. May be $I$ may be out of order again, Mr.trosident....

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. President, this is not relevant and if he is going to throw stones in a wig pen. he better throw them in his oun pig pen, they might get in the right place........


President, and I hope I am not being out of order again, and that is, while we must try to encourage outside investment, we must also recognise the neoessity of keaping a bukance between development, be it tourist-related or development introduced by loonts and the preservation of oup so detioate Island envirorment. In order to maintain an environnent which is both agreeable to the eye and to the weth-being of the residents, we must have more orderty planning in and the compreshensive development of land. To ensure that land for develoment ia used in a manner serving the best interests of the conmunity, to protect and enhunce the natural beauty of the tandecape, to keep the costs of the Istande in their national state.......

MR.PRESIDENT: I hope the Member is going to explain to me how this is relevant because $I$ have cautioned him not to stray and I think he is staiting to stray again.
$\frac{\text { MR. W.McKEEVA BUSH: }}{\text { ruiting, Sir. }}$
Mr. Fresident, I bow to your
I was trying to say, Mr.
President, that we must retain our preqent beauty and the seashores so that investors will feel that this is a proper place to invest and that they will get their money back for our buildings.

MR.PRESIDENT: : That may be, but I do not think that there is any way that you can explain to me in which this bill is intended to perform that partioular function and if you weant to make a speech about that sort of subject, you should put down a motion or you should wait untit there is an appropriate Eill.

MR. W. MCKEEVA BUSH: Mr. President, I bow to your ruling. But I hope I can reply to some of the things that were said here by Members of the oppositions if I may call them that, ooncerning the poor people in the country. This was brought we in the debate, Sir, and there has been much talk about the poor man from the leaders of the previous Governments but may I ask, Sir, what did they do for the poor man?

MR. JAMES M. BODDEA: . $\quad$ inr. President, if yon are adking for a debate versus one against the other, I om prepared to take my aide and give you a good one today. But this man is entirely out of oror', you would not, even allow this man to ask a question this morning which strayed abit, this is entirely out of order and I expect you to deal with it.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I have stopped him from saging things that seemed to be me irrelevant. What he is atirting to say now does seem to we to be likely the has not gone far enough for me to be certain yet) to he wnewering points which were made by you and by the Second Elected Member for Bodden Tow, in which ace I would allow him to proceed.

MR. W.MoKEEVA BUSH: Thank youx Mr. President.
There is talk about taking the duty off of basic foodstuffs. This was done with the chickens, but I also want to enquire how got the benefit from the duty removed from ahickens? Not the poor man, Mr. Preatlent, not the poor man. But lip service has been paid here, it has been their watch-word, as far as I on conoerned.

If the tax on condominiume was supposed to have helped the poor uith housing, then why are ao many in need of houees today?

They spoke tioo, Mr President, of special interest groups,: I am supporiting the bill and I have no speciat interest to protect, exoept to see that my people are wonking again pecause there are . Zot of people who are out of work today, even though some would have you betieve that this is motso.

As I have said, for a country
whioh is supposed to be a tax free oountry, a tax haven and to put tax on the foreign investor is courting disaater. I believe we are doing the right thing today in removing the amendment to the Development. Iav.

It was Confucius who said
Better to light one small candle than to ourge the darkness'. This Goverment is trying to light a matl atndle.

I thank you, Siry, and I am sorry if I have caused the other Member a little grief.

SOME MEMBERS:
MR. PRESIDENT:
wish to apeak? Islands.
CAPT. MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL:
Mr.ppesident, the Bitw befor Development and Planming Law(Revised) I support.

Back in 1981 I cxrressed concern
that this would slow down the devetopment, the construetion of condominime. So much so that my oolleague and I were able to get our district, Caymen Brao and Little Cayman, exoluded from this percentage.

I think it has had an effect
on the construction within the territory and $I$ do not feel that what we hove ooltected hae had that much beneficial effect to housing.

CAPT.MABRY S. KTRKCONNELL (CONTTNUING): I support housing and I am very conoemed that so many Caymanians do need homes, but I do feel, Mr. President, that the import duty that witl be collected from developars will put a targer sum in the revenue of the Cayman Is lands Goverment. It witl oreate employment for: our people, it uritl enable these people who need homes to make the payment to the Housing Authority.

Just having the homes buitt cond they not being amployed, they will not be able to purchase them we will still not have accomplished our mission. Therefore, Mr. President, I give this amendment my full suprort and in-order to conserve time $I$ shatl be brief.

I ask that we give every considemation to the unemployed here in these Istands that we can create a futl employment system and a better place in: which our Caymanians can live. Thank you, Mr. President.

MR. FRESIDENT:
Member of Executive Council.
HON.W.NORMAN BODDEN:
The Honourable Seoond Elected

Mr. President, I consider section
351 of the Development and Planning Law which was passed in 1981 a self-defeating contradictory piece of legislation which should never have been passed in the first place, much less allowed to remain in force as part of the Development and Planning Law.

Especially when we emamine the proviso in sub-section (b)(ii): on the one hand the fee of four percentrom of the estimated oost of the builaing is demanded, however, in the proviso, the Governor may accept in lieu of such fees for the applicant to build a divelling house at a specified cost. On completion, the house besomes the property of Govermment and the anount of the agreed cost of such dwetting house ehatl be paid by the Government to the applicant. So where does the revonue-euxning capability of this IJaw lecome effective?

I submit, Mr. President, that the second part of this ecetion $35 A$ contradicte the first part, because on one hand the appiticant pays Government a certain fee and on the other hand Govexment pays the applicant for the duelling house.
funds to buitd houses for the poon the objective was to generate buitt in the past four poor, how many houses have been for the poor?

There ean be little doubt to my mind, Mr. President, that section 35 A has proven to be a deterrent as evidenced by various projecte which have never gotten off the drowing board and therefore never beoame a reality. Regardless of any claim to statistical sucoess, unemb Zoyment in the oonstruction industry has become a harsh reallity for many todaty in our country; and I am speaking about the unemptoyed, not the unent loyablee.

In view of the slow-down being experienced in some areas and in the interest of continuing growth and development, we must carefulty examine every means of encouraging investors to build. I see the repeat of seation 35 A as a strong step in the right direotion. I therefore support the biti, Mr. Prestident, and I thonk you.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The Honourable Third Eteeted
Member of Excoutive Council.
CAPT, CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Nx President, the object of the Bilt which is before the Honourable House, is to repeat one of: the worse lawe this country has ever had on its books. Ihat is, a Bill which was presented in 1981 to omend the Development and Planning Law.

It has slowed in evey reopect and
has brought development constmiction of condominitome to as otate of confusion and frustration and today there is little or no activity in this area.

I objected to the introduction of the Develownent and Planning (Amendment) Biths. 1981 in February 1981 and warred of the advorse economic impapt it was stue, to have on the country. I said then that if the bitl was approved in its present form it would eventually bring investment in construotion of additional condominiums and strata development to a hatt. Almost four years later, and regrettably, Ar. President, my prediction has come true.

We were alao totd then that the passage of the bitl into tow woutd help ue solve the housing problems of the poorer people in our comunity. Here we are, four years later, and after having colteated One Hundred and Sixty Thousand Dollare in fess, not one poor person in our oommonity has benefitted by this midioutous Law.

Instead of helping our people it has hurt them, as many construction workers are without a. job today.
ow roason for repealing thio
bad. Waw is not politioal, but rather an economic mearupe to improve our coonomy by encouraging investors to build and revive construction which witl give our people work.

Our peopte, on the 14 th of November, gave ue a mandate to change the course of our country, which was well on the way to ruination and bank-. miptey and with thia in mind, Mr. President, our Govermment, with the help of God, intends to tackle and reacue our fattering eoonomy. We witl restore confidence in stability which has been badly shaken ond keep a firm hand on the tilter of our good ship Cayman. amendment will encourage investors and that now projects with soon be started. Our people will then have full employment once again.
Eleoted Member of Geonge We have heard from the Third the Planning Bornh, that the lcwo was a bad one and difficrite t implement." He also said that he had brought this matter tp the attention of the former Government who ignored his advipe completely.

The two Members of the former Government" who have argued againgt this bitt are completely out of touch with reality and what is happening in the ounstruction field. Developers aro balking and our people are out of work. Is this not enough proof that we have a sagging economy and that steps need to be taken to eneourage investors to help us to re-ininvigonate our eoonomy and provide employment for our pepple.

Mr. President, I am encourraged
to hear that this Bitl has come as a surprise to one of the Mombers

CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCOWNELL (CONTINJING): of the former Goverrment. We have many more survisises.

It is the intention of this new Govermment to take whatever aetion has to be taken to put the financial affairs of our country back on a sound Lnasis and to see that the people of owe country benefit from ath developments which take place hers.

Mr. President, I support this Bitて.

The Bonourable First Elected
MR.PRESIDENT:
Menber of Executive Council.
HON. BENSON O.EBANKS: Mr. Ppesident, I support the Bill and I support it mainly for one reason, and that is in the hope that it will stimulate the conotruction indastry.

It has been aqid. that there is
no unemployment in the Islande, but, Mri. Eresident, I am at a lose to understand where that information comes from. Daily I find feople who are unable land these xre people who normally found employment in the construction industry) to obtain work.

One Member asked a question why this bill and then he answered it hinnelf by making the statement if acmething works do not fix it. Mr. President, that has been the problem with this amorument made in 1981 to the Flanning Law, it has never worked.

Of the Six Humdred Thousand
Doltare mentioned by the mover of the bill as acoruing of developmente approved, the faet is, Mr. President, that the three singte largeet projects with an assessment of Three Hundred and Six Thoubind Eight Hundred Dotzars, never got off the ground. They were never buitt so that we in fact we wooking at a possible revenue from this source of only Two Hundred and Ninety-Three Thousand Tho Hundred and Forty-One Doltars.

I am not prepared to say, Mr. President, whether, without the four percentum, theae developments would have tiken place, but assuming that they had taken place but for the four percontum, Govermment would have received by way of import duty on those theree prajects alone over $\$ 1.5 M_{s}$ in fact One Million Five Hundred and Thirty-Four Thousand Dollars based on the assessed value on the cost of construction. So that it is easy to see that if you ask the question to have or not to have, it. would be a simple anewer to agree that to have had the construetion of these buizdings and oollected the import duty, Goverranent would have been streets ahead than to have insisted on the four percentum and not had them.
In addition to the figure
which I have quoted here, since there seems to be some grey area in the question of how the assessed value is armived at in any cass, one would have to add the import duty on furmiture and fittings and also the inport duty that possibly might invee been aolleotel on food consumad by people otruina in these condominiums, as weit as the tax that would have been inctuded or collected. Then of course there would have been the multiplied effects in the eaonomy and to Government from the employment which poople would have received on these projeots.

So what I um really saying, Mr. President, in a nut-shell, is this: if the four percentum did not prevent these buizdings from going forward, what we are looking at is Three Hundred and Six Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars. If it did prevent the projects from going formard, then Goverpment has lost over one and a hatf Miltion Dottars

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUTNG): as a result of their not going foruard. So, that to me, it is worth the gamble to apovme. that the projeats would have gone forward but for the four percentiom since we have been to ld that that four percentum is, in fact, a deterreent to development.

I agree with those Members, Mr.
President, who would prefer to eee a targe hotel at this time. I think it is badly needed and hopefully we will get it. I also agree that there is posaibly still a surptus at this time of condos, but, Mr. President, if we oan stimulate the economy, then we are putting our perple to work and let us not foot ouraelvas, there are many people out there in need of jobs. Fox that weasin, Mr. Presidents, I suppont the bill and comnend it to Members' support.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Unlese any other Honourable
Member wishes to speak, I will invite the nover of the bill whether he wishes to exercise his right of repty.

HON.V.G.JOHASON:
Mr. President, I do want to say many thanks to all those Members who supported the Bill. and for the two opposition.

It leaves me but very little to say, sirice many of the points that were raised in objeotion to the presentationi of this Bitl have been dealt with by other speakers, However, in presenting the bill I cast no aspersions on any one and there are a few things whioh I must olear and to which I must reply.

The First Elected Member for Bodden Town asked the question why was this Bill introduced, and he alao suggested that there might be intereet groups.: Also there might even be the Bermuda Triangle. Mr. President, I have heard about this Bermuda. Triangle on the platform before and I know what the reference is about. It is about the Elizabethan Square where I work as Managing Dineotor. Elizabethin Squire is owned, of course, by Bermudians.

Mr. President, before I went to Elizabethan Square I was quite confident that the investors of that Square were people of the highest integrity and that they were people who were interested in the development of the Cayman Islands and thay wanted to be a part, if it was possible. They have been involved in a development which cost them many millions of dollars and they did not want: to take any part in the running of that development; they wanted it to be done by someone locally. So, Mr. Preaident, I do not think there is anything wrong with that development. The reference to Bermuda Triangle refers to tragice and awful. circumstances and I am sure that Eliazbeth Square is no where in that oategry.

I would axy, Mr.President, that whoever is being referped to in the Bermuld Triangle that they are better than the Teaxs Cowboys.
Mr.President, a tot of
rhatorio took place on the other side by the two opposition Members. They spoke about condominiums prion to 1975 which were not taxed or not given Hotel Aid Law concession. I am aware of two - one was the West Inilian Club established in the acarly ninsteen fifties and one is the Caridbean Clud, established in late 1969: These were given hotel aid conceseion. Since those daya $I$ am notanre of any other.

HON. V. G. JOHNSON (CONTINUING): aiven that facility.
Mr. President, a lot of statiatics were given relating to the year 1975 and 1976. IT am sure that pretty soon we are going to have to erect a monument somewhere in these Islands and dedicate those two years, 1975 and 1976 because they are the two most talked about years in the history of these Islands.

The statistics that they speak about are statistios that have caused a lot of concern in this country from time to time - statiatics that tend to deseive and we are not aoing to present statistics here if we are not certain of the cormectness of those figures. In fact, we present a lot of statistics to the public and they scarcely know what you are talking about. You have to come with facts in a statement that the public can underatand.

Then we speak ahout the advantaces of repealing the seation of the low which we are dealing with we describe to the public and to this Legislative Assembly in generat terme what we mean. Besides, the low has been in overation for the tast two or three years and att the speakers here, Mr. President, except two, have related their experience of the effects of this Law. It behooves me that so mony would acknowledge that the Lavi is affecting the economy of this oovntry and two other speakers cannot see it.

However, I am sure that as far as we on the Govermment bench are conoerned, I personally have no fear of the rerction of the public as far ro this bilt is concermed.

The question of the condominitem tax had been naised many times on the platform dumina the oampaign period and it is looked upon as one of the maniates which we bnought into the new Legislative Assembly from the people of these Tstands. We are deating with issues, Mr. President, we are not dealing with potitics here now - we left politics outside the doore of this Assembly we are dealing with issues. The Govermment is definitety looking at the many issuse which were raised, and dealing with them one by one.
$M_{r}$. President, I havo nothing more to say other than again I want to thank the Honourable Members for the contribution which they made to this Bill and I do reoommend it to the House.

MR. PRESIDENT:
The question is that a Bill ontitled a BilZ for a Law to Amend the Development and PZanning Law (Revised) be given a Second Reading. Witl those in favour please say Aye, those againet No. The Ayes have it.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: Coutd we have a division, sir?
MR. PRESIDENT: Certainty.

## AYES

Hon. D.H. Foster
Hon. Michast J. Bradley
Hon. Thomas C. Jefferson
Hon. Benson O. Eboonks
Hon. W. Norman Bodden
Hon. Charles L. Kirkconnell
Hon. V.G. Johnaon
Mr. McKeeva Bush
Mre. Daphne L. Orrett
Mr. Linford A. Pierson
Capt Mabry S. Kirkconnell
Mr. D. Enzard Mitler
ABSENT: MR: JOHN McLEAN
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NOES
Mr. James M. Bodden
Mr. G. Haia Bodden

BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MP. PRESIDEMT: $\quad I$ think it might now be a convenient moment to take a break. I would ask Members to try to keep the break to fifteen minutes so that we can make some further promress a littite later in the afternoon.

THE HOUSE RESUMED AT 3.51 P.M.


#### Abstract

MR.PRESIDENT: Please be seated. Proceedings are rosumed. The Parliamantary Pensions (Amendment) Bill. THE PARLIAMENTARY FENSIOVS (AMGNDMENT) BIZL, 19R4: FIRST READING CLERK: A Bill for a Low to Amend the Parliamentary Pensions Law, 1984, Law 9 of 1984.

MR. PRESIDEYY: The Bitl entitlel A Eill for a Low to Amend the Parliamentary Pensione Law, 1984 is deemed to have been read a firet time and is set down for second reading.


SECOND READING
CLERK:
A Bith for a Law to amena the Partiamentary Pensions Law, 1984, Law 9 of 1984.

HON.D.H. FOSTER:
Mr. President, I move the Second
Reading of a Bitl for a Litw to Amend the Partiamentary Pensions Law, 1984, Lntw 9 of 1984.

This is a short, two olauee amendment. The 1984 Paritiamentaxy Fensions Law was assented to on the 9th of July, 1984 would normally have come into operation on the 1st of Jonuary, 1985, ae provided for in the taw. This: short amenting bill seeks to ohange the coming into operation of the Law on the 1st of Jonuary, 1985 and to give the new administration an opportunity to examine the Law more closely. If neeessary to present an amendment in due oourss.

I would hope that the Members
would give this bill their support bearuse it is felt that quite a fow peorte who served paritiament as Members of the Justices and Vestry were somehow left out, $I$ guess and time is needed to have a closer look at it and, if necessary, amend it to take in those people who were inadvertently left out. $I$ oommend the Bill and ask
Membere for their support.
MR. PREISIDENT: The motion is that a Bill entitled A Bitl for a Law to Amend the Partiamentary Ponsions Law, $1984^{\circ}$ be given a Second Reading.

The motion is open for debate. The Member for North Side.

MR.D.EZZARD MILLER:
Mr. President, if I can support
ail the bilis which the Government Bench may bring to this Honowrable House dioing its four-yetr life with as olear a conscienoe and as olear a mandate from my oonstitucnte, as this one, it with indeed be a pleasant and productive 4 years.

The reavon for this, Mr .
President, is beeause there are so many areas of the
Parliamentary. Ṙeneions Lfow, 1984, with which I and

MR.D.EZZARD MILEE (CONTNUING): public at Zarge disagreee, and which are either excessive in the benefits allowed, redundant in its Benefits or too lenient in its etigibility requirements. I im therefore quite pleased that the now Goverment has decided to dehay the effective date of thie law to give them time to study it and make recommentitions to improve it.

> Hopefully, they will propose
a bill which witl provide pensions of some sort to all
Caymanians that would atso inotude parliamentarians and there will be no need for a epeciot parliamentarian pension.

Now, Mr. Hresident, to deat with the areas of the law with which I disagree: Section 3 (a)" "Subject to the Erovisions of this law".....

MR. FRESTDENT:
I do not really want to
intermupt the Honourable Member if he can oonvinde me that what he says is relevant, but what in pefone the House is simply intendel to defer the operative dite. It does not seem to me that a discussion of the merits and demerite of the substantive law is really in order at this stage.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER: ... I bow to your ruling, Mr. President, but certainly one would be allowed the latitude to justify the reason why

MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad \therefore$ I think it is faire to make the general point that you welcome an orportuntty that the Government is taking to review the Law, but I do not think really we should be dehating all of the substance of the Law.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON:
On a point of onder, Nan. Preeident, I wonder whether this pitt oan be intettigently discuseed without going into some"detaile on the present Ziw.

MR.PRESIDENT:
Well, I euppowe it could be argued that if this bill were passed it would remain open to the Govermment to advise me, mather than coming back to the House, to proclaim a date on whioh the oxisting Lutw could be brought into affect. If the Goveroment did that, then, the existing law, with any defects that some Members might think it has, would remain unchanyed, so I auppobe on reflect, perhath, it is perfectly fair that you ohould be allowed to comment on and eritcise provisions of the existing taw in order to make clear why it is that in bour view, although if this bill is passed, it would be open to the Goverrment to introduce $a$ inaw in the form in which it now exists. Nevertheless they should not do so but should come bakk to the House writh a further amending $8: 27$ which is what I take it you are going to aroue.

Faix enough. You aun ${ }^{8}$ continue.

MR.D.EZZARD MILLER: $\quad$ Thank you, Mr. Preaident. Section 3A states that "any Member who has sinee the first day of Detober, 1959, served as a Member for two full parliamentaxy terms or for periods equalin the aggregate to not less than sixi years...". Mr.

MR.D.EZZARD MILLER (CONTINUING): President, it is my humble opinion that six years is too short a tenure to entitle one to a pension, since Legislators, in fact, receive a fairly good salary; they do aeek to be elected undex those oonditions; they are allowed to retain their employment, or to run their own businesses, as the case may be while serving: and sitting in this Assembly. I will concede that if one is successful in representing one's constituenty, irdsed, if one is privileged to serve one's oonstituency"for periods in excess of twenty years, one may be entitited to some form of a pension, but, Mr. President, Section 9(1) entitles a Member who has aerved in Erecutive Council forionly a period of one year to draw an additional pension. This altows the benefits to assure so that in fact when a Member has served". only one texm in Exccutive Council, that is four years's he oan get the masimum benefit, which is two-thirds of his satari, allowed under the law.

Mr. President, I cunnot support
an additional pension for Executive Council Members, in addition to that which they would receive as Members of the Legislatupe, which the Law, which we are seeking to defer, doee allow.
Because ae Executive Cowncil Members they do rebeive a eubstantial additional salary, while they are in office;, and again, they are allowed to retain outside employment and other sources of income.

Mr. President, I ateo betieve
that the foxmula for payment of pensions whater this Law is excessive. Section $4(a),(b)$ and $(c)$ - when one witt attain the maximan benefits allowed for pension after only twelve years of service I believe is too generous. In fact, Mr. President, it would appear that sub-paragrays (b) ond (c) are redundant beoase under our present givotem of fow year term any Member who will be entitted to $z$ pension of one-half of his astary under sub-paragraph (b) would automatioally be entitled to the two-thirds under sub paragraph ( $\alpha$ ), because even if he lost the election inmediately following the would have served for twelve years.

This may provide benefite
for some people who served before but if that is the case then we are doing what was mentioned this morming, or in fact has been done, in that the paet Governnent, in faot, legislated for special interests.

Using the basio satiary as
defined in the law of $\$ 13,260$, those who quatify for a regular MLA pension under sub-paragraph (c), that is, they receive the maximum benefits after 12 years of service would receive an annual salary of Eight Thousand Eight hundred and Forty Doltars or Seven Hundred and ThirtySix Dollars and siety-six cents a month.

However, " section 6 (1)
says that any person who is entitted to a pension under this law may, at his option, exerviaable in acoordance with aub-section (2) be paid instead a pension at the rate provided for in section 4, the pension at the rate of three-fourths of such cmount, together with a gratuity equal to twelve and one-half times the amount of the reduction so made in the pension.

Mr. Fresident, in most of the cases to exercise that option is the aersible thing to do, because none of us know how long we are going to remain in terra firma after age fifty-five.

MR.D.EZZARD MILLET (CONTINUING): So when a Member exerotses that option as a regular MLA he would, in faet, reaeive a yearly inoome of Three Thousand Three Hurdred and Fifteen Dollars and a gratuity of the sion of thirteon. Thousand Eight Hundred and Thelve Dollars and fifty cente. That is in the oose of a regular Member of the Legislative Asembly.

Now, if we mave on to section 9 which attowe the Member who has served a fult term in Exeoutive Councit and we uae the basio salany defined in the Law of Thenty-one Thousand eix hurdred and ninety-six dotlars it will be found that he would have a yearty income of regutar pension, if he opted to take the pension stmictly as a yearly income without exeroising his option under, section 1, he would have a yearly income of Fownteen Thousand Four Hundred and Sixty-Four dollars. But again, Mr. President, if he exercised the option as allowable whder. seation 6 (1) the yearly income would be Ten Thousand Eight Hundred and forty-eight Dollars and in addition to that yearly income, he would get a gratuity of Forty-Five Thousand Two: Hundred Dollars. This, Nr. President, gives a total pension benefit to such a persons that is a person who qualifies both for the maximum benefits as a. Member of the Legialature and for the maximum benefits of the pension allowed to an Executive Council Member, that is, the perison has served twe lve yeare in the Legislature, one term of foway years of which was spent in Executive Council, he could get himeetf, at the age of Piftyfive a yearly benefit of Fourteen Thousand One Hundred and SixtyThree botlars since the pereion is oulamative, and a gratuity of Fifty-Nine Thousand Twelve Dollars and Fifty Cents. Mr. President, just to put it in perspective, there are four Members in this Assembly who an qualify under those oonditions at age fiffyufive and we are therefore looking at a totat sum from the Treasury of over to olose Three Hundred Thousand Dotzars in the finist year just for those four Memteris. So I believe that these berveft are exossive and they woitd nut annasomimi doain on the Treasury of this country.

Further, Vre.President, I think that, as I. said before, the term required to entitle one to full benefit is too short and I fail to see how Government can digrees from the standird it has set for Civil Servants in their pension scheme, and I think there should be some continuity of these standards one has aet for others, specially when one is applying staniards for oneself; as is the case when we, as Parliamentariane, vote a parliamentary penaion for oursetves.

Further, Mr.President, I could not agree with section 6 as $I$ feel we should draw the line at widows and legitimate childuen. Section 6 says "For the purpose" (and I beg leave of the House to read it, Sir) "Of this section expressed child includes a posthumous child, step-chita, an illegitimate chitd who immediately before the death of the person was wholly or mainly dependant on him for support, and (d) an adopted ahild in a manner recognised by law and in the cass of a person referred to in paragraph (a) of sub-section (2) of section 7 before the person last ceased to be a Member".

As I baid, Mr. President, I think the line should be drawn at widows and legitimate chitdren.

Neither can I agree, Mr. Prestident, with the provisions in this Iat under section 12 ahould be irrecovables as Members should be azlowed to forego a pension

MR. D.EZZARD MILLER (CONTINUINC): when they quatift, if they do not need it at that time. Hlwever, ciromstances do change and the most volatite of alt circumstances, Mr. President, is one's financial position, beciuse if nothing less, inflation eate away at it daily. Membars shnould therefore be ailowed under this section to olaim their pension at a later date, if they so derire.

Further, Mr. President, this Law. really provides good benefits for people who thove served both as Executive Council Members and ab Members of the Legisliture. It givee very little cognizance to acme of the other Menbers. Two Members come to mind in particular who have served this pountry for over twenty years and who, in fact, would receive very little benefit for those additionat years over twelve. In fact no benefit for the additional jeare served over twetve as the pension is paid out in this Law.

I therefore, :Mr. President, have no hesitation in offering my full support to this dmendmant before this House. Thank you, Mr. President.

MR.PRESIDENY: Any other Honourable Member wish to Bpak? If rot I shatl oatl upon the Mover to exercise... The Second Etected Member for Bodlen Toum.
Mr, G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Frepident, the bitit before the House
is the most dastardily aot ever committed by any Government or "any Legialature anywhere that I have any knowledge of.

The Bill is a mean ories, it is 'a'
poveteous one; it seeks to take away a might which had been vested in people who served as Members of this Honouralte House over many decadess, The Bill before the House seeks to stop the Eurlicamentary Liw from coming into effect on the 1st of January and seeks further to make that bill not taw, come into effect on a day to be determined by the Governor, by Proctamation publiahed in the Guaette.

There may be gome who witl try to argue that the pension payable under this law is not vested in Members beocuse the bill ar the zaw does not go into operation on the 1staf January, 1985. That argument cannot hold water because alt the conditions for the veating of the pension have been met.

The Late wius passed in May of 1984 ;
the Governor assented to it on the 9 th of Julus, 1984 and in the Gazette of Ootober the $15 t h, 1984$ whe published the power of non-disat Towance which had come down from Lorden. So London has aceepted the Rizl and for atl intents and purposes the Pill is functionat.

This amendment with take away a vested right which axists. It is my understanding that in law there is something known as expeotanoy and the benoficiaries of this Law have an expectuncy to receive thie pension and we should not seek to take it away - we should nut seek to delay the implemention of this pension.

It is being done in a very cute way; the reason given by the mover of the Fizl is that the Government would like some time to camine the biztiso that thay can bixing scme aneniments which with thelude previous Members of the Vestry. I agree, Sir, that these otd tegistators, those whe served in the Assembty of tustices and Vestry should be inezuded in this law, but they must be included by an amendment to the principal Lm. There is no need at all to delay the implemention of this In. merely to study it so that it can be amendea later on. The iaw should to into offcet on the 1 st of Janucal? 1985 and it must go

MR.G.IAIG BODDEN (CONDINUING): inta effeat on the lat of danuairy. If the Members of the Govermment wint to smend the law they an do so at, their teisure.

According to a list which was circulated to the Members of this House when the original Ditl was papsed in May of this year, there are only fortronen people on today's date who sould diazify fort this penstron; and I say it is an insult to these fourteen people to tryett take auay their pension. In fact, the Legislative Abgembly would be stealing from then, would he depriving themof d vesited interest which has been given to them under the Laws and I am ahazmed that we have a Government that now showe absotutely no reapect of Legislatons who have served this ountry for mans decades. As some of these names ane itisted on this paper, I feel free to use them. I refer to Mr. Craddock Ebarke who served for about thirty years; Miss Annie Hulday Bodden, who served for twenty-odd years, itear old Mr. Claude Hitit, who has been disabled for sevieral yearis now. If this billd to be put off, what benefit ean these teople hope to get?

There are many other Legishatore
like Mr. Berkley Bush and Mr. Warphen Conotly, Mr. Orynand Panton who cave their entire lives, the greaten part of their ueefui lived for this country and we have a Government that now says that the Law should not go into operation on the lst of January. And it is a disgrace to thie country, it is anizneult to the integrity of these Members, as well ak an insult to the people of the Cayman Islands who aleoted theae people to serve. The fourteen benefiotaries under this Law should receive and must reoeive their pensions on the let of fonuary, 1985.

If this House wants to amend the Law latex to include anybody eqee, and if they want to amend it to include Members of the Vestry if am all for it. I will support suoh an amendment; in fact, $T$ was surprised to know that is the reason for the detay, but I wn not fooled by the xeason given. $I$ do not $b$ elieve the reason given is the real one for the introduction of this Bill. I believe that wo have been given only one reason for the introduction of this $3 i l l$ and in fact I know that we have been given only one peason and we have been given the reason which would be palatabte and whion would make this Law aoceptable to us. But I am not fooled that easily.

This Law going into effect on the 1st of danuary, 1985 will not break this Govemment as was proclaimed during the recent election beause the fourteen people who would receive pension under this will receive a total in 1985 of $\$ 110,902$ or a little over Mine Thousand Dollars a month and this will not break this country.

When we huve given up today Six Hundred Thoueand Dollara under the Development and Ptanning Law, how can we now truthfully and honestly try to delay this beneion?

Next week Weclnesday night I will be attending a dinner to honour Mr. Cradlook Elanks cind Mise Anmie Hutdah Bodden and I wonder how Members oun attend that function next week aral look these peopte in the faoe and say we have come here to pay tribute to you when they have taken awzy the pension which is their inherent right under this Liw on the let of donurry, 1985?

MR.G.BAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING:) Some of the kenoficiaries unier this taw will reocive ag little as Four thousand Fow Hundred and Twenty Dollars per year. Some of them who served for many years will receive Three Hundred and odd Dollars per month, and today that is axlled exoessive.

It was the great American President, Frantkin D. Roosevelt who said "It is better to have a Government that rules with mercy than one that is frozen in the iee of its oun indifference:", and this is what we see here today we see a Government frozen in the ice of its own indifference. This is what has happened to this pension Law, as I will show in a few moments. We have the situution where this $L$ zo is not being soraped. If it was being scraped I could understand it, but what has happened? The law is simply being put on iee until some people aan quatify and $I$ expeat that when the party which we know goes into force we are poing to have every Mender of the party supporting this Pill. I expect there will be two wtes against this amendment and let me show you how this is being put on ice. When I say "put on iqe" I mean simply that.

You know you go out and you catch a Tot of fish you do not need to eat it today so you put it in the ice-box, now thia is what is happening to the Pension law today - it is being put on ice because no Member in this Assembly today an getthis pension, toluy or on the Ist of danuary, beause you are not entitled to the pension untess you cease to be a Member of the House. So alt the Membere here and att the Members who will be supporting this bitl know this, and if you can bear with me, Sir, we have a situation where the Second and Third Elected Members of West kay ara not eligible for this penoion. They witl not be and they adnot be oven at the end of four years - they must fir'st get eleoted another term because they must serve a minimum of six years before they can get any pension at all. And then even after having served that time they must neach the age of fiftyfive and they are many moons away from it.

We have the Member from North Side in the same position. He is not qualified for a pension under thise beoause he must serve another four yoars and after having served another four yeare he must reach the age of fifty-five, which is a coupie of decades owzy. And that is a tall order to reach the age of fifty-five.

We have the Second Elected Member from Geonge Town in the same position; he is not etigible for this pension, but he has admitted to me that he is going to support the cmendment. He is not eligible for the pension because he must first get elected anothar term, which is a tatl order. Then, even having gotten sleeted, and even suppoed he serves another term, he must reach the age of fifty-five.

We have some of the Members of
Executive Council themselves, because one of the Members has not yet reached the gotlen age of fifty-five and the other Elected Members are not eligithe untess they resign their seats and unless they cease to be Members of the मouee.

But alt of them are soying the
pension is good, but we are not going to put it into force because those fourteen people will benefit on the 1 st of January. This is bat, because we have a Govervment frozen

MR.G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): in the ice of its oun indifference, when they know the partiamentray pension is a good thing.

I would like to refer, Mr.
Preailent, to a reaent isoue of the Porliamentarian, Aprit of 1984. There was an artiele on Parliamentary Pensions and the rasocn why I am doing this is Deatuse I Delieve the reason why this amondment has come to the House today is because the Members of Govermment who brought it do not understand the prinainies upon which a parliamentamy pension is given. They do not understand that the peneion is oimpty a part of the pay-package, but it is docispted in every aowntry of the Conmonwealth and I woullatust like to read the first paragraph -
"The need to provide some sort of retirement benefits to Menbers of Partiament is now, by and Large, reoognized in Commonwealth oountries, though the shape and amount of such benefits may not be the same everywhere.".

It is a universally accepted fact that the Members of Firrliament reoeive a parliamentary pension after they have served bo many yeare, but thio new $\therefore$ Government does not seem to admit it, they do not seem to understand it.

I feet sorry today for the
three Government Hembers who sit xcross from me, beexupe in May they aupported this bill; they supported the goting inte operation on the $1 s t$ of danuary and now they have bean forced by tje Elected Menbers, and the ELected Members must bera the full reaponsibility for the change in this bill, bocause the three Govcrment Membere, in May of. 1984 supported thia bitt and supported the irincipte that the bitt should go intc operation on the lat of January. But we know oter Constitution, we know there is oollective responsibility aria we know that whatever the majority decision of the Executive Council is, thit majority decision must be supported by every Momber of the Excoutive Coucit: when it comes upon the flocr of tho Legiolative Aasembly. This is our Constitution, it is what is aalled oolleative responeibitity and to-day we see colloctive responsibility put into preration. We see it at its worst, because in May of 1094 I aid not hear a single one of those three Government Members object to the Pension Law. I did not hear one of them speak a word in the Legislative Assembly against it. So I know they agreed and the action which they have committed toduy I fully forgive them for $i t$; $i$ sympathise with the coercion that has gone into compelling them to stand $u^{*}$. here and support somothing which they did? not betieve in in May of this year.

[^31]MR. PRESIDFNT (CONTINUING): move the adjourmment and that we continue our bueiness tomorrow moming.

FON. D.H. FOSTER: Before moving the adiournment, sir, I would hope that we would be able to take alt of the readinas of the Bill tomorrow.

MR. PRESIDENT:
that there witl be no problem.
HON. D. F. FOSTER:

We have done the First Reading, so

Quite might, Sir.

## ADJOURMMENT

MOVED BY; HON. D. F. FOSTFR.
QUFSTION PUT: AGREED. $1 T$ 4:30 P.M. TAF HOUKR ADJOUSQFD UMTTL
10:00 A.M., THURSDAY, $13 T$ TFRTMARS, 1984.
MR. PRESTDENT:
Before I noturluy get up perhans $\bar{I}$ could just say, in case by ony mishonon some sember did not receive a note from me, I do hope that any Members who are free to, may come rith their wives or fomilies to Govermment House this evenina. He are entertaining Members of the Civit Service who can come at 6 o'clook and we would be delighted to see and Members of the Asaembly too. I hope you all have a note of that - I think you probably should have done, Thank you.
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10 a.m.

| MR PRESIDENT: | Please be seated. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Proceedings are resumed. |
| George Town. $\quad$QuESTIONS The Second Eleeted Menber for |  |

## QUESTIONS

THE SEDCOND ELECTED MENBER FOR GEORGE TOWN TO ASK THE HOROURABLE THIRD OFFICIAL MEMBER RESPONSIBLE' FOR FINANGE' AND DEVELOPMENY'

NO:79: Would the Member state what, if any, steps have been taken to remegotiate the Extratemritorial Agreement provided for under the Narcotice Drugs Evidence United States of Ameriod 1984?

ANSHER: In Paragraph 7.1 and 7.2 of the Naxcotice Agreement; the Cayman Istands Goveriment, has agreed to entex into negotiations concerning a law enforcement treaty nine months aftex the agregnent comes into operition. The matter is now under consideration.

## SUPPLEMETVTARIES:

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON:
Mr. President, white I agree that we have a pemiod of nine monthe in which to look into this Agreement, $I$ worder if the Member would state the priority and the time-frome for dealing with this matter ds a matter? of urgenoy?

MR. PRESIDENP: I think the Member has atated the time-frame.
MR. LINYORD A.PIBRSON: Mr. President, with respeet, the total time altowed to took into this agreement is nine monthis, but I think the Member is cuare that within two monthe, with the notice of a month, we can look into this agreement to re-negotiate it if we wish to.

MR, ERESIDENT: If you are really asking whether the Member with btart negotiations earlier than the nine months, that would be an allowable supplementary: I say, if you would like to just rephrase your supplementary slightly I think you would be in order.

MR. LINFORD A.PIERSON: Thank you, Nr. President. Would the Member state whether he would be looking into this agreement within the mine monthe period or before the nins months period is up?

HON.T. C.JEFKERSON. Mr. President, the Zast sentence in the answer indicates that the matter is now under coneideration and that oonsideration is basiaally what the Second Elected Member: of George Town is requesting. We are looking at it, Mr. President.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSOR:
Thank you. A further suptementary, Mr.President. Can the Member state if he hae any knowledge whethex a Conmittee, known as the Extraterpitorial Committee has yet been set up to look into this Agreement?

> IION. T. C. JEFFERSON: Pree ident, while it is the intention of Government to keep the Members of this Honourable House informed, I belicve that it is not wise to indicate to the general public what steps Government is taking to renegotiate this agrement at the present time. to say to the Member, in my private capacity, what se willing Government is taking.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Mr.President, white I can appreciate the delicate subject that we are dealing with, I believe it is true to say that it is a matter of public knowledge the position of the Exterritomial Agreement and its content. My question is specifically whether a Comittee has been set up to deal with this matter and not to go into the details of the terms of reference of the Committee.

HON.T. C. JEFFERSON:
Mr. President, the matter is under consideration and if that statement is not satisfactory to the Member, I apologise. The Government is looking into it and obviously when you look into a matter, you need to have certain people

Thank you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The Member for North Side caught
my eye firet.
MR.D.EZZARL MILLER:
Supp Zementary, Mr.President.
Would the Member state whether the consideration is to a zaw enforcement treaty of the Agreement or the consideration is to re-negotiate the treaty?

HON.T'C. JEFFERSON:
The consideration mentioned in the answer, Mr. President, is comprehensive. We are tooking at the present Nareoties Agresment and we are looking at the posatible negotiation for a law enforcement treaty.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Mr.President, I can appreciate the guarded repty from the Member, because it is a delicate matter, but as I said, this is no longer a matter that will be held only in the precints of Exeoutive Council. It is now a public matter and I hope that in due course this full House will know what is going on.

MR.PRESIDENT:
The Member must not make atatemente, I hoped he was going to turn his statement into a aupplementary; he did not, but I must ask him to assist me by avoiding statements under the guise of supplementaries, in the future.

If there is no further suppte-
mentary we can move on to Item 2 on today's Onder Papers. Govermment Business, Billis, Continuation of the Second Reading Debate on the Parliamentary Fensions (Amentment) Bill, 1984; and the Second Eleated Member for Bodden Town had just begun his speech when we adifourned yesterday evening. So I will call upon him.

## THE PARLIAMENTARY FENSIONS (AMENDMENT) BTLL, 1984

## CONTINUATYION OF SECOND READING DEBATE

MR.G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTTNUING):MR. President, I would like to re-affirm what $I$ a aid yesterday in that my reference to the Government in dealing with the Bill before the Houre does not include the Govemment Members of Executive Cotncil. I feel the full responsibility for this Bill must lie on the shoulders of the Elected Members of Executive Council.

In introdueing the Bitl. yesterdxy the First Official Member mentioned that the reason for bringing this Bill was bacause they wanted time to atudy it, so as to look into some changes in it, and I saici in a very modest manner that I did not believe that could be the reason. I do not think any intelligent pereon can be oonvinced that the Elected Members of Executive Council need time to study this Biてし

Three of the Mimbers sitting there
were Members of the House in May 1984, when the original Bill passed through all its stages in this House. The Fourth Member of Executive Council, who, although not a Member at that time, wrote a very comprehensive letter to the press giving an in depth analysis of the Pension Law ant his objectione to the contente of it. That Zetter appeared in the Compass; I think it was, and he himself is in the peculiax position of having been conversant with Government's other Pension Lai for a long time In fact, like the ad for MoArthur Milk says "He grew up with it", because he had been closety associated with that pension taw for" many years as Finanoial Secretary.

So the reason given by the First Official Menber cannot be subetantiated. They have had time, they hape had six months and, in flact, they have the time that everybody else has, beoxuse time is the one resource in the worta which is equally shared amongst all people: twenty-four hours a doy sixty minutes an hour is given to every person upon the face of the earth, whether he be stave or king - so they have had time. They have had all the time and I do not know if his oolleagues can help him find another reason for presenting this bill today, but certainly the reason given yesterdxy dees not hold water.

You know what they need. They do not need time, they need a a atalytio convertor to resolve the differenoe between bombastic carpaign promises and a harsh reatity of denying the pensioners their inherent rights, theire vested rights under this Law. They need an elixix, a molifying anointment to assuage the pange of conscience which they must suffer when they intend by this Bitl to deprive persioners. of the vested rights. I deatt with this yesterday and I hope I made: it olear that the rights ape vested, subject to the operation of the Law on the 1st of Junuary. Every step is in place for their vested rights to go to the beneficiarios undor thie Law, and the Government in presenting the Bill yesterday attempted; to say no and that is not diffioult to say. There is only one uxy of saying yes but there are huntreds of ways of baying no. By bringing in this stupid little Bill yesterdaty, they were attentsting and did de facto repeal the Paritamentary Pensions Low, beoause when thay put it on cold storage, when they put it on ioe, they will have in effect, repealed the Law until suoh time as it suite them to bring it back.

MR.G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): I want to deat this morning a ititle with the ways in which they have said no by the bitt they brought and in oase there is any misunderstanding, I would like to explain the difference between yes and no.

Thoee of you who were young know that if you ask a girt for a date there is onty one way to suy. yees she had to go out on that date with you. She oculd say no in hundrede of different ways; she could tell you her family would object; she thad to do her homeworks she had to help with the work around the house; she had to go to a ballet olass, or attend a oonoert. She could say no in many ways, but there is only way of saying yes.

So the Members of Executive Council have
found a very devious route to say no to the pensione which were provided to the fourteen ex-Members of this House who, in my opinion, are entitled to those pensions and who will be denied those pensicns by the Goverment, if it passes this Bill.

We are not talking today about dollare ard conts, We are talking about the postponement of benefits under a Law that has passed through all its stages; a Law which hà been assented to; a law which has reoeived approval from London; a Law which is on our statute books and its effect can not be measured. The effects of the bill Defore the House today cannot be medsured in dollars and cents, because it goes beyond a bed and a hot cup of coffee that it would provide for those old politicians who may be in need. It strikes at the heant of democracy; it atrikes at the integrity of those Members; and so they did a good job in presenting this Bill; but they did not fool me. They dre not saying yes to the Rill, they are saying no as they have said no previously. Three of the Members, when they were in the House in May objected to this Bill; two of them perhaps objected the other one on the outside obiected. The one who did not objeet did not vote against it, spoke againet it, so they hrve said no. They aree saying no today, and if we are deceivad into acoepting the postponement of the operative day of this Law we will never heor about it again unless Got hetpe this country to change the reign of terror which now exists in the Govermment.

This Bitl baya no in that it viotimizes the fourteen benefiaiaries under the Parliamentary Pensions Law. It vietimizes them. Imagine that coming from a Government that was elected with the hope and aspirations of the country that there would be no victimization during the next four years! And they have viatimized the fourteen benefiaiaries under this Lav, because the rights were vested sulject to the operation, and they have killed the coming into effect of the Law, They have brought viatimization and the sad part of it, they have brought it to people whom the whole country reapeots. The public elected those fourteen people, time and time again to represent them, because the pubtic felt at the time of the elections that this man or this woman was the right person, and they gained the confidence. Like the two persecuted Bodden Town Memberis, whether a frustrated minomity like those Members or not, they hoid, as we hold, the ponficlence of the majority and they held it at one time. Our Goverrment, which has been elected by telling poople that they would end or they would not have any victimization, have started out this meeting by victimiaing the Honourible ex-Manbers of this House.

They fave said no to this Law by the introduction of that little phamplet that was put in hered yesterday morning, that little two elause Bill; they have said no to the Parliomentary Pensions law by destroying the dignity of the fourteen

MR.G. $H A I G$ GODDEN (CONTINUIVG): beneficiariee under this Latw.
The benefits which they would have received were not alms that would be given to the poor; thay were not hand-outs: that would have been given to beggars, but honowimble pay for honourable sarvices to the Governnent and the peopte of the Cayman Islande. The Dignity Tean whioh has been eleoted to this House which has sanctimoniously shouted from the house-tops that they woulet preserve the dignity of this Legishature, has today cruoified it Because as Shakespare said "You take my life when you take the prop that doth sustain my life". "This is what they have done to Miss Annie Huldah Bodden, Mr. Craddock Ebanke, Mr. Claude Hill and all those otd Meinbars whis served; who gave of theip best. . In the sunset of their tives, when they could have enjoyed the pension provided by law, it hate been taken aucy by the stroke of a pen, by a simple aot of the combined might of the Dignity Team; the team elected with a promise that they would restore the dignity. But I say today the glory hat departed from Ienael.

One Member promised me when he dealt with another matter yeaterday that that matter was only one of the surprisse he had, and that his Government had more surprises for me. They havo presented another one, but I do not take this ae a surprise. This one goes deeper. I am totally mbarmased to be a part of a Government that is so insentitive to the needs and the aspinations of the people. I feel hwitiated to be asoociated with Members who, by introduaing such a Bitl, have taken away the dignity of the peopte who earned the respect of the people of this aolony over many decades.

Of course, Mr:President; I know that being honourable men, now that they have ceen the error of their ways, they witl be duty bound to withdraw thie Bitl. How can we forget the services rendered in thie Legislature by people like Wr. Berkliey Bush, Mr. Warren ConolLy, Miss Annie Huldah Bodden and Mr. Croddock Ebanks? I remember sitting in that Town Hatt in the sixtiee before I was a Member of the House and eeeing Mr. Craddook Elbanks physically tear down the sheets of ply wood which hid barracaded the Town Hall beeause the Administrator had the :Town Hat? nailed up because of seaurity weasons, because the if were'about to pass a taw against the wishes of the people of this country: I saw that man physialty tear them down. How adon poople forget?

I am sorry to be quoting shakespare But hereqain he aays "Blow, blow thou winter wind for thou art not so wnkind as man's ingratitucle to man."

So this is a Bill which will put this Goverrment to ohame if it is passed. Witi Exeoutive Councit be man enough to withdraw it? Or will my other seven colleagiues have the oourage to vote against it? in colleague from Bodden Town is not hare yet but I assured him he need not be in any humy coming today beoause the debate will not cloae soon.

In presenting thie Bill to the House yesterday morning, the Members of Executive Councit adid no, not only to the parliamentary pensions but no to the job of being a Member of this Legislative Assembly. They said no; they said the job is not wrth anything, when every messenger boy in Governnent is pensionable; when every little Secretary which knows which end of a ball moint pen to write with is pension$a b l_{s_{;}}$the Members of the LegisZative Ascembty have no dignity, have no respect. This is what they are saying, beaause the pension is only a part of the total pay package. That Bitl

MR.G.HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): yesterday said no. If the Menbers really believe the job is woxth nothing, why do they not cut their salaricis? If the job is not worth being pensioned whis is it worth reseiving a salamy each month?. Is it beazus they are only concerned with today? Is it because they ane. Limited to the exigencies of the money?

When they said no to the coming into operation of this bill yesterday they were saying to the public "Your Legislatons are nut worth anything". But why do they concontinue to drcw their salaries?

I mentioneal yesterday that if they want to say no or if they want to say yes, the cost will be small. The pension those fourteen people who served, some of them two deondes, three decades, will cost $\$ 9,000$ on the 31st. of January. If you do not want to spend any of Government's money let us agree today that the twelve Eleoted Members witl take a pay-cut. If each Member of the eight will give up $\$ 500$ and each Member of the four witi give up $\$ 1,000$ we witl have quite a sum of money towards the pensioni. So why say no to these people, when we know some of them might be in nead; when we kncw that one of them has been disabled for manly years; when we know that one of them has not earned a perny in the last six months? Why not give up our salaries and let them get their pension?

If the other eleven Members will agree I will agree to give up mine ~ half of it. Iet the others give up half and let us pay these pepple their pensions becuuse I did not come into this Legislature to look money. When I was paid $\$ 50$ a month here I was earning $\$ 50$ a dxy on the outside. Let us be realistic. Do not talk nonsense about postponing this Biti, because you want to amend it. Put the bill int: operation and amend it at will.

If you want to add or you want to detract or you want to modify - you know if they had oome yesterdixy and said "Look, boys, we did not get time - we are going to study it and we are going to put it in before the 1st of January, I would have been happy.

I say to you, Mr. President, and it in in your discretion alone, adjourn this House now - give them one hour in the Committee room to study this Eitl and if that is not sufficient time give them until next week Thursday; and if that is not eufficient time, then a couple of working days during the Christmas. We can come back here on Friducy and finish this \$ill, but do not give our pensioners the type of Christmas present that the Executive Council has prepared for them. Do not give them the type of slap in the face that they handed to them yesterday in the presentation of this Bill. Do not give those people, in their decilining years, an earthquake.

I betieve that politicians are somewhat like salemen and:one of the booke that I read many years ago was oalled "The death of a Salesman". In that book willy Loman's father had just died and a friend was trying to console Wiliy. He eaid to him,"Willy, remember ywur father was a salesman and a salesman is a man living out there in the brue and when the people do not smile back, it is an earthquike."' Politicians, and ex-politicians are the same - they are living out there on a prayer and a shoe-shine and when the public does not smile back it is an earthquake. For these people; in the deolining years of their lives, some:of them are past seventy. We have given them, or the Executive Council has given them an earthquake.

This is berious business and to think that it was done in this fashion. A apecial meeting of the Legislative Assembly called to deprive ex-Meribere of this

MR.G.HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Honourable House. Some of them would have received Three Hundred Dothans per month - to deprive fourteen Membens of this House of receiving a pension vested in them, 'subject only to the operation of the Lat on the 1st of January when there are of many important things that this country needs - that these men should be looking at so many needs that this oountry has - and they are taking up their time and our time dealing with a matter like this! Simply to show - to show those fourteen Members that they were etected on eampaign promises, to do away with benefits, pension benefite, to Parlicamentarians.

In presenting this Dill yestexday, although they were oilent on it, the Membere of Executive Council wexe admitting to the public that they may not be capable of paying these pensions next year, and I aan underatand their fears because some of them have a histomy of turning burpluses into deficite.

We saw this country go bankrupt in 1976, and I expect it will not take four yours to do it with the leadership it now has. I can understand the fear that they maty not be able to pay this money on the 31 st of Jaruary, 1985. I understand their fear, beoause the handwriting is on the uxil. Mene, mene, tekel, upharein is on the wall - they have been weighed in the bulance and found wanting.

But why does such a fear exist and if the fear ewists that they will be unable to pay, why do not they look at the recent history of these lolands and they wilt see. that the fear cunnot be justified today, although I expeot it will be justified somewhere down the tine.

It was brought out olourly here yesterday that a surplus left behind by the out-going Goverrment has been reduced to a deficit of over Eight Hundred Thousand dollars. It was brought out here yester. day that Reserves of over 10 Mithion have been reduced to 9 Mitilion and I an see if they continue to spend at the rate they have spent in the last four weeks that the Civit Semants themaelves will not get a pension by the end of June.

Compare this with the Estimates of 1984 and you will see where that bill, brought here yesterday was not necessary. Do wou know that in the Estimates for 1984 the Civil Servants alone recoived a pay raise of One point five Million Dollars, in fact I think the payraise exceeded that figure, but that was the originat figure in the Estimates. So the money can be found. One plan is for the Executive Council Members to give up their pay and for the other Menbere to give up their pay or a papt of their pay. Money can be found.

We received notice yesterdxy that the Finance Committee had approved Fifty Thousand Dollars to pay the Commissioner of Police. Was it neeessary when his contract would have onded in August - was it neoeedarig to bring in another man and pay him.....

MR.W.MOKGEVA BUSH: On a point of order, Mr. Preeidents is this retevant to the debate hore thio mopming?

MR. PRESTDEWT:-
I think it oan be regarded as
relevant, yes.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONRINUTNG): Yes, you understand, Mr. Frasident, for the benefit of the Member who spoke. I am deating with the fact that the Members said no to the Paritiamentary Pensione Law by introducing an amendment which would have postponed the operative date, and my: contention is that one of the foars that they will be unm able to pay that pension is because they may not have sufficient money.

So there uas money well spent
when it was given to the Commissioner but need not have been apent at this time, because he could have continued, but I and understand hie reason for leaving, and I would Leave too.

The fear exists that if we put in, (and this has been said, has been written in letters), that if we put in this pension it would break the Goverment. I wonder if the people who make those wild remarks wutd take a little time to exmine the history of pension pay. ments.

In 1984 in the Estimates there is a sum
of Nine Hundred and Thirty-one Thousand Five Hundred and fifty-three Dollars set aside for retiring benefits. Statutory expenditure, that is, pension provided by wow, there are Four Hindred and Two Thouechd Five Hundred Dottares, making a totat eatimate in 1984 of One Million Three Fundred and Thirty-four Thousand and Fifty-three Dotlars.

In 1983 that figure was One Mition Four hundred and Iten Thousand Dotzars, ptus the statutory expenditure. So there was actually a decrease. in payments for gratuities and pensions in 1084 over 1983. So the Pension Bitl is not likely to rise. In fact thene was a decrease of Four Hundred and Seventy-Eight Thousand Four Hundred and Forty-Seven Dolhars. If we go further back we will sae that while other expenditure in Govermment has risen by astronomical amownte, the Pension Bill has not risen. I witl only quote one exampte and take you back to the year 1977. In the Eatimates for 1977 provided by law was a sum of One Hundred and FiftyThree Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety-Four Dotlars. Increage to pensioners was Twenty-Five Thouscond Nine Hundred and Twenty-Six Dollars, Gratuity to oontrocted officers was Five Hundred and Twenty-Five Thousand Three Hundred and Seventy-Four Dollans. Contrilutions to seeonded officers were Three mhousand Five Hundred Dellure. Payments to Widows and Orphans were One Thouscad Dot Zars. Workmen's Compensation Ten Dothars. Compassionate Awreds were one thousond dotzars, making a total of Seven Hundred and Fine Thusand Three Hundred and Four Dotlare.

If you follow alosety you will. see that in the year 1977 we estimated for gratuities to Contracted Officers a aum of Five Hundred and Twenty-Five Thousand thrse Humlred and Seventy-Four Doltars, or the amount needed to pay our oun Legistaturs over the next five yerrs. These payments to contracted officers have been greatly redueed as the new Giverment in 1977 set a policy where the percentage would come down from twenty-five per cont to Fiftecn per cont, but notody irgued against paying over half a million doltare to contructed offiaers - a sum of money required to pay the penerions to our ex-Legislators over the next five years.

MR. G. FAIG BODDEN (CONTINULNG): So we rally are straining at :a grat and swaltowing a ocmet.

But nevertheless, those Members said no and if time permitted, Sir, I would deal with one hundreat ways in which they said no, because there are one hundred ways when you want to say no. If you doupt that you try to borpow money from $a$ man; if he wante to lend it to you he can onily say yea in ons way, he takes out his oheque-buok and he urites a cheque. If he does not want to lend it to you, he will sazy "Come back tomorrow" then he hides from you. He bays "I owe the bank myself, I need a loan myself; I have to go on a trip" he oan find one hundred reasons for not lending, but if he wants to lend, if he wants to say yes there is only one way of saying yes. If this Executive Counoil wants to pay this pension to the ex-Members of this House, there is only one way of aaying yes and that is to pay, beoauce it is due under the emieting the Law; to pay on the $318 t$ of Janutry, 1985 and so relieve their conseiences from the bucien wheh it muat bear.

You soe, we were faced with the same problem yearis ago; we tackled it in a different manner. Thease Menders of सaeutive Council., faood with the same problem today, takee aury the pension veated by the operation of the Lau from the exwhembers of the House. The Eleoted Members of Executive Councit in 1977, refused to tike a pay inorease because we. knew the froceury could not stand it. Today when we still have a surpius of Wine Million Dollars they seek to take aury the vested rights, on the rights which witl be vested if the Law is alloned to have its course chat to oome into effeet on the 1st of Jiducmy.

I mentioned that the Pension bill
does not rise significantly and there is no reason to believe that it uitl rise, beoause at the present moment fourteen people ave the beneficiaries undor this scheme and four years from now still onty fourteen Members will be eligible. It. is my firm betief that by the ent of 1989 you might even have $a$ reduetion because some of those Members may be re-eteeted to the House and would not be eligible for a pension. Some of them, sad to says might even die - we can never mule out death, because it $i_{s}$ a part of iife; and bome of them may even do what the objeotors to the bill did not have the courage to do. They might even sign that renunciation clause in the Pension Lout and ady "We do not want it" heause one or two of the exm Members are living above the poverty line and may not want to take the pension.

We had in this Houee in May when we dealt with the dabate on the Paxikamentayy Peneions Law, we had object -. ors to the Biti and it was pointed out to them that if they felf a Menber ohould not be pensionets. let them put their signatures on the renunciation form, and so revoke forever their might to the pension, So the mall. list of fourteen people who are now the beneficiaries and but for the dastardly ast of the Government, whild become the pecepients of the pension, witl forever remember this day, this week this sexaon of patee and good-witl as a day of infomy when this House loat all its respectability, and lost all of its honour, when we deny people who worked hard, who grve when the times were bad, who worked for nothing. It was omly in 1972 that the satary of an Elected Membier went up to the enomous sun of Eifty DoLlara per month. These are the people we are dealing with.

MR: G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): I heard the remark has been made that thid Bill has been brotght to spite the Member from Bodden Toum and me. I do not know about him, but I hupe I will never be the recepient of: a pension, beause I am ooming baok to this Chamber as often cas the swallows return to Capistrano. I am. never going to quit, and even if I did quit I will give my parliamentary pensions to the peopla who need $i t$; bsause I have an adequate pension provided from my job; a pension which not only provided for me but I have contributed five percent of my earminge over the kast eighteen years. They have been quite substantial and I will not need it.

But this is not the point, the point is not whether the ex-Wembers of the House need the pension. The question is do they deserve it? Have they earned it? Does the publio expect it to be paid to them?

I know I have had differences with Members of the House in the past; I. have differed with many Members but once the Member is elcoted it is just like when Saul reaeived oit on his head from the prophet Samuel - he became God'c anointed - the ohosen of the peopte. Atthough the Hewald claime that Bodten Town has no reppesentative it does have and the majority of the people know it. Yet the Executive Council here today does not respect the position held by the ex-Members of this House, Beonuse they seek to postpone a benefit whioh they should have next month. They do not need it when they are doxd.

Under the Law as it exists the pension could be payable at age fifty-fios and some of the recepients are now in their seventies. Is this not a disgrace?

Imagine the introduction of the Bill yesterdays, with the Member getting up and speaking for less than five minutes, I betieve on it. A Bith as important as this. He had nothing to say and I oannot frult him, beoause he had to do what he did - he was forcel into it - he was coercied into it - he was bound into it by oollective res ponsibility. His hand was forced, everybody knows that the Elected Nembers have the majority in Executive Council, and he was forced to get up and do winat he did.

MR.PRESIDENT: - I do not think the Honourable Member is entitled to make statements of that kind bearuse it is perfectly possible thut the Mover of the Bill was forced earlier in the year when the original bill uas introduced by collective reaponaibility into doing something with which he did not personally agree, and I do not think it is proper to seek to enquixe into his personal views on either ococieion or to impute partiouzar vieus to him.

MR.G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. President, I do not vonderstand what you have said, an you tell me the reasons why I cannot make the statement I have made?

MR. FRESIDENY:
viows to Momzen You ane putting partiouzar
viows to a Membex which he hae not himself expresed.

[^32]MR. G. HAIC BODDEN (CONTINUTNG): contention that for a Bith of this nature, which strikes at the integrity of not only the past Mempers of this House but the present and future Members, Bhoutd have been preaented with sound reqions for the postponement, in faot when there is no precedent for taking auky the vested rights of an individual. But what is even more alarming about this Bill is that the Bill seeks to do something that should never be done unless it is aboolutely necessary; and that is to give to the Eueoutive branch of Govermment the righte of the Legistative Asesmbly.

My good friend here, the Second Elected
Member from George Toun throughout the campaign nearly tore his heart out preaching about the sepiration of powers. The dootrine of separation of powers simply means keeping separate the aote of the Legislative Assembily from the acts of the Executive brarich of Govermment and the acts of the Judiaial branoh of Government. This Bill seeks to resoind an act of the Legis. Lative Assembly - an act oommited in May and aseented to in July and approved by London in October of 1984 - and give that function to Executive Council. Beoause the Law as passed in May fixed the date of ooming into operation of this Law, now the Executive Councit has come to us with a bill which, if approved will resaind the action of the Legialiative Assembly and allow the Executive Council, at will to set a new date whioh, in my opinion, and from the reaction of the Exeoutive Counoil, that date will never be aet in the lifetine of this present Houee.

So white in the past the old Eteoutive Council was accused to taking unto itself the role of the Legielative Assembly we see that the new Executive Council has even gone much further and actually resainded an act of the Legislative Aosembly. With their collective votes put it in the hande of Exeoutive Council.

My ohief concern with this Bill before $u s$ is not that it eimply takes away a right which would be vested in the ex-Members of the Houe, but might also be aetting a precedent where the entire Civil aervice pension might be in jeopardy Besake if they do not understand that the peneion to a Leglelative Aesembly. Meniber is only a part of the total pay package to that person, how are they going to understand that the pension of a Civil seruxnt is only a part of the total pay paokige? So they are suffering under a very aerious difficulty beoause anyone understanding..............

HON.V.G.JOBNSON:
MR. PRESIDENT:
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
I wilt give way but. .......

On a point of order.....
Are you rising on a point of order?...
If he is rising on a point of order;

HON. V. G.JOHNSON: Point of order, Mr. Fresident. With due reepect, Stin, the Bitl before us opeks onty to postpone the effeetive date of the Law, that is, the Parilicomentary Pensions Law. It states nothing about.......
MP.G.BAIG BODDEN: With respect, Mr, President, this is not a point of onder......

MR.PRESTDENT: Let him make his point and I witl tell you whethen it is a point of order.

HON. V. G. JOHNSON (CONTINUING): It atates nothing, Mr. Fresident about the other provistons of the Law....

MR.G.BAIG BODDEN: $: " I$ uant to hear the point of order....
HON.V.G. JOHNSON:
$\therefore$.The Member is debating on his own speculation and supposition of aonething that may happen as a result of the postponement of the operative date of this Law.

MR. PRESIDENT:
I think he is entitied to draw to the attention of the House the possible consequences, or what in his view are the possible consequences of the Bill. I mysetf have been feeling for sometime that he is in danger of repeating himself and that he might be, for that reason, out of order, or that I might, under Standing Order 41 (1) have to aek him not to repeat himeelf further; but I do not think he has been basically out of order.

MR.G. HAIG BODDEN: Thank you for your patience with the Member, Mr.President, but I must alaim my rights here because he has no right to interrupt except on a point of order; and he has not given that point of order. He owee me an apology.

MRTPRESIDENT: Please sit down for a moment, then. He rose on a point of order. It was a perfeat point of order, even though my decision uxs, having considered what he had raised, that you were in order. If I am never entithed to decide that somebody who has risen on a point of order is mistaken, then nobody is ever going to be able to riee on a point of order at alt........

HON.V.G.JOHNSON: : Thank you, Sir...
MR. PRESIDENT:
$\ldots .$. so it is quite wrong to suppose that somebody mises on a point of order, which in my view is a proper, but mistaken point, should subsequentiy have to apologise. If I think somebody has abused the Standing Orders and has risen on what he terms a point of order which plainly could not by any stretch of the imagination be so called, then I will myself bring that to the Member'a notice.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, if I made a mistake in the debate I do not want to make it again - I would like to know what point of order the Honourable Member raised and $I$ do not hear it. I cannot find it here...

MR. PRESIDENT: Let Le Lell you. He raxised the point: that it was, in hie view, out of order for you to speculate about a range of matters whioh he did not think arose direotiy from the Bilis and that therefore in his view you were out of order. My ruling was that aithough I thought you were doing io at rather great length, and although I thought you were in danger of being ruled out of order because of tedious repetition, I thought that you were entitled to draw to the attention of the House, as long as you did not do so at too great length, the possible dangers of passing this Bit, and that is what I thought you were doing.

MR.G. $A A T G$ BODDEN: Mr. President, I try to kesp within the points of order the rules for debate. Members oannot get up and make statoments during mu speech, if they wants to do that they must do that at the end. If they has a point of orider they must raise it. A lot of things are going out besides the digmity of the ex-Members.

I with andeavour to conetude, but the conclusion will take a long time.

MR, PRESIDENT: Not I hopes so long that I have to rule you out of order for tedious repetition.

MR.G.HAIG BODDEN: Nr.President, the Bitl which was brought to this House yesterday said no to many things. It said no to a certain type of person representing his constituency in this House. Everybody knows the history of the Cayman Islands and in the past the Members were usually only the wealthy peoples the merohants who could afford to take time off. It never inoluded professionats and technical people.

Without a pension, which it is my oontention the Bill seeks to postpone and seeks to postpone indefinitely, so no date has been fixed in the Bill for it to come back to the House; and if it does come back nothing has been fized to pay thooe Members retroactively for the penaion which they were entitlad to under the originat Law on the thirety. first of January, 1985. So the Bill says no to professional and techniaat people who cannot afford to give up their time to serve the country. They need the time to save for their old agejand this ts the coma of the whole matter.

This is particularity more evident when we have much younger Mumbers coming into the House because these younger Nembers naturatly may have less adings than the otder Mombers; they may have more need and younger fomities and they need to have some protection for them. So this Bill strikes at a principle which, if it is allowed to destroy that principle wilt wreek everything that our forefathers have worked for to develop; and the Bill bafore the House says no when it seeks to postpone the benefits which should go the young Members when they are otd ard to the ex-Members on the firet of danuary, 1985.

The Bill saye by postponing the date of operation that the Caijman Istande are not as good as the other Caribbean oountries, their pensions are available to ex-Members they are not as good as other Commorweat countries and they are not as good as other developed and industriat countries. By seeking to postrone the date, it says that the ex-Members do not deeerve the penaion now.

It was refreshing at the Swearing-in Ceremony to hear one Member say nothing is politically right, if it is morally wrong, and I am wondering if ohe is going to live up to that statement, when the time comes to vote on this
Biv.

I do not want to go into the details of the originat Pensions Law, which was passed in May, but yesterday you allowed the Member from North side to raise many signifioant points on that Bill and I must claim the indulgenos to reply to a few of them so that $I$ can .....
MR.PRESIDENT: $\quad \therefore \quad$ Are you rising on a point of order?
NRSS. DAPFNE ORRETT:
Yes, In President. I think the Member here has gone a little astray in saying that I made a statement.

MRS. DAPHNE ORRETT (CONTINUING): I am the only "ohe" within the "House at this time....

MR.PRESIDENT: I Io not think that can be a point of order, that should bs a point of personal explanation and if the Member is prepared to give way you are entitied to make an explanation now. If he refuses to give way, you may offer your explanation at the conclusion of his apecch.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Prosident, I witl change to to he if
that satisfies her.
MR. PRESIDENT: Soxry?
MR.G.HAIG BODDEN: I will simply say that a Momber said...
MR. PRESIDENY:
Alright
MR.G. GAIG BODDEN: that nothing is politically right if it is moralzy wrong. I trust she aoopts that.

MR. PRESIDEIVT: Well, I think so because by saying "she" you in effeet itentified her.

MR.G. HAIG BODDEN:
MR. PRESTDENT:
are likely you were going to finish and thinking that we would poetpone our customary morming break until you had finishod: but if you are going to be some considerable further time, we might take the break now.

MR.G.HAIC BODDEN: If you let me deal with this one point Sirn, I wili finish for the day.

He mentioned that the period of eligibility for pension seemed ehort, I think it is six years in the original Law, and I just want to say that while it appears short it is altogether different from the time a Civil Serviant must put in, and it ia quite in keaping with the practice in other countries. For example, in Guynn the period is six years, in Malayoiat it is six years, in Barbados it is two terms, which I suppose will be six cr eight years, Antigut it is two consacutive terms, Bermudr the period is eight years or three years in office; I could even go further then that and point out that sometimes a person can receive a pension in some countries even after three years. Quoting from the Farliamentamion, page 132 of April it says "Ihe eligibility conditions in the other three countries aro much tess complex. In Matayeia a person who ceases to be a Member may be granted a pension on completion of thirty-six months or reckonable service.", and it goes on to say "The reckonable semice in the aase of a person who ceases to be a Member by aither through death or dissolution of Paritament or through disqualification under a specified provieion of the onstituticn, shatl, where service is Less than thirty-six monthe, be deemed to have serve thirtysix months". So in that instance it coutd even be less than three years. I'hey are also entitled to reccive it once they have attained the age of fifty years, in New Zealand.

MR.G. PAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): In Singapore it bays if a perton does not even have the minimm period of reckonable dervide to be eligible for a penaton he mxy be granted a gratauity to be computed in a preseribed manner. In Malaysia, after seventy-two months, which is six yeare, the pension can be paid. There are other examples which I will not bore the House with today.

Finally, Mr. President, I would like to say that $I$ trust this Bill will be withdrawi. I see ro alternative if we are to seek to regain the respeet of the commmity at large.

MR.PRESIDENT: I with suspend proocedinge for approximately fifteen minutes.

## AT 11.27 A.M. THE HOUSE WAS SUSPENDED

## at 11.47 A.M. THE HOUSE RESUMED.

Proceedings are resumed. The Seoond
Reading Debate on the Parlicanentary Peneions (Amendment) Bill.
Does any other Honourable Member wish
to speak? The Second Elected Member for West Bay.

MR. W.MoKEEVA BUSH; Mr. President, I have no problem supporting the Bill before the House. I do not know how often I will be able to agree with thiose who call themeslves opposition, but I ceritainty can agree with them on that, though "not' in the same context; that is the bill should never have had reason to be before thie Honourabie House, because theve should never have been in the firgt place such a thing as pensiond for Assembly Members.

If the Members of this Honotmable Houes
aan renember when the Law was brought here Zast year, although not a Member of the House, I took it to my peoplo in West Bay and to the peopte in George Town by ivay of pubtio meetings, and as usuat the people gave me good support. I knew then that the majoxity of the peopte in this country thought that the Pensions Law was setf. ish and unnecessary, an aet of groediness on the part of the people they eleated to serve them.

I told my people then that it wis a Law designed to rape the Treasury and my mind has not changed on that. It became a strong campaign issue and one of the reasons why the previous Goverpment was soundly defected at the potls.

The arguments, Ar'. President, put forward in favour, in my estimation, are poor excuses for the exhorbitant pension which some people would get.

Using the Honowrable Finanatal Secretary's figure which he gave in his debate on the Law, some Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Doltans that was aupposed to be straight pension. I wonder today if they rad taken into consideration the fact that a lump sum figure could be asked for? I am of the opinion that any person who is eligible woutd bx seeking a lump. sum,'and as I see it, that conount is quite unjustifiad in this country today, especiatly when there are so many social ills which need looking into and urgent attention.

The same prople, Mr. President, who were voting this termific pension failed miserably in the sucial aspect of this country. They did not propose any kind of retirement Denefits for the peopte who gave them their horour of sitting here today.

MR. W. MeKEEVA BUSH(CONTINUTNG): No Member of the Council, no ordinary Mamber of the House had proposed any kind of ptan for any serious sooial development.

We have heard that it is onliy a amall arount and we hear of how thinge are so good in the country, but in a country boaating the affluence of which they boast, there are a lot of aitizene who, for different reasuns, are unable to live their full life free from pain and suffering, whether it is the unemployed, whether it is the neglected ahizdren, whether it is the eldark, whether it is the havidicapped, whether it is those in need of housing - they are suffering and the money used for pensions on the second day of fanuary, 1985 could be used to help them.

Mr. Presidents have we in this House forgotten that we have quite a growing mimber of young people under the age of twenty-one in this country? Where is the outlet for their pent-up emotions? Where are the sporting facilities that we need in this country? The money used on pensions could go a long way to help with that. Where is the stadium that we have been promised since 1976? The money used on pensions ooutd go a long wiy to be used on that also.

In my constituency there is not even a footbatl ficld that could be used; the money is very well noeded to help with that. Our young people need those kind of facilities; our bound people are our only real natural resouree, citizens of the future. Yet, Mr. President, they have not these thinge, these facilitiessand the Member is here talking about a pension for Assembly Members.

The real problem writh these people is that they had no plans, they had no potioy and they had no oonmit. ment for real social development; they had no real social oonscience.

They say we ahould be ashamed of ourselves to take away this pension. I an not ashamed, I do not have anything to be ashomed of, because I an against pensions for Members of the Aseembly. What I an ashamed about, Mr. President, is the fact that we have people in our oonmonities who do not have proper toitet facilities in this day and age. What $I$ an ashaned of is the many of our otder folk who are not getting a proper diet in this oountry today. If Members would take a walk in their oonstituency and see how the people were getting along, then just may be it might have tonched their hearts and pushed them into action to do something before coming here to make noise about pensions for themselves.

But: aome people, Mr. Preoident, onnot see the need in their constituencics, beoaues they have left the constituencies and they have left the problems in the constituencies that were looking them in the fooc. I have stayed in my district that is why I am here today to look after their needs.

Mr. President, a devetoping country suah as ours must have priomities, but a pension for Ascembly Members is surety not a priomity at this time in our histomy. I too have done some study on what most of the Commonibal th have iffered their Members of Parliwment and as far as I cin see there is, no country, not even the most affluent, like Bermuda, Canctda, Exrladoe or the Banamas, have given themselves a pension without the Members making a contwibution Jmom their exlaries. Even in the Mother Country, England, where we here have fashioned our oun Assembly on the Westminster aystem, the Members of the House of Commons make their contribution of a part of their satary for the pension scheme; and you can belisve their salamy ia nowhere as good as cure here today.

MR. W.MeKEEVA BUSH (CONTINUING): Members of Parlicmente throughout the Commonwealth aannot get a pension witil reaching the age of sixty'or sixty-five and to get the pension they have to serve, at least, two terms. Here in this countriy the law provides for one to get peneion after only six years of service, not even two terms, according to our Constitution.

We have been tola that the Law was based on what some of the other Comonweat th oountries have, but they faited to telt us that the pension to Members in those countries were contributory. They also did not telt ue that the Members of Parliaments in those countries did something for their people; they gave them some kind of a retivenent beneft.t before attempting to pension themactues.

We as Legislators in this countriy.
must have a social ansotience - we are not masters of the
peopte - we are servants of the poople. We need to think about those of our people who arie now working well after the age of sixty-five or seventy yeare to keep their lives going in some sort of dignified manner, after being promised a sooiat security, eystem for yeare by aome of the sume peopte who woutd benefit most from the Pensions Lucw. A promide is only comfort to a fool, and our people are tired of ppomises and ane tired of being fooled for too long; what they need is action. We need to give them some kind of pension ratirement soheme, then we witl be doing the right thing.

One of the ecotione of the Low, Mr. President; looking at it, that grieves me more than anything elec is the section to make Eteotied Manbera of Executive Council aligible after serving only one year on that Council. The Members of Executive Council receive $\$ 1105$ a month for just being an ordinary Member and another $\$ 1808$ a month for serving on the Council - a totat of $\$ 2,913$ a month? They inoreased their salary from $\$ 14,798$ a year in 1976 to $\$ 24,684$ in 1980, an increase of $66.47 \%$ ? It seems that that was not good enough for them oo in 1984 they inoredsed the $\$ 24,624$ to $\$ 34,956$ - an increase in $1984.0 f 44.95 \%$ - and an overatl ipmpocase of $136.31 \%$ from 1080 to 1984. They have said that it was an ungrateful job. Mr. President., not mainy of our people get that sort of raise in one year.

When we consider that those same
Members atl quenate d private business and boast of making a lot of money, how ann they fustify such an exhorbitant pension? .No one could tell me that they knew they were coming baok to this House so they woutd not get a pension.

Mr. Fresidents one member said it was an inherent right, a vested right to get this pension; I perqonalty cannot subecribe to that. One Member even talked ahout the Lady Member from George Town in the previous Government; he even went ae far as to say that we would not be able to look her in the free oone nert week. Well, Mir. President, I huve the Hansaxds from that meeting: tast yoar and I just want to quote what the Iady Member said. She savid, "I do not need your money; I have worked for myself before I was sixteen yeare old.". She atid "I think the timing is wrongs sir, because I feet that there are many, many more projects which deserve ooneideration.". She goes on to say, "but ae for Annie Huldah Boddan I do not need, anc? t do not want it, beoause I feet that anything that we do for our cownsy should be freee of charge.". She suid "I will oppose it because I do not think that anyone who serves this country for the tove of serving thoir eruntry shoutd want this pension. ". She was a brave woman, Mr. President.

MR. W. MeKEEVA BUSH (CONTINUING): We also speak of Govermment by the people and for the peuple. How then can some Manbers be so selfish and now talk about pensions for themselvee? Is this Goveriment for the people?

While I agree, Sir, that there might be some people who eerved before 1959, or did not have in the presoribed amount of ivine, after 1959 acoording to the Iaw, or perhaps their widows whe might be in need and shoutd be getting something from Goverment at thie time - I will support that, beoruee thexe are people like Mr. Hill, who served in this Houre and I bezieve; Sirs, that mention was made of him this morning. But that Member wilt not bo able to get anything from this'Parifanentary Pension Law. I could support something tike that, but $I$ do not support the idea of pensions for Members of the Assembly who might have one truck, two cars, two to three homes - I never did support that sort of action and never will until euch time eomething is provided for the people who called us to serve. Mr. President, that is an inherent right I have.

It was most presumptious of the Member yesterday who anticipated how we would vote or what kind of argument we would put up on this mendment. It was most presumptious, beoause I have never been an extension cord and I will do what $I$ feel ie right for the people of this country. I knew he said he had at arystal batl, but I did not know he could read minds too. Anyway, he was wrong that time.

Pergonatly, as I sata, I will not support giving anyone a pariimentary pension as it stands today in this country, I want to make that quite olear. Mr. President, I do not krow whether I will be called out of ordex, lut mention was also made. of come sort of reign of terror and victimization. I am a part of this Government and if they want to say I am a Dignity Tean Member, they can say that, because It supported the Members who are in the majority and who have nade up the Goverment: I supported them and I will oontinue to aupport them, untit such time, sir, that they go out of the way and then I will help kiok them hok in the right direction. When I became involved in polities, freforning to this reign of terpor which is supposed to go on, and vietimization) when I beoame inootved in politios and sepeotiatly when I took up the mantle of opposition to the Unity Govervment, all manrer of evil was said against Mokeeva Bush, even from the floor of this Honourable House, where I onda not defand myself personally or my position patitically. Now they dare tulth about vietimisation. They sued me, my two young childnan hive been threatened; my houe has been threatened to be btown tup; and now, Mr. President, I oannot wen go and acteh a squab becaube they have punished my boat tro, after we sunk the Unity boat; after our landolide viotory in West pivy. 7 that is viotimisation, Sir. That is a reign of termor, but alt these kind of tactice will not deter me from the job I have ixeen put here to do as a Legislator, and that job is certainty not voting myself a peneion or agreaing to any Member getting a pension at this time.

When we come hexe to talk about pensiono we must take into eoneideration where the money witt come from, and the ptace where it witt ome from in the long run is from the very poople who witt not be sharing in that pension.

Mention was made of young Members of the House: As a young Member, and as the youngest Member of the House I aun take care of myself; I have been doing that sinco I wae thirteen years of age, Hiard wro never kitled

MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH GCONTINUING): anybody and that is how I have been tiking arre of myselfs and that is how I intend to take care of my family - hard-work, not a pension from Goverrment. Give our people their rights, Mr. Freeident, not panaion for peopte who have two, three houses, oactitzas trucks, boats; those people do not need a pension.

Mr. President, I betieve being an Elected Member of the Legiatature and receiving the Dote of confidance of the majority of the people is the higheat honour that agn be bestowed in this country on any individual. The. majority of my people have bestowed upon me the high honour. of representing them and I feel the job which thay have given me to do is an tonourable one and is, worthy of responsible people - it requires honesty and integrity. It also requires in the man a commitment to dutys, and that is what I will be doing here.

Finally, Mr. President, I know that there are many social and eoonomic protleme, some very serious, that must be addressed and there is wrgent need for: cution and a areat need for understanding and a display of responsibility by us all to the mompmertal task whiah lies ahead in the immediate future. Concensus and unity; Sir, these are the fundamental principles on which we can work together to build a Cayman dedioated to aervice, justice and genuine equality for all so that we oan truly say that we are building together a country in which there are no second slass Caymanians.

I thank you very much, Sir.
MP. PRESIDEVT: Does any other Honoumble Member wish to speak? The Second Elected Member for George Town.

MR. LINFORD A.PIERSON: Mr.Presidents I am somewhat confused as to the reat purpose of the objecte of this Bill before us here today. From some of the dejates I have heard it would appear to me that the Dill should have read one that is seeking to repeal the Pariqumentary Pensions Liw, but as I have heard from the Mover of this Bill it is in faet seeking to postpone the operative day.

If the object of the Bill is to repeal the Parliamentary Pensions Law I wiuld iike to make it abundant.. ty clear that I would not support such a move. If, on the other hand, it is with the intention of giving Members of Govervment mone tima to look into the detaile of this Law, I will fully support, $i t$.

We are not, or I can spotk for myself, I an not a party to de froto repeating the law as was stated by the Second Eleeted Member. I am not alao a part of any foxm of viotimization, as was stated by that Member. Mr. President, so far in this House. I oannot say that I have seen any mamifeatation of such behaviour, but I am sure that the Second Eleeted Member for Bodien Town is better able to detect viatimiaxtion than I an, because he was seen it in operation over the past eight years.

Mr, President, I do not doubt at all the Membex's sincerity in the presentation or in his dekate againet this Bill; he was very sincere. For at times it saunded like he was cordueting a amaude, at other times, a funerat service. If If did net knw the Member's oratorial ability I would have looked for a hato around: his head. It was really towhing indeed for at times it almost brought. tears to my eyes.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON (CONTINUING): I would aLso, Mr. President, take thie opportwity to thank the Socond Elected Momber for Bodden Toum for voluntaring and preempting my position on this Bili. This Member appeared to have gotten so worked up yesterday that I was afruid that it might be necossary for us to get a reaugotation machine in this Chomber.

This is the first sitting for many of us, whare we have been able to pariticipate in debates. Atr. President, I want it abundantlif weil known today that I am not an extemaion cord. I think mest of you are aware of thit. Dut I will deal with the issues as my conselance dictates.

Mr. President; with your permiseion, Sir, I will make my position again abundantiy olean that my purpose here and my purpose itone is to represent my peopie, and indeed to give them the best representation that I wossibty can.

Accoritingly, Mr. President, I woutd inctude my support of the total House by giving the Executive Council all the eupport that I poseibly oan, and indeed, I wit2 support any efforts made by other Members of this House whioh I consider to be in the best interest of our people and oountry. This will inolude the Members from Bodden Town and any other Mombers who may oonsider themselves to be $a$ part of the opposition.

Mr. President, I think it is well known, because I have demonstrated in my lifestyte; in general, snd papticularly in the recent eleetion campaign that I am not easily intimidated. So a lut of shouting and ranting will not intimidate me.

But, Mr. Preeident, from the submissions made here today in the dabate. by the Second Elected Member for Bodden Toum, I wonder whether he is considering earrly retirement. I wonder if may be he is thinking of resigning.

Mr. President, on the question of parliamentary pensions, this matter was a very big ocmpaign issue. It should therefore not be surprising to any of us here that more time would be requested to study this Bill.

I witl not lend my supporet to any legielation which, in my opinion, is not in the very best interest of all of our people. This Bill leaves a lot to be desired and I believe that if it had not been rushed through this Aasembly, that today's debate in this Session of the Assembly would not have been neeessary: So it would aeem to me that the past Government, the past Members of the Executive Council have to blame themselves for the time that they skaim we are wusting here today.

I think it is presumbtious for them to feel that we will automatiaaliy ratify any taw or action which they may have taken in the past. We require good, sound legislation - not legislation whioh, to use the Member's own words "would seem to satiafy a special interest group".

Much talk has been made cubout denying certain past Members their rightful pension. Reference was made to people like Mr. Craddock Ebunks, Miss Annie Bodaten and others anil I could not agree more that they should be given every oonsideration, but. I feel that with a Low and with a matter as delicate and technical as this one, that we should not mush intu $i t$.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON (CONTINUTNG): To again use the Nember's phraze, his implication that we would try to take away the rights of past Member's of this Assembly, is less than the truth; beoruse I would not, myself, be associated urith denting my peopice of their rights.

I wonder, Nropresident, in lisitening to the debate yesterday, whether the Second Elected Member for Bodden Toum was making an attempt or endecuouring to remain within the ambits of oood debate, or whether he was using his onatory and rhetoric to talk to the gallexy and whetner he may have been Zaunching his 1989 campaign.

Mr. President, over the past months I watched very arrefulty procedures in this House and I wis somewhat surprised with the hastewhich thie Law, the Parliamentary Pensions Law was pushed through the House. I foel that this Law was bally timed and that it was mashed through the Assembly, not allowing sufficient time for further stucy of the contents of the Eitil at that time.

I wonder, Mr. President, why the past Exceutive Council did not introduce this Bill before 1984 ? 7he Second Elected Member for Bodden Town seams so interested in the past Legislators that I would have thought that his intercst would have extended to looking after them a long time ago. Why 1984? For tha past eight years they have Deen Members of the Executive Couroit. Why the big rush in the last few manthe of 1984?

I am also surprised to hear the Member refer to the present Executive Councit orerating under a eystem of oollective responsibitity when he is quite siware that this is the part of the aonstitution of thie country and he, with his othar colleagues, practised collective responsibility to its limit. Beakuse when I questioned aertain aets of the past Executive Councit, Members of the Exeoutive Council tried to make the public believe that I would wish to ohange this section of the constitution, thus bringing about independence for this country.

This is the type of misinfombtion and somewhat, in my orinion, aluse that has been allowed, not onty in this Chamber, but on political flatforms. But.I an a different manis Mr. President.

While, as $I$ said, that $I$ will be prepared to work very closely with Eweautive Councit, I cm an individuct who believes in efficiencys who betieves that we must represent our aonstituents to the best of our ability.

Yesterday I asked the question if any ptans are being made to introduce legialation in the near future to provide for contributcry pension acheme for employees in the private sector and those not alrexds covered within Government. The answer I received, Mr. President, was well acoepted, beeause I know the position; I know that many of the portfotios are oven laaded. So the Member responsible fon Health, Educdtion and Sociat Services answered.me that he will do it as soon as it is humanly possible.

Unfortunately, Mr. President, I cannot put a time-frame on as soon as is humminy possible. I want to know a little etocer; I want him to be a bit more specific. Can Is and tell my people that pensiuns for thems or housing, or whatever, will be looked into as aoon as is himanty possibte, when in fact this was a major iseus of my political compaion. The reuson, Mr. Fresident, as I ace it, for suoh a qikltified anewer from that Member was as I said cartier, due to the tremendous amount. of work that he has within that Portfolio and this aitwation

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON (CONTINUING): is not yeculiar to the portfolio for Health, Education and Social Services; it is trua about many of the portfolios - it is true about the Touriam portfolio....
$\frac{\text { MR. PRESIDENT: }}{\text { a litite from }}$ the Bill we are dehating.
MR. LIMFORD A. PIERSON: Mr.President, with respect, sir, the yoint I am triving to make is that we would like to have this matter brought buck before the House as quickly as poasithte, but I oan understand why this matter might take longer than should to required under normat aircumstanaes, because of the present position. Thisis why, when I got up, I mentioned that I was under mixed views and I also questined the real objective of this Bill.

MR. PRESTDENT: I think that ie a perfectly fair point, but I think if you go on to elaborate too far, alt the differemt thinge that ail the different portfolios have got to do that are to delay the return of the partioular Bill, you may be straying a bit muah.

MR, LINFORD A. PIERSON: Thank you, Mr. Freeident.
I would like to point out that there is a question (and I would like to make this very brief on this point) of portfolios being overworked, overloaded then perhape you with your Executive Counoil. Sirs shoutd examine the poseibility of spititting down some of the portfolios so that we can have more efficienay in some of those areas.

This is possible, Sir, under our

## oonstitution.

I betieve, Mr. Preaident, that even though I may have strayed a bit, that I have the experience over sixteen years in the Government service, three of which was spent as the Principal Secretary for the Heat th portfolio, to be abte to say here without any doubt, what the position. is with regards to the workload in thooe portfolios. I believe that I have remained writhin the ambit of seotion 35 of the Standing Orders, regarding the Contents of Speeches.

Mr. Fresident, I may have diverted here a bit but it was intentional with a view of pointing out how important it is for key issuae to be given prompt and effioient attention. I regard this peneion bill as a key isous which requires urgent ard prompt attention.

There is no question at alt in my mind that some very good, upright representatives have passed through the portals of this House - no doubt at all and it is not my wieh today to see these peofle, to see these past Legislatore ignored. I do not think that this is araotly what the Exeoutive Council has in mina. It is my wish that these individuals will be treated fairly and with the respect that they have earred over the yeare and which they rightly deserve.

The foundations of this areat countris of ours were buitt by men and women like Mr. Berkley Bush, Mr. Warven Conolly, Mr.Cnaddook Ebanks, Mies Anmie Huldah Bodden, Mr. Claude Hill, Mr. Allen MoLaughtin and many many more; but Mr. Preaident, what about the people before them? What alout the vestrymen who served this oountry so faithfulty? Why was not some conaideration given to then. I know of certain of the vestrymen tolay who are living betew the subsistence level.

MR. LINFORD A.PIERSON (CONTYNUING): I know of some of the vestrymen today who are in dire ned. Why did not this Parliamentayy Fensions Iaw extend to those people and these are some of the wreas I would wish to see emamined by the Members of Govermment.

Mr. President," in oase there is any doubt, I wish to make mi position abundantly atear that I do not oppoze the provisions made in the Farliamentiry Pensions Law dealing basically with past Memvers receiving a penoion. What I am oppesed to is the ountente of some of the sections of that Law and this is what I would wish to see brought: back to this House within the nesit two months. At lecst by the neat regular and soheduled sitting 'of thie House in March of next yerr.

Mr. President, I feel that the past Legislators of this Assembly deserves arry ounsideration posaible. Acoordingly; I am hereby suibitting that whitever study is requirea to re-saxmine this int be done before our nert regular sitting so that this Bill oan be brought baok to this Houre.

It is necesary, Mr. President, for ue to fix a time, for us to have a time-frame when this matter would be brought back to this House: I witl not be a party to indefinitely shelving this Law. I feel that tike in many other Conmonweath countries that Legistators who have served this country well deserve reaogntion," be it finanaiat rew oognition or othemise, but at the sdne time, as I said earlier, I feel that it wis badty timed, because Goverrment should. have, at that time, boen looking into a mone comprew hensive pension schemevor simitar system which would have benafitted the majority of the peoples in thits oountim.

Mr. President, I realise that there arc some pressing issues to ie dealt with by our newly elected Executive Councit, but with the exception of the Fourth Eteoted Member of Exceutive Counail, I believe that the other Members should have a fairly Broad overwview in ineight into this Dilt, beanuse I Delieve that they were Memivers of the House when thia Law was brought before the House. So, it should not, Mr. Freeident, be an entirely, new bill for most of them. Acoordingly it shouicl not take an unduly long tine for a deotsion to be made or for the L(au to be studied.

Mr.President, I to not beliave that it is the intention of the Executive Councit, of indecd any other Member of this House to take away the rights of the people, as has been indicated here todaty. I do not atao believe that the objootive of this amendment Bill is to repeal the Law - I believe as the Mover of this Bill said that the full intent is to give the Executive Councit Members more time to study the Low.

MR. PRESTDENT:
Does the Member expect to De some substantial further time or are you almost to the ond of your specoh? It is a question of whether we take the turch break now or whether you complete your speen first.

MR. LIUFORD A. PIERSON: Mr. President, you oan take the lunoh break now.

MT. PRESTDENT: In thit case $I$ will suspend proceedings witit $2: 15$ if that is convenient to the House.

## HOUSE RESUMED AT 2:20 P.M.

$!$
MR: PRESIDFNT:
Please be seated. Proceedinas are resumed.
The Seaond Reading Debate on the Parliamentain Pensions (Amendment) Pill, 1984. The Second Flected? Member for Ceorge Toun.

MR. LINFORD A. PIPRSON: Mr. President, now that ne have alt had our refrestments I hove that we witt he in a position to really olearly deat with thia amenotnent before us,

As I stated earlieer I understand the ohiect of this amendment Bill is to enable the Members of fovermment, that is the Freoutive Council Members to have more time to sturly this Pill thoroughlu. It is not mu understantina, sir. and I want to make this abundantly clear, that this Pill is heing shelver. I do not aupoort this. I support a postponement of this Pitt in order to enable further study but not the indefinite shelvina of the Bil\%. I helieve, sir, that some of the former speakers have been somewhat over dramatio and I: believe that we have alloved in this debate rhetoric to take ptace where the realities and common sense should have prevaited. Be this as. it may Sir, I believe that this was all done th the interests of the veovle of this country and in a uay to bring forcibly to the attention of. this House the importance of the Pariliamentary Pensions Low.

As I said earlier $I$ feel that this matter is too important for any Member to attemnt to play nolitics with it. My contribution today is most sincere, because I trul? believe that the Parlianentary Pensions Low should eventraltu come into effect, but not until after it has been aiven very thorough. conaideration." As I said earlier, Sir, I wish also to see consideration given to our vestrmmen who have served this countru. so well over the past number of years. Also, $I$ wish to see urgent attention aiven to a qeneral scheme of pensions, or similar system which will benefit the people of this country generally.

Perhape, Mr: Presinent, a Committee of this House could be set up with cortain terms of reference to treat this matter as an uroent one. to study this rith in detail and to renort hack to this House within the next two to three months. Perhaps aleo, siry, we could use some of the , whe from our neighhorming Caribbean islands as a guide so that we witt not he usina our own ideas in isotation, but we we urill be using a modet of lows which have been tested and proven.

Mr. President, white I acrees that the Members of Frecutive Council should be given mone financial consineration than the other Members of the House, mainly because, if for no other reason, than they have had added reaponsibitities, Port folio responeibilities and otheruise; we cannot lose sight of the fact, sir, monthly salary. In od for quite handsomely uncer the taw with their the time and effort taken word, sir, they are beina oompensated for them in camrying out their duties. that I do not agme to thi phis is the reason, Sir, why I am saying present form. I 10 nine present Law coming into effect in its regard to the rat ao not agree, sir, to Section 4 of this Low with House. Worse sate of pension to be pard to the past Members of this additional award to Mir, is Section 9 of this Iaw which gives an adfitional award to Members who have semed on the Exeoutive Councit.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON (COMTINUING): It is not mu intention, sir, to gain the renutation of some of mu colleacues of being too long urinter. So I will conclude at this noint as I reatise that there are a number of other speakers who wouth wish to speak on this मilit.

In coneluding my hehate, sir. I would aqain point out that I support the postponement of the comina into effect of this Lan, but with the qualification and with the understanding that I am not supporting an indefinite shelving of this Law. I feel that it would not be in the best intereste of this country to do so. I feel. Sir, that it would indeed be denying some of our past legistators their rightful consideration under this Pension Law, That is why I suggested, Sir, that at the next sitting perihans of this Assembly we shoutd have a report made to this House of the progress made in the examination of this Bill. If the taw requires amendnent then by all means let ue consider this. I feel that the principle must be given the major coneideration. We cannot atart looking at individuals and treating this matter on a sublective level. We must give this our objective consideration.

Thank you very much, Mr. President.
MR. PRPRIDENT: $\because$ DOBS any other Honourabie Member wish to speak? The Flected Member for Fast Fnt caught my eye firet.

MR. HOAN B. MCLFAN: Mr. President, the Rill which is hefore us today, a Bill for a Law to ament the Parlianentamp pensions dJaw, 1084, Law 9 of 1984 is one which I const der should neven have heen hrought here oo earty after a general eteation with the hope of : being forgottien before 1988.

I feet, Mr. Preaident, that the time: spent here on this Pill at this aruciat time could very well he spent on many other pressing issues now facing this country, especialty when we should be planning for the future.

Mr. President, the Inow which is now on the books to cover parliamentary pensions was earefulty studied, was carefully put together by this Leaislative Assembly last year. It was not just picked from the blue, Mr. President. "It was taken from ideas which were collected from neighbouring oountries; neighbouring islands with similar legislation. The information was circulated. Meetings were catled and each Member of Coverrment at that time was given an opportunity to have an input. It is very discouragina at this time to see such a Bill before this Honourahle House.

Mr. Prestdent, the reason for my being in this Legislative Assembly has never been for self. It has always been with putting mu people first. My first duty has alwars been to the poople of the constituency of East End and this country in general. I have always been mindful that it took the support, the faith in me and the vote of my people to have me eleoted. When this Bill was originally put forward, I took the isoue to $m y$ peovle and $I$ cannot acy that it was 100 per cent acoepted but at least there was a fair crose seotion at my public meeting and I accented their input. So, Mr. President, I did not support the Pension Lan for self, because as has been mentioned here I do not intend to fall in line with certain qualifications which $I$ would have to obtain a peneion under this Law.

Mr. President, I have aluaus endeavourer. to be an honest person, and I feel today that any Member of this Legislative Assembly who considers himself an honest person cannot support the Bitl before this House as is and continue to identify himself as an honest person. If this is done, Mr. President, we wilt he derriving honest, respectable people of a right which they have earned for themsetves many years ago and in some cases of a

Mr. JOHN 'B. MoLEAN (CONTINUING): benefit which is neeted by some of them today.

I heard mention made of fourteen Memhers, Mr. President, but I can think of many othere. It was for this reason that I did not during the original Rill agree that we should have had a cut off period of the 1st Dctober, 1959, because I felt then that many others should benefit under this law. I can think. Mr . President, of old men from my district, men like Alan Melaughtin and Lincoln Bodden who have heloed in the moming of this countrut many years ago and have paved the uxy for us today. I felt, ${ }^{n} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}}$. President, that they should have enjoyed, also their share.

I carnot see men who have heen electer
to this Leqistative Assembly thinking the way they are, resealing a Inaw whioh will harm those who have led us to where we are todau. It is my understanding, Mr. President, that in less than a week a dinner party will be hosted for the two recent retirees from this House. It leaves one to wonder how oan certain Members sit anownd a table with those two retirees knowing wetl that they have presenter such a Eitl here which witl deprive them of their rights. Yot they will be able to sit around a table and to eat and to drink and to know that they have betrayed them. Are they goina to be tike duras with Chxist? He ate and he drank and he hetrayed him,

Mr. President, I can share no paxt in that and I will not. I oan think of the two most recent Members none other than Miss Annie and Mm. Craddoek about whom eaph Memher. in here who has served along with them can only say good. : They have always stood up for their oountry. Today if such a thing happens we witi be shelving a henefit which they have earned.

Mr. President, I must commend the
Second Elected Member for George Toum on his toproach to this rill, and I hope that when we go to Committee stage the uritl suvport an amendment which I intend to bring. I am aware, Mr. Presitent, that the maifority rules, and I will abide by that thet I assure you $I$ with go down triing. I have heart of many election issues but, Mr. President, I felt when I heard that this was one, that it was a retrograte step for anybody who took it to their olatform knowing quite well the end result; because if a promise is made somehory has to try to fulfit, it on else one serves the consequences.

However, Mr. Fposident, I suppose the summise which is before us is not the first and witi not be the last. I preaume it witl be like the great Presi flent Reaaan said not too Zong ago, "You have not aeen anything yet". My guess is that our newt surprise will be to aee the President of this Legislative Assembly replaced by a Speaker, who I understand has already been named.

Mr. President, I am curare that those opposing this pill as I have said ave in the minomity, but I do hope and trust that before the conctusion of debate consideration will be given, and a period of study witi be placed in this Bill so that sach Member can be assured that this Law will not be shelved for ever and a day. These fomous men and women have earned. it. Why should it be taken away. My feeling, Mr. President, is that reoardless of who the legislator might have been; regardless if he or ahe served as vestriman or a legistator they have contributed, and I oan only say they could be conforted by the words of the Govermor of the State of Florida when he said, "The knowlerdae that I did my part is one of the true riches of tife".

Mr. President, I trust that this rilit witl be withdrown or omended correctly, and I hope that as we do so the good Lond will give us guifonce to do what is might.

Thank you.

MR: PRESIDENT:
Before I invite another Member to speak
if I may I would like to make one point in case there should he anu misunderstanding either on the part of Members or on the part of the public. The last speaker referred to a possible or supposed intention to appoint a speaker. I should perhaps sau publichu what I have said to Memhers privately, both of the present Assemhly, and of the past Assembly that $I$ should be in no sense offented and woutd indeed think it entirelu proner if the Assemblu were to pass a motion for the appointment of a Speaker. Indeed I have even aone so far perhaps as to urge Members to do so although so far theu have not accepted my invitation. This is the real point which I wish to mape. If a motion that there shall be an office of Speaker were to he passed it would be I in mu discretion who woul? apooint and select the Speaker, and I have made no selection whatever. So the manour which $i_{s}$ going round is totally false.

Does any other Member wish to speak?
The First Elected Member for the Leqser Jstands.
CAPT, MABRY S. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. President; the Bill now hefore this Honourable House toapilt for a Law to amend the Pariliamentam Pensions Low, 1984, Low 9 of 1984. I have no problem in supporting this for I stood in this House in May and asked that the pension Law inctude all Members who had served in the Assembly of Justices of the Peace and Vestrymen and ali Legislators, and that priority be given to those who actually needed assintance as enrly as possibile. I felt had this been done then it vould not have been necessary to have this suspension brought before the House today.

I respect evem man and woman who has served in this Legialature or in the tustices and Veatry before that for I realise that it was their preservation of partiamentary Hemocracy which enables me to stand here todry. I feel that a Rill as important as this should be given vemt careful consideration so that it includes alt, not ,iust a chosen few. I feel it is our responsibility as legistatons to provide for the neople of our commuity first, and ourselves second. Therefore, Mr. President; I welcome the opportunity of going into this Rill again. It is my policy in debating an amendment to a Rit. or to a Law that I address $m$ debate to the suhject which is in the context of the Ailt. Therefore, Mr. President, I shall not protong this, but say that I support the fill.

Thank you.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wist to speak? The First Elected Member for Bodden Town.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEV' Refore $I$ address myself to this Bith, Mr. President, $\bar{I}$ woutd first pose a question. Ny question is what form of Government are we headed to? Are we headed to a Goverment which will be looked on like a banona republic or witt we be headed. to a Governmant of totalitarianism? I would have to wonder which.

This amendment todru, in my opinion does not speak very highly of the Leaislative Assembly of the Cayman Islands and of the Leqialature which has been buitt on the back of many dedisated people in the past; men who have laboured under very truing aonditions, who have worked with no reeompense. I think at one time some of them got shillings a day to attend to the husiness of the Legislature. It may not have been as much work then as it is now, but at least they did their duty and they preserved the democratic sustem which we were allowed to have. I do feel very stronatu about this amenoment, becouse first of alt in mu oninion, the Members who brought this or who instigated that this be brought to the House have
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MR. JAMES M. BODDEN (COMTINUIVC): not heen frank with themselves: they have not been frank with the Members of this House. they have not been frank with the puhlic. They have tried to do awn with the Law and I call it a Low because it has been passed legitimately by this House, by proposing the operational date of this Lav be teft out, so that it urill come into effect when it may suit the whims and fancies of some people. To come here with a disquise and say that they want time to study it is wrong. It is time that these Members are truthfut. Someone has said about the playing of politics: I do not think that politics have ever been played as much as has been demonstrated sinoe this new fovermment has been avorm in. The Low which we ane
dealing with passed through this House in May of last year and there are seven Members of the elected Govermment who are here today who were here then plus the three official Members. I find it difficult to believe that if this Law was so bad, why in Moy of last year when the final vote was taken on the passing of this Law, and if I am wrong I think the reoords of the House can be brought out and they will show that when the final vote was taken on the Third Rearina of the Bill thare was only one Member who opposed it and voter no. That was the Honourable First Elected Member of Fxeoutive Council. There were other Members who ohjected to various sections of the Bill as it went along, but when it came to the final pasaage $I$, think there was only one who mally voter agolnst it.

It is difficult to believe that the Official Membere and particulartu the Official Member who helperf to draft this Bill could have found so much wrong with it from May, of this year until this date to get the other collearues to aqree to bring it back here todat. It is not he who is doing it. He was satisfied with the Bill then. He should he satisfied with it non, and it just goes to show the pressure, and I will deal with this, that is being put bu these four Filected Members of Frecutive Council on the Houes to get things done as they see fit.

There has been much rhetoric in this House and aspersions may have been cast about rhetomic.. I ean talk and thank God I can, along with my oolleacue from Bodden Town. I an prepared to stand here untit Christmas comes if I have to, to put acrose the feeling which is in my heart today in regard to this Rill.

This amendment represents pure greed and indifference; indifference to one's' fellow human being; indifference to the welfare of the Cayman Istands, Yet these, people in their holier than thou attitude would break down every chireh door in the country preaching and telling the people who are foolish enough to believe it and to follow them that they would do for them what Christ has not done for us.

It is time that we deal with the aatient points and the pointe which are affecting this country today. They are forgetting. entively that they are their brother's keeper. The load may be heavy but as is said at Roys Town, "He is still mu brother". As the Flected Member for East End voiced it a few minutes ago, how aan these Junas's aco andsit dow at the table in a few daus with Mr. Craddock wherever he is up there, and Miss Annie and aup with them, and tell them what good friende they are. How muoh they appreciate being in their company. How much they eniovied beina with them in the Assembly, and at the same time as they are eating they have their knife in their mins. Oh my fod for such hypocrisu.

I fail to see it. I fail to understand what is so wrong with this other than the few things which have been dealt with before and which could have been handled in a just manner by leaving the Law to come into effect and bring amendments to take care of these things as has been done in the past with every other

MR. JAMFS M. RODDEN (CONTINITNG). Law that amenments have to be mare to. Mr. Fresident, aurdenty the Cauman Islands tave entered into a period when every vaant promise and evem vacant idea that could have been oosed to the electorate or this countrm is beina fulfillen, and given official sanction to, by brinaing it into this House, At this noint I do not wish to hring uo pevery noint, hecouse I will bring those out in the Prefoet Session or the next Session of this House detailina the thinas whioh have been dealt with and have heen dealt with in my opinion wronaly since 14 th November of this year.

We are qoing backward and going hackward
fast. It is like a train on the peak of Mount Everest, if it could get there, and you suddenly release the brakes and you find you are down in the valley. I hope to fod I am around when they get it in the valley. We had to take it out of the valleu once before. We would be ready to do it again.:

One Member in his deliberation said that time should be given so that we could study the legistation which is in effect in neighbouring caribbean istands. I would ask the Honourable Second Official Member to please allow this Memher to read the many volumes which he has in his poseession, from the neighbouring Camibbean istands, and other places in the Commonwealth which were the background of this Law which has been passed. legitimately by the Fouse of Assembly. We do not have to do back and study them. They have been studied hefore, and they have heen studied by the Honourable' Attorney-Generat; so hoi, much more study com be put into them and how much more can he aid to it. The amentment to this Low is victimisation pure and simple victimisation of fourteen people who have qualified bu blood, sweat and tears for this miaht: fourteen people who are now heina treated as if they were defectors in World Har II, instead of being honoured for the service which they gave to this country. Is that what we want to ao down in histom, for? To be noted as a little speck in the Caribbean which one Member said yesterday no-one knows anything about, becouse protiahty he has never travelled further than the end of his nose. Is that the way we want to go doum in history, as treating the people who have reopesented the country? I think one Member has said that a Member who served in this House, for seven years, Mr. Claude Hilt, would not quatify. Those who qualified were prepared in a list and passed around to us when this Law was passed and Mr. Claude Fi 2 L served for seven years in the Legistative Assembly of this Island, and his pension under this Law would I understand be $\$ 4,420$ per annum. I hope to God he livea to get at least some of it.

Mr. President, wo annot delude oursetves. We cannot delude the people. We cannot study this Rill mich more thoroughty. If we do it will be studied out of existerce. It is atready a Low. It has been passed legitimately by the' Leaislative Assembly of this country, by a maiority deeision. It has been gazetted. The disaltowance has come from the Foreign and Commonwealth office as far as I am oware, and the only thing left to be done with this Law is the operational date. This as far as I an concerner is what we have done; we have given people vested rights under this taw and I say again it is ohowing indifference to one's fellow human beings to put this on the shelf as they did inth the Develooment Flan in 1976.

What alarms me is that it monears to me, and I have served eight years in Freoutive: Council, and I will acmit that I was forcefut. however; I do not think that I can otmen un to those who were there todau. I think I witi have to take a back seat because it appears to me that frecutive Council fins aone further than taking the role of the Legislative Assembly and Finance Committee.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTTNUING): It has taken a fult diotatorial course and I feel and $I$ will say so onenly that either they are taking a very diotatomial course or the Members are bending very supply to them, because the things which are being done and have been done in the past oouple of weeks shoutd never have heen done under the sustem of Govermment we worked under for eight years and were told we had to adhare to.

Yesterday we came in and we repeoled a Law which had been beneficiat to this countru, and we were told many reasons. I know the reason. I know the specint interest aroups. I aot first hand information at lunch today, the first time I have been to lunch in years, as to one of the developers who was hehind this. I am qoing to bring these thinas out. Now we are repealina the Parliamentary Pensions Law. We have gone so far as to break contracts very detrimental to this country and pay huge sums of money to do it, to get rid of high goverqment officials. Appointments have been made to our Supreme Couret without even the Law to brek it up. We are fast approaching the stage of being noted as an African republic, and as far as nepotism, we were accused of it, but now I think anyone can note that nepotism rules supreme.

Here we are taking awoy a vested might from people. Yet they have not hesitated with their majomity to force through motions to pay $\$ 50,000$ to get mid and break contracts. They repealed the Law under whioh they could have so far got \$600,000. "In the yeare to come it may have brought in miltions of dollars. They have thrown all of that awou, and yet they will coms in here and probably say we cannot afford to give those legisiators who have qualified, I think about 89,000 a month. Will anyone open their eyes and see through this?
$I$ heard one Member say in his dehate that politics were being plaued with this omentment to this Law. Mr. President, please let the peonle look and see who is mayina politics. We brought the Law. We stood in the kitchen and took the fire. We passed it, and now these people are coming baok with m amendment or a repeal I would call it of the Letw and then they accuse the three of us of playing politics. You do not have to say that, Mr. President, because the pubtio knows who is ptaying politice with it. If we were playing potitics it would be a good thing. I. love to play politics, but we are not playing politics with this. We are taking away a man's tivelihood from him. Peopte who are prohablu disabled would qualify under this. People may be on their death bed so that a couple of months means decades to them and white they study this Rome burns. Yes, there was an old saying," Mr. President, that Rome burned while Nero fiddled. The only thing is now, we have quite a few fiddlers. I do not know whether any of them would qualify to be Neros.

They have said that they are going back to study this, and they are going to study an overati pension soheme. The two things which we are discussing in this House are two entirely different thinge. No one would like to see a pension acheme come into effect more than myself or my colleagues. We have mentioned it for years, and when we mantioned it years ago we were crazy, we were stupid. We have studied a pension scheme in the last Govermment, a co-operative scheme let us call it; a contributory soneme. It is up in the Government Administration Buitding. It is not in the umonium wrap which my colleague usualty talks about. They can easity get it. Let me tell them this thing today. No one in this country would like to see that come into effect more than myself, but do not think it is an easy thing to imolement, and $I$ am going to have a lot of fun watching them implement it if they think they can do so as easity

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): as getting up in this Assembly or getting on the political platform and talking about it. Why, "ir. President, is so much attention being paid to this particular Low if we were not playing politios. Everyone in this country has become fully aware of the tiberal pension scheme which Government has. I would venture to sau that thare are very few places in the world today which treat their Civil Sermants on a pension scheme any better than the Cayman Islands, and I an proul. that we can do so. I am proud that the major benefits which the Civil servants have received they got under the administration which was headed up by the three of us in the last eight years. Before that you had to beg them to wait to cash their cheques. The maior benefits which have come to the Civil Servants have come in the last eight years and I really have to wonder how these people con aome in here and say the things they do knowing the benefits which some of them have obtained under the generous pension scheme which Govermment has. We have people who have walked away with over $\$ 100.000$ on retirement ptus. $\$ 18,000$ to $\$ 30,000$ a year for the rest of their life. Then poow old Mr. Craddock up there who probahty hat to mide a donkey from North side to get doum here many a dou, theu would not want to pay him $\$ 700$ a month. On my Gor, and these people who hreak down the church stepe in this country? I may never qet a potes in this country again, tut I am alad at least I am not a hynocrite of that kind.

They do not have to worm where the money is coming from to pay what woutd have to be paid out under this Low. The few thousand dollare a month that it is going to oost, our administration left them in reserves over $\$ 70$ million. That an pay the pensions for many hundreds of years.

I wonder. I reatlu have to wonder if whoever is head of the Commonwealth Parlicamentary Branoh in Grand Cayman will have any difficulty in getting peservations for the dinner party to honour Miss Annie and Mr. Craddock, because truthfulty I feet there are onty at this point three Membere who could ao and hold up their heads and with our blessinge we could take three more. That would be it. Some of these people meally leamt, and. learnt well from Judas Iscariot. It is like the old sauing of being at the feet of Gamaliel.

If some of these Members feet on atronaty about this Law, the Law carries a section where they can renounoe all of their rights. If theu did not think that this was a fit and proper Law, I ask them one more time whey have theu not renounced. their rights to $i t$. I will tell you why that old thing they oatt greed.

I would do atong with one amendment to this, and that is that people who served prior to 1959, (I think there are a few of those still alive), should come in for a pension under this. Other than that there is not much more that an be done and I wonder what they expect to do. If that was the only thing bothering them why did they not bring a simple amendment at the next session of the House to correct that. I would say to the Members of this House let us adjoum this House untit danuary 5th. Let us put a Committee together. Let us work Chmistmas Day, New Year's Day, whatever we have to do to put together an amendment which would inctude this and maybe if there is anything else aimple we could also do it at that time. We could resume the House on January 5 th and pass this and redeem their souts. I am having an altar call for them now.

I know some of them witl maybe iump to their feet and saxy I cm out of order on the next thina $I$ am going to say, but it has been injected here todoy so I feel free

MR. TAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): to stand up and continue to nay what I am going to say about it. I personalty stand aadinst a Speaker being appointed for this House under this present Constitution. We have much more important chanase which need to be made than that. I think this is one of wour few contacts with the elected nenple of this countru, and one chance to occasionally meet and see the rest of the people of the country. I stand against a Sberker heina appointed. $I$ know some of them have made political promises of this and I am going to see whether this one is going to he fulfilted atso, whether we are going to hear bu the arapevine, or bu the marl road in the next few days that a Speaken of the House has heen apoointed.

Much is being done. It is just as though we were repudiating everything of the past. We must never repudiate the past. The past has brought us where we are today. They have to be thankfut that they are in the position they are toduy and the country is in the position it is today for the work which has been done in the past eight years.

Mr. President, I hope that the Members who have spoken in favour of this will be able to let their consoience take over for a white. Let them took impard. Let them realise what they are doing to people who have served here before. More importont than that let them look and think ahout what people abroad are going to think about this country if we repudiate everything that we have done and buitt up and start off on another oourse. We are doing that. They have started it. I hope they witl take a lesson today and stop before they go too far with it. We are dealing with some very fragite things in regards to the economy of this country. The things which make this country prosperous cannot be done br sitting on one's haunches, and tooking into space and hoping that it is going to droo doum into one's face like manna from heaven. It is not going to aome that easy. Regardless of what some of these may think it takes a lot of hard work and it takes a lot of dedication.

I hope, Mr. President, that when the vote is taken on this we will find that the Memhers in the maiority will agree to adjourning this House until January 5 th, putting together a Committee to study it during that time and oome baok and pass it at that date. Mayhe, Mr. President, if they should have that change of heare, tonight they can aet on their knees and thank their God and say, "Lord I pedeem myself: now have merou on me".

MR. PRESIDENT:
Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? The Nonourable Third Elected Member of Erecutive Councit.

HON. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Preaident, the Ritl before this House today is an honest effort to correct one of the most serious errore of the previous administration. It can in no way be considered a dastardly act or a disgrace to anyone. Neither, Mr . President, are we leading this country as $a$ banana republic, nor are we headed towards totalitamianism. This countm, Mr. President, was rescued from the brink of totalitarianism and destruction on the 14th November, 1984 and may Cod help us that this cowntry never goes back to what it went through in the tast four-bear period we have just come through.

This Bill beeks to delay the coming into operation on 1st. Jtanuary, 1985, of a tou which was hatetily introduced and passer in this Leaislatupe eariver this year. I feel that the delay of the comina into effect of this Bill will give the leaiblatons the opportumty to sturu it, consult their constitusnts and make whatever amendments are necessamu to make it fair and acceptable to the people who have put us here to represent and serve them.

HON. CHARLFS L. KIRKCONPELL (CONWTNUING). I ODDOsed the Parliamentam, Fensions Bill and voted against it when it was introcuced. I did not conderm it then neither am I conderming it todau but, Mr. President, important legislation such as the Farliamentary Pensions. Low creates a burden on the country's finances should not have been hastily introduced and passed into Law before it was oarefulty thought out and the cost determined, hu the previous acmintstration. This rearettah $L_{4}$, Mr. Presiderit, as you are aware was never done. It is not the intention of the present administration to denu onyone what they are fustly due. Powever, Mr. Presifent, it is the resoonsibility of the present adminiatration and legristatom to comply with the wishes of the peonle who placed theiry vote of oonficence in them and who said no to the Partiomentary pensions Trat on 14th Novembers 1984.

I stater herove in this House that there were, and are many more oressing needs in our Istands which should have been dealt with hefore legialatore considered a rension Bill for themselues. Mr. President, I hove not chanaed mi position in this regard. Mrs. President, I svenk mu mind freetu, and tive thi the dictates of mu oonscience. I have aluaks tried to treat mus feitow man regardless of colour, creed, reliainn or his finmetal stimhina as a human beina, and have tried to uolift them whenever and wherever possible.

I would like to say to the past leaislators who are eligible for a pension under this Law, that it is not the intention to take duay the riphts of past legislretors, or to be unfoir to them in any respect. Nevertheless, Mr. President, we must have time to study the Iaw and detemmine whether or not it should be amended or brought into operation as it now stands.

It is also necessary, Mr. President, for the Goverrment to determine accurately if there are any funds left in the Treasury to pay them. That is,arter we have met alt Government obligations includina the stagqexing debts owed by Cauman Airwaus up to the time when this Government took over on $15 t h$ November.

Mr. President, I support this Bitl, and I
hope that the other Members witi see fit to support it as this is what should have been done in the initial staoe. If they hat not rail-roaded this Rill throuch the House as they dif, we would not have had to resoind, or writhhold the coming into effect of this Lm, todou. I would ask other Members who are fair minded to support this Rill.
I thank you, Mr. President.

MP. PRFSIDENT:
I think it may be a convenient moment to take nur custommy afternoon hreak, so $t$ witl suspenत procesedings for $I$ suagest not: more than fifteen minutes. I think it might he convenient for most Members to tru and finish todau, and $T$ am hopeful that pexhaps we will he ante to do so if lues do not tixke too long over our break.
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MR. PRESTDFNT:
Flected Member for West Bay caught mu eye.
MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETT: "Mr. Fresident, from the start i wish to state clearly that I make the folloiving statementis, not, because of any pressure placed on me by any Member of this Honourable House, hut solely to voice my oum opinions on the matter of the Tarliomentary Pensions Law, 1984.

From the time this Law was firet introduced, I felt strongly then as $I$ do now that it was an unfair Law in miny respects. Firstly, I oannot aqree that any Member of the Legistature should be eligible for a pension after sevving for any shorter period of time than as a member of the Civit semice. I am aware that where a member of the Civil Service may continue to serve for as Zond as is mitualiy aqreed as against a Memher of the Legistature who serves onty for as lona as the people of the country choose for him to serve; uet it would seem to me thet a much more reasonahle approach to thit would te to treat pension benefits for our leaistators the same as those provided for in the Civit service.

It was mentione? in the Mouse hy one. Member that many uears were aiven by past Memhers of the House, and I am deepliy arateful for those leaislators and their service. Many of those, I feet, of the older metired past Members of the House are deserving of help at this time. I have heen concermed ahout that and I stlll am. Hovever, I wieh to record here and now that during my time in the Civit semvice, and even since I have left I have teen concermed that daity paid workerg and othere, some of whom have served for well over 25 yearg hive not been adequately provided for upon retirement. I can think of quite a numher who served long and well who came to the age of retirement with vixtually nothing to look forward to.

It was atated by one Member that there was apparent fear by the present Government of not havina sufficient funds. He mentioned a surplus turned into a defiolt within the last month, but I would like to point out here, Mr. President, that one cannot honestly maintain a surplus when as my famous character Aunt Sookie might say, "He is head and years in debt". When you owe someone money how oan you mention a surplus and maintain that surplus: The new Government has only been paying off the debts left by the former Govermment, and I wonder how much more they witt have to pay off before the four years are over.

I can think now, Mr. Preaident, of those
Members who have served in the House of Assemhty nid we have some in the district of West Bay who are desemving of consideration. $I$ do not necessarily disagree with a penaion being naid to leaislators. such a pension is seen to he fair and poasonahte in alt respeets. I Aisagree with the pasange of the fitt in its present form. especially in liaht of the short tenure of service reauired hefore one is eliainte for such pension. In sartioular I dibanree with the time requirement in respect of those who serve in Fateoutive Council.

Mr. President, I would also like to hring to the attention of this Honourable Fouse section 8, subsection (5) which reads, and I quote:

MRS. DAPHISE L. ORRETT (CONFIMUINC):
"Not withstanding subsection (1), a female chtt who marmies white tuder the age of eighteen yeans shatt cease to be entitled to a'children's pension."

I feel strongly that thit Eill which sesks to postpone the coming into effect of this Law should be favourably entertained by Members of this Honourable House as $I$ strongly objeat to this particular Section of the Irm. Mr. president, in today's day and age, Atthough the hutand is normatily termed the bread-uniner of the family; in tmith and in fact the onte in our counting today, does in many cases contrithute nernly as much ahd in some casep oontributes equothy ns mach. In a fern arses she contritutos more than does the husband to the unkeev of the homergond famith. Therefore, Mr. President, I woutd sugqest that the effective dite for the coming into effeet of this Lnw he put fomurit unt th euch time as it can be mioh more closely samutinisen and seation 8 (5) deating with chithren's pensions be amended to inotude the sme benefits for both male and female children eighteen vearg and younger. Mr. President, $I$ also feet stronath that Section 18 of the Parliamentary Pensions Lcw should also be deleted. as no one khowe what tomorrow may bring and a Nember who torlay feels. that he will not need a pension might find himeelf uempl mesh in need of that pension some time in the future. For this reason I see a need for the ooming into effect of the luw to be postponed wintil such time as it an be more carefutiy scrutintsed by ath Memheresof this House.

It was stated by a Member of this मेonourable House that certain Members of the Legislature alroady have had suffioient time to study the Lab, and that for this reason it was therefore not now necessany to postpone the coming into effect of the Law. However, Mr. President, I would atso remind the Member concexned that with so controversial a Law as the Panliamentary Pensions Low proved to be when it was first introduced to this House and as well as it has proved to be since it was passed, oonsideration must also be given to the newer Members of this House having adequate opportunity to study the Low together with those Memhers who were serving in the House when the Low was introducerso that a fair and satisfactory decision might be arrived at.

Had this Law not proved to he veru unoopuliar with a wide cross-section of the votina publice then nerthaos such an arqument might have been entertainet. However, as $I$ mentioned hefore, bearing in mind the controversy surrounding the Particanentary pensions Low, 1984 , ite ooming into effect should be postponed, and I therefore support such postponement.

Going on a little further, "r. president.
$\tau$ feel strongly that there are past Mombers who are presently in a financial position which renders them in need of imenediate financid. aid. Whether this aid is obtained through sociat services, or another arm of Govermment I feel that such persons should he adequately provided for. I'must add, however, that it does seem somewhat tate in such assistance being forthooming, and for this reason amonast others, I have been made to auestion the timing of the vassing of the Pensions Law and have often wondered whether the reat reason behind this passing was primarily to assist those past Members who are in fact in need of such financial asstetance, or were there pertaps other ulterior motives behind this passing.

Mr. Fresident, mention was made of
firing of high Govermment officials. Mention was made of

MRS. DAPMNE L. ORRETT (CNMTINUING) dictatorial policies. Mentian was mate of squandeming of Govermment funds by the oresent Govermment, by the First Electer Member for Rodतen Tomm. I should like to state here. Mr. President, that whether or not all the allenations were true, I would much rather be an obscure, lowly and honest servant than to sit here as a leaislator and then to be labelled amonast other thinas with the atigna for dictatorship, victimisation and the waste of public funds as did the Govermment of the past eight years. Mr. President, as the Honourable Third Elected Member of Exeoutive Council mentioned yesterday, there are lots more surprises to come, and let me say here, now that if my voice in this Honourable House has arything to to in bminging it to bear, the people of this country witt know what those sumpises contain. Barming anything which might prove detrimental to this country our people must know who are indeed responsible for dictatorial practices, victimisation and the waste of pubitic funds. If and when they do, perhaps then there will be a number who will be devoid of a pension even if a Pensions $L a v$ is put into effect.

Mr. President, I wish once aqain to. state that $I$ do not disagree with a Pensions Law for tegislators, but certainly I do not agree that the oresent Law is fair and adequate and I therefore support the poetponement of its aoming into effect as the Bill before us now seeks to do.

Thank you, Mr. . President.

MR. PRFSIDEMT:
Frecutive Councit.
HOM. W. NORMAR BODDFN: Mr. Presinent, 1 mise to sumport this Biti now before this Honourahle House which is a Pilt for a Inow to amend the Partianentary Pensions Law, 1984, Law 9 of 1994. There has been much discussion recardina the ohjects ant reasons of this Rill, but surely to anyone it is clear what the intentions are. "On such day as the Governow may, by Proclamation published in the Gazette, appoint"; stirely this is not an whusual term. It has been used beforg, and it has been accepted by this House many, manu times before in other bills.

It seems to me, Mr. Presidents, from past experiences that the shorter the bill the tonger the delate, and the Bill before this House definitely will be no exception. Like the speakers before me, it seems necessary to deal somewhat with the merits andfor demerite of the Lxw in onder to debate the necessity of deloying its effective date. Howsver, in my usurt fashion my contribution will be short and to the point.

Mr. President, when the Bill for this Law was being debated in the House in May of this year I opposed it at that time, and nothing has happened since then to cause me to change my mind; not even the fact that I was ne-electer on 14 th November, I opposed it because it aonveyed the distinct impression that legislators were in fact paving the way for a financially healthy and secure retirement plan for themselves and thetr denendents before making some form of social security plan for the mublic who elected us to serve and protect their interests before our oum. I believed then as I do now, that white we do not whish to create a welfare state, we need fingt of all to narefullu examine the etate of our welfare. If our priomities are to he nlaced in order, emphasis must be placed firstlu on tackling in an effective manner our rapidly growing social needs and prohiems in this country.

Nevertheless, as is welt knowm. in spite of strong opposition from some Mambers at the time, the Filt

HON. W. VORMAN BODDFN (COMTINITNM): was passed and become Lav.
However, there ane undoubtedly sections of this Luw which are too lenient and aenerous, which in my opinion must eventuatly he amented such as eleven Elected Members to receive a peneion after hoving served only two terms of office. Also such as the provisiom for Executive Council Members to receive a pension after havina semed one year and there are other sections requiming careful thought and consideration.

It is woll known. Mr. Prosident, that prior to 14 th november, there was not ony chance at all whatsoever, of passing any amendment to this Law regawless of hon strona a case was presented, just as it is atso known that the Bill was hurmiedly pushed through the House at a time when the 1984 elections were fust around the comer.

Mr. President, it is true that in the past many partiamentarians have zaid olaim to the fact that their. service to this country was rendered out of a sense of responsibility and loyalty with little or no expectation of financial reward. However, I do agree that assistonce should be given to former Members of the Legislative Assembty who may be in need of help, and I betieve that with prover amendments this can be achieved. However, having said that I really wonder, Mr. President, iust how many of the eases mentioned, if truly examined, could be form to be at the poverty level.

I maintain, Mr. President, that if high priority and emphasis oontinues to he placed on generous pensions for Assembly Members that we are fast reaching the stace when fincncial reward will hecome the determining factor for seeking $a$ seat in this. Legislature, mather thon a genuine willingness and unselfish desive to dive a dedicated service to the puhlic as hefore.

As regards the position of the three Official Members of frecutive Conncil mioh was mentioned this morming by the Second Filected Member for Rodrlen Town, I would like to remind him that their position today $i_{i}$ not unfamiliar to him as it is no different than it was in May of this year when the Luw was first dealt with. I would also like to remind him that in mentioning the salamies which rre now pric to Members, that those salamies were set by the Fxecutive Council of which he wara a Momber until recently. Fis offer to give uw pay to help former Members is commendable, hut I wonder why this offer was not made eight years ago if his sympathy for those Members was so strong and genuine. They probabty needed hetp more then than they would today.

Mr. President, I support this Eill, as I betieve that the effective date of the Lcw of $1 s t$ Januam, 1985 , should be amended to read "such day as the Govermor may, by Proolamation published in the casette, appoint.'. This is the object of this Bill,so that necessary amendments am he made which will make the Partiamentary Pensions Law, 1981, more reasonable and coceptable, and less controversiat.

Thank you, Mr. President.
MR. PRESIDENT;
Does any other Honourable Member wish to speak? The Honourable Finet Elected Member of Erecutive Council.

HOM, BENSON O. EBAMKS: Mr. President, ts has been said I did not imagine that so short a Rill could have cauaed so long a dehate. The fill before us is a very gimpla Bitl, ond in my opinion does not oontain the sinister imotications which have heen asomihed to it hu certain Members. The Bitl seeks to delray the comine into operation of the Parlirmentary Pensions Law, 1984, from the Ist Januay, 1985,

HON. BANSON O. EBANKS (CONTINUIAG): to a date to be published by the Governor in the Gazette.

The intention, Mr. President, is not to deprive any particular on specific Members of benefits which may accrue from the Parliamentam, Pensions Low, 1984. Rather, Mr. Preaident, it io as $I$ see it, heoause there are certain inequalities in the Law which is why we are seeking to delay its implementation.

Some Members have in their debate suggested that the Law could have come into effect and then have amendments passed but, Mr. President, I am not sure that that would have fitted the bitl in this instance. As has been nointed out the low makes provision for lump sum payments which could in fact be alaimed on the 2nd Jomuary next, and there would be therefore: no opportunity to correct those or any inequities or over qenerosity to persons who might have avaited themselves of that.

I believe, Mr. President, that in some instonces the Luw is too tiberat. For example, Mr. President, it is difficult for me to onnoeive how one should be entitled to an Executive Councillor's pensiom after serving onty one year in Mrecutive Council.

I share the view too, Mr. President, that while partiamentarians may be entitled to a pension there are many on the outside who are in need, and in my opinion should. have been taken care of simultaneousty, or before parliamentarians sought to vote themeetves a peneion. Mr. President, as an example of whu this Law needs coreful consideration I would drow Members' attention to the fact that one of the fourteen persons, I do not know how, fourteen Members was arrived at, but at least one of the persons named this morming and this afternoon who were supposedly beneficiaries under this law could not in my opinion be so sinee to the best of $m y$ knowledge that individuat served in this Legislature, first as a nominated Member and then for one term as an Elected Member. Under the Parliamentary Pensions Law, 1984,
Member"is defined to mean an Elected Member of the Leqislative Assembly. Section 3 saus that :-
"any person who-
(a)has since the 1st day of octoher 1959 semue?
as a member for two full parlimentary terms'.
Therefore this would mean that the person would have to have served two full elected terms; not a nominated term. It is for this reason and others, Mr. President, that this Law has to be looked at, bearuse in my opinion in many instances those persons who may be most in need are those who are exclufed under the Lruw.

I an also, Mr. President, of the oninion and I expressed this in May, that provioton should be made for those legistators who have not semped the prescribed time since 1959 , but who have given valuable and lona service prior to that. In some instances, Mr, president, the Members are still alive and to my knowledge could very well uge a pension. In other instcnces the widows, and I can think of at least one inatance where there are children who could benefit if this was done. Mr. President, we are not talking about large numbers of veoole, but if we are in fact looking after the needy, then we should inolude those persons who are aduanced in years and have not the ability to work at this time, but gave service to their country. when they were able to do so.

Mri. President, the anomaly, or misconception which I referred to about the Member having served one term as a nominated Member and one as an plected Memher, only serves

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS (CONTIMINF): to hiahtiaht the ract that aven if this Rill was atudied to death as the First Electer Member for Rodden Town has sait, then certainly a lot was still overlooked.

It is not the intention as has been said to denrive Nembers of henefits acomina unier the Thu, Wo think the Lou should be examined to ensure that it i.s faire to ali. concerned.

Ae reaards the reference mate to the fincmees of the comtrm, Mr. President, arowind from surm tus to defficit in so auiok a time, that should not he difficult for the Member to undergtand. I believe that it was in May of this tuen that we heard about surptuses of $\$ 11$ mitilion. By the $31 s t$ August, it was s3. something million; by the end of October it pas tess than A1 miltion; and as the Fonourable. Third official Member pointed out yesterday the General Reserve had to be tannad to pau bills which were pressing for Cayman Airways. I am not sure that even now the full extent of Cayman Airways' liabilities are vnoum or futly underetood. We may be in for more shocks with that than we had thought. However, I pointed out, Mr. President, during the cambaian, and from May that it was no macie that surpluses were greater in the first half of the year than they would be later on in the year, because most of Government's revenue is collected in the first half of the yerr. I pointed out during the camparom that it was my opinion that by the $31 s t$ December, there would be a deficit on this year's Current Account, barring a miracte, wnd I तo not think that they happen too often these drus.

I would further like to point out, Mr. Presindent, that aince the 20th November, when the new Covernment was sumon in, no financial commitments have to my knowlerfe heen entered into so that any expenditure which has heen incurper's ercent for the approved payment of the Couman Aimocys deht has not heen the result, as I said, of the work of the new fovermment. So this has heen ontu on-aoing ecnenditure which must have heen hudaeted and aporover.

I have no fear, Mr. Presifent, of anu nenercuesions of supportina this Pitl. I betieve that we neen to look at the Parliamentamy Pensions Law carefuliu to ensure as I said that it is equitahte and fair to all concermed, and that a means be found to take care of thoso persons who have semved for lesser terms than two, or lesser years than six since 1959; but nevertheless who gave of their semices to the countru subsequent or previous to that date. We are not talking about large numbers of people as I said, or Zarge comounts of moneu. I would hove, Mr. President, that we can find a guick sotution to the desire of Membere and of the publice of finding a way to introduce some form of retirement benefit for our people. I an heartened that there might be something to be found in the Governmient Acministration Building on this but I understand from the Member who mentioned this that it is a monumental task to immlement it. In fact I was civen the impression that it was niah impossinte. I do not share that view, but I am left to wonder, Mr. President, if that is the case whu in iust about each successive Throne Speech since 197\%, such a scheme was mentioned as being planned to be implemented in that year.

Time io munina on, Mr . Presircent, and I would close by sauing that I aive the Riti mu full sumort.

MR. PRESIDENT:
Executive Council.
The Honourohle Fourth Flected Momber of

FOM, VASSFT, Tr. JOHMYOM:
mso to dunnont a Pilt for a Luw to Amend the Parliamentami pensions Iaw, 7994 . Others have referrer to this Bill as a smatl Rit\%, mind.

HON. VASSEL $G$. JOHMSON (CONTTNIING): wondered why it should take so tong to debate. I would say, Mr. Presidant, that aood thinas come in smatt pockages; and this is the attiture we have demonstrated in this emalt Rili. A mention was made, Mr. President, durina the dehate when Members opposed the introcuction of the Riv, that $I$ har porhaos indicated amosition to the Fill hu writinh tetters to the Press, which I dir, Mr. President. It is not that I onoser the Pi 7.7, and I will read to vou the vararranh whinh precended what I said in the Tress. I said:
"I am not writinc becouse I conderm the proposal attogether, for I realise that the demond on Members" time today is far areater thin say ten years ago. Secondlu, white many Memhers are financially well off and able to work on a burety voluntary hasis without remunemation, there are others who may not be in a simitar fortwnate position and therefore pension in such cases would not the unrensonate."

However, I also noted, Mr. Presinent, that the Sun newspaper of the $8 t h$ Moy, 1984, came out in their editorial and said:
"Legislators yesterday debated an unusual topic. in the Legislative Assemblys in essence to poy themselues for services they took upon themselves to aive to their oowntry."

Mr. Prosident, this is the whole purpose. of the opposition which was raiser in these Islands when the Ritl. was beina introduced. Nimes were catted thim momina, Mr. Presi/fent, and I am not in the habit of callina peoples names. However. if we look at those individuals who were nomed this morning ant mercu was nleaded on their behalf. I would saly that none of them are pons people. Some have lectrred in this House that they would pefuse to nccent a pension. We henrd one sienker this morning reacing from the Hanand of this House what one of the Members saic.. Some of them are Attonneus. Some of them are bue drivers who made a lot of money out of this Goverrment. I will admit, Mr. President, as the last speaker said that there are deserving cases which we shout. look at, not only the last sneaker, Mr. presifient, hut othen sneakers as well. I agree with that.

I sans a number of thinas wrong with the Bill. This particular subiect, Mr. President, on the platform along with that famous motion we have been talking about for a lond time, drew more public reaction in this country than anything else during the etection commaim. If you want to test the attitucle or the public you iust go out there and mention those two items. We have been given a mandate to come to this House and deat with those issues because they were very imbortant issues in this election.

SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDFR 10(2)
HON. DENMIS H. FOSTER: : Mr. President, if it is the desire of the Fouse, Sir, and in acoordance with Standing Mrifer 82, standing Order 10(2) I would like to move that we susnend standing Order 10(2) to allow the House to ao on and complete the business, sir.

MR. PRPSIDENT: The motion is that in accomonce with the provisime of Standing Order 89, Stantina Ordep 10(9) shatl be suspended in order to enable the House to nontines ond hopefulty oomplete its business this evening. Does onu Fonoumatle Memthas wish to speak. I will put the questiom.

QUFSTION PUT: AGRFFD. GTAMDING ORDER 89 , STAMDINF ORDPR 7O(2) SUSPFMDFD

In that case Stondina Order 10(2) is suspended. The Member who was speaking the Honourable Frourth Elected Member of Executive Councti may continue and we will continue business hopefully untit wee finish it this evoning.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHVSON:
Mr. Presidant, stones are atways being thrown at me. I do not know why. I do not know what I have done to anyone. However, referenoe was made to a Nember who had retired and drew $\$ 100,000$ in addition to his drawing 520,000 a year perision. Mr. President, I take it that that Member they were referming to, or the First Elected Member for Bodden Toum was referring to was me. He said that during the eight years they had made conditions so attractive in the Civil Serviae for members of the service. Now the onty thing that they could have made very attractive, Mr. Preeident, was in Jonumy this year then they gave senior officers a very large incuease in salary. I aceept that, but if they are talking about what they did over the past eight years let me tell them that the attractive benefits which Civil Servants received over the years were ten years ago in 1974. when there was a complete re-structure of the salaries of the Civit Service.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: $\because$ A point of order, Mru. President. That statement is entirety incorrect as the Member wett knowe because about five or six years ago, I think it was, the Civil Semvice come to the point to where we were faced with a date on which they would strike. That Member at the time along with the Chief Secretary was conduotina the negotiations with the Givil Semvice Union and getting nowhere wontil a few Members of the House, I would say intervened and assisted with it. An immediate 10 per cent increase I think it was voted and agreed to bu the Civit Semvice Union on the condition that someone be brought out from England to do a complete sturly. A complete study was done and the Civil Semioe received a certain amount of salary retroactive as well as better oonditions.
he is not telling the truth.
MR. PRESTDENT:
The Membar is quite anare of this so

I m not really sure that that was a VASSEL G. JOHNSON: I I was very generous, Mr., President, in not stoppina him because I did not think it was a point of order either.

Anyway I was Financial Secretary of Covernment at the time and I am quite oware of what happened then. However, that did not contribute all that much to the pockets of Civil serverts. I am talking ahout real benefits in terms of cash and the benefit which civil servonta received was ten years ago in 1974. That is what kept the Civil Service together. The five years-ago-situation wa moro or teen a neview of the civit sorvice adary and adiuatmentra because nf certain. point which they raioed which the Government thought were unrearonable,

Mr. President, going baok to the point which I was mentioning I am not avare of receiving \$100,000 any time from the Covermment. That is number one. If the Member wanted to know what anount of money I received he could very well know too, just as he knows other things. The $\$ 20,000$ a year which he said that I am drawing is not ao at all because $I$ an drasing a reduced pension. Mr. President, let say this. I an not drowing one penny more than any other civit servant who has retired from that civil Service. I am not given any special favour in anything at alt.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON (CONTTNUING):
When I was loaving I was given one year's pre-retirement leave and, Mr. President, that is a smatl portion of all the leave which I lost in that Civil. Service. I did not take all my leave. I pemained in the Civil Service, and I did things which were beneficiat to the Goverrment and the country. The Government on its own offered to give me that one year's pre-retirement leave, and it was not only me alone. Five officers were cularded the same thing. I do not know why they should single me out.

Mr. President, there is a pension scheme under a Pension Lou which sets out the method in which pensions are caloulated. So everything is done under the provision of the Law; not what somebody chooses to give someone.

Mr. President, we heard this afternoon talk about a Speaker of the House. The first time that I heard any mention made of Speaker of the House was in March, 1998, at a Meeting of the Legislative Assembly, the last one before my retirement. The same Member, the First Eleoted Member for Bodden Town was speaking and I must say that he threw bouquets at me. I thank him for that. You know what he said, Mr. President. He said that"Mr. Johnson is leaving. I understond that he is going to run in the 1984 election and if he does not win a seat", I have not got the words before me but bomething to the effect that if I lose my seat. in 1984 he would be recommending that I be appointed Speaker of this House. It is the only time I heard about the Speaker of this House so where it came from todoy $I$ do not know. Anyhow, events did not turn his way, Mr. President, and I am back in the House now so the question of Speaker is out.

Mr. Fresident, I do not want to delay the Meeting of the Assembly, because we have some other business to conclude and I would like very much to leave this aftermoon. I hove a lot of other things to do tomorrow. So I would recommend, Mr. President, to the Members of this Assembly, even to those who oppose the Bill, because I think they themeelves scus some merit of it. Of course you know opposition is opposition and they have to ahow their true colours ovex on the other side. I would ask all the Members, Mr. President, to give their support to the Bill because if an amendment comes back here I am sure that they are going to agree to it too.

Thank you very much.
MR. PRESIDENT:
Dces the mover wish to exercise his right of reply? Oh, Borry does any other Member wish to speak? No. Does the mover wish to exercise his might of reply?

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Sir, I witl forego that option.

Mr. President, in an effort to save time, (LAUGHTER).

MR. PRESIDENT:
In that case the question is that a Bill entitled a Bill for a Law to Amend the Parliamentary Pensions Lav, 1984, be given a Second Reading.

QUESTION PUT:
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: please?

MR. PRESIDENT:

## AYES AND NOES.

Mr. President, may we have a division

## DIVISION

## AYES

Hon. D.H. Foster
Hon. Michaez J. Bradley
Hon. Thomas C. Jefferson
Hon. Benson O. Ebanke
Hon. W. Norman Bodden
Hon. Charles L. Kirkoonnell
Hon. V.G. Johnson
Mr. W. Makesva Bush
Mre. Daphne L. Orvett
Mr. Linford A. Pierson
Mr. D. Ezzard Miller
Copt. Mabry S. Kirkoonnell

## NOES

Mr. Jamas M. Bodden
Mr. G. Haig Bodden
Mr. John B. NoLean

## 3

MR.PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I declare the motion carmied, twelve votes to three.

BILL GIVEN A SECOND READING.
MR. PRESIDENT:
The House witl now go into Committee to stuay a Bill entitled the Development and Planning (Amendment) Bill, 1984, and other Bills.

ROUSE IN COMMITTEE
THE DĖVELORMENT AND PLANNING (AMENDMENL') BILL, 1984.
COMMITTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.

CLERK: CLAUSE 2. REPEAL OF SECTION 35(a) OF LAW 28 OF 1971.
MR. CHATRMAR: The question is that Clouse 2 stond part of the Bill. Unless any Member wishes to speak, I witl put the question.

MR. G. HALG BODDEN: on that?

Mr. Chairman, may we have a division

## DIVISION

AYES
Hon. D.H. Foster
Hon. Michae I J. Bradley
Hon. Thomas C. Jeffereon
Hon. Benson O. Ebonks
Hon. W. Norman Bodden
Hon. Chartes L. Kirkoonnell
Hon. V.G. Johnsom
Mr. W. McKeeva Bush
Mrs. Daphne L. Orrett
Mr. Linford A. Fierson
Copt. Mabry S. Kirkconnell
Mos. D. Ezzard Miller
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MR. CHARIMAN: I declare the motion carried, twe lve votes to three.

CLAUSE 2 PASSED BY MAJORITY.
CLERK: A BILL FOR A LAW TO AMEND THE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING LAW REVISED.

QUESTION PUT: AGREED. THE TTTLE PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
A Bill for a Law to Amend the Parliamentary Pensions Law, 1984.

THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSIONS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
COMMITTEE THEREON
CLERK: CLAUSE 1. SHORT TITLE.
QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 1 PASSED.

CLERS: CLAUSE 2 - AMENDMFNT OF SECTTON 1 OF LAW Q OF 19884
MR. CHATHMAN: The question is that clause 2. atand part of the Bill. The Eleated Member for East Find asked saritier if I would give permisaion rander the appropriate Standing Onder, stonding Order $52(2)$, for him to move an amendment without due notice having been given. I now give the necessary leave.

Have Members been given copies of the amend̈nent yet?

MR. JOHN B., MoLEAN: Y Yes, Mr. Chairman.
MR, CRAIRMAN: SQ perhaps you would just like to nead
it out then and speak to tit,
MR. JOHN B. MCLEAN: Mr. Chairman, I woutd like to move an amendment to the Eill before, us, an amendment to section 2a: It urit be an addition to the end of Section 2 reading "heing a day not later than the 31st March, 198.5" ${ }^{\prime}$

My reason for this, Mr. Chatomon, is that I feel that if we put a date rather than to teave if the way it is, other Members and mpelf wnild be absured that it witi not just be put onvay for ever but it wrill be brought back within a period, whioh would give the Goverment time as they have reouested to study the Law thoroughly.

MR : GAATRMAM: The amemament probosed then is that the worde "being a day not taten than the 318t March, 1985 be adisd at the end of clause 2 after the word "appoint".

MR.t.JAMBS B BODDEN, $\frac{M r}{}$. Chaixnan, I would like to propose onother dinendment in addition to that one if I could have your permiesion.

MR. CHAIFMAN: I must just look up what the milea aay about amendments to amendments. I can remember that there were compliaations about this. There seemis no problem under standing Order 27. An amendinent to an amendment may be moved. So you are seeking leave in acoordanoe with Standing Onder 52(2) to move an amendnant to the amendment?

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: : I woutd like to add to that "with benefits Metrozotive to 1st Jamuary, 1985, to those who have quatified".

MR. CHATRMAN:
The additional worde would coms in in the middle of the ansmansent after the word "1985" I would imagine. Would thay? I think thay would have to. Have you got the Member. for East End's comendment in front of you? Would the words you are suggesting be inserted after the word "1985"? Is that might? Yes. Could I just have it again.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: "with benefits retroactive to lst Tanuaxif, 1985, to those who have qualified".
MR. CHAIRMAN: Very well then. What is now moved is an amendmant to the amandment auch that the words "with henefits retroagtive to $18 t$ January, 1985, to those who have qualified" be added after the wond "1985" in the amendmant which was proposed by the Elected Member for East Enct.

MR. CHATRMAN (CONTINUING): What we have first to discuss is solely the amendment to the amendment. We would tater pass to discuseing the ameniment itself either as amended on not and cuentualty to discussing the Clause. So just oo Members are auite olear the first subject for discussion is simply the proposed addition of the words "with benefits retroactive to 1st January, 1995; to those who' have qualified". Does the mover wish to speak to his oum anendment firet?

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: Welt, there is very little I oan say to this other than I feel that the intent is into the Dow and I betieve the intent is into the Members here to try to do something about this. I do not think that we should take awoy the henefits of those who have qualified and extend that down to March of to a time whioh we will never know, I feel thet they should receive whatever benefits which they are going to get as the intent was in the oriainal. Low from 1st January. If anyone apeaks aaainst it I witit say much move, sir.

MR. CHA.IRMAN: :. Does anyone else wish to speal.?
MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Yes, Nr. Chaimans I futly aumport the motion whoh has heen made by the Flected Member for Fast Find beocuse...

MR. CHATRMAN: Only speak at the moment to what the Finst Elected Member for Bodden Toum has proposed. You witl get a chance on the, Elected Member for East End's motion in a moment.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Whioh motion are we dealing with, sir?
MR. CHATRMAN:
We ane dealing with the suggestion that the words "ith benefits retroactive to 7 st Iamuari, 1985 , to those who have qualified" be added and we are only dealing with that at the moment. If we do not deal with one bit at a time we get in a termible tangle. We get in a bad enough tangle anyuxy. That is the one bit. All we ask you now to say is do you support that proposat or not.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: $\because$ Yes, Sin, most certainly. I think that: that is racosonable that the Members should not-auffer, those who : qualify at the 1st January, 1985, and I would support that motion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: $\therefore \therefore$ Daes anybory else wish to aperke to thist.
MR. G. HAIG RODDEN: Yes, Mr. Chaimatn. I support the mendment to the amendment which witl make the benefits retroactive to 1st'Tantuay, 1985. It is reasonathle and so far therer has been no obiection dr very littla nesistance to payments being made under: the Bil2. This was something which was not too clear at the introduction of the Bill, but now that I gather that all the Mamhers of Executive Council are anxious to make. the payments under the Bill, I would say that this is a timely amendment. It is reasonable to make it, because the beneficiaries under the Bill hove built ur an expectancy of receiving payments from the Rst JImuary, since the Low had been in effect sincs May of 1984. I fully support the amendment to the amendment.

HON. BENSON O. EBANRS: • Mr: Chairman, I think the Govermment benoh has made its pooition clear that the Law will be revised as speedily as possibte and benefite would accrue. I am not sure from a strictly legal point of view that this would be the proper place to put any retroactivity into the Lav. Furthermore, I do not see with the assurance which Covernment has aiven why this is neoessary to the amendment. I can see that it gives a time frome. On the other hand...

MR. CHATRMAN: If I can fust intermant you for à monent. he are only dealina at the moment with retroactivity. We are not dealing with the 31 st March, 1985 proposal. That comes later.

> FOMH: BFNSON O: ERAMKS: Mr. Chatman, aertaintu as to the leqat asnect of that nart of it aoing in 1 woutd auestion. . .

MR. NAMFS MA. RODDFN:
Mr. Chatiman. coutt wo ast the Honourat te Seconct Offional Member whether this in his intermetation woutd he leqat then and assure the Memher whether we oan otear his mind on that point.

HOM. MICYAFL , T. RRADIsPY. Mr. Thaimman, str. I am dutious arout the anentment to the anentment beind oassed and aoind in ds mart of Section 1 of the Law which is atready enforced. I think that a proper vlace for such a back-dating of the benefits unfler the Low when it eventually comes into force, would he a senarate gection in the substantive Law itself and not in the Short. Titte and Commencement Section. If it was determined bu rovemment that there shoutd be a hack-dating of the benefits under the Law whenever it is consideved further, then $I$ would be availabte to draft for the fovermment henohes an appropriate Clause to add to the substantive Law whioh is atready on the:statute Book. I do not think it should ao in as an cunendment to Section 1 :of the Lcu. I am referming now to the amendment to the amondment.

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, lst Janumy, 1985 was in the oridinat Law which was passer and it was gasetted. "I do not think we are neally asking' for anything out of the way heve. $I$ am quite prepared to bend that this be put in another eetotion of the Juw, but I an not mrepared to let it iust oit this evening. We ane in the Conmittee stage non and I am prepared to have an amentment to the amendment. I am prepared to stand on mu around on it and arme it out whichever way we go. I think, however, we stroutch hrave it in some pection of the Inow, and I am autite prepared to hend to put it in mother eeation. I om certaintu not prenared to easitu aive wo on the point of not having it inserted in it.
MR. CTAIRMAN:
I think if I may suarest it, newhmes nrocecturazty the best way of achieving your object in view of the Honourable seconc official Member's advice is to withinctu the amendment to the amendment, and when we have dealt with the Flected Member for East End's amentment arid with Clause 2 then to propose the addition of a third Clause to the Biti. This woutd he a Crause dealina solely with your noint and would be a new section of the substantive Iab.

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN:
I an quite uillind to acoept that provided
that we can deal with tit after we are throuih with this one.
MR. CHATRMAM:
The procedure is that there is no
reason why we cannot and it does seem to me that it with...

HON. MICHABL J. BRADLEY: If I may say so, Mr. Chairman, with respect. Even on the basia of that procedure I would not tike to be a part of a mushed Comittee Stage amendment. I can foresee complications. If for instance between the date of this amending Fitl, if there were such being brought here and the Bitl as amended coming into force; if between the Ist January and the date of the Bill ooming into force, a person who was alive and eligible on the Ist January had died, we would have to make speciat provision for circometances such as that. So a person would be entitled os if he had been ative, if you follow me. There are a number of matters whioh, if it is ruiged, may be overlooked and may cause complioatione tater. on.

Mr. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mrr. Chairman, we have been rushing and complicating so mary, things in the last few weeks that if we were to white this into the Law and a person was lucky enough to live ventil the 31st Jonuary. If it was deemed that he quatified on the 1et then you would not owe him on somebody a month's nension: I. I; cannot see that breaking the fovamment in view of the other empenditures that we are makinr. Also I cannot see where the fow people who are qualifient, every one of them is aning to die, I hone not, by the time this would come into effect. So I realty canot fully accent that argument either.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Chatimanh, it would seem that if we withdrab the amendment to the amendment which has been rroposed and nut it forward as an amendment to Section 3 of the omiginat Lical which is the Section dealing with the time when the payment is due, we would achieve what we want to achieve. My microphone seems to have gone.

MR. CHAIRMAM:
Well it might he an onendment to agotion 3 of the omginal Low, or it might be an entirety new secition. I would suspect that the Honourable Second afficial Member would prefer an entirely new section.

HON, MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Again I think that this is crn example, Mr. Charrman, of the danger of rushing in, because Section 3 refers only to parliamentary pensions and not to Executive Council pensions. so you would be providing back-dating in respeot of one tupe of pension under the Law but not for the other.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: , Mr. Chaimman, stppose we sustend the House for thirty minutes while we orearre an onendment for it.

MR. CFAIIRMAN:
I think the noint beind mode by the:
Fonourable Seoond Official Member is that any omendnent which he har to draft whether it was in thiruty seconds or thirty minutes this aftermoon, he could not quarantee that it would be wholly satisfactory. On the other hand it is nresumathty possible to draft very quickly'something which does cover most of the cases and does cover the neneral point beina made.

MR. JAMES $M$. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, if this would simplify matters I'witt withdraw my amendment, pmovidine that we aan aet an aareement from the House to let the amendment pass which has been proposed by the Eleoted Member for East End and that the retroactivity would take effect as from the let Januamy. If we ean get that type of an apreement I would be willing to not complicate matters...

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Are you sugqestina that if the Goverment
bench agreed that when the substantive Iow is amended in the sort of senses which they will propose after they have had a chance to study it, that one of the amendments they then make witl be to make payments retroactive to the 1st Jonuary. If they would aive an undertaking that they would proonse that...

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: Yes Sir. If we can get that undertaking: I am quite prenared to withdrow the amendment.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
Mr. Chairman, I comnot sumont the motion, Sir, as I am onposed to partimentary nensions in princiole, sir.

FON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairmain, I oannot supront that motion either, and I understand from other Members of the Goverment bench that they are not going to aupport it.

MR. IAMES M. BODDEN: Welt then in that sense, Mr. Chatimon, I would ask that my amendment stand and that I be allowed to debate the amendment.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
That is that your anendment to the amendment stands? Yes. Wett in that case what we are discussing is the amendment to the cmendment which is the retiroactivity. Does any Nember wish to speak further on that? If not I will put that question. The question tis that the anendment to the omendment stand.

MR. JAMES M. RODDEN: Mr. Chairmar, I eemtainty have the might: in view of the faet that Membere are not witlireg to accent my compromise on this to debate my amendment.

MR. CHATRMAKI:
I.just asked if any Member wished to
aneak. So if you wish to sneak you omn.
HON. CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCOMNELL: Mr. Chatrman, lonv aon ke debate.... . something whioh has not yet been accented?

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN: , That is not aitht. You oan...
HOM. CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: I Im sMuini: Mr. Chairman, howoan the Member. demand time to debate somethino whioh hias not heen accented?

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman; I fut on mendinent and. . .
MR. CHAIRMAN:
May I sneak oleasef: The First Elected Member for Bodden Town has prorosed an amendment to the comendment put forward by the Elected Member for East Find. He and any other Member is entitled to speak to his amendment. Now $I$ am not quite sure what he meant by "debate it" but I take it he meant speak to it. He is certainly entitled to do that. (Pause). Sorry, were you going to speak to it?

MR. JAMES M, BODDEN: Yea Sir. Mr. Chairman, tifully suonort the amendment which was circulated by the Elected Member for East Ent. However, having seen the attitude of the House, Officiat as well as Elected, I think I Gather by this time that not even that mendment stands a chanoe of being accerted, in which case we are left with a dead miece of tegislation; because even to put out a compromise to see if they would accent it in the sense of anreeing, if one Member of tim Members are qualified dumina that
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MR. IAMES M. BODDEN (COMTTNUTNG): period that they would receive their matis metroactive from 31st March. 1 st January, 1985 is no magic number in this bill of in the amendment because it was originally passed to come into effect as of 1et fonuomy, 1985: I think that by this time the three Members who have stood in onposition to this aan readily see as well as the people who are in the gallery, and the neople who will eventually know about this on the outside:: that there is not good intent in any of the Members of foverment or in the other Elected Members to bring this into efrect any time in the near future. The intent has strictly been to put thais riece of leaistation back on the shelf and we will never hear amything about it aqain. I think that this is showing conmlete disregard for evemthing that has ever been buitt up in this country. Mr. Chairman, the. amendment to the amendment which I pronosed was to bring this into aftect, or aqree to bring this back to the House by 31st Mirch, 1985, and asking that it be retroactive to let Jaruary. Now I connot see any difficutty at all there if a person was to die and if they had 3 days where they would have quatified, or that the fovernment could not afford to noy that to the widow or the child or whoever else it was.

I honestly at this point feel that the Members of this House except the three who have spoken in opposition of it have no good intent to do anything about this other than to just put it auxy on the shelf some place. It is only showing to me that what we have apoken about in regarde to the indifference of the Members to the other Members who have served here before is quite prevalent, and that that is the intent. Also it showe that. they have no idea of ever doing anything about this to helts the Members who have already qualified and have served for a long time. We do have Members who were in the last House like Mr. Craddock Ebanks and Mise Annie Bodden who served this country for many many. years. In the case of Mr. Ebanks I think that he semved the House for about 32 years. In the case of Mise Annie Bodden $I$ think that she eerved for about in years. Now we have just with those tuo Members a combined tims of service of over 50 years and that is $x$ long time to have served in the House of Rerresentatives in any country. I think that Winaton Churchitl probahty had one of the Zonnest records in the Conmonveatth. We atso had another Member who is now deceased who served, I think for about fifty vears in the House. A combined service of over fiftu years between two Members is definitely a vext long time to have asrved one's conntry During the time that these Members served, in the adse of Mr. Ebrnks $I$ think that he aerved back in the old hays of the Vestry when there was little or no monetary nayment at atl. I think I have. sat in the Committee room along with many other Members of this House and heard Mr. Fbanks explain and speak ahout the many handshins which he endured over those many years.

For us with good intent to have passed a Law which would have given him a nension and now when Mr. Ebanks has resigned or aiven up his seat in the tast election voluntarily, did not stand for eleotion with the expectancy which hat built ur that he would be eligible for a small pension. I have not reatly researched it to find out what amount Mr. Fbanks woutd gualify for but I an sume it would not exceed $\$ 700$ a month. To think that

MR. JAMES M. RODOEN (CONTINUTYG): this House would he willina to just sit here and hold a shecial session to keen that man out of a Dension seems to me to be a bit ridiculous. The man servert; $I$ think 30 or 32 years as a Leaislative Assembity Member. I think he whs ahout five and a half yeare in the Royal Navr. Aaain, we oonnot take that into consideration as far as a pension is concerned here. I cm onty speaking about what the man has done for his country. He semed about one and a half years in the police force of this country, and generally has helped the cowntry in many, many differgnt ways. Now we have a man who is up in his seventies. The years of youth have oone from him at this point, and in his deetining years for ua to sit here in judgement which is literally what we ape doina, and say that his sempice to the country is not recognised; he has not done anything other than we have seen fit to awrrd him on M.B.E. which he can take to the store to see what he can buy with it. I really cannot figure out what the Members of the House are thinking about.

In the case of Mise Annie Bodden, I did not acree with Miss Annie all the time. In fact we had many differences of opinion but that is what, in my oninion, makes un a good Legistative Assembly, to have two different opinions. I certainly would respect hers. Bere this lady has served for a very tong time, be it as an Elected Member or be it as a nominated Member. I am sure that some of the Members witi be quick to ivmo to the point that she did not serve alt those wears as an Elected Member, thut that she did cexve some years as a nominated Member. I do not think that there is anything in the Pensions Law which witt cover her or anyone else as a nominated Memher. Bowevar, after att the service was given to the country, and she grve it to the best of her abitity. Now acain, when the lady is aporoaching four. scone years instead of the biblical three score years and ten, we find that she, although she may wish at this voint, that she had notstood in the election: at lerst ut th her aood determination she did stand in the election. She did not win the election, so she is not baok here in the House. For us anain to sit here tit th her many, many years of semvice to the country, her dedication to everything which ghe believed in; her detexmination to fight for a cause, and to find out that some hypocrites have sat here ond have been fit to do her out of a pension which in my opinion is justifiably hers, I think we do a disservice to the country.

One Member snoke a white apo in reaards to the benefite to the Civil Service; the pension received by Civil Service members. To qualify for a pension in the Civit Service I think it takes ten years. That can be earmed by probabty five yenrs one time: leave the civit servioe and ao to work for someone else for ten years; aome back in and perve another five years. Now where is the macric difference between having served in the civit Service and having served as a Member of the Leqislative Assembly? The pay is a lot different. The other benefits are certainty a lot different: So there are other benefits which tre accruina to the civil Service, and the Civil Service has in the past few years received a lot of benefits which they did not aet hefore.

> Referming hack to what one Member said $a$ while aco when I had to correot him, he is well aware of the benefits which have acomed in the last feas years. He knaw auite welt that the Civit Sevvice of this country at that time had aot to the boint where he and his colteaque could not deal with it any longer. They had aot to a atalemate and we were headed for a strike for the first time in the history of the combry, the first time a strike was ever considered hy the Civit service. We were right $u$ to the point where within a few days we would have had it, if we had not arreed to aive additional benefite. He hav been the

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): recipient of those benefits as well as many others and I...

HON. VASSEL G. TOHMSON: Mr. Chairman, I do not see what those points have to do with this amendnent before us.

MR: CFAIRMAN: $\cdot$ I think we are straying a bit far from the amendment. We...

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman. .
MR. CHALRMAN: We are in dmaer of turning this into another second reading debate. Comittee stage pricedure really is not intended to embrase long sreeches of the kind which you are now making.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, if I may refer to myself, I have baen in this House for twelve yenre, and diring that time I spent four years in opposition as well as four years on the Govervment bench. I an quite aware of the facts on amendments and we can go back and check the Honsards of this House. As far as I cam concermed I do not believe that there is a rule in the Standing Onders of the House where a debate can be out on an amendment. We aan go brok and bearch the records and find that on amendments and anendmants to amendments there thas been discussion sometimes for many hours. So I have my constitutional right to speak on this amendment as long as my tongue an hold me out to do so.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER: Mr. Chaiman, could I bring your attention to Standing order 38(J). I am not sure if this armies to Committee Stacre, but it does provide for alosure of debate. . If you would so altow I would move thats the question be now nut.

MR. G. HATG RODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, the questim can now be nut at any time, but $I$ hope Hemocracy is not dorarrina from this House, and we are going into commmistic mule.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
That ia not int intention, Mr. Chaiman, but I think that the speech is redundant, because we have heard most of the things already this morning in debate on the general Pill.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: $\because \cdots$ Mr: Chaiman, I do not believe that it is rediondant at all.' I think that we are dealing with a very important issue here and that we should aee the intent. The intent by Lowi...

MR. CHATRMAN: I Itink you have had a fair go. I
MR, JAMES M. BODDEN:
Well I would say then, Mr. Chaiman that if you uee this section of the Standing Orders $I$ agree with my colleague that democracy has not only fled from the Istands as a whole, it is now fleeing from the House.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHDSOM: Debate in a Committee is controlled by the Chatrman inder naritiamentary procedure, and uou can oalt on the Member whenever you think he has gone ton far, to come to the end of his debate.

MR. CHAIPMAN:
Well that is what I was hintina to him that he should do. The Seoond Fiteoted Member for feonge Tom.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: feetinge about the ....

Mr. Chairman, since I had aleo mixed

MR. CHAIRMAN:
Are you speaking to the motion under
Standing Ordex 38(1) on are you speaking to the amendment to the conendment?

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Are we accepting the motion under Stonding Order 38(7) now, Nr. Chairmon?

MR. CHAIRMAN: WeZt, we are discussing it at the
moment.
HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: It has been moveds Sir, has it? I was not clear.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
My understanding was that the Member con armed was asking whether the could move it. Frankly my concern is Standing Order 38(1) givas twe a disoretion as to whether to altow him to. That is my understonding of $i t$, unless it appears to me that the motion is an abuse of the rules of the House or an infringement of the rights of the minority. Wells my concern had been that there are one or two Members who have not had an opportwnity of speaking to the amendnent to the amandment who might wish to do so. I do think that the mover has had a fair go. However, if there were others who wished to speak briefly to make their position clear then I should wish to allow them to do so.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, I would like to olaim my righte under Stonding Order 38(1). If you should decide to aall for a vote to put an end to my argunente for my amandment you are infringing on the rights of the minority in this House. In my opinion that should not be done. Democracy should have its way. We have a majority and we have a minority. I have been in the majority pooition and I am now in the minority as when I started out.

I alaim my righte to speak as a Member of the minority group in this House of Representatives on behalf of my peopte. In this particular case with this amendment, I an speaking again for the mights of the peopte of my district and of the peopte of these Istands. In my oun dietrict there dre aeveral mambers who would qualify for the mights which we are attempting to take oway from those people today. Mr. Chairmon, I do not think that this House should be known as a House whioh has called for a olosure of debate on such on importont point as the amendment to the amendnent which I propose.

I gave every opportiontty to Mambers to be willing to agree to a sensible thing. However, I find that the intent, cond this is the main argument which I am putting up, is not a good intent, and that the Members of this House who are in the majority position have no intention to ever bring this Law into effect. They have no intention to ever show thier respect for the Members of the House who have qualified under the Low which was brought into effect and which was passed by demooratic rute in this House in May, 1984. It was gazetted and was due, only waiting to become operational, because of the operational date being lot Jonuary, 1985. I alaim my mights under that if I have to say it as an individual. I an not arguing for my oun rights, because I have a little while yet to go before I am 55 to qualify. I do not intend to step down wnteas God takes me out of it, and I intend to be arguing for a long time. In foot in the next election I may go ond stond in West Bay.

Howevar, be that as it may, Mr. Chairman ....

MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH: . You cannot win there.
MR. JANES M. BODDEN:
I can ro there. Do not worry about that. Be that as it may, Mr. Chatrman; I have a demooratic right and I do elaim it under standing Orders $38(1)$ to defend my noint of view in regard to the veated rights which the past leaialatons of this country hrve coming to them. I do not...

MR. CHAIRMAN:
If I may intermopt you now for a moment. Fou do indeed have that democratic might, but I have the distastefut task of deciding in mish discretion at what staqe you have been given a full and nuoper opportumity to make you point. (Intermuntion).
No let me finish. I think you have hat quite a reasonable optortinity. You were able to soeak at some 7enath duming the seoond reatina debate. I allowed you to introduce without the nownol notice having been given, an amenoment, which you did not have the ahoolute entitisment to do. There should be two days' notice aiver. Also I have allowed you to epeak at very much aneater lencth than is oustomary during Committee stage disoussion in order to get your point acrose. It does now seem to me thot you have had a mroner. reasonable, demooratic opportunity of aetting it across and that to allow you in the course of Conmittee Stage diacusaions to go on indefinitely would be to open the wry to fillibusters in the Rouse such that the House might never. complete its bueiness. I do not think that that would be right.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
M. Chaiman, you spoke about me havina my righte and that you did not do anyiting to bring my debate to a olose on the secont meading of the Bill. Now I would like to get one thing firmly established in this House today. This is our first formal sitting other than the Stoearing In Ceremony and I hope that we are not going to have to stay here the next four years or houever Long you may remain here, or howeven long I may remain here with the sword of Democks hanging over our head in reqard to the second reading of any bill.

I have been in this House for twelve yeare, and when the opposition of 1972 to 1976 , my colZenaue ard myself spoke here sometimes for days on a certain point. There has never been any closure brought on us. No olosure has heen browhth on any other Member duming the last eight years in readrd to the second reailing of a Bill, amd I whutd hove that it io not the intent of this House from the Elected side to foroe you to bring a clobure, or. from your point to brina a closure on the second readina of a Bitit. I aleo stand on my democratic rights apain. I know the Standing Orders oall for two days notice in regard to an amenoment. However, it is difficult many times in disoussing amentments here to think of things on the smup of the moment. You think of thinge on the spur of the moment that woutd be heloful rather than otanding on the formal tuo daye.

MR. CHATRMAN:

## I accept that which is why I. .

[^33]MR. CHATRMAN: I understand your posttion, but I an not sure that other Members will neasseamily agree.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: The other Members are not aoina to agree, because everybody is getting anxious now and wants to get out for: supper, Ny point is that this is more important thon supper, and we should stay here until midnight or Zonger if necessary, but get something substantial worked out. Every bit of cur arowment and discussion whioh we have put out in regard to this is to nought if we cannot get some assurance that the Government bench intends to do something, and to stand by what was omiginally the intent of this House in negard to Members who had served in the House of Representatives.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
The Honourable Second pleoted Member of Frecutive Cormoil first cqught my eye.

HON. W. NORMAN BODDFN: Mn. Chaiman, I think in the debate of this Bill throughout this sitting it was borme out quite clearly that no one has any intention to terrive anyone who mioht have a legitimate claim to this nension. I believe that the setting of dates can be restrictive and conflicting, and I feet that what is being put forward is a display of a lack of omfidence and trust in the Govermment bench. In principle I cannot support the amenchnent.

MR: JAMES M. BODDEN:
Weli I think that is an important point.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
The Honourable First Elected Member of
Executive Conncil.
HON. BENSON O. EBANKS: Yes, Mr. Chaimarn, I basically was going to say the same thing. In fact the cebate on the amendment to the amendment geems to surround people; be laced with phrases like: "people sitting in judgement", "hypocrites sitting in this House" and what not. As far as $I$ an concerned $I$ have sat doum and said nothing about itsbut it is an infringement of Standing Order 35(3) and (4). I support the view just exprossed by the Honourable Second Elected Member of Executive Council as to...

MR. G. $\operatorname{HAIG}$ BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I theught that the debate was on the closure motion not on the amendment to the amendment.

HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN: Mine was directed to the amenanent.
MR. D. EZZARD MILLER: Mr. Chairman, am I oorreet in aayina that there is no motion? Bocouse I cannot make a motion unless you allow it under that section.

MR. CHATRMAN: I think that is so, yes. I have not yet altowed it because my concerm was that one or two other Membeng might have wished to speak. I dic, however, think that the Fipat Elected Member for Bodien Town had had a fair no.

HON. VASSEL G. JOFNSON: Mr. Chairman, on the question of whether...
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Unless you have got a point of onder
the Honourable First Elected Member of Executive Councit was in the middle of a epeech when he got intermpted, or was speaking. Was yours a point of order? No.

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS: Mr. Chaixman, I was finished. I was bueically enying that my position was that my word is my bond and J. an not a hypoorite so I do not need to be...

MR. CHATRMAN: Well in that case the Second Elected
Member for George Town caught my eye eartier. I think that he has not had an opportronity to contribute to this.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: $\quad$ Mr. Chaimm, my onty contribution was that thle morning I made it abundantly clear that my support would be aonditional on some type of time frame being placed on the re-introduction of the Bitl. "Not because I diatrust the Membere of Erecutive Comoit beccuse I do not. I have every confidence in them. However, I do know that because of many pressing commitments at this time the re-introduction may take quite a long time and this is why I think I was the firet Member this morning to recommend a time frame. I feel that I could not in good consoience support the pasaage of this Rill without some type of time frame being placed on it.

MR. W. MOKEEVA BUSH:
Mr. Chairman, what are we discussing?
MR. CFATRMAN: I think we are roally sumpoed to be
disoussing the amendment to the amendment still. I am hiving a little difficulty in keening oontrol of the Committee kut that is...

HON. BEWSOM O. FRANKS: Which his filibusterino...
MR. CHATRMAN.
We are discussing the queation of whether benefits should be retroactive to the 1st Janurzy, 1985, So I think untess any further...

MR. G. HATG BODDEN: Well, Mr. Chaimmen, I to not think
that I have made my contribution to that because..
BOFV. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. Chaziman, I did agk for an opportunity to say a few words you know, and $I$ have not been...

HON. BENSON O. EBANKS: fitibusteming stage now.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEET:
HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairmont I wanted to make this comment that the tength of debate on what would be. termed an important isaue ahould not be demmived. However, the debate which I have heard on the amendment to the amendment is a mepetition of what we have herred today. This repetition an ao on for an exoeedingly long time.

MR. CHATRMAN:
That is the noint which I was making. You are quite right and that is why $I$ was hoving to aet to the point of putting the question of whether the amendment to the amendment would stand.

MR. TAMES M. BODDEN:
MR. CHATRMAN:

Mr. Chaimion, oould I catch your eye?
As long as it is not another long speech.

Well I assure, you I witl not be winking. One of the Members just spoke, I do not nemember which one it was, and said something about lack of confidenoe and trust. Now, Mr. Ohairman, let us face $t t$ we would not be in this House at this time of the evening if it was not for the lack of confidence and trust. We have two definite omosing views in this Assembly and this makes for good democratic government. We may even have three different opinions. I do not know. I am sure that we have got four if we inctuded the feelings of the Honourable Official Members because I know that they agreed with what was done in May, 1984. They are only here now because under collective responsibility they have been forced to do something which they did not want to do. It would have been fair maybe if they had been allowed to vote as their conseience dictated on this. If you had releaped the three Honourable Official. Membens and let. them vote their conscience, maybe we would not hrve been in the House this lona this evening. So there definitely is an established pattern and argwent for lack of confidence and trust because here the House has definitely taken wwiy an inherent, estohlished might. which has been demogratically hestowed on foupteen neonle, $T$ think it is at the present time, under a Law which was justifiably nassed in this House.

So if the Members when we gave them the opnortumity had aiven us an assurance they wouth do so and bo; as we in the last Govermment when we were called on by the opnosition at that time had done. To save time we said, "Sure, we will give an assurance that we will do eo and so'. If these Members in Executive Coumoil who really are the Govermment representatives at this point have good intent; now I know we atways hear the old saying that the road to Hell is prved with good intentions; and that is true, we all have good intentions: but if these four gentlemen sitting over there have good intentions and feel that they can make their neace with their cod that what they have proposed here and what they are doing is onrrect, and that they have nothing on their conscience, then for $u s$ to have aonfidence and trust built up in those four Members, why witl they not give this House an assurance? When we pass the amendment which was originatly proposed, I will drop this if they witl give us an assuranoe that they will aee that any Member whe would have benefited by this on the 1at January, 1985, witl not lose those miahts between then and Max, June, Juty or maybe December of next year when the Law might be finatity passed. They will gee that the Members whosver they may he who have quatified witt get those mights back retroactivelu. To tmp to aonclude: I am willing to give up on my fight at any time. I am not hunamy yet but maybe they are. Mr. Chaiman, we have to be sure that in this parlianent for today, tomorrow and for generations to come the miaht of the minority is never infrinaed upon.

That is the democnatic mincinle. It is the cornerstone of democracy and I am appealing to you that the minomity in this House will not have their mights infminced unon. $I$ hetieve that you will stand behind that and I am asking once more; $I$ am appealing to the four Homourahte Elected Members of the Executive Councit to give this House on assurance that they willing to protect the rights of the peonle who have aurlified under the Law which has passed by this House.

Now if that LTw, Mr. Chairman, had never been passed. If we were debating on something that was still a Bill I could see me giving up my point. Put we have a Law which was brought to this House. It was circulated to the Members. They had an oppoxtunity to voice futly on it when it came to the House.

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): They may not have fulty agreed with th but at the end, I an sure the recorns witl bear me out, there wou only one Member of the House from the last session who is here now who really opposed it to the end, the bitter end like I would do. Now $I$ to not remember coxrectly whether he asked for a division at that noint. Maybe he did not. I believe he dic ask for a division.

MR.: CHATRMAN:
$I$ think perhave you har better qive them an opportumity to say whether they are going to meet you. If they are, well ant good. This is on the retroactivity noint. ity understanding is ao far that they have been reluctant to to so. We are not talking at the moment about thether the Low should be brought into effect not tater than a certatin date.

MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH: ... Throw it out of the door, sir.
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: You can gee the intent there, Mr. Chaiman. That is just what I am talking about. This is one of the Members who has led this aroup of olected representatives to the point where they have already made some very bad decisions. Now if that is his intent now and we see he has mamifested it to the entire Hóuse; how oan we have confidence and trust if we cannot get some assurance from some comer. I am glad that he inmed up and axid that, because we see the intent at this point.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, I can assure you that noboly io going to tead me in the wrond direction

Mf. W. MoKEEVA BUSH: Mr. Chairman, in the first olace, sir, my intention was very alear in my debate. I do not know what the Member is talking about, because my intention was very olear. I do not support parlicmentary pensions, ind have no intention of supporting partiamentary pensions unless and untit such time...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I do not think the Member wra here when you spoke...

MR. W.McKAEVA RUSF: Yes, well I am telling him, sir, so lat me tell. him then. I do not intend to aumort it until such time as a pension scheme is hrought before this Honourable House for our people. We have hundreds of peonle who need it.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
MR: JAMES M. EODDEN:
what I...
MR. CHAIRMAN: would wish to move Standing Order 3B(1) now.

I think I would see if...

Mr. Chaixman, you see this ts just
...the-Elected Member for North Side MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:

MR. D. EZZARD MILLER:
MR. W. McKEEVA BUSH:论.

MR. G, HAIG RODDFN:
MR. CFATRMAN:

Mr, Chairman's please...
I so move.
If the neets a seconder I will second

Mr. Chairman, before you arree.
No, I have aqreed.

MR. G. HATG BODDEN: I have not hat a chance to make a ocntribution on the amendment to the amendment.
MR. CHATRMAN: I am very somy but...

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: I must take this as an infrincement of the mghts of the minority, and what is even worse an abuse of the rules of the House. I have not had a chance to say anythina at all.
$\frac{M R .}{} \quad$. MoKEEVA BUSH: Mr. Chairman, if everthory is aning to motion, Sir, and alose the debate or let me finish what I was sodina.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
No, I am afrait I have acoepter the
Member's motion.
MR. W. MCKTEVA RUSH:
MR. CHATRMAN:
$I$ will second it, Sir.

The question now is...
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: You mean that it is accepted and I have
no chance to spenk at all?
Mr. CHAIRMAN: $\quad \because$ There uitl be plenty more ohances in this same Clause. Do not worry, and I am aure you witt manaiqe" to speak on all the points which you wish to cover.

The question now, and this is the only question in respect of which the closure has been put, is that the amendment to the amendment, the wording of which was "with benefits retroactive to 1st Januamy, 1985,' to those who have qualified", to be added after the word "1985" in the amendment to seotion 2 proposed by the Elected Member for East End, stand.

HON. MICHAFL J. BRADLEY: Mr. Chairman, with respect the motion before the House is that the question be now put. If that is nassed do you not then put those in favour of the mencment to the mensment?

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: - Mr: Chaiman. .
MR. CHATRMAN: "The question bsfore the House then is
that the question be now put.
QUFSTTTON PUT: AYES AND NOES
MR, G. HATG BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, can we have a division. on that? Because I unat posterity to know that commantom has come to the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I do not mule that remark in onder
but you can have your division.

## DIVISION

## AYES

Hon. Michae Z J. Bradley
Hon. Thomas C. Jeffereon
Hon. Beneon O. Ebonks
Bon. Noman W. Bodden
Hon. Charles L. Kirkconnell
Hon. Vaseez G. Jchnson
Mr. W. Mokseva Bush
Mrg, Daphne L. Orrett
Copt. Mabry S. Kirkoonnell
Mr. D. Engard Miller

NOES
Mr. Jamee M. Bodden
Mr. G. Haig Bodden
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

ABSTENTIONS:
Mr. Linford A. Pierson
Mr. John B. Mcleon

THE HON. FTRST OFFICIAL MEMBER WAS ABSENT FROM THF CHAMRER AT THE TTME OF VOTING.

MR. TAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, you aee how important it is to protect the minority position, beoause we have had a change of heart in at least one Member here where he has abstained. It is very important that we maintain this democratic princinte, and therefore, Mr. Chairman.

MP. CHAIRMAN: Please allow the Cterk to announce

CLERK: Ten ayes, two abstentions and two noes.
MR. GHAIRMAN: I declare the motion carried.
AGREED BY MAJORITY THAT THF QUFGTION NOW BF FNT:
MR. CTAITRMAN: I take the Member's noint that it is very inmortant to protect the cemocratic mincinle. Maybe we shatil get more abstentions later.

MR. JAMES M, DODDEN:
Mr. Chairmun, I would like to ao on record as being very stronaly acainst your closure of discussion on the amendment to the amendment. I am hoping that it will never happen in the House again. I would like that to be recorted in the Minutee of the House.

HON. CAPT. CHARLES KIRKCONNELL:Mr. Chairman,' I would like to necord that I support your deczaion 100 per cent.

MR. JAMES M. FODDEN: Well I would tike it reeorded that I
do not agree with that.

```
MR. CHAIRMAN:
    I do not wish to start a dobate on
```

MP. CHAIRMAN (CONTINUING): the deaision. The decisions which I take can onty be questioned on a substantive motion and I think we will leave it at that.

The queation now is that the comendment to the amendment stand. I will nead it onee again so that Members know what they are voting on. It is, that the amendment to Section 2 moved by the Elected Member for East End, be itself amended 加 the addition of the words "with benefits retroactive to 1 st January, 1985, to those who have qualified", after the word "1985".
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: my amendment, right?

Mr. Chairman, we are voting now for

## MR. CHAIRMAN:

I have just rear it att out to try and make it clear. It is for your amendment.

MR. JAMES M. RODDFN: You were not using the microphone close. I did not get alt of it, sir.

MR. CHATRMAN: $\quad I$ am sormy Let me reac it "aaain in acse I wae not. Can you hear now? The question now he fom the Committee is that the anendment to Clause q of the Fitl moveत Th: the Filected Member for East End shall itself be amended Fy ariling the words "with benefits metroactive to 1st Janumy, 109.5, to those who have quatified", efter the word "7985". That is the amendment to the amendment put by the First Flected Member for Bodden Toum.

QUESTION PUT: $\quad$ IYES AND NOFS.
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Coult we have a divieion, siv?

MR. CHATRMAN:
Yé.
DIVISION

AYES
Mr. Jamee M. Bodden
Mr. G. Haig Bodden

## MOES

Hon. D.H. Foster
Hon. Miahae I J. Bradley
Hon. Thomar C. Jefferecn
Hon. Benson O. Ebcoske
Hon. W. Nomban Bodden.
Hon. Charles L. Kirkconnell
Hon. VabseZ. G. Johnaom
Mr. W. Mckeeva Bush
Mrs, Dophne L. Omett
Capt. Mabry S. Kirkeonnelt
Mr. D. Ezzard Miller

Mh. CHAIRMAN:
toat.
$I$ dectare the amendment to the amentment
We now revert to dehating the anendment to Clause 2 , and if the Seond Flected Momber for Fodren Town feels he has not had an opontumity to speak yet, he has an opportimity now. However, I must coution alt Members that I shatt be grateful for theip hats in ensuring that we conform to the normal nactice of the Committee in not makina long sveeches, but in rather give and take and short discussions. That is the purnose of a Member being able to speak many times.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
the Eteated Member for East End. I am not hanpy with the way the Bill has gone so far but I realise that a good connromise miaht be useful. This omendment will, if passed, put an obliaation on the House to conclude this matter before the 31st March, 1985. I would say that it is high time that this matter be aoncluded heocuse exMembers of the Houae not onty have an expestancy uncer the Luw, but some of them have waited a long time. For exammle, two of the beneficiaries have wated for nineteen years, and together have put in 454 monthe of waiting. Another four beneficiaries have waiter 96 months each, nearly 100 months each' making a total of 838 months. I think it is time that this matter be resolved.
$I$ would have preferied to see the matter conctuted on the operationat day of the faw which originally har been the 1st danuary, 1985, but if this cannot be done, and if the Members will shou some lenienoy towards the amendment nut fomom by the Elected Member for East Fnds I witl say that I acoent the nobility of their actions. They too witl henefit because this gives them what the Japanese call a face savina position. Theu erred a little and I did not want to castigate them for it, but they did erri in delaying the oneration of this Bill. If they will agree to its becoming operational on on before the 31st March, 1995, I would think that all parties ooncerned should be happy indeed.

This, Mr. Chaixman, would give the Members on this side of the House a feeling that the rights of the minority are not being infringed upon, and would let ue walk away with the feeling that there has been no abuse in the rules of the House. This conciliatory action if the amendment ie docepted, would be indeed a generous one coming from the Executive Counort. which was actually portrayed in my mind as sinister a body as the old St. Hadrion of the Jewish. faith was, when I saw the atrocious omendment which came before the House. However, now havint listened to them I have understood that there is seemingly not too strong an objection to the poyment, but they only want to, as it were, get their share of the glomy for passing this Aill which some of them objected so strenuousty to in Mas. If this amendment put by the Flected Member for Fart Find an make it palatable enough to them 80 that they can ease their consoiences, and can rest assured that they too have allowed themselves to take into consideration ati the mitigating faetons surmonding this piece of legislation. They an comprehent the entire reasons for the first introduction of the Low, and they can get what they seek to get in the Bill which they brought to the House, which is sufficient time to re-examine the finer noints, and perhaps smoth out any anomalies which they may fint, or which they may want to change. I believe that we oan oo forpard in a spirit of peace and goorlitit. Not...

MR. CHAIRMAN:

HON. G. HATG RODDEN:

Mr, Chatiman, were you asking me to
MR. CHATRMAN:
Not reatly, no. I think you have har a fair go and I think that you are becomina a little repetitive autte honestly. The Eleated Member for North side.

MR. D. EZZARD MILLLFR: Mr. Chairman, I cannot support the amentiment, Sir, because as in my dehate I was afainst the nrinciple of partionentary pensions, I am apainst the motion.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chatiman. .
MT. CHAIRMAN:
No, the Member who caught my eye next was the Second Eleoted Member for Georme Toum.

MR. LINFORD A. PIERSON: Mr. Chairman, I think my comments wilt now be relevant. In my dehate I made it quite clear that I could not support the omendment Bill if it meant that no time limit would be put on ite re-introcuction to this House or if it meant that it would be shelved indefinitely. I cannot chance my position on thite, Mr. Chairman," because i feel that the motion he fore us is a reasonahle one: that the 31st March, 1985, oives three olear months within whioh the Members of Govermment oan examine this -Lcw and hrina it haok to the fouse.

Mis supnort, therefore, of this Rith titl
be conditional on the motion before us heing accentert as a oart of. the: Rill.

> Thamk you, Mr. Chaimuan.

MR. CFATRRMAT:
Fratier you easoht my eye.
MR. eTAMES M. BODDEN: Maxy.to someone else so $I$ could make a fow mone notes.
HON. CAPT, CFARLES L. KIRKCONMELL: Mr. Chairmcm, wie oan talk over

MR. CHATRMAN:
MR. JAMES M. BODDFN:
ann take mptace, Six.

Sorry?
Mr. Chaixman, give him the right. He

MR. CHATRMAN:
You get more than one ao. It is. Comittee Stage. Excent that I shatl stom all of you be fore too tong. The Honourizble Third Electer? Member of Fsecutive Counctl then.
FON. CAPT. CHARLES L. KTRKCONNELL: Mrr. Chatrman, I think throughout the debate we expressed nur ooon intent. However, I think that the other Members should also protect themselves and not fint themselves howed in hy a time frman. Fy this I mern that, they neer to aet the necessary in formation throuth the Clerk. They need to aturn this. They need to to baok to their constituents, ond I wonler if by 31et March if we do allow this, if this wouth he sufficient time for them. $I$ would say that we would hring it hack as early as possible, hut we would like to do it toaether with their input. I do not think it is right to bring this Rizl back to the Fouse whtit we have all har. sufficient time to discuss it amonr ourgelves. I would like to think that the deciaion, if and when to bring it frack, whute he a dectaion by the Legislatons and not Executive Counoil Members alone.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
din catch mi eye eartier.

The Secont Elected Memher for West Roy

MP. W. MCKEFVA BUSF:
Mr. Chairman, I cannot suonort the amendment beoause as $I$ sair befove, sir, I to not sumport. nartianentary pensions untit such time that a nension soheme is brought for our people. How ann Members of this House sit Hown here for the whole aftermoon talking ahout who needs $\alpha$ penston when penple like Mr. Hill will not aualify even thouth he was tolid he woutत aualify.

## MR. CHATRMAN: <br> The First Flecter MemBer for Podicn Tomm.

$\frac{\text { MR. IAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, what I just heard does }}{\text { not }}$ Surpmise me a bit because I Tuess in his opinion nohody wout. qualify. We see that is evident. Anywey, Mr. Chairman, the Honourable Third Elected Member of Executive Councit sair that theu should get a chance to speak too, and I would not want him to think. that I feel otherwise, because I think everyhory's input in this discussion is of great importanoe. Particularly when it comes from the Members of Executive Council, naturally be have to put more credenoe to it. It is their democmatic might to aneak and I would not like for the House to think in any way that there would be any infringement on that. So I to alona fully with him specking on this amendment.

The point which I wish to stress is the intent. It is manifester in this House tolay that there is no qood intent towards the Law. I have to all it a Latw, sir, because it was bassed in the proper manner hu a maiomitu decision of this House. What we cre doing now is putting Lrws aside whioh have been passed and this is not goor. This is not noor. for any countru. Vou are setting a precendent which is aoing to hount us alt in the future, because the intent is not to treat this Lav in the manner which was intended by the previous House. If any Houes which suceseds another House is aoing to take this attitude aqainst a Low which has been passed by a previous House then, Mr. Chriman, we are aetting to the point where we are oetting, I would aall it arain and this is not meant derogatively, hut it is banane renubtic type mile, because that is what constantly hampens there. One Govermment does something ant the nert Govermment repuliates it. This is somethina which I. have lona understoor which was inherent under the English system. Now if we are departing from that I woutd like to know before we get much further alona in this term. We see. Mr. Chairman, that we do have, and I an alat to see this now, a party mile. In 1976, to-1984 period we were heavily criticised, because we were in the majority and we were instituting a party mule.

Eventually a party system has to come
to the Cayman Istands and it has come. It is manifest to musetf, $m y$ colteagues, and to the people in general that we to have party rute in the House of Assembly. So, therefore, this is my main ooint, Mr. Chairman. I would not like you to get ready to interrupt me because the intent...

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I arin aettinc nearty ready.
MR. JAMFS M. BODDFN:
Welt, I would ask you to remember the minoritu bttuditomin this House.

MR. CHATKMAN:
Vou are not ueing tt...

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: importance of this Bill...

I am asking you to remember the areat

I do not think that you are really addressing the omenanent to clauee $2 . \quad$ You are tatking much more at Zarge.

Welt, Mr. Chairman, I witl oreface it by adizng that $\bar{I}$ am discuesing the omentment to this proposed Ritl and that we have no good intent. We have seen it momifested by the Elected Member for North Side who is a part of the party which is now in power in this countrm; and he has made $1 t$ auite ntain that he cannot support the Biti. We have heard it from the seconi Elected Member for Hest Bay. . .

MR. W. MCKBEVA RUSH:
On a point of order, Mr. Chairmen, Which party is he talking ahout; which Darty system, the Unity Tem? That is the only party we have.

MR. CHAYRMAN:
I think xeatly the First Elected Memher for Bodden Town is arguing apainet himself, Because on the one hand he suagests that it is a party sustem, ind then on the other he says that half the Members of what I assume is the oarth are going to vote againet it.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
No, no that was not what I said: I aaic that we have seen the intent with the amendment which was put forward by the Eleoted Memben for East End. His intent was good. He is trying to preserve what was already passed by taw. Now we hive Members of the party, not our party, their party, speaking in opposition and saying that they have no intention to ever see this Law come into effect. In esaence that is what we are heing to it. So that we see that the party system is quite mamifest in the operatione of the House. We have seen it from the first sitting. We cannot get cway from the fact that it exists. It may not have a nome at this point. We had a group which we calted the thity Tecm. We were very proud of it. We are still proud of it. However, the originat introduction of this bill which I am debating here now: I am debating the amendment to the Fill. the idec of the Goverwment introduiding that Rilil was to do away with a Law which had been passed by the past House in this country. It had been democratiaally passed. There is no intention: we would not have this introduction if there har heen an intention to ever bring that into effect.

My colleaque from Fast End has oroposed an anendment which would preserve the inherent riahts which are vester in the neople who have qualified under the Law, and this is the point which we have to bear in mind. This was done hy Law. The rights are there. It is an inherent might and we are now taking it. avay. This Session at which we are present shouty not be traated as something which we are going to mush away from, to get to a dinner party, or this or that or the other, because this is our tast chance to oppose the shelving, the repudintion of a Law which has been democratically passed. The only thing which kept this from being operational was the magical date of 1 st danumm, 1985.. Therefore. Mr. Chatiman, you should not in any way move for a olosuine of this until we have exhausted every means of getting some type of assuranoe, some little ray of hope from the Members on the other aide of the House that they are going to brine this back within a certain stated time frame. This is important. If this amenchent is pasised now we have nothing else to debate on tit. We have an automatic olosure of everything and the Law is just put on the sheif for ever.

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN (CONTINUTMG): This is wrong. This is very urong. We should be allowed to speak fully on this. Mayhe someone will change their mind. The present Govermment have manifester what they think about the overalt Law. They have shown it: they have fisplayed it sufficientiy in this House to know that once this is finished today that is the end of it, at least for the next four years. The only ray of hope is that God willing in 1988, we can have twelve Members of the Unity Team back here who can do something with these people, but...

HOM. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, I would like under stancing Order $3 \overline{8}(\overline{1})$ that you put the queation.
MR. JAMES M. RODDEN: Mr. Chairman, that has been tried.
Before...
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Chairman, freenom of speech has
disappeared from the Chamber. I would like the records to show that
we have entered the era of conmunism in thie country.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
I second that, Mr. Chaiman.
MR. CHAIPMAN:
I think that there has been...
MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chazirman, I...
MR. CHAIRMAN: I am sneaking, I think that there has been a farr opportunity now to debate the amencoment. Is the microphone working? Con you hear me?

MR. D: EZZARD MILLER: Fardly, Sir.
MR. CHATRMAN: I think that there has now been a faix opportunity to debate this partioular amentment and I am prepared again to put the question that the question be now put.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I as a Member of this House wish to request of you that you do not actl for a closure on this, because if you to you are taking away a demooratic xioht from the minority Members of this House on a very importiant piece of legislation which has been democratically passed.

MR. CHATRMAN: I have beon reading Erakine May while you have been talking and the rights of Members of the House of Conmons are very much more restricted than I have heen restricting yours. So I think the danger of communtsm is relatively timited.

MR. JAMES M. RODDFN:
Well I with...
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Tone too harly.
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: word of the Digntity Team. what they were aetting.

MR. JAMES M. RODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I am a bit familiar whth Erskine May too and also Rohert's Ruzea on order. The newt sitting of the House, fod witting, I witt have hoth of them with me, and I witz be rearly for any moint of onder which you mot wish to take un. But I still stond on mu position that rearadless of what is done in

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): the House of COmmons, Sir, that this is a smath country. We have hat a very good form of democratic mile. We have been looked at very kindly by the outside world...
MR. W.MoKEEVA BUSH:" Mr. Chaiman, did you Dut the question?
MR. CHATRMAN:
Sompy?
MR. W. McKEEVA BUSH: Did you put the question?
MR. CHATRMAN: No what we are fefatine now is that the question be now put. That is the motion.

HON. MICHARL J. BRADLEY: With resnect, Sir, 38(1) says it ehall be put forthint th.

MP. G. HAIG RODDEN:
It shatl be put forthwith only if the Chairman is aatisfied that the mights of the minowity have not been infringed upon, and that the rules of the House have not been abused.

MR. CBAIRMAN:
That is miaht. I am giving them a chance to tell me how badly I am infringing the riahts of the minority. I think that it is fair that they should have their chance to say that, because then it is on record.

MR. D. ELZARD MILLER: $I$ think the real minomity in hare exists between me and the Second Elected Member for West Ray who are the onty two Members who are opposed to this thing in princirte. We do not think our righte are being infringed on.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
We2t, hoth of you have had a chance to record your oppoaition in principle, so I think your minority rights have heen protected too. Now everyborly has had a right to recorri...

MR. G. HAIG RODDEN:
MR. CHATRMAN:
MR. G. HAIG RODDEN:
MR. CHAIRMAN:

Just one word, Mr. Chatrman. . .
No you have all had a chance now. ..
There is nne question...

MR. G. HATG BODDFR:
Momber of $\quad \therefore$...posed Th the Honoumable Thim Electer fust tike to tow Council which remains unanswerei and I would MR. CHAIRMAN:

No, we with be coming back to cluase 2 in d minute. You can touch on it then. We are now aning to first put the question that the question be now put.

QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES.
MR. G. HAIG RODDEN:
May we have a division, Atr?
MR. CHAIRMAN:
Yes.

$\because \quad \because \quad$| $-68-$ |
| :--- |
| $\because \quad \because V I S I O N$ |

## AYFS

Hon. D. H. FOster
Hon. Michael J. Bradley
Hon. Thomase C. Jeffereon
Hon. Benson O. Ebarks
Hon. W. Noxman Bodden
Hon. Charlee L. Kirkconnett
Hon. Vcasel G. Johneon
Mr. W. Mckeeva Bush
Mrs. Daphre t. Omett
Capt. Mabry S. Kirkconnell
Mr. D. Ezzard Miller

## NOES

Mr. Jomes M. Bodden
Mr. G. Haig Bodden

ABSTENTIONS:

MR. W. MCKEEVA BUSF:
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
MR. G. HAIG RODDEN:
Chamber.


MR. W. MCKBEVA BUSH:

Mr. Linford A. Piereon
Mr. John B, MoLeon
The unity seems to be fating.
Never fear ...........
Frectom of spoech has gone in this

I had so many taw suite, it is pitiful.

Mr. Chairman, cout. I...
I dectare the motion oarrier.

AGRFED BY MAJORTTY THAT THE QUESTITON BE NOW PITT.
MR. CHATRMAN:
I witl now put the anendment of the Ftected
Member for $\bar{E}$ ast Finc and I will rear that amentment. It is an amentment to section or to clause 2 of the Bill that the unrds "heino a day not. latex than the 31st March, 1985", be adतed at the end thereof after the word "appoint".

QUFSTION PUT: AYES AND NOFS.
MR. G. EATG RODDEN: A division please, Mr. Chaiman.
MR. CHAIRMAN: You want a division aqain. Yes.

## DIVISION

## AYFS

Mr. Linford A. Piereon
Mr. James M. Bodden
Mr. G. Haig Bodden
Mn. John B. McLeon


MR. CHATRMAN:

## NOES

Hon. D.H. Foater
\#on. Nuiahcet J. Bradley
Hon. Thomas, C. Jeffereon
Hon. Benson O. Ebanks
Hon. W. Norman Bodden
Hon. Charles L. Kirkconnell
Hon. Vassel G. Johneon
Mr. W. McKeeva Bueh
Mre. Daphne L. Orrett
Capt. Mabry S. Kirkconnell
Mr. D. Ezaard Miller

AMENDMENT TO CLAUSF ? DFFEATED BY MA FORTTY.
MR. CHATRMAN: means that we an If I oan just clear the aroundwork, that the Bill.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Now, Mr. Chairmon, that vote really shows that there to a lack of goon intentions on the part of this Govermment. They, fought bitterly the amendment to the amendment and have now fought bitterly the amendment, so there ts no good intention by these Members at alt. The only thing I would say here is that wo should dectare this a one party state.

It is evident toriay that there is the practising party system in the country. The narty system has upheld itself today completely and democratio mule has, I think, faded from this country completely. When the might of free speech and free debate is precluded in an Assembly of the House of Renresentatives of a donotry, you are header then, to a Communist type of Government. and I hope that it will never happen in Caymon; although I helieve strongly that it has starter.

We now know what to axpeot from this
Elected House for the next four years, and I think that all we can do in a minomity situation as we are is, to be ready to fight tooth and nail everything which aomee up in this House. That is what we witl be doing. God willing, we witt be having aome very late sessions.

I am shocked to think that we have an
Elected Government which views the democratic princintes of a Inw, a Law which was passed in the manner in which this Low was passed, in this way. It might not have been popular to a few of the people kut it was a Law of the land. Now tle know what we awe headed for. We saw the repeal of a Larj yesterday. We saw one put on the shelf indefinitely today. We have rumours now that there is roing to be a bunch of amendments to the Misuse of Dmags Law to where everybody

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN (CONTINUING): will automatically he pardoned. When we aet to that stage, and when we get to the stage where our penple can dispose of high officiats in the manner which has heen done in the past few weeks...

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I must hring you hack to order heomuse Mou are not at the moment discussing Clause ? of the Bizl at all it seems to me. Unless you are going to discuss that Clause I shatl have to stop you.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
We lt, that is quite right, sir, but I mean after alt we have to state our view on what is happening and the view is quite evident that this Elected House at this point has no good intentions of anything for the country.
HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, there have been auite a number of insinuations here of intentions and $I$ want to assure you, Sir, and the Honourable Members of this House that my intentions are good towards the beneficiamies under the Parliamentary Pensions Low.

HON. CAPT'. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chaiman, I think this is as good a time as any to let the First and Second Elected Members for Bodden Toum realiee that they have lost the election, and that they are no tonger calting the shots in this Government. The sooner they accept that position the hetter off all of us will he. Thank you, sir.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: It was quite evident, Mr. Chairman, when you read the paper yesterday to fint out the number of things that the same Member has told the Ppess that are being तone when thare has been no Finance Comittee approval of it. so we knon where the mite is emming from. We not only have mayhe a dictatomial. mule we are going to have something etse." We know...

HON: CAPT. CHARLFS L. KIRKCONNELL: We have had dictatorial mule, Mr. Chatrman. We are out from under that, sir. We hove freedom again.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: If this is freedom then rod help alt of ue.

MR. CHALRMAN:
Order, owder in here. we are alt Mh. CAAGBMAN: getting far away from the Clause, and I would ask for the assistance of all Members to petum to the very limited question of whether Clause 2 of a Bill for a Law to annend the Parlicmentary Pensions Law, 1984, should stand part of that Bitl.

The wider questions which are now being mised might, some of them at least, have found their place in the second reading debate. Some of them indeed did, but neally they are not relevant, and $I$ shall be rather more strict now in appluing the rules of relevancy when discussing whether this particutar Clause should stand part of the Bill.

MRS. DAPHNE L. ORRETT:
Mr. Chairmon, my experience has been Juring the reoent campaion that the mufority of our constituents were very much opposet to this Luw as it now atants in the hooks.
$I$ am sure that all Members here are cuare: that. I am here to represent my peot le and not necessarity myself. The First Flected Member for Bodden Town mentioned that

MRS. DAPBNE L. ORRETT (CONTTNUING): the Law perhaps did not meet with the approvat of a few of the people. That miaht have been the situation in his conetituency, I am not sure, but in the distriet of West Bay this was not the case. Most of our people are opposed to this Low as it stands. One of the main reasons why thew are opposed to it is the fact that it was put through rather hurriedly in the House, and they felt, that insufficient time, and $I$ have qot this impression otherwise, was given to atl Memhers of the House to study it aufficiently. It would seem to me that the present Covermment should be given sufficient time to study the Loas and to make its conendments accordinaly. If feez that getting a date of the 31st March is perhaps not the best thing to do might now, after considering the way that my constituents feel about it. Theu feel that it is a Law which should he dealt with arefulty. I can assure you that they are not by any means hanoy that sueh a Iaw has been nassed by the previous Government when no provision at all has been mude for nensions for our people otherwise. For this reason I cannot support the aneniment.

MR. CHATRMAN:
I am afraid that we are not talkina about the anenoment. We have disposed of that. We are talking ahout the Substantive Clause.

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Clause 2. Put the question, sir, enough
is enouch...
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, I cannot support Clause 2 of the Rill, because Clause 2 of the Bitl would take away the operational day for the Low and would in effect virtually repeal the Low. The Clause would also allow the Govermor tater on in his tenure to publish a date for the coming into effeot of this Low.
$I$ am afraid that the Govermon will
never be given the advice by his Executive Council to proclaim a start up date for the Law. This is quite evident, not only from the debate in the Chomber but from the attitude of the Members and their satellites as well. Although there is a wall apound a brightly shining sun...

HON. VASSEL $G *$ JOHNSON:
MR. W. McKHEVA RUST:

Mr. Chairman.
Mr. Chairman.
MR. (T. HAIG BODDEN:

MR. W. MOKREVA RUSH: . I just...
MR. G. HATC BODDFN: $\because \quad$ If this Memher cannot hehave why does the sergeant-atwrms not put him out.

MR. W. MoKEEVA BUSH: Which Member are you tatking about?
HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: I was going to speak, Mr. Chaiman.
MR. G. HATG BODDEN: : Mr. Chairman, I am sveaking ant I have a might to speak without intermptiom.

MR. CHATRMAN:
I was triging to see who else it is and
whether they had got a point of order.
HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON: I was goind to ag that the Member sounts tike a run down aramaphone, Sir. Probably he has mon out of things to acy.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: oontinue?

MR. CHATRMAN:
HON. BENSON O, EBANKS:
MR. CHAIRMAN: I an bownd to say that I think that you Order 41 (1) that if you continue repeating yoursetf I shalt have to ask you to discontinue your speech.

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: $\quad \therefore$ Mr. Chaimman, I an not even abte to speak for the first time. My might to speak his heen curtailed. Somebody is sabotraing the microphone as well.

MR. CHATRMAN: Bven the micmohone hrs civen up on you.
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. Chairman, be fore I started to speak the Honowahle Thind Fiected Member for Fiocutive Council arid something about making a motion to olose the dehate. The thase which we are constdering is the most inorious Clause I have seen in any Bill and believe me, Six, in my twelve years in this House, particularty between 1972 cand 1976 I saw many had Laws with manu bad Clauses. However, clause 2 of the Rill before the House is the worse ome which has ever onme to my knowtedge. This ctause neminds me of Hitten who said he did not wont war, att he wanted Thas peace: a little piece of Poland, a little piece of Fintand and a little piece of France. This is a had clause.

HON. VASSEL G. JOHMSON:
Mr. Chairwant.*
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
Mr. Chatrman, witl you tett the Member
that I am speaking and perthaps...
HON. VASSEL G. JOHNSON We have heard that alt day, sir...*
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: He is not only a wound up Gramaphone, he has run down batteries as wett.

HON. VASSEL G. IOFNSON: I have a boint of omder. .
MR. CHATRMAN:
With you please both stop talking.
Now, first the Honourcble Fourth Freoted Member of Frecutive Coulncit. Were you raisina a point of order?

HON. VASSEL C. JOFFSSON: Yes, Sir, the noint which I am maising te that I want to exeroise the provision of Stantint Orfer 39(1) to rak you to put the question.

MR. CHAIPMAN: I think titis a litt te rremature to do that yet becauss the only Member who has har an opportunity of sneaking at all on the Suhatantive Clause is the seoond Electer Member for Bodnen Toum. He is, I am bound to sat, nearing the end of the time which I proposed to altow him;because he is repentind himestr, but I would not wish to exclude all other Members from the poseibitity of contributing.

MR. G. HATG BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, you are so oorreet.
Imagine what is happening in this Chamber. Not even one Member is allowed to speak on $a$ motion. What has happenect to the riahts of all the other Members?

MR. G. HAIG BODDEN (CONTINUING): Mr. Chairman, the Ctause which we are considering must be either removed from the bitt hefore us or anended. If it remains in the hands of the Executive Council to proclaim the day for the coming into effect of this Law, that day will never come, and the thaw will be obsolete. It will be impotent and disgrace will be brought upon this Legistature. Furthemore, the Members on this side of the House, those who are attached to the Executive Counoil by the unseen aubterranian cables, cannot get out of their responsibility to the public by putting the onus for the operation of this Law upon the Executive Cownoil. We want to have clearly defined separation of powers where the Leristative Assembly legislate Laws and also put them into effect.

We know that there have heen rare cases, Mr. Chairman where the Executive Councit has been aiven permisaion in the Law to appoint the day for the onming into effect but this is only done when the special circumstances wamant it. There are no apecial circumstances in this taw to encourace or to ask the Executive Councit to take on the Turden of bublishing the appointed day and for issuing the proclamation in the cazette. The apecial circumstancee in which the Fxecutive Council are aiven the cuthority to announce the day for the ooming into effect of the Law would be special cases like one we snoountered duming the construotion of the prison when we did not know brecisely when it would be finished, and we did not know precisely when we woutd be able to bring back from Jamica the prisoners which we han there, So the Executive Counoil was left to put portions of that trw into effect at the appropriate time. Such special circumstcnces do not appear in this Bill. Furthermore, what even makes it worse, this is not the start up of the Law beccuse the Lutw is in effeet and is only awaiting let JTruary, 1985.

Here we are giving to the Executive Council, not the power to appoint the dayput rother the power to take away from the Law the day of its first operation. This Clause is bad beccuse it upsets the whole system. It upsets the whote legislative process. We want to keen the powers of Parliament. supreme. We want to keep the powers of this Leoialative Asaembly distinct and separate from the Executive Council and senarate also from the judioial procese.

We know that the Fxecutive Counct?
Member is in a strance nosition where he sits here as the Member of one arm of Government and must alen ait in the Eracutive Councit and advise pentls in their executive duties on a doy to day basis.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I think the Member ia aqain repeating himself. We hrve had this aroument at some tenath so I must ask him to discontinue and if there is another Member who wishes to speak...

MR. G. HATG BODDEN:
T woutd only like to scu, Mr. Chairmon, that it ia difficult not to reneat when it seems that i.t is so hamd to aet across to those Members.

MR. CHATRMAN: Weli, I can understand that. Does any other Member wish to speak?

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Yes, sir.
MR. CHATRMAN:
Yes.
MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, when we read the Memorandim of Objects and Reasons which says that the object of this Bill is to amend the Pariiamentaiy Pensions Law, 1984,

MR. JAMFS $M_{1}$ BODDEN (CONTINIING): then we go to Section 2 , we wee where this Bill is realty an inocuous pisce of leaislation. If this bill is nassed today I think we will an dow in history for the infamy of this. It will be remembened as Peart Harhour was rememhered in Wortt War II. Caymonians as a whole may not associate themselves with that, but we witl have our oum Pearl Hartowr in the month of Deeemher.

Mr: Chairman, if we huy a car, that car does not have momentum of its pon and is of no use to us untess we provide that car with the fuel to make it go. So, therefore, we have to drive it to a serving station to purchase the fuel to get that car to move. Now that is the same thing in reliation to this Bilt which is before us.

The House of Assembly in May of tast year provided the oar and the intent was to provide the fuel to make that car gain momentum. Therefore, the operational date was to be 1st January, 1985. Now what we are doing now with the Bill which is before the House is. that we are discarding the car; we are disoarding the fuel, and we are giving. it no momentum at all. . We are putting it in the garage and we are putting the cover over it. Because there is no doubt in my mind that when the vote is taken this evening on this, that this will never he heard from agxin, not under the present purty system in power in the Cajman Istands. This will become a part of history.

It is not exactly the Rill. It is the intention of the Bitl. It goes to show, Mr. Chairman, that the Memhers of the present party in power, the present narty: Govermment have no intentions to respect Lcass whioh have been passed hy a majority of the Flected Members of any previous House. Beoause it wor the Low yesterdary. It is this one today and the next session of the House unless we are so debatina other things, we witl have them fring in Bill witer Bill to keen amenting not just the last administration, hut many more administrations. It is showing a tack of respect for a Low which has been passed.

I have satd it before and. I max he repetitious or considered renetitious in saying it, thut what we are doing here is the ame thing which is done in the repuhtios in Contral America, and South America which are commonly referred to as the banana repubtics.

MR. CHATRMAN: ... You are quite might. You did say it before bo $I$ must aqution you not to be repetitious.

MR. JAMES M. BODDEN:
Sir.
MR. CHATRMAN:
I know, because you have been talkino so lona it is hard not to be repetitive. I think you are in your comic's position and I must...

MR. TAMES M. BODDEN:
Mr. Chairman, in those countries simitar
things to this happen. It happens atso in some of the newtu emergino dictatorshins in Afxica where a previous Government has done somethin?, they depose that Covermment. Thankfully this one did not use auns liko they do there. They then revudiate completety what has heen done by the previoue administration. They try to aet around the debt of a conutry in many cases by doing that.

MR. CHATRMAN: $I$ must intermunt the Member. $I$ do not think he witl object to this because I am told that the tane has mon out and I do not suppose...


MR, JAMRS M. PODDAM.: $\quad$ : Mut. Mrr. Chaimman.:
MR. CBATPMAN: $\quad$ Yes, welt we annot io that at the moment because we do not have a tape. I was hooina that auite soom once ue have it...I take it that theu are chanding the tape. Tett them to ohanqe it now.

MR. TAMES M. BODDEN:
Coutd we have a shont adioumment, sir. There are some of us who smoke and I would not mind having a emoke right now.

MR. W. MCKEEVA RUSH:
finish, Sir.
No àdjoumments. Let'us finish, tet us

MR. CHATRMAN:
We have got no tame.
HON. MICHAEL J. RRADLEY:
There is nothing in stonding oriers of the Howe which bay that our motions or mocedures are derentent umon the mechanics of peoording.
$\frac{\text { MR. JAMES } M_{-} \text {BODDEN: }}{\text { nostemty's sake. }} \quad$ Yes, but this must be mantainer for
MON. CADT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONWELL: Mr. Chairmma, al.t wou have to Ao, ir. is aet the tape and ptay it over because that is all we are hearina; the some thina over and over.

MP. JAMFS M. RODDFN.
than that.
No, sir, you are goina to hear more

MR. W. MoKREVA RJJSF: Let us close un.
MR. CHATRMAM: Somy? It is तone. Is it finisher? The new one is on. We are back on tave so you oan continue but not for very lona.

MR. W. MeKEFVA BUSH:
MR. TAMES M. BODDEN: been through this on

How Zong?
No closure that way, please. We have MR. CHAITMCAN:
it will be "had wo for tedion will not be the alosure this time, carry on for the moment.

MR. TAMFS M. BODDFN: Welt, I on gettina more inomied alt the time, Sir, ahout the dictatorial monner of the House and the number of alosures we have had called for this eveninh. Therefore, I would seek your permiasion, Mr. Chairman, to move an amendment. That is that we chonoe the date proposed here in the oriminal $L_{\text {a }}$. where it was 1st danuary, 1985, so that the oberatimal Aate woutd heoome the 31st March, 1985, and I seek your nermiseion to nlace that amenoment. I think it is...

MP. CEATPMAN: No, we have had an amentment which in substance was very similure to thit bo I am a frait that I am not aoing to arant leave for annther.

MR. JAMFS M. BODDEM:
Rut, Mr. Chairmktn, the amencment which was proposed before was "heing a dau not tater than the 31st Maroh, 1995". That was that we would have a report back here and something

MR. JAMES M, RODDEN (CONTYNUITY): to be done. What I am moving is eubstantially different than that. I cm asking that the onerationat date which was set in the old Law as the 31st Jnnuam, be channed to the 31st March, 1985.

MR. CHATRMAN:
I to not reaned it as suhstantially
different I am afraid.
FTON. CAPY. CTHARLES L. YIRKCOMNKLL: Mr. Thairmkn, I move that under 38(1) you catl the vote here forthwith, sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think now we have aone for enourh. SO I will nut the auestion that the question be now put.

QUBSTION PUT: AYES AND MORS
MR. G. HATG BODDFN:
prease.
Mr. Chaimman, I would like a division
DIVISION

AYES
Hon. D. H. Foster
Hon. Michatel J. Mrodleu
Hon. Foneon O. Ebanks
Hon. W, Hoxmm sind
Hon. Charles L. Kirkoonnell
Hon. V.G. Johnson
Mr. W. 'Morecve Bugh
Mrg. Daphno L. Oprett
Gant inhmi S. Kirkennntit
Mr. D. Ėzacral Miller


ABSTENTIONS: : Second Elected Member for Geome Toum Elected Memher for East End

THE HONOURABLF THIRD OFFICTAL MEMRER WAS ABSENT FROM THF CHAMRER AT THE TIME OF VOTING.

## MR. CEAITMAN: <br> I dectare the motion camied. <br> AGREFD BY MAJORITY THAT THE OJESTION RE NOW PUT.

MR. CHAIRMAN:
I therefore now put the question
that Clause 2 atand part of the Rith.
QUESTION PUT: AYFG AND NOEF:
MR. CHAIRMAM:
Do you want a division anain?
MR. T. HAIC RODDFN:
Yes, Mr. Chairman nlease.

DIVISION

## AYES

Hon. D. T. Frectrer
ron. Michael J. Pradlay
Hom. Thomas C. Jefferson
Hon. Ienson O. Tibronke
Fon. W. Nommen Fodden
Ion. Charretea L. Kirkoonnett
「TM. V. S. JolphaOn
Mr. W. McKoeva एu申h
*trs. Daphne L. Orvirtt
Cont. Maxivu S. KirkconneLL
Mr. D. Ezzard Milter

ABSTENTITOMS:

MR. CHATRMAAN:

11
$\qquad$

NOES
"(r. Tames M. Rodden
ws. F. Fair Rodren

2
$\qquad$

Second Fiected Member for Georce Tiom Etected Member for East Find

I deelare the motion caried.
CLAUSE 2 PASSED BY MATORITY.
MR. CHAIRMAN:
That concludes proceedinas in Committee
on a Mith entitled a Bith for a $L$ aw to anend the Partiomentam .
Pensions Lcow, 1984, and other Dills. The House witl now resume.
I am veru sormu. No I am quite urona.
We have not rone the Title and Fnaatina Clause. My rearets.
The House is arrin in Conmittee.
CLFRK:
PPMTSIONS LAM, 1984.
MP. CHATRMAN: $\quad$ The question is that the Titte and Enacting Clause stand nore of the Ritl. I will mut the question.

QUESTION PUT: AGRFFD. TITLF PASSED.
MR. CHAIRMAN: That Coes conctude mrocesdinisi in
Commlttee on a bitl entitled a Bill for a Lau to anend the
Development and Planining Law (Revised) and other Bills.
The House wilt now reswne.

Please the seated. Renorts.
A Bilit for a Law to ament the
Development and Planning Low, Revised.

## REPORTS ON BILLS

THE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984
BON. VASSEL G. JOHOSON: Mr. President and Honowahle Members, Thave to report that a Bill entitled a Law to amend the Develonment and Planning Law (Revised) was considered Clause ty Clause by a Committee of the whole House and passed without amendment

MR. PRESIDFNT:
Third Reading.
The Bill is accordingly set down for

THE PARLTAMENTARY PENSIOMS (AMENDMFNY) BTLL, 1984
HON. DENWIS H. FOSTER: Nr. President, I hed to renort that a Bill for a Law to amend the Partiamentary pensions $L_{\text {I }}$, 1984, Law 9 of 1984, was oonsidered by a Committee of this whote Flouse and passed without amentment.

MR. PRESIDENT: Third Reading.

The Rilt is accordinaly set dow for STAMDIMF ORDER 55(1)

MR. G. HAIG RODDEN:
Mr. President, umder Standina orier 5571) I would move a motion that a pill entitled a Riil for a Law to anend the Parliamentary Pensions Law, 1984, Law 9 of 1984, he reconmitted so that Clause 2 of the filt an be amended.

MR. PRESIDENT: be recommitted under standina will put the question that the Rill be recommitted under Standing Order 55(1).

QUESTION PUT: - ATES ATD TOESS.
$\frac{\text { MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: }}{\text { Would not prectude debate. There should be debate on the motion, }}$ sir.

HON. MICHAEL J. BRADLEY: Mr. President, Sir, I think 55(1) is in relation to a Bit as reported from a Committee of the whote House where there has been any amenmment' in Comittee Stane. My understanding is that this Bitl has heen renorted from Committee unaltered from its second reading stage, and therefore a motion to recormit the Bill under 55(1) would be merely to go over the same around as vas तone hefore in Committes stage.

MR. PRESIDENT: $I$ am hound to say I am not sure what $\overline{55(1)} i_{s}$ intended to do heouse it does seem rurely to repeat something. MR. G. FAIC RODDEN:

Mr. President, if $I$ can help you. $55(1)$
is nurety a Standing Onder under which a Memher if he tegires to have any provision of the Bitl comender, makes a motion and that motion, if carried, would altow the Rill to he recommitted.
MR. PRESIDENT:
If the Bill has just been considered by Cownittee Clause by clause, that merely meons that you are going to do the whole thing all over again. I shall mut the question straight away without debate. I will do that.

OUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOFS.
MR. JAMES M. FODDEN: Mp. President, I think we got mixed uT on that vote. I was saying aye and my collearue was sauina no. Do you want to ask for the vote arain please?

HOM. VASSEL (7. TOHNSON: I was doina the same thing over here.
MP. G. HAIG BODDFN:
Mr. President, a division with satisfu

MR. PRESIDENT:
All right, we witl have a division. The question is that the Bill be recommitted in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 55(1). If you are in favour of having it recommitted you vote aye. If you are againat you vote no. I will ask the clerk to...

MR. TAMES $M$. BODDEN:
Mr. President, could I catch your eye for a point here, Sir? 55(1) of the Standing Orders is, I think, intended so that debate on a Bill would not be prectuded. I am of the very strong opinion, atthough...

MR. D. EZZARD MTLLER. With respect, Mr. Preaident, I think you juet asked for a division.

MR. PRESTDENT: I have just asker for a division and
I think that the division must oo ahead.
DIVISIOM

AVES
Mr. Jimes M. Bodden
Mr. G. Faig Bodden

## NOFS

Hon. D.F. Poeter
Hon. Miohnel. J. Emaleu
Fon. Themras C. Tefforson
Fon. Fenson ì. Fhariks
Hon. W. "ormun Bonden
Hon. Charler t. Kirkoornell
Hon, V.r. . Totmeon
Mr. V. MeKeevo ruah
Nre, Imhne L. Orrott
Cant. Mabmi S. Kirkoonnell
Mr. D. Fgacmen Nition

MR. PRPSIDENT: Perhaps the Sergeant-at-Azms would
bring me the ballot naner.
CIERK: Can I read it aqain, sir. Two ajyes; twetve noes and one ahstention.

MR. PRESIDAWY:............... That is correct. If I could have the
batlot paner though.

MR. JAMES M. RODDEN: Coule we haus the numhers on that, sir?
MR. PRESIDENT:
one abstention.
yes, it was twetve noes, two ayes and
Third Readings. The Develoment and
Planning (Amendment) Fill, 1984.


## DIVISTON

AYES
Hon. D. F. Footer
Hon. Michzel. J. Practlay
Plon. Thomee c. dofferson
Fon. Feneon O. Bbanks
Hon. W. Norman rodden
Hon. Charles L. Kirkoonnell
Fon. V. G. Johneon
Mr. W. MoKeeva - furh
Mre. Daphne L. Orvett
Mr. Linford A. Mierson
Capto Mabry s. Kirkconnell
Mr. D. Prazard Milter
" r . Jcones ". Rodden
Mr. G. Haig modden

ARSTENTION: Elected Member for East End.
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad$ I declare the motion carried and the

BILL GIVFN A THIPD RFADIMG AND PASGED BY MATORITY.
MR. PRESIDFNT: Thind Reating of the Parliamentary Pensione (Amendment) Bill, 1984.

THE PARLIAMENTARY PENSI(NS (AMENDMFNT) RILLI, 1994
THIRD REATLNG
CLERK: TFE PARLIAMENTARY PEMSTONS (AMENDMENT: RILL, 1992
HON. DENNIS H. FOSTRR: Mr. PreBident, I move that a Bill for a Law to amend the Parliamentary Pensions Luw, 1994, be aiven a third Reading and passed.

MR. PRESIDFNT: $\quad$ The queation before the House is that a Bill entitled a Bill for a Low to amend the Partiomentary Pensions Law, 1984, he given a. Third Reading and paseed.

QUESTION PUT: AYES AND NOES.

| $\frac{\text { MR. 'G. HAIG PODDEN: }}{\text { please? }}$ | Mr. President, may I have a division, |
| :---: | :---: |
| MR. PRFSIDFANT: | Yes. |

## DIVISTON

## AYES

Hon. D. R. Poster.
Mon. Nichnet J. Bracteu
Frn. Thomas G. Jefferson
Fon. Renson O. Ebanks
Hon. W. Womman Fodden
For. Charles L. Kirkconnetl
Hon. V. T. etohneon
"r. V. McKeeve Fush
"ro. Daphne L. Orpett
Capt. Mabry S. Kirkconnell
Mr. D. Essard Miller
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ABSTENTIONS:

MR. PRESIDENT: to amend the Parliamentary Pensions Law, 1984, has passed its Third Readina.

## ADJOURNMFNT

HON. DENNIS H. FOSTER: Mr. Preaident, I would like to move the adjournment of this House sine die.

MR. PRESIDFNT: I think it would he possible to move it...
Oh, yea sine die because it will be a new session will it not when we start aaain.

The motion is that this House do adiourn sine die.

QUESTION PUT:
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: Mr. President, I iust wanted an onortumitu...

MR. PRESIDENT: Mo, I am Bormy. No matter may be ratsed hecause I have not been given notice of any.

MR. G. HAIG RODDENY $\quad$ Christmas, Sir. was aoina to wish you a mempy
MR. PRESIDENT: $\quad I$ apoloqise.
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN: This might has never been dented us and...

No, no anybody who wants...
MR. PRESIDENT:
No, no ankoly who wants...
MR. G. HAIG BODDEN:
... and I may ae well say that I am shocked at the procedure in the House today where the minority has been denied on many oceasions the might to speak.

MR. PRESIDENT: Order, order. Christmis areetints are
in order.
MR. G. $\operatorname{HATG}$ BODDEN: $\quad$ Freedom of sreech has disappeared from this House. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Mr. JAMFS } M \text {. RODDEN: } & \text { Mr. President, this is the ontu time } \\ \text { to memory in twelve years in this House where on the adioumments }\end{array}$ or vote for the aljoumment a Member, if he had a roint to raise was not allowed to maise it.

MR. PRESIDENT: The standine ordere are quite olear on the noint, if you read standing Order 11 (6) and (7). My sense this evening is that moet Memhers oonaider the time for adjoumment overdue. So I am intending to nonstrue those sections strictly, with the sinate exception that I should like to offer all Members every good wish for Christmas and the New Year. I should like to ask them for their assistance in helping me with the interpretation of Standing Orders and with the use of disoretionary powers.

I should like now to allow them all to go for Chriatmas. We have the vote to take though.

QUESTION RUT: AGREED. $\quad$ AT $7: 11$ P.M. THF HOUSE ADJOURNED


[^0]:    * Absent in the afternoon.

[^1]:    Finance
    The year 1983 began with a balanced budget... of $\$ 52.3$ million, an estimated surplus of $\$ 23,296$, a General Reserve batance of $\$ 11.03$ miltion, a gurplus account negative balanoe of $\$ 883,000$ and a Public Debt of \$8. 5 mitition.

    Preliminary unaudited revenue figures for
    the year show toan receipts of $\$ 1.2$ miltion and ordinary revenue collected of $\$ 47.9$ million against the revised ordinary revenue estimate of $\$ 49.7$ million. They also show recurrent expenditure at $\$ 37.7$ million againat a vevised estimate of $\$ 39.4$ million and total (inoluding capital) expenditure at 47.1 million againet a revised estimated expenditure figure of

[^2]:    CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Nr. President, I beg to lay on the Table of this Honourable House the Report of the Business Committee held on Wednesday the 15th of Eebruary, 1984.

    MR. PRESIDENT: The motion before the House is that the Report of the Business Committee be adopted.

    It may be helpful to the House if I say, my understanding is, that there is a Government Motion set down for

[^3]:    HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
    Mr. President, I wonder if I mary ask the
    clerk if we could be advisadas to when the oopies did go to the Printers, and if we ath attempt to find out the position there because this is not the firgt time, and I would not like the pubtic to beleive that the Executive Council did not deal with these Bitle in time, because they did a very long time ago, and if she could perhops enlighten us, please.

[^4]:    MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
    A supplementary, Mr. President. Could
    $\bar{I}$ ask the Member whether it would be his wish to aee the Town Hall as

[^5]:    * Absent in the afternoon.

[^6]:    Mr. Preaident, in olosing I would

[^7]:    * Absent in the a.m.

[^8]:    MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
    Mr. President, I realise the mover said that wnless the House objected. But. I think we should deat

[^9]:    MF. PRESIDENT:
    Unless there $i_{6}$ any further supple-
    mentary, that I think concludes euestions and we move to item 3 on today's Order Paper - Bitls, The Farliamentary Fensions Bitl, 1984s Second Reading continued. The Elected Member for North Side.

[^10]:    MR. CHAIRMAN: UnZess any Member wishes to speak to the Clause as amended, I would put the question that Clause 5 as amended do atand part of the Bili.

    QUESTION PUT: AGREED. CLAUSE 5 AS AMENDED WAS PASSED.

[^11]:    CAPT. CHARLES L. KIRKCONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I would prefer the Second Officiat Member to do 30, Sir.

[^12]:    "to imprisonment for a period not exceeding three years or to a fine not exgeeding Cayman Istand Dolzare fifteen thou rnd".

[^13]:    HON. G. HATG BODDEN:
    Mr. President, I an not prepared
    to give way.

[^14]:    -No Federal Subpoenis; including a Grand Jury Subpoena relating to documentary information looated in Coyman, in any matter falling within Paragraph 2(i) of this Agreement will be enforced in the United States without the prion agreement of either the United Kingdom Govern--ment or the Cayman Govermment."

[^15]:    HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN: so wleh.

    Yes Sir, that is good Six, if you

    MR. PRESIDENT:
    Well, in that oase let us auspend
    proceeding for approximately fifteen minutes.

[^16]:    MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
    You should respect the Standing Orders to tell the truth.

[^17]:    MR. PRESIDENT: I wont to establish the feeting afainet graduated potl tax since we have been discuseing this matter. Do we ignore or reject the prinoiples that wealthier people shouzd pay a little more than the poorer man?

[^18]:    MR. CHAIRMAN:
    The question is that clause 2 do stand part of the Bill. Unless any Member wishes to speak. I witt put the question.

[^19]:    MR. BENSON O. EBANKS:
    These figuree indicate that the tacking is somewhere eise. Mr. President, you see this is what I am trying to say. We were painted a glowing picture of the financial situation of this country yesterday, and this is what this debate is all about. It shoutd not have arisen, I admit, but the Second Elected Member of Executive Council and Second Elected Member for George Town, in my opinion, sought to use this form to launch his politioal campaign, and I am saying, Mr. Fresident, without fear of succeesfut contradiction, that Cayman Aixways has to be analysed aritically, or it is going to speli doom for this country.

[^20]:    MR. PRESTDENT:
    I think that the Honourable Member may, not that I wiah to enoourage him to prolong his epeech unduly, he may have an opportunity to obtain that extra paper that is now missing because it is four thirty, and we did not really reach agreement we would go on this evening. So I shall ask the First Official Member to move the adjournment.

[^21]:    HON. THOMAS C. JEFFERSON:
    Mr. President, I move the second
    reading of a Biti entitted a Biil for a Low to omend The Companies Law, Chapter 22.
    which wan "ut tharwh at a
    Ifr. Prostant, wh to on geniment

[^22]:    MR. CHATRMAN: I think we have amended cmendments before in Committee. I do take your point that if we were going to amend amendments to amendments and then amend them too, we could get into a tongle, and we have to be very careful.

[^23]:    MR. BFNSON O. BBANKS:
    Mr. President, the Memher has to
    firet wait to see if $I$ an going to give way.

[^24]:    HON. TRUMAN M. BODDEN:
    Mr. President, if I may just ask that you give him a time because his theory of what is short and yours may be completely different.

[^25]:    - MR. CHAIRMAN:

    Sut you did not get a great deal of

[^26]:    Mor: BENSON O. ERANKS: Now, Mr. Chaixman, this to the egection where I hope that the Second Official Momber or the iraughteman would have, by now, prepared an amentment to (a) ..........

[^27]:    MR. CHAIRMAR: part of the pizl.

    The question is that Clause 29 stand

    HON. TRUMYN M. BODCEN: Mr. Chairman, I think the First Elected Member for George Iown had aommented and I an atso of the viow that if someone goes on a work permit and pays the fee which is now substantial and then leaves or is terminated whatever, say after a month, that the fee should be applied towards the next one rather than forfeiting sajl \$2. 000 or $\$ 750$, whatever it is and then having to pay

[^28]:    HON. W. NORMAN BODDEN:
    Mr. President, I beg to nominate
    Capt. Mabry Kirkeonnelt.

[^29]:    MR. PRESIDENT:
    Does any othar Honourable Member wish to speak? I think the Third Elected Menber for Weat Bay oaught my eye first.

[^30]:    MR. PRESIDENT:
    Does ony other Honourable Meriber wish to speak.

    MR. G. HAIG :BODDEN: Mr. President, I would object to the broadoasting of comittee stage of bills. Everyone knowe that the radio station has a reaponsibility to its listening public to broadcast mattexs which are not only of intereet but which have a content that would not be boring to its listeners.

    Members know that in this Chumber when we take a bill through the conmittee stage, it is slow going, it is also difficult to follow even for the Members who are present in the Chamber. For those listening at home, not having a copy of the bill or a copy of the particular section that is being modified or dealt with in any manner, it would be incomprehensibles to the listener to follow the committee stage of the bill. I approve wholeheartedly broadeasting of the Second Reading debate of bills and question Time, as well as the debate on important

[^31]:    MRT. FREGIDETT:
    I think we have now reached 4.30 and it does not appear to me to be very likely that wo shall manage to complete the businoss for this mevting to tom, 30 thless it was the wish of the House that I should suggest otherwise, my proposal ia that the Firet Official Mentrer , De invited to

[^32]:    MR. G, HAIG BODDEN:
    Mr. President, this is the prolitem with this whole matter; the Member introducing the Bill was sitent on it. He merely made an opening statement saying that they want to postpone the operative date to give them an opportunity to examine the Low more olosely, and it is my

[^33]:    MR. JAMES M. BODDEN: ; We have never had a ctosupe on debate. We tive never han an mendment to an amendment reieoted just becauee it did not come in during the two day neriod. I think, Mr. Chatrman, you wouta not be fulfilling your democratic role in this oountry if you were to bring closure on my discussion on an wnendment to an omendment to a very immortant pilt like this.

